

Stability and effective masses of composite-fermions in the first and second Landau Level

R. Morf¹ and N. d'Ambrumoni²

¹Paul Scherrer Institut, Badenerstrasse 569, CH-8048 Zurich, Switzerland

²Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

We propose a measure of the stability of composite fermions (CF's) at even-denominator Landau-level filling fractions. Assuming Landau-level mixing effects are not strong, we show that the CF liquid at $\nu = 2 + 1/2$ in the $n = 1$ Landau level cannot exist and relate this to the absence of a hierarchy of incompressible states for filling fractions $2 + 1/3 < \nu < 2 + 2/3$. We find that a polarized CF liquid should exist at $\nu = 2 + 1/4$. We also show that, for CF states, the variation with system size of the ground state energy of interacting electrons follows that for non-interacting particles in zero magnetic field. We use this to estimate the CF effective masses.

Two-dimensional systems of electrons in magnetic fields, corresponding to Landau-level filling fractions with even denominator, were for a long time mysterious. Halperin, Lee and Read (HLR) partially cleared up this mystery [1], when they identified the low-temperature phase of fully spin-polarised electrons at filling fraction $\nu = 1/2$, as Fermi liquids of composite fermions (CF's) [2,3]. This theory accounted for the available experimental observations [4,5] for systems at or close to $\nu = 1/2$. HLR pointed out that their CF formalism easily generalizes to other filling fractions and to higher Landau levels (LL's), where, if applicable, it describes a Fermi liquid phase for spin-polarised electrons at all even-denominator filling fractions.

Here we analyse microscopically the stability of the CF state. We propose a definition of the binding energy' of flux quanta to electrons, which we argue puts an upper bound on the stability of CF's. We also show that, although the formalism for CF generalizes to higher LL's, the stability of the Fermi liquid state depends strongly on LL index. This leads us to predict that the polarised CF-liquid cannot be stable at $\nu = 5/2$. We also predict that at $\nu = 9/4$, the polarised CF-liquid will be stable and should show up clearly in experiment. Our calculations suggest that this state is more strongly stabilised than its counterpart in the lowest LL at $\nu = 1/4$ (although it may not be much easier to observe, because only 1/9 of the electrons would actually form the correlated state.) Finally, we use the variation with system size of the ground state energy to estimate the CF effective masses.

Our results leave open the question of what is the nature of the polarized state at $\nu = 5/2$. It has been known for some time that the behaviour at filling fractions $\nu = 5/2$ is anomalous, with some samples showing a plateau in the Hall conductivity, σ_{xy} [6,7]. These anomalies disappear in a tilted magnetic field and are thought to be associated with an incompressible non-fully polarized ground state [8,9], which is destabilized by a sufficiently

large Zeeman energy. Our results show that the polarized ground state at $\nu = 5/2$, which forms in this large Zeeman/high density limit, will not be a simple CF-liquid, at least in the absence of strong LL-mixing. (Although preliminary surface acoustic wave measurements [5] show no evidence at $\nu = 5/2$ for the 'free fermion-like' resonances expected for CF's, this may reflect a non-fully polarized incompressible ground state.)

A trial wavefunction for the CF liquid ground state at $\nu = 1/2$ has been written down for N electrons in the lowest ($n = 0$) LL [10]. In the symmetric gauge $A = (B/2)(y; -x; 0)$ and using the complex position coordinate for the j 'th electron $z_j = x_j + iy_j$, it is

$$P_{n=0}^{n=0} = P_{n=0} \det \mathcal{P}^{ik} r_{ij}^{(0)} \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{P}^{(0)} = \prod_{i < j}^N (z_i - z_j)^2 \exp \sum_k^X \mathcal{P}_k \frac{z_j^2}{4l_0^2} \quad (2)$$

The operator $P_{n=0}$ projects the wavefunction on the $n = 0$ LL. l_0 is the magnetic length. The factor $\mathcal{P}^{(0)}$ takes care of the singular gauge transformation which describes the formation of the CF's [11], which can then be thought of as occupying the N lowest-lying single-particle states labelled by the momentum k . In Jain's original picture [2], the determinant is just the wavefunction describing N filled LL's with $N - 1$, which is the limit $B = 0$.

Read has suggested that the stability of the CF sea follows from the low energy associated with the Laughlin (bosonic) state, $\mathcal{P}^{(0)}$, which places all the zeros in the many-body wavefunction at the positions of the particles [12]. The projection operator and the factors $e^{ik \cdot r_j}$ move one of the zeros in the wavefunction $\mathcal{P}^{(0)}$, considered as a function of the coordinate z_j , away from the positions of the other particles by an amount proportional to k . Filling the lowest momentum states therefore keeps the zeros as close as possible to the particle positions in an antisymmetric wavefunction. It is therefore natural to associate the energy difference between the true ground state energy for fermions and the energy $\mathcal{P}^{(0)}$ with a degeneracy temperature for the CF's.

To measure the stability of CF against the 'unbinding' of their flux tubes (zeros in the wavefunction), we compare the energy of $\mathcal{P}^{(0)}$ to that of a system at the same density but with pair correlation function corresponding to $\mathcal{P}_1^{(0)}$. A state with N particles in the lowest LL at the same filling fraction as $\mathcal{P}_{CF}^{(0)}$ can be written as a product $\mathcal{P}_1^{(0)} P_{N-1}$, where P_{N-1} is a symmetric polynomial of order $(N - 1)$. In calculating the reference energy we write the polynomial $P_{N-1} = \prod_{i,j,k} (z_i - z_k)$, and assume that the positions of the $(N - 1)$ zeros, z_k , are randomly distributed over the area of the system. Using Laughlin's plasma analogy [13], we see that the zeros at z_k act as point charges for the electrons, so that averaging over their positions just renormalizes the background charge in the plasma and leads to the pair correlation function

associated with $\psi_1^{(0)}$. This reference energy is also the mean interaction energy at temperatures larger than the condensation energy for the CF state but still small with respect to the Zeeman energy and the LL splitting.

The state $\psi_{CF}^{(0)}$ is easily generalized to filling fractions $\nu = 1/2m$ by multiplying $\psi_{CF}^{(0)}$ by $\psi_{2m}^{(0)}$. This state then has the maximum number, $(2m - 1)$, of zeros at the particle positions and the remaining zero bound as closely as possible to the particle positions consistent with fermion statistics. Here, the CF state will only be stabilized, if it pays energetically for the system to bind this remaining zero. We therefore test the energy of the CF 'vacuum' state $\psi_{2m}^{(0)}$ against the energy of a system at the same density with pair correlation function associated with $\psi_{2m-1}^{(0)}$. Generalizing to higher LL's (without accounting for LL-mixing) is also straightforward [14]. We apply the product operator $\psi^+ = \prod_i (a_i^+)$, where a_i^+ is the LL raising operator for the i 'th particle, to the corresponding wavefunction in the lowest LL:

$$\psi_{CF, 2m}^{(n)} = \psi^+ \psi_{CF, 2m}^{(0)} \quad (3)$$

For a filling fraction of the n 'th Landau level $\nu_n = 1/2m$, the stability of the CF state should be determined by whether the state $\psi_{2m}^{(n)}$ offers a significant gain in energy with respect to the state at the same density with pair correlation function from $\psi_{2m-1}^{(n)}$. (The operation described by ψ^+ is in fact simple to implement, as the operators, a_i^+ , leave all the intra-LL quantum numbers unaffected. In particular, there is a simple mapping associated with ψ^+ for the pair correlation function for electrons in the n 'th LL on the surface of a sphere, $g^{(n)}(\theta)$. Here θ measures the spherical angle between two particles. If we write $g^{(n)}(\theta) = \frac{2S}{P} \sum_{m=0}^{2S} g^{(n)} Y_m(\theta)$, where $2S$ is the flux through the sphere and Y_m are spherical harmonics, then $g^{(1)} = g^{(0)}(1 - (\theta + 1)/2S)$ [7].)

We have calculated the energy of electrons on a sphere described by the wavefunctions $\psi_2^{(n)}$ and $\psi_4^{(n)}$ for both $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ using Monte Carlo simulations with up to 24 particles, but ignoring LL-mixing effects. We compare these with the energy of systems described by $\psi_1^{(n)}$ and $\psi_3^{(n)}$ at the same density in Table 1. Both $\psi_2^{(0)}$ and $\psi_4^{(0)}$ describe systems with significant energy gain with respect to the reference states $\psi_1^{(0)}$ and $\psi_3^{(0)}$. The gain is less for $\psi_4^{(0)}$ than for $\psi_2^{(0)}$ reflecting the slow decay with angular momentum of the pseudopotentials characterizing the Coulomb interaction [15]. Taking the dielectric constant $\epsilon = 13$ for GaAs, the gain for $\psi_2^{(0)}$ is equivalent to a temperature 4.6K for the density $0.6 \cdot 10^{15} \text{m}^{-2}$ of the samples used in [5]. This would be consistent with the observation of CF-associated conductivity anomalies up to temperatures $> 4\text{K}$ in those samples.

Table 1 shows that the picture in the $n = 1$ LL is very different. The energy of a system of particles described by $\psi_2^{(1)}$ is in fact higher for the Coulomb interaction than

a system described by $\psi_1^{(1)}$ at the same density. On the other hand $\psi_4^{(1)}$ has a significantly lower energy than the reference state $\psi_3^{(1)}$. These results suggest that the CF state is stabilized at $\nu_1 = 1/4$ but should not form for filling fraction $\nu_1 = 1/2$. This would be in line with experiments [16] which show evidence for the hierarchy of incompressible polarized states at odd denominator filling fractions $1/5 < \nu_1 < 1/3$, but not at $1/3 < \nu_1 < 2/3$. (These incompressible states correspond to filled Landau levels for CF's [1,17].) The high energy associated with $\psi_2^{(1)}$ would also make unlikely the formation of the $n = 1$ counterparts of the spin-singlet incompressible fluids, like the so-called '332' state at $\nu_0 = 2/5$. The stability of these states is due basically to the low Coulomb energy associated with the factor $\psi_2^{(0)}$ [18].

We can test the claim that a CF liquid state will form at $\nu_1 = 1/4$ but not at $\nu_1 = 1/2$ by considering the ground state angular momentum. Rezayi and Read (RR) [10] have shown that, if the CF state is formed for a system of N particles on a sphere pierced by $2S = 2(N - 1)$ flux units on a sphere, then the angular momentum of the ground state should be the same as that expected on the basis of Hund's second rule for electrons in zero magnetic flux [19]. We have calculated the ground state energy and angular momentum for systems with up to nine particles at $\nu_n = 1/4$, ($2S = 4(N - n - 1)$), and up to twelve particles at $\nu_n = 1/2$, ($2S = 2(N - n - 1)$), for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ (again ignoring LL-mixing). At $\nu_0 = 1/2$, $\nu_0 = 1/4$ and $\nu_1 = 1/4$, the angular momentum of the ground state of the interacting system of N particles follows the RR prediction, L :

N	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
L	0	2	3	3	2	0	3	5	6
L^0	2	0	1	1	2	0	1	3	0

However, at $\nu_1 = 1/2$ there is no correlation between the ground state angular momentum L^0 and the value predicted by RR. Taken together with the high energy associated with $\psi_2^{(1)}$, this rules out the CF state at $\nu_1 = 1/2$, when LL-mixing effects are weak.

We have also checked the validity of the mapping (3) between CF ground states in different LL's. We evaluate the overlap, $\langle \psi_j^{(1)} | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle$, where the $\psi^{(n)}$ are the exact ground states in the n 'th LL for the Coulomb interaction with $\nu_1 = \nu_0$. For the CF trial states (1), (3) this overlap is one while, for the exact ground states for a Coulomb interaction, one would expect it to be large. We find that, for $\nu_1 = 1/4$, the overlap is larger than 0.975 for $4 \leq N \leq 9$ particles, while for $\nu_1 = 1/2$ it is either zero, when $L^0 \notin L$, or very small.

The origin of the high energy of a system described by $\psi_2^{(1)}$ can be seen in the small limit of the pair correlation function, $g(r)$, [7]. In Figure 1 (a), we show $g(r)$ for $\psi_2^{(1)}$ and $\psi_1^{(1)}$. The Figure shows that, whereas $g(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ for $\psi_1^{(1)}$ (as it must on account of the Pauli principle), $g(0)$ is non-zero and large for $\psi_2^{(1)}$. At the

same time we see in Figure 1 (b) that, for the state $\psi_4^{(1)}$, the probability of finding two particles close together is reduced with respect to that for the state $\psi_3^{(1)}$.

The pair correlation function for a many-body wavefunction is determined by the amplitudes it ascribes to states of relative angular momentum for pairs of particles. In the wavefunctions, $\psi_m^{(n)}$, all configurations in which pairs of particles have relative angular momentum less than m are excluded. The reason why, in higher LL's, this does not lead to a small probability that particles come close together, is implicit in work on incompressible states [14,20] but can be adapted to our analysis of the CF states fairly easily.

The wavefunction for any pair of electrons can be written in terms of their relative and centre of mass (CoM) coordinates, z and Z . If both electrons are in the lowest LL then so is their relative and CoM motion, and (in a rotationally invariant system) the pair wavefunctions would be of the form

$$\psi_{jJ}^{(0)}(z_1; z_2) = \psi_j^{(0)}(z) \psi_J^{(0)}(Z); \quad (4)$$

where $\psi_j^{(0)}$ and $\psi_J^{(0)}$ describe motion in the $n = 0$ LL with relative and CoM angular momenta, j and J . When the two electrons are both in the n 'th LL, the corresponding pair wavefunction is found by acting with $(a_1^+ a_2^+)^n$ on the wavefunction $\psi_{jJ}^{(0)}$. In terms of the raising operators for CoM and relative motion, A^+ and a^+ , we find

$$\psi_{jJ}^{(n)}(z_1; z_2) = A^{+2} a^{+2n} \psi_j^{(0)}(z) \psi_J^{(0)}(Z); \quad (5)$$

so that the wavefunction for relative motion can be in any of the $0, 2, 4, \dots, 2n$ 'th LL's. For all $j < 2n$ with j even, at least one of these $\psi_j^{(2,1)}(z)$ is non-zero when $j \neq 0$. Thus for even m , excluding relative angular momentum less than m in a many-body wavefunction (as in $\psi_m^{(n)}$), does not suppress configurations in which pairs of particles come close together unless $m > 2n$.

By matching the variation with system size of the ground state energy to that expected for a CF liquid, we can estimate the CF effective masses [21]. The ground state energy per particle of N non-interacting fermions on a sphere is $(2\hbar^2/m a^2 N^2) \sum_{i=1}^N l_i(l_i + 1)$. Here a is the ion disc radius for the particles of mass m , and the l_i are the angular momenta of the N lowest energy single-particle states. This energy can be written $(\hbar^2/m a^2) (1 + \beta(N))$, where $\beta(N) = 1/N$, for filled shells, and $0 < \beta(N) < 1/N$ otherwise. The variation with system size in a sequence of $L = 0$ ground states at fixed filling fraction is also known to be proportional to $1/N$ [22], where the $1/N$ corrections for particles on a sphere relate to its curvature and depend on the precise definition of the interparticle separation [23]. We would like to eliminate such background corrections to obtain a best estimate of the systematic variation of the ground state energy with angular momentum. We therefore subtract a 'filled shell'

equivalent energy, $E_{fs}(N) = e_0 + e_1 N$, from the ground state energy for each N , and compare the result with the corresponding result, $(\hbar^2/m a^2)(N + 1/2)$, expected for free fermions. We use the $N = 4$ and $N = 9$ particle ground state energies to determine the coefficients e_0 and e_1 . The results at $\nu_0 = 1/2$ and $\nu_1 = 1/4$ are shown in Figure 2.

The close agreement between the energies at $\nu_0 = 1/2$ and those of the non-interacting fermions apparent from Figure 2 allows us to estimate effective masses of the CFs. We find that, in the relation [1]:

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{m} = \frac{C}{(4 - \nu)^{1/2}} \frac{e^2}{\epsilon}; \quad (6)$$

where ν is the number density of electrons in the n 'th LL and ϵ is the dielectric constant, the constant $C = 0.20 \pm 0.02$. This differs from the result, $C = 0.31$, quoted in [1]. However, this may relate to the enhancement expected for m^* as $\nu \rightarrow 1/2$. (Our results are for system size $L = 1/2$, whereas the estimate of [1] was based on the scaling of gap energies with filling fraction for incompressible states away from $\nu = 1/2$.) Similar analyses give $C = 0.1 \pm 0.02$ at $\nu_0 = 1/4$ and $C = 0.18 \pm 0.02$ at $\nu_1 = 1/4$, although these figures ignore the low ground state energies for six and seven particles which we find for both $n = 0$ and $n = 1$.

We thank the ISI Foundation in Torino for their hospitality and the participants in the ISI workshop on the Quantum Hall Effect, June 1994, for many interesting seminars. We also thank B. J. Halperin and E. Rezayi for useful discussions.

- [1] B. J. Halperin, P. A. Lee and N. Read, *Phys. Rev. B* 47, 7312 (1993).
- [2] J. K. Jain, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 63, 1223 (1989).
- [3] A. Lopez and E. Fradkin, *Phys. Rev. B* 44, 5246 (1991).
- [4] R. Willett, M. A. Paalanen, R. R. Ruel, K. W. West, L. N. Pfeiffer and D. J. Bishop, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 65, 112 (1990);
- [5] R. L. Willett, R. R. Ruel, M. A. Paalanen, K. W. West and L. N. Pfeiffer, *Phys. Rev. B* 47, 7344 (1993).
- [6] R. Willett, J. P. Eisenstein, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, A. C. Gossard and J. H. English, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 59, 1776 (1987).
- [7] R. Morf in *Interacting Electrons in Reduced Dimensions*, ed. D. Baeriswyl and D. K. Campbell, Plenum, New York 1989, p291.
- [8] J. P. Eisenstein, R. Willett, H. L. Stormer, D. C. Tsui, A. C. Gossard and J. H. English, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 61 (1988) 997.
- [9] F. D. M. Haldane and E. H. Rezayi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 60, 1886 (1988).
- [10] E. Rezayi and N. Read, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 72, 900 (1994).
- [11] To construct the CF liquid, HLR used the pure phase

$\psi = \sum_j \psi_j$ instead of the factor $\psi_2^{(0)}$. This allowed the use of standard perturbation theoretic results in the calculation of observable properties. To construct trial ground states, using the (complex) factor, $\psi_2^{(0)}$, gives a better description of the short length scale properties and the total energy of the system [2].

- [12] N. Read, ISI workshop on Quantum Hall Effect, June 1994, Torino, unpublished.
- [13] R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 1395 (1983).
- [14] A. H. MacDonald and S. M. Girvin, Phys Rev B 33, k4009 (1986)
- [15] F. D. M. Haldane in The Quantum Hall Effect, ed. R. E. Prange and S. M. Girvin, Springer 1987.
- [16] P. L. Gammel, D. J. Bishop, J. P. Eisenstein, J. H. English, A. C. Gossard, R. Ruel and H. L. Stormer, Phys. Rev. B 38, 10128 (1988).
- [17] There is an ambiguity associated with incompressible states at $\nu = 1/(2m + 1)$ in the CF picture as these can be related to filled LL's of CF's at $\nu = 1/2m$ and $\nu = 1/(2m + 2)$. Clearly the $\nu = 1/3$ incompressible state [16] can only be associated with the CF liquid at $\nu = 1/4$ if the CF state does not form at $\nu = 1/2$.
- [18] B. I. Halperin, Helv. Phys. Acta 56 (1983) 75.
- [19] The role of Hund's 1st rule was considered in J. K. Jain and X. G. Wu, Phys. Rev. B 49 5085 (1994).
- [20] N. d'Ambrumenil and A. M. Reynolds J Phys C 21, 119 (1988).
- [21] N. d'Ambrumenil and R. Morf to be published.
- [22] N. d'Ambrumenil and R. Morf, Phys. Rev. B 40 6108 (1989).
- [23] R. Morf and B. I. Halperin, Z. Phys. B 68 391 (1987).

FIG. 1. The pair correlation function, $g(r)$, for systems of nine particles. The upper panel shows $g(r)$ for systems described by $\psi_{1,2}^{(1)}$ (see 3) and by the exact (Coulomb) ground state wavefunction at $\mu_1 = 1=2$. The lower panel shows $g(r)$ for $\psi_{3,4}^{(1)}$ and for the exact ground state wavefunction at $\mu_1 = 1=4$. The large value of $g(r)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ for $\psi_{2}^{(1)}$ leads to a high energy per particle (Table I), and makes the formation of CF's energetically unfavourable. However, $\psi_{4}^{(1)}$ has significantly more weight at small r than the reference state $\psi_{3}^{(1)}$. This leads to a large energy gain for the binding of the additional zero in the wavefunction and implies a well-stabilised CF-liquid state at $\mu_1 = 1=4$.

FIG. 2. The ground state energy minus the respective 'filled shell' equivalent energies for non-interacting free fermions and for interacting electrons at $\mu_0 = 1=2$ and at $\mu_1 = 1=4$ on the surface of a sphere. The constant C in (6) is taken as 0.2 at $\mu_0 = 1=2$ and 0.18 at $\mu_1 = 1=4$. (In units of $e^2/a^{(n)}$, the filled shell equivalent energies for electrons are $E_{fs}(N) = 0.9321 + 0.0830N$ at $\mu_0 = 1=2$, $E_{fs}(N) = 1.0205 + 0.0028N$ at $\mu_0 = 1=4$ and $E_{fs}(N) = 0.8825 + 0.0047N$ at $\mu_1 = 1=4$.)

TABLE I. The energy per particle of a system of particles described by $\nu_m^{(n)}$. The energy difference, $E_{cf}^{(n)}(\frac{1}{2m}) = E(\frac{n}{2m}) - E(\frac{n}{2m-1})$, is a measure of the stability of a CF state. The positive value for $\nu_1 = 1/2$ suggests that the CF state will not form at this filling fraction. All energies are in units of $e^2/a^{(n)}$ where $a^{(n)} = 1/(2\pi\nu_m^{(n)})^{1/2}$ is the ion disc radius for the electrons in the n 'th LL with density $\nu_m^{(n)}$.

n	$\nu_1^{(n)}$	$\nu_2^{(n)}$	$E_{cf}^{(n)}(\frac{1}{2})$	$\nu_3^{(n)}$	$\nu_4^{(n)}$	$E_{cf}^{(n)}(\frac{1}{4})$
0	-0.886	-0.970	-0.084	-1.004	-1.022	-0.018
1	-0.664	-0.502	+0.162	-0.799	-0.889	-0.090