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#### Abstract

A grow th $m$ odel which describes the deposition of particles (or the grow th of a rigid crystal) on a disordered substrate is investigated. The dynam ic renor$m$ alization group is applied to the stochastic grow th equation using the $M$ artin, Sigga, and R ose form alism . T he periodic potential and the quenched disorder, upon averaging, are combined into a single term in the generating functional. C hanging the tem perature (or the inherent noise of the deposition process) tw o di erent regin es with a transition betw een them at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{sr}}$, are found: for $\mathrm{T}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{sr}}$ this term is irrelevant and the surface has the scaling properties of a surface grow ing on a at substrate in the rough phase. The height-height correlations behave as C (L; ) $\ln \left[f\left(=L^{2}\right)\right]$. W hile the linear response $m$ obility is nite in this phase it does vanish as $\left(T \quad T_{s r}\right)^{1: 78}$ when $T!T_{s r}^{+}$. For $T<T_{s r}$


[^0]there is a line of xed-point for the coupling constant. T he surface is superrough: the equilibrium correlations function behave as (ln L) ${ }^{2}$ while their short tim e dependence is (ln $)^{2}$ with a tem perature dependent dynam ic exponent $z=2\left[1+1: 78\left(1 \quad T=T_{s r}\right)\right]:$

W hile the linear response $m$ obility vanishes on large length scales, its scaledependence leads to a non-linear response. For a sm all applied force $F$ the average velocity of the surface $v$ behaves as $v F^{1+}$. To rst order = 1:78 (1 $\left.\quad \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{sr}}\right)$. At the transition, $\mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{F}=\left(1+\mathrm{C} j \ln (\mathrm{~F}) \mathrm{j}^{1: 78}\right.$ and the crossover to the behavior to $T<T_{s r}$ is analyzed. These results also apply to tw o-dim ensional vortex glasses w ith a parallelm agnetic eld.

M uch progress hasbeen achieved recently in the understanding ofsurface grow th in processes of deposition, sedim entation, epitaxial grow th, solidi cation, etc [ [ $\{3]$. A few years earlier the static and the dynam ics properties of roughening of crystalline surfaces were elucidated [8, [5]. Recent investigations have concentrated on the connections betw een surface roughening due to therm al uctuations on one hand and that due to the kinetic grow th itself, on the other hand. The underlying discrete structure of the particles (or the lattioe) may lead to a kinetic phase transition between sm ooth and rough phases or betw een tw o rough phases w ith distinct scaling properties []]. Since the underlying discrete structure is relevant at low tem perature (or a low noise regim e in the deposition) one cannot escape the question on how disorder in the substrate $m$ ight $m$ odify the surface properties.

T he e ect of the substrate disorder on the dynam ics of the grow ing surface is the sub ject of our analysis [⿴囗. W e address this issue using the dynam ic renom alization group (RG) applied to the stochastic grow th equations using the $M$ artin, Sigga, and R ose (MSR) form alism 7]. In the present paper we address the dynam ics near-equilibrium . This regim e is characterized by a very slow rate of deposition such that the system is very close to therm odynam ic equilibrium . In this regin e only slight m odi cations from equilibrium are considered. In particular the uctuation-dissipation theorem (FD T) 8, 9] and the Einstein relation (between the m obility, the di usion constant and the tem perature) both hold.

Far-from equilibrium, the grow th equation does not obey the FDT.The symm etry under $h!h(h$ is the height of the surface) and tim e reversalt! tare broken. Them ost relevant additionalterm, as show n by K ardar, P arisi, and Zhang (K P Z) [10] is due to the lateralgrow th
of the oblique surface. T he behavior far-from equilibrium will be the sub ject of a follow ing paper.

In general, the scaling properties of the grow ing surface are $m$ anifested in the height-height correlation function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(L ;)=\left\langle\left(h(x+L ; t+\quad h(x ; t))^{2}\right\rangle\right. \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or the corresponding surface w idth:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(L ;)=[C(L ;)]^{1}=2 ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which obeys an asym ptotic behavior of the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{~L} ;)=\mathrm{L} \mathrm{f}\left(=\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{z}}\right) \text { : } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this expression is the roughness exponent whid characterizes the extent of the roughness of the surface and $z$ is the dynam ic exponent. $f(x)$ is a scaling function whid approaches a constant for large x . For sm all $\mathrm{x}\left(\ll \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{z}}\right)$ : $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{x}$ where $=\mathrm{z}$. At early stage of the grow th the surface roughness increases as $W$ ( ) while for $\gg \mathrm{L}^{2}, \mathrm{~W}$ depends only on L and behaves as L .

In the absence of any disorder in the substrate the near-equilibrium behavior was analyzed extensively in the context of surface roughening. The originalw ork was due to $C$ huiand $W$ eeks (CW ) [4] and this system was further analyzed by $N$ ozieres and $G$ allet (NG) [5]. Their most im portant ndings were as follow s:

In the high tem perature rough phase

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.C(L ;) \quad \ln \llbracket f\left(=\mathbb{L}^{Z}\right)\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds to $=0,=0$ and $z=2$. The scaling form of the correlation function in Eq. (3) can not apply to that of Eq. (4), since both and vanish but comewith a nite ratio.

In this phase the eect of the discreteness (or the lattige) is not relevant. This behavior is equivalent to that of a free-surface in which the surface tension is the only interaction determ ining its properties.

In this regim e the $m$ acroscopic $m$ obility de ned as the ratio between the average velocity $\mathrm{v}=\left\langle\frac{\mathrm{dh}}{\mathrm{dt}}\right\rangle$ and the "foroe" F driving the surface, is nite.

In the sm ooth phase C ( $L$ ) is independent of $L$, and the $m$ obility vanishes. Them obility has a nite jump from a nite value to zero at the roughening tem perature. The grow th process at low tem peratures is by nucleation of higher "islands" on top of the sm ooth surface. This "activated" grow th has drastically di erent dynam ic properties which are determ ined by the di usion of the deposited particles on the surface and their attachm ent to the "islands" .

W hile we study here the surface properties in deposition of cubic (or tetragonal) rigid particles, our study applies as well to the grow th of crystalline surfaces if the rigidity of the solid is large enough. O ur theory will apply if the surface height is sm aller than the scale on which the random deviations in the substrate cease to a ect the positions, along the grow th direction, of the lattioe ions. This scale will be larger the stronger is the Young's m odulus $w$ hich $m$ easures the longitudinal rigidity.

It tums out that the sam e stochastic equation ofm otion also describes the behavior of other random two dim ensional system s . The m ost im portant case is a system of vortex lines in a superconducting $m$ w th the applied $m$ agnetic eld parallelto the $l m$. (Charge density waves at nite tem perature is another such a system ). Therefore the conclusions of our investigations
also apply to 2D vortex-glasses 11 [14]. W e shall com e back to these im plications in the last section.

The outline of this paper is as follow s: In the section II we present the stochastic equation of grow th and the related M SR generating functional. In section III the RG scheme is outlined and the recursion relations are derived. Section IV is devoted to the discussion of the results and their physical im plications. The nalsection $V$ is dedicated to a sum $m$ ary of the im portant conclusions. In the appendioes we provide m ore details of the RG calculations. A short letter announcing the $m$ ost im portant results was published elsew here [G].
II. THEEQUATION OFMOTIONAND THEASSOCIATED GENERATING FUNCTIONAL

The prototypicalparadigm for the sim plest deposition process is the $E$ dw ards and $W$ ikinson (EW ) m odel [15] for the sedim entation of granular particles. The continuum lim it form of their equation ofm otion for the height $h(x ; t)$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim^{1} \frac{@}{@ t} h(x ; t)=r^{2} h(x ; t)+\sim(x ; t)+F^{r} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

~ is the m icroscopic "m obility" of the upper surface, is the " di usion constant" for the particles on the surface, $F^{r}$ is proportional to the averaged deposition rate which is very sm all (large deposition rate will be discussed in a second paper), and $\sim(x ; t)$ is the local uctuation from the averaged deposition rate, which obeys:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.<\sim(x ; t)^{\sim}\left(x^{0} ; t^{0}\right)\right\rangle=2 D^{2}\left(x \quad x^{0}\right) \quad\left(t^{0} \quad t\right): \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e can de ne the e ective " tem perature" of this system by the $E$ instein relation: $T=\mathbb{D} \sim$.

If the discrete nature of the particles is taken into account the height $h$ of every colum $n$ of particlesm ust be an integerm ultiple of the vertical size of the particle b. This discrete constraint leads to a periodic -function potential on h . This periodic potential m ay be expanded in Fourier series of which only the basic harm onic is relevant. On a at substrate there will be an additional term of the form $\frac{y}{a^{2}} \sin (h(x ; t))$ on the rh.s. of Eq. ${ }^{(1)}\left(=\frac{2}{b}\right.$ and $\frac{y}{a^{2}}$ is the am plinude of the periodic potential).

In the presence of a random substrate the $m$ inim a of the potential $w i l l$ be random y , and independently, shifted for each colum $n$. H ence the equation ofm otion becom es:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sim^{1} \frac{@}{@ t} h(x ; t)=r^{2} h(x ; t) \quad \frac{Y}{a^{2}} \sin [\llbracket h(x ; t)+d(x)]\right]+\sim^{\sim}(x ; t)+F^{\sim} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$d(x)$ is the local deviation of the disordered substrate as depicted in $F$ ig. 1. The associated "phase" $(x)=\frac{2 d(x)}{b}$ is uniform ly distributed betw een 0 and 2 , and is uncorrelated for di erent location x on the substrate.

Then the equation of the grow th process becom es:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim^{1} \frac{@ h(x ; t)}{@ t}=F^{r}+\left(r^{2} h(x ; t)\right)+\frac{y}{a^{2}} \sin [h(x ; t)+(x)]+\sim(x ; t) ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is the lattice constant in the horizontal plane.
To investigate this stochastic equation system ically, one can utilize the M SR M artin, Sigga, and Rose) form alism 7] by introducing an auxiliary eld $\check{\mathrm{h}}$ to force Eq. 8) through a functional integral representation of a -function. The generating functional for Eq. (\$q) takes the form as (after averaging over $(x ; t))$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Z \quad[\mathcal{J} ; J]={ }^{Z} D \check{K} D h \operatorname{expfS} S_{0} \llbracket 斤 ; h\right]+S_{I}[\llbracket ; h]+^{z} d^{2} x d t(J \widetilde{n}+J h) g ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\left.S_{0} \llbracket \check{n} ; h\right]={ }^{Z} d^{2} x d t \mathbb{D}^{\sim} \sim^{2} \overleftarrow{h}^{2} \tilde{h}\left(\frac{@}{@ t} h \sim r^{2} h\right)\right] ;  \tag{10}\\
S_{I}={ }^{Z} d^{2} x d t\left[\frac{\sim}{a^{2}} \hbar \sin (h(x ; t)+(x))\right]: \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

The generating functional $\mathrm{Z}[\mathrm{J} ; \mathrm{J}]$ can be directly averaged over the quenched disordered $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x})$ because $Z[J=J=0]=1$ 20]. One may calculate any averaged correlation and response function by di erentiating the generating functionalw ith respect to the current $J$ or auxiliary current $\mathcal{J}$ and setting $J=J=0$.

A fter averaging over the disorder the e ective generating functional reads:
where $g=Y^{2}$. If we choose $D^{\sim}=T \sim^{1}$, the system $w$ ill evolve into the con gurations weighted by a Boltzm ann factor $e^{\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{T}}$, which obeys the uctuation dissipation theorem (FDT) [8]. To sim plify the calculation, we rede ne those physical param eters as: $\sim=; \mathrm{D}^{2}=\mathrm{D} ; \boldsymbol{g}=$ $\mathrm{g}^{2} ; \sim={ }^{2}, \mathrm{~F}=\underline{\mathrm{F}}$. Then the equation ofm otion becom es:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1 \varrho h(x ; t)}{@ t}=F+\left(r^{2} h(x ; t)\right)+\frac{y}{a^{2}} \sin (h(x ; t)+(x))+(x ; t): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hereh $\left(x_{1} ; t_{1}\right) \quad\left(x_{2} ; t_{2}\right) i=2 D{ }^{(2)}\left(x_{1} \quad x_{2}\right) \quad\left(t_{1} \quad t_{2}\right): T$ he resulting generating functional for the present case reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[\mathcal{J} ; J]={ }^{Z} D^{\sim} D \operatorname{expfS}{ }_{0}[\sim ;]+S_{I}[\sim]+{ }^{Z} d^{2} x d t(J \sim \sim J) g ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{0}\left[\sim ; \quad=^{z} d^{2} x d t \mathbb{D}^{2 \sim 2} \sim\left(\frac{@}{@ t} \quad r^{2}\right)\right] ;  \tag{15}\\
S_{I}={ }^{z} d^{2} x d t\left[\frac{Y}{a^{2}} \sim \sin (\quad(x ; t)+(x)] ;\right. \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $h(x ; t)=(x ; t)$ and $\check{\mathrm{h}}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t}) \quad \sim(x ; t)$. In the sam e way, we arrive at the averaged e ective generating functional:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.h Z[J ; J] i_{\text {disorder }}=D^{Z} \sim \operatorname{expf}^{Z} d^{2} x d t D \quad 2 \sim 2 \sim\left(\frac{@}{\varrho t} \quad r^{2}\right)\right]+ \\
& {\frac{2}{2{ }^{2}} g^{2}}^{2}{ }^{Z} d^{2} x d t d t^{0 \sim}(x ; t)^{\sim}\left(x ; t^{0}\right) \cos \left(\left((x ; t) \quad\left(x ; t^{0}\right)\right) g:\right. \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

In the generating functionalin Eq. (17), the term which contains the cos[ ( $\left.(x ; t)\left(x ; t^{0}\right)\right]$ is non-local in tim e and will be responsible for the creation of non-trivial Edwards-A nderson correlations.

In the renorm alization process it tums out that this term generates a new "quadratic" term, also non-local in tim e, of the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} 2^{z} d x d t d t^{0} r \sim(x ; t) r \sim\left(x ; t^{0}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e therefore add this term to the generating functionaland will follow the ow of as well (as show $n$ below it willplay a crucial role in altering the long-range height-height correlations.)

H ere we treat the the last term in Eq. (17) as a perturbation to the free action, and expand the theory in orders of $g$ and $\left(=\frac{{ }^{2} D}{4} \quad 1\right)$. The RG schem e will be discussed in the next section and details are given in the appendioes.
III.THERENORMALIZATION SCHEMEAND THERECURSION RELATIONS

The renom alization group schem e we follow is based on the sine-G ordon eld theory developed by Am it et al [1]. The extension to the dynam ics was perform ed by G oldschm idt and Schaub (G S) [17]. Since they presented $m$ any details of their calculations, we shall not repeat them here. R ather we only outline the approach and provide appendioes w ith detailed explanations which com plem ent these given by G S .

T he follow ing renom alization constants are de ned through the relations betw een the bare and the renorm alized couplings:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D}_{0}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{D} ; \quad \mathrm{g}_{0}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~g} ; \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0_{0}=\left(Z \sim Z^{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}: \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he subscript $R$ labels the renom alized eld variable, and 0 the bare variable or the coupling constant. The term sw ithout subscripts are renorm alized constants. For convenience, we de ne $Z_{\sim}=[Z \sim]^{2}$.

The renorm alization of depends on $Z$ and $Z$, and no additional $Z$ factor for renorm alization is required. This is due to the FD T which im plies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t) \frac{d}{d t} h(x ; t) \quad(0 ; 0) i=h(x ; t)^{\sim}(0 ; 0) i: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here (t) equals to 1 as $t>0$, and 0 ast<0. Eq. (21) is obtained by substituting Eq. (20) into Eq. (22). As we will show later, D will not su er any renorm alization. Therefore, it is
not necessary to calculate $Z_{D}$ in the ham onic $m$ odel, whid obeys the uctuation dissipation theorem (FDT).

A s outlined by GS the m odel has a very im portant symmetry $(x ; t)!(x ; t)+f(x)$, where $f(x)$ is an arbitrary spatial function constant in time. As a result $(x ; t)$ cannot be renorm alized and $Z=1$ 17] to all orders in $g$.

We also $m$ ention that the lattice e ects and the quenched disorder in the substrate violate the $G$ alilean sym $m$ etry, which provides another $W$ ard identity $z+=2$ 10] for the system w ithout these e ects.

The calculations of the $Z$ factors are exem pli ed in the appendioes in which the explicit calculations of som e of them are given.

## Recursion Relations:

O nce the Z -factors are know n to the leading order in g , the recursion relations are obtained via the so-called -functions 18, 20]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
=\left(\frac{@}{@}\right)_{b}=\left(\frac{@ \ln Z_{\sim}}{@}\right)_{b}=\left(\frac{g^{2}{ }^{p} \bar{C}}{D}\right) ;  \tag{23}\\
D=\left(\frac{@ D}{@}\right)_{b}=D\left(\frac{@ \ln Z_{D}}{@}\right)_{b}=\left(\frac{{ }^{2} g^{p} \bar{C}}{D}\right) D ;  \tag{24}\\
g=\left(\frac{@ g}{@}\right)_{b}=g\left(\frac{@ \ln Z_{g}}{@}\right)_{b}=2 g+\frac{2 g^{2}}{(D)^{2}} ;  \tag{25}\\
=  \tag{26}\\
\left(\frac{@}{@}\right)_{b}=\frac{2}{4(D)^{2}} g^{2} ;
\end{gather*}
$$

where subscript b $m$ eans that allbare param eters are xed when one perform $s$ the di erentiations 10 [20] and is a $m$ ass scale. The renorm alization of the couplings $m$ ay also be related to the sam e functions. Their ow under a scale change by a factor $b=\exp (1)$ is given by $m$ inus
the related function, in addition to the naive dependence which originates in the rescaling of $x!b x k!b^{1} k$, and $t!b^{2} t$. The recursion relations so obtained are as follow s:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d l}=0 ;  \tag{27}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{d}^{\sim}}{\mathrm{dl}}=\frac{{ }^{2}}{4(\sim \sim)} \mathrm{g}^{2} \text {; }  \tag{28}\\
& \frac{d D^{\sim}}{d l}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\quad z+\frac{g^{2}{ }^{p} \bar{C}}{D^{\sim} \sim} \mathbb{D} ; ~\right.}
\end{array}\right.  \tag{29}\\
& \frac{d \sim}{d l}=\quad\left[\quad z \quad\left[\frac{g^{2} \overline{\mathrm{C}}}{\mathrm{D} \sim}\right] \sim ;\right.  \tag{30}\\
& \frac{d g}{d l}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \quad \frac{{ }^{2} \sim}{2} \sim \\
\end{array} \lg \frac{2}{\left(\widetilde{\left.D^{\sim}\right)^{2}}\right.} g^{2}:\right. \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

In the next section we discusse the asym ptotic scaling behaviors im plied by these ow equations.
IV . D ISC U SSIO N :

Recalling that the tem perature of the system is $T=D \sim$, we nd that $\frac{\mathrm{aT}}{\mathrm{Q} 1}=0$. Hence the tem perature is not renorm alized. The behavior of the system is govemed by the renom alization of the coupling $g$. The ow of $g$ depends crucially on the tem perature. Let us de ne $T_{s r}=\underline{b^{2}}$. The recursion relation for 9 takes the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ g}{@ l}=2\left(1 \quad \frac{T}{T_{s r}}\right) g \quad \frac{2}{T^{2}} g^{2}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore for $T>T_{s r}$ g ow to zero, while for $T<T_{s r}$ g ows to a xed point of order
$=1 \quad \frac{T}{T_{s r}}$ with a continuous line of xed-points [See Fig. 2].
W e now analyze the dynam ics in each phase separately.

$$
\text { A. The high tem perature phases: } \mathrm{T}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{sr}}
$$

Since 9 ! 0 in this phase the equilibrium properties are the sam e as in the high tem perature rough phase if a surface on a sm ooth substrate: $C(L) \quad I \ln (L)$.
$W$ ay above $T_{s r}$ the mobility of the surface is nite. $H$ ow ever, as $T_{s r}$ is approached the mobility becom es $s m$ aller and eventually vanishes at $T=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{sr}}$.

Integrating the recursion-relation we nd that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim \quad \sim_{0}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{sr}}} \quad 1\right)^{2^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{c}}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $2^{P} \bar{c}=1: 78$.

The dynam ic exponent rem ains $z=2$ throughout this phase, although the asym ptotic scaling behavior is reached only on scales $L>L_{g}$ where $L_{g} \quad g_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the scale on which $g$ decays to zero. T he scale $L_{g}$ diverges as $T \quad!T_{s r} \operatorname{since} \ln \left(L_{g}\right) \quad\left(\frac{T}{T_{s r}} \quad 1\right)^{1}$.

A s long as one sits at a tem perature $T>\mathrm{T}_{\text {sr }}$, the decay ofg under the ow w ill not alter the asym ptotic scaling behavior for $L>\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{g}}$, except that the am plitude in the correlation function depends on the bare value of $g$.

In the high tem perature phase, the ow of $\sim(1)$ can be calculated in term $s$ of $g(l)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(1)=\quad \text { (0)e }{ }_{0}^{R_{1}} g\left(l^{0}\right) d l^{0} ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\frac{{ }_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{D}^{\sim} \sim}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(1)=\frac{g(0) e^{l}}{g(0)+g^{l}(0) e^{l}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

W th $=\frac{2}{\left(\mathbb{D}^{\sim} \sim\right)^{2}}$.
N ow we can obtain the $m$ acroscopic $m$ obility, $\sim \sim(1!1)$, in the linear response regim e (F ! 0) for the high tem perature phase.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(l=1)=\sim(l=0)\left(\frac{j j}{g(0)+j)}=j j=;\right. \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $-=2^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{C}} \quad$ 1:78.
As T ! $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{sr}}^{+}$, m vanishes continuously as dem onstrated above. In the low tem perature phase of (l) ow sto a nite value. Its xed-point location changes $w$ th the tem perature. $M$ ore explicitly, the set of xed points of di erent tem peratures formsa xed line in the plane of 9 and $T$, in which $g(T) \quad T_{s r} T$, to rst order. In this phase the scaling equilibrium properties and the dynam ics, as well as the transport properties, are drastically modi ed. M ost of the forthoom ing discussions are devoted to this new, super-rough phase.
B. The Low Tem perature ( $\mathrm{T}<\mathrm{T}_{\text {sr }}$ ) Super-rough Phase

The regim e oftem peratures below the transition provides the ost exciting new physics. The theoretical predictions are: (i) The correlations will change from C ( L ) $\ln \mathrm{L}$ to C ( L ) $(\ln L)^{2}$. Hence the surface is even rougher than that in the rough phase at $T>T_{s r}$. This behavior was dubbed by Toner and D ivincenzo as super rough. 21]. They found it rst in surface of crystals $w$ th bulk disorder. (ii) $T$ he dynam ic critical exponent $z$ now displays a tem perature dependence. It increases continuously from its value above $T_{s r} z=2$, and $z \quad 2$ is to rst order linear in $T_{s r} T$. (iii) $T$ he linear response $m$ acroscopic $m$ obility vanishes. $T$ he response becom es non linear [5] , at least close to the transition, such that the average velocity v scales w th the extemal force $F^{N}$ as $v F^{N+1}$, where is also a tem perature dependent exponent (related by scaling to the dynam ic exponent $z$ ).

In the follow ing we concentrate on each of these physicalm anifestations separately:

1. Super-rough Equilibrium C orrelations

For $T_{s r}>T, g$ approaches a line of xed points $g=\left(T_{s r} T\right)=$ (see Fig. 2). Aswas shown by Toner and D iV incenzo 21], the correlation function of the $2-$ d surface acquires a second logarithm ic factor. Since they used the static replica form alism for a modelw ith bulk disorder, we explain here how this behavior is obtained within the dynam ics form ualtion, for the case of disorder in the substrate.

The Fourier transform ation of $C(L ; 0) 2$-point vertex function $0 ; 2(q ; t=0)$ is de ned as before:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 ; 2(q ; t=0)=\ll h(q) h(q) \gg_{\text {disorder }}: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

For system swith vanishing $g, 0 ; 2(q)=\frac{1}{q^{2}}$. O nce the term $\quad$ RRR $d x d t d t^{0} r \tilde{h}(x ; t) r \tilde{h}\left(x ; t^{0}\right)$ has to be accounted for, the height-height correlation function are obtained from the quadratic part of the H am iltonian which contains this term. O ne obtains the equal tim e dynam ic correlation, (nam ely the static correlation function):

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 ; 2(q ; t=0)=\frac{1}{q^{2}}+\frac{q^{2}}{\left(q_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}}: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst term is the equal tim ecorrelation originating from the time dependent part of the correlation. The second term arise from the so called tim e-persistent part, which will be explained in A ppendix A. This can be viewed as an EA type order param eter as mentioned in the previous section.

The second term is proportional to $\frac{1}{\mathrm{q}^{2}}$ as the rst. However, because (l) increases w ith l (while rem ains unchanged with l), it carries another scale dependence. The height-height correlation fiunction, under as scale transform ation by the factor $b=e^{1}$, changes as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{q})\rangle=0 ; 2(\mathrm{q} ; \quad \text {; }(0) ; \mathrm{g}(0))=\mathrm{e}^{21} 0 ; 2\left[\mathrm{e}^{1} \mathrm{q} ; \quad ; \quad ; \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{I})\right]: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst term in Eq. 39) com es from the naive dim ension of $<h(q)(q)>$. U sing Eq. (38) w ith the renorm alized values one obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
<h(q)(q)>=e^{21} \frac{1}{e^{21} q^{2}}\left[1+\frac{(1)}{}\right]=\frac{1}{q^{2}}[1+\underline{(1)}]: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

A part from a nite part, (l) increases as $(g)^{2} l$. By choosing $l$ such that $e^{1} q==a^{1}$, (where , the $m$ om entum cuto, can be chosen as the inverse lattioe spacing), the vertex function, $0 ; 2(q ; t=0)$, is found as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 ; 2(q ; t=0)=\frac{1}{q^{2}}\left[A \quad B \frac{(g)^{2}}{} \ln (q a)\right] \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=1 ; B=\frac{2}{4^{2}}$. C onsequently the static correlation function is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(L)=A^{0} \ln \left(\frac{L}{a}\right)+B^{0} \ln ^{2}\left(\frac{L}{a}\right): \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ence, the behavior found by $T$ oner and $D$ iV incenzo 21] for the bulk disorder is also reproduced in the system under consideration, where only the substrate is disordered. Experim entally, it $m$ ight be di cult to distinguish $\ln \left(\frac{L}{a}\right)$ from $\ln ^{2}\left(\frac{L}{a}\right)$. H ow ever, the dynam icalbehavior in both phases are apparently di erent and their di erence $m$ ay be detected by the experim ental observations.

The behavior found above persists in the regim e $\mathrm{L} \ll \quad$ 1=z .

For $L \gg{ }^{1=z}$ sim ple scaling implies a dependence of $(\ln )^{2}$ on . The derivation of the interm ediate behavior of C ( L ; ) is beyond the scope of this paper (进 requires the know ledge of $0 ; 2$ (q; !). H ow ever, sim ple physical considerations hint very strongly that its behavior is of the form $C(L ;) \quad f \ln L f\left[=L^{z}\right] g^{2}$.
2. T he D ynam ic Exponent

To calculate the value of the dynam ic exponent $z$ in the low tem perature phase one should look at the recursion relations ofD $\check{ }$ and $\sim$. To locate the xed point one can require that both of $\frac{d \Gamma}{d l}$ and $\frac{d \sim}{d l}$ to be equalto zero. Then $z$ is obtained as $z=2+4^{p} \bar{c} j$ j where the xed point value of $g, g=-$, was inserted. As far as we know, this is the rst exam ple in which the present dynam ic exponent $z$ varies w ith tem perature continuously (besides the random anisotropy XY m odel, which is described by the sam e theory studied by G B ). T he physical im plications of the increasing $z$ can be understood from the fact that the relaxation tim e to reach the equilibrium state is longer as the tem perature is lowered below $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{sr}}$. This is to be expected in the phase where the disorder is relevant, and the surface tums super-rough as explained in the previous section. Since the surface stretches itself to nd the con gurations w th the low er free energy, it w ill leave these locations slow ly. The slow er dynam ics im plies also a graduated increase in the averaged free energy F (L) barriers, associated with a scale $L$, due to the disorder.

## 3. The $N$ on-Linear Response

In the last section, addressing the high tem perature rough phase, we have found that the linear response $m$ obility vanishes as $\left(T \quad T_{s r}\right)^{1: 78}$, when $T$ is reduced to $T_{s r}$. Below $T_{s r}$ the linear response m obility $\sim_{m}$ vanishes.

A s we show in the follow ing the response becom es non-linear. A gain the physical origin of this behavior takes roots in the preferred con gurations of the surface which are localm in im a of its free energy. A pplying a sm all force $F$ will not $m$ ove the surface in a uniform velocity. A ctually a som ew hat sim ilar situation occurs in the sm ooth phase of a surface grow ing upon a at substrate, where the $m$ obility jum $p$ from a nite value to zero at the roughening tem perature.

If the pinning is even stronger the surface grow s by activation of higher islands. If the substrate is disordered, the preferred and inhom ogeneous locations of the surface are enough
to slow the $m$ otion and then to cause the linear response mobility to vanish. H ow ever, it still allow s for a uniform $m$ otion $w$ ith average velocity which vanishes as $\mathrm{F}^{1+}(>0)$ when $\mathrm{F}^{r}$ ! 0 . Hence, the velocily vanishes faster than $\mathrm{F}^{r}$. T he force $\mathrm{F}^{r}$ is a relevant eld which increases as $\mathrm{F}^{\sim}(\mathrm{L}) \quad \mathrm{F}_{0} \mathrm{~L}^{2} \mathrm{w}$ th the length scale. Even for $\operatorname{sm}$ all $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ there is a scale $L_{L} \quad \mathrm{FF}_{0}{ }^{1=2}$ for which the scaled forme is of order 1. N am ely it is not a negligible quantity. On the scale, $\mathrm{L}>\mathrm{L}$ the behavior is not "critical". T he large force m oves the surface $w$ ith a uniform velocity. T he ration betw een the force and the velocity is determ ined by the mobility at the boundary L betw een the "critical" ( $L<L$ ) and the "non-critical" ( $L>L$ ) regim es. W ithin the scaling picture $L$ can serve as a "cuto length ". Hence it is the mobility ( L ) for a piece of the interface w ith linear extent $L$ that is determ ining the mobility of the whole surface on scales $L>L$. N ote that $\sim(L)$ is not exactly $\sim(L)$ but the ratio betw een them is nite since no "criticalscaling " holds for $L>L$. The scaling of $\sim(L)$ for $L<L m$ ay be derived from its de nition as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ h}{@ t}=\mathrm{F}: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

U nder rescaling $L!~ L=b$ we already know that $t!~ t=b^{2}$ and $F!F b^{2}$ while h does not su er any renom alization. To make both sides scale sim ilarly we m ust have ! $\mathrm{b}^{2} \mathrm{z}$ and de ning $\quad 0_{0} b^{2}$ we obtain:

$$
=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & 2 \tag{44}
\end{array}\right)=2=2^{p} \bar{c}=1: 78 j j
$$

That implies that ( $L$ ) on a scale $L<L$ is $m$ ade $s m$ aller by $\left(\frac{L}{a}\right)^{2} w$ ith respect to its bare value. In particular we nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L)=0\left(\frac{L}{a}\right)^{2}={ }_{0} F_{0}=0 F_{0}^{z=21} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used relation betw een $L$ and $F_{0}$.

So we have identi ed the dependence of $m$ on $F_{0}$ from which we obtain the averaged velocity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v} \quad{ }_{0} \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{~F}_{0}={ }_{0} \mathrm{~F}_{0}^{1+}={ }_{0} \mathrm{~F}_{0}^{\mathrm{z}=2}: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus as tem perature is low ered the velocity (for the sam e tiny force $F_{0}$ ) becom es sm aller. How far below $\mathrm{T}_{\text {sr }}$ these relations hold? The scaling picture is based on a local equation of $m$ otion of $h$. Therefore, it im plicitly assum es the existence of single solution for the equation of $m$ otion in the lim it of vanishing uniform force. Just below $T_{s r}$ this is a valid assum ption since even ifm ore than one $m$ inim a exists the scale associated $w$ ith the di erenœ betw een the m inim izing con gurations (which diverges as $\mathrm{T}!\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{sr}}$ ) is larger than the scale we discussed here. At lower tem perature this $m$ ay no longer hold. The existence of $m u l t i p l e ~ m$ in'm a $m$ ight be felt on the relevant scale (i.e. L ). In the regim e where $m$ any $m$ inim a are relevant the dynam ics will be activated. In other words, the slower processes are going to be related to the height of the barriers between these m inim a. Som e works 22,13] have been devoted to estim ating these barriers and draw ing the conclusions based on " activated dynam ics". It is not clear, how ever, how reliable these heuristic estim ates are (e.g. the barriers are identi ed w ith the uctuation in the $m$ inim a of the free energy.) Unbounded barriers between con guration unrelated by sym m etry will also lead to broken ergodity which can be re ected in "replica sym $m$ etry breaking" . Such a possibility was found recently within a variational approach 23]].

$$
\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{~T} \text { at and just below } \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{sr}}
$$

The discussion we presented so far for $T<T_{s r}$ applies on length scales for which $g$ is already close to its xed-point value $g$. If the tem perature is very close to $T_{s r}$ this scales becam e very
large and it will.be necessary to account for the crossover regim e. The recursion relations can be integrated as before and yield for the scale dependent $m$ obility:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(\mathbb{1})=\sim(0) f 1+\frac{4}{T^{2}} g(0) \frac{1}{2 j} j\left[\left(\frac{L}{a}\right)^{2 j j} \quad 1 \lg ^{2^{p} \bar{c}}:\right. \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

$>$ From this expression we see that if $\left(\frac{L}{a}\right)^{2 j} j \gg 1$ the results of the previous section apply. If the force $F^{N}$ is not $m$ allenough for the associated scale $L$ to satisfy this relation the condition between the velocity and the applied force changes to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{F} \quad f 1+\frac{4 \mathrm{~g}(0)}{\mathrm{T}^{2}} \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{j} j} \quad 1\right) \mathrm{g}^{1: 78} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

At $T=T_{s r}(=0)$ this expression yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{v}{F}=\left(1+\frac{2 g(0)}{T^{2}} \ln (F)\right)^{1: 78} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ am ely $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{F}$ vanishes as F ! 0 due to logarithm ic corrections. They originate from the e ect ofg which ism arginally irrelevant and decays to zero so slow ty that it still causes v=F to vanish.
V.SUM M ARY

To sum $m$ arize the $m$ ain conclusions of our investigation on the ect of disorder in the substrate on the surface dynam ics: T here exists a phase transition betw een a high tem perature, rough, phase, and a low tem perature, super-rough, phase.

In the rough phase correlations and response functions have the sam e scaling properties as in the pure case. The disorder and the periodic potential are irrelevant in this phase. As the transition tem perature $T_{s r}$ is approached from above the $m$ acroscopic $m$ obility vanishes continuously as ( $\left.\mathrm{T} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{sr}}\right)^{1: 78}$ (contrary to the at substrate in which it has a nite jump).

The properties of the low tem perature phase are unique. The height-height correlations are
 to rst order in (1 $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{sr}}$ ). Nam ely the dynam ic exponent increase continuously from its G aussian value of 2 as the tem perature is low ered below the transition.

The linear m obility has a scale dependence which causes it to vanish on large scale. W e have show $n$ that this scale dependence results in a non-linear relation betw een the applied foroe and the average velocity: $\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{F}^{1+}$, where $=1: 78\left(1 \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{sr}}}\right)$ is also a tem perature-dependent exponent.

A llthese results also apply to the 2D vortex-glass system in a 1 m oftype-II superconductors. W e discussed these im plication elsew here [14]. The $m$ ost im portant one is the non-linear relation between the voltage $V$ and the current I: V $\mathrm{I}^{1+}$ w ith given above.

O ur RG calculations give the sam e static behavior obtained by the replica approach presenting the unbroken sym $m$ etry between replica.

O ther w orks have show $n$ the sym $m$ etry to be broken $w$ ithin a non-perturbative variational gaussian approach which is equivalent to the $N$ ! 1 lim it. It is an unsettled $m$ atter whether the sym $m$ etry is indeed broken for $N=1$.

Prelim inary num erical results for a 2D vortex-glass 27] and a random grow th model 28] show a transition in the dynam ic properties at a tem perature within $10 \%$ of the analytic RG result. Looking at the static correlations below $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{sr}}$, however, the possibility of the replica sym $m$ etry breaking $m$ ay not be excluded. H ow ever, It is too early to draw $m$ conclusions from those prelim inary results. It is to be expected, therefore, that $m$ ore analytical and num erical works would be necessary to reconcile the di erent results and to reach a com plete understanding of this exciting and challenging problem.
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APPENDIXA: RESPONSEAND CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

T w o kinds ofpropagators, the response function and the correlation function, arise from the free (G aussian) portion in the e ective action in Eq. 117) (consisting of a quadratic form in the eld and the auxiliary eld ${ }^{\sim}$ ). O ne can calculate the free response and correlation functions directly from the free part of the action $S_{0}$ in Eq. (15). In the $m$ om entum and frequency representation, they are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& h(q ;!)^{\sim}(q ; \quad!) i=\frac{1}{\left(q^{2}+m^{2}\right)+i!} ;  \tag{A1}\\
& h(q ;!)(q ; \quad!) i=\frac{2 D{ }^{2}}{\left[\left(q^{2}+m^{2}\right)\right]^{2}+!^{2}} ; \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $m$ is the $m$ ass of the eld . W e have introduced the $m$ ass $m$ to regularize the infrared divergences which appear in the upcom ing loop calculations.In the $m$ om entum and tim e representation, they are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(q ; t)^{\sim}\left(q ; t^{0}\right) i=\left(t \quad t^{0}\right) e^{\left(q^{2}+m^{2}\right)\left(t t^{0}\right)} ; \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(q ; t) \quad\left(q ; t^{0}\right) i=\frac{D}{q^{2}+m^{2}} e^{\left(q^{2}+m^{2}\right) t t^{0} j}: \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this $m$ anner，both the free response and free correlation functions possess a $m$ ass depen－ dence in their denom inators as one can see from Eqs．A1）\｛Eqs．A 4）．

The short distance cut－o is introduced for regularizing the ultraviolet divergence in 2 dim ensions．The regularized $C_{0}$ and $R_{0}$ are：

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{0}(x ; t)=\frac{z}{(2)^{2}} e^{i q g}\left(q^{2}+m^{2}\right) \frac{D}{q^{2}+m^{2} j_{1}^{2}=x^{2}+a^{2}}  \tag{A5}\\
R_{0}(x ; t)=\frac{(t)}{4 \quad t} e^{\frac{x^{2}+a^{2}}{4 t} m^{2} t} \tag{A6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Furtherm ore，the follow ing equations are very valuable to extract the asym ptotic behavior of free propagators 17］：

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{0}(x ; t) \quad \frac{1}{4} \ln \left(m_{0}^{2} \text { otv }\right) \quad \frac{1}{4} C \quad \frac{1}{4} \frac{x^{2}+a^{2}}{4 \text { Jj }} ; \quad \text { as } m^{2}\left(x^{2}+a^{2}\right) \ll m_{0}^{2} \text { よj } \ll 1  \tag{A7}\\
& C_{0}(x=0 ; t)=\frac{1}{4} \ln \left(2^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{am}_{0}^{2} \text { よJ }\right)+\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\mathrm{a}^{2}}{0 \text { よj }}\right) ; \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{0}(x ; t)=D \quad C_{0}(x ; t)$ ，and $C$ is the Euler＇s constant $0: 5772$ ．In the lim it $m_{0}^{2}$ 大j＜＜ $m^{2}\left(x^{2}+a^{2}\right) \ll 1$ ，the equal tim e correlation behaves as：

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}(x ; t=0)=\frac{1}{4} \ln \left(\mathrm{~m}_{0}^{2}\left(x^{2}+a^{2}\right)\right)+O\left(x^{2}\right) ; \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The zero order uctuation－dissipation theorem（FDT）relates the response finction to the correlation function as follow s：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{{ }^{2} D}(t) \frac{d}{d t} C_{0}(x ; t)=R_{0}(x ; t): \tag{A10}
\end{equation*}
$$

1 B asic diagram s

In Fig. 3 the wavy line represents the auxiliary eld ${ }^{\sim}$; the straight line represents the eld . The dash line is set to separate tw o di erent tim e coordinates. The dot points represent the abbreviation of the other lines.

## 2. Free propagators:

C orrelation function $C_{0}(x ; t)$ and response function $R_{0}(x ; t)$ are show $n$ in $F i g .4$.

In general, the equal tim e correlation function, $C(x ; t=0)=<(x ; t)(0 ; t)>$, is identical to the static correlation function $<(x)(0)>$ averaged by the B oltzm ann w eight. A s a special case for the connection, one can refer to Eq. (A4). On the other hand, the response finction is related to the reaction of the system to the extemal probe, say $P(x ; t)$. The perturbed $H$ am iltonian w ill result in $H \quad{ }^{R} d^{d} x P(x ; t)(x ; t)$, and the additional term in the $H$ am iltonian is tantam ount to adding $P(x ; t) \quad 0 \sim(x ; t)$ in theM SR action. In otherw ords, the con jugate probe P (x;t) o will couple to the response eld $\sim(x ; t)$. Intuitively, the linear response function can be de ned as the ratio of the strength of the reactive eld to that of the probe:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{p h y}\left(x \quad x^{0} ; t \quad t^{0}\right)=\frac{h(x ; t) i}{P\left(x^{0} ; t^{0}\right)}=0 \frac{h(x ; t) i}{\tau\left(x^{0} ; t^{0}\right)}=0 h(x ; t)^{\sim}\left(x^{0} ; t^{0}\right) i: \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{0} P(x ; t)=J(x ; t)$ (note that $R_{p h y}$ is di erent from $R_{0}$ by a factor 0 and the latter is used in the calculation here). This arises from the various representations of ${ }^{\sim}$, whidh is associated w th the unphysical degrees of freedom in multiplying the Langevin equation by an arbitrary constant.

C onsistently, the response function willm anifest causality. T here is no response before the extemal probe is applied, i.e., $R(x ; t)=0$ for $t<0$.

The relation between the static and the dynam ic properties are through the correlation functions. In the random system s one distinguishes between equal-tim e correlations whidh
correspond to the static correlations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
<(x ; t)\left(x^{0} ; t\right)>=k \quad(x) \quad\left(x^{0}\right)>\operatorname{lnv} \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[:: Z_{\text {Av }}\right.$ stands for the average over disorder. In the replica calculation it is given by the diagonal term $<$ ( $x$ ) $\left(x^{0}\right)>$.Time persistent part 24] of the correlation which correspond to the Edw ards-A nderson (EA) type of static correlation 25]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \quad(x ; t) \quad\left(x^{0} ; t^{0}>_{t t^{0}!1} h_{V}=Q_{E A}\left(x \quad x^{0}\right) ;\right. \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the replica language, if there is no replica-sym metry breaking, it is given by the nondiagonalpart: $\lim _{n!0} K \quad(x) \quad\left(x^{0}\right)>$ h $h^{\prime}$.

APPENDIX B: PERTURBATIVE EXPANSIONSUSING FEYNMAN DIAGRAMS

To facilitate the RG caloulation, one can introduce 1P I(1-particle-irreducible) vertex generating fiunctional M ]. In analogy to the static case, one can de ne the vertex functions through . For example, the vertex function $1_{1 ; 1}$ is de ne by $\frac{2 M]}{M_{1} M_{2}}$ 20]. H ere the calculation is focused on expanding the bare param eters. On the other hand, one also can expand the theory in term s of renorm alized param eters. Up to 2 nd-order perturbation, the $1 P I$ vertex generating functional are expanded as 26]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\mathbb{M}]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbb{M} ; G{ }_{0} M\right)+P M\right] ;  \tag{B1}\\
P M]=h V[+M] i_{0} \quad \frac{1}{2} f h V^{2}[+M] i_{0} \quad h V[+M]^{2}>i_{0} g \quad f h-V[+M] i_{0} ; \\
G{ }_{0} h-V[+M]_{0} g+O\left(V^{3}\right) ; \tag{B2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $G_{0}{ }^{1}$ is sym bolizing the $2 \quad 2$ free propagators $m$ atrix, com posed by $R_{0}$ and $C_{0}$, and the bracket, $\left\langle>{ }_{0}\right.$, stands for the average taken $w$ th respect to the free action. The second term in the right hand side of the equation is the sum of the connected diagram s up to the order of $V^{2}$, and the third term is serving to rem ove all connected diagram $s$ in the second term which are not $1 P$ Is.

To proceed w ith the calculations system ically, it is convenient to introduce the vertex functions here. The bare and renorm alized vertex functions can be related by factors of $Z, Z \sim$. For instance,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{R}}\left(\mathrm{q} ;!; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{R}} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{R}} ;\right)=(\mathrm{Z})^{\frac{\mathrm{L}}{2}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\sim}\right)^{\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2}}{ }_{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{q} ;!; 0 ; \mathrm{m}_{0} ; \mathrm{a}\right) ; \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ and 0 label renorm alized param eters ( $\left.g_{R} ; ~ ; ~\right) ~ a n d ~ b a r e ~ p a r a m ~ e t e r g ; ~(~(90 ; ~), ~$ respectively. $q$ and ! are the extemal $m$ om entum and frequency, respectively. In the corresponding vertex function, $a$ is a short-distance cuto, and is a mass scale. Here ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{m}}$; stands for the vertex function with L extemal lines and N extemal ~ lines. The factors, Z and Z ~, are set to rem ove the divergent parts of the vertex function .

## APPENDIX C:THECALCULATION OF Z~

$P$ rior to the calculation, we $m$ ake som e rem arks about the notations of the param eters. Since $m_{R}$ and $R_{R}$ satisfy Eq. (19) and Eq. (2G) with $Z$ being 1 , we have $m_{0}=m$ and $0=$ . Thus there should be no confusion if we use them interchangeably. Before entering the calculations of the $Z$ factors, one should $m$ ake reference to the relations betw een the various vertex functions. For the calculation of the $Z$ ~ factor, one should focus on the vertex function [17], 1;1. Inferred from Eq. B3), their renorm alized and bared counterparts are related by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{q}!; ; ; q)=\tilde{Z}_{\sim}{ }_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{q}!; 0 ; \mathrm{m}_{0} ; \mathrm{g}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{Z}_{1 ; 1}\left(\mathrm{q}!; \mathrm{Z}_{\sim}^{1} ; \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{~g}\right): \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contribution from the action of the rst order in $g$ to $Z \sim$ can be calculated by considering:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{M^{\sim}(x ; t) M(0 ; 0)} h V_{g}\left[+M ;^{\sim}+M^{\sim}\right] i ; \tag{C2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}\left[\sim ; \quad{\frac{2{ }^{2} g}{2 \mathrm{a}^{2}}}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} x \operatorname{dtdtt}^{0 \sim}(x ; t)^{\sim}\left(x ; t^{0}\right) \cos \left[\left((x ; t) \quad\left(x ; t^{0}\right)\right)\right]:\right. \tag{C3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fig. 5. show s one representative of the diagram s corresponding to $1_{1 ; 1}$ in Eq. (C4). The dots inside the circle stand for the contractions of $(x ; t)$ s and $(x ; 0)$ s, which results in $e^{2} C_{0}(0, t)$ in Eq. C4). The dots near the left "ears" represent the contractions of $(x ; t)$ s and $(x ; t)$, which result in e ${ }^{2} C_{0}(0 ; 0)$ in Eq. C4). The roles of the dots near the right "ears" are sim ilar. In the frequency representation, the integral com ing from the contractions of inner lines can be w ritten as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{2} f_{1}^{Z_{1}} e^{i!t} d t R(0 ; t) e^{2} C_{0}(0 ; 0)+{ }^{2} C_{0}(0, t) \\
& \text { (i! ) }{\frac{1}{{ }^{2} D}}^{Z_{1}} d t e^{i!t} e^{{ }^{2} C_{0}(0 ; 0)} g  \tag{C4}\\
& =e^{{ }^{2} C_{0}(0 ; 0)^{2}{ }^{1}} d t e^{i!t} d t \frac{1}{{ }^{2} D} \frac{d}{d t}{ }^{2} C_{0}(0 ; t)\left[e^{{ }^{2} C_{0}(0, t)} \quad 1\right] \\
& \left.=e^{{ }^{2} C_{0}(0 ; 0)} \mathrm{i}!{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d t e^{i!t} e^{{ }^{2} C_{0}(0, t)} \quad 1\right]\left(\frac{1}{{ }^{2} D}\right) \tag{C5}
\end{align*}
$$

C om bing with the prefactors and using $g=g_{0}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{a}^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ( i! ) }{ }^{2} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \frac{{ }_{0}^{2}}{{ }_{0}^{2} \mathrm{D}_{0}} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{a}^{2}=}^{\mathrm{Z}}{ }_{1=\mathrm{m}^{2}}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{dt}}{\mathrm{am}^{2}} \text { ot }: \tag{C6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, Z~~is found as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\sim}^{\sim}=1+\frac{\mathrm{g}^{{ }^{2} \mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{C}}}}{2(\mathrm{D})} \ln \left({ }^{2} \mathrm{a}^{2}\right) ; \tag{C7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\alpha^{2}$ is the scale, at which one can im pose the prescription for the vertex functions corresponding to the renorm alized param eters 26].

## APPENDIX D:THECALCULATION OF ZD

To calculate the factor $Z_{D}$, we consider the vertex function $2 ; 0$. The bare vertex function 2;0 is related to ${ }_{2 ; 0}^{R}$ through $Z \sim$. They can easily be seen from Eq. B3):

The associated diagram is illustrated in $F$ ig. 6. A fter taking the contraction of the inner lines, we are left w ith:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g_{0}^{2} 0_{0}^{2}}{2 a^{2}}{ }^{Z} M^{N}\left(x ; t^{0}\right) M^{N}(x ; t) ; \tag{D2}
\end{equation*}
$$

tim es $2<$ cosf $\left[(x ; t)\left(x ; t^{0}\right)\right] g>_{0}$, where the contents inside the bracket are averaged $w$ ith respect to the free action. The latter term contributes to the renom alization of two-point vertex depicted in $F$ ig. 6. The calculation of this term can be perform ed with ease as show $n$ below. In the tim e representation, it reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \frac{g_{0}^{2} 0^{2}}{2 a^{2}} e^{{ }^{2} C_{0}(0 ; 0)^{2}}{ }_{1}^{1} d t e^{2} C_{0}(0 ; t) e^{i!t}: \tag{D3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the lim it! ! 0 , it reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \frac{g_{0}^{2}{ }_{0}^{2}}{2 a^{2}} e^{{ }^{2} C_{0}(0 ; 0)^{Z}{ }_{1}^{1}} d_{1}{ }^{2} C_{0}(0 ; t): ~: \tag{D4}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ith the aid of the formula given in Appendix A, the divergent part of this term can be obtained by proceeding in the sam e $m$ anner as in the previous section:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \frac{{ }^{9}{ }_{0}^{2} 0_{0}^{2}}{2 a^{2}} e^{{ }^{2} C_{0}(0 ; 0)} \frac{p^{-}}{2-m^{2}} \ln \left(m^{2} a^{2}\right)+\text { nite term } s: \tag{D5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{D}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\sim}\right)^{2} \mathrm{f} 2 \mathrm{D}_{0}{ }_{0}^{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{og} 0 \ln \left(\mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{a}^{2}\right) \mathrm{g}: \tag{D6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we inherit $Z_{D}=1+\frac{{ }_{2}{ }^{p} \overline{c g}}{2 D} \ln \left(m^{2} a^{2}\right)$. T here should be no confiusion if we put either the bare or the renorm alized vahes of $D$ and in the denom inator in the above equation. Up to this order, there w ill be no di erence betw een these tw o possibilities.

APPENDIX E:THE EXPRESSION OF Zg AND THERENORMALIATION OF

It is a tedious calculation to nd $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{g}}$ by considering the vertex function $2 ; 0$ ( $\mathrm{q} ;$ !). Since quenched disorder is present in the system, one $m$ ay instead consider $2 ; 0\left(q ; t_{1} ; ~ q ; t_{2}\right)$, in the $\lim$ 过 $f_{1} t_{2} j$ ! 1 。

To obtain the scaling equation of , one should consider the $q$ dependent part of 2;0 ( $q ; t_{1}$; $q ; t_{2}$ ) w ith $t_{1} t_{2} j$ ! 1 . The contractions of eldswhidh connect the points $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}, m$ ake no contributions. The q dependent part of $2 ; 0$ up to order $g^{2}$ involves 7 diagram $s$, which are classi ed into 3 sets as ilhustrated in G S [17]. Those term s can be expressed as the rst seven term $s$ in (7.3) ofG S [17]. The rst set (referred to 91 . (a) in $G$ S) contains only one diagram as shown in F ig 7 (A). The second set contains three diagram s , corresponding to part (B), (C ), and (D) in Fig. 7. T he third set can be obtained from the second set by swapping $\left(x_{1} ; t_{1}\right) \quad!\left(x_{2} ; t_{2}\right)$ and $\left(x_{1} ; t^{\infty}\right) \quad!\left(x_{2} ; t^{0}\right)$.

In the follow ing, we only present the calculations which are not explictly given in G S 17]. In the calculation, we only concentrate on the tim e dependent part, neglecting the prefactors. This should not be a cause for confusion when one retrieves them later. By changing the variables ${ }^{0}=t_{1} \quad t^{0}$ and ${ }^{\infty}=t_{2} \quad t^{\infty}$ the rst term is recast into:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} d^{0_{1}} d^{\infty} R_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{0}\right) R_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{\infty}\right) \sinh { }^{2}\left[C_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{0}\right) C_{0}\left(x ;^{\infty}\right)\right] ; \tag{D7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h e r e x=x_{1} \quad x_{2}$.
$W$ th the identity ofFD $T$ for $R_{0}$ and $C_{0}$ and the integration by parts, it is sim pl ed into:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\left({ }^{2} D{ }^{2}\right)^{2}} \quad{ }^{Z_{1}} \mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{~d}^{0} \mathrm{~d}^{\infty} \mathrm{f}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d}^{0}} \sinh { }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x} ;{ }^{0}\right)\right]\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}^{\infty}} \cosh { }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x} ;{ }^{\infty}\right)\right] \\
+\left[\frac{d}{d^{0}} \cosh { }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{0}\right)\right]\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}^{\infty}} \sinh { }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x} ;{ }^{\infty}\right)\right] g \\
 \tag{D8}\\
=\frac{2}{\left({ }^{2} D^{2}\right)^{2}} \sinh \left({ }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{0}(x ; 0)\right)\left[\cosh \left({ }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{0}(x ; 0)\right) \quad 1\right]:
\end{gather*}
$$

By changing the variables ${ }^{0}=t_{1} \quad t^{0}$ and ${ }^{\infty}=t^{0} \quad t^{\infty}$ in the second term (see part (B) in Fig.7 ) and ${ }^{0}=t^{\infty} t^{0}$ and ${ }^{\infty}=t_{2} t^{\infty}$ in 5th term, they becom e:

$$
{ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} d^{Z_{1}} d^{\infty} R_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{0}\right) R_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{\infty}\right) \exp \left[{ }^{2} C_{0}\left(0 ;{ }^{0}+{ }^{\infty}\right)\right] \sinh { }^{2}\left[C_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{0}\right) C_{0}\left(x ;^{\infty}\right)\right]: \text { (D 9) }
$$

By changing the variables ${ }^{0}=t_{1} t^{0}$ and ${ }^{\infty}=t^{0} \quad t^{\infty}$ in the third term (see part (C) in Fig. 7) and ${ }^{0}=t_{2} \quad t^{\infty}$ and ${ }^{\infty}=t^{\infty} \quad t^{0}$ in the 6th term, they becom e:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} d^{Z_{1}} d^{\infty} \mathrm{R}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x} ;{ }^{0}\right) \mathrm{R}_{0}\left(0 ;{ }^{0}+{ }^{\infty}\right) \exp \left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{0}\left(0^{0}+{ }^{0}\right)\right] \cosh { }^{2}\left[\mathrm{C}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x} ;{ }^{0}\right) \quad \mathrm{C}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x} ;^{\infty}\right)\right]: \tag{D10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By changing the variables ${ }^{0}=t^{\infty} \quad t^{0}$ and ${ }^{\infty}=t^{0} \quad t_{1}$ in the 4th term (see part (D) in $F$ ig. 7) and ${ }^{0}=t^{0} \quad t^{\infty}$ and ${ }^{\infty}=t^{\infty} \quad t_{2}$ in the 7th term, they becom e:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} d^{0^{Z}}{ }_{1} d^{\infty} R_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{0}\right) R_{0}\left(0 ; \quad{ }^{0}\right) \exp \left[{ }^{2} C_{0}\left(0 ;{ }^{0}+{ }^{\infty}\right)\right] \cosh { }^{2}\left[C_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{0}\right) \quad C_{0}\left(x^{\prime}{ }^{\infty}\right)\right]: \tag{D11}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ith the FD T identity, Eq. (9) can be fiuther simpl ed into:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\left({ }^{2} D^{2}\right)^{2}}{ }^{Z}{ }_{0}^{1} d^{0^{Z}{ }_{0} d^{\infty}} \exp \left[{ }^{2} C_{0}\left(0 ;{ }^{0}+{ }^{\infty}\right)\right]\left[\frac{d}{d^{0}} \cosh { }^{2} C_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{0}\right) \frac{d}{d^{\infty}} \sinh { }^{2} C_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{\infty}\right)\right. \\
\left.\frac{d}{d^{\infty}} \cosh { }^{2} C_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{\infty}\right) \frac{d}{d^{0}} \sinh { }^{2} C_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{0}\right)\right]: \tag{D12}
\end{gather*}
$$

By using the FDT and the integration by parts, one can reduce Eq. D1g) into:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\left({ }^{2} D^{2}\right)^{2}}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d^{0^{Z}{ }^{1} d^{\infty}} \quad & \exp \left[{ }^{2} C_{0}\left(0 ;{ }^{0}+{ }^{\infty}\right)\right]\left[\frac{d}{d^{0}} \cosh { }^{2} C_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{0}\right) \frac{d}{d^{\infty}} \sinh { }^{2} C_{0}\left(x ; ;^{\infty}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\frac{d}{d^{\infty}} \cosh { }^{2} C_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{\infty}\right) \frac{d}{d^{0}} \sinh { }^{2} C_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{0}\right)\right] \\
& + \text { the boundary term : } \tag{D13}
\end{align*}
$$

Sim liarly, Eq. D11) is recast into:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\left({ }^{2} D^{2}\right)^{2}} 0_{0}^{Z} d^{0^{Z}}{ }_{1}^{0} d^{\infty} \exp \left[{ }^{2} C_{0}\left(0 ;{ }^{0}+{ }^{\infty}\right)\right]\left[\frac{d}{d^{0}} \cosh { }^{2} C_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{0}\right) \frac{d}{d^{\infty}} \sinh { }^{2} C_{0}\left(x ;^{\infty}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}^{\infty}} \cosh { }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x} ;{ }^{\infty}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}^{0}} \sinh { }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x} ;{ }^{0}\right)\right] \\
& + \text { the boundary term : } \tag{D14}
\end{align*}
$$

C ombing Eq. D12), Eq. D13) and Eq. (14) term s exchuding the boudary term $s$, we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\left({ }^{2} D^{2}\right)^{2}}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d^{0^{Z} 0} d^{\infty} \quad \exp \left[{ }^{2} C_{0}\left(0 ;{ }^{0}+{ }^{\infty}\right)\right]\left[\frac{d}{d^{0}} \cosh { }^{2} C_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{0}\right) \frac{d}{d^{\infty}} \sinh { }^{2} C_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{\infty}\right)\right. \\
\left.\frac{d}{d^{\infty}} \cosh { }^{2} C_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{\infty}\right) \frac{d}{d^{0}} \sinh { }^{2} C_{0}\left(x ;{ }^{0}\right)\right]: \tag{D15}
\end{gather*}
$$

The above integral vanishes since the integrand is antisym $m$ etric $w$ ith respect to the transform ations ${ }^{\circ}$ ! ${ }^{\infty}$ and ${ }^{\infty}$ ! $\quad{ }^{0}$.

The boundary term s in Eq. D19) and in Eq. D11) cancell each other also. For exam ple, the boundary term in Eq. D19) reads as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{~d}^{0} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d}^{0}}\left[\sinh { }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x} ;{ }^{0}\right)\right]+{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \mathrm{~d}^{0} \exp \left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{0}(0 ; 0)\right] \\
& {\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}^{0}} \sinh { }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x} ;{ }^{0}\right) \cosh { }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \quad{ }^{0}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}^{0}} \cosh { }^{2}\left(\mathrm{x} ;{ }^{0}\right) \sinh { }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{0}\left(\mathrm{x} ;{ }^{0}\right)\right]:} \tag{D16}
\end{align*}
$$

To sum up, the only contribution is from Eq. D8). Extracting the singular contribution 17,19] gives $\mathrm{b}=+\frac{{ }^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{2}}{8(\mathbb{D})^{2}} \ln \left({ }^{2} \mathrm{a}^{2}\right)$.

## Figures C aption

Fig. 1
A two-dim ensional cut (along a lattioe plane penpendicular to the disordered substrate) of the three-dim ensional system .

Fig. 2
The ow diagram for $g(l)$ w th two di erent xed-lines for $T$ larger and sm aller than $T_{s r}$.

Fig. 3
The Feynm an diagram representing $\left.\sim(x ; t) \sim\left(x ; t^{0}\right) \cos (x ; t) \quad\left(x ; t^{0}\right)\right)$.

Fig. 4

The lines representing the free correlation and response functions.
Fig. 5

The Feynm an diagram for the vertex function $1 ; 1$ •

Fig. 6
The Feynm an diagram for the vertex function $2 ; 0$.

Fig. 7
4 Feynm an diagram scontributing to the renorm alization of .
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