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#### Abstract

W e investigate the interacting dom ain w allm odelderived from the triangularlattioe antiferrom agnetic Ising $m$ odel $w$ ith tw $o$ next-nearest-neighbor interactions. The system has com m ensurate phases $w$ th a dom ainwall density $q=2=3$ as well as that of $q=0$ when the interaction is repulsive. The $q=2=3$ com $m$ ensurate phase is separated from the incom $m$ ensurate phase through the K osterlitz\{T houless (K T ) transition. The critical interaction strength and the nature of the K T phase transition are studied by the M onte C arlo sim ulations and num ericaltransferm atrix calculations. For strongly attractive interaction, the system undergoes a rst-order phase transition from the $q=0$ com $m$ ensurate phase to the incom $m$ ensurate phase $w$ th $q \in 0$. $T$ he incom $m$ ensurate phase is a critical phase which is in the $G$ aussian $m$ odel universality class. T he e ective G aussian coupling constant is calculated as a function of interaction param eters from the nite-size scaling of the transfer m atrix spectra.


## I．IN TRODUCTION

The triangular－lattioe antiferrom agnetic Ising $m$ odel（TAF $\mathbb{I M}$ ）displays rich criticalphe－ nom ena．The TAF $\mathbb{I M}$ w th nearest－neighbor coupling $K(<0)$ is described by the $H$ am ilto－ nian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{H}{k_{B} T}=K{\underset{h i j i}{x} S_{i} S_{j}, ~}_{\text {hin }} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where hiji denotes pairs of nearest－neighbor sites of triangular lattioe and $s_{i}=1 . \mathrm{The}$ ground states of the $m$ odel are in nitely degenerate due to firustration on each elem entary triangle and correspond to a critical state with algebraic decay of correlations 11］．Each ground－state con guration can be $m$ apped to a state of the triangular solid－on－solid（T I－ SO S）＇m odel 目］which describes equilibrium shape of a sim ple－cubic crystal near its $[1 ; 1 ; 1]$ comer or grow ing of the sim ple－cubic crystal along the $[1 ; 1 ; 1]$ direction［⿴囗⿱一一 $]$ ，and also of the dom ain wall $m$ odel［174］．The latter describes the com $m$ ensurate－incom $m$ ensurate（ C －IC ） phase transitions［5］．W hen a two－dim ensional system with anisotropic interactions has degenerate ground states，excitations from a ground state $m$ ay take the form of dom ain walls of striped shapes．A typicalexam ple is the axial next－nearest neighbor Ising（A N N N I） model［6］．Though dom ain wall type excitations are energetically unfavorable，they also carry a nite am ount of entropy．So the system is in an ordered phase w thout dom ain－w all excitation（C phase）at low tem peratures while it is in a m odulated phase with nite density of dom ain wall for su ciently high tem peratures．

G round－state properties of the TAF $\mathbb{I M}$ are studied by taking the lim it K ！ 1 in Eq．［1）and by assigning statistical weights to each ground－state con guration．The new e ective $H$ am iltonian of interest here can then be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H={ }_{\text {hiji }} \quad{ }_{a} S_{i} S_{j}{ }_{\text {mijii }}^{X}{ }_{a} S_{i} S_{j} \quad h_{i}^{X} S_{i}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere，hiji（lijiji）denotes pairs of nearest－neighbor（next－nearest－neighbor）sites，a（a）， a $=1 ; 2 ; 3$ ，are direction－dependent nearest－neighbor（next－nearest－neighbor）couplings and
$h$ is a magnetic eld. Each allowed spin con guration $f s_{i} g$ is understood to be one of the ground-state con gurations im plicitly. The statistical weight for each con guration is proportional to $e^{H\left[f s_{i} g\right]}$.

If there are only nearest-neighbor couplings, the system is equivalent to the noninteracting dom ain-wall model and can be solved exactly [14]. The system exhibits Pokrovsky-Talapov (PT) transition []] which separates an ordered com m ensurate (C ) phase and a disordered incom $m$ ensurate (IC ) phase. The IC phase is a critical phase which is in the $G$ aussian $m$ odel universality class. The free-energy functional of the $G$ aussian $m$ odel is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F={ }^{z} d^{2} r^{4} \frac{K_{1}}{2}{\frac{@}{@ x_{1}}}^{!_{2}}+\frac{K_{2}}{2}{\frac{@}{@ x_{2}}}_{5}^{!_{2}^{3}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are the anisotropic sti ness constants. $T$ he $G$ aussian $m$ odel has scaling dim ensions

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{p ; q}=\frac{p^{2}}{2 g}+\frac{g}{2} q^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ and $q$ are integers and $g=2{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}}$ is called the G aussian coupling constant (6]. The G aussian coupling constant is equal to $1=2$ throughout the IC phase in this lim it [4].

E ect of them agnetic eld for the system with $a=a=0$ hasbeen studied by num erical transferm atrix calculations 眐] and $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations [9]. The system undergoes K osterlitz\{Thouless (K T) phase transition [10] from the disordered critical phase to the ordered phase as h increases.
$W$ hen there are two next-nearest-neighbor couplings $\left({ }_{1} \not 0 ;_{2} \neq 0 ;{ }_{3}=0\right)$ as well as nearest-neighbor couplings, H in Eq. (ᄌᄌㄱ) can be interpreted as a H am iltonian for an interacting dom ain-wall system (7]. The nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor couplings play the role of the fugacity of dom ain walls and the interaction between dom ain walls, respectively. There are tw o types ofdom ain walls and the tw o next-nearest-neighbor couplings 1 and 2 controlwall-w all interactions between respective types. In the previous paper [4], we considered the partially interacting dom ain-wall (P IDW) m odelwhere dom ain walls of
only one type interact w th each other ( $1=0 ; 20$ case). The P IDW m odel is equivalent to the general ve-vertex $m$ odelwhich in tum is exactly solvable through the B ethe A nsatz $m$ ethod. W e obtained exact phase diagram and quantitative understanding of the e ect of the interaction in the critical properties of the $P \mathbb{D} W \mathrm{~m}$ odel. $W$ hen the repulsive interaction is strong, the system is in a novelC phase w ith dom ain-walldensity $q=1=2$ which is absent in the non-interacting system. In fact, this phase is equivalent to the $C$ phase appearing in the A N N N Im odelw here the interactions betw een dom ain walls are assum ed to be in nitely repulsive [G]. The IC phase is also the criticalphase in the $G$ aussian $m$ odelunivensality class but the $G$ aussian coupling constant $g$ varies continuously throughout the IC phase.

In this paper, we study the interacting dom ain-w all ( $\mathbb{D}$ W ) m odelw here dom ain walls of both types interact with sam e strength ( $1=2$ case). W e are concemed $w$ th the nature of the ordering and the phase transition. We nd that the system has an ordered C phase w ith $\mathrm{q}=2=3 \mathrm{w}$ hen the repulsive interaction is su ciently strong and suggest that the phase transition between the IC phase and the $q=2=3 \mathrm{C}$ phase is the $\mathrm{K} T$ transition. W e argue that the transition is described in the continuum lim it by the G aussian modelEq. (3) w ith a sym $m$ etry-breaking eld $V_{3} \cos (3(r))$. The repulsive interaction acts as the sym $m$ etrybreaking eld. $W$ hen $V_{3}$ is su ciently sm all, the system is in the critically disordered state w ith scaling dim ensions given in Eq. (4). The G aussian coupling constant is not constant any $m$ ore in the critical region but varies continuously since it is renorm alized by the $V_{3}$ eld. $T$ he sym $m$ etry-breaking eld $V_{3}$ has a scaling dim ension $X_{3 ; 0}$. A $s V_{3}$ increases, it becom es relevant in the renorm alization group sense. This happens when $X_{3 ; 0}=2$ (or $g=9=4$ ). The phase transition involved in this order-disorder transition is the K T transition. So, we predict that the K T transition occur as the repulsive-interaction strength varies.

On the other side, where the attractive interaction is strong, the dom ain walls are bounded w ith each other. We nd that, as the chem ical potential of dom ain walls increases, there is a rst-order phase transitions from the $q=0 C$ phase to the IC phase accom panied by a nite jumpin $q$.

This paper is organized as follow s . In Sec. \# we introduce the $\mathbb{D} \mathrm{W}$ m odel and present
the relation between it and the TISO S m odel. Possible ordered phases in the $\mathbb{D} W$ model are discussed. Unlike the P $\mathbb{D} W \mathrm{~m}$ odel, the $\mathbb{D} W \mathrm{~m}$ odel is not exactly solvable. So, we investigate the $\mathbb{D} W \mathrm{~m}$ odel by num erical diagonalization of the transfer $m$ atrix and $M$ onte C arlo simulations. In Sec. \# we analyze the eigenvalue spectra of the transfer $m$ atrix using the nite-size scaling theory. The transfer matrix applies to a system with a cylinder geom etry: in nitely long in one direction, and periodic with a nite size N in the other direction. The calculations are perform ed for the strip $w$ idth $N$ up to $N=18$, corresponding to a transfer $m$ atrix of size $2^{18} \quad 2^{18}$. U sing the nite-size scaling of the eigenvalue spectra, we calculate the G aussian coupling constant $g$ from which the KT transition point for the repulsive interaction is located. The rst-order phase transition line for the attractive interaction is obtained from the eigenvalue spectra and an analytic approxim ation. In Sec.IV results of $M$ onte C arlo sim ulations are presented. The sim ulations are done for a lattioe of size up to 120120 while the dom ain-wall density is xed to $2=3$. A s well as the speci c heat, we also calculate the uctuations of the position and the density of dom ain walls since they serve as order param eters for the K T transition. M onte C arlo results are consistent w th those of transferm atrix calculations. In Sec. $V$ we sum $m$ arize our results and discuss the origin of the di erence betw een the P $\mathbb{D} W$ m odeland the $\mathbb{D} W$ model.

## II. THE M ODELAND ITS GROUND STATES

We consider two-dim ensional dom ain-wall $m$ odel on a nite $N \quad M$ triangular lattioe w ith one side of elem entary triangle lying along the horizontaldirection. The con gurations of dom ain walls are $m$ apped from the ground-state con gurations of the TAF $\mathbb{I M}$ 目]. The dom ain walls propagate vertically along the two directions of elem entary triangle. W e call the dom ain wall of type 1 (2) if it is left (right) moving. A single chain of dom ain wall has an entropy $\log 2$ per unit length since there are two ways for dom ain walls to $m$ ove. The con gurations of dom ain walls are sub ject to the restriction that they never be created or annihilated during propagation. Thus, we do not allow the dislocations' which form
topologicalexcitations. H ow ever, e ect ofsuch excitationsw illbe discussed brie y in Sec. V.
D om ain walls have the chem ical potential and interaction energy " per unit length. Relationships between ( $; "$ ) and ( $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a}$ ) of Eq. (2) are derived in Ref. [4]. The interaction energy is assigned to each segm ent of adjacent-parallel dom ain walls. The grand-canonical partition function of the $\mathbb{D} W$ m odel is $w$ ritten as
where $Q$ is the num ber of dom ain walls per row, $x=e$ is the fugacity of a dom ain wall segm ent of unit length and $y=e "$ is the interaction param eter. H ere, we use the convention that the tem perature' of the dom ain-wall system is absorbed into and ". $N$ ote that this tem perature is di erent from that of the TAF $\mathbb{M} . T$ he function $L\left(p_{1} ;::: ; p_{Q}\right)$ counts total length of adjeent-parallel dom ain walls where $p_{i}(j)$ denotes the horizontal position of the i-th dom ain wallat verticalposition $j$. Since con gurationsw ith di erent num ber ofdom ain walls do not couple w ith each other at all, the partition sum can be evaluated independently for each $Q$;

$$
Z_{G}=x_{Q=0}^{x^{N}} x^{M Q} Z_{C}(y ; q)
$$

where $Z_{c}$ is the canonical partition function, representing the second sum in Eq. (5), and $q=Q=N$ is the dom ain-wall density. The grand-canonical and canonical ensembles are connected through the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{\mathrm{MN}} \frac{@ \log Z_{c}}{@ q}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

B efore proceeding the analysis of the $\mathbb{D W}$ m odel, we digress to explain the equivalence of the dom ain wallm odeland the T ISO S m odel. T he T ISO S m odel is a solid-on-solid m odel on a triangular lattice on which integer-valued heights $h_{i}$ at site $i \quad\left(x_{i} ; y_{i}\right)$ are assigned w ith the restriction that the height di erences betw een any nearest-neighbor sites are 1 or
2. See Ref. [1] for details. Let $n_{i}$ be the num ber of dom ain walls on the left-hand side of the point $\left(x_{i} ; y_{i}\right)$. Then, the $m$ apping

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}=3 n_{i} \quad 2 x_{i} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

relates a dom ain wall con guration to a height con guration. $N$ ote that the height variables satisfy the periodic boundary condition $h_{\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}=\mathrm{h}_{\left(\mathrm{X}_{i}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}$ only if the dom ain-wall density $q=2=3$. In this case the $T$ ISO $S \mathrm{~m}$ odel so constructed, describes the equilibrium shape of a cubic crystal view ed from the $[1 ; 1 ; 1]$ direction. The case of $q \in 2=3$ corresponds to that viewed from other directions.
$G$ round states' of the $m$ odel for given $x$ and $y$ are the con gurationswhich $m$ axim ize the Boltzm ann weight in Eq. ( ${ }^{5}$ ). For the attractive interaction (" < 0), a C phase with $q=0$ (1) is the ground state when < " ( > "). There will be a rst-order phase transition along the line = " at zero tem perature, i.e., J"j! 1. On the other hand, for the repulsive interaction ("> 0), q=0(1)C phase is the ground state when < $0(>3 ")$. W hen $0 \ll 3^{\prime \prime}$, the $q=2=3 \mathrm{C}$ con gurations become the ground states. These new ground states correspond to the $m$ ost densely-padked dom ain-w all states $w$ ithout interacting pairs and are three-fold degenerate. They are show n in F ig. 1.

To understand nite-tem perature behaviors, we should consider excitations from these ground states. Excited states from the $q=0$ state are form ed by dom ain wall form ations. If the dom ain walls are far apart from each other (this is the case when the attractive interaction is not so strong), the energy cost is and the wandering entropy gain is log 2 per unit length of dom ain walls. Thus there will be a phase transition at $=\log 2$.

An excited state of the $q=1$ state is form ed by rem oving one segm ent of dom ain walls from each row. We will call it the dom ain-wall hole excitation. Unlike a dom ain wall, the dom ain-wall hole need not form a continuous path. Thus a single dom ain wall hole has a $w$ andering entropy $\log \mathrm{N}$ and takes energy cost per unit length. So the $\mathrm{q}=1$ state is alw ays unstable under dom ain wall hole excitations at any value of .

The $q=2=3 C$ state has complicated excitations. The simplest kind of excitations is generated by locally reversing two units of a dom ain wall with respect to a vertical axis. Its excitation energy is $2 "$. This excitation is a local excitation so it cannot destroy the long-range order at low tem peratures. A nother im portant excitation is form ed by dom ain boundaries betw een the three ground-state con gurations. This is ilhustrated in F ig. 2 where
three ground-state con gurations of $F$ ig. 1 coexist form ing dom ain boundaries.
$N$ ature ofsuch excitations becom esm ore transparent when we introduce a coarse-grained eld variable as follow s. F irst we assign a height variable $h_{i}$ to each lattice site according to Eq. 7). The height variables corresponding to the dom ain wall con guration of F ig. 2 are also show $n$ in $F$ ig. 2 by sm all num bers. W e now group lattioe sites into a triangular array of elem entary triangles show $n$ as dotted triangles in $F$ ig. 2. To each elem entary dotted triangle , we then assign a coarse-grained height variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\check{\mathrm{h}} \quad\left(\mathrm{~h}_{1}+\mathrm{h}_{2}+\mathrm{h}_{3}\right)=3 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where i's denote the three sites of the triangle. The values of $\check{K}$ for the con guration of $F$ ig. 2 are shown by big num bers in the $m$ iddle of each dotted triangle. $n$ take integer values and $\check{\kappa}=\tilde{n} \circ(\bmod 3)$ iflocalcon gurations at and ${ }^{0}$ are in the sam e ground-state con guration. At each boundary, $\tilde{\mathrm{h}}=1$ or 2 . In the continuum lim it, the critical behavions of the system are described by the $G$ aussian $m$ odelw ith free-energy functionall $F$ in Eq. 3) if there is no interaction betw een dom ain walls. The repulsive interaction favors the coarse-grained height $\check{\kappa}(r)$ to be an integer where $\check{K}(r)$ denotes $\check{K}$ in the continuum lim it. So we postulate that the order-disorder phase transition in the $\mathbb{D} W \mathrm{~m}$ odel w ith $q=2=3$ is described by the $G$ aussian $m$ odel $w$ ith a sym $m$ etry-breaking eld whose freeenergy functional is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F={ }^{Z} d^{2} r^{4} \frac{1}{2} K_{1}{\frac{@}{@ x_{1}}}^{!_{2}}+\frac{1}{2} K_{2}{\frac{@}{@ x_{2}}}^{!_{2}}+V_{3} \cos (3(r))^{5} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(r)=\frac{2}{3} \nwarrow(r)$ and the param eters $K_{1} ; K_{2}$ and $V_{3}$ are functions of the interaction strength $y$. It is known that this system has two phases: critically disordered phase (high tem perature phase) and the ordered phase (low tem perature phase) 10]. In the critical region the $m$ odel is renorm alized to
where ${ }_{K_{1}}$ and ${ }_{2}$ are renom alized sti ness constants which are functions of $K_{1} ; K_{2}$ and $V_{3}$. The scaling dim ension of the sym metry-breaking eld is $X_{3 ; 0}=9=(2 g)$ with the e ective

G aussian coupling constant $g=2^{q} \overline{\sqrt{\mathcal{F}_{1} \mathcal{F}_{2}}}$. In the critical region the sym $m$ etry-breaking eld is irrelevant (ie., $X_{3 ; 0}>2$ ). The phase transition into the ordered phase occurs at the values of the $m$ odel param eters which satisfy the relation $X_{3 ; 0}=2$ and belongs to the universality class of the K T transition. The transition point can be found from the condition $g=9=4$.

In this section, we presented ground states of the $\mathbb{D} W \mathrm{~m}$ odel and the con jecture that the C-IC transition of the $\mathbb{D} W$ m odelw the repulsive interaction at $q=2=3$ is described by the free-energy functionalgiven in Eq. (G). In the next two sections, we will con m our con jecture by transfer $m$ atrix calculations and $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations.
III. FIN ITE-SIZESCALING ANALYSIS OF TRANSFER-MATRIX SPECTRA
$W$ e study the $\mathbb{D} W$ m odel on a nite lattice $w$ th $w i d t h ~ N$ and height $M$ using the transfer $m$ atrix. The grand-canonical partition function $Z_{G}$ in Eq. (回) is written as

$$
Z_{G}=X_{Q=0}^{X^{N}} x^{M Q} \operatorname{TrT}_{Q}^{M}
$$

where $\mathrm{T}_{Q}$ is a transferm atrix which acts on the $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{C}_{Q}$ dim ensionalspace spanned by statekets $\eta_{1} ;::: ; n_{Q}$ i specifying positions of $Q$ dom ain walls. The matrix elem ent $\mathrm{hn}_{1}^{0} ;::: \boldsymbol{n}_{Q}^{0} j T_{\rho} j$ $\mathrm{n}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ i represents the Boltrm ann weight for a dom ain-wall con guration between two row s of a lattice as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 3 .
$W$ e denote the $p$-th largest eigenvalue of $T_{Q}$ by $e^{E_{Q}^{p}}$ and $E_{Q}^{p} W$ ill be called the energy of the p-th excited level. In the lim it M ! 1 , the canonical partition function is given by the largest eigenvalue, i.e., $Z_{c}=e^{M E_{Q}^{0}}$. In the IC phase, $q=Q=N$ varies continuously as a function of and ". W hen $q$ is given, the chem ical potential should be chosen so as to satisfy the nite-size version ofeq. (6)

$$
=\frac{E_{Q+1}^{0} E_{Q}^{0} 1}{2 N}:
$$

The eigenvalue spectrum for a conform ally invariant system follow $s$ the universal scaling form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ReE}(\mathbb{N})=N f_{1}+\frac{2}{N} \quad X \quad \frac{C}{12}+o \frac{1}{N} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where c is the central charge, X is the scaling dim ension of the operator associated w ith eigenstate , is an anisotropy factor, and $f_{1}$ is the bulk free energy per site [11]. T he IC phase of the dom ain wallsystem is the criticalphase in the universally class of the G aussian m odelw hose central charge is 1 and scaling dim ensions are given in Eq. 4). In the previous paper [4], we show ed that the p-th excited levelofT $Q$ leads to the scaling dim ension $X_{p ; 0}$ and excitations of the largest eigenvalues of $T_{Q} q_{q}$ relative to that of $T_{Q}$ lead to $X_{0 ; q} \cdot U$ sing this know ledge, we can estim ate the G aussian coupling constant by an estim ator $g(\mathbb{N})$ de ned by
which should approach $g$ as $N$ ! 1 . A sequence of $g(\mathbb{N})$ is obtained by num erical diagonalization of transfer $m$ atrix for a system of width $N$ up to 18 . W e present the estim ates in Fig. 4 (a) and Fig. 4 (b) for the repulsive $(y<1)$ and attractive ( $y>1$ ) case, respectively for several values of $y$. T he curve for $y=0.2$ in $F$ ig $.4(a)$ is noticeable. $g(\mathbb{N})$ apparently does not converge to a nite value as $N$ increases $w$ hen $q=2=3$. It $m$ eans that $w h e n=0: 2$ the eigenvalue spectrum does not follow the nite-size scaling form of Eq. (11) and that the system is no longer in a criticalphase. W e gave an argum ent in the previous section that the system undergoes a phase transition into the ordered phase as the repulsive interaction becom es strong and that the $G$ aussian coupling constant should be $9=4$ at the transition point. $T$ hus we estim ate the critical interaction strength $y_{c}$ by solving the equation $g(\mathbb{N})=9=4$ num erically. F or this punpose, we nd that the altemative estim ators de ned by

$$
g(\mathbb{N})=\frac{6 E_{Q}^{0}(\mathbb{N}+3)}{} \quad E_{Q}^{0}(\mathbb{N}) \quad 1
$$

converge better. The solutions of $g(\mathbb{N})=9=4$, denoted by $y_{1}(\mathbb{N})$, are given in Table I. The strip $w$ idth dependence of $y_{1}(\mathbb{N})$ follow sfrom corrections to scaling of the eigenvalues. At the K T transition point there are logarithm ic corrections due to the presence of a m arginally irrelevant eld 9]. Thus the sequence of estim ator $y_{1}(\mathbb{N})$ is extrapolated by tting it to the
form $y_{1}(\mathbb{N})=y_{2}+a=(b+\log N)$. Since the sequence $y_{2}$ thus obtained also show slow convergence, we $t$ it again to the sam e form and obtain the result $y_{c}=0: 252 \quad(0: 003)$. See Table I.

W hen $\mathrm{y}<\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{c}}$, there appears the $\mathrm{q}=2=3 \mathrm{C}$ phase. A s in the $\mathrm{q}=1=2 \mathrm{C}$ phase of the P ID W m odel, q rem ains locked to the value $2=3$ in the range + E stim ates of the chem ical potential at the lower and upper boundaries of the $C$ phase are given by

$$
(\mathbb{N})=E_{Q_{0} 1}^{0}(\mathbb{N}) \quad E_{Q 0}^{0}(\mathbb{N})
$$

$w$ here $Q_{0}=2 N=3$. Since they are evaluated from nite-size system $s$, we should know the nite-size scaling form of $(\mathbb{N})$. D ue to the $m$ arginal corrections at the phase boundary, the largest eigenvalues for $Q=Q_{0} \quad m$ is expected to have scaling form $s$

$$
E_{Q 0 m}^{0}=E_{Q_{0}}^{0} \quad m+\frac{g^{2}}{N} m^{2}+0 \frac{1}{N \log N}:
$$

It then follows that $(\mathbb{N})$ behaves as

$$
(\mathbb{N})=\quad \frac{g}{N}+O \frac{1}{N \log N}:
$$

A coordingly, we estim ate by tting $(\mathbb{N})$ to the equation $(\mathbb{N})=\quad+a=N+b=N \log N$. The result is shown in $F$ ig 5 .

W e have thus determ ined the phase boundary of the $q=2=3$ phase using the nite-size scaling of the eigenvalue spectra of the transfer $m$ atrix. N ext, we consider the system near the $q=0 C$ phase. A s the chem icalpotential increases, dom ain walls begin to form.$T$ hey form a free orbound state depending on the interaction strength $y$. To see this, we calculate in the A ppendix the ground-state energy and un-norm alized eigenket of $T_{Q}$ for $Q=2$ from the Bethe A nsatz $m$ ethod. The results for the $m$ axim um eigenvalue and the eigenket are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}_{2}^{0}=2 \log 2 ; \quad j \mathrm{i}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{~m}<\mathrm{n} \text { jn } ; \mathrm{ni} \quad \text { if } \mathrm{y} \frac{3}{2}  \tag{12}\\
& E_{2}^{0}=\quad \log 2 y+\frac{1}{2(y 1)} ; j i={ }^{P}{ }_{m<n}(2(y \\
& \text { 1)) }{ }^{(n \mathrm{~m})} \text { jn ; ni if } \mathrm{y} \quad \frac{3}{2} \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

where the therm odynam ic lim it is taken. The eigenket has a qualitatively di erent properties on both side of $y=3=2$ line.

As can be seen from Eq. (12), dom ain walls form a free state when y 3=2. So, the C-IC transition is the P T transition as in the non-interacting and the P $\mathbb{D} W \mathrm{~m}$ odels. The dom ain wall density has a square-root dependence on the chem ical potential

$$
q \quad(\quad c)^{1=2}
$$

w ith the critical chem ical potential $c=\log 2$.
W hen y 3=2,Eq. (12) show sthat dom ain walls form a bounded state. $T$ he tw o dom ain walls are bounded $w$ ith the $m$ ean distance

$$
d_{0}=1 m \quad n i=\frac{4(y \quad 1)^{2}}{4(y \quad 1)^{2} \quad 1}:
$$

The ground-state energy of the bounded state consists oftwo parts $E_{2}^{0}=E_{c m}$ : $+E_{\text {int: }}$ where $E_{c m}:=\quad \log 2$ com es from free $m$ otions of the center of $m$ ass of the two dom ain walls and $E_{\text {int: }}=\log (y+1=4(y \quad 1))$ from the e ective interaction. To generalize Eq. 12) for Q 3 where exact results are not available, we construct a sim ple approxim ation for $E_{Q}^{0}$ as a sum of $E_{c m}$ : and $E_{\text {int: }}$. Thus we write the ground-state energy of the $T_{Q}$ for $Q \in 2$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{Q}^{0} & =E_{C m}:(Q \quad 1) E_{\text {int: }}  \tag{13}\\
& =\log 2 \quad(Q \quad 1) \log \quad y+\frac{1}{4(y \quad 1)} \quad
\end{align*}
$$

This approxim ation will be called the tw o-dom ain-w all approxim ation. It should be valid as long as $q \ll 1=d_{0}$. W hen $q^{>} 1=d_{0}$, dom ain walls feel additional statistical repulsive interactions. This statistical repulsions then drive the system into the IC phase. W ithin the two-dom ain-wall approxim ation, the dom ain-w all system undergoes a rst order C-IC transition from the $q=0$ C phase to the IC phase. Since Eq. (13) is linear in $Q$, the transition occurs at the chem ical potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\quad \log y+\frac{1}{4(y \quad 1)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}}$, the discontinuity of q at the transition, can be taken to be $1=\mathrm{d}_{0}$ approxim ately.
0 and $q_{0}$ are also determ ined num erically. $W$ hen $y \quad 3=2, E_{Q}^{0}$ for nite $N$ obtained from num erical diagonalization of $T_{Q}$ becom es concave downward in some region $0 \quad Q \quad Q_{0}$
indicating a rst order phase transition. We took the convex envelop of $\mathrm{E}_{Q}^{0}$ and estim ated 0 and $q_{0}$ from the slope of the straight line enveloping the concave region and from the point where the straight line and the curve of $\mathrm{E}_{0}^{0}$ are tangential, respectively. The results are shown in Figs. 6 for ve values of $N$. These are com pared with Eq. (14) and the approxim ation $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{o}} \quad 1=\mathrm{d}_{0}$ in F igs. 6.

Resulting phase diagram of the $\mathbb{D} W$ m odel as obtained from the transferm atrix calculations is sum $m$ arized in $F$ ig. 7. This is the $m$ ain result of this work.

## IV.RESULTS OF MONTECARLOSIMULATIONS

In the previous section, the assum ption that the repulsive interaction induces the KT transition at the $q=2=3 \mathrm{C}$ phase boundary is used to estim ate the critical interaction strength $y_{c}$ from the num erical diagonalization of the transfer $m$ atrix. In this section, we will con $m$ the nature of the phase transition $m$ ore directly by $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations at $q=2=3$ on a nite L L lattice.

At the K T transition point, there is no diverging peak in the speci cheat. So, we should study another quantities such as the renorm alized sti ness constants ${ }_{F_{1}}{ }_{1}$ and $\vec{k}_{2}$ in Eq. 10). They can be evaluated from the linear response

$$
\frac{@^{+}}{@_{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}}}=\frac{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{k}}}
$$

produced by an additional free energy term

$$
F_{k}=v_{k}^{z} d^{2} r \frac{@}{@ x_{k}}
$$

where $k=1$ or 2 . From the uctuation-dissipation theorem, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{V}^{Z Z} d^{2} r d^{2} r^{0} \frac{@(r)}{@ x_{k}} \frac{@\left(r^{0}\right)^{+}}{@ x_{k}} \int_{0}^{*} \frac{@(r)^{+}}{@ x_{k}} \underbrace{\varrho^{*}\left(r^{0}\right)^{+}}_{0}{ }_{0}^{\#}
\end{aligned}
$$

where V is the volum e and $\mathrm{h} \quad{ }_{0}$ denotes the average w th $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}=0$. These quantities are called helicity m oduliand serve as order param eters for system s exhibiting the K $T$ transition, e.g., XY m odel [12].

U sing Eqs. (7) and (8) which connect the $G$ aussian $m$ odel and the dom ain wall $m$ odel, ${ }^{W_{k}}$ can be written in term $s$ of the quantities of the dom ain wall $m$ odel as
where ${ }_{k} n_{i} \quad n_{i+e_{k}} \quad n_{i}, e_{k}$ is the unit vector along the $k$ direction and ${ }_{k ; 1}$ is the $K$ ronecker delta function. From the de nition of $n_{i}$, we see that


U sing this relation, we can write the expression for the sti ness constants as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}_{1}}=\frac{4^{2}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}} \mathrm{hQ}^{2} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{hQ} \mathrm{i}^{2} \\
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}_{2}}={\frac{42^{2}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}}}^{*} \frac{\mathrm{Q}_{2} \mathrm{Q}_{1}}{2}{ }^{+}={\frac{4^{2}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}}}^{*} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}^{{ }^{+}} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q\left(Q_{1 ; 2}\right)$ is the totallength ofthe dom ain walls (oftype 1 or 2 ) and $X{ }_{i}=p_{i}(L) \quad p_{i}(0)$ is the di erence of the position of the i-th dom ain wall at the top to that at the bottom . The equations show that ${ }_{1}$ controls the uctuations of the dom ain wall length and ${ }_{2}$ the uctuations of the dom ain-w all positions.

W e perform ed sim ulations w ith the periodic boundary condition along the horizontal direction and the free boundary condition along the vertical direction with dom ain wall density xed to $2=3$. Updating rule from a dom ain-wall con guration $f p_{i}(j) g$ to $f p_{i}^{0}(j) g$ is
as follow $s$. There are four kinds of con gurations for any ( $i ; j$ );

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (i) } p_{i}(j \quad 1)+1=2=p_{i}(j)=p_{i}(j+1) \quad 1=2 \\
& \text { (ii) } \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{j} \text { 1) } \quad 1=2=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{j})=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{j}+1)+1=2\right. \\
& \text { (iii) } p_{i}(j \quad 1) \quad 1=2=p_{i}(j)=p_{i}(j+1) \quad 1=2 \\
& \text { (iv) } \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{j} 1)+1=2=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{j})=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{j}+1)+1=2 \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

A given con guration can be changed locally only for the cases (iii) and (iv). For the case (iii), $p_{i}(j)$ is updated to $p_{i}^{0}(j)=p_{i}(j)+1 w$ th a probability $y^{I\left(f p^{0} g\right)} L(f p g)$ provided $p_{i+1}(j) \not p_{i}(j) \quad 1$, while for the case (iv), $p_{i}(j)$ is updated to $p_{i}^{0}(j)=p_{i}(j) \quad 1$ with a probability $Y^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\left(f p^{0} g\right)} \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{fpg})$ peovided $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{1}(\mathrm{j}) \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{j}) \quad 1$. For other cases, the con guration is not altered. N oting that the updating trial at $(i ; j)$ has nothing to do $w$ th dom ain walls (i $2 ; j 2$ ), we divide the set $f(i ; j) g$ into four parts depending on whether $i ; j$ are even or odd and choose random ly one of the four subsets and update the whole dom ain walls in that subset.

The free boundary condition along the vertical direction is necessary to calculate the quantities ${ }^{P}{ }_{i} X_{i}$. On the other hand, one should adopt, in principle, a grand canonical ensem ble in which the dom ain wallnum ber could vary in other to calculate the uctuations of the dom ain-w all num ber. But it poses too $m$ uch technical di culty, so we m easure instead uctuations of the dom ain-wall number in a xed rectangular region of size ( $L=2$ ) $L$ during sim ulations of the $L$ L system. For such an ensem ble, an elem entary probability


$$
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}_{1}}=\frac{16^{2}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}} \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{Q}^{Q_{i}} \quad \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{Q}^{0} \mathrm{i}^{2}
$$

where $Q^{0}$ is the num ber of dom ain walls inside the ( $L=2$ ) $L$ region.
In Fig. 8, we present results for the energy uctuations $C$ which is de ned by

$$
\left.C={\frac{1}{L^{2}}}^{D} \mathbb{L}(f p g) \quad h L(f p g) i\right]^{2^{E}}
$$

for system s of linear size $L=12 ; 36 ; 60 ; 90$ and 120. A s expected, there is a converging peak instead of a diverging peak. The peak position is below the estim ated critical interaction
strength, i.e., at the low-tem perature region. This is consistent w the behaviors in the XY m odel where the peak is at the high-tem perature region. The K T transition in the XY model is the vortex-antivortex unbinding transition and that in the $\mathbb{D W} \mathrm{m}$ odel is a spontaneous sym $m$ etry-breaking transition. The e ects of the vortex-antivortex and the sym m etry-breaking eld have a dual relation 10]

$$
\mathrm{Z}\left(2 \mathrm{~K} ; \mathrm{Y}_{0} ; \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)=\mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~K} ; \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{y}_{0}\right)(\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{~K})^{\mathrm{N}}
$$

$w$ here $K$ is the inverse tem perature, $y_{0}$ is the fugacity of the vortex and antivortex, and $y_{p}$ is the strength of the sym $m$ etry-breaking eld. $W$ e see that the high and low tem perature regions are inverted.

Figs. 9 (a) and (b) show them easured values of $1=$ F $_{1}$ and $1=$ 灰 ${ }_{2}$, respectively, as a function of $y$ for several values of $L$. Though we did not try to extract the quantitative results, they show $m$ anifest crossover behaviors near y $\quad y_{c}$. They becom $e$ sm aller and sm aller in the ordered regions as the system size L increases and the points at which the crossover behaviors set in becom e closer and closer to the estim ated critical-interaction strength $y_{c}$. These behaviors are in accord w ith M onte C arlo results of the $\mathrm{X} Y \mathrm{~m}$ odel [12]. F inally, Fig. 9 (c) show the values of $g$ obtained from the relation $g=2^{q} \overline{\mathcal{K}_{1} \mathcal{F}_{2}}$. The coupling constant estim ated in this way is in good agreem ent with that obtained from the transfer $m$ atrix spectra. That the values of $g$ at $y_{c}$ fallshort of the expected value $9=4$ is attributed to slow convergence arising from the presence of logarithm ic corrections. From these num erical results, we conclude that the phase transition belongs to the universality class of the KT transition.

## V.SUMMARYAND D ISCUSSION

In sum $m$ ary, we have investigated the phase transitions in the $\mathbb{D} W$ model. There are three phases: the $q=0$ and $q=2=3 C$ phases and the IC phase. $T$ he transition between the $q=0 C$ phase and the IC phase is the PT transition when the interactions between
dom ain walls are repulsive or weakly attractive. $W$ hen the interaction is strongly attractive, it becom es the rst-order phase transition $w$ ith a discontinuity of the dom ain wall density. The nature of the transitions are altered because of form ation of bounded dom ain wall states. A s to the transition between the $q=2=3$ C phase and the IC phase, we suggested the free-energy functional is that of the $G$ aussian $m$ odel w ith a sym m etry-breaking eld $w$ th the scaling dim ension $X_{3 ; 0}$. The sym $m$ etry-breaking eld accounts for e ects of the repulsive interaction and induces the K T transition from the critical phase to the ordered phase. We con m ed this soenario by calculating the sti ness constants from the M onte $C$ arlo sim ulations. From the transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethod, we calculated the $G$ aussian coupling constant in the IC phase and the critical interaction strength. P hase boundaries in the "plane are also determ ined from the transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethod.

The dom ain wall model is constructed from the TAF $\mathbb{M}$ with the restriction that three spins on elem entary triangles cannot have the sam e sign. If this is allow ed the excitation of this type constitutes a topological excitation where two-dom ain walls are created or annihilated [4]. It plays the role of vortex and antivortex excitation in the XY m odel. $T$ he scaling dim ension of the excitation is $\mathrm{X}_{0 ; 2}$. Since it is irrelevant when $\mathrm{g}>1$, it cannot alter the nature of the C-IC transition of the $q=2=3 \mathrm{C}$ phase where $\mathrm{g}=9=4$ [13].

In the $P \mathbb{D} W$ model where the interaction and the chem ical potential is anisotropic the repulsive interaction stabilizes the $q=1=2 \mathrm{C}$ phase. But, the phase transition is of the P T type. Thus the anisotropy plays an im portant role in determ ining the nature of the phase transition. The anisotropy produces an extra term in the free-energy functionalw hich couples to the di erences of the num ber of dom ain walls of type 1 and 2. So the P $\mathbb{D} W$ m odelwould be described by the e ective free-energy functional

$$
F={ }^{Z} d^{2} r^{2} \frac{1}{2} K_{1}{\frac{@}{@ x_{1}}}^{!_{2}}+\frac{1}{2} K_{2} \frac{@}{@_{x_{2}}} \quad{ }_{2}+V_{p} \cos (p(r))^{5}
$$

where the symmetry-breaking eld accounts for the ordering induced from dom ain-wall interactions and the linear tem @ $=@ x_{2}$ accounts for the e ect of the anisotropy. In this m odel, the phase transition is induced by the coupling . It induces a dom ain wall type
excitation and the phase transition is in the universality class of the P T transition [5].
Even though we studied only the equilibrium properties of the $\mathbb{D} W$ m odel in this work, non-equilibrium version is also of interest since it describes a driven dom ain wall model or the hypercube stadking $m$ odel [3] and also the charge conduction in the charge-density-w ave system [14]. W e leave it for further study.
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## A P P END IX A:

In this appendix, we derive expressions for the largest eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenket $j$ i of the transfer $m$ atrix $T_{Q}$ for $Q=2$ analytically. $T$ he eigenket is of the form

$$
j i=\underset{1 m<n N}{x} a(m ; n) \dot{m} ; n i
$$

where jn ; ni denotes a state with two dom ain walls at sites $m$ and $n$. The eigenvalue equation $T_{2} j i=j$ igives linear equations for coe cients $a(m ; n)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(m ; n)=\sum_{m^{0}<n^{0}}^{x} a\left(m^{0} ; n^{0}\right) h m ; n \mathbb{F}_{2} \dot{m n}^{0} ; n^{0} i: \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ is applied to a stateket in ; ni , it generates a new stateket:
where $y$ is the interaction param eter. Thus Eq. A1) becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& a(m ; n \not m+1)=a(m ; n)+a(m \quad 1 ; n \quad 1)+a(m ; n \quad 1)+a(m \quad 1 ; n)  \tag{A2}\\
& a(m ; n=m+1)=y a(m ; m+1)+y a(m \quad 1 ; m)+a(m \quad 1 ; m+1) \quad: \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations are solved by the B ethe A nsatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(m ; n)=A_{12} z_{1}^{m} z_{2}^{n}+A_{21} z_{1}^{n} z_{2}^{m} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{12}, A_{21}, z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ are constants to be found. Eq. A2) is autom atically satis ed by $a(m ; n)$ given in Eq. A4) provided the eigenvalue is

$$
=\left(1+z_{1}\right)\left(1+z_{2}\right):
$$

W e can make Eq. A3) be satis ed by im posing the condition that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{n})}+\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~m} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{n} \quad 1)+\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{n} \quad 1)+\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~m} \\
&1 ; \mathrm{n})]_{\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m}+1} \\
&=\operatorname{ya}(\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{m}+1)+\operatorname{ya}(\mathrm{m} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{m})+\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~m} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{m}+1) \quad:
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives the relation between $A_{12}$ and $A_{21}$ as

$$
\frac{A_{12}}{A_{21}}=\frac{1}{(y} \begin{array}{ll}
( & 1) \frac{1}{z_{1}}+z_{2} \\
1 & (y \\
1) & z_{1}+\frac{1}{z_{2}}
\end{array}
$$

If we use a periodic boundary condition $a(n ; m+N)=a(m ; n)$, we obtain the equations for $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ :

$$
z_{1}^{N}=\frac{A_{21}}{A_{12}} ; \quad z_{2}^{N}=\frac{A_{12}}{A_{21}} ;
$$

M ultiplying the tw o equations together gives $\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{N}=1$ which implies that $z_{1} z_{2}=$ where is an $N$ th root of unity. The eigenvector corresponding to the $m$ axim um eigenvalue com es from the solution $w$ ith $=1$ which $m$ eans that $z=1=z_{2} \quad z . T$ he value of $z$ is determ ined from the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{N}=\frac{1 \quad 2(y \quad 1) z}{1 \frac{2(y \quad 1)}{z}}: \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solutions of Eq. A5) is given by

$$
z=\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{8}{\gtrless} 2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{y} & 1
\end{array}\right)+0 \frac{1}{(2 \mathrm{y} 2)^{N}} & \text { if } \mathrm{y}> \\
\stackrel{3}{2} \\
\stackrel{\geqslant}{?} 1+0 \frac{1}{N} & \text { if } y \quad \frac{3}{2} \quad:
\end{array}
$$

In the $\lim$ it $N!1$, this gives the eigenvalues and eigenvectors presented in Eq. (12).
Unfortunately the Bethe A nsatz works only for $Q=2$ and fails when $Q \quad 3$. Thus we are not able to get analytic results for generale. H ow ever, the solution for $Q=2$ provides a useful approxim ation near the $q=0 C$ to IC transition as discussed in Sec. $\mathbb{T}$.
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## Table C aption

Table I. The transferm atrix calculation of the KT transition point of the $\mathbb{D} W \mathrm{~m}$ odel. Estim ates of the critical interaction strength $\mathrm{y}_{1}(\mathbb{N})$ is found by solving the equation $g(\mathbb{N})=$ $9=4$ by the N ew ton iteration $m$ ethod. $T$ he sequence of $\mathrm{y}_{1}(\mathbb{N})$ is tted to the form $\mathrm{y}_{1}(\mathbb{N})=$ $y_{2}+a=(b+\log N)$ to obtain a sequence of extrapolated values $y_{2}(\mathbb{N}) . y_{c}$ is estim ated by tting $y_{2}(\mathbb{N})$ again to the sam e asym ptotic form. The error is estim ated from the di erence between $y_{c}$ and $y_{2}(9)$

## Figure C aptions

Fig. 1. Three ground states of the dom ain wall system with repulsive interactions when $0 \ll 3 "$. The broken line represents a triangular lattioe and solid line represents sections of the dom ain wall. Each ground state is obtained by periodic repetitions of each unit in the vertical direction.

Fig. 2. A $n$ excited state of the dom ain wall system with repulsive interactions. The sm all num bers on vertices of a triangular lattioe denote heights $h_{i}$ of an equivalent T ISO $S$ con $g-$ uration and the large num bers in dotted triangles denote the coarse-grained heights K . Fig. 3. An exam ple of a dom ain wall con guration in successive two row s of a triangular lattice of $w$ idth $N=6$. The dom ain wall con guration of the lower row is represented by the stateket $11 ; 2 ; 3 ; 5 i$ while that of the upper one is represented by $1 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5 i$. The
 con guration and is equal to $y$.

Fig. 4 (a). Estim ates of the $G$ aussian coupling constant $g(\mathbb{N})$ as a function of $q$ for several values of $y$. The symbols $4,3,+, 2$, and correspond to $N=6,9,12,15$, and 18 , respectively. The values ofy are $02,0.4,0.6,0.8$, and 1.0 from top to bottom at each values of $q$. The broken line denotes the line $g=9=4$. (b) Same as in (a) but for the values of $y$ $1.0,1.1,12,1.3,1.4$, and 1.5 from top to bottom .

Fig. 5. P hase boundary of the $q=2=3 C-I C$ transition in the $-y$ plane. are obtained by extrapolating $(\mathbb{N}) . T$ hebroken line is draw $n$ at the critical interaction strength $\mathrm{y}=0.252$.

+ and $m$ erge into a single value near that line. Solid lines are guides to the eye.
Fig. 6. E stim ates of 0 (a) and $g_{b}(b)$ obtained from transferm atrix caloulations (sym bols). The two-dom ain wall approxim ation for 0 and the approxim ation $q \quad 1=d_{0}$ are also show $n$ in (a) and (b), respectively, by solid lines.

Fig. 7. Phase diagram s of the $\mathbb{D} W$ m odelw th the repulsive (" > 0) and attractive (" < 0) interactions. The solid lines (| ) denote the PT transition, the broken line (\{ \{ \{) the K T transition and the dotted line ( ) the rst-order transition. The PT transition lines are
straight lines w ith slope $1=\log 2$.
Fig. 8. M onte Carlo results for the energy uctuation $C$. This result indicates that the energy uctuation rem ains nite when the system enters the ordered phase. T he broken line is draw n at $\mathrm{y}=0.252$.
 $G$ aussian coupling constant $g$ (c). They show characteristic behaviors of the K T transition.

TABLES

| N | Y1 | $y_{2}$ | $y_{c}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.170790486223 | 0.265782 | 0.252 |
| 6 | 0.197135051080 | 0.256660 | 0.255360 |
| 9 | 0.206717177287 |  |  |
| 12 | 0.211836668182 |  |  |

Table I
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