A Cellular Autom aton M odel for D i usive and D issipative System s

T.C.Chan<sup>1</sup>, H.F.Chau<sup>2;3</sup>, and K.S.Cheng<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong.

<sup>2</sup> School of Natural Sciences, Institute for Advanced Study, O blen Lane, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Physics, University of Illinois, 1110 West Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. (December 31, 2021)

## Abstract

We study a cellular autom aton model, which allows di usion of energy (or equivalently any other physical quantities such as mass of a particular compound) at every lattice site after each timestep. Unit amount of energy is random ly added onto a site. Whenever the local energy content of a site reaches a xed threshold  $E_{c1}$ , energy will be dissipated. Dissipation of energy propagates to the neighboring sites provided that the energy contents of those sites are greater than or equal to another xed threshold  $E_{c2}$  ( $E_{c1}$ ). Under such dynamics, the system evolves into three di erent types of states depending on the values of  $E_{c1}$  and  $E_{c2}$  as re-

ected in their dissipation size distributions, nam ely: localized peaks, power laws, or exponential laws. This model is able to describe the behaviors of various physical systems including the statistics of burst sizes and burst rates in type-IX -ray bursters. Com parisons between our model and the fam ous forest- remodel (FFM) are made.

PACS numbers: 05.40.+ j 05.70 Fh, 05.70 Ln, 64.60.-i

Typeset using  $REVT_EX$ 

To whom all correspondence should be addressed.

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

For m any years, cellular autom aton m odels have been playing in portant roles in the study of som e non-linear and spatially extended system s. In m ost cases, these m odels cannot be solved analytically and their investigations rely m ainly on computer simulations. Forest- remodel (FFM ) [1], Eden m odel [2] and earthquake simulations [3] are some examples. Besides, a lot of simplic cations has been taken in these m odels, m aking their underlying physics unclear. In this paper, we introduce a simple cellular autom aton m odel that accounts for the stochastic energy (or equivalently any other physical quantity) introduction, occasional energy dissipation, and energy di usion in an open physical system. This kind of non-equilibrium systems are common in nature. We met that the system shows di erent behaviors with di erent parameters. And with an appropriate choice of parameters, the m odel can be applied (som etim es after a sm all changes in the geom etry) to explain the statistics of the occasional outburst of energy (or other physical quantities) in various physical system s including the therm onuclear runaways in X-ray bursters [4] and the sudden CO<sub>2</sub> gas release in crater lakes [5].

We introduce the model in Section II. Then we discuss the signi cance of various parameters and present the general results of computer simulation in Section III. In Section IV, we concentrate on a special case and compare it with the FFM. Finally, a conclusion together with a discussion on the application of our model can be found in Section IV.

## II. THE MODEL OF DIFFUSION AND DISSIPATION

We consider a L L square lattice each of size L L. The energy contained in a lattice site r is denoted by E (r), which is a non-negative real number. Since the sites are of equal areas, the ratio of energy to energy density is a constant for all site. Evolution of the system is governed by the following rules:

1. Energy Introduction: at each timestep, a unit amount of energy is added to a random ly selected site  $r_0$ , i.e.

$$E(r_0) ! E(r_0) + 1.$$
 (1)

It should be noted that the energy introduction rate to the entire system is being xed. Our energy introduction rule takes only spatial uctuation into account.

2. Triggering 0 f E nergy D issipation: whenever the energy of a site  $r_0$  reaches a ked value  $E_{c1}$  called the triggering threshold, energy stored at that site will be dissipated, i.e.

if E 
$$(r_0)$$
 =  $E_{c1}$ , then E  $(r_0)$  ! 0. (2)

N one that the triggering threshold  $E_{cl}$  is the same at all sites and is time independent. The dissipation process is called \burning". Since all sites are of equal areas, we can regard the \regression regression being triggered whenever the local energy density at some place exceeds a predeterm ined triggering threshold.

3. Propagation 0 f E nergy D issipation: nearest neighbors of a burning site burn provided that their energy content are greater than or equal to a xed value  $E_{c2}$  ( $E_{c1}$ ) called the propagation threshold, i.e.

if E 
$$(r_0^0)$$
 E<sub>c2</sub>, then E  $(r_0^0)$  ! 0 (3)

whenever  $r_0^0$  is a nearest neighbor of the burning site  $r_0$ . We use periodic boundary conditions in the determ ination of neighboring sites. One may regard the triggering threshold  $E_{cl}$  as the (energy) density required to activate the dissipative process. Once the \burning" takes place, the energy release may heat up the neighboring sites and hence the density threshold required for the meto propagate,  $E_{c2}$ , is reduced. This is valid in many physical and chemical reactions.

The burning process continues until no m ore lattice site in the system catches re. The energy dissipation (or outburst) is then completed. This is the fastest process in the system and so the whole burning process is assumed to take place in a single timestep.

- F inally, we de ne a \bumable cluster" as a collection of sites such that all of them will catch re in case any single one of them bums. This is a useful concept when discussing the statistics of energy outburst.
- 4. Energy Di usion: di usion takes place in each tim estep, i.e.

$$E(r) ! E(r) + E(r)$$
 for all r (4)

where E depends on the system con guration, the di usion constant D, the lattice size L, and the physical time t corresponding to a cellular autom atom timestep. But without lost of generality, we can always rescale our time and space to make both t and L equal 1. Furtherm ore, the method we use to evaluate E(r) is reported in the Appendix.

Various initial conditions, such as starting with all E(r) = 0, have been used. However, the long term statistics is independent of the initial conditions because both burning and di usion erase the history of the system. A like the model we have introduced is based on a two-dimensional square lattice, generalizations to other dimensions and griding methods are straight forward. More complete study of the model in various dimensions will be reported in future works [6]. Finally, a snapshot of a typical system conguration immediately before a re is triggered is shown in Fig.1.

# III. EXPECTATIONS AND SIMULATION RESULTS

Before presenting the results of our num erical simulation, let us try to argue qualitatively what we expect to see.

#### A.Expectations

There are three tuning parameters in our model: namely  $E_{cl}$ ,  $E_{c2}$ , and D. Large average dissipation size is a direct consequence of having large burnable clusters in mediately before the system catches re. And large burnable clusters can be obtained in either one of the following ways:

- 1. Large D i usion Constant: di usion spreads energy out and wipes out inform ation on where the energy packet is rst introduced to the system . Having a large D m eans that im m ediately before a sudden energy dissipation, the energy content in each site is approximately equal except for those few sites where energy packets are recently introduced. So, we expect the whole system to be covered by a single burnable cluster im m ediately before each burning.
- 2. Sm allP ropagation To Triggering Thresholds Ratio: in this case, most of the sites have enough energy content to continue the propagation once the burning begins. Once again, we expect to nd a single burnable cluster covering the entire system immediately before each burning [4].

Using the same argument, small average dissipation size can be obtained when di usion is unimportant and when  $E_{c2}$  is comparable to  $E_{c1}$ . For every  $xed E_{c1}$  and  $E_{c2}$ , the average burnable cluster size immediately before a burning increases with D. Therefore, starting from a subcritical system (i.e. system with small burnable clusters only) with D = 0, a supercritical system (i.e. system with large burnable clusters only) can be obtained simply by increasing the value of D. We also expect to nd a critical value of D, which is a function of  $E_{c1}$  and  $E_{c2}$ , such that burnable clusters of all sizes can be found immediately before burning. This is the critical state in ourm odel. Numerical experiments we have done con immour expectations, and we are going to report it in the coming Subsection.

### B. The roles of di usion

In order to study the e ects of di usion, we vary the di usion constant D from 10 to  $10^2$  in a 64 64 lattice with  $E_{c1}$  and  $E_{c2}$  equal 5.0 and 2.0 respectively. We found that the average size of energy dissipation hS i increases as the di usion constant D increases (see Fig. 2). A lthough we only report the system behavior when it assumes the above parameters, the general behavior of the system using di erent values of thresholds are unchanged.

We observe that when D > 3  $10^3$ , both the energy dissipation size S and the time since last dissipation T become very regular (see Fig. 3). This is the consequence of having a single burnable cluster covering the whole surface just before the burning. Thus all the energy available in the system is dissipated during the burning. As a result, S equals the total amount of energy dum ped into the system since its last dissipation which is in turn equal to T. The strong correlation between S and T for high di usion system is shown in Fig. 4 (the circle symbols). To study this correlation, we de ne the correlation coe cient by:

$$=\frac{hSTi hSihTi}{sT},$$
(5)

where  $_{\rm S}$  and  $_{\rm T}$  are the standard deviations of S and T respectively. It can be shown that varies from -1 to 1, and the value of 1 (-1) in plies that S and T are completely positive (negative) correlated while 0 m eans that they are uncorrelated. The dashed line in Fig.2 shows that increases with increasing D indicating that a strong positive correlation between S and T for system with large di usion constant. In this case, the distributions of energy dissipation P (S) and time interval P (T) are the same, and both of them show localized skewed peaks (see Fig.3).

For a smaller D (5  $10^4 < D < 3$   $10^3$ ), the strong correlation between S and T begins to break down. W eaker di usion permits greater uctuation in the energy content at di erent sites. The system is likely to catch re before all the sites are connected. Hence not all energy available in the system is dissipated, and some clusters may have after a burning. As shown in Fig. 4, (S;T) [the plus symbols] are distributed around their mean values. In addition, if no burning is triggered for su cient long time, a system wide dissipation can happen. decreases from 1 in this region as well. A consequence of the incom plete burning is that the probability of having a triggering site in a small burnable cluster is greatly increased. Events with small energy dissipation size begin to appear and hence the correlation increases slightly as D decreases around D = 6  $10^4$ .

As D decreases further (10  $^{5}$  < D < 5  $10^{4}$ ), sm all dissipation begins to dom inate. In fact, P (S) changes from localized peak to power law and nally to exponential decay. In our simulation, we found that the critical di usion constant  $D_{crit}$  to be 1:4  $10^{4}$ . Surely this critical value is a function of E<sub>cl</sub> and E<sub>c2</sub>. For even sm aller D (D <  $10^{5}$ ), di usion becomes insigni cant. The heights of nearby sites are nearly uncorrelated and hence P (S) shows a very early cuto (i.e. an exponential decay). Fig. 3 depicts the localized skewed peak, power law and exponential behaviors of P (S) as a result of di erent di usion constant D.

It should be noted that when P (S) follows a power law, the corresponding distribution of time interval between successive burning P (T) decreases exponentially for large T. It means that there is a characteristic time interval for the occurrence of burnings even when scaling behavior is observed in the distribution of dissipation sizes. It is because the burnable clusters are spatially separated and the largest burnable cluster is only a portion of the system. The total number of critical sites in the entire system and hence the probability of triggering a burning are more or less the same all the time. It is expected that this case has similar features with respect to the one studied in the next section.

## C . The roles of triggering and propagation thresholds

In our simulations, we have not studied the e ect of the thresholds on the model in detail. However, we believe that the above behaviors would be also observed when we vary  $E_{c1}$  or  $E_{c2}$  instead of D. In fact, when one of the three parameters is xed, we may observe any one of the above behaviors by carefully choosing the other two parameters together with a reasonable lattice size. For example, reducing  $E_{c2}$  has similar elects as increasing D (see Fig. 5).

## IV.COMPARISON W ITH FOREST-FIRE MODEL

Forest- remodel (FFM) was rst introduced by Bak et al. as an example of self-organized criticality (SOC) [1]. They considered a lattice of cells where individual cell belongs to either one of the following states: a green tree, a burning tree, and a void (i.e. no tree). In each timestep, a tree grows on an empty cell with probability p, and a green tree burns if at least one of its nearest neighbors contains a burning tree. Finally, a burning tree becomes a void in the next timestep. G rassberger and K antz [7] later showed that the model is not critical in the limit p! 0. Later on, D rossel and Schwabl [8] modil ed the model by introducing a lightning probability f with which a green tree catches re. This version of FFM shows criticality in the sense that anom alous scaling

laws are observed provided that  $(f=p)^{0} p^{1} f^{1}$  where  $^{0} = 0.58$  for two-dimensional FFM [9]. In this Section, we compare our model with the FFM introduced by D rossel and Schwabl.

The parameters of our model should be chosen reasonably so that our model would resemble the FFM.First of all, there is no di usion in the FFM.So we require D 1. The propagation of

re in the FFM is always allowed and thus we should have  $E_{c2} = E_{c1}$ . In 2-dimensional FFM, SO C behavior is claimed provided that  $(f=p)^{0.58} = p^{-1} = f^{-1}$  [9]. It can be interpreted as the time taken by a forest reason which is in turn much shorter than the time interval of two successive lightning at the same site. In our discusse and dissipative cellular autom atom model, the rst criterion is satistic ed automatically because it takes only one timestep to burn down a cluster. For the second criterion, we should set  $E_{c1} = 1$  in order to reduce the frequency of burning. On the other hand,  $E_{c1}$  should not be too large, or else the burnable clusters become too large and hence only large events are observed. This is consistent with the FFM in which f cannot be too small in comparison to p. A fler taking all the above criteria into consideration, we choose  $E_{c1} = 6$ ,  $E_{c2} = 1$  and D = 0 with a 512 512 periodic square lattice in our simulation. O f course, other choices of parameters are possible (e.g.  $E_{c1} = 6$ ,  $E_{c2} = 0.1$  and  $D = 10^{-7}$ ) and the results obtained are qualitatively the same.

In the FFM, a cluster of size s is de ned as a group of s neighboring sites with green trees. In contrast, the size s of a burnable cluster in our model is de ned as the number of sites in that burnable cluster. In Fig. 6, we plot the distribution of cluster size N (s) and the root mean quadratic radius R (s). We not that

$$N(s) = 2:11 \quad 0:02,$$
 (6)

and

$$R(s) s^{I} with = 1.87 \quad 0.02. \tag{7}$$

W hile the exponent is similar to the accurate results obtained by C lar et al. [9] and G rassberger [10] for the FFM, the exponent di ers from the num erical value of 1:96 0:01 obtained by C lar et al. recently in their FFM simulations [9].

We then study the model with dimerent  $E_{c1}$  and some numerical results are tabulated in Table I. The mean density of sites with energy content greater than the propagation threshold  $E_{c2}$  can be related to the average burning size hS i by

$$hSi j_c j$$
 (8)

where  $_{\rm c}$  is the mean density at critical point. U sing the measured results we have estimated that

$$_{\rm c} = 0.410 \quad 0.002,$$
 (9)

$$= 2:57 \quad 0:01.$$
 (10)

A lthough the tted value of is di erent,  $_{\rm c}$  is the same as that of the FFM within numerical accuracy.

The greatest di erence between our model and the FFM is the probability p(s) of burning for a cluster of size s. In the FFM, p(s) is proportional to s as all cluster sites are triggering sites in

the sense that they are ready to trigger a re once lightning occurs. But in our model, only a few percent of the sites in a burnable cluster are triggering sites. Fig. 7 shows that the distribution of energy dissipation P (S) scales as S with an exp (S=S) cuto . We also plot the distribution of burning cluster size D (s) and nd that within the accuracy of our simulation, P (S) and D (s) share the same exponent in the scaling region. Thus we have s S and hence

$$D(s) / s \exp(s=s) with = 0.85 0.01,$$
 (11)

while it is known that 1:0 for the FFM .Further num erical experiments show that decreases as D increases in ourmodel (see Fig. 5). In conclusion, although ourmodel is similar to that of the FFM, they belong to two dimensionality classes.

A lthough depends on the value of D, we nd within numerical errors that the value of does not change with  $E_{cl}$ . In Fig. 8 one can see that di erent  $E_{cl}$  a ects the range of scaling region but not the scaling exponent of P (S). It is easy to realize that for a larger  $E_{cl}$  it takes a longer time to trigger a burning and allows the growth of larger clusters. In order to prove the fallo of D (s) for large s is not due to the nite size of the system, simulations using larger lattice size have been done and the same spectra of D (s) (in terms of the number of sites s) are obtained. Furtherm ore, the distribution of time interval between successive burnings P (T) shows an exponential decay as discussed in Section II. We conclude in our simulation that no system -wide dissipation occurs.

In addition to the RMS radius, we de ne a maximum elongation d(s) which is the maximum distance between any two sites in a cluster of size s to investigate the shape of the clusters. We found that

d(s) 
$$s^{1} = w \pm h^{0} = 1.82 \quad 0.02.$$
 (12)

Fig. 6b tells us that for sm all s, both R (s) and d(s) deviate from a straight line. This is because of the discreteness of the lattice. For larger value of s, however, they follow power laws of sim ilar exponents and the ratio d(s)=R (s) increases to a constant value argund 2.8 which is very close to the value of a 2-dimensional compact circular object (which equals 8). This concludes that sm all burnable clusters are generally irregular and asymmetric objects due to the random uctuation of their form ation. As the size of a burnable cluster increases, clusters become more and more regular and spherical. The ratio d(s)=R (s) shows that in the average the clusters are more or less compact objects for large s. On the other hand, the values of and <sup>0</sup> suggest that the clusters may have fractal structures with a dimension around 1.9. However, at this stage we cannot determ ine the fractal dimension with accuracy because there is no a general e cient method to estimate it and also our simulation is not close enough to the critical point of the system. It is interesting to note that when we choose a random site near the center of mass of a large cluster, the average local density of the cluster around that site is close to the site percolation threshold 0.59. We believe that there is a close relationship between site percolation and our model (or the FFM ) and further investigation is needed to draw any conclusion.

### V.CONCLUSIONSAND OUTLOOK

In this paper, we introduce a cellular autom aton model for di usive and dissipative systems, and we have described its behaviors in various di erent locations in the parameter space. First, we study the e ect of di usion and nd that P (S) may follow a localized skewed peak, power law, or exponential decay. Then we consider the case without di usion and compare it with the fam ous forest-remodel.

W ith a careful choice of parameters and geometry, this model is able to describe qualitatively the behavior of a number of physical systems, including the behaviors of type-I X -ray bursters (details can be found in Reference [4]) and  $CO_2$  gas outbursts in some crater lakes (details can be found in Reference [5]). The following is a brief description of the above two physical systems and the reason why our cellular autom atom model can be used to describe their behaviors.

Type-IX -ray bursts are results of them onuclear explosion on the surface of an accreting neutron star. Nuclear fuel, usually making up of hydrogen and helium, is deposited on the neutron star surface. W henever the local density of nuclear fuel is greater than a threshold value, which is determined by the speci c nuclear reaction involved, a them onuclear runaway takes place. The nuclear reaction can spread to its neighbors if they contain su cient amount of nuclear fuel. It results in a transient ash in the X-ray band, called a type-IX-ray burst. Type-I bursts are di erent from the more frequently repeating type-II bursts, which are believed to be results of accretion disk instability [11]. It is easy to estimate also that the material di usion timescale in this problem is so long as compared to the typical time interval between two successive bursts. Thus material di usion is not important in this problem. A fler taken into account for the spatial uctuation in the accretion process, the system can be described using our cellular autom aton model on a spherical surface with D = 0. In addition, the values of E cl and E c2 can be determined in principle once the speci c nuclear reaction, cooling process, and the typical mass of an accreting blob are given. And the burst statistics predicted by our model is consistent with observations [4].

A nother application of the di usive and dissipative model is the gas outburst statistics in some crater lakes.  $CO_2$  gas is injected to the bottom of the lake by some natural processes [12]. Note that the solubility of  $CO_2$  in water increases with pressure (and hence the depth of water). So once the  $CO_2$  concentration in the bottom of the lake becomes supersaturated, gas bubble will form and it will drive the water around it to move up causing further degassing. A catastrophic gas outburst is resulted. Taking into account the horizontal water ow in the lake, we can describe the outburst of a crater lake using our model with a large D, and hence rather regular gas outburst is expected.

Further investigation of this model in other spatial dimensions, behavior of the model at criticality as a function of di usion constant D, and other possible applications of the model will be reported in future work [6].

#### APPENDIX: METHOD OF HANDLING DIFFUSION

The energy di usion equation in continuous at two-dimensional space is

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t} = D \quad \frac{\theta^2}{\theta x^2} + \frac{\theta^2}{\theta y^2}$$
(13)

where is the energy density. In our cellular autom aton model, we approximate the above equation using the nite di erence:

$$E (r;t+t) = D - \frac{t^{X}}{L^{2}} E (r^{0};t) E (r;t)] + E (r;t) \text{ for all } r$$
(14)

where E (r;t) is the energy content of site r are time t, and the sum is over all the nearest neighbors of r. This is possible since the areas of lattice sites are the same. The value of D is coupled with the system size and the timestep employed. Eq. (14) is a good approximation to the actual di usion process in continuous spacetime if D t=  $L^2$  1. This criteria is satisfied in all the cases we have reported in this paper. In the event that D is too large, an adaptive integration scheme to calculate E (r;t+ t) is employed.

The di usion constant is an invariant under any rescaling of the system . This is true provided that the changes in L and t are correlated. That is, t !  $^2$  twhenever L ! L.

## ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

W e would like to thank B.D rossel and the anonym ous referee for their useful comments. This work is supported by UPGC grant of H ong H ong, DOE grant DE-FG 02-90ER 40542 and NSF grant AST-9315133 of the USA.

# REFERENCES

- [1] Bak, P., Chen, K., & Tang, C., 1990, Phys. Lett., A 147, 297
- [2] Eden, M., 1961 in Proc. 4-th Berkeley Symp. on M ath. Statistics and Probability, Vol. 4., Ed. Neyman, F. (Berkeley, University of California Press)
- [3] Bak, P., & Tang, C., 1989, J. Geophys. Res., B 94, 15635; Christensen, K., & Olami, Z., 1992, Phys. Rev. A 46, 1829
- [4] Chau, H.F., Cheng, K.S., Chan, T.C., & Lamb, F.K., 1994, Ap.J., accepted
- [5] Chau, H.F., Kwok, P.K., & Mak, L., 1994, submitted
- [6] Chan, T.C., Chau, H.F., & Cheng, K.S., in preparation (1994).
- [7] G rassberger, P., & Kantz, H., 1991, J. Stat. Phys., 63, 685
- [8] Drossel, B. & Schwabl, F., 1992, Phys. Rev. Lett., 69, 1629
- [9] Clar, S., Drossel, B., & Schwabl, F., 1994, Phys. Rev. E, 50, 1009
- [10] Grassberger, P., 1993, J. Phys. A, 26, 2081
- [11] Lew in, W. H. G., Van Paradijs, J., & Taam, R. E., 1993, Space Sci. Rev., 62, 223 and the references cited therein
- [12] K ling, G . W ., et al., 1987, Science, 236, 169 and the references cited therein

TABLES

E <sub>c1</sub>	hE i					
4	0:011	0:006	53 <b>:</b> 0	0:4	0:3343	0:0005
5	0 <b>:</b> 008	0:006	178	2	0:363	0:001
6	+ 0:012	0:006	643	6	0:381	0:001
7	+ 0:02	0:01	2440	40	0:393	0:001

TABLE I. Some num erical results on a 512 512 lattice with  $E_{c2} = 1$  and D = 0.

#### FIGURES

FIG.1. A greyscale snapshot of a 512 512 lattice with  $E_{c1} = 6$ ,  $E_{c2} = 1$ , and D = 0. The higher the value of E, the darker the dot is.

FIG.2. The variation of the m can dissipation size hS i (solid line) and the correlation coe cient (dash line) against the di usion constant D. All the simulations are done in a 64 64 lattice with  $E_{c1} = 5.0$  and  $E_{c2} = 2.0$  respectively. The same set of parameters is used in Figs. 3 and 4.

FIG.3. Distributions of (a) energy dissipation size S, and (b) time interval between successive energy dissipation T for dimension of D.

FIG.4. Correlation of the time since last energy dissipation T and the size of energy dissipation S for dimensioned dimensional transformation of D .

FIG.5. The distribution of energy dissipation size of a system with D = 5 10<sup>5</sup> and  $E_{c2} = 2$  (solid line). The e ect of increasing D to 2 10<sup>4</sup> (dotted line) is qualitatively similar to that of reducing  $E_{c2}$  to 1 (dashed line).

FIG.6. The distribution of (a) cluster size N (s); (b) RMS radius R (s) and maximum elongation d(s).

FIG.7. A plot of (a) P (S) against S; and (b) D (s) against S with  $E_{c1} = 6$ ,  $E_{c2} = 1$  and D = 0 in a 512 512 lattice. A scaling region from 10 to  $10^3$  is observed with an exponent of 0.85.

FIG.8.D istribution of energy dissipation size P (S) with  $E_{c1} = 7$  (solid line), 6 (long dash line), 5 (dotted line) and 4 (med dash line) for a 512 512 lattice.  $E_{c2} = 1$ , and D = 0. As since in the S vs.  $P_{E_{c1}}$  (S)= $P_{E_{c1}}$  (10) plot, the scaling region increases with increasing  $E_{c1}$ . However, the scaling exponent is independent of  $E_{c1}$ .