Concentration and energy uctuations in a critical polymermixture

M.Muller and N.B.W ilding

Institut fur Physik, Johannes Gutenberg Universitat, Staudinger W eg 7, D-55099 Mainz, Germany

Abstract

A sem i-grand-canonical M onte C arb algorithm is employed in conjunction with the bond uctuation m odel to investigate the critical properties of an asymmetric binary (AB) polymerm ixture. By applying the equalpeak-weight criterion to the concentration distribution, the coexistence curve separating the A-rich and B-rich phases is identified as a function of temperature and chemical potential. To locate the critical point of the model, the cumulant intersection m ethod is used. The accuracy of this approach for determining the critical parameters of uids is assessed. Attention is then focused on the joint distribution function of the critical concentration and energy, which is analysed using a mixed- eld nite-size-scaling theory that takes due account of the binary polymer critical point is con m ed by m apping the critical scaling operator distributions onto independently known form s appropriate to the 3D Ising universality class. In the process, estim ates are obtained for the eld mixing parameters of the model which are compared both with those yielded by a previous m ethod, and with the predictions of a mean eld calculation.

PACS numbers 64.70Ja, 05.70 Jk

1 Introduction

The critical point of binary liquid and binary polymerm ixtures, has been a subject of abiding interest to experim entalists and theorists alike for many years now. It is now well established that the critical point properties of binary liquid m ixtures fall into the Ising universality class (the default for systems with short ranged interactions and a scalar order parameter) [1]. Recent experimental studies also suggest that the same is true for polymer mixtures [2] [11]. However, since Ising-like critical behaviour is only apparent when the correlation length far exceeds the polymer radius of gyration $hR_{a}i$, the Ising regime in polymer mixtures is con ned (for all but the shortest chain lengths) to a very narrow temperature range near the critical point. Outside this range a crossover to mean-eld type behaviour is seen. The extent of the Ising region is predicted to narrow with increasing molecular weight in a manner governed by the G insburg criterion [12], disappearing entirely in the limit of in nite m olecular weight. A lthough experim ental studies of mixtures with diering molecular weights appear to con m qualitatively this behaviour [5], there are severe problem s in understanding the scaling of the so-called \G insburg number" (which marks the centre of the crossover region and is en pirically extracted from the experim ental data [13]) with molecular weight

C om puter sin ulation potentially o ers an additional source of physical insight into polymer critical behaviour, com plem enting that available from theory and experim ent. Unfortunately, simulations of binary polymerm ixtures are considerable more exacting in computational terms than those of simple liquid orm agnetic system s. The di culties stem from the problem sofdealing with the extended physical structure of polymers. In conventional canonical simulations, this gives rise to extremely slow polymer di usion rates, manifest in protracted correlation tim es [14, 15]. M oreover, the canonical ensemble does not easily perm it a satisfactory treatment of concentration uctuations, which are an essential feature of the near-critical region in polymer mixture. In this latter regard, sem i-grand-canonical ensemble (SGCE) Monte Carlo schemes are potentially more attractive than their canonical counterparts. In SGCE schemes one attempts to exchange a polymer of species A for one of species B or vice-versa, thereby permitting the concentration of the two species to uctuate. Owing, however, to excluded volum e restrictions, the acceptance rate for such exchanges is in general prohibitively sm all, except in the restricted case of symmetric polymer mixtures, where the molecular weights of the two coexisting species are identical (N $_{\rm A}$ = N $_{\rm B}$). All previous simulation work has therefore focused on these symmetric systems, mapping the phase diagram as a function of chain length and con m ing the Ising character of the critical point [16, 17, 18]. Tentative evidence for a crossover from Ising to mean eld behaviour away from the critical point was also obtained [19]. Hitherto how ever, no simulation studies of asymmetric polymermixtures ($N_A \in N_B$) have been reported.

Recently one of us has developed a new type of SGCE M onte C arlo m ethod that am eliorates som ewhat the computational di culties of dealing with asymmetric polymer m ixtures [20]. The m ethod, which is described brie y in section 3.1, perm its the study of m ixtures of polymer species of m olecular weight N_A and $N_B = kN_A$, with k = 2;3;4 . In this paper we shall employ the new m ethod to investigate the critical behaviour of such an asymmetric polymer m ixture. In particular we shall focus on those aspects of the critical behaviour of asymmetric m ixtures that di er from those of symmetric m ixtures. These di erence are rooted in the so called `eld m ixing' phenomenon, which m anifests the basic lack of energetic (Ising) symmetry between the coexisting phases of all realistic uid system s. A lthough it is expected to have no bearing on the universal properties of uids, eld m ixing does engender certain non-universale ects in near-critical uids. The most celebrated of these is a weak energy-like critical singularity in the coexistence diam eter [21, 22], the existence of which constitutes a failure for the 'law of rectilinear diam eter'. As we shall demonstrate however, eld mixing has a farm ore legible signature in the interplay of the near-critical energy and concentration uctuations, which are directly accessible to computer simulation.

In computer simulation of critical phenomena, nite-size-scaling (FSS) techniques are of great utility in allowing one to extract asymptotic data from simulations of nite size [23]. One particularly useful tool in this context is the order parameter distribution function [24, 25, 26]. Simulation studies of magnetic systems such as the Ising [25] and ⁴ models [27], demonstrate that the critical point form of the order parameter distribution function constitutes a useful hallmark of a university class. Recently however, FSS techniques have been extended to uids by incorporating eld mixing e ects [28, 29]. The resulting mixed-eld FSS theory has been successfully deployed in M onte C arb studies of critical phenomena in the 2D Lennard-Jones uid [29] and the 2D asymmetric lattice gas model [30].

The present work extends this program me of eld mixing studies to 3D complex uids with an investigation of an asymmetric polymermixture. The principal features of our study are as follows. We begin by studying the order parameter (concentration) distribution as a function of temperature and chemical potential. The measured distribution is used in conjunction with the equal peak weight criterion to obtain the coexistence curve of the model. Owing to the presence of eld mixing contributions to the concentration distribution, the equal weight criterion is found to break down near the uid critical point. Its use to locate the coexistence curve and critical concentration therefore results in errors, the magnitude of which we gauge using scaling arguments. The eld mixing component of the critical concentration distribution is then isolated and used to obtain estimates for the eld mixing parameters of the model. These estimates are compared with the results of a mean eld calculation.

We then turn our attention to the nite-size-scaling behaviour of the critical scaling operator distributions. This approach generalises that of previous eld mixing studies which concentrated largely on the eld mixing contribution to the order parameter distribution function. We show that for certain choices of the non-universal critical parameters the critical temperature, chemical potential and the two eld mixing parameters these operator distributions can be mapped into close correspondence with independently known universal forms is representative of the Ising universality class. This data collapse serves two purposes. Firstly, it acts as a powerfulm eans for accurately determining the critical point and eld mixing param – eters of model uid systems. Secondly and more generally, it serves to clarify the sense of the universality linking the critical polymer mixture with the critical Ising magnet. We com pare the ease and accuracy with which the critical parameters can be determined from the data collapse of the operator distributions, with that possible from studies of the order parameter distribution alone. It is argued that for critical uids the study of the scaling operator distributions represent the natural extension of the order parameter distribution analysis developed form odels of the Ising symmetry.

2 Background

In this section we review and extend the mixed-eld nite-size-scaling theory, placing it within the context of the present study.

The system we consider comprises a mixture of two polymer species which we denote A and B, having lengths N_A and N_B m onom ers respectively. The congurational energy (which we express in units of $k_B T$) resides in the intra-and intermolecular pairwise interactions between m onom ers of the polymer chains:

$$(frg) = \bigvee_{\substack{i \le j=1}}^{N} v(jr_i r_j)$$
(2.1)

where $N = n_A N_A + n_b N_B$ with n_A and n_B the number of A and B type polymers respectively. N is therefore the total number of m onomers (of either species), which in the present study is maintained strictly constant. The interm onom er potential v is assigned a square well form

$$v(r) = r_m r$$
 (2.2)
 $v(r) = 0 r > r_m$

where is the well depth and r_{m} denotes the maximum range of the potential. In accordance with previous studies of symmetric polymer mixtures [16, 17], we assign $A_{A} = B_{B} = B_{AB} > 0$.

The independent model parameters at our disposal are the chemical potential di erence per monom er between the two species = A B, and the well depth (both in units of $k_B T$). These quantities serve to control the observables of interest, namely the energy density u and the monom er concentrations A and B. Since the overall monom er density N = A + B is xed, how ever, it is su cient to consider only one concentration variable , which we take as the concentration of A-type monom ers:

$$A = L ^{d} n_{A} N_{A}$$
 (2.3)

The dimensionless energy density is de ned as:

$$u = L^{d-1} \text{ (frg)} \tag{2.4}$$

with d = 3 in the simulations to be chronicled below.

The critical point of the model is located by critical values of the reduced chemical potential di erence $_{\rm c}$ and reduced well-depth $_{\rm c}$. Deviations of and from their critical values control the sizes of the two relevant scaling eld that characterise the critical behaviour. In the absence of the special sym m etry prevailing in the Ising model, one nds that the relevant scaling elds com prise (asymptotically) linear com binations of the well-depth and chemical potential di erence [21]:

$$= _{c} + s(_{c}) h = _{c} + r(_{c})$$
 (2.5)

where is the therm al scaling eld and h is the ordering scaling eld. The parameters s and r are system-specic quantities controlling the degree of eld mixing. In particular r is identiable as the limiting critical gradient of the coexistence curve in the space of and . The role of s is somewhat less tangible; it controls the degree to which the chemical potential features in the therm all scaling eld, manifest in the widely observed critical singularity of the coexistence curve diameter of uids [1, 22, 31].

C on jugate to the two relevant scaling elds are scaling operators E and M, which comprise linear combinations of the concentration and energy density [28, 29]:

$$M = \frac{1}{1 \text{ sr}} [\text{ su}] \qquad E = \frac{1}{1 \text{ sr}} [\text{ u r }]$$
(2.6)

The operator M (which is conjugate to the ordering eld h) is term ed the ordering operator, while E (conjugate to the therm al eld) is term ed the energy-like operator. In the special case

of m odels of the Ising symmetry, (for which s = r = 0), M is simply the magnetisation while E is the energy density.

Near criticality, and in the limit of large system size L, the probability distributions p_L (M) and p_L (E) of the operators M and E are expected to be describable by nite-size-scaling relations having the form [25, 29]:

$$p_{L}$$
 (M) ' a_{M} ¹ L^{d} ^M p_{M} (a_{M} ¹ L^{d} ^M M; a_{A} L^{M} h; a_{E} L^{E}) (2.7a)

$$p_{L}(E) ' a_{E} {}^{L^{\alpha}} {}^{E}p_{E}(a_{E} {}^{L^{\alpha}} {}^{E}E;a_{M} L^{M}h;a_{E} L^{E})$$
(2.7b)

where M M M_c and E E E. The functions p_M and p_E are predicted to be universal, modulo the choice of boundary conditions and the system -speci c scale-factors a_M and a_E of the two relevant elds, whose scaling indices are $M = d = and_E = 1 =$ respectively. Precisely at criticality (h = = 0) equations 2.7a and 2.7b in ply

$$p_{\rm L}$$
 (M) ' $a_{\rm M}$ ¹L ⁼ $p_{\rm M}^2$ ($a_{\rm M}$ ¹L ⁼ M) (2.8a)

$$p_{\rm L}$$
 (E) $\prime a_{\rm E}^{-1} {\rm L}^{(1)} p_{\rm E}^{2} (a_{\rm E}^{-1} {\rm L}^{(1)} {\rm E})$ (2.8b)

where

$$p_{M}^{2}(x) \quad p_{M}(x; y = 0; z = 0) \quad p_{E}^{2}(x) \quad p_{E}(x; y = 0; z = 0) \quad (2.9)$$

are functions describing the universal and statistically scale-invariant uctuation spectra of the scaling operators, characteristic of the critical point.

The claim that the binary polymer critical point belongs to the Ising universality class is expressed in its fullest form by the requirement that the critical distribution of the uid scaling operators p_L (M) and p_L (E) match quantitatively their respective counterparts | the magnetisation and energy distributions | in the canonical ensemble of the critical Ising magnet. As we shall demonstrate, these mappings also permit a straightforward and accurate determination of the values of the eld mixing parameters s and r of the model.

An alternative route to obtaining estimates of the eld mixing parameters is via the eld mixing correction to the order parameter (i.e. concentration) distribution p_L (). At criticality, this distribution takes the form [29, 30]:

$$p_{L}()' a_{M} {}^{1}L = p_{M}^{2}(x) s_{A_{L}} a_{M} {}^{1}L {}^{(1)} {}^{)=} \frac{\theta}{\theta x} (p_{M}^{2}(x) {}^{?}(x)) + O(s^{2})$$

$$x = a_{M} {}^{1}L = [c_{M}] (2.10)$$

where

$$t^{?}(\mathbf{x}) = a_{E}^{-1}L^{d-1} [hu()i u_{e} r(c_{c})] + O(s)$$
 (2.11)

which we term the energy function, is a universal function characterising the critical energylike operator. Equation 2.10 states that to leading order in the eld mixing parameter s, the order parameter distribution is a sum of two distinct universal components. The rst of these, p_M^2 (x), is simply the universal ordering operator distribution featuring in equation 2.8a. The second, $\frac{\theta}{\theta_x}$ (p_M^2 (x) t^2 (x)), is a function characterising the mixing of the critical energylike operator into the order parameter distribution. This eld mixing term is down on the rst term by a factor L (1)= and therefore represents a correction to the large L lim - iting behaviour. Given further the symmetries of t (x) and p_M^2 (x), both of which are even (symmetric) in the scaling variable x [29], the eld mixing correction is the leading antisym - metric contribution to the concentration distribution. A coordingly, it can be isolated from m easurements of the critical concentration distribution simply by antisymmetrising around $_{c} = h i_{c}$. The values of s and r are then obtainable by matching the measured critical function $s_{e}^{\underline{\theta}}$ fp_L() [hu()i u r(_c)]g to the measured antisymmetric component of the critical concentration distribution [30]. In the simulations described below we shall compare the values of s and r obtained by this method with those obtained by matching the uid operator distributions to their Ising equivalent forms.

Finally in this section, we turn to a consideration of the nite-size-scaling behaviour of the energy distribution function. In contrast to the situation for the order parameter distribution described above, it transpires that eld mixing radically alters the limiting form of the critical energy density distribution. To substantiate this claim we reexpress u in terms of the scaling operators. Appealing to equation 2.6, one nds

$$u = E + rM \tag{2.12}$$

so that the critical energy density distribution is

$$p_{L}(u) = p_{L}(E + rM)$$
 (2.13)

Now the structure of the scaling form s 2.8a and 2.8b show that the typical size of the uctuations in the energy-like operator will vary with system size like $E = L^{(1)}$, while the typical size of the uctuations in the ordering operator vary like $M = L^{=}$. Given that in general <, it follows that asymptotically, the contribution of E to the argument on the right hand side of equation 2.13 can be neglected, so that

$$p_{L}$$
 (u) ' p_{L} (rM) ' a_{M} ¹ rL ⁼ p_{M}^{2} (a_{M} ¹ rL ⁼ M) (2.14)

We conclude then that for su ciently large L, the distribution of the uid critical energy density has the same functional form as the distribution of the critical ordering operator p_M^2 . Given further that p_M^2 possesses a symmetric double-peaked form, while the critical energy distribution in the Ising model p_L (u) = p_E^2 possesses an asymmetric single-peaked form, the profound in uence of eld mixing on the critical energy distribution of uids should be apparent.

3 M onte Carlo studies

3.1 A lgorithm ic and com putational aspects

The polymerm odel studied in this paper is the bond- uctuation model (BFM). The BFM is a coarse-grained lattice-based model that combines computational tractability with the important qualitative features of real polymers: monomer excluded volume, monomer connectivity and short range interactions. W ithin the framework of the model, each monomer occupies a whole unit cell of a 3D periodic simple cubic lattice. Neighbouring monomers along the polymer chains are connected via one of 108 possible bond vectors. These bond vectors provide for a total of 5 di erent bond lengths and 87 di erent bond angles. Them al interactions are catered for by a short range inter-monomer potential. Further details concerning the model can be found in reference [32].

The system we have studied comprises two polymer species A and B having chain lengths N_A and N_B , with $N_B = kN_A$. The SGCE scheme whereby polymers of type A are transformed into polymers of type B (or vice versa) is described in appendix 1 and in reference [20], but in general terms operates as follows. Using a M etropolis algorithm, an A-type polymer is formed

simply by cutting a B-type polymer into k equal segments. Conversely, a B-type polymer is manufactured by connecting together the ends of k A-type polymers. This latter operation is, of course, subject to condition that the connected ends satisfy the bond restrictions of the BFM. Consequently it represents the limiting factor for the e ciency of the method, since for large values of k and N_A , the probability that k polymer ends simultaneously satisfy the bond restrictions becomes prohibitively small. The acceptance rate for SGCE moves is also further reduced by factors necessary to ensure that detailed balance is satisfied. In view of this we have chosen $k = 3; N_A = 10$ for the simulations described below, resulting in an acceptance rate for SGCE moves of approximately 14%.

In addition to the compositional uctuations associated with SGCE moves, it is also necessary to relax the polymer con gurations at constant composition. This is facilitated by monomermoves which can be either of the local displacement form, or of the reptation ('slithering snake') variety [2]. These moves were employed in conjunction with SGCE moves, in the following ratios:

local displacem ent : reptation : sem i-grandcanonical = 4 : 12 : 1

the choice of which was found empirically to relax the con gurational and compositional modes of the system on approximately equal time scales.

In the course of the simulations, a total of ve system sizes were studied having linear extent L = 32;40;50;64 and 80. An overall monom er lling fraction of 8 $_{\rm N}$ = 0.5 was chosen, representative of a dense polymer melt [15]. Here the factor of 8 constitutes the monomeric volume, each monomer occupying 8 lattice sites. The cuto range of the intermonomeric square well potential was set at $r_m = \frac{1}{6}$ (in units of the lattice spacing), a choice which ensures that the st peak of the correlation function is encompassed within the range of the potential. The observables sampled in the simulations were the A-m onom er concentration and the energy density u (cf. equations 2.3 and 2.4). The joint distribution $p_{\rm L}$ (;u) of these quantities was accumulated in the form of a histogram, with successive samples being separated by 12:5 sem i-grand canonical sweeps in order to reduce correlations. The nalhistogram s for the lattice sizes L = 40 and 64 each comprised som e 5 10° entries. A ssistance in exploring the phase space of the model, was provided by means of the multi-histogram reweighting technique [33, 34]. This technique allows one to generate estimated histogram s $p_{\rm L}$ (;u) for values of the control param eters and other than those at which the simulations were actually perform ed. Such extrapolations are generally very reliable in the neighbourhood of the critical point, due to the large critical uctuations [33].

3.2 The coexistence curve and critical lim it

In general for uid systems, the coexistence curve is not known a-priori and must therefore be identified empirically as a prelude to locating the critical point itself. One computational criterion that can be used to elect this identification is the so-called lequal weight criterion' for the order parameter (concentration) distribution function $p_L() = dup_L()$; u) [35]. Precisely on coexistence and for temperatures well below criticality, $p_L()$ will comprise two well-separated gaussian peaks of equal weight, but unequal heights and widths. The centres of these peaks identify the concentrations of the coexisting A-rich and A-poor phases. For a given subcritical well-depth well-depth wull until the concentration distribution satis es the condition:

$$\sum_{0}^{Z} p_{L}(;;;_{cx}) d = \sum_{0}^{N} p_{L}(;;_{cx}) d$$
(3.1)

where is a parameter de ning the boundary between the two peaks.

Well below criticality, the value of cx obtained from the equal weight criterion is insensitive to the choice of , provided it is taken to lie approximately midway between the peaks and well away from the tails. As criticality is approached however, the tails of the two peaks progressively overlap making it in possible to unambiguously de ne a peak in the m anner expressed by equation 3.1. Form odels of the Ising symmetry, for which the peaks are symmetric about the coexistence concentration $_{cx}$, the correct value of $_{cx}$ can nevertheless be obtained by choosing = h i in equation 3.1. In near-critical uids, how ever, the in posed equal weight rule forces a shift in the chem ical potential away from its coexistence value in order to compensate for the presence of the eld mixing component. Only in the limit as L! 1 (where the eld m ixing component dies away), will the critical order parameter distribution be symmetric allowing one to choose = h i and still obtain the correct coexistence chemical potential. Thus for nite-size systems, use of the equal weight criterion is expected to lead to errors in the determ ination of cx near the critical point. A lthough this error is much smaller than the uncertainty in the location of the critical point along the coexistence curve (see below), it can lead to signi cant errors in estimates of the critical concentration .

To quantify the error in $_{c}$ it is necessary to match the magnitude of the eld mixing component of the concentration distribution w (p_{L}), to the magnitude of the peak weight asymmetry w⁰() associated with small departures = $_{cx}$ from coexistence:

$$w(\underline{p}) = w^{0}(\underline{)}$$
 (3.2)

Now from equation 2.10

$$w(p) = (3.3)$$

while

$$w^{0}() \qquad d \frac{@p_{L}()}{@} / L^{(+)=}$$
 (3.4)

It follows that the error in $_{cx}$ varies with system size like:

$$/ L^{(1 +)=}$$
 (3.5)

A coordingly the error in the critical concentration (obtained as the st m om ent of the concentration distribution) varies with system size like

$$L_{2} = (L) = L^{=} L^{(1)}$$
 (3.6)

Note also that an analogous treatment of the shift in the auxiliary variable leads to the same L-dependence.

M easurements of the concentration distribution were performed in conjunction with the equal weight criterion, to locate the coexistence curve as a function of well depth and chemical potential. The results are shown is gure 1. Since in nite-size systems, the order parameter distribution exhibits a double peaked structure even above the critical temperature, the data shown also represent the analytic continuation of the true coexistence curve that persists in nite-size systems [29]. To determ ine the position of the critical point on this line of pseudo coexistence, the cum ulant intersection method was employed. The fourth order cum ulant ratio G_L is a dimensionless quantity that characterizes the form of a function. It is de ned as

$$G_{L} = 1 \frac{\text{Im}^{4}i}{3\text{Im}^{2}i^{2}}$$
 (3.7)

where m^2 and m^4 are the second and fourth moments respectively of the order parameter m = h i. To the extent that eld mixing corrections can be neglected, the critical order parameter distribution function is expected to assume a universal scale invariant form. A coordingly, when plotted as a function of , the coexistence values of G_L for dierent system sizes are expected to intersect at the critical well depth $_c$ [26]. This method is particularly attractive for locating the critical point in uid system s because the even moments of the order parameter distribution are insensitive to the antisymmetric (odd) eld mixing contribution. Figure 2 displays the results of performing this cum ulant analysis. A well-de ned intersection point occurs for a value $G_L = 0.47$, in accord with previously published values for the 3D Ising universality class [36]. The corresponding estimates for the critical well depth and critical well depth ical potential are

$_{\rm c} = 0.02756 (15)$ $_{\rm c} = 0.003603 (15)$

It is in portant in this context, that a distinction be drawn between the errors on the location of the critical point, and the error with which the coexistence curve can be determ ined. The uncertainty in the position of the critical point along the coexistence curve, as determ ined from the cum ulant intersection m ethod, is in general considerably greater than the uncertainty in the location of the coexistence curve itself. This is because the order parameter distribution function is much more sensitive to sm all deviations o coexistence (due to nite _______ or nite

 $_{cx}$) than it is for deviations along the coexistence curve, (and tuned together to maintain equal weights). In the present case, we not that the errors on $_{c}$ and $_{c}$ are approximately 10 times those of the coexistence values $_{cx}$ and $_{cx}$ near the critical point.

The concentration distribution function at the assigned value of $_{\rm c}$ and the corresponding α , (determ ined according to the equal weight rule with = < value of >), is shown in gure 3 for the L = 40 and L = 64 system sizes. Also shown in the gure is the critical magnetisation distribution function of the 3D Ising model obtained in a separate study [37]. C learly the L = 40 and L = 64 data dier from one another and from the limiting Ising form. These discrepancies manifest both the pure antisymmetric eld mixing component of the true (nite-size) critical concentration distribution, and sm all departures from coexistence associated with the inability of the equal weight rule to correctly identify the coexistence chemical potential. To extract the in nite-volume value of $_{\rm c}$ from the nite-size data, it is therefore necessary to extrapolate to the therm odynam ic lim it. To this end, and in accordance with equation 3.6, we have plotted $_{cx}$ (L), representing the rst m om ent of the concentration distribution determ ined according to equal weight criterion at the assigned value of c, against $L^{(1)}$. This extrapolation (gure 4) yields the in nite-volum e estimate:

_c = 0:03813(19)

corresponding to a reduced A-m onom erdensity $_{c} = _{N} = 0.610(3)$. The nite-size shift in the value of $_{cx}$ (L) is of order 2%.

We turn next to the determ ination of the eld mixing parameters r and s. The value of r represents the limiting critical gradient of the coexistence curve which, to a good approxim ation, can be simply read of from gure 1 with the result r = 0.97(3). A lternatively (and as detailed in [29]) r m ay be obtained as the gradient of the line tangent to the measured critical energy function (equation 2.11) at = c. Carrying out this procedure yields r = 1.94(6).

The procedure for extracting the value of the eld mixing parameters from the concentration distribution is rather more involved, and has been described in detail elsewhere [29, 30]. The basic strategy is to choose s such as to satisfy

$$p_{\rm L}() = \frac{\theta}{\theta} f p_{\rm L}() \ln() i u r(_{\rm c}) g$$

where <u>p</u>() is the measured antisymmetric eld mixing component of the critical concentration distribution [30], obtained by antisymmetrising the concentration distribution about $_{\rm c}$ (L) and subtracting additional corrections associated with small departures from coexistence resulting from the failure of the equal weight rule. Carrying out this procedure for the L = 40 and L = 64 critical concentration distributions yields the eld mixing components shown in gure 5. The associated estimate for s is 0.06(1). Also shown in gure 5 (solid line) is the predicted universal form of the 3D order parameter eld mixing correction $\frac{\theta}{\theta_{\rm X}}$ ($p_{\rm M}^2$ (x) \div ² (x)) (cf. equation 2.10) obtained from independent Ising model studies [37]. Clearly the measured functional form of the eld mixing correction is in reasonable accord with the universal prediction. We attribute the residual discrepancies to eld mixing contributions of order s² or higher, not included in equation 2.10. The directions of the two relevant scaling elds h and corresponding to the measured values of s and r are indicated on gure 1.

3.3 The critical lim it revisited : Scaling operator distributions

In this subsection we consider an alternative m ethod for locating the critical point and determ ining the eld m ixing param eters s and r, that circum vents som e of the di culties associated w ith using the order param eter distribution alone.

The method focuses on the ordering and energy-like scaling operator distributions p_L (M) and p_L (E) (cf. equations 2.8a, 2.8b), which are obtained from the joint distribution of the energy density and concentration p_L (;u) as

$$p_{L}(M) = p_{L} \frac{su}{1 \ sr} \qquad p_{L}(E) = p_{L} \frac{u \ r}{1 \ sr}$$
(3.8)

Precisely at criticality, p_L (M) is expected to m atch the universal xed point function p_M^2 . This suggests that the critical parameters can be readily located by simultaneously adjusting s, and until p_L ([su]=(1 sr)) m atches $\frac{2}{P}$ (m odulo the choice of non-universal scale parameters in plicit in the de nition of the scaling variable). The results of performing this procedure for p_L (M) are displayed in gure 6 for the L = 40 and L = 64 system sizes. The quality of the data collapse lends substantial support to the contention that the binary polymer critical point does indeed belong to the Ising universality class. The corresponding values of the critical parameters ; $_c$ and s are

$$_{c} = 0.02756(15)$$
 $_{c} = 0.003603(15)$ $s = 0.06(1)$ (3.9)

in good agreem ent with those determ ined previously. We note how ever that the present method perm its the determ ination of s without the need to isolate the eld mixing component of the concentration distribution, a procedure that is somewhat cumbersome and which is anyway only accurate to leading order in s [30].

The value of the eld mixing parameter r, is intimately associated with the critical energy distribution $p_L(u)$, the form of which is shown in gure 7 for both the L = 40 and L = 64 system sizes. The corresponding mapping of the scaling operator distribution function $p_L(u r = (1 \ sr))$ onto the universal energy distribution of the 3D Ising model, \hat{p} is shown in gure 8. Again the agreement with the universal form is gratifying, although there are small discrepancies which we attribute to corrections to scaling. The data collapse in plies a value r = 1.00(3), which also agrees to within error with the value obtained previously.

W ith regard to the critical energy distributions of gure 7, we note that the distributions are not at all rem in iscent of the critical Ising energy distribution of gure 8. Neither, however, are they sim ilar to p_M^2 (cf. gure 6), which it was claim ed they m atch in the lim it L ! 1 (c.f.

section 2). This discrepancy in plies that the system size is still too small to reveal the asym ptotic behaviour N eventheless the data do a ord a test of the approach to the limiting regime, via the FSS behaviour of the variance of the energy distribution. Recalling equation 2.14, we anticipate that this variance exhibits the same FSS behaviour as the Ising susceptibility, nam ely:

$$L^{d}(hu^{2}i q^{2}) L^{=}$$
 (3.10)

By contrast, the variance of the scaling operator E is expected to display the FSS behaviour of the Ising speci c heat:

$$L^{d}(hE^{2}i E_{c}^{2}) L^{=}$$
: (3.11)

Figure 9 shows the measured system size dependence of these two quantities at criticality. Also shown is the scaled variance of the ordering operator L^{d} (M²i M²_c) $L^{=}$: Straight lines of the form $L^{=}$ and $L^{=}$, (indicative of the FSS behaviour of the Ising susceptibility and speci c heat respectively) have also been superimposed on the data. C learly for large L, the scaling behaviour of the variance of the energy distribution does indeed appear to approach that of the ordering operator distribution.

4 M ean eld calculations

In this section we derive approximate form use for the values of the eld mixing parameters s and r on the basis of a mean eld calculation.

W ithin the well-known F lory-H uggins theory of polym erm ixtures, the mean-eld equation of state takes the form :

$$= \frac{1}{N_{A}} \ln(1) + \frac{1}{N_{B}} \ln(1) + \frac{1}{N_{B}} \ln(1) + \frac{1}{N_{B}} \ln(1) + C$$
 (4.1)

In this equation, z 2:7 is the elective monom er coordination number, whose value we have obtained from the measured pair correlation function. $= =_N$ is the density of A-type monom ers and the constant C is the entropy density dilerence of the pure phases, which is independent of temperature and composition. In what follows we reexpress by the concentration.

The critical point is de ned by the condition:

$$\frac{\varrho}{\varrho} \qquad _{c} = \frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho^{2}} \qquad _{c} = 0 \qquad (4.2)$$

where $_{c} = (_{c}; _{c})$. This relation can be used to determ ine the critical concentration and critical well-depth, for which one nds

$$\frac{c}{N} = \frac{1}{1+1} \frac{p}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{c} = z \frac{4N_{A}N_{B}}{(N_{A}+P_{M_{B}})^{2}}$$
(4.3)

Below the critical point a rst order phase transition occurs between the A-rich and A-poor phases. To determ ine the location of the phase boundary we employ a Landau expansion of the equation of state in terms of the small parameters = $_{c}$ and = $_{c}$:

$$= _{c} + r^{0} a + b^{3} + c^{4} +$$
 (4.4)

where the expansion coe cients take the form

$$r^{0} = 2z \left(\frac{2}{N} - 1\right) \qquad a = \frac{4z}{N} \qquad b = \frac{\left(1 + \frac{p}{k}\right)^{4}}{3 \frac{p}{k} N_{A} \frac{3}{N}} \qquad c = \frac{\left(k - 1\right)\left(1 + \frac{p}{k}\right)^{4}}{4kN_{A} \frac{4}{N}}$$
(4.5)

The phase boundary itself is specified by the binodal condition

$$_{cx}() = (_{+};) = (_{;}) = \frac{R_{+}d_{-}(;)}{+}$$
(4.6)

where A_{+} denote the concentration of A m onom ers in the A-poor phase and A-rich phases respectively. Thus to leading order in , the phase boundary is given by :

$$_{cx}() = _{c} + r^{0} _{c} +$$
 (4.7)

Consequently we can identify the expansion $\cos \operatorname{cient} r^0$ with the eld mixing parameter r (c.f. equation 2.5) that controls the limiting critical gradient of the coexistence curve in the space of and . Substituting for $_{\rm c}$ and $_{\rm c}$ in equation 4.7 and setting k = 3, we nd r = 1:45, in order-of-m agnitude agreement with the FSS analysis of the simulation data.

In order to calculate the value of the eld mixing parameter s, it is necessary to obtain the concentration and energy densities of the coexisting phases near the critical point. The concentration of A-type monomers in each phase is given by

$$= _{c} = \frac{a}{b} \frac{2ac}{5b^{2}} +$$
 (4.8)

so that the variation of the order parameter along the coexistence curve is:

=

h
$$i = \frac{++}{2}$$
 $c = \frac{2ac}{5b^2}$ (4.9)

A similar calculation for the energy density yields:

hu()
$$i = \frac{N}{2} z_s + z(2)$$
 $1^2 = \frac{N}{2} z_s + z(2\frac{c}{N} 1)^2 + r \frac{2z}{N}$ (4.10)

where z_s is the coordination number of the intra-chain therm al interactions. The variation of the energy density along the coexistence curve then follows as:

h ui =
$$\frac{u(_{+}) + u(_{-})}{2}$$
 u(_c) = $\frac{2za}{b_N}$ 1 + $r\frac{c_N}{5zb}$ + (4.11)

Now since (1 rs)hM i = h i sh uivanishes along the coexistence line, equations 4.9 and 4.11 yield the following estimate for the eld mixing parameters:

$$s = \frac{h}{h} \frac{i}{ui} = \frac{c_N}{5zb + r\frac{c_N}{5zb}} = \frac{3(k-1)}{20z k + 1 + r\frac{3(k-1)}{20z k}}$$
(4.12)

Thus within the mean eld framework, the eld mixing parameters is controlled by the ratio of the finh and fourth order coe cient of the Landau expansion of the free energy. For the present case (k = 3) equation 4.12 yields s = 0.070, in good agreement with the value obtained from the FSS analysis of the simulation data. It is also similar in magnitude to the values of smeasured for the 2D Lennard-Jones uid [29] and 2D asymmetric lattice gas model

[30]. The sign of the product rs di ers however from that found at the liquid-vapour critical point. In the present context this product is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{rs}}{\mathrm{l}\,\mathrm{rs}} = \frac{3}{10} \frac{(\stackrel{p}{\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{A}}} \stackrel{p}{\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{B}}})^{2}}{\stackrel{p}{\overline{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{A}}\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}}}$$
(4.13)

However an analogous treatment of the van der W aals uid predicts a positive sign rs, in agreement with that found at the liquid vapour critical point [29, 30].

5 Concluding rem arks

In sum mary we have employed a semi-grand-canonical M onte C arb algorithm to explore the critical point behaviour of a binary polymerm ixture. The near-critical concentration and scaling operator distributions have been analysed within the framework of a mixed-eld nite-size scaling theory. The scaling operator distributions were found to match independently known universal forms, thereby con ming the essential Ising character of the binary polymer critical point. Interestingly, this universal behaviour sets in on remarkably short length scales, being already evident in system s of linear extent L = 32, containing only an average of approximately 100 polymers.

Regarding the specic computational issues raised by our study, we nd that the concentration distribution can be employed in conjunction with the cumulant intersection method and the equal weight rule to obtain a rather accurate estimate for the critical temperature and chemical potential. The accuracy of this estimate is not adversely a ected by the antisymmetric (odd) eld mixing contribution to the order parameter distribution, since only even moments of the distribution feature in the cumulant ratio. Unfortunately, the method can lead to signi cant errors in estimates of the critical concentration $_{\rm c}$, which are sensitive to the magnitude of the eld mixing contribution. The in nite-volume value of $_{\rm c}$ must therefore be estimated by extrapolating the nite-size data to the therm odynamic limit (where the eld mixing component vanishes). Estimates of the order parameter distribution, although in practice we nd that they can be determined more accurately and straightforwardly from the data collapse of the scaling operators onto their universal xed point form s.

In addition to clarifying the universal aspects of the binary polymer critical point, the results of this study also serve more generally to underline the crucial role of eld mixing in the behaviour of critical uids. This is exhibited most strikingly in the form of the critical energy distribution, which in contrast to models of the Ising symmetry, is doubly peaked with variance controlled by the Ising susceptibility exponent. Clearly therefore close attention must be paid to eld mixing e ects if one wishes to perform a comprehensive simulation study of critical uids. In this regard, the scaling operator distributions are likely to prove them selves of considerable utility in future simulation studies. These operator distributions represent the natural extension to uids of the order param eter distribution analysis deployed so successfully in critical phenom ena studies of (Ising) magnetic system s. Provided therefore that one works within an ensemble that a ords adequate sampling of the near-critical uctuations, use of the operator distribution functions should also permit detailed studies of uid critical behaviour.

A cknow ledgem ents

The authors thank K.Binder for helpful discussions. NBW acknow ledges the nancial support of a Max Planck fellow ship from the Max Planck Institut fur Polymerforschung, Mainz.

Part of the simulations described here were performed on the KSR1 parallel computer at the Universitat M annheim and on the CRAY Y-MP at the HLRZ Julich. Partial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgem einschaft (DFG) under grant number Bi314/3-2 is also gratefully acknow ledged.

Appendix A

In this appendix we give a brief description of our sem i-grandcanonical algorithm for polymer mixtures with chain lengths $N_B = kN_A$, k = 3. A more detailed presentation of the method can be found in reference [20].

As illustrated in gure 10 the SGCE M onte-C arlo m oves consists in either joining together k A polymers to form a B chain, or alternatively, cutting a B-polymer into k equal segments, each of which is then an A chain. The B chain form ation step is attempted with probability $n_A = (n_A + n_B)$, and proceeds as follows. First one starts by choosing a random A-polymer end (a given end is selected with probability $1=2n_A$). One then determines the number $_1$ of neighbouring A ends that satisfy the bonding constraints. Of the $_1$ possible ends to which a bond m ight be formed, one is chosen random ly and the ends connected together. In the same way one computes the number $_2$ of possible bonding partners for the remaining end of the second A-polymer and m akes a connection if possible. Thus the proposition probability for B polymer formation is given by: $P_{kA! B^0}^{prop} = 1=2(n_A + n_B)_{1/2}$. Finally the move is accepted with probability

$$P_{kA'B^{0}}^{acc} = m in (1; exp(E(kA ! B^{0}) N_{B}))$$

The form ation procedure for A chains simply involves cutting a B-chain into 3 equal parts, a procedure which is attempted with probability $n_B = (n_A + n_B)$. One end of a B chain is chosen with probability $1=2n_B$, and the cutting procedure starts from this end, leading to a proposition probability: $P_B^{prop}_{B^0! \ kA} = 1=2(n_A + n_B)$. For the acceptance, one determ ines the possible number of bonding partners $_1$ and $_2$ for the corresponding inverse m ove and accepts the proposed m ove with probability:

$$P_{B^{0}! kA}^{acc} = \frac{\min (1; \exp (E(B^{0}! kA) + N_{B}))}{1 2}$$

Thus the choice of the acceptance probabilities full 11s the detailed balance condition:

$$P_{eq}(A) P_{kA ! B}^{prop} P_{kA ! B}^{acc} = P_{eq}(B^{0}) P_{B}^{prop} P_{A}^{acc} P_{B}^{acc}$$

References

- [1] A.Kumar, H.R.Krishnamurthy, E.S.E.Gopal, Phys.Rep. 98, 57 (1983).
- [2] For a review see e.g.K.Binder, Adv.Polymer Sci. 112, 181 (1994).
- [3] N.Kuwahara, H. Sato and K.Kubota, Phys. Rev. E 48, 3176 (1993).
- [4] F.S. Bates, J.H. Rosedale, P. Stepanek, T.P. Lodge, P.W iltzius, G.H. Fredrickson and R.O. H jelm, Phys. Rev. Lett 65, 1893 (1990).
- [5] S. Janssen, D. Schwahn and T. Springer, Phys. Rev. Lett 68, 3180 (1992).
- [6] D.W. Hair, E.K. Hobbie, A.J. Nakataniand C.C. Han, J.Chem. Phys. 96 9133 (1992).

- [7] N.Kuwahara, K.Ham ano and K.Kubota, Phys. Rev. A 44, R 6177 (1991).
- [8] P. Stepanek, T. P. Lodge, C. Kedrowski and F.S. Bates, J. Chem. Phys. 94 8289 (1991).
- [9] D. Schwahn, K. Mortensen, T. Springer, H. Yee Madeira and R. Thomas, J. Chem. Phys. 87, 6078 (1987).
- [10] G.Meier, B.Momper and E.W. Fischer, J.Chem. Phys. 97, 5884 (1992).
- [11] M.Lifschitz, J.Dudowicz and K.F.Freed J.Chem. Phys. 100 3957 (1994).
- [12] V L. G insburg, Sov. Phys. Solid State 1, 1824 (1960). P.G. de Gennes, J. Phys. Lett. (Paris) 38, L-441 (1977). K. Binder, Phys. Rev. A 29, 341 (1984).
- [13] D.Schwahn, G.Meier, K.Mortensen and S.Janssen, J.Phys. (Paris) II (in press).
- [14] K.Binder in ComputationalM cdeling of Polymers.J.Bicerano (ed.) (M arcelDekker, New York), 221 (1992).
- [15] W. Paul, K. Binder, D. W. Heermann and K. Kremer, J. Phys. (Paris) II 1,37 (1991).
- [16] A. Sariban and K. Binder, J. Chem. Phys. 86 5859 (1987).
- [17] H.-P.Deutsch and K.Binder, Macromolecules 25 6214 (1992).
- [18] H.-P.Deutsch, J.Chem. Phys. 99 4825 (1993).
- [19] H.-P.Deutsch and K.Binder, J.Phys. (Paris) II 3 1049 (1993).
- [20] M.Muller and K.Binder, Computer Physics Commun., in press; M.Muller, Dissertation (Universitat Mainz, in preparation);
- [21] J.J.Rehr and N.D.Merm in: Phys. Rev. A 8, 472 (1973).
- [22] J.V. Sengers and J.M. H. Levelt Sengers, Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem. 37, 189 (1986).
- [23] V.Privm an (ed.) Finite size scaling and num erical simulation of statistical systems (W orld Scientic, Singapore) (1990).
- [24] A D. Bruce, J. Phys. C 14, 3667 (1981).
- [25] K.Binder, Z.Phys.B 43, 119 (1981).
- [26] K.Binder and D.W. Heermann, Monte-Carlo Simulation in Statistical Physics, 2nd ed. (Springer, Heidelberg, 1992).
- [27] D. Nicolaides and A. D. Bruce: J. Phys. A. 21, 233 (1988).
- [28] A D. Bruce and N B.W ilding, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 193 (1992).
- [29] N.B.W ilding and A.D. Bruce, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 4, 3087 (1992).
- [30] N.B.W ilding, Z.Phys. B 93, 119 (1993).
- [31] D. Nakata, T. Dobashi, N. Kuwahara and M. Kaneko, Phys. Rev. A 18 2683 (1978).

- [32] I. Carmesin, K. Kremer, Macromolecules 21, 2819 (1988), H.P. Deutsch, K. Binder, J. Chem. Phys. 94, 2294 (1991)
- [33] A.M. Ferrenberg and R.H. Swendsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 (1988) 2635; ibid 63 1195 (1989), Bennett, J. Comp. Phys 22, 245 (1979)
- [34] H.-P.Deutsch, J.Stat. Phys. 67 1039 (1992).
- [35] C.Borgs, R.Kotecky, J.Stat. Phys 60, 79 (1990), C.Borgs, R.Kotecky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1734 (1992), C.Borgs and S.Kappler, Phys. Lett. A 171,37 (1992).
- [36] A M . Ferrenberg, D P. Landau, Phys. Rev. B 44 5081 (1991)
- [37] R.Hilfer and N.B.W ilding, Mainz preprint.

Figure 1: The measured line of pseudo phase coexistence separating the A-rich and A-poor phases, for which the concentration distribution has two peaks of equal weight. The position of the critical point as determined using the cum ulant intersection method (see also gure 2) is indicated, as are the measured directions of the two relevant scaling elds h and \therefore

Figure 2: The value of the fourth order cumulant ratio $G_L = 1 < m^4 > = 3 < m^2 > 2$ with $m = c_x$, expressed as a function of system size L and well depth , along the line of pseudo phase coexistence. An intersection occurs for a value of $G_L = 0.47$ at c = 0.02756 (15); c = 0.003603 (15)

Figure 3: The norm alised concentration distribution for the L = 40 and L = 64 system sizes obtained using the equal weight criterion with = < >, at the assigned value of the critical well depth = 0.02756(15). The data are expressed in terms of the scaling variable $x = a_M^{-1}L^{-1}$ ($_c$), where the non-universal scale factor a_M^{-1} has been chosen so that distributions have unit variance. A los shown (solid) curve is the xed point function p_M^2 appropriate to the 3D Ising universality class. Statistical errors do not exceed the symbol sizes.

Figure 4: Extrapolation of $_{cx}$ (L), de ned in the text, against L⁽¹⁾ - . The least squares t yields an in nite volume estimate $_{c} = 0.03823 (19)$.

Figure 6: The normalised distributions of the critical ordering operator p_L (M) for the L = 40 and L = 64 system sizes, expressed as a function of the scaling variable $y = a_M^{-1}L = (M - M_c)$. The full curve is the xed point function p_M^2 appropriate to the Ising universality class [37]. The non-universal scale factor a_M^{-1} has been chosen so that the distributions have unit variance. The data collapse corresponds to a choice of the eld m ixing parameter s = 0:06 (1). Statistical errors do not exceed the symbol sizes.

Figure 7: The norm alised distributions of the critical energy density p_L (u) for the L = 40 and L = 64 system sizes.

Figure 8: The norm alised distribution of the energy-like operator p_L (E) (cf. equation 2.8b) expressed as a function of the scaling variable $z = a_E^{-1}L^{(1-)}$ (E E_E) for the L = 40 and L = 64 system sizes. The full curve is the xed point function p_E^2 appropriate to the Ising universality class [37]. The non-universal scale factor a_E^{-1} , has been chosen so that the distributions have unit variance. The data collapse shown corresponds to a choice of the eld mixing parameter r = 1.00 (3). Statistical errors do not exceed the symbol sizes.

Figure 9: The nite size scaling behavior of the variance of the critical energy, energy operator and ordering operator distributions, c.f. gures 7 and 8. The straight lines superim posed on the data points have the form s 0:0076L = (solid line) and 2:7L = (broken line), where = 1:970; = 0.211.

F igure 10: Illustration of the sem i-grandcanonical M onte-C arb m oves for k = 3 and $N_B = 3$. A rrows indicate the bonds that are removed when one creates k = 3 A -chains or which have to be appropriately added in the inverse case. The m onom er positions are left unaltered.