Nonlocal Conductivity in Type-II Superconductors

Chung-Yu Mou¹, Rachel Wortis²; Alan T.Dorsey¹ and David A. Huse³

¹Department of Physics, University of Virginia,

McCommick Road, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901

² Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,

1110 West Green Street, Urbana, Illinois 61801

³AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ 07974

(December 31, 2021)

Abstract

Multiterm in altransport measurements on YBCO crystals in the vortex liquid regime have shown nonlocal conductivity on length scales up to 50 m icrons. Motivated by these results we explore the wavevector (k) dependence of the dc conductivity tensor, (k), in the Meissner, vortex lattice, and disordered phases of a type-II superconductor. Our results are based on tim e-dependent G inzburg-Landau (TDGL) theory and on phenomenological argum ents. We nd four qualitatively dierent types of behavior. First, in the M eissner phase, the conductivity is in nite at k = 0 and is a continuous function of k, monotonically decreasing with increasing k. Second, in the vortex lattice phase, in the absence of pinning, the conductivity is nite (due to $ux \circ w$) at k = 0; it is discontinuous there and remains qualitatively like the M eissner phase for k > 0. Third, in the vortex liquid regime in a magnetic eld and at low temperature, the conductivity is nite, smooth and non-monotonic, rst increasing with kat smallk and then decreasing at larger k. This third behavior is expected to apply at temperatures just above the

melting transition of the vortex lattice, where the vortex liquid shows strong short-range order and a large viscosity. Finally, at higher tem peratures in the disordered phase, the conductivity is nite, smooth and again monotonically decreasing with k. This last, monotonic behavior applies in zero magnetic eld for the entire disordered phase, i.e. at all temperatures above T_c , while in a eld the non-monotonic behaviorm ay occur in a low temperature portion of the disordered phase.

I. IN TRODUCTION

In this paper we explore the nonlocal dc electrical transport properties of type-II super-conductors. What is meant by nonlocal here? The general expression connecting the local current density in a material, J, to the local electric eld, E, in the linear (0 hm ic) regime is

J
$$(r) = {}^{Z}$$
 $(r;r^{0})E (r^{0}) dr^{0}$: (1.1)

If the conductivity $(r; r^0)$ is nonvanishing for $r \in r^0$, then this is nonlocal; the current at r is determined by the eld not only at r, but at all points r^0 where $(r; r^0) \in 0$. In a translationally invariant system, the nonlocal conductivity can only be a function of the di erence $(r r^0)$. Taking the Fourier transform one then obtains J(k) = (k)E(k). All m attrials exhibit nonlocal transport properties on some length scale. In normal m etals, the nonlocal terms are significant only at length scales less than or of the order of the inelastic m ean free path. In superconducting m attrials, however, as the transition is approached from above, the associated correlations can cause nonlocal exts to become m important over m uch longer length scales. It is this phenomenon that we address in this paper.

Vortices are important actors in the nonlocal electrical transport properties in type- II superconductors. This is sometimes more easily described in terms of the nonlocal dc resistivity:

$$E (r) = (r; r^0) J (r^0) dr^0; (1.2)$$

Note that the nonlocal resistivity is a linear operator that acts on the full current pattern, J(r), and is, as usual, the inverse of the nonlocal conductivity operator.) A current $J(r^0)$ pushes on the vortex segments at r^0 due to the Lorentz and M agnus forces. These vortex segments move, and, due to the continuity and entanglement of vortices as well as the repulsive and attractive forces between parallel and antiparallel (respectively) vortices, they cause vortex segments at r to move. This produces phase slip and electric elds at r. Thus vortex dynamics contribute signicantly to the nonlocal resistivity, $(r; r^0)$.

Recent multiterm inal transport experiments³ on YBCO crystals in the vortex liquid regime obtain results that demonstrate nonlocal conductivity on length scales of at least 50 m icrons. A phenomenological understanding of those experimental results was presented based on a hydrodynamic description of the vortex liquid and its viscosity. In this paper we also examine the vortex lattice phase and extend the phenomenological study of the vortex liquid regime to low and zero magnetic eld. We then calculate the nonlocal conductivity due to the Gaussian uctuations above T_c within time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau (TDGL) theory. An outline of the paper is as follows:

Section II considers an ideal, unpinned, defect-free vortex lattice. There the steady-state motion of the vortices is only a rigid-body (ux-ow) motion of the vortex lattice as a whole. The electric eld due to this vortex motion is therefore determined only by the total force and torque on the entire vortex lattice. A dc current pattern with wavevector $k \in 0$ elastically distorts the lattice, but, in linear response, produces no steady motion of the vortices. Thus we not that in this ideal case the 0 hm ic ux-ow resistivity due to vortex motion is nonzero only at k = 0. In both the vortex lattice and M eissner phases there is an additional contribution to the resistivity that is proportional to k^2 at small k and does not arise from vortex motion.

In Section III we discuss the phenom enology of the vortex liquid regime. Connectivity, entanglement and other interactions between nearly parallel vortices then give rise to the vortex-liquid viscosity² that reduces their motion in response to nonuniform dc currents, producing a resistivity that has a local maximum for uniform (k = 0) currents and is smaller for long-wavelength nonuniform $(k \in 0)$ currents, as in the case of the vortex lattice. However, at high enough temperature and low or zero magnetic eld there will be them ally excited vortex lines and loops present with all orientations. Then the connectivity and attraction of nearly antiparallel vortices produces a nonlocal elect of the opposite sign, with the resistivity smallest at k = 0. In the disordered phase, the conductivity and the resistivity may be expanded in powers of k; these arguments then indicate that the signs of the order k^2 terms will depend on temperature and magnetic eld.

Section IV sets up the TDGL calculation of the lowest-order (G aussian approximation) uctuation contribution to the nonlocal dc conductivity in the disordered phase. For a uniform (k = 0) current this G aussian approximation gives the A slam azov-Larkin uctuation conductivity. The calculation for zero magnetic eld is carried out in Section V. Here the full k-dependence can be obtained and the conductivity is found to be a monotonically decreasing function of k. Section VI obtains the uctuation conductivity to order k^2 in a magnetic eld. At this order, the sign of the k-dependence remains the same as for zero magnetic eld, at least for longitudinal electric elds. We conclude with a brief summary and discussion in Section VII. Appendices A and B are devoted to some technical details of the TDGL calculation.

II. VORTEX LATTICE

Let us rst consider the vortex lattice phase. In dc steady state the total time-averaged force on each portion of the vortex lattice, including drag forces, must vanish, since the lattice is either stationary or moving with a nite steady-state velocity. In linear response to a dc current, the resulting steady-state local force balance equation for the vortex lattice contains four terms: one proportional to and perpendicular to the local current density J (arising from Lorentz and/or M agnus forces), one proportional to and perpendicular to the local vortex velocity V (arising from the M agnus force and/or a perpendicular drag force), one proportional to and parallel to the local vortex velocity (a standard drag force), and one arising from the local elastic distortion of the vortex lattice. A ssum ing the magnetic induction V is the steady state force balance equation is

J B +
$$_{2}$$
n2 v $_{1}$ nv $\frac{H_{elastic}}{u} = 0;$ (2.1)

w here

$$H_{elastic} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k}^{X} u_{i}(k)fc_{k}(k)k_{i}k_{j} + \sum_{ij} [c_{66}(k)k_{2}^{2} + c_{44}(k)k_{z}^{2}]gu_{j}(k)$$
 (2.2)

is the elastic energy of the vortex lattice. Using the local displacement of the vortices away from an ideal, undistorted lattice, and c_L , c_{66} and c_{44} are the bulk, shear and tilt elastic moduli, respectively. Here verifies to the local velocity of the vortices in the steady state, averaged over time and over a length scale longer than the lattice spacing. We assume the lattice is dislocation free so that usis well defined, and that there are no vacancies or interstitials so that vois simply duedt. The areal density nois related to the magnetic induction by n = B = 0, with 0 = h = 2e the ux quantum. The drag coe cients 1 = 1e are phenomenological parameters.

When the current is spatially uniform, the resulting vortex velocities and displacements are also spatially uniform. The elastic term in (2.1) is then zero and we are left with a balance of the other three terms. Using the Josephson relation for the electric eld produced by moving vortices,⁹

$$E = V B; (2.3)$$

one nds for the components of the conductivity tensor

$$xx = yy = \frac{1}{0B}$$

$$xy = yx = \frac{2}{0B};$$
(2.4)

 $_{\rm zz}$ is in nite and the other components are zero. The elect is that when a spatially uniform current is applied, the vortex lattice as a whole is pushed across the sample, causing phase slip and therefore dissipation. This is the standard ux-ow resistivity.

Now suppose the current is nonuniform. The elastic term is then nonzero because di erent parts of the vortex lattice are subject to di erent forces and this results in elastic strains. If the current produces a nonzero total force or torque on the vortex lattice, it will move as a (overdam ped) rigid body in response, again exhibiting ux—ow resistivity. However, if the spatially averaged current (as well as the total torque applied to the vortex lattice by the current) vanishes, there is no steady—state motion of the vortex lattice. Instead the lattice is statically strained so that everywhere the elastic restoring force locally balances

the force due to the nonuniform current. The vortices are not moving, so no electric eld is being produced, although a current is owing. Therefore, the resistivity due to vortex motion vanishes in linear response to a long-wavelength, static, nonuniform current pattern with zero spatial average. For example, if a dc current is applied in the x direction with variation in the x direction, then the vortices will be pushed along the y direction, producing static shear elastic distortions. Such an example is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Here the dc resistivity due to vortex motion is completely nonlocal, since there is only rigid body motion of the vortex lattice as a whole, which is determined by the total force (and torque) applied to the entire vortex lattice by the current. Of course, this is a very idealized discussion, since we are neglecting pinning, defects in the vortex lattice (and the resulting plastic motion), and the boundaries of the sample. However, this shows that in this ideal case, the freezing of the vortices into a lattice is indeed a superconducting transition. A lithough the ux—ow resistivity to a uniform (k = 0) current does not vanish when the vortices freeze, the dc ux—ow resistivity to a long-wavelength nonuniform (k > 0) current does vanish.

We have exam ined the response of the vortex lattice to a nonuniform electric eld within the time-dependent G inzburg-Landau (TDGL) equations in the absence of thermal noise, following, e.g., Troy and Dorsey. The above phenomenology for the resistivity due to vortex motion is con med, but for nonuniform currents there is an additional contribution to the resistivity which does not arise from vortex motion. This dissipation in a static, but strained con guration arises directly from the gradient-squared term in the TDGL equations and gives a resistivity proportional to k^2 for small wavevector k in both the vortex lattice and Meissner phases.

To sum marize, the resistivity in the ideal vortex lattice phase is nonzero at k=0 and proportional to k^2 for small nonzero k. It is therefore discontinuous and non-monotonic in k at k=0. We expect that this discontinuity and non-monotonicity is still present if the lattice contains a non-zero density of vacancies and interstitials: then the resistivity for k=0 is due to motion of the entire lattice, while for small nonzero k only the defects move,

resulting in a lower resistivity.

III. VORTEX LIQUID PHENOMENOLOGY

Let us now consider the vortex liquid regime. This is the regime in which Huse and Majum dar¹ constructed their phenomenological theory for the conductivity measurements of Safar et al.³ They begin with a force balance equation including three terms: one proportional to the current density, J (arising from Lorentz and/or Magnus forces), one proportional to the average vortex velocity and hence the electric eld (arising from drag and Magnus forces), and one proportional to the second spatial derivative of the vortex velocity and therefore the second spatial derivative of the electric eld (arising from viscous forces):

$$J(r) = (0)E(r) S(0)E(r):$$
 (3.1)

A lthough this equation was initially motivated by considering only the electric eld due to vortex motion, it is more generally just the long-wavelength expansion of the nonlocal O(1). The nonlocal conductivity to order k^2 is then

$$(k) = (0) + S k k :$$
 (3.2)

Note that in this paper we call the coe cient of the last term S, not as in Huse and Majum dar, in order to avoid confusion with either the Bardeen-Stephen drag coe cient or the vortex liquid viscosity tensor.

How is S related to the hydrodynam ic viscosity tensor of the vortex liquid? This can be answered for the components of S that couple to electric elds in the xy plane using the more detailed force balance equation, similar to that discussed above for the lattice, given in M archetti and Nelson? Again, B = B2. Neglecting compressibility as well as vortex segments which are not parallel to the z-axis and any hexatic bond-orientational order, the only difference between the dc force balance equation for the liquid and the lattice is that the elastic force is replaced by a viscous force:

B
$$(J \ \hat{z})_i + {}_2n (\hat{z} \ v)_i \quad {}_1nv_i + \frac{1}{2} _{ijkl} (\hat{e}_j \hat{e}_k v_l + \hat{e}_j \hat{e}_l v_k) = 0$$
: (3.3)

A note on notation: Bardeen and Stephen refer to the coe cient of the drag term as . Here and in Marchetti and Nelson, the drag coe cients are 's, and is the viscosity, which enters as the coe cient of the r² term. Note also that this involves interactions between vortices, as well as the connectivity and entanglement of vortex lines. If we neglect any dissipation that is not associated with vortex motion, following the same steps as for the lattice, we obtain

$$_{xx}(k) = \frac{1}{_{0}B} [_{1} + _{0 yy} k k]; \quad _{xy}(k) = \frac{1}{_{0}B} [_{2} \quad _{0 yx} k k]; \text{ etc.}$$
 (3.4)

Expressions for the resulting hydrodynam ic contributions to S can be read o from these equations.

However, the approximation of neglecting vortex segments not running parallel to the z-axis is inappropriate in a vortex liquid whose uniform (k = 0) resistivity parallel to the z-axis is nonzero. This resistivity is due to the motion of precisely those vortex segments that do not run parallel to the z-axis. Thus more generally we should consider a vortex liquid containing vortices running in any direction. In a large enough magnetic eld and at low enough tem peratures, only the eld-induced vortices are present, and they are all nearly parallel to each other and to the z-axis. When a uniform current is applied perpendicular to the vortices they all move in the same direction, as in the case of the vortex lattice. However in a nonuniform (k = 0) current vortices in neighboring regions experience di erent forces. Unlike in the vortex lattice the resulting shears are not simply balanced by elastic forces, instead the vortices do continue to move past each other. However, their motion is slowed (relative to the case of a uniform current) by the vortex-liquid viscosity arising from connectivity, entanglement and other vortex-vortex interactions. Slower vortex motion m eans reduced ux-ow resistivity. Thus the ux-ow resisitivity decreases with increasing k and the conductivity increases. This corresponds to positive viscosities in the above hydrodynam ic m odel and gives, for example, $S_{xxxx} > 0$.

At higher tem peratures and in low or zero magnetic eld, there will be thermally excited vortex line segments present with all orientations that outnum ber the eld-induced vortices. Parallel vortices interact repulsively, while antiparallel vortices attract each other. Thus the near neighbors to a given vortex segment are more likely to be antiparallel. Such nearby antiparallel segments can be pined into a vortex loop, or, in a lm can form a bound vortex-antivortex pair. When a current is applied, those segments perpendicular to the current will feel the resulting Magnus/Lorentz force. If the current is in the x direction, a vortex segment parallel to positive 2 will be pushed in the negative y direction while a segment parallel to negative 2 will be pushed in the positive y direction. Both motions induce electric elds of the same sign along the x direction and thus contribute to the uxow resistivity. However, the relative motion of such antiparallel vortices is impeded by their being connected or entangled, as well as by the attractive force between antiparallel vortices. In a uniform (k = 0) current the antiparallel segments feel equal and opposite forces from the current, so this is when their motion is most impeded by connectivity, entanglem ent or other interactions. For a nonuniform (k > 0) current, the forces do not cancel and part of the motion generated is \center-ofmass" instead of relative, so is not im peded by the interactions. Thus we expect more vortex motion for k > 0, in this case where it is the relative motion of antiparallel vortices that dom inates the resistivity. More vortex m otion m eans a larger electric eld, greater resistivity and lower conductivity. Thus here the conductivity for small k is maximal for uniform (k = 0) current and decreases with increasing k. This corresponds to negative viscosities in the above hydrodynam ic model and gives for example $S_{xxxx} < 0$. Thus we expect that the sign of the nonlocale ect (the S_{ijkl} 's) will change as one varies the eld and/or temperature in the vortex liquid regime. This sign change has been con m ed for S_{xxxx} in prelim in any M onte C arlo sim ulations of a sim ple two-dim ensional model superconductor.11

The above phenom enological arguments deal with the long-wavelength (small k) behavior. At short wavelengths (large k) we expect the qualitative behavior is not sensitive to the various phase transitions and distinctions that a ect the long-wavelength behavior. In

all the regimes where we can obtain the large-k behavior, namely, the Meissner and vortex lattice phases in TDGL without uctuations and the treatment below of the uctuation conductivity in TDGL in the zero-eld normal state, we not the conductivity decreases with increasing k in the large-k regime. Thus we expect this remains true throughout the vortex liquid as well. This then suggests that when the k-dependence at small k changes sign, the conductivity is changing from monotonic in k (in the higher-tem perature, lower-eld regime), to non-monotonic in k (in the lower-tem perature, higher-eld regime).

IV.THE TIME -DEPENDENT GINZBURG -LANDAU EQUATIONS AND LINEAR RESPONSE

In order to calculate the nonlocal conductivity of a superconductor we need to specify the dynam ical equations of motion for the superconducting order parameter . We will adopt the simplest such description, the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau (TDGL) equation:

$$^{1} (\theta_{t} + i\frac{e}{h}) = \frac{h^{2}}{2m} (r i\frac{e}{h}A)^{2} \quad a \quad bj \int_{1}^{2} + j$$
 (4.1)

where is the scalar potential, m is the elective m assof a Cooper pair, e = 2e is the charge of a Cooper pair,

h
$$(x;t)$$
 $(x^0;t^0)i = 2^{-1}k_BT$ (d) $(x x^0)$ $(t t^0);$ (4.2)

with the coe cient being determined by the uctuation-dissipation theorem. 12 W ewillwork in the limit of large G inzburg-Landau parameter, = , where we can neglect the uctuations in the magnetic eld. Thus the vector potential, A , is static and is simply that due to a uniform magnetic eld, and H = B .

As we are interested in the linear response of the system to an applied electric eld, we can calculate the conductivity matrix by using the Kubo formula, which expresses the conductivity as the Fourier transform of the current-current correlation function:

$$(k;!) = \frac{1}{2k_B T} \sum_{k_B T}^{Z} d^{k}(x - x^{0}) d^{k}(t - t^{0}) e^{ik (x - x^{0}) i! (t - t^{0})} hJ(x;t) J(x^{0};t^{0}) i: (4.3)$$

Since we are ignoring uctuations in the vector potential, the current which appears in the Kubo formula is the supercurrent,

$$J_{s} = \frac{he}{2m i} (r) \frac{(e)^{2}}{m} j j A;$$
 (4.4)

and the conductivity is due to superconducting uctuations; the total conductivity is obtained by adding this contribution to the normal state conductivity. The validity of the Kubo formula in the context of the TDGL equations (with real) can be demonstrated. However, when is complex, the usual form of the Kubo formula may need to be modified.

If we make the Gaussian approximation and neglect the cubic term in the TDGL equation, Eq. (4.1), then the current-current correlation function factors into a product of order parameter correlation functions, with the result that

$$(k) = \frac{1}{2k_B T} \frac{he}{2m i} \frac{!^{2}Z}{2} \frac{d!}{2} d^{d}(x_1 x_2)e^{ik(x_1 x_2)}$$

$$(D_1 D_3) (D_2 D_4) C_0(x_2; x_3; !) C_0(x_1; x_4; !) j_{=1;4=2};$$

$$(4.5)$$

where $D = \emptyset$ ie A = h, and

$$C_{0}(x;x^{0};!) = \frac{Z}{2} e^{i!(t t^{0})} h(x;t) (x^{0};t^{0})i$$

$$= \frac{2k_{B}T}{!} \text{Im } G_{0}(x;x^{0};!); \qquad (4.6)$$

with G $_0$ (x;x 0 ;!) the order parameter response function, which is the solution to

$$i^{-1}! \frac{h^2}{2m} (r i \frac{e}{h} A)^2 + a G_0 (x; x^0; !) = {}^{(d)} (x x^0):$$
 (4.7)

W ithin the Gaussian approximation we have also obtained the conductivity directly from the equation of motion (4.1), as a check on the Kubo formula calculation. Thus for general k in zero magnetic eld and to order k^2 in a magnetic eld we have con rmed that the uctuation contribution to the dc conductivity matrix is indeed symmetric and given by (4.3). Note that the Hall conductivity is zero. 14

The G aussian approximation is valid in a region well above (as defined by the G inzburg criterion) the superconducting transition, where there are only small amplitude uctuations of the order parameter. When uctuations are large, the nonlinear terms become important and the G aussian approximation no longer applies. It is precisely in this strong—uctuation regime where a description in terms of uctuating vortices becomes appropriate. This is the vortex liquid regime, for which this calculation is inappropriate. However, the low temperature end of these G aussian results may exhibit some of the properties of the high temperature behavior of the technically more dicult vortex liquid regime. In principle, we could use the Hartree approximation 14 to include a partial contribution from the nonlinear terms. However, because the Hartree approximation only renormalizes the critical temperature and thus will not change the signs or any other qualitative properties of S_{ijkl} , in this paper we will only focus on the Gaussian approximation.

V.ZERO MAGNETIC FIELD

Before tackling the technically dicult task of calculating the nonlocal conductivity tensor in an applied magnetic eld, we will rst calculate the nonlocal conductivity in zero magnetic eld in the Gaussian approximation. In this case the system is translationally invariant, and after Fourier transforming the Kubo formula, Eq. (4.5), we obtain

$$(k) = \frac{2}{k_B T} \frac{he}{2m} \frac{!_{2Z}}{2} \frac{d!}{2} \frac{d^dp}{(2)^d} p p C_0 (p + k=2;!) C_0 (p - k=2;!);$$
 (5.1)

where the correlation function is

$$C_0(k;!) = \frac{2k_B T^{-1}}{(!=)^2 + h^2 k^2 = 2m + a}$$
: (5.2)

Ford < 4 the integral is ultraviolet-convergent, so we do not need a cuto. A fler substituting the correlation function into Eq. (5.1), performing the frequency integral, and scaling the

m om enta by the correlation length = h=2m jaj we obtain

$$(k) = (0)F (k);$$
 (5.3)

where the scaling function F (x) is normalized so that F (0) = $\$, and where the k=0 conductivity is 5,15

$$(0) = k_B T \frac{m (e)^2}{h^4} \frac{(2 d=2)}{(4)^{d=2}} ^{4 d} :$$
 (5.4)

The calculation of the scaling functions F (k) is rather complicated, and the details are relegated to Appendix A. The conductivity can be decomposed into transverse and longitudinal components

$$(k) = (0) \mathbb{F}^{T} (k) \mathbb{P}^{T} + \mathbb{F}^{L} (k) \mathbb{P}^{L} ;$$
 (5.5)

where we have introduced the transverse and longitudinal projection operators:

$$P^{T} = \frac{k k}{k^{2}}; \qquad P^{L} = \frac{k k}{k^{2}}; \qquad (5.6)$$

A steady-state electric eld is purely longitudinal (it is the gradient of the scalar potential), so only the longitudinal part of the conductivity enters in determining a dc current pattern. In the Gaussian approximation, the transverse and longitudinal scaling functions can be obtained in closed form (see Appendix A) and are plotted in Figs. 2 and 3. Both functions decrease monotonically with increasing k. As shown in Appendix A, for large x, $F^{T,L}(x) = c_d^{T,L}(x=2)^{-(4-d)}$, with $c_d^{T,L}$ universal constants (there are logarithmic corrections in two dimensions). Expanding the scaling functions to 0 (k^2), we obtain

$$(0)F^{T,L}(k) = (0) + S^{T,L}k^2 + ...;$$
 (5.7)

with

$$S^{T} = \frac{5}{48} (4 \text{ d}) (0)^{2}; \quad S^{L} = \frac{1}{16} (4 \text{ d}) (0)^{2}; \quad (5.8)$$

The full Stensor can be written compactly as

$$S = S^{T} + \frac{1}{2}(S^{L} S^{T})(+);$$
 (5.9)

Finally, after Fourier transforming back to real space, we nd for the current

$$J(x) = (0)E(x) + S^{T}r \quad r \quad E(x) + S^{L}rr \quad E(x) + ::::$$
 (5.10)

Note that S^L (which is equal to, e.g., S_{xxxx}) is negative here in the Gaussian approximation, and is argued above (Sec. III) to remain negative in the vortex liquid in the critical regime just above T_c at H=0. The general scaling form (5.5) presumably also remains valid in the critical regime, but with quantitatively dierent scaling functions. However, the qualitative behavior of the conductivity | that it is maximal for k=0 and falls on as a power of k for large k | should be the same in the nontrivial critical regimes for H=0. Thus there is no sign here that anything dramatic occurs in the nonlocal conductivity at the Ginzburg crossover from mean—eld to nontrivial critical behavior in zero magnetic eld.

VI.NONZERO MAGNETIC FIELD

In this section we will calculate the nonlocal conductivity in the G aussian approximation in a uniform applied magnetic eld $H = H \hat{z}$. We will work in the Landau gauge $A = (0; H \times; 0)$. The response function is obtained as an eigenfunction expansion in a harmonic oscillator basis set in the x direction | the Landau-level expansion. Using Eq. (4.7), we then obtain for the correlation function in a mixed representation

$$C_{0}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{x}^{0};\mathbf{k}_{y};\mathbf{k}_{z};!) = 2\mathbf{k}_{B} T \int_{\mathbf{n}=0}^{1} \frac{\mathbf{x}^{1}}{(!=)^{2} + \frac{\mathbf{n}^{2}}{\mathbf{n};\mathbf{k}_{z}}};$$
(6.1)

where the oscillator functions are

$$u_{n}(x) = \frac{1}{2^{n} n!} \int_{I_{n}}^{1} e^{x^{2} - 2I_{H}^{2}} H_{n}(x = I_{H}); \qquad (6.2)$$

with the energies

$$\mathbf{u}_{n;k_z} = \frac{h^2 k_z^2}{2m} + h!_c (n + \frac{1}{2}) + a:$$
 (6.3)

In the above we have introduced the magnetic length l_H = (h=e H)¹⁼², the orbit center coordinate $x_0 = l_H^2 \, k_y$ and the cyclotron frequency ! c = e H =m .

A. J, E perpendicular to H

In this subsection we calculate the nonlocal conductivity when the current and electric eld are in the x y plane. Given the sym metries of the system, in this geometry at order k^2 there are only three independent one cients to be calculated: S_{yyyy} , S_{yxxy} , and S_{yzzy} . To obtain these it is only necessary to calculate y_y (k). Rotational sym metry about the z-axis gives $S_{xxxx} = S_{yyyy}$, $S_{xyyx} = S_{yxxy}$, $S_{xzzx} = S_{yzzy}$, etc. and $S_{xxyy} = S_{yyxx} = (S_{yyyy} - S_{yxxy}) = 2$. Due to the sym metries and the absence of a Halle ect, all S_{ijkl} with unpaired indices (e.g., S_{xyyy}) vanish in this calculation.

To calculate $_{yy}$ (k), we carry out the di erentiations indicated in Eq. (4.5), (noting that $D_y = Q_y$ ieH x=h) using the correlation function in Eq. (6.1). The frequency integral is then easily perform ed; after scaling x and x_0 by the magnetic length l_H , and rescaling the external momenta in the x y plane by l_H so that $(k_x; k_y) = (k_x l_H; k_y l_H)$, we obtain

$$y_{yy}(k) = k_{B} T^{-1} (e)^{2} \frac{e H}{m}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m, m=0}^{X^{1}} I_{m, n}(k_{x}; k_{y})$$

$$\stackrel{Z}{=} \frac{1}{2} \frac{dp_{z}}{m_{m}(p_{z} + k_{z}=2) m_{n}(p_{z} - k_{z}=2) [m_{m}(p_{z} + k_{z}=2) + m_{n}(p_{z} - k_{z}=2)]}; (6.4)$$

w here

$$I_{m n} (k_x; k_y) = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} d(x_1 - x_2) e^{ik_x (x_1 - x_2)} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} dx_0 (x_0 - x_1) (x_0 - x_2) u_n (x_2 - x_0 - k_y = 2)$$

$$u_n (x_1 - x_0 - k_y = 2) u_m (x_1 - x_0 + k_y = 2) u_m (x_2 - x_0 + k_y = 2)$$
(6.5)

A fler shifting the integration variables, this integral can be transformed into a single integral:

$$I_{m n}(k_{x};k_{y}) = A_{m n}^{(1)}(k_{x};k_{y})^{2};$$
(6.6)

w here

$$A_{mn}^{(1)}(k_x;k_y) = \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} dy e^{ik_x y} y u_m (y + k_y=2) u_n (y k_y=2):$$
 (6.7)

Some simplication also occurs in the p_z -integral, if we rst rescale p_z by the zero-temperature correlation length $(0) = (h^2 = 2m \, a_0)^{1=2}$, and introduce $K_z = k_z$ (0). Then we nd

$$_{yy}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{m (0)}{8 h^{2}} \sum_{m, n=0}^{x^{1}} \tilde{A}_{m, n}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_{x}; \mathbf{k}_{y}) \tilde{J} B_{m, n}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_{z});$$
 (6.8)

where we have introduced the thermal length $_{\rm T}$ = $_0^2$ =16 $^2k_{\rm B}$ T $_{\rm r}^{16}$ the ux quantum $_0$ = 2 h=e , and the scaled magnetic eld h = H =H $_{\rm c2}$ (0), with H $_{\rm c2}$ (0) = $_0$ =2 2 (0) the zero temperature critical eld. The integral B $_{\rm min}^{(1)}$ is given by

$$B_{mn}^{(1)}(\mathcal{R}_z) = 4h^2 \int_{1}^{2} \frac{dp}{2} \frac{1}{[(p + \mathcal{R}_z = 2)^2 + m][(p - \mathcal{R}_z = 2)^2 + m]$$

w here

$$_{\rm m} = _{\rm H} + 2 {\rm hm}; \qquad _{\rm H} = (T = T_{\rm c}) \quad 1 + {\rm h}; \qquad (6.10)$$

W ithin mean-eld theory the transition to the ux lattice state occurs at $_{\rm H}$ = 0.

We now perform a long wavelength expansion of the conductivity. The expansion of $A_{m\,n}^{(1)}$ is most easily carried out by rst noting that it can be written in a compact operator form (with $\hat{p} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\theta}{\theta_{y}}$ the momentum operator),

$$A_{mn}^{(1)}(k_{x};k_{y}) = \lim_{\dot{p}} \frac{1}{2} k_{y} \hat{p} e^{\frac{1}{2}k_{x} \hat{y}} \hat{p} e^{\frac{1}{2}k_{x} \hat{y}} e^{\frac{1}{2}k_{y} \hat{p}} \hat{p} i$$

$$= e^{\frac{1}{2}k_{x}k_{y}} \lim_{\dot{p}} \frac{1}{2} (k_{x} \hat{y} + k_{y} \hat{p}) \hat{p} e^{\frac{1}{2} (k_{x} \hat{y} + k_{y} \hat{p})} \hat{p} i; \qquad (6.11)$$

where we have used [?;p] = i to obtain the last line. Expanding for small $(k_x;k_y)$, we not (neglecting the multiplicative phase factor)

The oscillatorm atrix elements are tabulated in Appendix B.A fter squaring, we nd

$$\hat{A}_{mn}^{(1)}(k_{x};k_{y})\hat{f} = \frac{1}{2} [n_{m,m,1} + (n+1)_{m,m+1}] + (n-1)n_{m,m,2} \quad 3n^{2}_{m,m,1} + (2n+1)^{2}_{m,m} \quad 3(n+1)^{2}_{m,m+1} + (n+1)(n+2)_{m,m+2} (k_{x}=2)^{2} + (n-1)n_{m,m,2} \quad n^{2}_{m,m,1} \quad (n+1)^{2}_{m,m+1} + (n+1)(n+2)_{m,m+2} (k_{x}=2)^{2} + (n+1)(n+2)_{m,m+2} (k_{y}=2)^{2} + 0 (k_{y}^{4};k_{y}^{4});$$
(6.13)

The long wavelength expansion of $B_{mn}^{(1)}$ (K_z) is discussed in Appendix B.A fter substituting these expansions, Eqs. (6.13) and (B10) into the expression for the conductivity, Eq. (6.8), and returning to conventional units, we obtain the k=0 conductivity

$$yy(0) = \frac{m(0)}{8 h^{2} + h^{1-2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} (n+1) + \frac{1}{(+2n)^{1-2}} + \frac{2}{(+2n+1)^{1-2}} + \frac{1}{(+2n+2)^{1-2}};$$
(6.14)

which agrees with previous results. $^{17\{19;14}}$ We have defined the scaling variable $= _{\rm H}$ =h, which measures the temperature distance from from the mean-eld H $_{\rm C2}$ line in units proportional to the magnetic eld. Thus runs from zero on the H $_{\rm C2}$ line at nonzero eld to in nity at H = 0 in the normal state above T $_{\rm C}$. Note that the sum here (and in many of the results below) is a scaling function that depends only on this one parameter, . This type of sum may be written in a more compact form by using the integral representation for the function (z;s;v). 20 A fler resummation, they are the Laplace transformations of certain functions, so we define

$$_{ij}(0) = (0) (1)^{1=2} \stackrel{Z_1}{p} = \exp(t) \sim_{ij}(0);$$
 (6.15)

$$S_{ijkl} = (0)^{2} (T) (1)^{3=2} = (0)^{2} (T) (1)^{3=2} = (0)^{2} \exp(-t) S_{ijkl};$$
 (6.16)

where we have expressed the prefactorm (0)=8 h^2 _T in term s of , the zero- eld coherence length (T), and (0), the k=0, H=0 conductivity at temperature T. W end

$$\sim_{yy} (0) = \frac{4t^{1-2}}{(1 + \exp(-t))^2}$$
: (6.17)

W e also obtain:

$$S_{yyyy} = \frac{m}{8 h^{2}} \frac{(0)}{h^{1-2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{(n+1)(3n+2)}{2(+2n)^{1-2}} + \frac{4(n+1)^{2}}{(+2n+1)^{1-2}} \frac{(n+1)(3n+4)}{(+2n+2)^{1-2}} + \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2(+2n+4)^{1-2}};$$

$$S_{yyyy} = \frac{t^{1=2} (1 - \exp(t))}{(1 + \exp(t))^3};$$
(6.18)

$$S_{yxxy} = \frac{m}{8} \frac{(0)}{h^{2}} \frac{1}{x} h^{1=2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{x^{2}} \frac{1}{x^{2}} \frac{3}{4} \frac{(2n+1)^{2}}{(+2n)^{5=2}} \frac{(n+1)(11n+10)}{2(+2n)^{1=2}} + \frac{12(n+1)^{2}}{(+2n+1)^{1=2}} \frac{(n+1)(7n+8)}{(+2n+2)^{1=2}} + \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2(+2n+4)^{1=2}} ;$$

$$S_{yxxy} = t^{1-2} \frac{(t^2 - 5) \exp(-4t) + 12 \exp(-3t)}{(1 - \exp(-2t))^3} + \frac{(6t^2 - 14) \exp(-2t) + 12 \exp(-t) + t^2 - 5}{(1 - \exp(-2t))^3};$$
(6.19)

$$S_{yzzy} = \frac{m (0)}{8 h^{2} h^{3-2}} \left(0\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(+2n+1)^{3-2}}} + 4 (n+1) \left(0\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(+2n+2)^{1-2}}} \left(1\right)^{\frac{1}{(+2n+2)^{1-2}}} + \frac{1}{(+2n+2)^{1-2}} \left(1\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(+2n+2)^{1-2}}} + \frac{1}{(+2n+2)^{1-2}} \left(1\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1-2n)^{1-2}} + (+2n+2)^{1-2} ;$$

$$S_{yzzy} = \frac{2t^{3-2} [(2t+3) \exp(-2t) + (t^{2} - 6) \exp(-t) + 3 - 2t]}{(1-2n)^{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1-2n)^{2} \frac{1}{2}}}$$
(6.20)

An advantage of the integral representations is that one can determ ine the signs much more easily. We note that for t > 0, S_{ijkl} and \sim_{ij} are either always positive (S_{yyzz} , \sim_{yy} (0), and \sim_{zz} (0)) or always negative (S_{yyyy} , S_{zzzz} , S_{zyyz} , S_{yzzy} , S_{zyyz} + $2S_{zzyy}$, and S_{yzzy} + $2S_{yyzz}$). The only exception is S_{yxxy} which changes sign at about t = 1.45. As a result, S_{yxxy} changes sign at about t = 1.2 (S_{yxxy} becomes positive for t = 1.2).

The zero eld \lim it (! 1) can also be easily obtained in the integral representations. Simply by redening tas x in (6.15) and (6.16) and then keeping only the leading order terms of the power series in x of the integrands, we can recover the zero eld results of the previous section.

B.J, E parallel to H

In this geometry we calculate $_{zz}$ (k). The calculation of $_{zz}$ closely parallels the calculation of $_{yy}$, so we will only outline the results. First, we can express the conductivity

as

$$zz(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{m(0)}{32 h^{2}} h^{\frac{x^{1}}{2}} h^{\frac{x^{2}}{2}} \int_{\mathbf{m} = 0}^{x^{1}} (\mathbf{k}_{x}; \mathbf{k}_{y}) \mathcal{J}B_{\mathbf{m} n}^{(2)} (\mathbf{K}_{z});$$
 (6.21)

w here

$$A_{mn}^{(2)}(k_x; k_y) = \int_{1}^{Z} dy e^{ik_x y} u_m (y + k_y = 2) u_n (y k_y = 2)$$

$$= e^{\frac{i}{2}k_x k_y} lm \dot{p}^{i(k_x \hat{y} + k_y \hat{p})} \dot{p} i; \qquad (6.22)$$

and

$$B_{mn}^{(2)}(K_z) = 16^{\frac{Z}{1}} \frac{dp}{2} \frac{p^2}{[(p + K_z = 2)^2 + m][(p - K_z = 2)^2 + m][p^2 + (K_z = 2)^2 +$$

Perform ing the long wavelength expansion with the help of the results in Appendix B, we have for $A_{mn}^{(2)}$

$$\mathring{A}_{mn}^{(2)}(k_x;k_y)\mathring{f} = {}_{mm} + \frac{1}{2}[n_{mm}] (2n+1)_{mm} + (n+1)_{mm+1}(k_x^2 + k_y^2)
+ O(k_x^4;k_y^4;k_x^2k_y^2);$$
(6.24)

Combining this with the long wavelength expansion of $B_{mn}^{(2)}$, we not for the conductivity

$$zz(0) = \frac{m(0)}{32 h^{2} h^{1=2}} \frac{x^{1}}{n=0} \frac{1}{(+2n)^{3=2}};$$

$$zz(0) = \frac{2t^{1=2}}{1 \exp(2t)};$$
(6.25)

which again agrees with previous results, 17 {19;14 and

$$S_{zyyz} = \frac{m^{3}(0)}{32 h^{2} r^{3}h^{3=2}} \sum_{n=0}^{m} \frac{n+1=2}{(+2n)^{3=2}} + 4(n+1) (+2n)^{1=2} 2(+2n+1)^{1=2} + (+2n+2)^{1=2};$$

$$S_{\text{zyyz}} = \frac{t^{3=2} [(t^2 \ 2) \exp(\ 2t) + 4 \exp(\ t) + t^2 \ 2]}{(1 \ \exp(\ 2t))^2}; \tag{6.26}$$

$$S_{zzzz} = \frac{3m^{3}(0)}{512 h^{2} r^{3}h^{3-2}} \frac{x^{4}}{n=0} \frac{1}{(+2n)^{5-2}};$$

$$S_{zzzz} = \frac{t^{3-2}}{4(1 \exp(2t))};$$
(6.27)

C. J perpendicular to H, E parallel to H

We now need to calculate $_{yz}$ (k). Following the same steps as in the previous two sections, we not for the conductivity

$$y_{z}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{m}{8 h^{2}} \frac{1}{n^{1-2}} \frac{x^{k}}{h^{1-2}} A_{mn}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_{x}; \mathbf{k}_{y}) A_{mn}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{x}; \mathbf{k}_{y}) B_{mn}^{(3)}(\mathfrak{K}_{z});$$
(6.28)

where

$$B_{mn}^{(3)}(K_z) = 4h^2 \int_{1}^{Z} \frac{dp}{2} \frac{p}{[(p + K_z = 2)^2 + m][(p - K_z = 2)^2 + m][p^2 + (K_z = 2)^2 +$$

Expanding the $A_{m\,n}$'s using the results of the previous sections, we $\ nd$

$$A_{mn}^{(1)}(k_{x};k_{y}) A_{mn}^{(2)}(k_{x};k_{y}) = i[n_{m,m-1} + (2n+1)_{m,m} + (n+1)_{m,m+1}](k_{x}=2)$$

$$[n_{m,m-1} + (n+1)_{m,m+1}](k_{y}=2) + O(k_{x}^{2};k_{y}^{2};k_{x}k_{y}): (6.30)$$

C om bining this result with the long wavelength expansion of B $_{m\,n}^{(3)}$ in Appendix B , we obtain

$$S_{yyzz} = \frac{m^{-3}(0)}{32 h^{2}} \frac{1}{h} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h^{2}} \frac{4 (n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}}} \frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac$$

In zero eld, S_{yyzz} approaches (S^{L} S^{T})=2 which is positive.

Finally, we not that $_{zy}$ $(k_x; k_y; k_z) = _{yz}$ $(k_x; k_y; k_z)$, so that $S_{zzyy} = S_{yyzz}$.

D.G eneral geom etry with longitudinal electric eld

In a truly dc steady state the electric eld must be purely longitudinal. Thus let us consider general wavevector k and ask what the current is in linear response to a longitudinal electric eld. W ithout loss of generality, we can take $k = k_y \hat{y} + k_z \hat{z}$, $E_y = E k_y = k$ and $E_z = E k_z = k$. To order k^2 , the resulting current is

$$J_{y} = E k_{y} [_{yy} (0) + S_{yyyy} k_{y}^{2} + (S_{yzzy} + 2S_{yyzz}) k_{z}^{2}] + k;$$
 (6.32)

$$J_z = E k_z [z_z (0) + S_{zzzz} k_z^2 + (S_{zyyz} + 2S_{zzyy}) k_y^2] = k;$$
 (6.33)

and, in the absence of a Halle ect, $J_x = 0$. Thus we see that in this geometry, the nonlocal e ect here in the Gaussian approximation is always of the sign such that the conductivity for a longitudinal electric eld is reduced as k moves away from 0. This is of the opposite sign from what we argue above occurs in the vortex liquid regime at lower temperatures.

VII.D ISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have exam ined the wavevector-dependent dc conductivity, a type-II superconductor in various regimes, using phenomenological arguments and the TDGL equation. There appear to be at least four qualitatively dierent regin es of behavior for (k): First, in the M eissner phase the conductivity is in nite at k = 0 and m onotonically decreasing with increasing k. This behavior should also apply in the pinned vortex lattice and vortex glass phases, where there is no vortex motion in linear response to a uniform applied current. Second, in an ideal, unpinned vortex lattice phase, the conductivity is discontinuous at k = 0, taking on the nite, ux-ow value at k = 0 due to vortex motion, but varying as k 2 for small, positive k, where there is no vortex motion. Here the conductivity is still a m onotonically decreasing function of k for k > 0, but is now non-monotonic when k = 0 is included. Third, for all tem peratures above T_c in zero m agnetic eld and for su ciently high tem peratures in small nonzero magnetic elds, the qualitative behavior seen in the above Gaussian-order TDGL calculation applies. There the conductivity is nite and maximal for k = 0 and is smooth and monotonically decreasing with increasing k. As argued on a phenom enological level in Section III above, this behavior should apply in the vortex liquid in a low-eld regime where the dissipation is dominated by the spontaneous, thermallyexcited vortices rather than the eld-induced vortices. Last, phenom enological argum ents suggest that in the vortex liquid regime at su ciently low temperatures and high elds the conductivity is instead a non-monotonic function of k: At small k the conductivity

increases with k due to the large vortex-liquid viscosity that impedes nonuniform motion of the vortex liquid. However, at larger k, where vortex motion is not the dominant elect in determining the conductivity, them ore microscopic behavior of a conductivity that decreases with increasing k prevails, as it does at large k in all regimes. It is this last vortex liquid regime that is the least accessible theoretically, because it is a strong them all uctuation regime that does not exist in a mean—eldor weak—uctuation treatment of G inzburg-Landau theory. Thus the qualitative behavior described for the last three regimes can be obtained directly from the TDGL equations, while the theoretical support for the description of the last, vortex liquid regime is, at this time, purely phenomenological.

What other experiments might be done to probe the k-dependence of the transport properties? In a transport experiment one has access only to the surface of the sample. The voltage contacts can measure the electric eld parallel to the surface and the current contacts can set r J at the surface. For a bulk sample, this means one must rely on modeling to deduce what is going on inside the sample. However, in a Im geometry, the entire sample is surface, so one could, in principle, have much more complete measurements and control. With modern microfabrication techniques, it seems a study that probes down to micron or shorter length scales should be feasible. We pose this as a future experimental challenge.

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

C.-Y M. and A.T.D. acknowledge support from NSF Grant DMR 92-23586 and A.T.D. gratefully acknowledges an Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Fellowship. R.W. gratefully acknowledges an AT&T PhD Fellowship as well as useful discussions with Anthony J. Leggett.

APPENDIX A: ZERO FIELD SCALING FUNCTION

In this Appendix we will calculate the integrals which appear in the scaling function for the conductivity in zero magnetic eld. Letting k=k be a dimensionless momentum, we have for the scaling function in dimension d

$$F (k) = \frac{4(4)^{d=2}}{(2 - d=2)}^{Z} \frac{d^{d}p}{(2)^{d}} p p$$

$$\frac{1}{[p^{2} + (k=2)^{2} + p - k + 1][p^{2} + (k=2)^{2} - p - k + 1][p^{2} + (k=2)^{2} + 1]}$$
(A1)

In order to $\sin p$ lifty the integrals we use the Feynm an param eterization 21 to $\ \, rst$ combine the $\ \, rst$ two terms in the denominator, and then once again to fold in the third term, with the result that

$$\frac{1}{[p^{2} + (k=2)^{2} + p + 1][p^{2} + (k=2)^{2} p + 1][p^{2} + (k=2)^{2} + 1]}$$

$$= 2 \int_{0}^{Z} dx dy \frac{y}{[p^{2} + (k=2)^{2} + 1 + (2x + 1)yp + k]^{3}} : (A 2)$$

We then substitute this result into Eq. (A1), change variables in the momentum integral to q = p + (2x - 1)y (k=2) to elim inate the terms linear in k, and perform the d-dimensional momentum integral.²¹ We are then left with

$$F (k) = F^{T}(k)P^{T} + F^{L}(k)\frac{k k}{k^{2}};$$
 (A3)

w here

$$F^{T}(k) = 2 \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dw \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dy \frac{y}{[1 + (k=2)^{2} (1 + w^{2}y^{2})]^{2} d=2};$$
 (A 4)

$$F^{L}(k) = F^{T}(k) + (2 d=2)k^{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dw \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dy \frac{w^{2}y^{3}}{[1 + (k=2)^{2}(1 w^{2}y^{2})]^{3}d=2};$$
 (A 5)

and where we have changed variables to w = 2x 1. The integrals on y can be performed, and the remaining integrals on w can be simplied by integrating by parts. We nally obtain

$$F^{T}(k) = 2 \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dw \left[1 + (k=2)^{2} (1 - w^{2})\right]^{d=2} \frac{2}{(d-2)} \frac{\left[1 + (k=2)^{2}\right]^{d=2}}{(k=2)^{2}}; \quad (A 6)$$

$$F^{L}(k) = \frac{2}{(d-2)} \frac{[1 + (k=2)^{2}]^{d=2}}{(k=2)^{2}}$$
: (A 7)

For d = 4 we have $F^T = F^L = 1$; for d = 3 we have

$$F^{T}(k) = 2 \frac{\sin^{-1}[(k=2)^{2}]}{(k=2)} \frac{q}{1 + (k=2)^{2}} \frac{1}{(k=2)^{2}}; \quad (A 8)$$

$$F^{L}(k) = 2 \frac{q}{1 + (k=2)^{2}} \frac{1}{(k=2)^{2}};$$
 (A 9)

while for d = 2

$$F^{T}(k) = 2 \frac{\coth^{-1}\left[\frac{q}{1+(k=2)^{2}}-(k=2)\right]}{(k=2)^{-1}(k=2)^{2}} \frac{\ln\left[1+(k=2)^{2}\right]}{(k=2)^{2}};$$
 (A 10)

$$F^{L}(k) = \frac{\ln[L + (k=2)^{2}]}{(k=2)^{2}}$$
: (A 11)

Plots of these scaling functions are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. For small k these expressions have the following expansions for general dimension d:

$$F^{T}(k) = 1 \frac{5}{48}(4 \text{ d})k^{2} + O(k^{4});$$
 (A 12)

$$F^{L}(k) = 1 \frac{1}{16}(4 \text{ d})k^{2} + O(k^{4})$$
: (A 13)

For large k and $d \in 2$, we have

$$F^{T}(k) c_{d}^{T}(k=2)^{(4 d)}; F^{L}(k^{2}) c_{d}^{L}(k=2)^{(4 d)};$$
 (A 14)

where the constants are functions of dimension d, given by

$$c_{d}^{T} = 2 \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dw (1 + w^{2})^{d=2} \frac{2}{d + 2}; \qquad c_{d}^{L} = \frac{2}{d + 2};$$
 (A 15)

with $c_{d=3}^T = 2$. For large k and d=2,

$$F^{T}(k) = \frac{2 \ln 2}{(k=2)^{2}}; \qquad F^{L}(k) = 2 \frac{\ln (k=2)}{(k=2)^{2}};$$
 (A 16)

The large k behavior agrees with the result of the scaling theory discussed in Sec. III, up to some logarithm ic corrections in two dimensions.

APPENDIX B: EXPANSIONS FOR INTEGRALS IN A MAGNETIC FIELD

In this Appendix we will include some of the details of the calculation of the viscosities in a magnetic eld. First, we simply list some of the harmonic oscillator matrix elements which are used to evaluate the integrals $A_{mn}^{(1)}$ and $A_{mn}^{(2)}$:

$$lm \ \dot{p} \dot{n} i = \frac{1}{2} \, \frac{h_p}{n_{m,m,1}} + \frac{p_{m+1}}{n+1} \, \frac{i}{m_{m+1}};$$
 (B1)

$$\text{Im } \dot{y}^2 \dot{y} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{q}{(n-1)n} \right)_{m,n-2} + (2n+1)_{m,n} + \frac{q}{(n+1)(n+2)}_{m,n+2} ; \tag{B2}$$

$$\text{Im } \dot{p}\dot{p}i = \dot{p} = \frac{\dot{1}}{2} \quad \dot{p} = \frac{\dot{1}}{n + 1} \quad \dot{p} = \frac{\dot{1}}{n + 1} ; \tag{B4}$$

$$lm \ \dot{p}^{2} \dot{p} i = \frac{1}{2} \quad (n \quad 1) n_{m,n} + (2n+1)_{m,n} \quad (n+1) (n+2)_{m,n+2}; \quad (B5)$$

$$m \ j p + p y j n i = i$$
 $(n \ 1) n \ m \ j n \ 2 + (n + 1) (n + 2) \ m \ j n + 2 ;$ (B 6)

$$\lim_{y \neq 2} y + 2 p y + p^2 y = \frac{2}{2} = \frac{q}{(n-2)(n-1)n} = \frac{q}{(n+1)^{3-2}} = \frac{q}{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)} = \frac{q}{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)} = \frac{q}{(n+1)^{3-2}} = \frac{q}{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)} = \frac{q}{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)} = \frac{q}{(n+1)^{3-2}} = \frac{q}{(n$$

Next, we will consider the long wavelength expansion of the integrals $B_{mn}^{(1)}$, $B_{mn}^{(2)}$, $B_{mn}^{(3)}$. These can be e-ciently evaluated by using the Feynman parameterization, similar to what was done in Appendix B.W e then have for $B_{mn}^{(1)}$

$$B_{mn}^{(1)}(\Re_z) = \frac{3h^2}{4} \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} dw \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dy \frac{y}{h} \frac{y}{(\Re_z = 2)^2 (1 - w^2 y^2) + h (m - n)w y + \frac{1}{(m+n)=2}}; \quad (B 9)$$

Expanding for small K_z , we nd

$$B_{mn}^{(1)}(\mathfrak{K}_{z}) = \frac{1}{(m + n)^{2}} \frac{4}{n} \frac{1}{1-2} + \frac{1}{1-2} \frac{2}{n} \frac{5}{1-2} + \frac{1}{(m + n)^{2}} \frac{1}{1-2} + \frac{1}{(m + n)^{2}} \frac{1}{1-2} + \frac{1}{(m + n)^{3}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{1-2} \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{1-2} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{1-2} + \frac{1}{(m + n)^{2}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{1-2} \frac{1}{1-2} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{1-2} \frac{1}{1-2} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{1-2} \frac{1}{1-2} \frac{1}{1-2} \frac{1}{1-2} \frac{1}{1-2} \frac$$

In a sim ilar fashion we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{B}_{m\,n}^{\,(2)}\left(\Re_{z}\right) &= \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{Z}_{1} & \operatorname{dw} & \mathbb{Z}_{1} \\ 1 & \operatorname{dw} & \operatorname{dy} & \mathbb{H}_{3=2} \end{array} \\ &+ 3\left(\Re_{z}=2\right)^{2} & \operatorname{dw} & \operatorname{dy} & \mathbb{H}_{m\,n}\right) \times \mathbb{Y} + \mathbb{I}_{m\,n} \times \mathbb{Y}^{2} \\ &+ 3\left(\Re_{z}=2\right)^{2} & \operatorname{dw} & \operatorname{dy} & \mathbb{H}_{m\,n}\right) \times \mathbb{Y} + \mathbb{I}_{m\,n} \times \mathbb{Y}^{2} \\ &= & \frac{4}{h^{2}\,(m\,n)^{2}} \left[\, \mathbb{I}_{m}^{1=2} + \, \mathbb{I}_{n}^{1=2} \, \, 2 \, \mathbb{I}_{m\,n}^{1=2} \right] & \frac{4}{h^{2}\,(m\,n)^{2}} \, \frac{1}{1} & \frac{1}{1} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{1=2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{1} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{1=2} + \frac{12}{h^{2}\,(m\,n)^{2}} \left(\, \mathbb{I}_{m\,n}^{1=2} + \, \mathbb{I}_{n}^{1=2} \right) & 24 \frac{(m\,n)^{2}}{h^{2}\,(m\,n)^{2}} \, \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \\ &+ \mathbb{I}_{n}^{1=2} + \frac{12}{h^{2}\,(m\,n)^{2}} \left(\, \mathbb{I}_{m\,n}^{1=2} + \, \mathbb{I}_{n}^{1=2} \right) & 24 \frac{(m\,n)^{2}}{h^{2}\,(m\,n)^{2}} \, \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \\ &+ \mathbb{I}_{n}^{1=2} + \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \\ &+ \mathbb{I}_{n}^{1=2} + \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \\ &+ \mathbb{I}_{n}^{1=2} + \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \\ &+ \mathbb{I}_{n}^{1=2} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \\ &+ \mathbb{I}_{n}^{1=2} \times \mathbb{I}_{n}^{2} \times$$

If m = n, then this becomes

$$B_{nn}^{(2)}(K_z) = \frac{1}{3=2} \frac{3}{4} \sum_{p=2}^{5=2} (K_z = 2)^2 + O(K_z^4);$$
 (B 12)

Finally, for $B_{mn}^{(3)}$ we have

$$B_{mn}^{(3)}(\mathfrak{K}_{z}) = \frac{3h^{2}}{4} \frac{\mathfrak{K}_{z}}{2}^{!} \frac{\mathfrak{X}_{z}}{1}^{!} dw \int_{0}^{z} dy \frac{wy^{2}}{h} \frac{wy^{2}}{h} dy \frac{1}{h} \frac{yy^{2}}{h} + \frac{1}{(m + n)^{2}} \frac{1}{1} \frac{$$

Note that this last integral is odd in (m;n), in contrast to the rst two integrals, and vanishes when m=n.

REFERENCES

- 1 D .A .Huse and S.N .M ajum dar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 2473 (1993).
- ² M . C . M archetti and D . R . N elson, Phys. Rev. B 42, 9938 (1990); Physica C 174, 40 (1991).
- ³ H. Safar et al., Phys. Rev. B 46, 14238 (1992).
- ⁴ In the M eissner phases at zero eld, this behavior is essentially due to the Goldstone mode. See the work by H. Schmidt in Z. Phys. 232, 443 (1970). One can recover $1=k^2$ simply by setting! = 0 in Schmidt's result.
- ⁵L.G.Aslam azov and A.I.Larkin, Phys. Lett. 26A, 238 (1968).
- ⁶ As in most of the literature to date (e.g.P.Nozieres and W.F.Vinen, Phil.Mag. 14, 667 (1966)), we take the coe cient of this term to be one.
- ⁷ See, for instance, A. I. Larkin and Yu. N. Ovchinnikov, in Nonequilibrium Superconductivity, D. N. Langenberg and A. I. Larkin (eds.) (Elsevier Science Publishing, Netherlands 1986), Ch. 11.
- ⁸ A. Houghton, R. A. Pelcovits and A. Sudbo, Phys. Rev. B 40, 6763 (1989).
- ⁹B.D.Josephson, Phys. Lett. 16, 242 (1965).
- 10 R.J. Troy and A.T.Dorsey, Phys. Rev. B 47, 2715 (1993).
- $^{11}\,\mathrm{D}$.A .H use, unpublished.
- $^{12}\,\text{P.C.H}$ ohenberg and B.I.Halperin, Rev.M od.Phys. 49, 435 (1977).
- $^{13}\,\mathrm{C}$. $-\mathrm{Y}$. M ou, unpublished.
- ¹⁴ S.U Lah and A.T.Dorsey, Phys. Rev. B 44, 262 (1991).
- $^{15}\,\mathrm{A.T.D}\,\mathrm{orsey}$, Phys. Rev. B 43, 7575 (1991).

- ¹⁶ D.S. Fisher, M.P.A. Fisher, and D.A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B 43, 130 (1991).
- ¹⁷ H. Schm idt, Z. Phys. 216, 336 (1968); Z. Phys. 232, 443 (1970).
- ¹⁸ K.-D. U sadel, Z. Phys. 227, 260 (1969).
- ¹⁹ H.-J.M ikeska and H. Schm idt, Z. Phys. 230, 239 (1970).
- ²⁰ I. S. G radshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series, and Products, (9.550) and (9.556).
- ²¹ See, for instance, D. J. Am it, Field Theory, the Renormalization Group, and Critical Phenomena, Second Edition (World Scientic Publishing, Singapore 1984), Appendix 8-1.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- FIG. 1. Illustration of a two-dimensional vortex lattice in a nonuniform current. The magnetic eld is parallel to the z-axis, normal to the lm. The three bold lines running parallel to the y-axis are current contacts. Equal currents are injected uniformly along the two outer contacts and the total current is withdrawn along the central contact. This produces a current density, J, that is uniform along y, but nonuniform along x, as illustrated. The forces on the vortex lattice due to the nonuniform current elastically strain the lattice, producing the shear displacements, u, shown. These displacements are also uniform along y but vary along x. O utside of the outer contacts, J=0 and u is uniform.
 - FIG. 2. Zero eld scaling functions at d = 3.
 - FIG. 3. Zero eld scaling functions at d = 2.