

Shadow Bands in the Cuprates

Stephan Haas, Adriana Moreo, and Elbio Dagotto

Department of Physics, National High Magnetic Field Lab, and Supercomputer Computations Research Institute, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306, USA
(December 31, 2021)

A consequence of strong antiferromagnetic correlations in models of high- T_c cuprates is the appearance in photoemission (PES) calculations of considerable more weight above the Fermi momentum p_F than expected for non-interacting electrons. This effect, first discussed by Kampf and Schrieffer (Phys. Rev. B 41, 6399 (1990)) under the name of "shadow bands", is here analyzed in the two dimensional Hubbard and t - J models using Monte Carlo and exact diagonalization techniques. It is concluded that for underdoped compounds weight above p_F could be observable in experimental PES data, while in the overdoped regime it will be likely hidden in the experimental background. In the intermediate region the signal is weak. Our results are thus compatible with recent experimental data by Aebi et al. (Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 2757 (1994)) for Bi2212 at optimal doping. However, to definitely prove the existence of this effect in the cuprates, experiments in the underdoped regime are necessary.

The importance of antiferromagnetic correlations in the normal and superconducting states of the high critical temperature cuprate materials is under much discussion. While recently most of the debate has been concentrated on the symmetry of the superconducting order parameter, studies of the strength of the antiferromagnetic correlation length, a_F , in the normal state are still crucial to test these ideas. A key issue is how large a_F should be to produce observable effects in experiments for the high- T_c compounds. NMR studies in the normal state of optimally doped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.94}$ (YBCO) suggest $a_F \approx 2a$ (where a is the lattice spacing). [1] Naively, this correlation seems too small to be of relevance. On the other hand, recent photoemission (PES) experimental results by Aebi et al. [2] in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_8$ (Bi2212) with $T_c = 85\text{K}$, using sequential angle-scanning data acquisition to obtain PES intensities within a narrow energy window near the Fermi energy E_F , reported evidence compatible with the antiferromagnetically induced "shadow bands" first predicted by Kampf and Schrieffer. [3] At half-filling, these bands are caused by the enlarged magnetic unit cell of the CuO_2 planes produced by the long range antiferromagnetic order in the ground state. This effective reduction in the size of the Brillouin zone (BZ) has interesting implications for PES experiments. [3] For example, along the diagonal $p_x = p_y = p$, and assuming long-range order, peaks at momenta $p_1 = (p; p)$ and $p_2 = (-p; -p)$ should appear at the same energy location, for any value of p . The PES weight ob-

served in the region above the non-interacting p_F is induced by strong magnetic correlations. [3]

How does this antiferromagnetically induced PES signal evolve as a_F is made finite by the effect of hole doping? It is likely that its intensity will smoothly diminish doping away from half-filling. Since in optimally doped Bi2212 the antiferromagnetic correlation length in the CuO_2 planes should be similar to that of optimally doped YBCO (since they should have the same in-plane hole density), then a natural question arises: can a small correlation length ($\approx 2a$) produce observable weight in PES experiments above p_F ? On one hand, recent calculations [4] carried out in an antiferromagnetic background have reproduced the flat band features near $p = (\pi; 0)$ observed in Bi2212 suggesting that a short a_F can appreciably influence some experimental quantities. On the other hand, since the actual experimental PES signal (Fig.1a) is weak, concerns may arise about the interpretation of the data (as a reference, PES weight induced by antiferromagnetism in recent experiments for the insulating layered copper oxide $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$ is shown in Fig.1b. [5]). Thus, a theoretical calculation is needed to compare with experiments, and decide if Aebi et al.'s PES data are compatible with models of strongly correlated electrons which present PES weight above p_F .

Here, this issue is explicitly addressed. PES spectra and spin correlations are calculated for models of correlated electrons expected to qualitatively describe the CuO_2 planes. Consider first the two dimensional (2D) one band Hubbard model defined by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} t (c_i^\dagger c_j + \text{h.c.}) + U \sum_i n_i^\uparrow n_i^\downarrow; \quad (1)$$

in the standard notation. We simulated this model numerically using standard quantum Monte Carlo techniques. In order to extract the dynamical spectral function $A(p; \omega)$ corresponding to the removal or addition of an electron with momentum p to the system, the maximum entropy (ME) technique was used. [6] To analyze the strength of the signal above p_F , we calculated the amount of spectral weight below the chemical potential at momenta along the diagonal $p_x = p_y$ in the BZ with respect to the total intensity (adding PES and inverse PES) which for the Hubbard model satisfies the sum rule $\sum_{\omega} A(p; \omega) = 1$ at all dopings.

Before describing the computational results, let us clarify when a theoretically calculated PES signal can be labeled as being "observable" in an experiment. PES spectra have large backgrounds, whose origin and shape are a

matter of discussion, superimposed on the actual relevant signal. This background depends on the momentum, and also changes from sample to sample with fluctuations as large as 50%. Since the background is convex, the natural requirement for a PES theoretical signal to be observable is that the combination background-signal produces a local maximum (i.e. a peak in the measured intensity). [7] From the data shown in Fig.1b, and the intensity of the signal at the last point where the dispersion is observed i.e. $p = (0.7; 0.7)$, we believe that a peak with an intensity of roughly about 10% of the largest signal (located at $p = (0.5; 0.5)$) would be at the verge of being detected. [7] This is the criterion followed in the present paper to label a result as "observable".

The choice of coupling is important in our search for PES weight above p_F . For example, we observed that working on an 8×8 cluster, at $U=t=4$, half-filling and temperature $T=t=4$, the percentage of PES spectral weight at $p = (3/4; 3/4)$, i.e. the next available momentum after $(\pi/2; \pi/2)$ on this cluster, is very small (less than 5% of the total), even though the spin correlations show clear indications of long-range order. Then, the actual value of the local moments is as important as the antiferromagnetic correlation length for the effect we are investigating. Since evidence has been recently given that another feature induced by antiferromagnetism, [8] namely the "hole" pockets, are washed out by temperature effects in QMC simulations at $U=t=4$, then we consider this coupling to be too small for our purposes. Actually, studies of the optical conductivity have shown that a larger coupling $U=t$, approximately between 8 and 12, is needed to correctly reproduce the main features observed experimentally. [9] Since at $U=t=12$ there are serious numerical instabilities in the simulations, then here the analysis was restricted to $U=t=8$.

In Fig.2, $A(p; \omega)$ at $T=t=2$ is shown. [10] It is difficult to reduce T due to sign problems, but nevertheless this temperature allows us to study the PES signal above p_F at different correlation lengths as the density is changed, which is the main purpose of the paper. At half-filling, $n_{hi}=1$, the chemical potential is located in the gap. The percentage of spectral weight is shown for each momentum. A nonzero signal above the non-interacting Fermi momentum is clearly visible, and at $p = (3/4; 3/4)$ it carries 23% of the total weight. This result is very similar if the temperature is reduced to $T=t=4$, thus finite temperature effects are not too severe for this quantity at half-filling. Actually, our results for the intensity of the weight above p_F are in excellent agreement with the spin density wave mean-field approximation. [11] Since for a pure spin-1/2 antiferromagnet the weight at $p = (\pi/4; \pi/4)$ and $p = (3/4; 3/4)$ should be identical at this density, it is natural to conclude that the finite coupling $U=t$ is responsible for the reduction of the intensity of the PES signal above the naive p_F at half-filling.

Away from half-filling, at $n_{hi}=0.87$, the amount of weight at $p = (3/4; 3/4)$ is reduced to 10%, which is still visible in the scale of the plot. The height

of the peak, as a percentage of the peak height at $p = (\pi/2; \pi/2)$ and half-filling is about 15%. Following the criteria described before, the result obtained at $n_{hi}=0.87$ in the Hubbard model is labeled as still "observable" (although it is rather weak). Whether this PES weight corresponds to an actual sharp band dispersing, to a broader feature, or a combination of both, is difficult to address with the ME technique which has low resolution, but nevertheless it is clear that it is induced by antiferromagnetism. At $n_{hi}=0.70$, the signal at $p = (3/4; 3/4)$ carries a small weight of only 4%, and as the electronic density is reduced further the system smoothly converges to the non-interacting limit. Then, for this particular calculation we tentatively conclude that a doping of 25% holes makes the antiferromagnetically induced weight almost negligible, while at 12% doping the effect is still observable. To relate these results with experiments, in Fig.3a we show the spin correlations calculated numerically. At $n_{hi}=1$, the correlation is robust but it decays slowly to zero due to temperature effects, while at $n_{hi}=0.70$ it is clearly very small. At an intermediate density $n_{hi}=0.87$, A_F is between one and two lattice spacings, resembling the experimental situation in YBCO, and presumably also in Bi2212, since both are at optimal doping. [12] In spite of this fact, the weight above p_F is observable in Fig.2, showing that the effect is mainly caused by the local short-distance antiferromagnetic spin arrangement. Thus, the presence of large spin correlation lengths is not a necessary condition for the observation of weight above the noninteracting Fermi momentum.

To check the sensitivity of our conclusions to the model used, let us also consider the well-known 2D tight-binding Hamiltonian. This model cannot be studied with Monte Carlo techniques, thus exact diagonalization was used. [9] This algorithm works at $T=0$, and dynamical information can be obtained in real time. Its restriction to small clusters should not be a major problem in calculations where A_F is very small. To increase the momentum resolution along the diagonal in the BZ, we combined the results of the 16 sites cluster (providing momenta $(0;0); (\pi/2; \pi/2); (\pi; \pi)$) and the 18 sites cluster (containing $(\pi/3; \pi/3); (2\pi/3; 2\pi/3)$). [13]

In Fig.4, the PES $A(p; \omega)$ spectrum is shown for the t - J model. $J=t=0.4$ was selected as an example, but we checked that the results are qualitatively similar in the range between $J=t=0.2$ and $J=t=0.8$. As expected, at half-filling the largest peak near the chemical potential (quasiparticle) is obtained at $p = (\pi/2; \pi/2)$. Increasing the diagonal momenta away from it, a considerable amount of spectral weight induced by A_F is observed. Moving away from half-filling into the subspace of two holes (nominal density $n_{hi}=0.88$) the dominant peak remains at $p = (\pi/2; \pi/2)$ within our momentum resolution. At $(\pi/3; \pi/3)$ the quasiparticle strength is still large and coherent. On the other hand, at $p = (2\pi/3; 2\pi/3)$ the peak seems now broader in the scale used, although its integrated spectral weight re-

mains close to that of $p = (\approx 3; \approx 3)$. The height of the peak at $p = (2 \approx 3; 2 \approx 3)$ as a percentage of the largest peak located at $p = (\approx 2; \approx 2)$ (with or without holes) is 15-20% i.e. within the "observable" region defined before. Finally, at density $n_i = 0.77$, the result resembles that of a non-interacting system with a Fermi momentum close to $p = (\approx 3; \approx 3)$, above which the signal is too weak to be observable in PES experiments. Then, our rough estimations within the t-J model are similar to those of the Hubbard model, i.e. weight above p_F can still be observed at $n_i = 0.88$ but no longer at density $n_i = 0.77$. To make contact with experiments it is again necessary to consider the spin correlations which are shown in Fig.3b. At half-filling, A_F is clearly larger than the lattice size. At $n_i = 0.88$, a crude exponential fit of the spin correlation vs. distance gives $A_F = 1.5a$ (similar to that of YBCO and Bi2212 at optimal doping), while at $n_i = 0.77$, A_F is less than one lattice spacing. Then, we again arrive to the conclusion that for a real material with $A_F = 2a$ the antiferromagnetically generated PES weight is weak but may still be observable above the background.

In Fig.5, $A(p; !)$ is shown again at $n_i = 0.88$ using an expanded energy scale. The dispersion of the sharp peak (I) discussed before in Fig.4, has a bandwidth of order J , while at higher energies a considerable amount of spectral weight is found contributing to the bulk of the valence band (II). This double peak structure is in good agreement with the prediction of Kampf and Schrieffer [3] i.e. the lowest energy peak (I) and the incoherent part (II) at $p = (2 \approx 3; 2 \approx 3)$ are the shadow bands caused by antiferromagnetic correlations. ME entropy techniques cannot resolve these two peak structure, and thus exact diagonalization is needed to quantitatively analyze these features.

Summarizing, an analysis of the PES spectra in the 2D Hubbard and t-J models, at several densities and couplings, was reported. If these models qualitatively reproduce the physics of the high- T_c compounds, then we conclude that the effect predicted by Kampf and Schrieffer based on a robust A_F should be observable even for materials with spin correlations lengths of only a couple of lattice spacings, as in Bi2212 at optimal doping. This is compatible with the experimental results of Fig.1a. However, this regime is at the verge of observability. The PES signal above p_F should no longer be visible above the large PES experimental background at slightly larger dopings. To gather further evidence that the weak experimental signal is indeed caused by antiferromagnetism we believe that it is necessary to carry out PES experiments as a function of hole doping. The strength of the signal above p_F should increase as the system moves away from the optimal doping towards half-filling. A possible candidate for such a study is YBCO with a critical temperature of about 60K. Another alternative within the Bi2212 family would be to consider $Bi_2Sr_2Ca_{1-x}Lu_xCu_2O_{8+}$ and $Bi_2Sr_{2-x}La_xCaCu_2O_{8+}$ which seem to be underdoped. [14]

We thank J.R. Schrieffer, P. Monthoux, D. Scalapino, M. O'Neill, and A. Nazarenko for conversations, and J. Riera for providing us with programs for the t-J model. E.D. and A.M. are supported by the Office of Naval Research under grant ONR N00014-93-0495. E.D. also thanks the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund administered by the American Chemical Society. We also thank MARTECH (FSU) for support.

-
- [1] T. Imai et al, Phys. Rev. B 47, 9158 (1993).
 - [2] P. Aebi et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 2757 (1994); J. Osterwalder et al, preprint.
 - [3] A. Kampf and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. B 41, 6399 (1990); Phys. Rev. B 42, 7967 (1990).
 - [4] E. Dagotto, A. Nazarenko and M. Boninsegni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 728 (1994); E. Dagotto, A. Nazarenko and A. Moreo, to appear in Phys. Rev. Lett.; R. Putz et al, cond-mat SISSA preprint 9410039.
 - [5] B. O. Wells, Z.-X. Shen, A. Matsuura, D. M. King, M. A. Kastner, M. G. Reven, and R. J. Birgeneau, preprint.
 - [6] R. N. Silver et al, Phys. Rev. B 41, 2380 (1990); S. R. White, Phys. Rev. B 44, 4670 (1991).
 - [7] We thank B. O. Wells (private communication) for this suggestion.
 - [8] A. Moreo and D. Du y, cond-mat SISSA preprint 9407039; R. Eder and Y. Ohta, and T. Shimozato, Phys. Rev. B 50, 3350 (1994); R. Eder and Y. Ohta, Phys. Rev. B 50, 10043 (1994); S. Trugman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 500 (1990).
 - [9] E. Dagotto, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 763 (1994).
 - [10] For similar QMC ME results, see N. Bulut, D. J. Scalapino and S. R. White, Phys. Rev. B 50, 7215 (1994).
 - [11] E. Dagotto, F. Ortolani and D. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B 46, 3183 (1992); N. Bulut, D. J. Scalapino and S. R. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 748 (1994).
 - [12] Here, we assume that the PES spectral weight induced by antiferromagnetism is mainly a function of A_F i.e. we compare numerical and experimental results at different temperatures, but having the same spin correlation.
 - [13] These calculations were carried out on a Cray-90 supercomputer and IBM workstations. The largest Hilbert space handled (i.e. $N = 18, 5$ holes) contains 816816 states, exploiting the translational invariance symmetry. Typically each PES figure contains about 200 poles.
 - [14] Y. Koike et al, Physica C 159, 105 (1989).

Figure C captions


1. (a) PES intensity in Bi2212, as reported by Osterwalder et al. (Ref. [2]). Their method produces PES intensity at constant energy for all momenta, while conventional methods provide complete PES energy distribution curves at a few locations in the BZ. Each solid line corresponds to a fixed energy scan starting at the bottom at 0.3 eV above E_F , and arriving at the last top line at E_F . The spectra were measured at a polar angle of 39° , and for azimuthal angles spaced 1° apart beginning near the M line and ending near the X line. The $\sqrt{5} \times 1$ band is explained in the original text Ref. [2] and it is of no concern to us. We thank P. Aebi and J. Osterwalder for providing us with these unpublished data; (b) PES intensity as a function of energy for $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_{2-x}\text{F}_x$ taken from B. O. Wells et al. (Ref. [5]).


The momenta are given in units of π and along the diagonal in the 2D square lattice convention.



2. $A(\mathbf{p}; !)$, evaluated using QMC and ME techniques, for the 2D Hubbard model at $U=t=8$, $T=t=2$ on an 8×8 cluster, at several densities n_i . The momentum label varies along the diagonal in the BZ in units of $\pi/4$, and the percentages correspond to the integrated PES part of the spectral weight with respect to the total intensity ($=1$). The energy is in units of t .
3. (a) Spin-spin correlation $4hS_i^z S_{i+j}^z (1)^{jjj}$ vs distance, $j=jjj$, for the 2D Hubbard model calculated using QMC at $T=t=2$, $U=t=8$, and several densities on an 8×8 cluster; (b) Spin-spin correlations as defined in Fig. 3a, for the 2D t-J model calculated using exact diagonalization techniques on a 4×4 cluster with two holes, at several couplings.
4. $\text{PES } A(\mathbf{p}; !)$ evaluated using exact diagonalization techniques for the 2D t-J model, at $J=t=0.4$ on 4×4 and 18×18 clusters. The densities are shown in the figure. We assumed $t=0.4\text{eV}$, and provided a width $\Delta=0.1t$ to the peaks. The momenta are indicated, and the relevant peaks are shaded.
5. $\text{PES } A(\mathbf{p}; !)$ for the t-J model at $n_i=0.88$, $J=t=0.4$, clusters of 16 and 18 sites, and expanding the energy scale to observe the two peak structure. We use $\Delta=0.25t$ and $t=0.4\text{eV}$.

Bi2212

$\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$







2D t-J, PES, J/t=0.4

