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## A. Introduction

$M$ any physical problem s involve calculating sum s over paths. E ach path could represent one possible physical realization of an ob ject such as a polym er, in which case the weight of the path is the probability of that con guration. T he weights them selves could be im aginary as in the case ofFeynm an paths describing the am plitude for the propagation of a particle. P ath integralcalculations are now a standard toolof the theoreticalphysicist, $w$ ith $m$ any excellent books devoted to the sub ject [1] ${ }^{2}$ ].

W hat happens to sum sover paths in the presence ofquenched disorder in them edium ? Individual paths are no longer weighted sim ply by their length, but are in uenced by the im purities along their route. The sum $m$ ay be dom inated by \optim al" paths pinned to the im purities; the optim al paths usually form ing com plex hierarchical structures. P hysical exam ples are provided by the interface of the random bond Ising $m$ odel in tw o dim ensions, and by magnetic ux lines in superconductors. The actual value of the sum naturally depends on the particular realization of random ness and varies from sam ple to sam ple. I shall initially describe the problem in the context of the high tem perature expansion for the random bond Ising $m$ odel. Introducing the sum $s$ over paths for such a lattice $m$ odel avoids the di culties associated w ith short distance cuto s. Furtherm ore, the Ising $m$ odel is su ciently well understood to $m$ ake the nature of various approxim ations m ore evident.
$T$ he high tem perature correlation functions of the Ising $m$ odel are dom inated by the shortest paths connecting the spins. Such con gurations, that exclude loops and overhangs, are referred to as directed paths. They dom inate the asym ptotic behavior of the sum over distances that are much longer than the correlation length. M ost of the lectures are devoted to describing the statistical properties of sum $s$ over such directed paths. As in allm ultiplicative noise processes, the probability distribution for the sum is broad. Hence $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations $m$ ay not be an appropriate tool for num erical studies; failing to nd typicalm em bers of the ensem ble. Instead, we shall present a transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethod that allow s a num ericalevaluation of the sum in polynom ialtim e in the length of the path. $T$ he results indeed show that the sum has a broad probability distribution that resem bles (but is not quite) $\log \{n o r m$ al.

To obtain analyticalinform ation about this probability distribution we shall introduce the replica $m$ ethod for exam ining the $m$ om ents. A brief review explains the relationship betw een the $m$ om ents, the characteristic function, and cum ulants. It can be show $n$ easily that the one dim ensional sum has a log\{nom al distribution. The $m$ om ents of the sum
over directed paths in two dim ensions can be obtained by using a sim ple Bethe A nsatz. $T$ he im plications and lim itations of this approach are discussed. T here is little analytical in form ation in three and higher dim ensions, but a variety ofnum erical results are available, m ostly by taking advantage of a m apping to grow ing surfaces.

The spin glass problem describes a mixture of ferrom agnetic and antiferrom agnetic bonds. The resulting sum $s$ in the high tem perature expansion involve products over a random $m$ ixture of positive and negative factors. The calculation ofm om ents is som ew hat di erent from the case of purely positive random bonds. H ow ever, we shall dem onstrate that the scaling behavior of the distribution is unchanged. A sim ilar sum involving products of random signs is encountered in calculating the probability of an electron tunneling under a random potential. In the strongly localized lim it, it is again su cient to focus on the interference of the forw ard\{scattering (directed) paths. A magnetic eld introduces random phases in the sum ; while to describe the tunneling of an electron in the presence of spin $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { orbit } \\ \text { scattering requires exam ining the evolution of a tw ocom ponent spinor and }\end{array}\right.$ keeping track of products of random matrices. $W$ e shall argue that all these cases are in fact described by the sam e universal probability distribution which, how ever, does retain som e rem nant of the underlying sym $m$ etries of the original electronic H am ittonian.

Y et another class of directed paths has been introduced in the context of light scattering in turbulent $m$ edia. A ssum ing that inelastic scattering can be neglected, the intensity of the beam is left unchanged, and the evolution is unitary. D ue to the constraint of unitarity the resulting directed paths are described by a probability distribution belonging to a new universality class. W e shall introduce a discrete $m$ atrix $m$ odel that explicitly takes care of the unitarity constraint. In this m odel, several properties of the resulting sum over paths can be calculated exactly.

In the last sections of the course we shall go beyond the lim itations of directed paths. In a uniform system the sum over allpaths is calculated approxim ately, reproducing $m$ ean $\{$ eld criticalbehavior. In two dim ensions, the sum can be perform ed exactly for the Ising m odel. T his leads to exact solutions for the pure Ising m odel, or other uniform ly frustrated two dim ensional lattioes. W e shall use this $m$ ethod of exact sum $m$ ation to develop an integer algorithm for obtaining exact partition functions for two dim ensional random lattioes in polynom ialtim e. Som e results are described for the tw o dim ensional spin glass, and contrasted with those obtained from M onte C arlo or transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethods.
$T$ he results described in these notes were the outcom e of $m$ any collaborations. In particular, I w ould like to express $m y$ thanks to E.M edina, L. Saul, Y . Shapir, and Y.C. .

Zhang. I am grateful to F.D avid, P.G insparg, and J. Zinn \{Justin for organizing the Les H ouches summer school, and to the $m$ any students who helped $m e w i t h$ correcting the lecture notes. T he w ork at M IT w as supported by the N SF through grants D M R -93-03667 and PY I/D M R -89-58061.
B. H igh Tem perature Expansions for the Ising M odel

C onsider a d dim ensional hypercubic lattioe of $N$ sites. On each site there is an Ising variable $_{i}=1$, and the spins interact through a H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H={\underset{i j}{ } \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{i} j:}^{\mathrm{X}} \text { : } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symbol<ij> im plies that the sum is restricted to the $d N$ nearest neighbor bonds on the lattioe. The bonds $f J_{i j} g$ are quenched random variables, independently chosen from a probability distribution $p(J)$. For each realization of random bonds, the partition function is com puted as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left[J_{i j}\right]=\underbrace{X}_{f_{i g}} \exp (\quad H)=X_{f_{i g}<i j>}^{X} e^{K_{i j i j} ;} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum s are over the $2^{N}$ possible con gurations of spins, $=1=\left(k_{B} T\right)$ and $K_{i j}=$
$J_{i j}$. To obtain a high tem perature expansion, it is more convenient to organize the partition function in powers of tanh $K_{i j}$. Since $(i j)^{2}=1$, the Boltzm ann factor for each bond can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{K_{i j} i_{j}}=\frac{e^{K_{i j}}+e^{K_{i j}}}{2}+\frac{e^{K_{i j}} e^{K_{i j}}}{2}{ }_{i}=\cosh K_{i j}(1+i j i j) ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{ij}^{\tanh } \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is a good high tem perature expansion param eter. A pplying this transform ation to each bond of the lattioe results in
where

$$
\overline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{dN} \quad \begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
& @_{\text {hiji }} \\
& \mathrm{Y} \\
& \cosh \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{ij}}{ }^{\mathrm{A}} \quad:
\end{aligned}
$$

The term $\overline{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{\mathrm{dN}}$ is non-singular, and will be mostly ignored henceforth. The nal product in eq. (4) generates $2^{d N}$ term $s$ which can be represented diagram $m$ atically by
draw ing a line connecting sites iand j for each factor of ij i $j$. Each site now obtains a factor of ${ }_{i}^{p_{i}}$, where $0 \quad p \quad 2 d$ is the num ber ofbonds em anating from i. Sum $m$ ing over the tw o possible values $i=1$, gives a factor of 2 if $p$ is even and 0 is $p_{i}$ is odd. $T$ hus the only graphs that survive the sum have an even num ber of lines passing through each site. The resulting graphs are collections of closed paths $G$ on the lattice. T he contribution of each graph is the product of ${ }_{i j}$ for the bonds $m$ aking up the graph, resulting in

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left[J_{i j}\right]=2^{N} \quad \bar{C}^{\mathrm{dN}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{hiji2G}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{ij}^{\mathrm{A}}}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a d-dim ensional hypercubic lattice the sm allest closed graph is a square of 4 bonds and the next graph has 6 bonds. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}\left[\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right]=2^{\mathrm{N}} \quad \overline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{dN} \quad 1+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \text { P1 P2 P3 P4 }+\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{6}\right)+\quad \text { \# } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the sum runs over the $\mathrm{Nd}(\mathrm{d} \quad 1)=2$ plaquettes on the lattioe and $p$ indicate the four bonds along each plaquette. A quench averaged free energy is now obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\overline{\ln \mathrm{Z}}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\ln 2+\mathrm{d} \overline{\ln \cosh \mathrm{~K}}+\frac{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{~d} \quad 1)}{2}-4+ \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the over\{ lines indicate averages over the probability distribution $p(J)$.
The sam e m ethod can be used to obtain expansions for various spin operators. For exam ple the two spin correlation function is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { P } \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

T he term $s$ in the num erator involve an additional factor of $m \mathrm{n}$. To get a nite value after sum $m$ ing over $m=1$ and $n=1$ we have to exam ine graphs $w$ ith an odd num ber of bonds em anating from these extemal sites. A fter cancelling the com $m$ on factors betw een the num erator and denom inator, we obtain

W hereas the graphs in G have an even num ber of bonds going through each site, those of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{n}$ have an odd number of bonds going through the extemal points m and n . This procedure can be generalized to multiple spin correlation functions.
C. Characteristic Functions and Cum ulants

A s equations (6) and (8) indicate, the partition function and correlation functions of the random system are them selves random quantities, dependent on all the bonds $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ • It $m$ ay not be su cient to just characterize the $m$ ean value of $Z$ (or $\ln Z$ ), since the full inform ation about these uctuating quantities is only contained in their respective probability distributions p (Z ) and p ( h m n i). It is thus im portant to leam to characterize and $m$ anipulate probability distributions, necessitating the short digression taken in this section to de ne various notations I shall use in describing such random quantities.

C onsider a continuous random variable $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{w}$ hose outcom e is a real num ber E .
The cum ulative probability function (CPF) P ( $x$ ) , is the probability of an outcom ew ith any value less than $x$, i.e. $P(x)=p r o b:(E \quad x) . P(x)$ m ust be a m onotonically increasing function of $x, w$ th $P(1)=0$ and $P(+1)=1$.

The probability density function (PDF), is de ned by $p(x) \quad d P(x)=d x$. H ence, $p(x) d x=$ prob: $(x<E<x+d x)$. As a probability density, it is positive, and norm alized such that

$$
P(1)={ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} \mathrm{dxp}(\mathrm{x})=1 \quad:
$$

T he expectation value of any function $F(x)$, of the random variable is

$$
h F(x) i={ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} \mathrm{dxp}(x) F(x) \quad:
$$

The function $F(x)$ is itself a random variable, w ith an associated PDF of $p_{F}$ (f)df = prob: $(f<F(x)<f+d f)$. There $m$ ay be $m$ ultiple solutions $x_{i}$, to the equation $F(x)=f$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.p_{F}(f) d f=P_{i}^{X} p\left(x_{i}\right) d x_{i} \quad\right) \quad p_{F}(f)=\sum_{i}^{X} p\left(x_{i}\right) \frac{d x}{d F}_{x=x_{i}}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he factors of $j d x=d F$ jare the Jacobians associated $w$ th the change of variables from $x$ to F.

M om ents of the PDF are expectation values for powers of the random variable. T he $n^{\text {th }} \mathrm{m}$ om ent is Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
h x^{n} i=d x p(x) x^{n} \quad: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he characteristic function, is the generator ofm om ents of the distribution. It is sim ply the Fourier transform of the PD F, de ned by

$$
P(k)=e^{i k x}=\frac{Z}{d x p}(x) e^{i k x}:
$$

$M$ om ents of the distribution can be obtained by expanding $p(k)$ in powers of $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(k)=x_{n=0}^{*} \frac{(i k)^{n}}{n!} x^{n}=x_{n=0}^{n} \frac{(i k)^{n}}{n!} h x^{n} i: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cum ulant generating function is the logarithm of the characteristic function. Its expansion generates the cum ulants of the distribution de ned through

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln p(k)=x_{n=1}^{x^{1}} \frac{(i k)^{n}}{n!} h x^{n} i_{c} \quad: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations betw een $m$ om ents and cum ulants can be obtained by expanding the logarithm of $p(k)$ in eq. 15), and using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (1+\quad)=x_{n=1}^{x^{1}}(1)^{n+1} \frac{n}{n}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst four cum ulants are called the $m$ ean, variance, skewness, and curtosis of the distribution respectively, and are obtained from the $m$ om ents as

$$
\begin{align*}
& h x i_{c}=h x i ; \\
& x^{2}{ }_{c}=x^{2} \quad h x 1^{2} ; \\
& x^{3}{ }_{c}=x^{3} \quad 3 x^{2} h x i+2 h x i^{3} ;  \tag{18}\\
& x^{4}{ }_{c}=x^{4} \quad 4 x^{3} h x i \quad 3 x^{2}+12 x^{2} h x i^{2} \quad 6 h x 1_{1}^{4}:
\end{align*}
$$

The cum ulants are usually the most com pact way of describing a PDF.An im portant theorem allow s easy com putation ofm om ents in term s of the cum ulants: $R$ epresent the $n^{\text {th }}$ cum ulant graphically as a connected cluster of $n$ points. Them ${ }^{\text {th }} \mathrm{m}$ om ent is then obtained by sum $m$ ing all clusters (connected or disconnected) of $n$ points; the contribution of each cluster being the product of the connected cum ulants that it represents. $U$ sing this result the rst four $m$ om ents are easily com puted as

$$
\begin{align*}
& h x i=h x i_{c} ; \\
& x^{2}=x^{2}{ }_{c}+h x i_{c}^{2} ; \\
& x^{3}=x^{3}{ }_{c}+3 x^{2}{ }_{c} h x i_{c}+h x i_{c}^{3} ;  \tag{19}\\
& x^{4}=x^{4}{ }_{c}+4 x^{3}{ }_{c} h x i_{c}+3 x^{2}{ }_{c}^{2}+6 x^{2}{ }_{c} h x i_{c}^{2}+h x i_{c}^{4}:
\end{align*}
$$

The norm al (G aussian) distribution describes a continuous real random variable $x$, w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=p \frac{1}{22^{2}} \exp \quad \frac{(x \quad)^{2}}{2^{2}}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding characteristic function also has a G aussian form ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(k)=\sum_{1}^{Z_{1}} d x p \frac{1}{2^{2}} \exp \quad \frac{\left(x \quad f^{2}\right.}{2^{2}} \quad i k x=\exp \quad i k \quad \frac{k^{2}}{2} \quad: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Cum ulants of the distribution can be identi ed from $\ln p(k)=i k \quad k^{2}=2$, using eq. (16), as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hxi}_{\mathrm{c}}=\quad ; \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}=2 \quad ; \quad \mathrm{x}^{3}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{x}^{4}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}=\quad=0 \quad \text { : } \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nom aldistribution is thus com pletely speci ed by its two nst cum ulants. Thism akes the com putation of $m$ om ents using the chuster expansion (eqs. 19)) particularly sim ple, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { hxi }=; \\
& \mathrm{x}^{2}=3^{2}+2 ; \\
& \mathrm{x}^{3}=3^{2}+3^{3} ;  \tag{23}\\
& \mathrm{x}^{4}=3^{4}+6^{2} 2+{ }^{2} ;
\end{align*}
$$

The central lim it theorem describes the probability distribution for a sum $S={ }_{i=1}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$ over a large num ber of random variables. Cum ulants of the sum are given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h S i_{c}=\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{N}^{N}} h x_{i} i_{c} \quad ; \quad S^{2}{ }_{c}={ }_{i ; j}^{\mathrm{N}^{N}} h x_{i} x_{j} i_{c} \quad ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the random variables are independent, $p(x)={ }^{Q} p_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)$, and $p_{S}(k)=^{Q} p_{i}(k)$. Thecross $\{$ cum ulants in eq. (24) vanish, and the $n^{\text {th }}$ cum ulant of $S$ is sim ply the sum of the individual cum ulants, $h S^{n} i_{C}=P_{i=1}^{N} h x_{i}^{n} i_{C}$. W hen all the $N$ random variables are independently taken from the sam e distribution $p(x)$, this implies $h S^{n} i_{c}=N h x^{n} i_{c}$. For large values of $N$, the average value of the sum is proportional to $N$ while uctuations around the $m$ ean, as m easured by the standard deviation, grow only as $\overline{\mathrm{N}} \overline{\mathrm{N}}$. T he random variable $y=\left(S \quad N h x i_{C}\right)=\frac{P}{N}$, has zero m ean, and cum ulants that scale as hy ${ }^{m} i_{C} / N^{1} \mathrm{~m}=2$. As N ! 1 , only the second cum ulant survives and the PD F for $y$ converges to the norm al distribution,
$T$ he convergence of the PD F for the sum ofm any random variables to a nom aldistribution is a $m$ ost im portant result in the context of statistical $m$ echanics where such sum $s$ are frequently encountered. The central lim it theorem proves a m ore general form of this result: It is not necessary for the random variables to be independent, as the condition


## D. The One D im ensional Chain

The graphicalm ethod provides a rapid way of solving the Ising model at zero eld in $d=1$. We can compare and contrast the solutions on chains with open and closed (periodic) boundary conditions.

1. An open chain of N sites has N 1 bonds. It is im possible to draw any closed graphs on such a lattice, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=2^{N^{N} Y}{ }_{=1}^{1} \cosh K \quad 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\overline{\ln Z}}{N}=\overline{\ln [2 \cosh K]} \frac{\overline{\ln [\cosh K]}}{N} ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K \quad K+1$. There is also only one graph that contributes to the two point correlation function,

$$
h_{m} n^{i}=X_{f_{i g}}^{X} \frac{e^{P} i_{i i_{i+1}}}{Z} m_{n}=\begin{align*}
& Y^{1}  \tag{27}\\
& =m
\end{align*}
$$

2. A closed chain has the sam e num ber of sites and bonds, $N$. It is now possible to draw a closed graph that circles the whole chain, and


The di erence betw een the quenched free energies of closed and open chains is a surface term of the order of $1=\mathrm{N}$, and an exponential decay re ecting the interaction between edges, both vanishing in the them odynam ic lim it of N ! 1 . The correlation function can again be calculated from eq. 8). There are two paths connecting the points $m$ and $n$, along the two possible directions on the chain, giving

Since the partition function of the open chain is the sum ofN 1 independent variables,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\ln \mathrm{Z}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\ln 2+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N}}=1 \frac{\ln \cosh \mathrm{~K}}{\mathrm{~N}} ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can use the central lim it theorem to conclude that as N ! 1 the probability distribution $p(\ln Z=N)$, is a gaussian $w$ ith $m$ ean

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\ln Z}=N \quad \ln 2+\overline{\ln \cosh K} ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and variance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{(\ln \mathrm{Z})_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}} \overline{(\ln \mathrm{Z})} \quad \overline{(\ln \mathrm{Z})^{2}}=\mathrm{N} \overline{(\ln \cosh \mathrm{~K})_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

(N ote that I have ignored the sm alldi erence betw een $N$ and $N \quad 1$ in the therm odynam ic lim it.) Sim ilarly, for the correlation function of tw o points separated by a distance $t$, we have

$$
\ln \mathrm{h}_{0} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}=\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{t} 1  \tag{33}\\
=0
\end{array} \ln \quad:
$$

A s long as the random variables on the bonds are independently distributed, the cum ulants of $\ln \mathrm{h} 0$ ti are given by,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
\overline{\ln h 0 t^{i}}=t \overline{\ln \tanh K} \\
\left(\operatorname{lnh} 0 t^{i}\right)_{\mathrm{C}}^{2} \\
=\mathrm{t} \overline{(\ln \tanh K)_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}}
\end{array} \\
& \frac{\vdots}{(\operatorname{lnh} 0 \quad t i)_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{p}}}=\mathrm{t} \frac{\vdots}{(\ln \tanh K)_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{p}}} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

In the follow ing sections we shall try to obtain sim ilar inform ation about probability distribution functions for the partition and correlation functions in higher dim ensions. To do this we shallem ploy the replica $m$ ethod for calculating the $m$ om ents of the distribution. For exam ple, the cum ulants of the free energy are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}}}=\overline{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{n} \ln \mathrm{z}}}=\exp \mathrm{n} \overline{\ln Z}+\frac{\mathrm{n}^{2}}{2} \overline{(\ln Z)_{c}^{2}}+\quad \frac{\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{p}!} \overline{(\ln Z)_{c}^{\mathrm{p}}}+; \quad ; \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have taken advantage ofeq. 16), replacing (ik) with n. U sually, the m om ents on the left hand side of the above equation are know $n$ only for integer $n$, while the evaluation of the cum ulants on the right hand side relies on an expansion around $n=0$. This is one of the di culties associated w the problem of deducing a probability distribution $p(x)$, from the know ledge of its $m$ om ents $\overline{x^{n}}$. There is in fact a rigorous theorem that
the probability distribution cannot be uniquely inferred if its $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }} \mathrm{m}$ om ent increases faster than $n$ ! [ ${ }^{3}$ ]. M ost of the distributions of interest to us (such as the above log-norm al) do not satisfy this condition! Sim ilar problem s are encountered in the replica studies of spin glasses [4]. It tums out that $m$ any of the di culties associated $w$ ith a rigorous inversion are related to the tail of the distribution. M ost of the inform ation of interest to us is contained in the $\backslash$ bulk" of the distribution which is easier to investigate. R ather than taking a rigorous approach to the problem, we shall illustrate the di culties and their resolution by exam ining the one dim ensional case in detail since it actually presents the worst case scenario for the inverting ofm om ents.

W e used the central lim it theorem to deduce that the probability distribution for $h_{0} t^{i}$ is $\log \{$ norm al. Its $m$ om ents are com puted from,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{h_{0} t^{i^{n}}}=\Psi^{\Psi^{1}} \bar{n}={\overline{e^{n} \ln }}^{t}=\exp t_{p}^{\prime} \frac{n^{p}}{p!} \overline{(\ln )_{c}^{p}} \quad \# \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider a binary distribution in which takes two positive values of $1_{1}$ and $2_{1}>$ w ith equal probability. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{{\stackrel{n}{n}+{ }_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}}_{2}^{2} ; ~ ; ~}{\text { in }} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the generating function for the cum ulants of the correlation function is

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln \bar{n} & =n \ln 1+\ln \frac{1+\left({ }_{2}=1\right)^{n}}{2} \\
= & n \ln 1+\ln \frac{1+1+n \ln \left({ }_{2}={ }_{1}\right)+n^{2}=2 \ln ^{2}\left({ }_{2}={ }_{1}\right)+}{2} \\
n! & 0  \tag{38}\\
& =n \ln { }_{1}+\ln 1+\frac{n}{2} \ln \frac{2}{1}+\frac{n^{2}}{4} \ln ^{2} \frac{2}{1}+ \\
& =n \ln 1+\frac{n}{2} \ln \frac{2}{1}+\frac{n^{2}}{8} \ln ^{2} \frac{2}{1}+ \\
& =n \ln \left(\frac{p}{12}\right)+\frac{n^{2}}{8} \ln ^{2} \frac{2}{1}+
\end{align*}
$$

C om bining eqs. (36) and (38), the cum ulants of the correlation function are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8  \tag{39}\\
& \frac{\ln h 0 \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{i}}}{}=\mathrm{tln}(\mathrm{p} \overline{12}) \\
& \overline{\ln h 0 \mathrm{ti}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{t}}{4} \ln ^{2}\left({ }_{2}={ }_{1}\right) \quad: \\
& \vdots
\end{align*}
$$

W hile it is true that $\operatorname{lnh} 0 \mathrm{ti}$ is norm ally distributed for large $t$, we should be careful about the order of lim its in term inating the power series in the exponent at the second order. If we do so, from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{h}_{0} \mathrm{ti}^{\mathrm{n}}} \quad \exp t \mathrm{n} \mathrm{a}_{1}+\mathrm{n}^{2} \mathrm{a}_{2} ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

we should not infer anything about the high moments ( $n \quad 1 \quad 1$ ) and the tail of the distribution. O therw ise (since $a_{2}>0$ ), we would conclude that su ciently large $m$ om ents of $h_{0} t^{i}$ diverge $w$ th separation; a clearly false conclusion ash $\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{i}}$ is bounded by unity! $T$ he exact result is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~h}_{1} 0 \mathrm{ti}^{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{{ }_{2}^{\mathrm{nt}}}{2^{\mathrm{t}}} ; \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the high $m$ om ents are alm ost entirely dom inated by the one exceptional sam ple in which allbonds are equalto 2 . We can sum $m$ arize the situation as follow $s$ : $T$ he $\backslash$ bulk" of the probability distribution for $\operatorname{lnh} 0 t^{i}$ is described by the $s m$ allm om ents ( $n!0$ ), while the tail of the distribution is govemed by the large $m$ om ents ( $n!1$ ). W e should have a clear idea of the crossover point $n$ in applying the replica $m$ ethod. For the above one dim ensional exam ple, an estim ate of $n$ is given by the ratio of the successive term $s$ in the expansion, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{1}{\ln \left({ }_{2}={ }_{1}\right)}: \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that as $2_{1}={ }_{1}$ becom es large, $n$ decreases, possibly becom ing sm aller than unity. $T$ his does not imply that we should conclude that lnh 0 ti is not nom ally distributed, just that the tail of the distribution is $m$ ore prom inent. Failure to appreciate this point is the source of som em isunderstandings on the use of the replica m ethod $\mathbf{S}^{6}$ ].

C learly, it is possible to com e up with $m$ any di erent $m$ icroscopic distributions p( ), which result in the sam e rst tw o cum ulants in eqs. (39), but di erent higher cum ulants. A ll these cases lead to the same universal bulk probability distribution for $\operatorname{lnh} 0$ ti at large $t$, but very di erent tails. Thus the non-uniqueness of the overall probability in this exam ple has to do w ith the rather uninteresting (and nonuniversal) behavior of the tail of the distribution. The correct interpretation of eqs. 39) is that the $m$ ean value for the logarithm of the correlation function grow $s$ linearly $w$ th the separation $t$. In analogy w th pure system s, we can regard the coe cient of this decay as the inverse correlation length, i.e. ${ }^{1}=\ln ^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{12}$. H ow ever, due to random ness in the $m$ edium, correlations have di erent decays between di erent realizations (and betw een di erent points in the sam e realization). The variations in this \inverse correlation length" are scale dependent and fallo as $1={ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{t}}$. In the next sections we shall attem pt to generalize these results to higher dim ensions.

## E. D irected $P$ aths and the $T$ ransfer $M$ atrix

Calculation of the correlation function in higher dim ensions is com plicated by the presence of an exponentially large num ber of paths connecting any pair of points. On physical grounds we expect the high tem perature phase to be disordered, w ith correlations that decay exponentially as a function of the separation $t$. The essence of th is exponential decay is captured by the low est order term $s$ in the high tem perature expansion. The rst term in the series com es from the shortest path connecting the tw o points. A ctually, along a generic direction on a hypercubic lattioe there are $m$ any paths that have the sam e shortest length. (In tw o dim ensions, the length of the shortest path connecting ( $0 ; 0$ ) to ( $t ; x$ ) is the $\backslash M$ anhattan" distance Jj+ $\dot{k} \dot{j}$.) Thenumber of paths grows from a minim um of 1 along a lattice direction to a $m$ axim um ofd per step along the diagonal. ( $T$ he num ber of paths on the square lattice is ( $t+x$ ) ! (t'x!).) Thus the decay of correlations depends on orientation, a consequence of the anisotropy of the hypercubic lattice. (N ote that this anisotropy is absent at distances less than the correlation length. W e thus don't have to worry about anisotropy e ects in discretizing critical ( $m$ assless) theories on a lattioe.)

In a uniform system these shortest paths are su cient to capture the essence of correlation functions of the high tem perature phase: A $n$ exponential decay $w$ ith separation which is generic to all spin system s . A s tem perature is reduced, $m$ ore com plicated paths (e.g. w th loops and overhangs) start contributing to the sum. A though the contribution of these paths decays exponentially in their length, their num ber grow s exponentially. U ltim ately at the criticalpoint this \entropic" increase in the num ber of paths overcom es the \energetic" decrease due to the factors of < 1, and paths of all length becom e im portant below $T_{c}$. H ow ever, throughout the high tem perature phase, it is possible to exam ine the paths at the coarse grained scale where no loops and overhangs are present. The scale of such structures is roughly the correlation length, and if we use as the unit of a coarse\{grained lattice, the paths contributing to the correlation function are directed.

Let mede ne \directed paths" m ore carefully: Between any pair of points on the lattice we can draw an im aginary line which I shall refer to as the \tim e" axist. T ransverse directions (perpendicular to the $t$ axis) are indicated by $x$. D irected paths are sim ilar to the worldlines of a particle $x(t)$ in tim $e$; they exclude any path from the initialto the nal point that has steps opposite to the $m$ ain tim e direction. The question of the validity of this approxim ation, and the im portance of the neglected loops continually com es up. $T$ his is possibly because it is $m$ ore comm on to think about the vicinity of the critical
point where loops of all sizes are present and equally im portant. A way from the critical point, we have to distinguish between properties at scales sm aller and larger than the correlation length ; there is no other length scale (except for the lattice spacing) in the problem. Lim iting the sum for the correlation function to directed paths is only useful for separationst . Loops, overhangs, and additional structures occur up to size (the only length scale present) and can be rem oved by coarse graining such that the lattice spacing is larger than or equal to . This is autom atically the case in a high tem perature expansion since is initially less than a lattice spacing. By the sam e argum ent, we $m$ ay also neglect the closed loops (vacuum bubbles) generated by the denom inator of eq. [G).

In this section I shalldem onstrate how sum sover directed paths in the uniform system can be calculated exactly by transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethods. T hem ethod can also be generalized to random system $s$, providing an algorithm for sum $m$ ing allpaths in polynom ialtim e. For ease of visualization, I shall dem onstrate this $m$ ethod in tw o dim ensions; the results are easily generalizable to higher dim ensions. A lso to em phasize the general features of the transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethod, we shall com pare and contrast the behavior of correlations along the axis and the diagonal of the square lattice.

To calculate the correlation function $\mathrm{h} 0 ; 00 ; \mathrm{t}$ i, on a non-random square lattioe, we shall focus on directed paths oriented along the $m$ ain axis of the square. These paths are speci ed by a set of transverse coordinates ( $\mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \quad \mathrm{t}$ ), wiwith $\mathrm{x}_{0}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{t}}=0.0 \mathrm{f}$ course, there is only one shortest path with all $x_{i}$ equal to zero, but we would like to explore the corrections due to longer directed paths. C onsider the set of quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
h x ; t-1 / j 0 ; 0 i=\text { sum over paths from }(0 ; 0) \text { to }(x ; t) \quad W(x ; t) \text { : } \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he calculation of $W(x ; t)$ is easily accom plished by taking advantage of its $M$ arkovian property: Each step of a path proceeds from its last location and is independent of the previous steps. H ence $W$ can be calculated recursively from,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.W(x ; t+1)=X^{W}(x ; t)+\mathbb{W}(x \quad 1 ; t)+W(x+1 ; t)\right)+O\left(^{2}\right)  \tag{44}\\
x^{0} h x \nmid x^{0} i W\left(x^{0} ; t\right) \quad ;
\end{gather*}
$$

where we have introduced a transfer m atrix,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h x j \underset{j}{x} x_{i}^{0}=x ; x^{0}+{ }^{2}\left(x ; x^{0}+1+x ; x^{0} 1\right)+O\left({ }^{3}\right): \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we treat the values of at a particular $t$ as a vector, eq. 44) can be iterated as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{W}(t)=T \underline{W}(t \quad 1)=\quad \quad t=\underline{W T}(0) \quad ; \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

starting from


The calculations are sim pli ed by diagonalizing the $m$ atrix $T$, using the Fourier basis $h x$ qui $=e^{i q} x^{P} \bar{N}$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(q)=(1+2 \operatorname{cosq}+\quad)=2 \exp 1 \frac{q^{2}}{2}+ \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this basis, $W$ is calculated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W \quad(x ; t)=h \times \jmath^{t} j 0 i=^{X} \quad h x j \dot{j} i T(q)^{t} h q j 0 i \\
& ={ }^{t} e^{2} t^{Z} \frac{d q}{2} \exp \text { iqx } q^{2} t+ \\
& =\exp t \ln +2+O\left({ }^{2}\right) \quad p \frac{1}{4} \exp \frac{x^{2}}{4 t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ he result is proportional to a gaussian form in $x$ of $w$ idth $p \overline{2} t$. The exponential decay w ith $1=\ln (1=) \quad 2+O\left(^{2}\right)$ at $\mathrm{x}=0$ is accom panied by a subleading $1=\mathrm{p}$.

T he corresponding calculation of paths along the diagonal, contributing to h 0;0 0;ti, is even sim pler. ( $N$ ote that the $t$ and $x$ axes are rotated by 45 com pared to the previous exam ple.) A t each step the path $m$ ay proceed up or dow $n$, leading to the recursion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x ; t+1)=(W)(x \quad 1 ; t)+W(x+1 ; t))_{x^{0}}^{x} h x \nmid x^{0} i W\left(x^{0} ; t\right) \quad ; \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the transfer $m$ atrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.h x j x^{0} i=\left(x ; x^{0}+1+x ; x^{0} 1\right) \quad=\right) \quad T(q)=2 \text { cosq : } \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he calculation of $W$ proceeds as before,

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{\mathrm{dq}}{2}(2)^{t}(\operatorname{cosq})^{t} e^{\mathrm{iqx}} \quad \text {; }  \tag{52}\\
& \text { (2 f } p \frac{1}{2 t} \exp \frac{x^{2}}{2 t}
\end{align*}
$$

where the nal result is obtained by a saddle point evaluation of the integral, essentially replacing $\cos ^{t} q$ with exp $q^{2} t=2$.

The sim ilarity betw een eqs. (49) and (52) is apparent. $N$ ote that in both cases the leading exponential decay is determ ined by $T(q=0)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(0 ; t) \quad{ }_{\text {max }}^{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{q}=0)^{\mathrm{t}}: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an exam ple of the dom inance of the largest eigenvalue in the product of a large num ber ofm atrices. There is a corresponding ground state dom inance in the evolution of quantum system S . T he sim ilarities becom e further apparent by taking the continuum lim it of the recursion relations, which are obtained by regarding $W$ ( $x ; t$ ) as a sm ooth function, and expanding in the derivatives. From eq. (44), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
W+\frac{@ W}{@ t}+\quad=\quad W^{2}+2 W+\frac{@^{2} W}{@ x^{2}}+\quad ; \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

while eq. 50) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
W+\frac{@ W}{@ t}+\quad=2 W \frac{\varrho^{2} W}{@ x^{2}}+ \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

For large $t$, the function $W$ decays slow ly for adjacent points in the $x$ direction, and it is justi ed to only consider the low est order derivatives $w$ ith respect to $x$. The decay factor along the $t$ direction is, how ever, quite big and we shall keep track of all derivatives in this direction, leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{e_{t}} \mathrm{~W}=\exp 2+\varrho_{\mathrm{Q}}+\mathrm{W} \text {; } \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{Q_{\mathrm{t}}} \mathrm{~W}=2 \exp \frac{1}{2} @_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\quad W \quad ; \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. B oth equations can be rearranged (and generalized in higher dim ensions) into the di erential form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ W}{@ t}=\frac{W}{()}+\quad() r^{2} W \quad ; \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( ) and ( ) are the orientation dependent correlation length and dispersion coefcient. Eq. (58) can be regarded as a di usion equation in the presence of a sink, or an im aginary \{tim e Schrodinger equation.

It is of course quite easy to integrate this linear equation to reproduce the results in eqs. (49) and (52). H ow ever, it is also possible [1] to express the solution in the form of a continuous path integral. The solution is trivial in Fourier space,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ W(q)}{@ t}=\left(1^{1}+q^{2}\right) W \Rightarrow W(q ; t+t)=e^{\left({ }^{1}+q^{2}\right) t} W(q ; t) ; \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

while in real space,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{aligned}
W(x ; t+t) & =\frac{Z}{\frac{d q}{2}} e^{i q x} e^{\left({ }^{1}+q^{2}\right) t_{W}}(q ; t) \\
& \left.=\frac{d q}{2} e^{i q x} e^{(1}{ }^{1} q^{2}\right) t^{Z} d x_{t} e^{i q x} t W\left(x_{t} ; t\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =Z^{Z} d x_{t} \exp \quad \frac{t}{"}+\frac{t}{4} \frac{x_{t+} t \quad x_{t}}{t}{ }^{2^{\#}} W\left(x_{t} ; t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is just a continuum version of eqs. (44) and (50). W e can subdivide the interval $(0 ; t)$ into $N$ subintervals of length $t=t=N$. In the lim it of $N!1$, recursion ofeq. ( ( 0 ) gíves
where $\underline{x}=d x=d t^{0}$, and the integration is over all functions $x\left(t^{0}\right)$.
It is instructive to com pare the above path integral with the partition function of a string stretched betw een $(0 ; 0)$ and $(x ; t)$,

where is the line tension. W hereas for the string ${ }^{1}=(2)^{1}=$, in general due to the anisotropy of the lattioe these quantities need not be equal. By $m$ atching solutions at nearby angles of and $+d$, it is possible to obtain a relation between ${ }^{1}$ ( ) and ${ }^{1}$ ( ). (For a sim ilar relation in the context of interfaces of Ising m odels, see ref. 目].) H ow ever,
( ) ${ }^{1}$ calculated from the shortest paths only is singular along the axis $=0$. This iswhy to calculate the param eter along this direction it is necessary to include longer directed paths.

## F.M om ents of the C orrelation Function

W e now retum to the correlation functions in the presence of random bonds. In the high tem perature lim it, we can still set

$$
W \quad(x ; t) \quad h_{0 ; 0} \quad x ; t^{i}=\begin{array}{ll}
X & Y^{t}  \tag{63}\\
P \quad i=1
\end{array} \quad \text { Pi }
$$

$w$ here the sum is over all the diagonally oriented directed paths $P$ from $(0 ; 0)$ to $(x ; t)$, and the $P_{i}$ denote factors of $\tanh K$ encountered for the random bonds along each path. The $i$ are random variables, independently chosen for each bond. We shall assum $e$ that the probability distribution $p()$ is narrow ly distributed around a mean value ${ }^{-}$w ith width
. C learly, $W$ ( $x ; t$ ) is itself a random variable and we would like to nd its probability distribution. R ather than directly calculating $p$ ( $W$ ) , we shall rst exam ine its $m$ om ents $\overline{W^{n}}$.

C alculation of the rst $m$ om ent is trivial: Each factor of $i$ occurs at $m$ ost once in eq. (63), and hence after averaging,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{W(x ; t)} \quad h_{0 ; 0 \quad x, t i=}^{X}-t \quad: \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is precisely the sum encountered in a non-random system, with ${ }^{-}$replacing . For exam ple, along the square diagonal,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{W(x ; t)} \quad(\Gamma t) \quad \frac{1}{2 \pi} \exp \quad \frac{x^{2}}{2 t} \text {; } \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in general, in the continuum lim it,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ \bar{W}}{@ t}=\overline{\bar{W}}+\frac{\varrho^{2} \bar{W}}{@ x^{2}}: \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the calculation of the second $m$ om ent we need to evaluate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{W W}=X_{P ; P^{0}=1}^{Y^{t}} \overline{P_{i P} 0_{i}}: \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a particular $i$, there are two possible averages depending on whether or not the two paths cross the sam e bond,

$$
\overline{\mathrm{PiP}_{\mathrm{i}}}=\quad \begin{align*}
& -2 \quad \text { if } \mathrm{P} i \notin \mathrm{P} 0_{i}  \tag{68}\\
& \frac{\text { if } \mathrm{P} i=P 0_{i}}{}
\end{align*}:
$$

Since $\overline{2}>-2$ there is an additional weight for paths that intersect com pared to those that don't. This can be regarded as an attraction betw een the two paths, represented by a B oltzm ann weight,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{\overline{2}}{-2}=\frac{-2+2}{-2}=1+\frac{2}{-2} \quad e^{2}=-2 \quad: \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Including the attraction, the recursion relation for $\overline{W W}$ is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \quad \overline{\mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}\right)}={ }^{\mathrm{X}} \underset{\mathrm{x}_{1}^{0} \mathrm{x}_{2}^{0}}{ } \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \dot{\mathrm{x}}_{1}^{0} \mathrm{x}_{2}^{0} i \mathrm{~W}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}^{0} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}^{0} ; \mathrm{t} \quad\right. \text { 1) ; } \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th the tw o body transfer $m$ atrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
h x_{1} x_{2} \mathfrak{T}_{2} \dot{\mathrm{k}}_{1}^{0} x_{2}^{0} i==^{-2} \quad x_{1} ; x_{1}^{0}+1+x_{1} ; x_{1}^{0} 1 \quad x_{2} ; x_{2}^{0}+1+x_{2} ; x_{2}^{0} 1 \quad 1+(U) \text { 1) } x_{1} ; x_{2} x_{1}^{0} ; x_{2}^{0}: \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

The signi cance of the attraction in eq. 69) is as follow s: In the random system the paths prefer to pass through regions w ith particularly favorable values of . A fter perform ing the quench averaging the paths go through a uniform $m$ edium. The tendency for the originalpaths to bunch up through favorable spots is instead $m$ im icked by the uniform attraction which tends to bundle together the paths representing the higher $m$ om ents.

In the continuum lim it, eq. 79) goes over to a di erential equation of the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ W_{2}\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; t\right)}{@ t}=\frac{2 W_{2}}{\varrho}+\frac{@^{2} W_{2}}{@ x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{@^{2} W_{2}}{@ x_{2}^{2}}+u \quad\left(x_{1} \quad x_{2}\right) W_{2} \quad H_{2} W_{2} \quad ; \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

with u ${ }^{2}={ }^{-2}$. A ltematively, we could have obtained eq. 72) from the continuum version of the path integral,

Form ally integrating eq. (72) yields $\mathrm{W}_{2} / \exp \left(\mathrm{tH}_{2}\right)$, which can be evaluated in the basis of eigenvalues of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ as
where $f{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{m}$ are the eigenenergies of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, regarded as a quantum H am iltonian. The exponential grow th of $W_{2}$ fort! 1 is dom inated by the ground state " 0 .

The tw o body H am iltonian depends only on the relative separation ofthe tw o particles. A fter transform ing to the center ofm ass coordinates,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{r} & =\mathrm{x}_{1} \quad \mathrm{x}_{2}  \tag{75}\\
\mathrm{R} & =\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=2 \quad
\end{align*} \quad @_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{@}_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}+2 \mathrm{@}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2} ;
$$

the H am iltonian reads,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{2}=\frac{2}{-} \quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{R}}^{2} \quad 2 \llbracket \quad \mathrm{u} \quad \text { (r) : } \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relative coordinate describes a particle in a delta\{function potential, which has a ground state wavefunction

$$
\begin{equation*}
o(r ; R) / e^{j r j}: \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he value of is obtained by integrating $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ofrom to , and requiring the discontinuity in the logarithm ic derivative of 0 to m atch the strength of the potential; hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(\quad)=u \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{u}{4} \quad \frac{2}{2^{-2}} \quad: \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ground state energy of this tw o particle system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{0}=+\frac{2}{-} \quad 2^{2} \quad \underline{2} \quad \frac{u^{2}}{8} \quad: \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{W^{2}(t)}=\exp \quad \frac{2 t}{+} \frac{u^{2} t}{8}=\overline{W ~(t)}^{2} \exp \frac{u^{2} t}{8} \quad \bar{W}(t)^{2} ; \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

im plies that the probability distribution for $W$ ( $t$ ) is quite broad, and becom es progressively wider distributed ast! 1 .
$H$ igher $m$ om ents of the sum are obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{W^{n}}=X_{P_{1} ; \quad Y^{t} i=1} \overline{P_{1} i} \quad P_{n} i \quad: \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

At a particular \tim e" slice there $m$ ay or $m$ ay not be intersections am ongst the paths. Let us assum e that is G aussian distributed w ith a mean ${ }^{-}$, and a narrow width ; then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& p \frac{d}{2^{2}}-m+m^{-m 1}+\frac{m(m \quad 1)}{2}-m^{2} 2^{2}+\quad \exp \quad \frac{2}{2^{2}} \\
& -m+\frac{m(m \quad 1)}{2}-m 22+\quad-m \quad 1+\frac{m(m \quad 1)}{2}{ }^{2}+  \tag{82}\\
& -m \exp \frac{m(m \quad 1)}{2} u
\end{align*}
$$

:

Since there are m (m 1)=2 possibilities for pairing $m$ particles, the above result represents the Boltzm ann factor for a pairw ise attraction of $u$ for particles in contact. Since is bounded by unity, the approxim ations leading to eq. 82) m ust break dow $n$ for su ciently large m. This im plies the presence of three and higher body interactions. Such interactions are usually ofhigher order and can be safely ignored. For a discussion of these higher order interactions in a sim ilar context see ref. 7].

The continuum version of the resulting path integral is
and evolves according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ W_{n}}{@ t}=\frac{n W_{n}}{=1} \frac{X^{n}}{\varrho x^{2} W_{n}}+\frac{u}{2}^{X} \quad(x() \quad x()) W_{n} \quad H_{n} W_{n} \quad: \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he asym ptotic behavior of $W_{n}$ at large $t$ is controlled by the ground state of $H_{n}$. The corresponding wavefunction is obtained by a sim ple Bethe Ansatz [8], which generalizes eq. 77) to

$$
0\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}^{2}\right) / \mathrm{x}^{2} \exp ^{4}{\underset{2}{2}}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{j}} \quad \mathrm{x} \frac{3}{5} \quad \mathrm{w} \text { ith }=\frac{\mathrm{u}}{4} \quad:
$$

For each ordering of particles on the line the wave function can be expanded as $0 / \exp [\mathrm{x}]$ ], w th the $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ om enta" getting perm uted for di erent orderings. For example, if $x_{1}<x_{2}<\quad n$ rthe $m$ om enta are

$$
\begin{equation*}
=[2 \quad(\mathrm{n}+1)] \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

form ing a so called $n\{$ string. T he kinetic energy is proportional to

$$
\begin{align*}
& =n(n+1)^{2} \quad 4(n+1) \frac{n(n+1)}{2}+\frac{4 n(n+1)(2 n+1)}{6} \quad ;  \tag{87}\\
& =n(n+1) \quad(n+1)+\frac{2(2 n+1)}{3}=\frac{n(n+1)(n \quad 1)}{3}
\end{align*}
$$

leading to the ground state energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{-} \quad \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}} \quad 2=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{-} \quad \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2} \quad 1\right) \quad: \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the asym ptotic behavior ofm om ents of the sum has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t!1} \overline{W^{n}(t)}=\exp \quad \frac{n t}{}+\frac{n\left(n^{2} 1\right)^{2} t}{3}={\bar{W}(t)^{n}}^{n} \exp \frac{n\left(n^{2} 1\right) u^{2} t}{48} \quad: \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

G. The P robability D istribution in Two D im ensions

It is tem pting to use eq. 89) in conjunction with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathrm{n}!} \ln \overline{\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})}=\mathrm{n} \overline{\ln W}+\frac{\mathrm{n}^{2}}{2} \overline{(\ln W)_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}}+\quad \frac{\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{p}!} \overline{(\ln W)_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{p}}}+\quad ; \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

to read o the cum ulants for the probability distribution for $\ln W$. The key point is the absence of the $n^{2}$ term and the presence of the $n^{3} t$ factor in the exponent of eq. 89), suggesting a third cum ulant, and hence uctuations in $\ln W$ that grow ast ${ }^{1=3}$ [7]. H ow ever, as discussed before, there are subtleties in trying to deduce a probability distribution from the know ledge of its $m$ om ents which we need to consider rst. Since $W$ ( $t$ ) is bounded by unity, eq. 89) cannot be valid for arbitrarily large n. O ur rst task is to identify the crossover point $n$ beyond which this result is no longer correct.

Eq. 88) is obtained for the ground state ofn particles sub ject to a tw o body interaction in the continuum lim it. A sim ple argum ent can be used to understand the origin of the $n^{3}$ term in the energy, as well as the lim itations of the continuum approach. Let us assume that the $n$ particles form a bound state of size $R$. For large $n$, the energy of such a state can be estim ated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
" \quad \frac{n}{}+\frac{n}{R^{2}} \quad \frac{u n^{2}}{R}: \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

A variationalestim ate is obtained by $m$ inim izing the above expression w ith respect to $R$, resulting in $R /=(u n)$ and " / $u^{2} n^{3}=$. The size of the bound state decreases $w$ th increasing $n$, and the continuum approxim ation breaks dow $n$ when it becom es of the order of the lattice spacing for $n /=u^{-2}={ }^{2}$. For $n \quad n$ all the paths collapse together and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathrm{n}!1} \overline{\mathrm{~W}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})}, \quad\left(2^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{t}} \quad: \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

This asym ptotic behavior is non-universaland depends on the extrem e values of the local probability distribution for . Depending on the choige of param eters, $n$ can be large or $s m$ all. H ow ever, as discussed in the context of the one dim ensional problem, its value controls only the relative im portance of the tailand the bulk of the probability distribution for $\ln W$. The behavior of the bulk of the distribution is expected to be universal. The crossover at $n$ is explicitly dem onstrated in a sim pler model in ref. 10].

A nother im portant consideration is the order of lim its. E q. 89) is obtained by taking the $t!1$ lim it at xed $n$, while the cum ulant series in eq. (90) relies on an expansion around $n!0$ for $x e d t$. $T$ he tw $o l i m$ its do not com $m$ ute. In fact, we w ould naively deduce from eq. 89) that only the third cum ulant of $\ln W$ is non-zero. This is incorrect as it is im possible to have a probability distribution w ith only a third cum ulant 11]. The correct procedure [10] is to assum e that the singular behavior associated with n ! 0 and t! 1 is described by a scaling function of the form $g_{s}\left(n t^{!}\right)$. ( $T$ his is sim ilar to the singularity of the free energy at a critical point $\mathrm{w}^{\text {th }} \mathrm{n}^{1=!}$ playing the role of a correlation length.) Ift! 1 at xed $n$, extensivity of the free energy of the $n$ particle system forces $\ln \bar{W}{ }^{n}$ ( $t$ ) to be proportional to $t$. A the other $\lim$ 正 of $n!0$ at $x e d t$, the result is a pow er series in $n$, i.e.

$$
\ln \overline{W^{n}(t)}=\operatorname{ant}+g_{s}\left(n t^{!}\right)=\begin{aligned}
& \text { ant }+n^{1=!} t \\
& \\
& \text { ant }+g_{1} n t^{!}+g_{2}\left(n t^{!}\right)^{2}+\quad \text { fort! } 1 \text { at xed } n \\
& \text { for xed } t \text { as } n!\text { (93) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$N$ ote that I have included a non-singular term, ant. Sim ilar considerations have been put forw ard in ref. [12]. C om parison w the eq. 89) gives ! $=1=3$, and we can read o the cum ulants of $\ln W$ as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{8}{\ln W(t)}=a t+g_{1} t^{1=3} \\
\frac{\ln W^{2}(t)_{c}}{c}=2 g_{2} t^{2=3} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\ln W^{p}(t)_{c}}{}=p \cdot g_{p} t^{p=3}
\end{gathered}
$$

$T$ he existence of $t^{1=3}$ corrections to the quench averaged value of $\ln W$ ( $t$ ) was rst suggested by B ouchaud and O rland [13] and has been num erically veri ed [14]. The $t^{2=3}$ grow th of the variance of the probability distribution was obtained by $H$ use and H enley [15] in the context of interfaces of Ising $m$ odels at zero tem perature where an optim alpath dom inates the sum . T he results rem ain valid at nite tem peratures [16]. Sim ulations are
perform ed by im plem enting the transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethod num erically. For exam ple, along the diagonal of the square lattioe, the recursion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x ; t+1)=x ; t ; W(x \quad 1 ; t)+x ; t ;+W(x+1 ; t) ; \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

is iterated starting from $W(x ; 0)=x ; 0$. The random numbers $x ; t$; are generated as the iteration proceeds. Them em ory requirem ent (the arrays $W$ ( $x$ )) depend on the nal length $t_{i}$ each update requires $t$ operations, and the totalexecution tim e grow s as $t^{2}$. Thus for a given realization of random ness, exact results are obtained in polynom ial tim e. Of course the results have to be averaged overm any realizations of random ness. The typicalvalues of tused in the transferm atrix sim ulations range from $10^{3}$ to $10^{4}$, w ith $10^{2}$ to $10^{3}$ realizations. C alculating higher cum ulants becom es progressively more di cult. T he existence of the third cum ulant was veri ed by H alpin \{H ealy [17]. A fourth cum ulant, grow ing as $t^{4=3}$ was observed by K im et al. 18]. Starting from the replica result, Zhang 19] proposed an analytical form, $p(\ln W ; t) \quad \exp \left(a j \ln W \quad \ln ^{3} \bar{J}^{2}=t^{1=2}\right)$. W hile this form captures the correct scaling of free energy uctuations, it is sym m etric about the average value prechuding the observed nite third cum ulant. This de ciency was rem edied by C risanti et al. 2Q] who generalized the above probability to one with di erent coe cients a on the $t w o$ sides of the $m$ ean value.

So far, we focused on the asym ptotic behavior of $W$ ( $x ; t$ ) at large $t$, ignoring the dependence on the transverse coordinate. For the pure problem, the dependence of $W$ on the transverse coordinate is a G aussian, centered at the origin, with a width that grow s as $t^{1=2}$. The full dependence is obtained in the pure problem by including the band of eigenvalues with energies close to the ground state. Unfortunately, determ ining the appropriate eigenvalues for the interacting problem is rather di cult. In addition to the eigenvalues obtained by sim ply multiplying eq. 85) by exp [iq ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}+\quad \mathrm{n}$ 㱜, xthere are other states $w$ th broken replica sym $m$ etry 21]. A treatm ent by Bouchaud and $O$ rland [13] includes som e of the e ects of such excitations but is not fully rigorous. It does predict that the extent of transverse uctuations grows ast w ith $=2=3 \mathrm{as} \mathrm{observed}$ num erically [15,16]. There is in fact a relation betw een the exponents and! which follow s from sim ple physical considerations 15]: By analogy w ith a string, the energy to stretch a path by a distance $x$ grows as $x^{2}=t$. The path wanders away from the origin, only if the cost of this stretching can be m ade up by favorable con gurations ofbonds. Since the typical uctuations in (free) energy at scale t grow ast!, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{2}}{t} / t^{!} \quad=1 \quad!=2 \quad 1: \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his relation rem ains valid in higher dim ensions and has been veri ed in $m$ any num erical sim ulations. The rst (indirect) proof of ! = $1=3 \mathrm{w}$ as based on a replica analysis of a problem $w$ ith $m$ any interacting paths 22]. It was soon followed by a m ore direct proof 23] based on a com pletely di erent approach: the C ole\{H opf transform ation described in next section.
H. H igher D im ensions

The approach described in the previous sections is easily generalized to higher dim ensions. The directed path in $d=D+1$ is described by $x(t)$, where $x$ is a $D$ dim ensional vector. T he recursion relation of eq. (95) is generalized to

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x ; t+1)=X_{i=1}^{X^{d}} \quad x e_{i} ; t^{W} \quad(x \quad e ; t) \quad ; \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $e_{i}$ are unit vectors. The recursion relation is easily iterated on a com puter, but the $m$ em ory requirem ent and execution tim enow grow as $t^{D}$ and $t^{+1}$ respectively. The continuum lim it of this recursion relation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ W(x ; t)}{@ t}=\frac{W}{}+r^{2} W+(x ; t) W \quad ; \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $x ; t$ ) represents the uctuations of $(x ; t)$ around its average. Thus it has zero $m$ ean, and a variance

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; t)\left(x^{0} ; t^{0}\right)=2 D\left(x \quad x^{0}\right) \quad(t \quad \&): \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

(In a m ore general anisotropic situation, eq. (98) has to be generalized to include di erent di usivities along di erent directions. Such anisotropy is easily rem oved by rescaling the coordinates x .)

Eq. 98) can be regarded as the im aginary tim e Schrodinger equation for a particle in a random tim e dependent potential. It can be integrated to yield the continuous path integral
describing the uctuations of a directed polym er in a random m edium (DPRM) 24]. The $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }} \mathrm{m}$ om ent of W is com puted by replicating the above path integral and averaging over $(x ; t)$. It generalizes eq. 73) to
with $u /{ }^{2}$. The di erentialequation goveming the evolution of $W_{n}(t)$ is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ W_{n}}{@ t}=\frac{n}{-} W_{n}+{ }^{X} r^{2} W_{n}+\frac{u}{2}_{\xi}^{X}(x \quad x) W_{n} \quad H_{h} W_{n} \quad: \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

E valuating the asym ptotic behavior of $W_{n}(t)$ requires know ledge of the ground state energy of the H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Unfortunately, the exact dependence of the bound state energy on $n$ is know $n$ only for $D=0(" / n(n \quad 1))$ and $D=1\left(" / n\left(n^{2} 1\right)\right)$. As discussed earlier, these tw o results can then be used to deduce the behavior of the bulk of the probability distribution for $\ln W$ ( $($ ). E lem entary results from quantum $m$ echanics tell us that an arbitrarily sm all attraction leads to the form ation of a bound state in D 2, but that a nite strength of the potential is needed to form a bound state in $D>2$. $T$ hus, in the $m$ ost interesting case of $2+1$ dim ensions we expect a non-trivial probability distribution, while the replica analysis provides no inform ation on its behavior. In higher dim ensions, there is a phase transition betw een weak and strong random ness regim es. For weak random ness there is no bound state and asym ptotically $\overline{W^{n}(t)}=\bar{W}(t) ~ n, ~ i n d i c a t i n g ~ a ~$ shanp probability distribution. This statem ent has also been established by m ore rigorous $m$ ethods 25]. T here is another phase for strong random ness $w$ here the probability distribution forW ( $t$ ) becom es broad. T he resulting bound state hasbeen analytically studied in a $1=D$ expansion valid for large $D$ 26]. The ground state $w$ avefiunction is rather com $p l e x$, involving replica sym $m$ etry breaking. $N$ ote that the phase transition in the probability distribution of the correlation function occurs in the high tem perature phase of the random Ising $m$ odel. The im plications of this phase transition for bulk properties are not know $n$. A s the sti ness associated w ith line tension decreases on approaching the order/disorder phase transition of the Ising $m$ odel, close to this transition the probability distribution for W ( t ) is likely to be broad.

A s one of the sim plest $m$ odels of statistical $m$ echanics in random system $s$ (a \toy" spin glass), the problem of DPRM has generated considerable interest 27]. The model has been generalized to $m$ anifolds of arbitrary intemal dim ensions in random $m$ edia [28], and treated by functional RG m ethods [29]. The sam e m odel has also been studied by a variational approach that involves replica sym $m$ etry breaking [30]. The latter is also exact in the D ! 1 lim it. D irected paths have been exam ined on non Euclidean lattioes: In particular, the problem can be solved exactly on the $C$ ayley tree [31], where it has a transition between a \free" and a glassy state. There are also quite a few treatm ents based on a position space renorm alization group schem e [32] which becom es exact on a hierarchical lattice 33]. This lattice has no loops, and at the $m+1^{\text {th }}$ level is constructed by putting together $2^{D}$ branches, each containing two lattices of the $\mathrm{m}^{\text {th }}$ level. Starting from a set of random bonds at the rst level, the values of the sum $W\left(m=\log _{2} t\right.$ ) are constructed recursively from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}(m+1 ;)=\mathbb{X}_{=1}^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{~W}(m ; 1) W(m ; 2) ; \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the greek indices are used to indicate speci c bonds for a particular realization. A ltematively, these recursion relations can be used to study the evolution of the probability distribution for $W$ [34]. The exponent! $0: 30$ for $D=1$ is not too faro from the exact value of $1 / 3$.

A dditional inform ation about the higher dim ensional D PRM is obtained by taking advantage of a $m$ apping to the nonequilibrium problem of kinetic roughening of grow ing interfaces. U sing the C ole\{H opftransform ation [35],

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x ; t)=\exp \frac{h(x ; t)}{2} ; \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

eq. (98) is transform ed to the $K$ ardar, $P$ arisi, Zhang (K P Z) 36] equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ h}{@ t}=\frac{2}{}+r^{2} h \quad \frac{-}{2}(r h)^{2} \quad \frac{2}{(x ; t)} ; \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

describing the uctuations in height $h(x ; t)$ of a grow ing interface. A dynam ical renorm alization group ( RG ) analysis at the one\{loop level 37,38] of this equation indicates that the e ective coupling constant $g=4^{2}=$, satis es the rescaling relation

$$
\left.\frac{d g}{d^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & D \tag{106}
\end{array}\right) g+\frac{K_{D}(2 D \quad 3}{}\right) g^{2} ;
$$

$w$ here $K_{D}$ is the $D$ dim ensional solid angle divided by (2 $)^{D}$. The RG equation $m$ erely con m s the expectations based on the replica analysis: there is ow to strong coupling forD 2, while there is a transition betw een weak and strong coupling behavior in higher dim ensions. The RG equation has been recently extended to two loops [39,40]. A ccording to one calculation [40], there is no stable strong coupling xed point for D 2, and the nature of this phase rem ains a m ystery.

Since there are several comprehensive review sof the KPZ equation 41], I will not discuss its properties in any detail here. It su ces to say that there are $m$ any num erical m odels of grow th that fall in the universality class of this equation. They are in com plete agreem ent w th the exactly known results for $D=1$. The estim ates for the exponent in higher dim ensions are $=0: 624 \quad 0: 001$ for $D=2[42]$ and $0: 59$ for $D=$ [43]. The num erical results in higher dim ensions are consistent w ith an exponent that gets closer to $1 / 2$ as D ! 1 . It is not presently known whether there is a nite upper critical dim ension 28,44] beyond which $=1=2$ exactly.

## I. $R$ andom $S$ igns

So far we focused on nearest neighbor bonds $f \mathrm{KK}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{g}$, which though random, were all positive. For such couplings the ground state is uniform and ferrom agnetic. The study of low tem perature states is considerably $m$ ore com plicated for the random spin glass $w$ hich describes a $m$ ixture of ferrom agnetic and antiferrom agnetic bonds. The com petition between the bonds leads to frustration e ects, resulting in quite com plicated landscapes for the low energy states[4]. H ere we shall explore the high tem perature properties of spin glass $m$ odels. To focus on the e ects of the random ness in sign, we study a sim ple binary probability distribution in which negative and positive bonds of equal magnitude occur w th probabilities p and 1 p respectively.

The com putation of the high tem perature series for the correlation function (along the diagonal) proceeds as before, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x ; t) \quad h_{0 ; 0} x, t^{i}=t^{X} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{Pi} ; \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

where indicates the xedmagnitude of $\tanh K$, while $\mathrm{Pi}_{\mathrm{i}}=1$ are random signs. Since the elem ents of the sum can be both positive and negative, the rst question is whether the system $m$ aintains a coherence in sign (at least for smallp), i.e. what is the likelinood
that the tw o spins separated by a distance thave a preference to have the sam e sign. T his question can be answ ered only in one and high dim ensions.

For the one dim ensional chain the $m$ om ents of $W$ ( $t$ ) are easily calculated as

$$
\overline{\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})}=\quad \mathrm{nt} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{n} & 2 \mathrm{l})^{t} & \text { for } \mathrm{n} \text { odd, }  \tag{108}\\
1 & & \text { for } \mathrm{n} \text { even. } .
\end{array}
$$

A s all odd $m$ om ents asym ptotically decay to zero, at large distances $W$ ( $t$ ) is equally likely to be positive or negative. This is because the sign of the e ective bond depends only on the product of the interm ediate bonds and the possibility of a few negative bonds is su cient to rem ove any inform ation about the overall sign. From eq. ( $[08$ ), we can de ne a characteristic sign correlation length $s=1=\ln (1 \quad 2 p)$.
$T$ here is also a $\backslash m$ ean-eld" type of approach to the sign coherence problem 45] w hich is likely to be exact in high dim ensions. For paths along the diagonal of the hypercubic lattice, the $m$ ean value of $W$ ( $t$ ) is

$$
\overline{\mathrm{W}}(\mathrm{t}) \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{d} & (1 & \left.2 \mathrm{p})^{t}\right] \tag{109}
\end{array}\right]:
$$

$C$ alculating the variance of $W$ is com plicated due to the previously encountered problem of intersecting paths. W e can approxim ately evaluate it by considering a subset of paths contributing to the second $m$ om ent as,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{W^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{d} & (1 & 2 \mathrm{p}
\end{array} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{t}}+\left(\mathrm{d}^{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \mathrm{p}
\end{array}\right)^{2(\mathrm{t} 1)}+\left(\mathrm{d}^{2}\right)^{2}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{d} & (1 & 2 \mathrm{p}
\end{array}\right)^{2(t 2)}+\quad \mathrm{d}+^{2}{ }^{t}\right.\right. \\
& =2 t \frac{[d(12 p)]^{2(t+1)} d^{++1}}{[d(1 \quad 2 p)]^{2} d} \text { : } \tag{110}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst term in the above sum com es from two distinct paths betw een the end points; the second term from two paths that have their rst step in com $m$ on and then proceed independently. Them ${ }^{\text {th }}$ term in the series describes tw opaths that take $m$ steps together before becom ing separated. The underlying assum ption is that once the two paths have separated they will not com e back together again. This Independent $P$ ath A pproxim ation ( $\mathbb{P A}$ ) is better justi ed in higher dim ensions and leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\overline{\mathrm{W}^{2}(\mathrm{t})}}{\overline{\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{t})^{2}}}=\frac{\mathrm{d}(1}{} \quad 2 \mathrm{p}\right)^{2} \quad \mathrm{~d}(1 \quad 2 \mathrm{p})^{2} \mathrm{t} . \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

Forsm allp such that $d\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 p\end{array}\right)^{2}>1$, the above ratio converges to a constant ast! 1 ; the distribution is asym ptotically shanp and the correlations preserve sign inform ation.

H ow ever, if the concentration of negative bonds exceeds $p_{c}=1 \quad 1 \stackrel{p}{=} \bar{d}=2$, the ratio diverges exponentially in $t$, indicating a broad distribution. This has been interpreted [4]] as signalling a \sign transition". This argum ent suggests that there is a nite $p_{c}$ for all $d>1$. H ow ever, it is im portant to note that the $\mathbb{P A}$ ignores im portant correlations betw een the paths. Shapir and $W$ ang 47] criticize the assum ption of independent paths and suggest that as intersections are im portant for d 3, there should be no phase transition in these dim ensions. H ow ever, the identi cation of the lower critical dim ension for the sign transition is not com pletely settled. N um erical sim ulations based on the transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethod for $t$ of up to 600 [14], as well as exact enum eration studies 48] for $t$ 10, fail to nd a phase transition in $d=2$. The results suggest that if there is a phase transition in $d=2$ it occurs for $p_{c}<0: 05$. The phase diagram of a generalized $m$ odel $w$ ith com $p l e x$ phases has also been studied in higher dim ensions 49,50].

Forp > $p_{c}$ the inform ation on sign is lost beyond a coherence length $s$. If the system is coarse grained beyond this scale, the e ective bonds are equally likely to be positive or negative. Thus we shall concentrate on the sym $m$ etric case of $p=1=2$ in the rest of this section. This corresponds to the much studied J Ising spin glass [5]] which will be discussed in m ore detail later on. W e perform ed 14] transfer $m$ atrix com putations on system s of up to size $t=2000$, and averaged over 2000 realizations of random ness, on a VaxStationII. The random num bers (+ 1 or 1) were generated by a well tested random num ber generator 52]. Since $W$ grow sexponentially in $t$, $\ln$ fv jhas a wellde ned proba-
 for $p=1=2$ (both signs equally probable). W e also com puted the typical excursions of the paths in the lateraldirection as de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\left[x(t)^{2}\right]_{a v}} \frac{\mathrm{P}}{\frac{\left.\mathrm{px}^{2} x^{2} \ddagger \mathrm{~N}(x ; t)\right\}^{2}}{x \neq \mathrm{N}(x ; t)\}^{2}}} ; \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
where [ ad denotes an average over the lateral coordinate at a xed $t$, using a weight jv $(x ; t)\}$.
 while its uctuations satisfy a power law grow th $t^{!}$, with ! $=0: 33 \quad 0: 05$. For several choices oft w e also checked in detail that $W$ ( $($ ) ispositive or negative with equalprobability.

For lateral excursions, we exam ined sim ulations with $t=4000$, and w ith 200 realizations of random ness (reasonable data for uctuations of $\ln$ 䜣 ( $t$ ) jare only obtained from higher averaging). The results for $\overline{\left[\mathrm{x}^{2}\right]_{\mathrm{av}}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{x}]_{\mathrm{av}}^{2}}$ appear to converge to a com $m$ on asym ptotic lim it; tted to a power law $t^{2} w$ ith $=0: 68 \quad 0: 05$. The scaling properties of $f v(x ; t) j$ thus appear identical to those ofdirected polym ens w ith positive random w eights! It should be noted, how ever, that using a sim ilar procedure, Zhang 53] concluded from ts to his num erical results a value of $=0: 74 \quad 0: 01$. U sing a variety of theoretical argum ent $\$ 3$ 3], he suggests $!=1=2$ and $=3=4$. The exponent $!=1=2$ is clearly inconsistent w ith our data, while $=3=4$ can be obtained if one ts only to $\overline{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{av}}^{2}}$. T wo subsequent, rather extensive, num erical studies 54,55] shed m ore light on this problem . B oth sim ulations seem to equivocally point to the im portance of including corrections to scaling in the ts. In $1+1$ dim ensions they indeed nd ! = $1=3$ for the variance, and $=2=3$ (w ith a large correction to scaling term ) for transverse uctuations.

The sim ilarity in the probability distributions of random weight and random sign problem s can be understood by exam ination of the $m$ om ents. The term $s$ in $W^{n}$ correspond to the product of contributions from $n$ independent paths. Upon averaging, if $m$ paths cross a particular bond ( $0 \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{n}$ ), we obtain a factor of $\left[1+\left(\mathrm{I}^{\prime}\right)\right]=2$, which is 0 or 1 depending on the parity of $m$. For odd $n$ there $m$ ust be bonds $w$ ith $m$ odd, and hence $\overline{W^{2 n+1}}=0$; which of course implies and follows from the symmetry $p(W)=p(W)$. For even $m$ om ents $\overline{W^{2 n}}$, the only con gurations that survive averaging are those in which the 2 n replicated paths are arranged such that each bond is crossed an even num ber of tim es. The simplest con gurations satisfying this constraint correspond to draw ing $n$ independent paths betw een the end points and assigning tw o replica indices to each. $T$ he above constraint is also satis ed by form ing groups of four or higher even num bers, but such con gurations are entropically unlikely and we shall henceforth consider only paired paths. $T$ here is an im portant subtlety in calculating $\overline{W^{2 n}}$ from the $n$ paired \{paths: A fter two such paths cross, the outgoing pairs can either carry the sam e replica labels as the ingoing ones, or they can exchange one label (e.g. (12) (34) ! (12) (34); (13) (24); or (14) (23)). Therefore, after sum m ing over all possible ways of labelling the paired paths, there is a multiplicity of three for each intersection. T he $n$ paired paths attract each other through the exchange of replica partners!

A lthough the origin of the attraction betw een paths is very di erent from the case of random weights, the naloutcom e is the sam $e$. The even $m$ om ents in $1+1$ dim ension are related by an expression sim ilar to eq. 89),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t!1} \overline{\mathrm{~W}^{2 \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})}=\overline{\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{t})^{2}} \mathrm{exp} \quad \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2} \quad 1\right) \mathrm{t} \quad ; \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the conclusions regarding $\ln W$ ( t ) are the sam e as before. If, rather than having only one possible value for the $m$ agnitude of the random bond, we stant $w$ ith a sym $m$ etric distribution p( ), there will be an additional attraction between the paired paths com ing from the variance of ${ }^{2}$. This increases the bound state energy (and the factor ) in eq. (114) but does not a ect the universal properties.

## J. O ther R ealizations of D PRM

So far we focused on sum s over DPRM as encountered in high tem perature series of Ising $m$ odels. In fact several other realizations of such paths have been discussed in the literature, and $m$ any $m$ ore are likely to em erge in the fiuture. O ne of the original $m$ otivationswasto understand the dom ain wallofan Ising $m$ odelin the presence of random bond im purities [15]. A smentioned in the previous section, if all the random bonds are ferrom agnetic, in the ground state all spins are up or dow $n$. $N$ ow consider a m ixed state in which a dom ain wall is forced into the system by xing spins at opposite edges of the lattice to + and . B onds are broken at the interface of the two dom ains, and the totalenergy of the defect is tw ice the sum of all the $K_{i j}$ crossed by the interface. In the Solid $\{0 \mathrm{n}\{\mathrm{Solid}$ approxim ation, con gurations of the dom ain wall are restricted to directed paths. The resulting partition function $Z(t)$, can be com puted by exactly the sam e transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethod used to calculate $W$ ( $(\mathrm{t}$. R ather than looking at the nite tem perature partition function, $H$ use and $H$ enley [15] w orked directly $w$ th the zero tem perature con guration of the interface.

D enoting by $E(x ; t)$ the $m$ inim um in the energy of allpaths connecting $(0 ; 0)$ to $(x ; t)$, oriented along the diagonal of the square lattice, it is possible to construct the recursion relation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t}+1)=\mathrm{m} \text { infE }(\mathrm{x} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{t}) \quad 2 \mathrm{~J}_{1 ; \mathrm{t}} ; \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x}+1 ; \mathrm{t}) \quad 2 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{x}+1 ; \mathrm{tg}} \quad \text {; } \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

closely related to eq. 95). To nd the actualcon guration of the path, it is also necessary to store in $m$ em ory one bit of inform ation at each point ( $x ; t$ ), indicating whether the
$m$ inim um in eq. (115) com es from the rst or second term. Thisbit of inform ation indicates the direction of arrival for the optim alpath at $(x ; t)$. A fter the recursion relations have been iterated forw ard to $\backslash$ tim e" step $t$, the optim allocation is obtained from them inim um of the array $\mathrm{fE}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{g}$. From this location the optim alpath is reconstructed by stepping backw ard along the stored directions. This is how the pictures of optim al paths in refs. 24,38] were constructed. These optim al paths have a beautiful ultram etric structure that resem bles the deltas of river basins, and $m$ any other naturalbranching pattems. Finding the optim al interface is rem in iscent of the travelling salesm an problem. H ow ever, in this case, although the num ber of possible paths grow as $2^{t}$, their directed nature allow s us to nd the best solution in polynom ialtime.
$T$ he statistics of the $E(x ; t)$ at $T=0$ are identical to those of $\ln W(x ; t)$ : the optim al path wanders as $t^{2=3}$, while the uctuations in $E(t)$ scale as $t^{1=3}$ [15]. It is frequently assum ed that these uctuations also set the scale ofenergy barriers that the interface $m$ ust cross from one optim al state to another. Since such barriers grow with $t$, any activated process is slowed down to a logarithm ic craw 1 15].

It has been suggested that optim al paths are also relevant to fracture and failure phenom ena 56]. Im agine a tw o dim ensionalelastic m edium with im purities, e.g. a netw ork of springs of di erent strengths and extensions 57]. If the netw ork is sub jected to extemal shear, a com plicated stress eld is set up in the $m$ aterial. It is possible that non-linear e ects in combination w ith random ness enhance the stress eld along particular paths in the $m$ edium . Such bands of enhanced stress are visible by polarized light in a sheet of plexiglass. The localization of deform ation is nicely dem onstrated in a two dim ensional packing of straws 58]. The roughness of the localization band is characterized by the exponent $=0: 73$ 0:07, not inconsistent $w$ ith the value of $2 / 3$ forD PRM .T he experim ent was inspired by random fuse models 59] which apply a sim ilar procedure to describe the failure of an electrical netw ork. H ansen et al. 60] suggest that at the threshold in all such models, failure occurs along an optim al path with statistics sim ilar to a DPRM. Their num erical results obtain a roughness exponent of $=0: 7$ for the crack interface w ith a precision of about $10 \%$.

In fact, the $m$ inim al directed path was proposed in 1964 [61] as a $m$ odel for tensile rupture of paper. The variations in brightness of a piece of paper held in front of a light source are indicative of nonuniform ities in localthickness and density ( $x$ ). Tydem an and $H$ iron suggested that rupture occurs along the weakest line for which the sum of $(x)$ is $m$ inim um. This is clearly just a continuum version of the optim alenergy path in a random
$m$ edium . (Since the average of $(x)$ is positive, the optim al path $w$ ill be directed.) This $m$ odelw as tested by $K$ ertesz et al. 62] w ho used a tensile testing $m$ achine to gradually tear apart $m$ any sheets of paper. They found that the resulting rupture lines are self\{a ne, characterized by $0: 63 \ll 0: 72$.

The three dim ensionalD P RM was introduced 24] as a m odel for a polyelectrolyte in a gelm atrix. P robably a better realization is provided by defect lines, such as dislocations or vortices, in a m edium with im purities. There has been a resurgence of interest in this problem since it was realized that ux lines in high tem perature ceram ic superconductors are highly exible, and easily pinned by the oxygen im purities that are usually present in these $m$ aterials 63]. P inning by im purities is in fact crucial for any application, as otherw ise the ux lines drift due to the Lorentz force giving rise to ux ow resistivity [64].
K. Q uantum Interference of Strongly Localized Electrons

The wavefunctions for non-interacting electrons in a regular solid are extended B loch states. In the presence ofdisorder and im purities, gradually $m$ ore and $m$ ore of these states becom e localized. This was rst pointed out by A nderson 65]] who studied a random tight\{binding H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H={ }_{i}^{X} "_{i} a_{i}^{+} a_{i}+{ }_{<i j>}^{X} V_{i j} a_{i}^{+} a_{j} \quad: \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere ${ }_{i}$ are the site energies and $V_{i j}$ represent the nearest neighbor couplings or transfer term s. For sim plicity we shall focus on

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ij}}={ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~V} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { if } i ; j \text { are nearest neighbors } \\
& 0 \\
& \text { otherw ise }
\end{aligned} ;
$$

so that all the random ness is in the site energies. This is just a discretized version of the continuum Ham iltonian $H=r^{2}+"(x)$, for a quantum particle in a random potential $"(x)$. For a uniform ", the H am iltonian is diagonalized by extended Fourier m odes $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{y}}=$
 $c_{0} q_{d}$ ). (T he lattice spacing has been set to unity.) A s long as the ferm ienergy falls w ith in this band of excited states the system is m etallic.

In the random system the wave functions become distorted, and localized to the vicinity of low energy im purities 65]. This localization starts $w$ ith the states at the edge
of the band and proceeds to include all states as random ness is increased. In fact in d 2, as the di using path of a non \{localized electron w illalw ays encounter an im purity, allstates are localized by even weak random ness. T he originalideas ofA nderson localization [65], and a heuristic scaling approach by $T$ houless [66], have been placed on $m$ ore rigorous footing by perturbative RG studies [67\{[69]. T he perturbative approach em phasizes the im portance of quantum interference e ects in the weakly disordered $m$ etal. $W$ eak localization phenom ena include thee ects ofm agnetic elds, spin \{orbit (SO ) scattering (corresponding respectively to interactions breaking tim e reversaland spin space sym $m$ etries) on the conductivity 70], as well as predicting a universal value of the order of $\mathrm{e}^{2}=\mathrm{h}$ for conductance uctuations 771,72]. These phenom ena can be traced to the quantum interference of tim e reversed paths in backscattering loops and their suppression by magnetic elds and SO 73]: In the of absence $S O$, a magnetic eld causes an increase in the localization length, and a factor of 2 decrease in the conductance uctuations; w ith SO, it has the opposite e ect of decreasing the localization length, while still reducing the conductance uctuations 74,75]. A $n$ altemative description of these phenom ena is based on the theory of random $m$ atrioes [76], where the only input is the sym $m$ etries of the underlying $H$ am iltonian and their m odi cation by a m agnetic eld. M esoscopic devioes at low tem perature have provided m any experim ental veri cations of weak localization theory 73,77$]$ and there are m any excellent review s on the sub ject 70, 78].

W hen the electronic states at the ferm ienergy are localized, them aterialis an insulator and there is no conductivity at zero tem perature. How ever, at nite tem peratures there is a sm all conductivity that originates from the quantum tunneling of electrons betw een localized states, described by M ott's variable range hopping (VRH) process 79]: The probability for tunneling a distance $t$ is the product of $t w o$ factors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t}) / \exp \quad \underline{2 t} \quad \exp \frac{"}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}} \quad: \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst factor is the quantum tunneling probability and assum es that the overlap of the tw o localized states decays with a characteristic localization length. The second factor recognizes that the di erent localized states $m$ ust have di erent energies " (otherw ise a new state is obtained by their $m$ ixture using degenerate pertunbation theory). The di erence in energy must be provided by inelastic processes such as phonon scattering, and is govemed by the Boltzm ann weight at tem perature T. The m ost likely tunneling sites m ust be close in energy. If there is a uniform density of states $N\left({ }^{\prime} f\right.$ ) in the vicinity
of the ferm i energy, there are roughly $N\left("_{f}\right) t^{d}$ candidate states in a volum e of linear size $t$ in $d$ dim ensions, $w$ th the $m$ allest energy di erence of the order of $" / N\left("_{f}\right) t^{d}$. $T$ hus the tw o exponential factors in eq. (117) oppose each other, encouraging the electron to travel shorter and longer distances respectively. T he optim al distance scales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t} \quad(\mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{T})^{\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}+1}} \quad ; \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $T_{0} / k_{B} N\left("_{f}\right)^{d}{ }^{1}$, diverging at zero tem perature.
In the strongly localized regim $e$, the optim al hopping length is $m$ any tim es greater than the localization length . The localized sites are then assum ed to be connected by a classical random resistor netw ork [8]. Since the individual resistors are taken from a very w ide distribution, it is then argued 81] that the resistance of the w hole sam ple is govemed by the critical resistor that $m$ akes the netw ork percolate. $T$ his leads to a dependence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T)=0 \exp \left[\quad\left(T_{0}=T\right)^{\frac{1}{d+1}}\right] ; \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the conductivity. This behavior has been veri ed experim entally both in two and three dim ensions [82]. D ue to the di culty of $m$ easuring variations in the $m$ uch sm aller conductivities of insulators, there have been relatively few studies of the conductivity and its uctuations for strongly localized electrons. N onetheless, recent experim ents 83] nd a positive MC in Si-inversion layers, G aAs and $\mathrm{In}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \times \mathrm{m}$. Furtherm ore, the observed reproducible conductance uctuations are quite suggestive of quantum interference $(Q)$ e ects. H ow ever, the $m$ agnitudes of these uctuations grow $w$ ith low ering tem perature, and are about 100 tim es larger than $\mathrm{e}^{2}=\mathrm{h}$ at the low est tem perature.

C learly a di erent theory is needed to account for Q I e ects in the strong localization regim e. The m ost natural candidate is the quantum overlap factor in eq. 117). N guyen, Spivak, and Shklovskii ( $\mathbb{N} S S$ ) have proposed a m odel that accounts for $Q I$ of $m u l t i p l y$ scattered tunneling paths in the hopping probability: In between the phonon assisted tunneling events the electron preserves its phase $m$ em ory. H ow ever, at low tem peratures it tunnels over very large distances according to eq. (118), and encounters m any im purities. The overalltunneling am plitude is then obtained from the sum over alltra jectories betw een the initial and nal sites. NSS em phasized that since the contribution of each trajectory is exponentially sm all in its length, the dom inant contributions to the sum come from the shortest or forw ard scattering paths. The traditionalexplanations of w eak localization phenom ena which rely on the Q I of back scattering paths are therefore inappropriate to this regin e. This picture is clearly rem iniscent of the directed paths and willbe developed $m$ ore form ally in the next section.
L.The Locator Expansion and Forw ard Scattering Paths

The overlaps in the insulating regim e can be studied by perform ing a \locator" expansion [65]; valid in the lim it $j_{i j} j=V$ (E $\left.\|_{i}\right)$; where $E$ is the electron energy. Indeed, for $\mathrm{V}=0$, the eigenfiunctions are just the single site states, and the localization length is zero
 around this solution, as expressed by the Lippm an \{Schw inger equation 84]

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{+} i=j i+\frac{1}{E H_{0}+i} V j^{+} i: \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he bare H am iltonian

$$
\mathrm{H}_{0}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{"}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}^{+} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} ;
$$

has no nearest-neighbor coupling, while the perturbation

$$
V={\underset{\text { ij }}{ }\rangle}_{X}^{V_{i j} a_{i}^{+} a_{j}} ;
$$

describes the sm all transfer term s . $j$ i rep resents the state w ith a localized electron at the initial site (or incident wave), $j^{+}$i the state where a localized electron is at the nal site. In the coordinate representation, the wavefunctions are exponentially localized around the im purity sites and there are no propagating waves since electrons can only tunnel under a potentialbarrier. (T his situation was rst addressed in detailby Lifshits and K ippichenko 885].) W e can now iterate this im plicit equation to obtain an expansion in powers of the ratio $\mathrm{V}=(\mathrm{E} \quad$ " $)$ ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{+} i=j i+\frac{1}{E \quad H_{0}+i} V j i+\frac{1}{E \quad H_{0}+i} V \frac{1}{E \quad H_{0}+i} V j i+\quad: \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

A cting with $h{ }^{+}$jon the left and taking to zero, we obtain the overlap betw een the two states

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{+} j^{+} i=h^{+} j i+h^{+} \frac{1}{E \quad H_{0}} V j i+h^{+} \frac{1}{E \quad H_{0}} V \frac{1}{E \quad H_{0}} V j i+ \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

For am ore general transfer term V connecting allsites, the rst term represents an electron starting from the initial site and ending at the nal site w thout scattering (the overlap $h^{+} j$ i); the second term represents electrons scattering once o interm ediate sites, the third, scattering twice, etc.. The operator $V$ acting on $j$ i produces a factor $V$ for each segm ent crossed, and $H_{0}$ acting on a particular site i results in " ${ }_{i}$, the bare site energy.

Thus we nally arrive at a sim ple expression for the am plitude or the $G$ reen's function betw een the initial and nal states as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{+} j+i=h \text { jG (E)j }{ }^{+} i=V V_{i}^{X} \frac{V}{E \quad \text { "i }^{X}}: \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he term $s$ in the above perturbation series correspond to all paths connecting the end points; i label the sites along each path. Except that the random variables appear on the sites rather than the bonds of the lattioe, this sum over paths is quite rem in iscent of the corresponding one for the correlation functions of the random bond Ising model. T here is, how ever, one com plication that distinguishes the localization problem : T he energy denom inators in eq. (123) m ay accidentally be zero, invalidating the perturbation series. Physically, this corresponds to interm ediate sites that are at the sam e energy as the extemal points. P resum ably in this case a degenerate perturbation theory has to be used to construct the w avefunction. NSS [46] circum vent this issue by considering initial and nalsites of approxim ately the sam e energy $"_{F}=E=0$, while the interm ediate sites have energies $"_{i}=U$ with equal probability. All the energy denom inators in eq. (12ß) now contribute the sam e nitem agnitude $U$, but random signs $i="_{i}=U . T$ he justi cation is that the $M$ ott argum ent im plicitly assum es that the low est energy " occurs at a distance $t$, and that there are no interm ediate sites that are m ore favorable. H ow ever, it is not clear that due to the very sam e considerations, we should not inchude som e dependence of the e ective energy gap $U$ on $t$. $W$ e shall set aside such considerations and focus on the properties of the $\mathrm{N} S \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{~m}$ odel in the rem ainder.

A path of length ' now contributes an amplitude $U(V=U)$ ' to the sum, as well as an overall sign. In the localized regim e the sum is rapidly convergent, dom inated by its low est order term $s$ [65]. In general, the sum is bounded by one in which all term sm ake a positive
 $z$ is the lattice coordination num ber. T his provides a low er bound for the delocalization transition, and the series is certainly convergent for $s m$ aller values of $V=U$. A s in the Ising m odel we expect loops to becom e im portant only after the transition, while in the localized phase typicalpaths are directed beyond the localization length . For ( $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{U}$ ) 1, the localization length is less than a single lattioe spacing, and only directed (forward scattering) paths need to be considered. Loops (back scattering paths) are irrelevant in the
renorm alization group sense. For sites separated by a distance $t+1$ along a diagonal of the square lattice, eq. 123) is now sim pli ed to
which is identical to eq. (107) w ith ( $V=U$ ) replacing. . The diagonal geom etry maxim izes possible interference by having a large num ber of shortest paths. For tunneling along the axes rather than the diagonal of a square lattige there is only one shortest path. Then, including longer paths w ith kinks is essential to the interference phenom ena. H ow ever, the analogy to previous results suggests that the universalbehavior is the sam e in the two cases while the approach to asym ptotic behavior is m uch slow er in the latter.

U sing the equivalence to eq. (114), in conjunction w ith eq. (93), results in
where we have de ned local and global contributions to the e ective localization length, respectively given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\ln \frac{\mathrm{U}}{\overline{2} \mathrm{~V}} \quad{ }^{1} \quad ; \quad \text { and } g^{1}=\frac{1}{2} \quad: \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he Q I inform ation is encoded in $2 g^{1}=$. N um erical estim ates indicate that for the NSS m odel $g$ 40, and con $m$ that the $w$ idth of the distribution scales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \text { hijg jifj } \frac{t}{9}^{1=3}: \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since t / T ${ }^{1=3}$ in M ott VRH, we expect uctuations in log\{conductivity to grow as $T^{1=9}$ for $T$ ! 0, in qualitative agreem ent $w$ ith the experim ental results of ref. 83]. (A quantitative test of this dependence has not yet been perform ed.)
M. M agnetic $F$ ield $R$ esp on se

A 11 that is needed to include a magnetic eld $B$ in the tight binding $H$ am iltonian of eq. 116) is to $m$ ultiply the transfer elem ents $V_{i j}$ by $\exp \left(A_{i j}\right)$, where $A_{i j}$ is the line integral ofthe gauge eld along the bond from ito j. D ue to these factors, the H am iltonian becom es
com plex and is no longer tim e reversal sym metric ( $\mathrm{H} \in \mathrm{H}$ ). In the parlance of random $m$ atrix theory 76], the H am iltonian w ith $\mathrm{B}=0$ belongs to the orthogonalensem ble, while a nite eld places it in the unitary ensemble. A ctually, random $m$ atrix theory recognizes a third (sym plectic) ensem ble of H am iltonians which are tim e reversal sym m etric, but not invariant under rotations in spin space. Up to this point we had not $m$ entioned the spin of the electron: T he states of eq. 116) are thus doubly degenerate and can be occupied by (non-interacting) up or dow $n$ spin states. W e can rem ove this degeneracy by including spin-orbit (SO ) scattering, which rotates the spin of the electron as it $m$ oves through the lattige.

T he generalized tight binding $H$ am iltonian that includes both the e ects of SO scattering and $m$ agnetic eld is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{i ;}^{X} "_{i} a_{i ;}^{Y} a_{i ;}+{ }_{<i j>;}^{X} \quad V_{i j ;} \quad{ }^{0} e^{i A_{i j}} a_{i ;}^{Y} a_{j ;} \quad: \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he constant, nearest-neighbor only hopping, elem ents $V$ in eq. 116) are no longer diagonal in spin space. Instead, each is multiplied by $U_{i j}$, a random ly chosen $S U(2) \mathrm{m}$ atrix which describes the spin rotation due to strong SO scatterens on each bond 76]. Eq. 123) for the overlap of wavefunctions at the tw o end-points m ust now include the initial and nalspins, and eq. (124) for the sum of directed paths generalizes to

A fter averaging over the initialspin, and sum $m$ ing over the nal spin, the tunneling probabilly is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(A{ }^{Y} A\right)=V^{2}(V=U)^{2 t} I(t) \quad ; \quad I(t)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(J^{y} J\right) \quad: \tag{130}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e num erically studied the statistical properties of $I(t)$, using a transfer matrix $m$ ethod to exactly calculate I up to $t=1000$, for over 2000 realizations of the random H am iltonian. W e again found that the distribution is broad (alm ost log\{norm al), and that the appropriate variable to consider is $\ln I(t)$. In all cases the $m$ ean of $\ln I(t)$ scaled linearly w ith $t$, while its uctuations scaled as $t^{!}$w ith ! $1=3$ [ $\left.8 \phi 88\right]$. For the sake of com parison w ith experim ents we de ne a $\log \{m$ agnetoconductance $(\mathbb{M} C)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M} C(t ; B) \quad \overline{\ln I(t ; B)} \quad \overline{\ln I(t ; 0)}: \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e nd num erically that the $m$ agnetic eld alw ays causes an enhancem ent in tunneling (a positive M C ), but that the asym ptotic behavior is quite distinct in the presence or absence of SO scattering.
(1) In the absence of $S O$, the $M C$ is unbounded and grow $s$ linearly $w$ ith $t$. $T$ his can be interpreted as an increase in the global contribution to the localization length. The num erical results indicate that for $s m$ all $B$, the change in slope is proportional to $B^{1=2}$. Indeed the data for di erent $t$ and $B$ can be collapsed together, using the $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { M C }(t ; B)=(0: 15 \quad 0: 03)-_{0}^{1=2} t ; \tag{132}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\mathrm{Ba}^{2}$ is the ux per plaquette, and 0 is the elem entary ux quantum .
(2) In the presenœ of $S O$, the $M C$ quidkly saturates $w$ ith $t$ and there is no change in the localization length. T he data can still be collapsed, but by using B $t^{3=2}$ as the scaling argum ent, and we nd

$$
M C_{s o}(t ; B)=\begin{array}{ll}
c B^{2} t^{3} & \text { if } B^{2} t^{3}<1  \tag{133}\\
C & 0: 25 \\
\text { if } B^{2} t^{3}>1
\end{array}:
$$

$W$ e can gain som e analytic understanding of the distribution function for $I(t ; B)$ by exam ining the $m$ om ents $\overline{I(t)^{n}}$. From eqs. (129) and 130) we see that each $I(t)$ represents a forw ard path from ito $f$, and a time reversed path from $f$ to i. For $\overline{I(t)^{n}}$, we have to average over the contributions ofn such pairs of paths. A veraging over the random signs of the site energies forces a pairing of the $2 n$ paths (since any site crossed by an odd num ber of paths leads to a zero contribution) 86]. To understand the M C , it is useful to distinguish tw o classes of pairings: (1) Neutral paths in which one mem ber is selected from J and the other from $J^{y}$. Such pairs do not feel the eld since the phase factors of $e^{i A}$ picked up by one $m$ em ber on each bond are canceled by the conjugate factors e ${ }^{\text {iA }}$ collected by its partner. (2) Charged paths in which both elem ents are taken from $J$ or from $\mathrm{J}^{Y}$. Such pairs couple to the $m$ agnetic eld like particles of charge $2 e$. In the presence of $S O$, we $m$ ust also average over the random $S U$ (2) $m$ atrioes. From the orthogonality relation for group representations 89], we have
Z
where ${ }^{k}(g)_{i j}$ is the ij $m$ atrix elem ent of a representation of the group elem ent $g$, $\mathrm{W}(1 ; \quad n)$ is an appropriate weight function so that the $m$ atrix space is sam pled
uniform ly as the continuous param eters 1; $n$ vary (e.g. Euler angles for a representation of SU (2)). Finally $k$ is the order of the representation $k$. C hoosing the Euler angle param etrization of $S U(2)$ it can be show $n$ that the only nonzero paired averages are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{U \quad U}=\frac{1}{2} \quad ; \quad \overline{U_{n} U_{\# \#}}=\frac{1}{2} \quad ; \quad \overline{U_{n \#} U_{\# n}}=\frac{1}{2} ; \tag{135}
\end{equation*}
$$

and their com plex conjugates. Thus SO averaging foroes neutral paths to carry parallel spins, while the spins on the two partners of charged paths $m$ ust be antiparallel.

W e next consider the statistical weights associated with the intersections of paths. These weights depend crucially on the sym $m$ etries of the $H$ am iltonian in eq. 128): For $B=0$ and $w$ thout $S O$, the $H$ am iltonian has orthogonal sym $m$ etry. A llpairings are allow ed and the attraction factor is 3 , since an incom ing (12) (34) can go to (12) (34), (13) (24), or (14) (23). N ote that even if both incom ing paths are neutral, one of the exchanged con gurations is charged. A magnetic eld breaks tim e reversal sym m etry, discourages charged con gurations, and reduces the exchange attraction. The lim iting case of a large' $m$ agnetic eld is $m$ in icked by replacing the gauge factors $w$ ith random phases. In this extrem $e$, the $H$ am iltonian has unitary sym $m$ etry and only neutral paths are allow ed. The exchange factor is now reduced to 2; from (11)(22)! (11)(22); or (12)(21). W ith SO averaging, we must also take into account the allowed spin exchanges: Two neutralpaths entering the intersection can have indices ( ); ( ) or ( ); ( ) ; there are 2 possibilities for the rst ( = " or \#) and two for the second ( $)^{\text {) is antiparallelto }) \text {. In }}$ the form er case, how ever, there are tw o exchanges preserving neutrality, while in the latter only one exchange is possible satisfying this constraint. H ence an overall m ultiplicity of [2 $2+2$ 1] $(1=\bar{Z})=3=2$ is obtained, where the $(1=2)^{2}$ com es from the averages in eq. (135). Thus the intersection of two paired paths results in an exchange attraction of $3 / 2$; a signature of the sym plectic sym $m$ etry.
$B$ ased on the above sym $m$ etry dependent statisticalattraction factors, we can provide an understanding of the num erical results for $\mathrm{M} C . T$ he sum over $n$ attracting paths again leads to

$$
h I(t)^{n} i=A(n) 2^{n t} \exp \left[\begin{array}{ll}
n\left(n^{2}\right. & 1) t \tag{136}
\end{array}\right] ;
$$

where we have also included an overalln \{dependent am plitude. W thout SO , the m agnetic eld gradually reduces the attraction factor from 3 to 2 leading to the increase in slope. A ddition of SO to the H am iltonian has the e ect of suddenly decreasing the attraction factor to $3 / 2$. W hy does the addition of the $m$ agnetic eld lead to no further change in in
the presence ofSO ? W ithout SO , the origin ofthe continuous change in the attraction factor is a charged bubble that $m$ ay appear in between successive intersections of two neutral paths. In the presence of SO, from the averages in eq. [135) we nd the contribution ofsuch con gurations to be zero. To produce interm ediate charged paths (w th their antiparallel spins), the entering pairm ust have indices ofthe type (ii); (ii) (where \# = "; and " = \#). W thin the bubble we can have interm ediate sites labeled ( $\mathrm{j} j$ ) and ( kk ) which m ust be sum $m$ ed over due to $m$ atrix contractions. It is easy to check that, independent of the choige of $j$, if the incom ing and outgoing spins ( $i$ and $m$ ) are the sam e on a branch it contributes a positive sign, while if they are opposite the overall sign is negative. H ow ever, for any choice of iand $m$, one $m$ ay choose sim ilar (e.g. i! m on both branches), or opposite (e.g. i! m on top and i! m on lowerbranch) connections. The di erence in sign betw een the tw o choiges thus cancels their overall contributions. H ence the neutral paths traverse the system without being a ected by charged segm ents. In a m agnetic eld, their attraction factor stays at $3 / 2$ and $=g^{1}$ is unchanged.

The sm allerpositiveM C observed in the sim ulations is due to changes in the am plitude A ( $n$ ) in eq. 136). This originates from the charged paths that contribute to tunneling at sm allB but are quenched at higher B. H ow ever, due to their lack of interactions, we m ay treat the charged and neutral paths as independent. At zero eld any of the pairings into charged and neutral paths is acceptable, while at nite elds only neutral pairs survive. $T$ his leads to a reduction in the amplitude $A(n)$ for $n \quad 2$, but an increase in $\ln I$ (a positive M C). The typical value of $\ln$ I thus increases by a $t$ independent am ount. This behavior is sim ilar to the predictions of $\mathbb{P A}$, and is indeed due to the independence of charged and neutral paths. Since the typical scale of decay for charged paths depends on the combination $B t^{3=2}$ (typical ux through a random walk of length $t$ ), we can explain the scaling obtained num erically in eq. 133).

T he exchange attraction betw een neutralpaths can also be com puted for (unphysical) $S U(n)$ im purities and equals $1+1=n$, which reproduces 2 for $U(1)$ or random phases, and $3=2$ for $S U$ (2) or $S O$ scattering. The attraction vanishes in the $n!1$ lim it, where the paths becom e independent. The statistical exchange factors are thus universal num bers, sim ply related to the sym $m$ etries of the underlying $H$ am iltonian. The attractions in tum are responsible for the form ation ofbound states in replica space, and the univensalscaling of the $m$ om ents in eq. 136). In fact, since the single param eter com pletely characterizes the distribution, the variations in the $m$ ean and variance of $\ln I(t)$ should be perfectly correlated. This can be tested num erically by exam ining respectively coe cients of the
$m$ ean and the variance for di erent cases. All results do indeed fall on a single line, param etrized by . T he largest value corresponds to the NSS m odel for B $=0$ and no SO (orthogonal sym $m$ etry, exchange attraction 3). Introduction of a eld gradually reduces until saturated at the lim it of random phases (unitary symmetry, exchange attraction 2). SO scattering reduces further (sym plectic sym m etry, exchange attraction 3/2).

## N. U nitary P ropagation

W e can put together the results discussed so far by generalizing eq. 98) to allow for com plex (and $m$ atrix valued) param eters. In the originally encountered directed polym er (D P ), the param eters > 0 and appearing in this equation were both real. To discuss the wavefunction in a m agnetic eld, we have to allow to take com plex values. F inally, SO scattering is included by generalizing $W$ to a two com ponent spinor, and using $m$ atrix valued. $W$ e found that in all these cases the statisticalbehavior of $\ln W(x ; t)$ is the sam $e$. Is this a general property of eq. 98), independent of the choice of param eters? A special lim it of this equation is when both ! i and ! i are purely im aginary. Then eq. 98) reduces to the Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@ W}{@ t}=r^{2}+(x ; t) W \text {; } \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a particle in a random tim e dependent potential. This equation has been considered in the context of particle di usion in crystals at nite tem perature 90; 22], and to model the environm ent of a light test particle in a gas ofm uch heavier particles 93]. Several authors 94,96] have also suggested that the di usion of directed wave fronts in disordered $m$ edia are described by eq. 137).

The path-integral solution to eq. [137) is [1],97]

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(x ; t)=Z_{(0 ; 0)}^{Z_{(x ; t)} D x\left(t^{0}\right) \exp \sum_{0}^{( } Z_{t} d t^{0} \frac{1}{4} \frac{d x}{d t^{0}}{ }^{2}+\left(x\left(t^{0} ; t^{0}\right)\right.} \text {; } \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{t}^{0}\right)$ now describes a path in d 1 dim ensions. In writing eq. (13\$), we have chosen the standard initial condition that at timet=0, the \wave function" is localized at the origin. The beam positions $\overline{\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle}$ and $\overline{\langle x\rangle^{2}}$ characterize the transverse uctuations of a directed beam W about the forward path of least scattering. H ere we use < > to indicate an average $w$ ith the weight $\ddagger \mathrm{j}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t})$ f for a given realization, and - to indicate quenched averaging over all realizations of random ness. R oughly speaking, $\overline{\langle x\rangle^{2}}$ describes
the $w$ andering of the beam center, while $\overline{\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle \quad\langle x\rangle^{2}}$ provides a $m$ easure of the beam width.

A special property of eq. (137) which is valid only for real and is unitarity, i.e. the norm ${ }^{R} d x \neq \mathrm{V}(x ; t) f$ is preserved at alltim es. (In the D P and tunnelling problem $s$, the norm clearly decays $w$ th the length $t$.) This additional conservation law sets apart the random directed wave problem from DP, and in a sense $m$ akes its solution $m$ ore tractable. $T$ his unitarity is ofcourse a naturalconsequence ofparticle conservation for the Schrodinger equation, but has no counterpart for directed wave propagation. It is likely that a beam of light propagating in a random medium will su er a loss of intensity, due to either back \{re ection, inelastic scattering, or localization phenom ena 98].

A number of e orts at understanding unitary propagation in random $m$ edia have focused on the scaling behavior of the beam positions $\overline{\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle}$ and $\overline{\langle x\rangle^{2}}$ at large $t$. Lattice $m$ odels have been used here $w$ ith som e success. It has been show $n$ using density-m atrix techniques, for instance, that $\overline{\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle}$ scales linearly in tim e as a consequence ofunitarity 91]; recent num erical sim ulations 99,100] also support this view. The scaling behavior of $\overline{\langle x\rangle}{ }^{2}$ at large $t$, how ever, is som ew hat $m$ ore com plicated. A $n$ early num erical sim ulation in this area in ref. 96], em ployed a discretization procedure in which the norm of the w ave function was not strictly preserved. In 2 d , they found that $\overline{j x>} j$ grew superdi usively ast $w$ ith
$3=4$, while in 3d, they found a phase transition separating regim es of weak and strong disorder. Subsequent num erical studies 99] on directed waves in 2d cast doubt on the validity of these results when the tim e evolution is strictly unitary, indicating that $\overline{\langle\mathrm{x}\rangle^{2}}$ scales subdi usively in 2d w ith 0:3.

Som ew hat surprising is the fact that a continuum form ulation of the wave problem leads to di erent results. An exact treatm ent of the continuum Schrodinger equation 137) has been given by Jayannavar and $K$ um ar 92]. They show that for a random potential
\{correlated in tim $\left.\overline{e^{\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle}}\right\}^{3}$ ast! 1 . Thisbehavior ism odi ed when there are shortrange correlations in tim e 93], but the $m$ otion rem ains non-di usive in that the particle is accelerated inde nitely ast! 1 . Lattice $m$ odels introduce a $m$ om entum cuto $p_{m}$ ax $a^{1}$, where $a$ is the lattice spacing, and therefore do not exhibit this e ect. Them om entum cuto generated by the lattice discretization is in som e sense arti cial. Nevertheless, in a real uctuating $m$ edium, we do expect on large tim e scales to recover the lattice result, i.e. norm aldi usion. The reason is that dissipative e ects do generate an e ective $m$ om entum cuto in m ost physical system s. (Strictly speaking, even in the absence of dissipation, relativistic constraints lead to a velocity cuto $v=c$.) The presence of such a cuto for
the w ave propagation problem, and hence the physicalrelevance of lattice versus continuum m odels, is still a m atter of debate. W hile there is no underlying lattioe, one suspects on physicalgrounds that there does exist an e ectivem om entum cuto forpropagating waves, related to the speed of light in the background $m$ edium .
$P$ revious num erical investigations of this problem start with a discretization of the parabolic wave equation in eq. (137). By contrast, we assume that the path integral representation is $m$ ore fundam ental and provide a direct discretization of eq. 138) that preserves unitarity [101]. For concreteness, we introduce the model in 2d. A discussion of its generalization to higher dim ensions is taken up later. A s usual, we identify the tim e axis w ith the prim ary direction of propagation and orient it along the diagonal of the square lattioe. The wave function is de ned on the bonds of this lattioe. W e use $W$ ( $x ; t$ ) to refer to the am plitude for arriving at the site $(x ; t)$ from the $x$ direction. At $t=0$, the $w$ ave function is localized at the origin, $w$ ith $W \quad(0 ; 0)=1={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$. Transfer $m$ atrix techniques are now used to sim ulate di usion in the presence of disorder. At time $t$, we im agine that a random scattering event occurs at each site on the lattioe at which either $W_{+}(x ; t)$ or W $(x ; t)$ is non-zero. W e im plem ent these events by assigning to each scattering site a 2 unitary $m$ atrix $S(x ; t)$. The values of the wave function at tim e $t+1$ are then com puted from the recursion relation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& W(x+1 ; t+1)  \tag{139}\\
& W+(x \quad 1 ; t+1)
\end{align*}=\begin{array}{lll}
S_{11}(x ; t) & S_{12}(x ; t) & W(x ; t) \\
S_{21}(x ; t) & S_{22}(x ; t) & W+(x ; t)
\end{array}
$$

The S m atrices are required to be unitary in order to locally preserve the nom of the wave function. A s a particular realization, we $m$ ay consider the rotation $m$ atrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(;)=\quad \cos (=2) e^{\dot{i}} \quad \sin (=2) e^{i}, \tag{140}
\end{equation*}
$$

A physical realization ofthis $m$ odel is obtained by placing sem ipolished $m$ irrors ofvariable thickness, parallel to the $t$ axis, on the sites of a square lattice. W ithin this fram ew ork, it should be clear that the value ofW $(x ; t)$ is obtained by sum $m$ ing the individualam plitudes of all directed paths which start at the origin and arrive at the point $(x ; t)$ from the $x$ direction. W e thus have a unitary discretization of the path integral in eq. 138) in which the phase change from the potential $(x ; t)$ is replaced by an elem ent of the $m$ atrix $S(x ; t)$. A lattice $S$ m atrix approach for the study of electron localization and the quantum H all e ect has been used by Chalker and Coddington 102]. A related m odel has also been recently proposed [103] to investigate the localization of $w$ ave packets in random media. $T$ hese $m$ odels also include back scattering and hence involve a larger $m$ atrix at each site.
O. U n itary A verages

A particularly nige feature of unitary propagation is that the weights $W$ ( $x$; $t$ ) are autom atically norm alized. In particular, we are interested in the beam positions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\left\langle x^{2}(t)\right\rangle}=X_{x}^{X} \overline{P(x ; t)} x^{2} ; \tag{141}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\langle x(t)\rangle^{2}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2}}^{\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; t\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2} ; t\right)} \overline{\mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{x}_{2}} ; \tag{142}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(x ; t)$ is the probability distribution function on the lattioe at tim $e t$, de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x ; t)=j W+(x ; t) \jmath^{2}+j W \quad(x ; t) \frac{2}{J}: \tag{143}
\end{equation*}
$$

(D e ning the weights directly on the bonds does not substantially change the results.) $N$ ote that unlike the D P problem, $P(x ; t)$ is properly norm alized, i.e.

```
X
    P (x;t) = 1 ;
x
```

and eqs. 141) and (142) are not divided by norm alizations such as ${ }^{P} P$ ( $x$; t). This sim pli cation is a consequence ofunitarity and $m$ akes the directed $w$ ave problem tractable.

The average - , in eqs. (141) and (142) is to be perform ed over a distribution of $S$ m atrices that closely resem bles the corresponding distribution for in the continuum problem. H ow ever, by analogy to the D P problem we expect any disorder to be relevant. $H$ ence, to obtain the asym ptotic scaling behavior, we consider the extrem e lim it of strong scattering in which each $m$ atrix $S(x ; t)$ is an independently chosen random elem ent of the group $U$ (2). W ith such a distribution we lose any pre\{asym ptotic behavior associated w ith weak scattering 93]. T he results are expected to be valid over a range of length scales $\mathrm{a} x$, where a is a distance over which the change of phase due to random ness is around 2 , and is the characteristic length for the decay of intensity and breakdown of unitarity. In the language of path integrals, the quantity $\overline{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t})$ represents the average over a conjugate pair of paths (from $W$ and $W$ respectively.) $A s$ in the random sign problem, the pathsm ust be exactly paired to $m$ ake a non-zero contribution ( $\operatorname{since} \bar{S}=0$. In the strong disorder lim it, each step along the paired paths contributes a factor of $1 / 2$. (It can be easily checked from eq. (140) that $\bar{j} \quad j^{2}=\overline{\cos ^{2}(=2)}=\overline{\sin ^{2}(=2)}=1=2$.) Thus, in this lim it, the e ect of an impurity at $(x ; t)$ is to redistribute the incident probability
ux $P(x ; t)$ at random in the $+x$ and $x$ directions. On average, the $u x$ is scattered sym m etrically so that the disorder-averaged probability describes the event space of a classical random walk, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{P(x ; t)}=\frac{t!}{\left(\frac{t x}{2}\right)!\left(\frac{t+x}{2}\right)!}: \tag{144}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this into eq. (141), we nd $\overline{\left\langle\mathrm{x}^{2}(\mathrm{t})\right\rangle}=\mathrm{t}$, in agreem ent w ith previous studies 91].

C onsider now the position of the beam center $\overline{\langle x(t)\rangle^{2}}$, given by eq. 142). Unlike $\overline{P(x ; t)}$, the correlation fiunction $\overline{P\left(x_{1} ; t\right) P\left(x_{2} ; t\right)}$ does not have a sim ple form. It involves a sum over four paths, collapsed into two pairs by random ness averaging. The center of $m$ ass coordinate $R=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=2$, perform $s$ a random walk $w$ ith $\overline{R^{2}}=t=2$. Let us de ne a new correlation function for the relative coordinate $r=x_{2} \quad x_{1}$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{2}(r ; t)=\sum_{R}^{X} \overline{P(R \quad r=2 ; t) P(R+r=2 ; t)} ; \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the initialcondition

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{2}(r ; t=0)=r ; 0 \quad: \tag{146}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $W_{2}(r ; t)$ is the disorder-averaged probability that two paired paths, evolved in the sam e realization of random ness, are separated by a distance $r$ at time $t$, and can be com puted as a sum over all con gurations that $m$ eet this criteria. C onsider now the evolution of two such pairs from timet to $t+1$. C learly, at tim es when $r$, the two pairs behave as independent random walks. On the other hand, when $r=0$, there is an increased probability that the paths $m$ ove together as a result of participating in the sam $e$ scattering event. An event in which the pairs stay together is enhanced (since jंs $\frac{A}{J}=$ $\overline{\cos ^{4}(=2)}=\overline{\sin ^{4}(=2)}=3=8$ ), while one in which the pairs separate is dim inished (since $\left.\overline{\sin ^{2}(=2) \cos ^{2}(=2)}=1=8\right)$. These observations lead to the follow ing recursion relation for the evolution of $W_{2}(r ; t)$,
$W_{2}(r ; t+1)=\frac{1+r ; 0}{2} W_{2}(r ; t)+\frac{1 \quad r ; 2}{4} W_{2}(r \quad 2 ; t)+\frac{1 \quad r ; 2}{4} W_{2}(r+2 ; t):$

The param eter 0 m easures the tendency of the paths to stid together on contact. (If the $S$ \{ $m$ atrix is uniform ly distributed over the group $U(2)$, then $=1=4$.) N ote that P ${ }_{r} \mathrm{~W}_{2}(\mathrm{r})$ is preserved, as required by unitarity.

U sing eq. 147), we evolved $W_{2}$ (r;t) num erically for various values of $0 \ll 1$ up to $t$ 15000. The position of the beam center was then calculated from

$$
\overline{\langle x(t)\rangle^{2}}=\begin{align*}
& X  \tag{148}\\
& R ; r
\end{align*} R^{2} \quad \frac{r^{2}}{4} \overline{P(R \quad r=2 ; t) P(R+r=2 ; t)}=\frac{t}{2} \quad \frac{1}{4}_{r}^{X} \quad W_{2}(r ; t) r^{2} \quad:
$$

The results suggest quite unam biguously that $\overline{\langle x(t)\rangle^{2}}$ scales as $t^{2}, \mathrm{w}$ ith $=1=4 . \mathrm{We}$ em phasize here the utility of the $S$ matrix $m$ odel for directed $w$ aves in random $m$ edia. N ot only does our nal algorithm com pute averages over disorder in an exact way, but it requires substantially less time to do so than sim ulations which perform averages by statistical sam pling as in DPRM. We have in fact con med our 2d results with these slow er $m$ ethods on sm aller lattices ( $t$ < 2000).

Them odel is easily extended to higher dim ensions. The w ave function takes its values on the bonds of a lattice in d dim ensions. $R$ andom $d \quad d$ dim ensional $S m$ atrices are then used to sim ulate scattering events at the sites of the lattice. W hen the $m$ atrices $S(x ; t)$ are distributed uniform ly over the group $U(d)$, the sam e considerations as before perm it one to perform averages over disorder in an exact way. In addition, one obtains the general result for $d 2$ that $\overline{\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle}$ scales linearly in tim $e$. The computation of $\overline{\langle x\rangle^{2}}$ in $\left.d\right\rangle 2$, of course, requires signi cantly m ore com puter resources. W e have com puted $\overline{\langle x\rangle}{ }^{2}$ on a $d=3$ body-œentered cubic lattice, starting from the appropriate generalization ofeq. (147). $T$ he results for $t<3000$, indicate that $\overline{\langle x\rangle^{2}}$ scales logarithm ically in tim $e$.

The above num erical results can be understood by appealing to some well-known properties of random walks. Consider a random walker on a D = d 1 dimensional hypercubic lattice. W e suppose, as usual, that the walker starts out at the origin, and that at tim est $=0,1,2$, the walker has probability $0<p \quad 1=2 \mathrm{D}$ to m ove one step in any lattice direction and probability 1 2D $p$ to pause for a rest. The $m$ ean tim et spent by the walker at the origin grow s as 104]

$$
t_{0} \begin{array}{lcl} 
& \begin{array}{l}
8 \\
\gtrless
\end{array} & t^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{149}\\
\gtrless & \ln t & (D=1) \\
& \geqslant & (D=2) \\
& \text { constant } & (D=3)
\end{array}
$$

The num erical results indicate a sim ilar scaling for the wandering of the beam center $\overline{\langle x\rangle}{ }^{2}$ in $d=D+1$ dim ensions, for $d=2$ and $d=3$. W e now show that this equivalence is not coincidental; $m$ oreover, it strongly suggests that $d_{u}=3$ is a criticaldim ension for directed
waves in random media. To this end, let us consider a continuum version of eq. 147), which in general dim ensions takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{2}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{t}+1)=\mathrm{W}_{2}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{t})+\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2} 1 \quad \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{r})+\quad: \tag{150}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asym ptotic solution for $=0$ is just a gaussian packet of w idth $\overline{\mathrm{r}^{2}}=2 \mathrm{t}$. W e can next perform a perturbative calculation in . H ow ever, sim ple dim ensional analysis show s the corrections scale as powers of $=P \quad e^{D=2}$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t!1} W_{2}(r ; t)=\frac{1}{(4 t)^{D=2}} \exp \frac{r^{2}}{4 t} 1+0 \quad t^{(d) 1)=2} \quad i \quad: \tag{151}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pplying the above results to the continuum version of eq. 147), gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\overline{h x i_{t+1}^{2}} \quad \overline{h x \dot{t}}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{4}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathbb{W}_{2}(r ; t+1) \quad W_{2}(r ; t)\right] r^{2} \\
& , \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{4} Z^{Z^{r}} d^{D} r r^{2} W_{2} 1 \quad D^{D}(r)+  \tag{152}\\
& \text {, } \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad d^{D} r W_{2} 1 \quad{ }^{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{W}_{2}(0 ; \mathrm{t}) \quad \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

Sum $m$ ing both sides of this equation over $t$, one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\langle x(t+1)\rangle^{2}}=\mathrm{X}_{t^{t}=0}^{\mathrm{W}_{2}\left(0 ; t^{0}\right)} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dt}^{0}\left(4 \mathrm{t}^{0}\right)^{\mathrm{D}=2} \quad: \tag{153}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nal integral is proportional to the tim e a random walker spends at the origin, and reproduces the results in eq. 14g).
$W$ e can also regard $W_{2}(r ; t)$ as a probability distribution function for the relative coordinate betw een two interacting random walkers. In this interpretation, the value of
in eq. (14)) param etrizes the strength of a contact interaction between the walkers. If
$=0$, the walkers do not interact at all; if $=1$, the w alkers bind on contact. A ccording to eq. (153), the wandering of the beam center $\overline{\langle x(t)\rangle}{ }^{2}$ is proportional to the $m$ ean number of tim es that the paths of these walkers intersect during timet. If $=0$, the num ber of intersections during tim e tobeys the scaling law in eq. 149), since in this case, the relative coordinate betw een the walkers perform sa sim ple random walk. N um ericalresults indicate that the sam e scaling law applies when $0 \ll 1$ : the contact attraction does not a ect the asym ptotic properties of the random walk. In sum $m$ ary, three classes of behavior are possible in this model. For $=0$, i.e. no random ness, the incom ing beam stays centered
at the origin, while its width grow s di usively. For $0 \ll 1$, the beam center, $\overline{\langle\mathrm{x}\rangle^{2}}$, also uctuates, but with a dim ension dependent behavior as in eq. (149). In the lim it of
$=1$, interference phenom ena disappear com pletely. In this case, the beam width is zero and the beam center perform s a sim ple random walk.
$W$ e conclude by com paring the situation here to that ofD P RM. In the replica approach to DPRM, the $n$-th $m$ om ent of the weight $W(x ; t)$ is obtained from the statistics of $n$ directed paths. D isorder\{averaging produces an attractive interaction betw een these paths $w$ th the result that they $m$ ay form a bound state. In $d \quad 2$, any am ount of random ness (and hence attraction) leads to the form ation of a bound state. The behavior of the bound state energy can then be used to extract an exponent of $=2=3$ for superdi usive wandering. By contrast, the replicated paths encountered in the directed wave problem (such as the two paths considered for eq. (145)), although interacting, cannot form a bound state [99], as it is inconsistent w ith unitarity. This result also em erges in a natural way from our $m$ odel of directed waves. In $d=2$, for instance, it is easy to check that $\mathrm{W}_{2}(\mathrm{r}) \quad(1 \quad r ; 0)^{1}$ is the eigenstate of largest eigenvalue for the evolution of the relative coordinate. H ence, ast! 1 , for random ness -correlated in space and tim $e$, there is no bound state. This result holds in d 2 and is not $m$ odi ed by short-range correlations in the random ness. The probability-conserving nature of eq. 147) is crucial in this regard 105] as it precludes a $u^{D}$ (r) attraction in eq. 150). Sm allperturbations that violate the conservation of probabillty lead to the form ation of a bound state. In the language of the renom alization group, the scaling of directed waves in random $m$ edia is govemed by a xed point that is unstable w ith respect to changes that do not preserve a strictly unitary evolution.

Subsequently, a num ber of authors have obtained additional results from the random $S$ m atrix m odel. Follow ing our w ork, Friedberg and Yu 106] calculated the leading term $s$ in the scaling law s for the beam center in d 2, and also the next-order corrections. The analytical results are in agreem ent with those presented above. Cule and Shapir 107] extended the $m$ ethods of this section to com pute the higher $m$ om ents of the probability distribution for directed $w$ aves in random $m$ edia. If this probability distribution is $m$ ultifractal, as claim ed in ref. 100], the higher moments should obey new scaling law s whose exponents are not sim ply related to those of the low er mom ents. W ithin the fram ew ork of the $S \cdot m$ atrix $m$ odel, $C$ ule and Shapir did not nd evidence for m ultifractal scaling, while suggesting that certain aspects of the scaling behavior $m$ ay be sensitive to details of the unitary tim e evolution.
P. Sum $m$ ing all $P$ aths in $H$ igh $D$ im ensions

In the next few sections we shall retum to the non-random Ising model . The high tem perature series can be approxim ately sum $m$ ed $s o$ as to reproduce $m$ ean $\{$ eld (gaussian) behavior. This correspondence provides a better understanding of why such behavior is applicable in high dim ensions, and also prepares the way for the exact sum $m$ ation of the series in two dim ensions (next section). W e shall then use these m ethods to look at two dim ensional random Ising $m$ odels. T he high tem perature series for the partition function of the non-random Ising $m$ odel on a d\{dim ensionalhypercubic lattice is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i} G}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{hiji}_{\mathrm{i} j^{j}}^{\mathrm{P}}=2^{N} \cosh ^{d N} \mathrm{~K} \quad \mathrm{~S} \quad \text {; } \tag{154}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is the sum over all allowed graphs on the lattice, each weighted by raised to the num ber of bonds in the graph, w ith tanh K. The allowed graphs have an even num ber of bonds per site. T he sim plest graphs have the topology of a single closed loop . $T$ here are also graphs com posed of disconnected closed loops. K eeping in $m$ ind cum ulant expansions, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\text { sum over contribution of all graphs w ith one loop ; } \tag{155}
\end{equation*}
$$

and introduce another sum,

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{0}=\exp () & =1++\frac{1}{2}()^{2}+\frac{1}{6}()^{3}+  \tag{156}\\
& =1+(1 \text { loop graphs })+(2 \text { loop graphs })+(3 \text { loop graphs })+
\end{align*}
$$

D espite their sim ilarities, the sum $S S$ and $S^{0}$ are not identical in that $S^{0}$ includes additional graphs where a particular bond contributes m ore than once. (In the original sum $S$, each lattice bond contributes a factor of 1 or . A fter raising to a power $n$, a particular bond $m$ ay contribute up to $n$.) In a sim ilar approxim ation, we shall allow additional closed paths in in which a particular bond is traversed more than once in com pleting the loop. Q ualltatively, $S$ is the partition function of a gas of self\{avoiding polym er loops w ith a m onom er fugacity of. T he self\{avoiding constraint is left out in the partition function $S^{0}$, which thus corresponds to a gas of phantom polym er loops. T he corresponding free energy is

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln S^{0} & =\text { sum over all closed random walks on the lattige length of walk } \\
& =\mathrm{N} \quad-\quad \text { (num ber of closed walks of ' steps starting and ending at } 0 \text { ); } \tag{157}
\end{align*}
$$

where extensivity is guaranteed since (up to boundary e ects) the sam e loop can be started from any point on the lattice. The additional factor of $1=`$ accounts for the ' possible starting points for a loop of length '. To count the num ber of paths we introduce a set of N N m atrioes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hifi (`) } \mathrm{ji} \text { i number of walks from } j \text { to } i \text { in 'steps : } \tag{158}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\ln S^{0}}{N}=\frac{X}{\quad} \quad-h 0 \neq \quad(\Upsilon j 0 i \quad: \tag{159}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, the spin \{spin correlation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { h (0) }(x) i={\frac{1}{Z_{f}^{i g}}}^{X} \quad(0) \quad(x)_{\text {hiji }}^{Y}(1+\quad i \quad j) \quad \text {; } \tag{160}
\end{equation*}
$$

is related to the sum over all paths connecting the points 0 and $r$ on the lattice. In addition to the sim ple paths that directly connect the two points, there are disconnected graphs that contain additional closed loops. In the sam e approxim ation of allow ing all intersections betw een paths, the partition function $S^{0}$ can be factored out of the num erator and denom inator of eq. (169), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(0) \quad\left(r i{ }^{\mathrm{X}} \quad\right. \text { 'hrjv (Yj0i : } \tag{161}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s the walks are $M$ arkovian, their num ber can be calculated recursively. First note that any walk from 0 to $r$ in 'steps can be regarded as a walk from 0 to som e other point $r^{0}$ in ' 1 steps, follow ed by a single step from if to $r$. Sum $m$ ing over all possible locations of the interm ediate point leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& =h r j T W \text { ( 1) joi ; } \tag{162}
\end{align*}
$$

where the sum corresponds to the product of tw o m atrices and we have de ned $T$ W (1). The recursion process can be continued and

Thus all lattice random walks are generated by the transfer $m$ atrix $T$, whose elem ents are

$$
h r j r r^{0} i=\begin{array}{ll}
n & \text { if } r \text { and } r^{0} \text { are nearest neighbors }  \tag{164}\\
0 & \text { otherw ise }
\end{array}:
$$

For exam ple in $\mathrm{d}=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h x ; y j j^{0} ; y^{0} i=y ; y^{0}\left(x ; x^{0}+1+x ; x^{0} 1\right)+x ; x^{0}\left(y ; y^{0}+1+y ; y^{0} 1\right) ; \tag{165}
\end{equation*}
$$

and successive actions of $T$ on a walker starting at the origin, $\dot{x} ; y>=x ; 0 y ; 0$, generate the pattems

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllllllll} 
& & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 1 & 0 & & & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & ! & 1 & 0 & 1 & ! & 1 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 1 & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 1 & 0 & & & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 &
\end{array}
$$

$T$ he value at each site is the num ber of walks ending at that point after ' steps.
Various properties of random walks can be deduced from diagonalizing the $m$ atrix T. D ue to the translational sym m etry of the lattioe, this is achieved in the Fourier basis hrjqi $=e^{\text {iq } r}{ }^{p} \bar{N}$. For exam ple, in $d=2$ starting from eq (165), it can be checked that

$$
\begin{align*}
& =p_{\bar{N}}^{1^{0}}{ }^{h} e^{i q_{y} y} e^{i q_{x}(x+1)}+e^{i q_{x}(x 1)}+e^{i q_{x} x} \quad e^{i q_{y}(y+1)}+e^{i q_{y}(y ~ 1)}  \tag{166}\\
& =p \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} e^{\mathrm{i}\left(q_{x} x+q_{y} y\right)}\left[2 \cos q_{x}+2 \operatorname{cosq}_{y}\right]=T\left(q_{x} ; q_{y}\right) h x ; y \dot{q}_{x} ; q_{y} i \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

T he generalized eigenvalue for a d\{dim ensional hypercubic lattice is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(q)=2^{X^{\mathrm{d}}} \cos q \quad: \tag{167}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correlation function in eq. (161) is now evaluated as


$$
\begin{align*}
& =r \frac{1}{1 \quad \mathrm{~T}} 0=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{hr} \dot{\mathrm{q} i} \frac{1}{1} \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{q})} \mathrm{hq} j \mathrm{Di}  \tag{168}\\
& =N \quad \frac{Z}{(2)^{d}} \frac{d^{d} q}{N} \frac{e^{i q} r}{1} 2^{P} \frac{1}{d}=\frac{d^{d} q}{(2)^{d}} \frac{e^{\text {iq }} r}{1} 2^{\cos q} \operatorname{cosq} \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

For ! 0 , the shortest path costs least energy and h (0) (r)i jrj. As increases, larger paths dom inate the sum because they arem ore num erous (i.e. entropically favored). Eventually there is a singularity for $1 \quad T(0)=0$, i.e. at $2 \mathrm{~d} \quad c=1$, when arbitrarily
long paths becom e im portant. For < c, the partition function is dom inated by sm all loops, and a polym er connecting tw o far aw ay points is stretched by its line tension. W hen the fugacity exceeds c, the line tension vanishes and loops of arbitrary size are generated. $C$ learly the neglect of intersections (which leads to a nite density) is no longer justi ed in this lim it. This transition is the $m$ anifestation of Ising ordering in the language of paths representing the high tem perature series. On approaching the transition from the high tem perature side, the sum $s$ are dom inated by very long paths. A coordingly, the denom inator of eq. 168) can be expanded for sm all q as

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{q})=1 \quad 2^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{d}}} \operatorname{cosq} \quad(1 \quad 2 \mathrm{~d})+{ }^{2} q+O\left(q^{4}\right) \quad c\left({ }^{2}+q^{2}+O\left(q^{4}\right)\right) \tag{169}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1 \quad 2 \mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{c}}^{1=2}: \tag{170}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting correlation functions, $h(0)(r) i /{ }^{R} \frac{d^{d} q}{(2)^{d}} e^{i q} r=\left(q^{2}+2\right)$, are identical to those obtained from a free (gaussian) eld theory, and

$$
\begin{array}{rll} 
& \begin{array}{l}
8 \\
\gtrless
\end{array} \frac{1}{r^{d 2}} & \text { for } r  \tag{171}\\
& \geqslant \frac{e^{r=}}{r^{(d 1)=2}} & \text { for } r
\end{array}
$$

The correlation length in eq. (170) diverges as $\quad(c \quad t)$, w ith the exponent $=1=2$. W e can also calculate the free energy in eq. (159) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\quad \begin{array}{ll}
h 0 j \ln (1 & T) j 0 i=\begin{array}{c}
Z \\
Z
\end{array} \frac{d^{d} q}{(2)^{d}} h 0 j q i \ln (1 \quad T(q)) h q j 0 i \quad 1
\end{array}  \tag{172}\\
& =\quad \frac{\mathrm{Z}}{(2)^{d} q} \ln 1 \quad 2_{=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{d}}} \cos q \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

In the vicinity of the criticalpoint at $c=1=(2 d)$ the argum ent of the logarithm is proportional to ( $q^{2}+{ }^{2}$ ) from eq. 169 ). This is precisely the free energy of a free eld theory, and scales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\text {sing }} / d /(c \quad \phi=2: \tag{173}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he singular part of the heat capacity, obtained after taking tw o derivatives, is govemed by the exponent $=2 \mathrm{~d}=2$. N ote that in evaluating the sum s appearing in eqs. (16 $)$ and (172) the lower lim it for ' is not treated carefully. The series in eq. 168) is assum ed to start from $\quad=0$, and that of eq. (172) from $\quad=1$. In fact the rst few term $s$ ofboth series $m$ ay be zero because the num ber of steps is not su cient to reach 0 from $r$, or to from a closed loop. T his is not a serious om ission in that the singular behavior of a series is not e ected by its rst few term s . T reating the rst few term sproperly can only add analytic corrections to the singular form s calculated in eqs. 168) and (172).
$T$ he equivalence of these results to a free eld theory is a m an ifestation of eld \{particle duality. In a eld theoreticaldescription, (im aginary) tim e appears as an additionaldim ension, and the tw o point correlations describe the probability of propagating a particle from one point in space\{tim e to another. In a w ave description, this probability is calculated by evolving the wave function using the Schrodinger equation. A ltematively, the probability can be calculated as the sum over all (Feynm an) paths connecting the two points, each path weighted w th the correct action. T he second sum is sim ilar to the above calculation ofh (r) (0)i.

This approach provides an interesting geom etrical interpretation of the phase transition. T he establishm ent of long range order im plies that all parts of the system have selected the sam e state. This inform ation is carried by the bonds connecting nearest neighbors, and can be passed from the origin to a point r through all paths connecting these two points. The fugacity is a $m$ easure of the reliability of inform ation transfer betw een neighboring sites. A long a one dim ensional chain, unless $=1$, the transferred inform ation decays at large distances and it is im possible to establish long range order. In higher dim ensions there are $m$ any $m$ ore paths, and by accum ulating the inform ation from all paths, it is possible to establish order at $c<1$. Since the num ber of paths of length 'grows as (2d)' while their inform ation content decays as `, the transition occurs at $c=1=(2 d)$. (A better approxim ation is obtained by including som e of the constraints by noting that the random walk cannot back track. In this case the number of walks grow s as (2d 1)'.) The total inform ation from paths of length ' is weighted by (2d )', and decays exponentially for $<\mathrm{c}$. The characteristic path length, ${ }^{\top}=1=\ln (2 d)$, diverges as (c $)^{1}$ on approaching the transition. For paths of size ' $\quad$ there is very good inform ation transfer. Such paths execute random walks on the lattice and cover a distance $\quad-,^{1=2}$. The divergence of $w$ ith an exponent of $1 / 2$ is thus a consequence of the random walk nature of the paths.

W hy does the classicalpicture failford 4? Let us focus on the dom inant paths close to the phase transition. Is it justi ed to ignore the intersections of such paths? R andom walks can be regarded as geom etrical entities of fractal ( H ausdorf) dim ension $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{f}}=2$. $T$ his follow $s$ from the general de nition of dim ension relating the $m$ ass and extent of an ob ject by M / $R^{d_{f}}$. The size of a random walk ( $R / \quad$ ) is the square root of its length (M / ソ). Two geom etrical entities of dim ensions $d_{1}$ and $d_{2} w$ ill generally intersect in d\{dim ensional space if $d_{1}+d_{2} \quad d$. Thus our random walkers are unlikely to intersect in d $d_{a}=2+2=4$, and the results obtained by neglecting intersections are asym ptotically valid. B elow the upper criticaldim ension of 4 , random walks have frequent encounters and their intersections m ust be treated correctly. The diagram s obtained in the perturbative calculation of the propagator in a ${ }^{4}$ theory correspond precisely to taking into account the intersections of paths. (E ach vertex corresponds to one intersection.) It is now clear that the constraint of self-avoidance will swell the paths beyond their random walk size leading to an increase in the exponent. Below the transition, the length of dom inant paths grow S w ithout bound and the self\{avoiding constraint is alw ays necessary.
Q.The Ising M odel on a Square Lattice

A $s$ indicated in eq. (154), the Ising partition function is related to a sum $S$, over collections of paths on the lattice. The allow ed graphs for a square lattioe have 2 or 4 bonds per site. Each bond can appear only once in each graph, contributing a factor of tanh K. W hile it is tem pting to replace $S$ with the exactly calculable sum $S$, of all loops of random walks on the lattice, this leads to an overestim ation of $S$. The di erences between the two sum s arise from intersections of random walks and can be divided into tw o categories:
(a) There is an over-counting of graphs which intersect at a site, i.e. w ith 4 bonds through a point. C onsider a graph com posed of two loops m eeting at a site. Since a walker entering the intersection has three choioes, this graph can be represented by three distinct random walks. O ne choice leads to two disconnected loops; the other two are single loops w ith or w ithout a self\{ crossing in the walker's path.
(b) The independent random walkers in $S^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ ay go through a particular lattice bond m ore than once.

Including these constraints am ounts to introducing interactions between paths. T he resulting interacting random walkers are non $\{\mathrm{M}$ arkovian, as each step is no longer independent of previous ones and of other walkers. W hile such interacting walks are not in
generalam enable to exact treatm ent, in tw o dim ensions an interesting topologicalproperty allow $s$ us to $m$ ake the follow ing assertion:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S={ }^{X} \text { collections of loops of random walks with no } U \text { turns } \\
& \text { num ber of bonds } \quad(1)^{\text {qum ber of crossings }} \text { : } \tag{174}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he negative signs for som e tem s reduce the overestim ate and render the exact sum . P roof: W e shall deal in tum with the tw o problem smentioned above
(a) C onsider a graph with $m$ any intersections and focus on a particular one. A walker $m$ ust enter and leave such an intersection tw ioe. This can be done in three ways, only one of w hich involves the path of the walker crossing itself (w hen the walker proceeds straight through the intersection). This con guration carries an additional factor of ( -1 ) according to eq. (174). Thus, independent of other crossings, these three con gurations sum up to contribute a factor of 1. By repeating this reasoning at each intersection we see that the over-counting problem is rem oved, and the sum over allpossible ways of tracing the graph leads to the correct factor of one.
(b) C onsider a bond that is crossed by tw o w alkers (or tw ice by the sam e w alker). W e can im agine the bond as an avenue with two sides. For each con guration in which the two paths enter and leave on the sam e side of the avenue, there is another one in which the paths go to the opposite side. T he latter involves a crossing of paths and hence carries a $m$ inus sign w ith respect to the form er. The tw o possibilities thus cancel out! The reasoning can be generalized to $m$ ultiple passes through any bond. The only exception is when the doubled bond is created as a result of a $U$ \{tum. This is why such backw ard steps are explicitly excluded from eq. (174).

Let us label random walkers w th no U \{tums, and weighted by ( 1$)^{\text {pum ber of crossings }, ~}$ as RW $s$. Then as in eq. (156) the term $s$ in $S$ can be organized as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.S={ }^{X} \text { (RW swith } 1 \text { loop }\right)+{ }^{X} \text { (RW swith } 2 \text { loops) }\right)^{X} \text { (RW swith } 3 \text { loops) }+ \\
& \text { hX i } \\
& =\exp \quad \text { (RW } \mathrm{sw} \text { ith } 1 \text { loop) : } \tag{175}
\end{align*}
$$

The exponentiation of the sum is justi ed since the only interaction between RW $s$ is the sign related to their crossings. A s tw o RW loops alw ays cross an even num ber of tim es, this is equivalent to no interaction at all. U sing eq. (154), the full Ising free energy is calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln Z=N \ln 2+2 N \ln \cosh K+{ }^{X} \quad \text { RW } s w \text { ith } 1 \text { loop } \quad \# \text { of bonds }: \tag{176}
\end{equation*}
$$

O rganizing the sum in term $s$ of the num ber of bonds, and taking advantage of the translational sym $m$ etry of the lattice (up to corrections due to boundaries),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\ln Z}{N}=\ln \left(2 \cosh ^{2} K\right)+{ }^{X^{2}} \quad-h 0 \neq \mathrm{N} \quad(ソ) j 0 i \quad ; \tag{177}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
h0 fo ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) jol i = num ber of closed loops of ' steps; with no U tums; from 0 to 0

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ( } 1^{\# \#} \text { ) of crossings : } \tag{178}
\end{equation*}
$$

The absence of \{tums, a localconstraint, does not com plicate the counting ofw alks. O n the other hand, the num ber of crossings depends on all sites previously crossed by the walker and is a non \{M arkovian property. Fortunately, in two dim ensions it is possible to obtain the parity of the num ber of crossings from local considerations. T he rst step is to $m$ ake the walks oriented by placing an arrow along the direction that the path is traversed. Since any path can be traversed in two directions, ho fV ( ${ }^{2}$ ) $\mathrm{j} 0 \mathrm{i}=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{X}}$ oriented RW loops of ' steps; no $U$ tums; from 0 to $0 \quad\left(1^{\text {h }}\right.$ c ;
w here $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the num ber of self\{ crossings of the loop. W e can now take advantage of the follow ing topological result 108]:

W hitney's Theorem : The num ber of self\{crossings of a planar loop is related to the total angle, through which the tangent vector tums in going around the loop by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n_{\mathrm{C}}\right)_{\bmod 2}=1+\overline{2}_{\bmod 2}: \tag{180}
\end{equation*}
$$

This theorem can be checked by a few exam ples. A single loop corresponds to $=2$, while a single intersection results in $=0$.

Since the total angle is the sum of the angles through which the walker tums at each step, the parity of crossings can be obtained using local inform ation alone, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1)^{p_{c}}=e^{i n_{c}}=\exp i \quad 1+\frac{}{2}=e^{\frac{i}{2}}{ }_{j=1}^{j} \text {; } \tag{181}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j$ is the angle through which the walker tums on the $j^{\text {th }}$ step. H ence

$$
\begin{align*}
h 0 \text { to ( }) ~ j 0 i= & \frac{1}{2}^{X} \text { oriented RW loops of ' steps; with no } U \text { tums; from } 0 \text { to } 0 \\
& \exp \frac{1}{2}^{X} \text { local change of angle by the tangent vector : } \tag{182}
\end{align*}
$$

The angle tumed can be calculated at each site if we keep track of the directions of arrival and departure of the path. To this end, we introduce a label for the 4 directions going out of each site, e.g. $=1$ for right, $=2$ for up, $=3$ for left, and $=4$ for down. We next introduce a set of $4 \mathrm{~N} \quad 4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~m}$ atrices generalizing eq. (15\$) to $h x_{2} y_{2} ;{ }_{2} \ddagger \mathbb{W}$ ( $) \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{y}_{1} ; ~{ }_{1} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{X}$ oriented random walks of ' steps; with no $U$ tums; departing ( $x_{1} ; y_{1}$ ) along $1 ;$ proceeding along 2 after reaching $\left(x_{2} ; y_{2}\right) \quad e^{\frac{i}{2}}{ }_{j=1}^{j}$

Thus 2 speci es a direction taken after the walker reaches its destination. It serves to exclude som e paths (e.g., arriving along - 2 ), and leads to an additional phase. As in eq. 162), due to their M arkovian property, these $m$ atrices can be calculated recursively as

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{0} y^{0} ;{ }^{0} \tag{184}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T \quad W$ (1) describes one step of the walk. The direction of arrival uniquely determ ines the nearest neighbor from which the walker departed, and the angle betw een the two directions xes the phase of the $m$ atrix elem ent. $W$ e thus generalize eq. (165) to a 44 m atrix that keeps track ofboth connectivity and phase betw een pairs of sites, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h x^{0} y^{0}{ }^{0} \boldsymbol{T} \text { xyi= } \\
& 2 h x^{0} y^{0} \dot{x}+1 y i \quad h x^{0} y^{0} \dot{y}+1 y i e^{\frac{i}{4}} \quad 0 \quad h x^{0} y^{0} \dot{x}+1 y i e^{\frac{i}{4}}{ }^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\langle x y \mathrm{j}^{0} \mathrm{y}^{0}\right\rangle \quad \mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{y}^{0}$.
Because of its translational sym $m$ etry, the $4 \mathrm{~N} \quad 4 \mathrm{~N}$ m atrix takes a block diagonal form in the Fourier basis hxy $j_{x} q_{y} i=e^{i\left(q_{x} x+q_{y} y\right)}={ }^{p} \bar{N}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { X }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { xy }
\end{aligned}
$$

Each 44 block is labelled by a wavevector $q=\left(q ; q_{y}\right)$, and takes the form

To ensure that a path that starts at the origin com pletes a loop properly, the nal arrivaldirection at the origin $m$ ust coincide $w$ ith the originalone. Sum $m$ ing over all 4 such directions, the total num ber of such loops is obtained from

U sing eq. 177), the free energy is calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\ln \left(2 \cosh ^{2} K\right)+\frac{1}{2 N} \operatorname{trln}(1 \quad T) \\
& =\ln \left(2 \cosh ^{2} K\right)+\frac{1}{2 N}_{q}^{X} \operatorname{trln}(1 \quad T(q)): \tag{189}
\end{align*}
$$

But for any $m$ atrix $M$, w ith eigenvalues $f \quad g$,

$$
\operatorname{tr} \ln \mathrm{M}={ }^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \ln =\ln ^{\mathrm{Y}}=\ln \operatorname{det} \mathrm{M}:
$$

C onverting the sum over $q$ in eq. 189) to an integral leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\ln Z}{N}=\ln \left(2 \cosh ^{2} K\right)+
\end{aligned}
$$

Evaluation of the above determ inant is straightforw ard, and the nal result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\ln Z}{N}=\ln \left(2 \cosh ^{2} K\right)+\frac{1}{2}^{Z} \frac{d^{2} q}{(2)^{2}} \ln \left(1+{ }^{2}\right)^{2} \quad 2 \quad\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)\left(\infty 0 s q_{x}+\infty \sin _{y}\right) \quad: \tag{191}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking advantage of trigonom etric identities, the result can be sim pli ed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\ln Z}{\mathrm{~N}}=\ln 2+\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{dq}_{x} \mathrm{dq}_{y}}{(2)^{2}} \ln \cosh ^{2}(2 \mathrm{~K}) \quad \sinh (2 \mathrm{~K})\left(\operatorname{cosq}+\operatorname{cosc}_{y}\right) \quad: \tag{192}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hile it is possible to obtain a closed form expression by perform ing the integrals exactly, the nal expression involves a hypergeom etric function and is not any m ore ilhum inating.

## R.Singular Behavior

To uncover the singularity in the free energy of the two dim ensional Ising model in eq. 191), we start w th the sim pler expression obtained by the unrestricted sum over random walks in eq. 172), (specializing to $d=2$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{G}}=\ln \left(2 \cosh ^{2} \mathrm{~K}\right)^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{dq}_{x} \mathrm{dq}_{y}}{(2)^{2}} \ln \left[1 \quad 2 \quad\left(\cos \mathscr{\mathrm { q }}+\cos _{\underline{y}}\right)\right] \quad: \tag{193}
\end{equation*}
$$

A part from the argum ent of the logarithm, this expression is sim ilar to the exact result. Is it possible that such sim ilar functional form $s$ lead to distinct singular behaviors? $T$ he singularity results from the vanishing of the argum ent of the logarithm at $c=1=4$. In the vicinity of this point we m ake an expansion as in eq. 169),

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{G}(; q)=(1 \quad 4)+{ }^{2} q+O\left(q^{4}\right) \quad c \quad q^{2}+4-\quad ; \tag{194}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=c$. The singular part of eq. (193) can be obtained by focusing on the behavior of the integrand as q! 0, and replacing the square Brillouin zone for the range of the integral w ith a circle of radius 2 ,

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{\text {sing }}: & =\frac{Z}{\frac{2 q d q}{4^{2}} \ln q^{2}+4-}{ }_{c} \\
& =\frac{1}{4} q^{2}+4-\ln \frac{q^{2}+4=_{c}}{e} \quad: \tag{195}
\end{align*}
$$

O nly the expression evaluated at $q=0$ is singular, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\text {sing }}:=\frac{1}{-} \quad \ln {\underset{c}{c}}^{c}: \tag{196}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting heat capacity $C_{G} / @^{2} f_{G}=@^{2} t$, diverges as $1=$. Since eq. (19 $\beta$ ) is not valid for $>c$,we cannot obtain the behavior of heat capacity on the low tem perature side.

For the exact result of eq. 191), the argum ent of the logarithm is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { A }(; q)=\left(1+{ }^{2}\right)^{2} \quad 2 \quad\left(1 r^{2}\right)\left(\cos q_{x}+{\cos q_{z}}\right): \tag{197}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them inim um value of this expression, for $q=0$, is
A $(; 0)=\left(1+{ }^{2}\right)^{2}$
$4\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right)=(1$
$\left.{ }^{2}\right)^{2}+4^{2}$
$4\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right)=(1$
22 子:

Since this expression (and hence the argum ent of the logarithm) is alw ays non \{negative, the integralexists for all values of . A s required, unlike eq. (193), the exact result is valid at all tem peratures. $T$ here is a singularity w hen the argum ent vanishes for

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{c}^{2}+2_{c} \quad 1=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_{c}=1^{P} \overline{2}: \tag{199}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he positive solution describes a ferrom agnet and leads to a value of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}=\ln (\overline{2}+1)=2$. Setting $=c_{c}$, and expanding eq. 197) in the vicinity ofq! 0 gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2_{c}^{2} q^{2}+4-\quad: \tag{200}
\end{align*}
$$

The im portant di erence from eq. (194) is that ( $=_{c}$ ) appears at quadratic order. Follow ing the steps in eqs. (195) and (196), the singular part of the free energy is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\ln Z}{N}_{\text {sing: }}=\frac{1}{2}_{0}^{Z} \frac{2 \text { qdq }}{4^{2}} \ln q^{2}+4 \underset{c}{c^{\#}} \\
& =\frac{1}{8} \quad q^{2}+4-_{c}^{2} \quad \ln \frac{q^{2}+4\left(=_{c}\right)^{2}}{e} \quad \text { \# }  \tag{201}\\
& \left.=-\frac{1}{c}{ }^{2} \ln \right]_{c} \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

The heat capacity is obtained by taking two derivatives and diverges as C ( bing: = A $\ln j \quad j$. The logarithm ic singularity corresponds to the lim it $=0$; the peak is sym m etric and characterized by the am plitude ratio of $\mathrm{A}_{+}=\mathrm{A}=1$.

The graphicalm ethod presented in this section was originally developed by K ac and W ard [109]. Them ain ingredient of the derivation is the result that the correct accounting of the paths can be achieved by including a factor of ( 1) for each intersection. (T his was originally a con jecture by Feynm an [110], later proved by Sherm an [111].) T he change of sign is rem iniscent of the exchange factor betw een ferm ions, and indeed the nalresult can be obtained by $m$ appings to free ferm ions 112].

In addition to the partition function, the correlation functions < i j> can also be calculated by sum $m$ ing over paths 113]. Since the com bination $q^{2}+4\left(=_{c}\right)^{2}$ in eq. 20G) describes the behavior of these random walks, we expect a correlation length $\dot{j}=j$ i.e. diverging with an exponent $=1$ on both sides of the phase transition, $w$ ith an am plitude ratio of unity. The exponents and are related by the hyperscaling identity
$=22$. The critical correlations at c are m ore subtle and decay as < i $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{c}}>_{\mathrm{c}}$ $1=\ddot{j} \mathrm{j} j \mathrm{w}$ ith $=1=4$. Integrating the correlation functions yields the susceptibility, which diverges as $\quad \mathrm{C} j \mathrm{j}$, with $=7=4$ and $\mathrm{C}_{+}=\mathrm{C}=1$.
S.The Two D im ensional Spin G lass

The key to the exact solution of the non \{random two dim ensional Ising $m$ odel presented in the previous section is the reduction of the graphical expansion to sum sover non $\{$ interacting (ferm ionic) random walks. This reduction depends on the geom etrical properties of paths in $d=2$ and is independent of the uniform ity of the bonds $J_{i j}$. The sam e method can be applied to the random bond problem, reducing the problem to (ferm ionic) random walks in a random $m$ edium. $T$ his is an undirected version of the problem of P PRM, extensively mentioned in these notes. D ue to random ness, we can no longer diagonalize the transfer $m$ atrix that generates these $w$ alks by Fourier transform ation. H ow ever, we can still exam ine such walks num erically by successive m ultiplications of the transfer $m$ atrix. Thus all random bond Ising $m$ odels in $d=2$ can in principle be solyed exactly in polynom ialtim e in their size L. This is not true for the three dim ensional versions.

W e shall dem onstrate the potentials of such exact $m$ ethods by developing an algorithm for calculating the partition function of the $J$ spin glass [5]. This is the $m$ odel introduced in sec. I, where its high tem perature correlation fiunctions were exam ined w ith directed paths. The advantage of the $m$ odel (or any other random $m$ ixture of $\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{J}$, and absent bonds) is that allcom putations can be perform ed in integer form, thus avoiding any oating point errors. O fcourse the $m$ ain interest in the spin glass problem stem $s$ from the com plexity of its low tem perature states. Despite the great deal of work on spin glasses over the past decades 04114 [116], the description of the phase transition and the nature of the glassy state rem ain controversial sub jects [117, 118]. Interactions w ith in nite-range 119] lead to a solution w th broken replica sym $m$ etry [4]. It is not know $n$, how ever, to what extent this $m$ ean- eld result captures the behavior of short-range interactions 118, 120]. $M$ onte C arlo sim ulations of spin glass problem s are notoriously di cult due the ease w th which the system can get trapped in long\{lived m etastable states. It is thus quite valuable to provide som e exact inform ation about the equilibrium low tem perature behavior of spin glasses, even if that know ledge is lim ited to tw o dim ensions.

W e start w ith the E dw ards-A nderson (EA) H am iltonian 121]

$$
\begin{equation*}
H={\underset{\text { hiji }}{ } \mathrm{J}_{i j} \mathrm{i} j \quad \text {; }}^{\mathrm{X}} \tag{202}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the nearest neighbor quenched random bonds $J_{i j}$ are chosen from the bim odal distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(J_{i j}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \quad\left(J_{i j} \quad J\right)+\frac{1}{2} \quad\left(J_{i j}+J\right) ; \tag{203}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{J}>0$. On a lattice w ith periodic boundary conditions ( BCs ), there are exactly 2 N bonds, w ith $N=L^{2}$ the total num ber of spins. $T$ he high tem perature expansion for the partition function takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}=2^{\mathrm{N}} \cosh ^{2 \mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{~K}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{N}}} \mathrm{~A} \tag{204}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coe cients $A_{1}$ are pure integers. N ote that $A$, $=0$ for odd values of ' since closed loops on the square lattice necessarily traverse an even num ber of bonds.
$W$ e can use the diagram $m$ atic $m$ ethod introduced in the previous sections to transform the problem of sum $m$ ing the high tem perature series into one ofevaluating a local random walk. Every step proceeds exactly as before up to eq. (162). However, the 4 N 4 N transfer $m$ atrix in eq. (185) has to be $m$ odi ed to take care of the random ness in bonds. Each elem ent of the $m$ atrix that connects sites $i$ and $j$ has to be multiplied with the reduced bond variable $s_{i j}=s_{j i}=J_{i j}=J$, equal to +1 for ferrom agnetic bonds and 1 for antiferrom agnetic bonds. There is an additionalcom plication in dealing w ith nite lattice size. For sim plicity we shall use periodic boundary conditions on an L Lattice. W e $m$ ust then take proper account of diagram $s$ which w rap around the lattice. T he correct result, based on the com binatorics of closed loops on periodic lattices [122] is $\mathrm{Z}=\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}+\right.$ $\left.Z_{2}+Z_{3}+Z_{4}\right)=2 \mathrm{w}$ ith

$$
Z=2^{N} \cosh ^{2 N} K^{q} \overline{\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & T \tag{205}
\end{array}\right]}:
$$

Here, $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ is the original $4 \mathrm{~N} \quad 4 \mathrm{~N}$ transfer m atrix, $\mathrm{while} \mathrm{T}_{2}, \mathrm{~T}_{3}$, (and $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ ) are obtained respectively by changing the sign of a horizontal, vertical, (or both) colum $n$ of bonds. $T$ he linear com bination $\left(Z_{1}+Z_{2}+Z_{3}+Z_{4}\right)=2$ ensures that alldiagram $s$, inchuding those which loop the entire lattice, are weighted correctly in the nalexpression for the partition function.

W e have im plem ented [123] this algorithm on the com puter as follow s. G iven a set of bonds $f J_{i j} g$, we rst construct the $4 \mathrm{~N} \quad 4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~m}$ atrices $T$ and compute the tracestr ( T ') for ' N . This step of the algorithm is the m ost com putationally intensive. The coe cients of the series expansions for $\ln Z$ are related to the traces by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\ln Z}{N}=\ln \left[2 \cosh ^{2} K\right] \quad \frac{1}{2 N}_{\imath=0}^{X^{B}} \frac{1}{9} \operatorname{tr}\left(T^{\prime}\right) \quad: \tag{206}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we com pute the high tem perature series for Z. This is done by exponentiating the series for $\ln Z$, followed by taking the linear com bination that inconporates periodic boundary conditions. The high tem perature expansion for $Z$ is a polynom ial in $w$ th integer coe cients; the last term, of order 2 N , is derived from the graph that traverses every bond on the square lattice. These 2 N coe cients have an end-to-end sym $m$ etry that enables one to com pute them from the rst $N$ powers of the transferm atrix. Finally, we expand powers of cosh $K$ and tanh $K$ and rew rite $Z$ as a polynom ial in e $J$; the end result $Z={ }_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{E}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{E}}$ yields the density of states. For an Ising m odelw ith J bonds, we can perform all these operations using only integer arithm etic.
$T$ he algorithm has several desirable features. $F$ irst, it retums the partition function $Z$ as an exact integer result. In this way, it not only avoids the statistical uncertainties inherent in M onte C arlo sim ulation; it also avoids the oating point errors that creep into num erically \exact" calculations of $Z$ in large system s at low tem peratures. The algorithm thus provides us with an e cient and reliable way to investigate energies and entropies at low tem peratures. This is particularly im portant in a system that exhibits a phase transition at $T=0$, such as the $J$ spin glass. $W$ e can also calculate other quantities, such as the roots of the partition function in the com plex plane, or the num ber of low level excitations, that are otherw ise inaccessible. U nfortunately, the necessity of handling large integers (of order $2^{N}$ ) com plicates what w ould otherw ise be a rather straightforw ard algorithm to im plem ent.

A second advantage of the algorithm is that it executes in polynom ial time. We estim ate the algorithm 's perform ance as follow s . Com puting the traces requires $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathbb{N}{ }^{3}\right)$ arithm etic operations on integers of order $2^{N}$, while in general, the pow er series $m$ anipulations take $m$ uch less tim $e . W$ e therefore expect the com putation tim e to scale as N , $w$ ith $3 \ll 4$. This stands in contrast to the num erical colum $n$ to colum $n$ transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethod ofM orgenstem and $B$ inder [124] which has tim $e$ and $m$ em ory requirem ents that grow exponentially with system size. W e obtained most of our results on dedicated DEC 3100 workstations. The largest lattice that we exam ined had $\mathrm{N}=3636$ spins. F inally, we mention that the com putation of the traces can be broken dow n into $O(\mathbb{N}$ ) independent com putations, so that a faster, parallel im plem entation of the algorithm (on a supercom puter or spread across several w orkstations) should be possible.
T.Results for the Two D im ensional Spin G lass
$W$ e exam ined the $J$ spin glass on lattioes of size $L=4$ to $L=36$. Several realizations of random ness were studied: 8000 for $L=4,6,8 ; 2000$ for $L=10,12,14 ; 800$ for $L=16$, 18; 80 for $L=20,22,24$; and 4 for $L=32,36$. W e perform ed quenched averages by assigning an equal probability to each random sam ple: ${ }^{-}=(1=S)^{P} \mathrm{~s} \cdot$. To reduce the am ount of statisticalerror, we only considered lattices in which exactly half the plaquettes were frustrated [124]. W e also found it quite revealing to com pare our results with those for the fully frustrated Ising $m$ odel [125,126], as both $m$ odels undergo phase transitions at $T=0$. The typical output of our algorithm is a set of integers $g(E)$ for the num ber of states. U sing the density of states we can perform calculations in either the microcanonical or canonical ensemble. In the lim it of in nite size, of course, the two ensembles should yield identical results.

W e used the algorithm rst to study the them odynam ic properties of the ground state, tting the data for the ground-state energy and entropy to the nite-size form $f_{L}=f_{1}+a=L^{2}$. Extrapolating to in nite lattice size, we estim ate $\overline{e_{0}}=J=1: 404 \quad 0: 002$ and $\overline{S_{0}}=0: 075$ 0:002. These results are consistent w ith previous M C [12 $\left.12 \beta\right]$ and colum $n$ to colum $n$ transfer $m$ atrix [12g] estim ates. W e also used the algorithm to study the num ber of low-level excitations. On a lattice w ith periodic BC s, the low est excited state has an energy $4 J$ above the ground state. The quantity $e^{s}=g\left(E_{0}+4 J\right)=g\left(E_{0}\right)$ $m$ easures the degeneracy ratio of these excited states. $W e$ nd that $\bar{S}_{S G}$ grows faster than $\ln N$ indicating that the low-lying excitations of the $J$ spin glass involve spin ips on large length scales.

The abundance of low -lying excitations a ects the low -tem perature behavior of the heat capacity. In a nite system with energy gap $4 J$, the heat capacity vanishes as $C$
${ }^{2} e^{4}$ J. As pointed out by $W$ ang and Swendsen [127], this behavior can break dow $n$ in the them odynam ic lim it. The 1D Ising m odelw ith periodic BCs show $s$ how this can happen: the energy gap is $4 J$, but the heat capacity of an in nite system vanishes as $C_{1 D} \quad{ }^{2} e^{2} \mathrm{~J}$. The anom alous exponent re ects the fact that the number of lowest excited states grows as $\mathrm{N}^{2}$. From M onte C arlo and colum n to colum n transfer m atrix studies, $W$ ang and Swendsen [127] conclude that $C_{S G} \quad{ }^{2} e^{2} J$ for the 2D J spin glass as well. O ur results nd a disagreem ent in slope between $S_{1 D}$ and $\bar{S}_{\text {SG }}$ versus $N$, leading us to suggest a di erent form for $C_{S G}$. Asmotivation, we appeal to another exactly soluble model with a phase transition at $T=0$ : the fully frustrated (FF) Ising
m odel on a square lattice [125]. On a periodic lattice, the low est excited states of the FF m odel have energy 4 J above the ground state. T he large num ber of low -lying excitations, how ever, causes the heat capacity to vanish as $C_{F F} \quad{ }^{3} e^{4}{ }^{J}$. N ote the extra power of tem perature. O ur data com pare much better to $S_{F F}$ than to $S_{1 D}$, suggesting a sim ilar behaviorm ay describe the $J$ spin glass, e.g. $C_{S G}{ }^{2+} e^{4} J$ with 0.Aswe shall see below, there are other reasons to favor this form .

O ne way to investigate phase transitions is to exam ine the roots of the partition function $Z$ in the com plex plane. This was rst done by $F$ isher 130] in a study of the 2D Ising m odel with uniform $+J$ bonds. $F$ isher calculated the distribution of roots of the partition function in the complex $z=e^{2} \mathrm{~J}$ plane. In the lim it of in nite lattioe size, he show ed that the roots condense onto two circles centered at $z=1$, and related the singular behavior in the free energy to the distribution of roots in the vicinity of the positive realaxis. Since a system of nite size does not exhibit non-analytic behavior, it is clear that the roots of the partition function can only close in on the positive real axis in the them odynam ic lim it. The zeros of partition functions are thus sub ject to nite-size scaling 131]. At a nite-tem perature phase transition, the com plex zero $T$ ( $L$ ) closest to the positive real axis obeys $\mathbb{J}(L) \quad T_{c} j \quad L^{y t}$; likew ise, the correlation length diverges as ( $T$ d ) with $=1=y$. On the other hand, at a $T=0$ phase transition, such as occurs in the 1D Ising m odel, one nds $\dot{j}(L) j \quad L^{y z} w$ ith $\quad z^{1=y} y_{z}$, where $z(L)$ is the sm allest root in the com plex $z=e^{2} \mathrm{~J}$ plane. For exam ple, the partition function of a 1D Ising chain w ith periodic boundary conditions in eq. 28),

$$
Z=2^{\mathrm{L}} \cosh ^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{~J})^{\mathrm{h}} 1+\tanh ^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{~J})^{\mathrm{i}}:
$$

has its smallest root at $\tanh (J)=e^{i=L}$, or $z(L)=i \tan (=2 L)$. As L ! 1 , the $m$ agnitude of the root scales as $z(L) \quad L^{1}$, consistent $w$ th the fact that the correlation length in the 1D Ising $m$ odeldiverges as $\ell^{\mathrm{J}}$.

In the $J$ spin glass, we observed that, for $m$ ost realizations of random ness, the sm allest root $z(L)$ falls on the im aginary axis. O ne $m$ ight expect that the probability distribution for the $m$ agnitude of this root assum es a scale-invariant form as L! $1 . W e$ were unable to verify this hypothesis due to insu cient data on large lattiges. Instead, we exam ined the statistics of $u(L)$, where $u=z^{2}=e^{4} \mathrm{~J}$. On a square lattice w th periodic boundary conditions, the partition function for a $J$ spin glass is polynom ial in $e^{4} \mathrm{~J} . W$ e therefore looked at the scaling of roots in the complex $u=e^{4} \mathrm{~J}$ plane. The
results could be tted to $j u(L) j \quad L^{2: 2}$ with $y_{u}=2: 2 \quad 0: 1$; this suggests to us that the correlation length in the $J$ spin glass diverges as $\sum^{J}$. A dditional powers of tem perature and/or nite-size e ectsm ight explain the slight deviation from $Y_{u}=2$. N ote that this behavior for the correlation length is consistent $w$ ith the hyperscaling relation $\ln Z_{\text {singular }} / 2$, and our claim that, up to powers of tem perature, the heat capacity diverges as $C \quad e^{4} \mathrm{~J}$. This result disagrees $w$ ith previous studies [127,129, 132] that report $T$, with 2:6 2:8. An analytical approach, closely related to averaging the ferm ionic path integrals [133], does support exponential correlations, but w ith a gap of 4 J .

A great deal of inform ation on spin glasses has been obtained by exam ining defects' (droplets) in nite system s. The cost of a defect of size $L$ is related to the di erence in free energies $w$ ith periodic and anti-periodic BCs. At T $=0$, this reduces to the di erence in energy betw een the ground states. On an $L \quad L$ lattice, the defect energy m easures the e ective block coupling 134,117,118,120,135] J ${ }^{0}$ on length scale L. Let p (L ) be the fraction of L L blocks forwhich Jo 0. Scaling argum ents 135] suggest that p (L) L , where is the critical exponent that characterizes the pow er law decay of correlations $\overline{<} 0 \quad L^{>2}$ at $T=0$. Plotting $p(L)$ versus $L$, we nd $=0: 22 \quad 0: 06$ in agreem ent $w$ ith previous results 135,127$]$. B esides the defect energy, we also looked at the defect entropy $S_{L}$, i.e. the di erence in zero-tem perature entropies w ith periodic and anti-periodic B C s. The data could be tted to $\overline{S_{L}^{2}} \quad \mathrm{~L}^{2 \mathrm{ys}} \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{YS}_{\mathrm{S}}=0: 49 \quad 0: 02$. This is curiously close to the result $S_{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{L}^{1=2}$, expected if entropy changes due to reversing the di erent bonds along the boundary are statistically independent. T he defect entropy in the fully frustrated Ising m odel approaches a constant value $w$ th $1=L^{2}$ corrections. It is straightforw ard, m oreover, to show that in the 1D Ising m odel the defect entropy scales as $S_{L} \ln L$. B oth these behaviors are $m$ arkedly di erent from the spin glass. W e do not know any obvious relation betw een the nite-size scaling of the defect entropy and other quantities at $T=0$. M ore details of the algorithm and results can be found in ref. 123].
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