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#### Abstract

$M$ ean eld replica theory is em ployed to analyze the freezing transition of random heteropolym ers com prised of an anbitrary number (q) of types of $m$ onom ers. Our form alism assum es that interactions are short range and heterogeneity com es only from pairw ise interactions, which are de ned by an arbitrary $q$ $q$ matrix. W e show that, in general, there exists a freezing transition from a random globule, in which the them odynam ic equilibrium is com prised of an essentially in nite num ber polym er conform ations, to a frozen globule, in which equilibrium ensem ble is dom inated by one or very few conform ations. W e also exam ine som e special cases of interaction $m$ atrices to analyze the relationship between the freezing transition and the nature of interactions involved.
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## I. IN TRODUCTION

The relationship between the sequence and conform ation of a heteropolym er is one of the $m$ ost challenging unsolved problem $s$ in biophysics. In the case of proteins, it is widely believed that the native functional conform ation is, in a sense, \w ritten" in the sequence of the heteropolym er in the \language" of the interactions between $m$ onom er species. This conform ation is also believed to be both the ground state from them odynam ic point of view (better to say, it is structurally very close to the ground state, up to som e short scale therm aland/or frozen uctuations) and reliably accessible from the kinetic point of view .

The fact that even chains w th random sequences can have a unique frozen ground state was rst discussed in term s of phenom enological models [i]], where the freezing transition was shown to be sim ilar to that of the Random Energy M odel (REM) R]. The REM -like freezing transition was also derived starting from a m icroscopic $H$ am iltonian in whidh the interactions betw een pairs of $m$ onom ers were assum ed to be random, independently taken from a $G$ aussian distribution [3]. In this $m$ odel, the nature of interactions betw een species was param eterized in term $s$ of the $m$ ean and width of the $m$ onom er-m onom er interaction distribution. Thus, in this sense, polym er sequence was not explicitly included in this m odel, since it is absent from the H am iltonian. A s for m odels w th polym er sequences explicitly present, two have been considered so far: 2 letter Ising-type model [4] and the so-called p-charge $m$ odel 国, (G]. These $m$ odels were shown to also exhibit a freezing phase transition for random chains.

Therefore, it is natural to con jecture that any sort ofrandom heteropolym erw illhave th is kind of transition, and the question is whether we are able to understand the properties and characteristic tem perature of this transition for realistic $m$ odels of heteropolym ers. Indeed, proteins, for exam ple, are com prised of 20 kinds of di erent m onom ers, which interact to each other in a com plicated $m$ anner. T here are several relevant types of interactions.betw een di erent m onom ers, such as van-der-W aals interactions, dipole-dipole interactions, hydrogen bonds, and hydrophobic interactions.

A s long as we are speaking about short-range interactions, interactions can be described in term s of a m atrix: if there are $q$ types ofm onom ers, we have a q $q$ m atrix, where each ( $i ; j$ ) $m$ atrix elem ent represents the energy of interaction between $m$ onom ens of the types $i$ and $j$, given that they are in spatial contact. There were several attem pts in the literature to derive this kind of \interaction" $m$ atrix for realam ino acids (see, in particular, 置]). It is rather di cult, how ever, to derive this kind of $m$ atrix. Furtherm ore, the sensitivity of heteropolym er properties to deviations of the interaction $m$ atrix is unclear. For com puter sim ulations, for exam ple, it is im portant to know how precise one should be in choosing the interaction energies in order to reproduce the native state and to avoid the appearance of som e other state, structurally com pletely di erent, which may appear as the ground state of a sim ulated system due to an im perfect interaction $m$ atrix. O f course, other non-protein heteropolym ers $m$ ight be also of interest.

In this paper, we consider the freezing transition for a heteropolym er with an arbitrary interaction $m$ atrix. W e derive a general form alism for the analysis of the freezing transition of random chains in which only short range interactions are assum ed. In addition to the form al bene $t$ that the general treatm ent establishes a form alism $w$ ith which other short range species interaction $m$ odels can be derived as special cases by using speci cinteraction $m$ atrioes, this theory can be used to analyze w hat properties of a species-species interactions $m$ atrix e ect the freezing transition and in what way.

## II. DEVELOPMENTOFTHEFORMALISM

A. T he M odel and its $H$ am iltonian

C onsider a heteropolym er chain with a frozen sequence of $m$ onomers $S_{I}$, where $I$ is the number of $m$ onom er along the chain ( 1 I $N$ ) and $s$ is the sort of $m$ onom er I in the given sequence. Let $q$ be the total num ber of di erent $m$ onom er species, 1 s(I) q. In the condensed globular state, the spatial structure of the chain is govemed by
volum e interactions betw een $m$ onom ers. The disorder and heteropolym er e ects of di erent $m$ onom er species com esm ainly through pairw ise $m$ onom er-to-m onom er interactions. On the other hand, higher order interactions provide the non-speci cexcluded volum ee ect, while chain connectivity de nes the set of available placem ents ofm onom ers in space. This is clear when one considers the lattioe $m$ odel, where subsequent $m$ onom ers are nearest neighbors on the lattice (chain connectivity): a site on the lattice can be occupied by only one $m$ onom er (excluded volume e ect), and the energy is given as a sum of pairw ise interactions of the nearest neighbors on the lattige. The com plicated set of $m$ onom er-m onom er interactions, related to frozen-in sequence, appears then due to the restricted set of pairings ofm onom ers in the space. The interaction part of m am iltonian can be therefore w ritten in a rather sim ple way:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=X^{Q} X^{N} B_{i j}\left(r \quad f_{f}\right)(S ; i) \quad(S ; j)+H^{0}  \tag{1}\\
& \text { i; }{ }^{\prime} \text {; } J
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{i j}$ ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) gives the M ayer function of short range interaction between m onom ers of species $i$ and $j$, placed in space at the distance $r_{I} \quad r_{j}$ apart from each other, $S_{I}$ is the species ofm onom er num ber I (\spin" ofm onom er I), and is either $K$ roneker orD irac delta. Eq [1) has the simple intenpretation that $m$ onom ers num ber I and $J$ interact based upon their proxim 柴y, ( $f \quad \xi_{j}$ ), and the second virialooe cient of interaction betw een the species of the two m onom ers, $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{S}_{J}}$. The $H^{0}$ contribution contains all higher order interactions of $m$ onom ers. $W$ e assum e that it is \hom opolym eric" in form, i.e. it does not depend on the $m$ onom er species, but only on the overalldensity. It can bew ritten as $H^{0}=C^{2}+D^{3}+:::$, where all virial coe cients C;D ;::: are assum ed to be positive (repulsive).

Throughout the paper, we w ill use the follow ing notation: upper case R om an characters labelm onom er num bers, i.e. bead num ber along the chain ( $1 \quad \mathrm{I} \quad \mathrm{N}$ ), low er case R om an characters labelm onom er species num bers ( $\left.\begin{array}{llll}1 & \text { i } & q\end{array}\right)$, and low er case $G$ reek characters are for replica indices (1 n), which w illbe de ned later. W ew illbe also using the notation for vectors and operators ( $m$ atrices) with the clear indication of the dim ensionality of the corresponding space, as we consider several di erent spaces sim ultaneously. For exam ple,
the interaction $m$ atrix $w$ ith $m$ atrix elem ents $B_{i j} w$ ill be denoted as $B^{(q)}$. In this notation, vector $\sim(q 1)$ m eans the density distribution $i(R)$ for all species (q) over 3D $R$-space (1).
B. R eplicas

The statistical mechanics of a heteropolym er chain is expressed through the partition function, which can be som ew hat form ally w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\text { seq })=\mathrm{X}_{\text {confom ations }}^{\exp } \frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}} \mathrm{H} \text { (conf; seq) ; } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have clearly indicated that our H am iltonian depends on both conform ation and sequence. The standard way to approach the partition function of a system with frozen disorder is to em ploy, rst, the principle of self-averaging of free energy and, second, the replica trick:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=h F(\text { seq }) i_{\text {seq }}=T h \ln Z(\text { seq }) i_{\text {seq }}=\lim _{n!0} \frac{h Z^{n}(\text { seq }) i_{\text {seq }}}{n} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{h}:: \mathrm{i}_{\text {seq }} m$ eans average over the set of all possible $q^{N}$ sequences.
In this paper, we consider random sequences, $m$ eaning that the species $1 ; 2 ;::: ; q$ appear independently along the chain w ith the probabilities $p_{1} ; p_{2} ;::: ; p_{q}\left(f p_{i} g=p^{(q)}\right)$, so that the probability of realization of the given sequence (seq $\left.=s_{1} ; s_{2} ;:: ; ; S_{I} ;::: ; S_{N}\right)$ is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {seq }}=p_{s_{1}} p_{s_{2}}::: \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{I}}}::: \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}}={ }_{\mathrm{I}=1}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{I}}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collecting the above equations, we can w rite the key value ofn -replica partition function as
where $C=C_{1} ;::: ; C_{n}$ stand for conform ations of replica num ber.
For each conform ation and each replica, we introduce density distributions of all species as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i}(R)=X_{I=1}^{X^{N}} \quad(G ; i) \quad\left(r_{I} \quad R\right) ; \quad \operatorname{fm}_{i}(R) g \quad m^{(q n 1)}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For sim plicity, we will not explicitly include the sequence independent term $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{H}^{0}$ from the original H am iltonian [1]). We then w rite in term s of the densities
where hj: : :ïil${ }^{(\mathrm{qm} 1)} \mathrm{m}$ eans scalar product in which all vectors and operators are supposed to have dim ensionality as indicated ( $q$ n 1 in this case). O peratond (qn1) is $B_{i j} w$ th respect to m onom er species, and it is diagonal in both replica space and real coordinate space, $m$ eaning that it has $m$ atrix elem ents $B$ ij $\quad\left(R_{1} \quad R_{2}\right)$. The next step is to perform H ubbard-Stratonovich transform ation [4] of the form

Here $f_{i}(R) g=\sim(q n 1)$ are the elds conjugated to the corresponding densities and $N$ is nom alization factor which com es from integration over .
$N$ ote that the sum over sequences enters only in the last \source" term of this expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \text { fsourae term } g={ }_{\text {seq }}^{X} P_{\text {seq }} \exp { }^{D} \sim{ }_{j p r}^{E}(q n 1) \quad: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum $m$ ation, or average, over the sequences is easier to describe in non-vector notation:

As in case of two-letter heteropolym er, to extract the relevant order param eters, we expand over the powers of the elds (high tem perature expansion) and keep tem s up to $O\left({ }^{2}\right):$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { souroe term }=x_{i=1=1}^{x^{q} x^{n}} \quad d R \quad(R) p_{i}(R) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use standard de nitions [1] 10 ]

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1 ;:: ; j_{k}}\left(R_{1} ;::: ; R_{k}\right)=\begin{array}{l}
X^{\mathrm{N}} \quad Y^{k} \\
I=1=1
\end{array} \quad\left(r_{I} \quad R\right) ;  \tag{12}\\
& Q(R)  \tag{13}\\
& (R)=X_{I=1}^{X^{N}} \quad\left(r_{1}\right. \\
& R) ; Q\left(R_{1} ; R_{2}\right)=X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \quad\left(r_{1} \quad R_{1}\right) \quad\left(r_{1} \quad R_{2}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that the total density of the polym er chain
$(R)$ in equilibrium does not depend on replica num ber and, within a large globule, does not depend on $R$. Replicas are interpreted
 intenpreted as the overlap between replicas $1 ;::: ;{ }_{k}$.

Then-replica partition function is now w ritten in the form :

$$
\begin{align*}
& h Z^{n}(\text { seq }) i_{\text {seq }}=N \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}_{1} ;:: ; \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{Z} \text { D } \mathrm{F} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ij}^{=}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{ij} \quad \mathrm{RP}_{j} \text { and } \sim^{(\operatorname{qn} 1)} \quad \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{R})=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N}}} \quad\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{I}} \quad \mathrm{R}\right): \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are left w ith a G aussian integral (14) for the n-replica partition function, which is simpli ed by the argum ent given in [ [ 6 type, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q} \quad\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{q} \quad\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}\right) ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where diagonal $m$ atrix elem ents of new $m$ atrix $q^{(n)}$ are 1, while 0 -diagonal elem ents are either 0 or 1 . This $m$ eans physically that tw replicas and $m$ ight be either uncorrelated (independent), so that $\mathrm{Q}=0$, or they m ay be correlated so that one repeats the 3D fold
of the other dow $n$ to the $m$ icroscopic length scale, so that $Q \quad\left(R_{1} ; R_{2}\right)=\left(R_{1} \quad R_{2}\right) . W e$ do not repeat this argum ent here, as it is explained elsew here (see the argum ent presented in [19] which is slightly di erent from the original one [ [ $]$ ]).

## C.E ective Energy in Replica Space

W ith the smpli ed form of Q m atrix, we evaluate the G aussian integral over all variables. T his yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
h Z^{n}(\operatorname{seq}) i_{\text {seq }}=\frac{x}{C_{1} ;:: ; c_{n}} \quad \exp [N E \text { fQ g] } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the energy of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}= & \sim^{(\mathrm{nq})} \mathrm{T} \\
\mathrm{~B}^{(\mathrm{q})} & 1  \tag{18}\\
& p^{(n)}+2
\end{align*} \mathrm{q}^{(\mathrm{n})} \quad \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{q}) \quad \sim^{1} \quad \stackrel{\sim}{(\mathrm{nq})} .
$$

Here $\quad m$ eans the direct product, eg. for the block $m$ atrix $b^{(q n 1)}=B_{i j} \quad\left(R_{1} \quad R_{2}\right)=$
 to the rule: instead ofeach $m$ atrix elem ent of $f^{(r)} m$ atrix, say $A_{u v}$, we substitute the block equal to $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{uv}} \mathrm{B}^{(\mathrm{s})}$. The last term in (18) com es from norm alization factor N in eq (14); it is easy to check that the norm alization factor created by $G$ aussian integration $N$, sim ply elim inates nom alization factors rst introduced by the H ubbard-Stratonovich transform ation.


$$
\begin{align*}
E & =\frac{1}{2} \ln \operatorname{det} p^{(q n)}+\frac{2}{T} q^{(n)} \quad b(q) B^{(q)}+ \\
& +\quad \sim^{(n q)} \frac{1}{T} B^{(q)} \quad P^{(n)} \quad \Phi^{(q n)}+\frac{2}{T} q^{(n)} \quad b^{(q)} B^{(q)} \quad \sim^{1} \quad \sim^{(n q)} \quad ; \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

W e have assum ed that the $G$ aussian integral converges and can be calculated. This is guaranteed only by the appropriate form of $q^{(n)} m$ atrix, ie., by replica sym $m$ etry breaking. $W$ em ake an ansatz that $q^{(n q)}$ is of the form of a P arisim atrix $w$ th one-step replica sym $m$ etry breaking [12, 4 ]. W e say that replicas can be gathered into $n=x$ groups each of which consists
of $x$ replicas. The conform ations of all of the replicas in a given group coincide to the m icroscopic scale, ie. for ; 2 group A and 2 group $B$, then $q=1$ and $q=q=0$. $T$ hus $\Phi^{(n q)}$ can be written as a block $m$ atrix (in replica space) which is partitioned into $n=x$ blocks of size $\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x}$ along the diagonal. Inside each diagonalblock, $\mathrm{q}=1$, and outside $q=0$. In fact, it $w$ as recently show $n$ that this form can be derived by energy $m$ inim ization in the two letter case 10], and we can easily repeat this argum ent for the general q-letter case at hand. For the sake of sim plicity, how ever, we om it the derivation, thus, form ally em ploy the ansatz.

W e can substantially sim plify both term s in the energy 19), and convert them into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{n}{2 x} \ln \operatorname{det} P+\frac{2 x_{b}}{T} b^{b}+n{ }^{*} \rho \frac{B^{b}}{T} P+\frac{2 x_{b}}{T} B^{1}{ }_{\rho}^{+} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we have dropped the labels of the dim ensionality of the vectors and operators, as all of them are of the sam e dim ensionality ( $q$ ). This is because we have diagonalized the energy in both $R$ ( 1 ) and replica ( $n$ ) spaces, so only the species dim ension ( $q$ ) rem ains.

The proof of the simpli cation leading to $(2 p)$ is given in the Appendix. W e now tum to its analysis.

## D.E ective Entropy in $R$ ep lica Space

In order to get the free energy, we must also consider the entropy change due to the
 $m$ onom ers along the chain, once one $m$ onom er is xed in space, the next $m$ ust be placed within a volume $a^{3}$, where $a$ is the distance between $m$ onom ers along the chain. Since replicas that belong in the sam e group coincide w ithin a tube of radius $R_{t} \quad v^{\frac{1}{v}}$, where $v$ is the excluded volum e of a single $m$ onom er, there are $a^{3}=v$ ways to place the next $m$ onom er and thus the entropy per $m$ onom er in just $\ln \left(a^{3}=v\right)$. But since all replica conform ations coincide w ithin the group, we m ust restrict the position of the next $m$ onom er to a single
place. Follow ing the P arisiansatz for one-step $r$ sb, for $n$ replicas, there are $n=x$ groups $w$ ith x replicas per group. The entropy loss is therefore

$$
S=N s \frac{n}{x}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & 1 \tag{21}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $s=\ln \left(a^{3}=v\right)$ is related to the exibility of the chain.

## E.Freezing Transition

Recall that, for notational convenience, we drop the indication of dim ensionality, as all operators and vectors are now assum ed to be in species space, ie. dim ensionality q. We optim ize the free energy
w ith respect to $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ielding

A $s$ is clear from the very structure of this equation, its solution is of the form $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{T}=2$, where is given by

Recall that x is the number of replicas in one group, i.e., the number of replicas which have the sam e conform ation dow $n$ to $m$ icroscopic uctuations. This interpretation is clear when n is integer and $\mathrm{n}>1$. W hile taking the $\mathrm{n}!0 \lim$ it, we have to consider x to be in betw een $n$ and 1, so that $x<1 m$ eans the existence of grouping of replicas, or broken replica perm utation sym $m$ etry, while $x$ approaching 1 m eans the restoration of replica sym $m$ etry. Therefore, $x=1$ de nes the point of phase transition between the frozen globular phase w th broken replica sym $m$ etry and the phase of a random \liquid-like" replica sym $m$ etric globule. $T$ he corresponding freezing tem perature is given by $T_{f}=2=$.

Thus, from the n-replica free energy, we obtain the real free energy


## III. D ISC U SSIO N

A. W hat is b?
$W$ e rst exam ine the physicalm eaning of the operator ${ }^{b}$ and the term ${ }^{b}$ b ${ }^{b}$. From the de nition of ${ }^{\text {b }}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{B}^{b_{i k}}={ }_{j}^{x}\left(p_{i} i j \quad\left(p_{j}\right) B_{j k}=p_{i} B_{i k} \quad{ }_{j}^{x} p_{i} p_{j} B_{i j}\right. \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can always w rite $B_{i j}$ in term s of a sum of a hom opolym eric attraction ( $B_{0}$ ) and heteropolym eric deviations ( $\left(b_{i j}=B_{i j} h B i\right)$. From (26), we see that ${ }^{b}$ rem oves the $m$ ean interaction of species $k$ from all matrix elem ents $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{kj}}$. In other words, ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ rem oves all hom opolym eric e ects.

It is instructive to exam ine what happens to the energy (20) when one form ally takes ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{B}=0$; in this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{n}(=\mathrm{T})^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{\rho} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{E}} \stackrel{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{P}}=\mathrm{n}(=\mathrm{T})^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\mathrm{n}(=\mathrm{T}) \mathrm{hB} \mathrm{i} ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is sim ply the averaged second virial term . N ote that as this term is not coupled to $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{hB}$ i does not enter into the calculations of the freezing tem perature. W e note that the term $\mathrm{snH}{ }^{0}=\mathrm{nC}=\mathrm{T}+::$ from the original H am iltonian (7) are not explicitly written, but must be considered when optim izing the free energy. Thus, for $j h B i j \quad j_{i j} j$ we can optim ize the free energy w ith respect to x and independently. H ow ever, if this condition is not valid, the coupling between density and the replica overlap order param eter becom es signi cant; this should lead to other interesting physical phenom ena, which are beyond the soope ofthis paper. The \hom opolym eric" attractive second virialterm, in com petition $w$ ith
the repulsive higher order term $s$ in $H^{0}$, is responsible for the form ation and $m$ aintenance of the globular conform ation $w$ ith a reasonably high density. Therefore, hB i prim arily enters into hom opolym er e ects, such as the coil to globule transition. O ther e ects, such as the freezing transition, are purely heteropolym eric, and are due to $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}$, or ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{B}$ term s ; they are related to the choice of som e energetically preferential conform ations out of the total vast num ber of globular conform ations.

For the hom opolym er case ( $q=1$ or $B_{i j}=B_{0}$ ) or the e ective hom opolym er case (a heteropolym eric interaction $m$ atrix is rendered hom opolym eric due to the choioe of com position p; say, $p_{1}=1$, while others $p_{i}=0$ ), we im mediately see that ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{b}}=0$, so $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=0$ and thus there is no freezing transition. (T his is of course just trivial check of consistency of our equations).

## B. T w o E xactly Solvable M odels

There are som e m odels which can be solved exactly from eq (24). W e will see that the exact solution of sim ple models yields insight which will be im portant in the $m$ ore general consideration of the next section.

## 1. P otts M odel

Potts interactions are de ned by the interaction $m$ atrix $B_{i j}=b_{i j}+B_{0}$. The freezing tem perature can be found exactly for this $m$ odel for the case of even com position, i.e. $p_{i}=1=q$. From (2G), we see that the relevant $m$ atrix to address is $P+2 x^{b} b^{b}=T$. As the diagonal elem ents of this $m$ atrix are $1+2 \mathrm{~b} x(\mathrm{q} \quad 1)=\mathrm{T} \mathrm{q}^{2}$ and all the o diagonal elem ents are equal $2 \mathrm{~b} x=T$ q. we nd a ( $q \quad 1$ )-fold degenerate eigenvalue $1+2 \mathrm{~b} x=T \mathrm{q}$ and a nondegenerate eigenvalue of 1 (see the A ppendix for details). This leads to the energy term of the form

$$
\ln \operatorname{det} p+\frac{2 x_{b}}{T} b^{b}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & 1 \tag{28}
\end{array}\right) \ln 1+\frac{2 b x^{\prime}}{q T}
$$

$N$ ote that this term vanishes for the hom opolym er ( $q=1$ ) case. As for the other term of the energy (20), it reduces to
i.e., to the average second virial term (27). This term does not contribute to optim ization w ith respect to $\mathrm{x} . \mathrm{We}$ nd the freezing tem perature

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{~b}}{\mathrm{q} \quad(2 \mathrm{~s}=[\mathrm{q}} 1\right]\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( ) is given self-consistently by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { ) : } \tag{31}
\end{array} \quad=\ln (1 \quad)+=(1 \quad)_{!}^{<}{ }_{1}^{8}=2 \quad \text { for } \quad 1 \quad \text { for } \quad 1:\right.
$$

W e see that the freezing tem perature decreases with increasing q. Physically, this corresponds to the fact that in the Potts m odel, all m onom ers from di ering species interact w ith each other in the sam e way, so that the part of the chain w ithout sim ilar m onom ers is e ectively hom opolym eric. A s q increases, these hom opolym er-like regions increase and the freezing tem perature consequently decreases. $W$ hen $b$ is negative (positive), we have physical solutions of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$ for positive (negative) . W e see from eq. (3) that the nature of the function is di erent positive and negative values: there is a singularity at $=1$, whereas $<0$ is well behaved. Thus, there is a fundam ental di erence between ferrom agnetic-like $(b<0)$ and antiferrom agnetic-like ( $b>0$ ) interactions in term $s$ of the freezing behavior.

Two simpli ed asym ptotic expressions for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$ can be m entioned, com ing from the two asym ptotics of the ( ) function (31):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}, \quad \stackrel{8}{<} \quad(\mathrm{b} \stackrel{\mathrm{p}}{=} \overline{\mathrm{s}})(\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{q}} 1=\mathrm{q}) \quad \text { fore ectively exiblechains, } 2 \mathrm{~s}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{q} & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad 1 \text { : }  \tag{32}\\
& (2 \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{q})[1+(\mathrm{q} \quad 1)=2 \mathrm{~s}] \text { fore ectively sti chains, } 2 \mathrm{~s}=(\mathrm{q} \quad 1) \quad 1
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that the param eter $s=\ln \left(a^{3}=v\right)$ is related to chain exibility [ $\square$ ], where $a$ and $v$ are the chain spacer size and $m$ onom er excluded volum $e$, respectively; $s$ is sm all for exible chains, and large for sti ones. N ote, that the regions of applicability of the two asym ptotics in (31) are controlled by what can be called the e ective exibility $=s=\left(\begin{array}{ll}q & 1\end{array}\right)$. Physically, this
corresponds again to the speci c nature of $P$ otts interactions. Indeed, the $m$ ain di erence between exible and sti chains is the num ber of neighbors along the chain in the interaction sphere in space around a given $m$ onom er. This num ber is large for exible chains and sm all forsti chains. As for Potts interactions, what is relevant is how $m$ any neighbors along the chain attract a given $m$ onom er. This num ber is obviously reduced by factor $q$ 1, and this explains the appearance of the ective exibility $s=\left(\begin{array}{ll}q & 1\end{array}\right)$.

## 2. p-charge

In the p-charge $m$ odel 国, $]$, each $m$ onom er has a set of $p$ generalized charges, which can be $s_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{k}}=1$. The Ham iltonian is de ned to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.H={\underset{I ; J}{N}}_{X^{\mathrm{N}}}^{\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{I}}\right.} \quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{J}}\right)_{\mathrm{K}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{P}}}{ }_{k} S_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{k}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the interaction matrix, we de ne each possible combination of charges as a di erent species. Thus, there are $q=2^{p}$ species in the interaction $m$ atrix. For species number $i$ (1 i q), the value of charge $k$ is given by ${ }_{s}^{k}(i)=2{ }^{j}{ }_{i=2^{k}}^{k} \bmod 2 \quad 1$, where $\mathrm{b}::$ : c $m$ eans truncate to the low est integer. Thus, we have an interaction $m$ atrix of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i j}=x_{k}^{x} 2 \quad \frac{i}{2^{k}} \bmod 2 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad \frac{j}{2^{k}} \bmod 2 \quad 1 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ${ }^{b} b^{1} m$ atrix has $p$ non-zero eigenvalues $1 ; 2 ;::: ; p$ and $a\left(2^{p} \quad p\right)$-degenerate eigenvalue of 0. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \operatorname{det} P+\frac{2 x_{b}}{T} b_{i=1}^{x^{p}} \ln 1+\frac{2_{i} x}{T} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as in the P otts case,
$T$ hus, the freezing tem perature is determ ined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 s=x_{i=1}^{x^{p}} \ln 1+\frac{2}{i}_{T_{f}}^{!} \quad \frac{2_{i}=T_{f}}{1+2_{i}=T_{f}} \quad \text { \# } \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the speci c case ${ }_{i}=$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{2}{(2 \mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{p})} ; \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( ) function is de ned above by (31). As in Potts interactions, the asym $m$ etry of the function yields di erent behavior, depending on the sign of . Unlike the P otts case, the behavior of the $p$-charge $m$ odel becom es $m$ ore heteropolym eric, i.e. $T_{f}$ increases, $w$ ith the addition ofm ore species.

The two asym ptotics, for exible and sti chains, in p-charge m odel are

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}, & { }_{8}^{8} \quad(2 \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{s})^{\mathrm{l}=2}  \tag{39}\\
: & 2(1+\mathrm{p}=2 \mathrm{~s})
\end{align*} \text { fore ectively exible chain, } \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{p} \quad 1 .
$$

N ote, that e ective exibility is given by $=s=p$ for the $p$-charge $m$ odel, i.e. it is again reduced by the num ber of species.

W e note that our result 38) reproduces autom atically what is trivially expected for the hom opolym er case ( $T_{f}=0$, ie., no freezing, when $p=0$ ) and also at $p=1$ agrees $w$ th our previous result (30) at $q=2$ in the case of two letter Ising heteropolym er. On the other hand, our equation (38), or its asym ptotics in the rst line of eq (3p), agrees w ith earlier results of the work [6] in the opposite extrem e of p 1, ie., in the region of applicability of that work.

## C. Reduction T heorem s

There are several cases in which the sam e physical system can be depicted in term $s$ of form ally di erent interaction $m$ atrioes $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{b}}$ and/or com position vectors p . C learly, the expression for the freezing tem perature, as well as for any other real physicalquantity, $m$ ust not depend on any arbitrary choige.

For exam ple, there $m$ ight be som e m onom er species which are form ally included in the list, and in the interaction $m$ atrix, but they are not physically presented in the chain, as the corresponding $p$ vanishes, say, $p_{q}=0$. It is easy to check, that in this case eq 24) is reduced to $s m$ aller list of $q \quad 1 \mathrm{~m}$ onom er species w th ( $q \quad 1$ ) ( $q$ 1) interaction $m$ atrix.

A nother exam ple is when there are duplicate species, say, species labeled q and q 1 are physically identical, i.e. they interact in identical w ays to all other species. P hysically, we would expect that this problem is identical to the q 1 species case, except $w$ th the new com position $p_{q 1}^{0}=p_{q 1}+p_{q}$. Even though we skip the proof, eq (24) indeed gives this expected reduction.

These tw o statem ents, which we call \reduction theorem s", are not only a good check of consistency of our result (24), but they will be also im portant in further discussion.

## D. Freezing Tem perature: G eneral C onsideration

W e retum to the general analysis of the equation (24) for the freezing tem perature, and we willshow how to im plem ent in the general case both the lim its ofsti and exible chains, sim ilar to how those cases appear in the exact solutions for the $P$ otts and $p$-charge $m$ odels.

We rst perform an expansion in powers of $=2 \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{T}$. For example,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{b}\left(I+b^{b}\right)^{1}=B^{b} \quad b^{b} b B^{b}+{ }^{2} b^{b} b^{b} b b+::: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote, that any term $B^{b} b^{k}$, where $k$ is a positive integer, is independent of $h B i$, and therefore is purely heteropolym eric. The matrix product $b^{b} B_{i_{1} i_{2}} b_{b}^{b_{i_{2} i_{3}}}:::^{b} B_{i_{k} i_{k}}$ can be interpreted as the propagation of heteropolym eric interactions from $m$ onom er species $i_{1}$ to $i_{2}$, from $i_{2}$ to $i_{3}$, etc., up to $i_{k}$. As we suppose from the very beginning that all of the heterogeneity com es from the second virial coe cient only, so that all higher order virial term $s$ of the original H am iltonian are in a sense hom opolym eric, all heteropolym eric interactions are sim ply pair collisions ofm onom ers. E ach m onom er takes part, ofcourse, in a variety of pair collisions during a very long tim e, i.e., in therm odynam ic equilibrium . Those collisions are weighted w ith the corresponding energies, and they form chains of collisions, described by $B^{b} b_{b}{ }^{k}$ term $s$. D epending on both the $B_{i j}$ interaction $m$ atrix and the species occurrence probabilities $p_{i}$, som e of those chainsm ight be m ore or less favorable than others, and this determ ines freezing transition in the system .

To em ploy the expansion (40), we rst rew rite (24) by noting that $\ln$ detip $=\operatorname{Tr} \ln \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{P}}$ and


$$
\begin{equation*}
2 s=\operatorname{Tr} \ln p+{ }^{b} b^{b} \quad b_{b} b^{h}+b^{b} b^{i}+{ }^{2} p^{b} b^{b} b^{h} p+b^{i} b^{i}: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow we are in a position to perform the expansion over the powers of ,yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 s=X_{k=2}^{X^{A}} k_{B^{k}}^{D}{ }_{m}^{E} \text {; } \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{m}^{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{\mathrm{k} 1^{1}}{\mathrm{k}} \operatorname{Tr} \quad \mathrm{~b} \quad \mathrm{~K}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{B}} \quad \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{~B}^{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
k & 1 \tag{43}
\end{array}\right) \quad: ~}
$$

The values $B^{D^{k}}{ }_{m}^{E}$ can be considered asm om ents of $b^{b} m$ atrix produced by a given ${ }^{b} m$ atrix. In fact, we can $m$ ake the substitution $b_{i j}=B_{i j} \quad{ }^{P}{ }_{k 1} p_{k} p_{1} B_{k 1}$, ie. rem ove the \hom opolym er" $m$ ean from the interaction $m$ atrix, and the $m$ om ents can be rew ritten exactly $w$ th the exchange $B_{i j}!b_{i j}$. A consequence of this sym $m$ etry is that these $m$ om ents vanish in the hom opolym er case ( $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}=0$ ).

W e now pass to analysis of two opposite extrem es in the equation (41).

## 1. Freezing Tem perature: Sti Chain Lim it

A s we are instructed by the exam ples of $P$ otts and $p$-charge $m$ odels, what is im portant in high $s$ lim it is the singularity of the right hand side of 41). This is obviously govemed by high $k$ term s of pow er series, which are basically related to $\quad b_{b}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{k}$. This is rem iniscent of the standard problem s of 1D statistical physics, such as the 1D Ising m odel, the ideal polym er, or other M arkovian processes, where $\quad b$ b plays the role of the transfer $m$ atrix. It is well know $n$ that highest eigenvalue of the transfer $m$ atrix is only relevant in $k!1$ lim it ( $\backslash$ ground state dom inance principle"). In this lim it, $\quad 1={ }_{m a x}$, where $\mathrm{max}^{\text {a }}$ is highest eigenvalue of $b_{B}^{b}$ matrix, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\prime} 2 \mathrm{max}: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

To nd the next term $s$ in asym ptotic form ula for $T_{f}$, we note that the $m$ ost divergent term in eq (42) com es form the last term in (41) and is due to kp term in (43), it diverges as ( $1 \quad$ max $)^{2}$. We know, how ever, that this term vanishes for both Potts and p -charge m odels. M oreover, we can show, that it vanishes also for m any other m odels w ith som e regularities, producing cancellation of correlations and anti-correlations betw een $m$ atrix elem ents ofB ${ }^{b}$. For this reason, we keep next to the highest singularity, thus obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 s^{\prime} \frac{C}{\left(1 \max ^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{\left(1 \max ^{\prime}\right)} ; C=P j^{D} \sim^{E D} \sim B={ }_{P}^{E} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where and $\sim^{E}$ are the eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenvector of the $b_{B}^{b}$ operator. This gives nally
$N$ ote that $\mathrm{max}^{2}$, as an eigenvalue, depends strongly on the arrangem ent of $m$ atrix elem ents. Therefore freezing transition for sti chains is very dependent on the pattem of interactions, not only on their overall heterogeneity. This has clear physical m eaning. In case of sti chains, real $m$ onom ers represent the physical units of interaction. In other words, quasim onom ers alm ost coincide $w$ th $m$ onom ers. In term $s$ of propagation, or chains of collisions (see above), it is clear that highest eigenvalue of $b^{b}$ b atrix corresponds to the low est (because of the sign) energy of interaction, while the corresponding eigenvector, in term sof the obvious quantum $m$ echanicalanalogy, is the linear com bination ofm onom ers which realizes this low est energy and thus controls the freezing tem perature.

## 2. Freezing Tem perature: $F$ lexible $C$ hain Lim it

The exam ination of the sm all $s$ case $m$ ay be on the rst glance questionable, as our approach is entirely $m$ ean- eld in nature and, therefore, it $m$ ight be applicable for large enough s only. W e have seen, how ever, in the exam ples of P otts and p-charge m odels, that the applicability of the exible chain lim it is controlled by the e ective exibility, which is
considerably sm aller than $s$ itself. W e therefore consider form ally the $s m$ all $s$ lim it, leaving the analysis of applicability for each particular case.

In $s m$ all $s$ lim it, only the rst term with $k=2$ is relevant in the series (42). Om itting all higher order term $s$, we obtain the rem arkably sim ple result

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mathrm{f}}={\underset{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{~S}}}_{2}^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{~B}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{E}_{1=2}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the second cum ulant (variance) is de ned as $B^{2} \quad$ c $\quad B^{D} \quad B^{D} B^{E} i_{2}$ and matrix averages are de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{B}^{E}{ }_{i j} p_{i j} p_{j} B_{i j}: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unlike the sti chain lim it, in the exible chain case at hand, the freezing transition is controlled $m$ ainly by overall heterogeneily of interaction energies $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{ij}} . \mathrm{T}$ hus, if one started $w$ ith an interaction $m$ atrix $w$ ith independent elem ents and shu ed them atrix elem ents (even though it is hard to think of realphysicalexperim ent of this kind), this transform ation w ould not change the freezing tem perature for exible chains. This is qualitatively a very natural result, as the nature of exible chains is such that for any given $m$ onom er, $m$ any of the neighbors in space are neighbors along the chain. In other words, the interaction units are quasim onom ers, which are substantially di erent from the $m$ onom ers and represent clouds of $m$ onom ers, where the individuality of each $m$ onom er species (w ith di erent pattems of energetical preferences to other species) is lost.

In the case of the $P$ otts and $p$-charge $m$ odels, the variance of the interaction $m$ atrix yields the exible chain lim its for both the P otts (32) and p-charge (3k) m odels. T hus, the solution 47) for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$ in this lim it is rem arkably sim ple and powerfil. To dem onstrate this, we show som e particular exam ples.

## E. Independent Interaction M odel

In the Independent Interaction M odel , all $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{IJ}}$ are taken independently from $G$ aussian distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(B_{I J}\right)={\frac{B^{2}}{2}}^{1=2} \exp \frac{\left(B_{I J} B_{0}\right)^{2}}{B^{\sim 2}} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

(recall that capital I and $J$ are related to $m$ onom er num bers along the chain and not to species). From the physical point of view, the independence of, say, $B_{I J}$ and $B_{\text {JK }}$ can be realized if and only if the total num ber of di erent species is very large, ie., in the q ! 1 lim it. The e ective sti ness in this lim it is small, and we have to use the expression (47) for the freezing tem perature. Therefore, $T_{f}=2 B{ }^{\sim}=\bar{S}$. This indeed coincides with original result of the work 园].

## F. R andom Sequences of $R$ eal A m ino A cids

It is of special interest to exam ine the freezing transition for polym ers com prised of real am ino acids, i.e., of constituents of real proteins. This can be done using the $m$ atrix of interaction energies derived for am ino acids by $M$ ẏyazaw a and Jemigan [g]. W e are in a position to exam ine the freezing transition for random sequences (even though real protein sequences $m$ ight not be random [16,17]). In the work [ $\left.{ }^{[ }\right]$, the interaction $m$ atrix was given in the form $U_{i j}=T_{M J}$, where $U_{i j}$ is the interaction energy and $T_{M J}$ is a tem perature not form ally de ned in [B]. In som e rough approxim ation, we identify the M J m atrix w ith our B. To avoid rew riting of the eq (24), we substitute the M J m atrix into 24) instead of ${ }^{1}$, $m$ eaning that now $=2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{M}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} . W$ e assum e also equal com position $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=1=\mathrm{q}=1=20 . \mathrm{We}$ can then num erically calculate the vs s dependence. The result is shown in $F$ igure 1 . N ote the qualitative sim ilarity of the graph of vs for the M J m atrix and vs given by (31). G iven the realistic value of s $1: 4\left(v=a^{3} \quad 0: 25\right)$ for polypeptide chain, we obtain from the Figure 1 the estim ate $\quad 1: 6$, or $T \quad 1: 25 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{J}$. By taking $m$ ore realistic uneven com position, we arrive at $1: 75$, or $T \quad 1: 14 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{J} . \mathrm{N}$ ote that for real am ino acids system the relevant solution is generally in the high exibility regim e.

To understand these results, recall the way that the M J m atrix was derived in [8]. The protein 3D structures data bank was em ployed such that if there were M ij contacts between
am ino acids labelled as $i$ and $j$ in the data bank, and the total num ber of contacts was M, then the ratio $M \quad i j=M$ was interpreted as a probability govemed by som ee ective Boltzm ann distribution $M_{i j}=M=\exp \left[U_{i j}=T_{M J}\right.$ ], thus yielding the $M J m$ atrix ofenergies, $U_{i j}$. In the later work [18], it was show $n$ that the ratio $M_{i j}=M$ obeys indeed Boltzm ann type form ula if proteins do m atch the random energy m odel, and then the param eter of distribution, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{J}$, is nothing but the freezing tem perature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$ [19]. From that logic, we expect thus $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{J}}$. O ur result is slightly higher. W e conclude thus, that there is a reasonable agreem ent betw een the works [8], 18], and our results.
IV. C O N C LU S IO N

Starting from a sequence-m odel Ham iltonian in which interactions betw een species of $m$ onom ers is expressed in term $s$ of som e arbitrary sym $m$ etric $m$ atrix $B$, we have derived a form alism w ith which to exam ine the freezing transition of random heteropolym ers. A s $m$ onom er species interactions are given by som em atrix, this form ulation is the $m$ ost general form, assum ing that interactions are short range and that heteropolym eric contributions com e prim arily from two-body interactions.
$F$ irst, we have related the freezing tem perature to the interaction $m$ atrix self-consistently. This self-consistent equation can be solved exactly for certain speci c system s . For exam ple, $m$ odels such as the $q$-P otts and p-charge $m$ odels are im portant as they describe interesting physical cases, but with only a m inimal am ount of com plexity in their solutions. It is especially interesting that these tw o sim ple $m$ odels have radically di erent freezing behavior $w$ ith respect to the number of species. C learly sim ply adding new and di erent $m$ onom er species does not necessarily enhance the freezing transition.

Taking another approach, we can trade the accuracy of an exact result for the generality of the assum ption of only som e arbitrary sym $m$ etric interaction $m$ atrix. To this end, we solved the exact self-consistent equation perturbatively. D ue to the nature of the function, there are tw o regin es of interest: sm alls (high e ective exibility) where ! 0 and $\mathrm{s}!1$,
where approaches a singularity in the self-consistent form ulation. Expanding at these two lim its, we found

where $m$ ax is the largest eigenvalue of the $\quad b$ b $m$ atrix.
The equation above quantitatively details certain descriptions of what one could qualtratively call the \heteropolym eric character" of the interaction $m$ atrix $B^{b}$ and the species com position $p$. Speci cally, for exible chains, one would expect that the physical unit of interactions, or quasim onom ers, consist of severalm onom ers. The variance of the interaction $m$ atrix gives, in a sense, the heteropolym eric $w$ idth of interactions. If these interaction energies are ordered in the interaction $m$ atrix, how ever, the correlations betw een $m$ onom er species interactions reduces the heteropolym eric nature of the system, and thus reduces the freezing tem perature.

In the lim it of sti chains, quasi monom ers generally consist of individual monom ers. Thus, the speci c nature of interactions are of param ount im portance. In this lim it, one can im agine the interactions in space (i.e. not necessarily along the chain) as interactions propagating through the pairw ise interactions ofm onom er species. This chain of interactions, in the sti polym er lim it, becom es very long and thus the system shares characteristics w ith other one-dim ensional system $s$, such as the 1D Ising model. Speci cally, here the freezing tem perature is proportional to the largest eigenvalue, which dom inates in the long interaction chain lim it, of the transfer matrix b B .

To conclude, we com ment on the applicability of this theory. Three points are to be $m$ entioned here. First, since we truncate the series (11) to $O\left({ }^{2}\right)$, we cannot com $m$ ent on the nature of any phase transitions in the average value of, such as the $m$ icrophase segregation seen in tw o letter ferrom agnetic interaction $m$ atrices [4]. Second, all calculations have been $m$ ean eld and thereforee ects due to the uctuations in the order param eter $Q$ have been neglected. At present, these ects have been exam ined in one-loop approxim ation only 20,21]. For further details, we refer the reader to the discussions in 2, 10]. Even though
the issue rem ains open, to the best of our understanding at this $m$ om ent, $m$ ean eld ${ }^{2}$ theory is de nitely applicable in the vicinity of freezing transition, at least w hen the num ber of $m$ onom er species is $m$ ore than two. Third, and last, we have ignored the possibility of liquid crystalline ordering in the sti chain regim e. T his issue has not been exam ined at all, we can only guess qualitatively that orientationalordering should be suppressed in strongly non-uniform heteropolym er system com pared to a hom opolym er of com parable sti ness.

Thus, form odels w ith \heteropolym eric character," ie., the interaction $m$ atrix and probability distribution cannot be reduced to that of a hom opolym er, our theory predicts a freezing transition. O ur form alism facilitates the calculation of speci c m odels of interactions, but perhaps $m$ ost im portantly, the direct relationship between the interaction $m$ atrix and the freezing transition is dem onstrated.
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1. C onsider rst the auxiliary problem ofsom ex $x$ m atrixq ${ }^{(x)}$ with diagonalelem ents $q$ of and o diagonalelem ents $q$. Thism atrix has a $\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & 1) \text {-fold degenerate eigenvalue }=q \quad q \text {, }, ~\end{array}\right.$

 value of $=q+\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & 1\end{array}\right) q$, corresponding to the eigenvector $\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 1 & 1 & :: ~ 1\end{array}\right) . O$ fcourse, there are other ways of choosing eigenvectors, in particular, we can built up orthonorm al basis by choosing

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{1}{\bar{x}} \exp \frac{2 i}{x}(1)(1) ; \quad 1 \quad ; \quad x: \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere num erates eigenvectors, while num erates com ponents of the given eigenvector (or vice versa). W e can interpret $\mathcal{R}^{(x)}=R$ as the unitary operator transform ing $q^{(x)}$ to diagonal form, $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{x}) \quad$, with the eigenvalues given above. ( W e will be particularly interested in the case $q=q=1$. In this case, the non-degenerate eigenvalue is $=1$, while all the others are zero.
2. C onsider now som e generalproperties of the \direct product" operation form atriges. $W$ e repeat the de nition: $\mathbb{A}^{(r)} \quad B^{(s)}$ is rs rs, built up by substitution ofs $s$ block $A_{\mathrm{av}} B^{(s)}$ instead of each $m$ atrix elem ent of $\mathrm{Z}^{(r)}$.

1. By m atrix row and colum $n$ operations, it is easy to show that the rule is com $m$ utative, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(r)} \quad B^{(s)}=B^{(s)} \quad A^{(r)}: \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. B lock $m$ atrix $m$ ultiplication rule: it is well known that the operation of block $m$ atrix multiplication is carried out in the sam e schem e as norm al m atrix m ultiplication,

[^0]except the $m$ ultiplication ofelem ents is replaced by the m atrix m ultiplication ofblocks. This can be written as
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{(r)} \quad B^{(s)} \quad A^{0(r)} \quad b^{0(s)}=A^{(r)} A^{0(r)} \quad B^{(s)} B^{0(s)}: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

3. Commutation of $\mathcal{R}^{(x)} \quad B^{(s)}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{00(r)} \quad B^{0(s)}$ depends on commutation of both pairs $B^{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{(x)} \& \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{Bo(x)}}$ and $\mathrm{B}^{(s)} \& B^{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{0(s)}$ (this directly follow s from previous.)
4. The determ inant of a block diagonalm atrix equals to the product of determ inants of the diagonalblocks. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \mathrm{P}^{(r)}{ }^{p(s)}=\operatorname{det}^{p(r)}{ }^{\mathrm{s}} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. The de nition of direct product can be trivially generalized for non-square $m$ atrices and, in particular, for vectors ${ }^{7}$. For exam ple, $\sim^{(n q)}{ }^{E}=\sim^{(n)} \quad d^{(q)}$.
6. M atrix operation $w$ ith a vector:
7. Scalar product of vectors:

The proof of all the above $m$ entioned properties is straightforw ard.
3. Let us retum now to the expression of energy 19). W e have to address the $m$ atrix ${ }^{h} p^{(q n)}+\frac{2}{T} q^{(n)} \quad b(q) B^{(q)}{ }^{i}$. W e know (orwe assum e) that $q^{(n)}$ is com prised ofn=x $q^{(x)}$ blocks along the diagonal, w ith $q=q=1$, that is $q^{(n)}=p^{(n=x)} \quad q^{(x)}$. First, this form of $q^{(n)} m$ atrix allow s us to factor the $m$ atrix of our interest:

$$
\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{(r} \mathrm{r}^{0}\right) \quad \mathrm{b}^{\left(s s^{0}\right)} \text { is generally the } m \text { atrix rs } \quad r^{0} S^{0}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(q n)+\frac{2}{T} q^{(n)} \quad b(q) B^{(q)}=p^{(n=x)} \quad p(q x)+\frac{2}{T} q^{(x)} \quad b(q) B^{(q)} \quad: \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This m eans physically that replicas of di erent groups are not coupled, they do not interact to each other.

The rem ainder (in the square brackets in the right hand side of A7)) can be diagonalized via the rotation operator $\mathrm{R}^{(x q)}=\mathrm{R}^{(\mathrm{x})} \quad{ }^{(q)}$. Indeed, using properties 2 and 3 above, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{R}^{(x q) \quad 1} \quad P^{(x q)}+\frac{2}{T} \mathrm{q}^{(\mathrm{x})} \quad \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{B}^{(\mathrm{q})} \mathrm{R}^{(\mathrm{xq})}=\mathrm{p}^{(\mathrm{xq})}+\frac{2}{\mathrm{~T}} \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{x}) \quad \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{B}^{(\mathrm{q})}: \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that there is only one non-zero, and therefore the last matrix has one q q block $(2=T)^{b}{ }^{(q)}{ }^{\text {b }}{ }^{(q)}$ in the upper-left comer, it has 1 dow $n$ this block on the $m$ ain diagonal, and all other $m$ atrix elem ents are 0 .

We are now in a position to sim plify the rst term of energy (1p). First, we apply the rule 4 to this energy term, then we note that detem inant does not change upon rotation A 8), while the determ inant of the right hand side of A8) is trivially com puted, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \operatorname{det} p^{(q n)}+\frac{2}{T} q^{(n)} \quad b(q) B^{(q)}=\frac{n}{x} \ln \operatorname{det} \not p^{(q)}+\frac{2 x}{T} b^{(q)} B^{(q)} \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s for the second term in 19), we rst apply the rule 7 to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim^{(n q)} \frac{1}{T} b^{(q)} \quad \not p^{(n)} \quad \not p^{(q n)}+\frac{2}{T} q^{(n)} \quad b(q) B^{(q)} \quad \sim^{(n q)}= \\
& =\frac{n}{x} \sim^{\star}(\mathrm{xq}) \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}} \mathrm{p}^{(q)} \quad p(\mathrm{x}) \quad p(q \mathrm{q})+\frac{2}{\mathrm{~T}} \mathrm{q}^{(\mathrm{x})} \quad \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{B}^{(\mathrm{q})} \quad \sim^{(\mathrm{xq})} \quad \text { : } \tag{A10}
\end{align*}
$$

W e then use the rotation Ag) and note that $B^{(q)} P^{(x)}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{(q x)}$ do commute to each other due to the rule 3. This yields the form


W e consider, therefore, the rotation of density vector $d^{(x q)}{ }^{\text {E }}$. First, we note that $\sim^{(\mathrm{xq})}=$ $\sim^{(x)} \quad p^{(q)}$. Second, the density, as the physical quantity, is the sam e for all replicas and
does not depend on replica indices. To write it form ally, let us de ne two x -dim ensional vectors $\tilde{1}^{(\mathrm{x})}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 1 & 1 & ::: ~ & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $\tilde{y}^{(\mathrm{x})}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 0 & ::: & 0\end{array}\right)$. Then we see by direct im plem entation of form ula (eq:orthonom basis) $R^{(x)} \tilde{\mathcal{1}}^{(x)}{ }^{E}=P^{x_{x} \tilde{y}^{(x)}}$. On the other hand, $\sim^{(x)}=\mathcal{I}^{(x)}$. Therefore, according to the rule 5, we have $R(x q) \quad d^{(x q)}{ }^{E}=P^{\bar{x}_{x}(x)} \quad p^{(q)}$. This yields the energy term in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n}{x}^{D} p_{\bar{x}_{\tilde{y}}(x)} \quad p^{(q)} \quad \frac{1}{T} B^{(q)} \quad p^{(x)} \quad \underset{p^{(q x)}}{ }+\frac{2}{T} b(x) \quad b(q) B^{b(q)} \quad 1 \quad p_{\bar{x} \tilde{y}^{(x)}} \quad p^{(q)} \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s $\tilde{y}^{(x)}$ has only one non-zero-com ponent, and ${ }^{b(x)}$ has also only one non-zero $m$ atrix elem ent, corresponding to the sam e direction in vector x -dim ensional space, we have

The last step is to im plem ent the scalar product rule 7, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n}{x}{ }^{2} x^{D} p^{(q)} \quad \frac{1}{T} b^{(q)} \quad p^{p(q)}+\frac{2}{T} b(q) B^{(q)} \quad 1 \quad p^{(q)} \quad \text { : } \tag{A14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining A9) with A14), we arrive at (20).
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Figure 1:
a) Plot of the inverse reduced freezing tem perature ( ) vs the e ective exibility ( ), w ith the inset of the graph show ing the detail of the $s m$ all $s$ vs $s m$ all regim e. The im portant characteristics of this function is that it is described by ${ }^{2}$ for sm all and the existence of a singularity at $=1$. The solid line denotes the exact solution, the unevenly dashed line denotes the sti chain expansion, and the evenly dashed line denotes the exible chain expansion.
b) For the M İyazaw a and Jemigan m atrix of am ino acid interactions, we plot the exibility (s) vs the reduced inverse freezing tem perature ( ) , w ith the inset of the graph show ing the detail of the sm all s vs sm all regim e. Q ualitatively, this curve is sim ilar to ( ). Further note, how ever, that any physical polym er will be described by the $s m$ all regim e. The dashing of curves denotes the sam e approxim ations as in part (a).

a)


Figure 1: Pande, Grosberg, Tanaka


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For com pleteness, we w rite also the inverse of $\mathrm{q}^{(\mathrm{x})}$ : it has diagonal elem ents (q q) ${ }^{1} \quad \mathrm{qf}$ (q) $q)\left[q+\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & 1\end{array}\right) q\right] g^{1}$ and $\circ$ diagonal elem ents $q f(q \quad q)\left[q+\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & 1\end{array}\right) q\right]{ }^{1}$.

