Knight Shift Anom alies in Heavy Electron Materials

E.K im¹, M.M akivic^{1;2} and D.L.Cox¹

¹D epartm ent of P hysics, O hio State U niversity, C olum bus, O H 43210 ²N ortheast P arallel A rchitectures C enter, Syracuse, NY 13244

(December 31, 2021)

We calculate non-linear K night Shift K vs. susceptibility anomalies for Ce ions possessing local moments in metals. The ions are modeled with the Anderson H am iltonian and studied within the non-crossing approximation (NCA). The K vs: non-linearity diminishes with decreasing K ondo temperature T_0 and nuclear spin-local moment separation. Treating the Ce ions as an incoherent array in CeSn₃, we not excellent agreement with the observed Sn K (T) data.

PACSNos. 74.70.Vy, 74.65.+n, 74.70.Tx

The origin of Knight shift anomalies in metals with localized moments that undergo the Kondo e ect has been a subject of great interest in the condensed matter community over a period of nearly twenty ve years [1{11]. The central physical concept is that the many body screening cloud surrounding a K ondo in purity site should give rise to an anom alous tem perature dependent K night shift at nuclear sites due to the coupling of the localm om ent to the nuclear spin through the screening cloud [1,3{5,9,10]. This would be manifest in a deviation from a linear relation of the Knight shift K to the magnetic susceptibility below the K ondo tem perature T_0 . Another way to say this is that in the absence of an anomaly, the contribution K (r;T) from a local moment at distance r from the nucleus can be written as f (r) (T). This factorization does not hold if there is an anomaly (instead K (r;T) = f(r;T) (T) due to the tem perature dependent polarization cloud). The classic experiments by Boyce and Slichter [2] on the low K ondo tem perature (T_0 ' 10K) alloy Fe Ω u displayed no evidence for this anom alous K night shift behavior. In contrast, pronounced K night shift anom alies have been observed in the concentrated heavy electron materials CeSn₃ [6,8] and Y bC uA 1 [7,8], which have been described as K ondo lattice systems with T_0 ' 400K . In view of the Boyce-Slichter result, the question is raised whether these anom alies represent a coherent e ect of the periodic lattice rather than a single ion e ect. However, recent experiments on the proposed quadrupolar K ondo alloy [12,13] Y_{1x} $U_x P d_3$ demonstrate that for concentrations of 0.1-0.2 there are pronounced non-linearities in the Y Knight shift for su ciently large distances away from the U ion [11].

In this paper, we present some results from our system atic theoretical studies of the K night shift in heavy electron m aterials. We nd, as expected from earlier analytic theories, that the m agnitude of the K night shift anom aly is reduced with decreasing K ondo tem perature and decreasing distance between localm om ent and im purity sites. Ours is the rst study to display this e ect in a realistic model calculation. We nd that the Knight shift anomaly of $CeSn_3$ can be accounted for by incoherent, single ion physics. In particular, by carrying out a full lattice sum on $CeSn_3$, we obtain an excellent one parameter t of the calculated Knight shift to the experimental one, with a small bw temperature deviation possibly due to the over-simplic cations of ourm odel. We conjecture that coherence e ects may explain some of the small numerical disagreement.

The application of NMR in Kondo systems received im petus from Heeger [1] who suggested that the anom alous cloud was detectable in Knight shift measurements. Essentially, the oscillatory conduction spin density s(r)induces a local m om ent-nuclear m om ent interaction. In the lim it T_0 ! 0, this interaction I (r) is well described by the second order perturbation theory Ruderm an-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida expression (s (r) I (r) $\cos(2k_F r)=r^3$). Ishii [4,5] con med that for an $S_I = 1=2$ pure-spin Kondo in purity (with no charge uctuations) an anom alous conduction spin density cloud sets in beyond the K ondo screening length $_{\rm K}$ = hy = k_B T₀, where v_F is the Ferm ivelocity. Inside this radius, conventional tem perature independent R K K Y oscillations dom in ate of the kind observed by Boyce and Slichter. Outside the screening length, at T = 0, the anom alous term will dom in ate also with an RKKY form but an amplitude of order D, the conduction bandwidth, compared with D (N (0)J)² for the Ruderm an-Kittel term , where N (0) is the conduction electron density of states at the Ferm i energy and J the conduction electron-local m om ent exchange coupling. Ishii did not calculate the explicit tem perature dependence of this structure, but did anticipate that it would vanish above the Kondo scale. Scaling analysis con med the asymptotic factorization of the Knight shift for short distance and low tem perature [9]. A possible understanding of the Boyce and Slichter results, then, is the Cu nuclei they sam pled were at distances r < < K

from the Fe ions.

In an Anderson model treatment of the problem, charge uctuations are allowed. Consider, for example, a Ce ion with nominally one f electron giving rise to the localmoment. The ensuing K ondo e ect is best understood in terms of a mixing of the empty con guration with one in which the Cemoment is screened by a superposition of conduction hole states. This opens up new possibilities for detecting the anom alous screening cloud. One interpretation of the Boyce-Slichter results is that due to subtleties of spin conservation in the singlet ground state the spin cloud is unobservable, while the anom alous charge cloud is observable through electronic eld gradient m easurem ents at atom ic nuclei [3].

We shall focus on the second possibility, that the anom abus spin cloud now has a nite amplitude within $r < \kappa$ which is proportional to the weight of f^0 in the ground state. This anom aly scales approximately linearly with T_0 , so the cloud will be virtually undetectable in small T_0 system s, but may be observable in high T_0 system s. Our results support this picture as we shall explain in more detail.

O ur starting point is an Anderson m odel H am iltonian. We shall rst discuss the situation for Ce^{3+} and Yb^{3+} ions, and write down the m odel only for the Ce case. For a single Ce site at the origin, the m odel is

$$H = H_{c} + H_{f} + H_{cf} + H_{z}$$
(1)

with

$$H_{c} = \sum_{k}^{X} c_{k}^{v} c_{k}$$
(2)

the conduction band term for electrons with a broad featureless density of states of width D , taken to be Lorentzian here for convenience; with

$$H_{f} = \int_{jj} f^{j} j f^{j} j m > < f^{j} j m j$$
(3)

where j = 5=2;7=2 indexes the angular m om entum multiplets of the C e ion having azim uthal quantum numbers m, with $_{f5=2} = 2eV$, $_{f7=2} = _{f5=2} + _{so} = 1:7eV$ (we take the f⁰ con guration at zero energy); with

$$H_{cf} = \bigvee_{\substack{K \neq m \\ K \neq m}} C_{K \neq m}^{Y} jf^{0} > < f^{1} jm j + h c:] \qquad (4)$$

where $V_{kjm} = V Y_{3m}$ (k) < 3m ;1=2 jjm > = $\frac{p}{N_s}$, V being the one particle hybridization strength and N_s the num ber of sites; with

$$H_{z} = \underset{\mathcal{R}}{\overset{X}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\overset{X}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\overset{J}}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\overset{J}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\overset{J}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\overset{J}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\overset{J}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\overset{J}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\overset{J}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\overset{J}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\overset{J}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\atop\atop\mathcal{R}}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\underset{\mathcal{R}}{\atop\mathcal{R}}{\atop\atop\mathcal{R}}{\atop\atop\mathcal{R}}{\atop\atop\mathcal{R}}{\atop\atop\mathcal{R}}{\atop\atop\mathcal{R}}{\atop\atop\mathcal{R}}{\atop\atop\mathcal{R}}{\atop{\mathcal{R}}{\atop{\mathcal{R}}{\atop{\mathcal{R}}{{\atop\mathcal{R}}{{\atop\mathcal{R}}{{\atop\mathcal{R}}{{\atop\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{\scriptstyle{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{\scriptstyle{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{\scriptstyle{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{\scriptstyle{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}{\scriptstyle{\scriptstyle{\mathcal{R}}}{\scriptstyle{\scriptstyle{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I}}{\scriptstyle{I$$

being the Zeem an energy of the electronic system for a magnetic eld H_z applied along the z-axis. In addition to this, we must add a term coupling the nuclear spin system to the conduction electrons, which we take to be of a simple contact form vecl(r) S (r_I) for each nuclear spin I (r) at position r with S (R) the conduction spin density at the nuclear site, and a nuclear Zeem an term. In term s of the parameters, the K ondo scale characterizing the low energy physics is given by

$$k_{\rm B} T_0 = D \left(\frac{D}{s_0}\right)^{4=3} \left(\frac{1}{j_{f5=2}}\right)^{1=6} \exp\left(\frac{f_{5=2}}{6}\right)$$
 (6)

where the hybridization width = $N (0)V^2$.

We treat the Anderson ham iltonian with the noncrossing approximation (NCA), a self-consistent diagram m atic perturbation theory discussed at length in the paper of Bickers et al. [14]. This approximation is controlled by the large orbital degeneracy of the C e ground state. It does show pathological behavior (due to the truncation of the diagram matic expansion) for a tem perature scale $T_p << T_0$ in this conventional Anderson model, provided the f^1 occupancy n_f 0:7. In practice, this is not a problem for N 4 as shown in Ref. [14], in that comparison of NCA results with exact therm odynam ics from the Bethe-Ansatz shows agreem ent at the few percent level above $T_{\rm p}$. Hence, this is a reliable method for our purposes.

The approach in the NCA is to write a propagator for each ionic state of the Ce site (i.e., $f^1 = 5=2;7=2$ and f⁰ in the present m odel), solve self-consistent integral equations to second order in the hybridization for the ionic propagator self-energies, and then to calculate physical properties as convolutions of these propagators. To evaluate the K night shift we employ the lowest order diagram coupling nuclear spin to Cem agnetic moment, as shown in Fig. 1. The full expression corresponding to this diagram is cumbersome and shall be presented in detail elsewhere. To the extent that the dynamics of the empty orbital can be neglected, this expression factorizes into a nearly tem perature independent RKKY interaction (modi ed due to the spin-orbit coupling and anisotropic hybridization from the original form) times the f-electron susceptibility. Thus, no anom aly results from the diagram in this limit. In this limit, the susceptibility in the diagram corresponds to the the leading order estimate used in Ref. [14] to compare with exact Bethe-Ansatz results.

However, for T=0, the empty orbital propagatorm ay be written in an approximate two-pole form, one with amplitude 1 Z, $Z=k_B\,T_0=6$, centered near zero energy, and one with amplitude Z centered at $_{f\,5=2}\,k_B\,T_0$ which rejects the anomalous ground state mixing due to the K ondo elect. The rst term gives conventional RKKY oscillations modulo the anisotropy and altered range dependence induced by the mix \hat{k} dependence of the

(5)

hybridization. The amplitude of the second term goes to zero above the K ondo temperature. It is this term which m ay be traced to the anticipated anom alous K night shift, and within such a two-pole approximation may be seen to be nite within $_{\rm K}$, have a stronger distance dependence in that regime, but possess an amplitude of order Z only within this distance regime. Beyond $_{\rm K}$, the amplitude is of order 1/N and the shape of the spin oscillations is the same as that found from the high frequency pole of the empty orbital propagator.

The diagram of Fig. 1 has been studied with the NCA previously [10], but only for the spin 1/2 model with in nite C oulomb repulsion, and for a limited parameter regime (very low T_0 values) and short distances (r << K). In consequence, no strong evidence was found for a K night shift anom aly in this previous work.

Our num erical procedure, brie y, consists of solving the NCA integral for the Anderson Ham iltonian speci-

ed above on a logarithm ic m esh with order 600 points chosen to be centered about the singular structures near the ground state energy E_0 for the empty and doubly occupied orbitals into the convolution integrals obtained from the diagram of Fig. 1, which allows for evaluation of the K night shift at arbitrary angle and distance from the nuclear site. It is convenient to take the nuclear site as the origin in this case leading to phase factors $e^{i \ K \ R}$ in the hybridization H am iltonian H cf, where r is the nuclear-Ce site separation. These factors give the oscillations and position space angular dependence in K. A ll contributions from the Ce susceptibility are included.

To demonstrate the dependence of the K vs: anom aly as a function of K ondo scale T_0 , we have evaluated the diagram of Fig. 1 for a single local moment placed at a xed distance $r = 3:3k_{\rm F}^1 = 3:3A$ for our choice of $k_F = 1A^{1}$ and angle of 0° with respect the quantization axis. We have tuned the K ondo scale by holding xed all parameters except the hybridization. The Knight shift is scaled to a susceptibility by matching at high tem peratures, and the susceptibility units are scaled by a t of one calculation to the data for CeSn₃ assuming D = 3eV. The results are shown in Fig. 2(a). C learly, as the K ondo scale is reduced, the m agnitude of the deviation between a linear K vs: relation is system atically reduced. A lso, as the separation r is decreased (c.f., Fig. 2(b)) the magnitude of the K vs: nonlinearity diminishes. Very similar results are obtained for Y b com pounds (the Y b³⁺ ion has a lone 4 f hole and our procedure decribes these with a simple particle hole transform ation, which we shall discuss in detail in a subsequent publication).

To assess the relevance of this single site physics to the periodic compound $CeSn_3$ we have performed a lattice sum about a given Sn nucleus from all surrounding Ce ion. We assume the K night shift contribution of each ion

to be described by this single site physics, known to be a good approximation at high temperatures where the ions are incoherent with one another, and known to provide a very accurate description of the therm odynam ics in many cases. We carried out the sum to several hundred shells about the Sn site, obtaining good convergence at all calculated tem peratures. We ked the parameters by tting the susceptibility data of CeSn3. The result is shown in Fig. 3, where we have scaled the Knight shift by an interm ediate range tem perature m atch to the susceptibility (note that the NMR data of Ref. [6] extends only to room temperature). There are several notable features here: (i) the calculated am plitude of our Knight shift prior to scaling is actually negative, which in plies that the t is sensible only if the assumed contact coupling between conduction and nuclear spins is negative, which actually makes sense because the Sn nucleus should dom inantly couple through core polarization; (ii) the magnitude of the anom aly goes in the right direction and begins at the right tem perature to agree with the experim ental anom aly [6], though the quantitative value is slightly too high { given the highly oversim pli ed conduction band we are employing, we don't regard this as a serious defect in the calculation; (iii) the magnitude of the anom alous contribution from the Ce sites does go down with distance from the Sn nucleus{the theoretical data which most closely match those of experiment are taken at the xed distance $r = 3k_r^1 = 3A$ and angle of 0° . However, the anom aly is then still surprisingly large even at this short distance. W hile we cannot rule out that the discrepancy re ects our oversim pli ed band structure, we conjecture that lattice coherence e ects may drive our calculated lattice sum in this direction, because the repeated scattering of conduction electrons o of the Ce ions (now in every unit cell) has the e ect of reducing the screening length [15]. We intend to study this idea further within a local approximation (d = 1 expansion)to the lattice m odel. W e note that the high tem perature deviation of K night shift and susceptibility m ay be realistic and is related to the di erent couplings to ground and excited spin orbit multiplets.

In sum m ary, we have com puted K night shift anom alies within a realistic m odel for C e ions in m etals for the rst time. We nd that the magnitude of the non-linearity scales down in size as the K ondo scale is diminished or the nuclear moment-local moment separation is reduced. M odeling the C e ions incoherently in $CeSn_3$, we nd that sum m ing over all nattice sites the resulting Sn K night shift agrees quite well with experiment. The remaining quantitative discrepancies m ay be due to a com bination of our oversimpli ed band structure for the conduction states together with lattice coherence e ects.

This research was supported by a grant from the U.S. Department of Energy, O ce of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Research. We acknowledge many useful conversations over the years with D $\, {\rm E}$.M acLaughlin and H .Lukefahr.

- [1] A J. Heeger, in Sol. St. Physics, Vol. 23, ed. F. Seitz, D. Turnbull, and H. Ehrenreich (A cadem ic Press, New York, 1969), p. 283.
- [2] J.B. Boyce and C.P. Slichter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32 62 (1974).
- [3] G.G runer and A.Zawadowski, Rep.Prog.Phys.37,1497 (1974), and in Prog. in Low Temp.Physics V IIB, ed.D F. Brewer (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978), p. 591.
- [4] H. Ishii, Progr. Theor. Phys. 55 1373 (1976).
- [5] H. Ishii, J. Low Tem p. Phys. 32 457 (1978).
- [6] S.K.Malik, et al., Phys. Stat. Sol.(b) 68, 399 (1975).
- [7] D E. MacLaughlin, F R. de Boer, J. Bijvoet, P E. de Châtel and W C M. Mattens, JAppl Phys. 50, 2094 (1979).
- [8] D E.M acLaughlin, J.M ag.M ag.M aterials 47 & 48 121 (1985).
- [9] K. Chen, C. Jayaprakash, and H R. Krishna-Murthy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2833 (1987).
- [10] W .Pollwein, T.Hohn, and J.Keller, Z.Phys.B 73 219 (1988).
- [11] H.Lukefahr, et al., Physica B199-200, 413 (1994).
- [12] D L.Cox, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 1240 (1987)
- [13] C L. Seam an, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 2882 (1991).
- [14] N E.Bickers, D L.Cox and JW .W ilkins, Phys.Rev B 36 2036 (1987).
- [15] A J.M illis and PA.Lee, Phys.Rev.B35, 3394 (1987).
- [16] H.Mook, et al., Physica B186-188, 341 (1993).
- [17] D L.Cox and A.Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 1613 (1993).
- [18] D.L.Cox and A.Zawadowski, to be published (1994).
- [19] J.Han et al., to be published (1994).

F igure 1. Feynm an diagram of coupling between C e localm om ent and nuclear spin in the in nite U Anderson model. The dashed line represents the singly occupied (f^1) state and wavy line the empty orbital (f^0) state. The solid line represents the conduction electron. The dot-dashed line is a propagator for the nuclear spin states and H is the extenal magnetic eld.

F igu re 2 (a) Calculated K night shift K (T) vs. susceptibility (T) for a single C e site at $k_{\rm F}$ r = 3:33 from a nuclearmoment and angle = 0. Fixing the f-level energy $_{5=2}$ = 2eV, and the spin orbit splitting $_{\rm so}$ = 0:3eV, the hybridization is varied to illustrate the dependence of the nonlinearity on the magnitude of T_0. The diagram of F ig. 1 is used to calculate K (T). The magnitude of the non-linearity dim in ishes as T_0 is reduced. The theoreticalK night shift has been shifted by a common oset and scale factor to m atch the susceptibility. (b) Calculated K night shift K (T) for a single C e site vs. susceptibility

(T) for varying separation with the K ondo scale used to

t the CeSn_B (T) (see Fig. 3). For each plot the angle is held at with respect to the nuclear moment-Ce axis. The magnitude of the non-linearity diminishes as $k_F r$ is reduced. The theoretical K night shifts have been shifted by o set and scale factors to match the susceptibilities; this does not a ect the relative size of the anomaly.

Figure 3 Tem perature dependence of Sn K night Shift K (T) and Ce (T) (both calculated and experimental results [6]) for CeSn₃. The theoretical K (T) is calculated using the diagram of Fig. 1 and with T_0 chosen to t the experimental (T) data. A full (incoherent) lattice sum is carried out over several hundred shells of atom s.