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#### Abstract

We have developed new methods to calculate dispersion curves (analytically in the simpler cases) from which we are able to derive the spatial distribution of electron and current densities. We investigate the case where the magnetic field varies linearly with position and the results provide useful insights into the properties of this and other field distributions. We consider spin as well as a confining electrostatic potential. We show that the electron and the current density exhibit a very rich structure related to the quantisation of the energy. Moreover there is a direct contribution to the current density due to the spin which could be of interest in relation to spin polarised current.


PACS numbers: 73.20.Dx, 73.40.-c, 73.40.Hm

## I. INTRODUCTION

A 2-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) in a magnetic field has proved to be an extremely rich subject for theoretical and experimental investigation [1]. For example, considerable effort has been devoted to the study of the Integral and Fractional Quantum Hall Effects (I and FQHE), transport properties and edge states [2]. Except in a few cases [3, [], however, the magnetic field considered was homogeneous. In this article we address the problem of a magnetic field varying linearly with position added to an underlying homogeneous field. This is of relevance because in real systems: (a) a constant magnetic field is not always attainable, and (b) an inhomogeneous field may be desired. Another interesting point is that in the Composite Fermion (CF) theory [5:6] which is used to describe the FQHE, the electron-electron interaction, necessary for the appearance of the FQHE, is incorporated into an effective magnetic field via a singular gauge transformation. The result is a system of non-interacting quasi-particles carrying a fictitious magnetic flux in an inhomogeneous effective magnetic field. A better understanding of the properties of a simple non-interacting electron gas in an inhomogeneous field might therefore bring useful insights into CF theory.

## II. MODEL

To investigate the electronic properties of a non-interacting electron gas in a linearly varying magnetic field we consider the following Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2 m^{*}}(\mathbf{p}-e \mathbf{A})^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{g} e}{2 m_{e}} \mathbf{S B}+V_{c}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{A}=\left(\frac{1}{2} B_{1} y^{2}+B_{0} y, 0,0\right), \mathbf{B}=-\left(0,0, B_{1} y+B_{0}\right)$, the confining potential due to the walls $V_{c}(y)=\beta\left[\exp \left(\frac{\alpha}{y_{e}}\left(y-y_{e}\right)\right)+\exp \left(-\frac{\alpha}{y_{e}}\left(y+y_{e}\right)\right)\right], y_{e}$ is the position of the edge of the system, $\mathbf{S}$ is the spin operator and $\mathrm{g}=2$ for the g -factor. The parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ allow the shape of the potential to change continuously from very sharp to very smooth, which can modify the properties of the system [6.7]. By solving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{2 m^{*}}(\mathbf{p}-e \mathbf{A})^{2}-\frac{e}{m_{e}} \mathbf{S B}+V_{c}\right) \chi(x, y)=E \chi(x, y) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can obtain the electron density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(x, y)=\sum_{\text {states }} \chi^{*}(x, y) \chi(x, y) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we sum over all states with energy $E \leq E_{F}$, and the current density for a state $n$ [8]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{j}^{(n)}(x, y)=\frac{e}{m^{*}} \operatorname{Re}\left[\chi_{n}^{*}(x, y)(\mathbf{p}-e \mathbf{A}) \chi_{n}(x, y)\right]+\frac{e}{m_{e}} \nabla \times\left[\chi_{n}^{*}(x, y) \mathbf{S} \chi_{n}(x, y)\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result of our choice of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$, the symmetry of the Hamiltonian allows us to write the wave function as $\chi(x, y)=\mathrm{e}^{i k_{x} x} \psi(y)$, where $k_{x}$ is a good quantum number, and we then obtain the 1-dimensional Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{p_{y}^{2}}{2 m^{*}}+\frac{1}{2 m^{*}}\left(\hbar k_{x}-e A_{x}\right)^{2}-\frac{e \hbar s}{m_{e}} B_{z}+V_{c}\right] \psi(y)=E \psi(y), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $s= \pm 0.5$. Eq.(5) then enables us to derive the dispersion curves $E_{n}\left(k_{x}\right)$, and to rewrite the electron density as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(y)=\sum_{n} \sum_{k_{x}} \psi_{n}^{*}(y) \psi_{n}(y) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the current density for one state $n$ and a fixed $k_{x}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{x}^{(n)}(y)=\frac{e}{m^{*}}\left[\psi_{n}^{*}(y)\left(\hbar k_{x}-e A_{x}\right) \psi_{n}(y)\right]+\frac{e \hbar s}{m_{e}} \frac{\partial\left[\psi_{n}^{*}(y) \psi_{n}(y)\right]}{\partial y} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

both now solely functions of $y$. Due to the symmetry of the system there is a current density only along the $x$ axis. Integrating over $y$ will give the total current $I_{x}^{n}$ carried, for a fixed $k_{x}$ by the state $n$ and summing over $n$ and $k_{x}$ the total current $I_{x}$.

## III. METHOD

Starting from Eq.(5) the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{p_{y}^{2}}{2 m^{*}}+\frac{m^{*} \omega_{1}^{2}}{8} y^{4}+\frac{m^{*} \omega_{1} \omega_{0}}{2} y^{3}+\left(\frac{m^{*} \omega_{0}^{2}}{2}-\frac{\hbar k_{x} \omega_{1}}{2}\right) y^{2}+\right.} \\
& \left.\left(\frac{e \hbar s B_{1}}{m_{e}}-\hbar k_{x} \omega_{0}\right) y+\left(\frac{e \hbar s B_{0}}{m_{e}}+\frac{\hbar^{2} k_{x}^{2}}{2 m^{*}}\right)+V_{c}(y)\right] \psi(y)=E \psi(y) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\omega_{0}=\frac{e B_{0}}{m^{*}}$ and $\omega_{1}=\frac{e B_{1}}{m^{*}}$. For what follows it is useful to introduce the dimensionless variable $\hat{y}=\left(\hbar^{-1} m^{*} \omega_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} y$ and $\hat{p}=\left(m^{*} \omega_{1} \hbar^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} p$ which yields for (8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{p_{\hat{y}}^{2}}{2 m^{\prime}}+a \hat{y}^{4}+b \hat{y}^{3}+c \hat{y}^{2}+d \hat{y}+e+V_{c}(\hat{y})\right) \psi(\hat{y})=E \psi(\hat{y}) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m^{\prime}=\frac{m^{*}}{\left(m^{*} \omega_{1} \hbar\right)^{2 / 3}}$ and $a, b, c, d, e$ now given in unit of energy. Although in some simplified cases it is possible to obtain analytical results, as we will see below, there is in general no way to find the analytical solution of Eq. (9), and therefore we have to resort to numerical calculations. Eq.(9) can be solved by expanding $\psi(y)$ in terms of oscillator functions, $\phi_{n}(\hat{y})=$ $\mathrm{H}_{n}(\hat{y}) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\hat{y}^{2}}{2}}$ where $\mathrm{H}_{n}$ is a Hermite polynomial, and then by numerically diagonalising the corresponding secular equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Det}\left|H_{k n}-E \delta_{k n}\right|=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the properties of the Hermite polynomials all the matrix elements $\left\langle\phi_{k}\right| H\left|\phi_{n}\right\rangle$ can be calculated analytically (Appendix) which greatly improves the diagonalisation method. However before starting with the numerical calculations we can try an analytical approach to Eq.(8) in the simplified case where $B_{0}=0$ and $V_{c}=0$. We then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{p_{y}^{2}}{2 m^{*}}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m^{*}}\left(\frac{e^{2} B_{1}^{2}}{4 \hbar^{2}} y^{4}-\frac{e B_{1}}{\hbar} k_{x} y^{2}+\frac{2 e B_{1} m^{*} s}{\hbar m_{e}} y+k_{x}^{2}\right)\right] \psi(y)=E \psi(y) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose two regimes for which $B_{1} \neq 0$ : (a) $k_{x}<0$, single well potential (SWP), near $B=0$, and (b) $k_{x}>0$, double well potential (DWP), near $B= \pm B_{1}\left|\sqrt{2 \hbar k_{x} / e B_{1}}\right|$. We expand parabolically around the minima of the effective potential and obtain harmonic oscillator equations which we solve analytically. The expressions obtained for the energy are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { SWP }: E_{n}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m^{*}}\left[k_{x}^{2}+(2 n+1) \sqrt{\frac{k_{x} e B_{1}}{\hbar}}-\frac{e B_{1} \eta^{2}}{\hbar k_{x}}\right]  \tag{12}\\
\text { DWP }: E_{n}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m^{*}}\left[(2 n+1) \sqrt{\frac{2 k_{x} e B_{1}}{\hbar}}-\frac{e B_{1} \eta^{2}}{2 \hbar k_{x}} \pm 2 \eta \sqrt{\frac{2 k_{x} e B_{1}}{\hbar}}\right], \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\eta=\frac{m^{*} s}{m_{e}}$. From here it is straightforward to derive the group velocity $\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{d E_{n}}{d k_{x}}\right)$ for the state $n$ as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { SWP }: v_{x}=\frac{\hbar}{m^{*}}\left[k_{x}+\frac{e B_{1} \eta^{2}}{2 \hbar k_{x}^{2}}+(2 n+1) \sqrt{\frac{e B_{1}}{16 \hbar k_{x}}}\right]  \tag{14}\\
\text { DWP : } v_{x}=\frac{\hbar}{m^{*}}\left[\frac{e B_{1} \eta^{2}}{4 \hbar k_{x}^{2}}+(2 n+1) \sqrt{\frac{e B_{1}}{8 \hbar k_{x}}} \pm \eta \sqrt{\frac{e B_{1}}{2 \hbar k_{x}}}\right] \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

## IV. RESULTS

In the following calculations the system we consider corresponds to an ideal slab of $\mathrm{GaAs} / \mathrm{Al}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Ga}_{1-\mathrm{x}}$ As heterostructure filled with an ideal 2DEG. The effective electron mass is $m^{*}=0.067 m_{e}$, the electron density $4 \times 10^{-5} \AA^{-2}$, and the sample has width, when $V_{c} \neq 0$, $2 y_{e}=2 \times 10^{4} \AA$ and length $L \gg 1$ with periodic boundary conditions along $x$.

In Fig. $]^{1}$ are reported the numerical and the analytical results (Eqs.(12),(13)) for the case when $V_{c}=0, B_{1}=1 G / \AA$, and $B_{0}=0$. We can see that the agreement is very good except around $k_{x}=0$ where the method breaks down. Although it is possible to obtain useful information from analytical calculations they do not allow us to derive complete dispersion curves and hence the electron or current densities. Moreover we are interested in taking into account the effects of a confining potential $V_{c}$ but this cannot be included in our analytical approach. We have then to use a numerical approach.

So, starting from the same model system configuration as above but this time with $V_{c} \neq 0$ and, $B_{0}=0.5 * 10^{4} G$. We chose $\alpha=100$ and $\beta=50$ which correspond to quite a sharp confining potential. The dispersion curves are plotted in Fig. 2. The degeneracy of the energy levels is completely removed and the new structure appearing in the dispersion curves is due to the breaking of the $y$ symmetry in the Hamiltonian. It is interesting to note that some similar features were observed in the case of a curved 2DEG in a constant magnetic field [9]. Having $E_{n}$ and $\psi_{n}$ we can now calculate $j_{x}^{(n)}(y)$ and $\rho(y)$, but to do this we need the Fermi energy $E_{F}$. This can be obtained by minimising the total energy with the constraint that the number of electrons $N$ is constant with $N$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{n} \int_{k_{x 1}^{(n)}}^{k_{x 2}^{(n)}} d k_{x}^{(n)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{k_{x i}^{(n)}\right\}$ are the parameters we vary to minimize the energy. As we might expect, $E_{F}$ does not depend on $k_{x}$ but, in contrast to the assumption in [3], it is not independent of the magnetic field. This is shown in Fig. 3 where $E_{F}$ has been calculated for various values of $B_{1}$ and $B_{0}$. This dependence is due, in the absence of external leads, to the walls, which can be seen by the fact that when $B_{1}$, which in contrast to $B_{0}$ removes the degeneracy of the states and gives rise to an effective confining potential, increases, $E_{F}$ becomes independent of the magnetic field.

Using $E_{F}$ we can now calculate the electron density $\rho(y)$. In Fig. $4 \rho(y)$ is plotted for different values of $B_{1}$ and $B_{0}$. We see that with an external confining potential $\left(V_{c} \neq 0\right)$ $\rho(y)$ is not constant; it can have quite a rich structure with local charging effects. Moreover, when $B_{0} \neq 0, \rho(y)$ becomes asymmetric in $y$. It has to be noted that in the case $V_{c}=0 \rho(y)$ is constant the oscillations on left and right hand side of the graphic are only a numerical effect due to the fact that in this case one should use a larger set of $\phi_{n}$ for the expansion of $\psi$. But for $V_{c} \neq 0$ the structure of $\rho(y)$ can be explained in the following way. When the number of states as a function of $k_{x}$ is plotted a discontinuous curve due to the quantisation of the energy is obtained. Although there is no simple relation between the $k_{x}$ space and the real space, as for a homogeneous magnetic field, the number of oscillations of the electron density is the same as the number of steps in the discontinuous curve and then is indeed a consequence of the energy quantisation.

This can be seen in Figs. 5 and 6. The next step now is, by means of Eq.(7), to calculate the total current density given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{x}(y)=\sum_{\text {states }} j_{x}^{(n)}(y) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum runs over all the states with $E \leq E_{F}$. In Fig. 7 are reported the current densities for different magnetic fields disregarding first the part due to the spin. One sees that the shape of the current density is much more subtle than might be expected from semi-classical approximations. Actually considering $j_{x}^{(n)}(y)$ for $\left|k_{x}\right| \gg 0$ or, in other words for the largest $k_{x}$ close to the Fermi energy, the movement of the electron can be described by
its classical orbits (drifting orbits along the edge and snake orbits in the opposite direction along the line where $B \simeq 0$ ). For smaller $k_{x}$, however, the states tunnelling between the two wells but mainly the ones of energy above the central maximum of the double well are very important and their contribution cannot be overlooked. In fact $j_{x}^{(n)}(y)$, after summing over all $n$ and $k_{x}$, turns out to be very small for the case where $B_{0}=0$ for all $y$. In Fig. \& are reported different $j_{x}^{(n)}(y)$. For $k_{x}=-0.018 \AA^{-1}, k_{x}=0.08 \AA^{-1}$ and $n=0$ the movement of the electron is well defined by its classical orbits (edge and snake orbits). But around $k_{x}=0$ and for exemple here $n=31$ the situation is more complicated. An interesting point is that now the current density for one state can be positive and negative as a function of $y$. Moreover we see that the positive part is located in $y$ where the density of current flows in the other direction due to the presence of the snake orbits. The same kind of phenomena appears with edge orbits. This can be understand by considering the first term $\hbar k_{x}-\frac{1}{2} e B_{1} y^{2}-e B_{0} y$ in Eq.(7). It is easy to imagine that when summing the current density over all the different states the result is rather different from what we might expect from the consideration of the simple classical picture of the orbits. It has to be noted that although the current density can be positive and negative as a function of $y$, the group velocity $v_{g}=\int d y j_{x}^{(n)}(y)$ has a well defined sign and has been verified from the dispersion curves by means of the relation $v_{g}=\frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{d E_{n}}{d k_{x}}$. In order to get a better understanding of the shape of the current density we have plotted together the electron and the current densities in Fig. 9 and 10. The density of current oscillates between positive and negative "channels" as a function of the electron density and then is a reflection of the quantisation of the energy. It is interesting to note that in the study of the FQHE there is also the appearance of channels which can be seen there as alternating strips of compressible and incompressible fluid [7.10]. When $B_{0} \neq 0$ the current density increases with $B_{0}$ and flows in opposite directions on both sides of the sample when $B_{0}$ is large enough compared to $B_{1}$ or in other words when $B_{0}$ is large enough to overcome the effective confining potential due to $B_{1}$ so that the electrons are confined by the external confining potential $V_{c}$. Moreover the current density becomes asymmetric.

Until now only the first term in Eq.(7) has been considered but there is a second term
containing the derivative of the electron density and directly related to the presence of the spin for the electron. Because, as we have seen above, the electron density displays a very rich structure, one can expect some contribution to the current density due to the spin of the electron. This is shown in Figs. 11 and 12. Although the part due to the spin is smaller than the first term in Eq.(7) it is nevertheless noticable. This could imply some interesting phenomena in relation to spin polarised currents. The problem is that the "channels" are more or less at the same position for spin up or down which makes it quite difficult to distinguish between both spin directions. On the other hand, many parameters can be varied, such as the magnetic field, the width of the system or the external confining potential which may allow us to find a suitable system configuration for the production of polarised currents.

Finally it has to be stressed that all the discussion above concerned the current density. Although this quantity is non-zero and has a rich structure, it does not imply that the net current $I_{x}=\sum_{k_{x}} \int j_{x}(y) d y$ is non-zero. In fact as our calculation showed $E_{F}$ is independent of $k_{x}$ which means there is no difference of potential across our system and thus no net current.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have studied the effect of a linear magnetic field in a 2DEG. In certain simplified cases we were able to carry out some analytical calculations and to derive the dispersion curves $E_{n}\left(k_{x}\right)$ for quite a large range of $k_{x} \neq 0$. These results were found to be in very good agreement with our numerical results. In the general case with an external confining potential we carried out numerical calculations. We derived the whole of the dispersion curve and using it, we calculated the electron and current densities. It is worthwhile noting that for this calculation we need to consider the states for $k_{x}>0$ as well as states for $k_{x}<0$ and $k_{x} \simeq 0$. This point is important and could help in understanding some recent results [11] obtained in the framework of CF theory. For the derivation of the electron and current den-
sity we first calculated the Fermi energy $E_{F}$ taking into account that the number of electrons is constant. It turned out that although $E_{F}$ is independent of $k_{x}$ it is, however, a function of the magnetic field. This is due to the external confining potential. The electron and current densities show a very rich structure which can be seen as a consequence of the quantisation of the energy, although there is no simple relation between the $k_{x}$ and $y$ space as is the case for a constant magnetic field. Moreover the current density exhibits alternating "channels" of positive and negative current. It would be interesting too to include interaction between electrons and to study the effect of the self-consistency on the way the energy levels cross the Fermi energy. This can give rise to interesting phenomena, particularly in connection with the shape of the electron density [7.12]. Finally, because $\rho(y)$ is not constant we have a contribution to the current density directly due to the spin of the electron which could imply some interesting phenomena in relation to spin polarised currents.

## APPENDIX A:

Using the properties of the Hermite polynomial the matrix elements $H_{k n}$ can be derived in a recursive way. $\left\langle\phi_{k}\right|\left(\frac{p_{\hat{y}}^{2}}{2 m^{\prime}}+a \hat{y}^{4}+b \hat{y}^{3}+c \hat{y}^{2}+d \hat{y}+e\right)\left|\phi_{n}\right\rangle$ gives
$n=k$

$$
\frac{1}{2 m^{\prime}}\left(\frac{1}{2}+k\right)+3 a\left(k\left(1+\frac{(k-1)}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\right)+c\left(\frac{1}{2}+k\right)+e
$$

$n=k+1$

$$
\left(\frac{(k+1)}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{3 b}{2}(1+k)\right)
$$

$n=k+2$

$$
\left(\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(-\frac{1}{2 m^{\prime}}+a(3+2 k)+c\right)
$$

$n=k+3$

$$
\left(\frac{(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)}{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} b
$$

$n=k+4$

$$
\left(\frac{(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)(k+4)}{16}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} a
$$

and $\left\langle\phi_{n}\right| V_{c}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle$ due to the confining potential
$n=k$

$$
2 \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{4 a^{2}}\right)} L_{k}^{0}\left(-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 a^{2}}\right)
$$

$n=k+i$

$$
\left(\beta \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{4 a^{2}}\right)}\left(\frac{2^{i}}{n(n-1) \ldots(n-i+1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2 a}\right)^{i} L_{k}^{i}\left(-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 a^{2}}\right)\right)\left(1+(-1)^{2 k+i}\right)
$$

with $L_{n}^{n-k}$ the associate Laguerre polynomial.
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## FIGURES

FIG. 1. Dispersion curves obtained analytically compared with numerical results. $V_{c}=0$, $B_{1}=1 G / \AA$ and $B_{0}=0$. The curves plotted correspond to the levels $n=0,1,10,11$.

FIG. 2. Dispersion curves with $V_{c} \neq 0, B_{1}=1 G / \AA$ and $B_{0}=0.5 \times 10^{4} G$.

FIG. 3. Fermi energy as a function of the magnetic field B.

FIG. 4. Electron densities for different magnetic fields. The solid line corresponds to the case without an external confining potential.

FIG. 5. Electron density $\rho(y)$ for $B_{1}=1 G / \AA$ and $B_{0}=0$. The insert shows the number of states as a function of $k_{x}$. The number of oscillations in $\rho(y)$ corresponds to the number of states.

FIG. 6. Same as Fig. 5 but with $B_{1}=0.5 G / \AA$ and $B_{0}=2 \times 10^{4} G$.

FIG. 7. Current density for two different magnetic fields. - : $B_{1}=1 G / \AA, B_{0}=0$ and $\cdots$ : $B_{1}=0.5 G / \AA, B_{0}=2 \times 10^{4} G$.

FIG. 8. Current density calculated for a fixed $k_{x}$ and a fixed $n$. For $k_{x}=-0.018 \AA^{-1}(\cdots)$, $k_{x}=0.08 \AA^{-1}(-\cdot)$, and $n=0$ the movement of the electron is well defined by its classical orbits (edge and snake orbits). Around $k_{x}=0$, here $k_{x}=0.005 \AA^{-1}$ and $n=31(-)$, the situation is more complicated. The density of current can be positive and negative as a function of $y$.

FIG. 9. Total density of current (sum over all states with $E \leq E_{F}$ ) for $B_{1}=0.5 G / \AA$, $B_{0}=2 \times 10^{4} G$. The density of current (-) oscillates between positive and negative "channels" as a function of the electron density $(\cdots)$.

FIG. 10. Same as Fig. 9 but with $B_{1}=3 G / \AA, B_{0}=2 \times 10^{4} G$.

FIG. 11. Contribution due to the spin to the current density. The dotted line is the other contribution in Eq.(7) to the current density. $B_{1}=0.5 G / \AA, B_{0}=2 \times 10^{4} G$.

FIG. 12. Same as Fig. 11 but with $B_{1}=3 G / \AA, B_{0}=2 \times 10^{4} G$.
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