

Mean field solution of the random Ising model on the dual lattice

M. Serva^{1,2}, G. Paladin³ and J. Raboanary¹

¹ Institut Supérieure Polytechnique de Madagascar

Lot II H 31 Ter, Ankadindramamy 101 Antananarivo, Madagascar

² Dipartimento di Matematica, Università dell'Aquila

I-67100 Coppito, L'Aquila, Italy

³ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università dell'Aquila

I-67100 Coppito, L'Aquila, Italy

ABSTRACT

We perform a duality transformation that allows one to express the partition function of the d -dimensional Ising model with random nearest neighbor coupling in terms of new spin variables defined on the square plaquettes of the lattice. The dual model is solved in the mean field approximation.

PACS NUMBERS: 05.50.+q, 02.50.+s

The Ising model with random coupling plays a fundamental role in the theory of disordered systems. In this field, one of the major results is the Parisi solution of the infinite range model where at low temperature the system becomes a spin glass with a replica symmetry breaking [1]. However, there is no exact solution for the model with nearest neighbor interaction, and it is still unclear whether a glassy phase is present in three dimensions at finite temperature.

In this letter, we perform a duality transformation of the Ising model with random nearest neighbor coupling that assume the values $J_{ij} = \pm 1$ with equal probability. The model is thus defined on a dual lattice where the spin variables are attached to the square plaquettes. The advantage is that the non-linear part of the dual Hamiltonian has constant coefficients instead of random ones. It is therefore possible to use the standard mean field approximation to estimate the quenched free energy. However, in the dual lattice the ratio between number of spins and number of links increases with the dimension at difference with what happens in the original lattice so that the mean field approximation becomes worst at increasing the dimensionality. Our solution is thus optimal in two dimensions where it gives a rather good estimate of the ground state energy.

The partition function of the d-dimensional Ising models on a lattice of N sites with nearest neighbour couplings J_{ij} which are independent identically distributed random variables, in absence of external magnetic field, is

$$Z_N(\{J_{ij}\}) = \sum_{\{f\}} \prod_{(i,j)} \exp(-J_{ij} f_i f_j) \quad (1)$$

where the sum runs over the spin configurations $\{f\}$, and the product over the nearest neighbor sites (i,j) . One is interested in computing the quenched free energy

$$f = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \overline{\ln Z} \quad (2)$$

where \overline{A} indicates the average of an observable A over the distribution of the random coupling. The quenched free energy is a self-averaging quantity, i.e. it is obtained in the thermodynamic limit for almost all realizations of disorder [1]. Even in one dimension, it is difficult to find an exact solution for f in presence of an external constant magnetic field [2]. On the other hand, it is trivial to compute the so-called annealed free energy

$$f_a = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln \overline{Z}; \quad (3)$$

corresponding to the free energy of a system where the random coupling are not quenched but can thermalize with a relaxation time comparable to that one of the spin variables. A easy calculation shows that in our case

$$f_a = -\frac{1}{d} (\ln 2 + d \ln \cosh J) \quad (4)$$

However, f_a is a very poor approximation of the quenched free energy, and is not able to capture the qualitative features of the model.

In order to estimate (1), it is convenient to use the link variable $x_{ij} = \sigma_i \sigma_j$, since only terms corresponding to products of the variables x_{ij} on close loops survive after summing over the spin configurations: on every close loop of the lattice $\prod x_{ij} = 1$, while $\prod x_{ij} = \sigma_a \sigma_b$ for a path from the site a to the site b . A moment of reflection shows that it is sufficient to $\prod x_{ij} = 1$ on the elementary square plaquettes P to automatically fix it on all the close loops. The partition function thus becomes

$$Z_N(\beta; fJ_{ij}g) = \sum_{\{x_{ij}\}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_p} \frac{1 + \mathbf{s}_i}{2} \prod_{(i;j)} e^{J_{ij} x_{ij}} \quad (5)$$

where the number of plaquettes is $N_p = d(d-1)N/2$, and we have introduced the plaquette variable $\mathbf{s}_i = \prod_{j \in P_i} x_{ij}$.

For dichotomic random coupling $J_{ij} = \pm 1$ with equal probability, the free energy of the model is invariant under the gauge transformation $x_{ij} \rightarrow J_{ij} x_{ij}$, so that one

has

$$Z_N = \sum_{\{x_{ij}\}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_P} \frac{1 + x_i \mathcal{F}_i}{2} \prod_{(i,j)} e^{x_{ij}} \quad (6)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_i = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} J_{ij}$ is again a dichotomic random variable (the 'frustration' [3] of the plaquette P_i). It is worth remarking that (6) gives the partition function in terms of a sum over the 2^{dN} configurations of the independent random variables $x_j = \pm 1$ with probability

$$p_{ij} = \frac{e^{x_{ij}}}{2 \cosh} \quad (7)$$

In the following we shall indicate the average of an observable A over such a normalized weight by $\langle A \rangle$, e.g. $\langle x_{ij} \rangle = \tanh$ and $\langle x_i \rangle = \tanh^4$. With such a notation, the partition function assumes the compact form

$$Z_N = 2^{(dN - N_P)} \cosh^{dN} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{N_P} (1 + x_i \mathcal{F}_i) \quad (8)$$

Now comes the key step. We estimate the average in (8) by a geometrical construction. Let us introduce the dual lattice [4] as the lattice whose sites are located at the centers of each square of the original lattice. A dual spin variable is attached to each square plaquette and can assume only the values $e_i = \pm 1$ with equal probability, so that one has the identity

$$(1 + x_i \mathcal{F}_i) = \sum_{\{e_i\}} (x_i \mathcal{F}_i)^{(1+e_i)/2} : \quad (9)$$

Since there is a one-to-one correspondence between links on the original and on the dual lattice, we can compute the link-average noting that

$$\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{N_P} \frac{(1+e_i)}{2} \right\rangle = \left\langle \prod_{(i,j)} x_{ij}^{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}_i} J_{ik}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \prod_{(i,j)} \tanh^{1/P_d(i,j)} \right\rangle \quad (10)$$

where $\sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}_i} J_{ik}$ is the sum on the $2(d-1)$ nearest neighbor plaquettes variables with a common link (i,j) and for sake of simplifying the notation we have defined the new link variable

$$P_d^{(i,j)} = \prod_{k \in \mathcal{N}_i} e_k \quad (11)$$

Inserting (10) and (9) into (8) one has

$$Z_N = 2^{(dN - N_p)} \cosh^{dN}(\beta) \prod_{i=1}^{N_p} \mathcal{J}_i^{(1+e_i)=2} \prod_{(i,j)} e^{(\mathcal{P}_d^{(i,j)} - 1)} \quad (12)$$

where the inverse temperature of the dual model is

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} \ln \tanh(\beta) \quad (13)$$

that vanishes when the temperature $T = \beta^{-1} \rightarrow 0$. The quenched free energy (2) thus is

$$f(\beta) = \frac{d}{2} (2 - d) \ln 2 + \ln \sinh(2\beta) - (d-1) \phi(\beta) \quad (14)$$

where the free energy of the dual model is

$$\phi(\beta) = \lim_{N_p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_p} \ln Z_{N_p} \quad (15)$$

with

$$Z_{N_p} = \sum_{\{e_i\}} \exp \left(\sum_{(i,j)} \beta \mathcal{P}_d^{(i,j)} A_{(i,j)} - \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} K e_i \right) \quad (16)$$

and

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} \frac{1 + e_i}{2} \ln(\mathcal{J}_i) \quad (17)$$

Since the Hamiltonian of the dual model is defined by the relation $Z_{N_p} = \sum_{\{e_i\}} e^{-\beta H}$,

from (16) one sees that

$$H = \sum_{(i,j)} \beta \mathcal{P}_d^{(i,j)} \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} \ln(\mathcal{J}_i) \frac{(1 + e_i)}{2} \quad (18)$$

Let us stress that the non-linear term of the dual Hamiltonian (18) does not depend on the random coupling like in the original model and the randomness enters via the presence of a sign. In fact, the weight $\exp(-\beta H)$ does not define a standard Gibbs probability measure on the dual lattice: it defines a signed probability measure. For instance, in 2d, $\mathcal{P}_d^{(i,j)} = e_i e_j$ so that the Gibbs measure of a configuration of the dual random Ising model differs from that one of the pure Ising model only for the

presence of the random sign determined by the index K related to the frustrations of the square plaquettes f_{ij} .

This is the first result of our letter. Its importance stems from the fact that it is now possible to linearize the Hamiltonian (18) by introducing the magnetization

$$m = \lim_{N_p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_p} \sum_i X_i^p e_i \quad (19)$$

Indeed, if we neglect the fluctuations, the non-linear term of (18) can be estimated as

$$\sum_{(i,j)} X_d^{(i,j)} = dN m^{2(d-1)}$$

so that (16) becomes

$$Z_{N_p} = \int \prod_{i=1}^{N_p} \delta_i^{(1+e_i)=2} \exp(e d N m^{2(d-1)}) \quad (20)$$

Let us find the mean field solution, by using an auxiliary field. Recalling the saddle point method one immediately sees that in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$e^{N d e m^{2(d-1)}} \int_1^Z \frac{1}{d} \exp(-N e d (C_d m^{2d-3} - 2(d-1))) \quad (21)$$

where the constant C_d is determined by maximizing the argument of the exponential and reads

$$C_d = 2(d-1)(2d-3)^{(d-3)=2(d-1)} \quad (22)$$

As a consequence one can write the partition function as

$$Z_{N_p} = \max_e \exp(-N e d^{2(d-1)}) \int \prod_{i=1}^{N_p} \delta_i^{(1+e_i)=2} e^{e d C_d^{2d-3} e_i} \quad (23)$$

Now the effective Hamiltonian is linear and one can explicitly carry out the sum over the N_p independent random variables e_i , obtaining

$$e_F(e) = d \max \frac{(d-1)}{4} \ln(2 \sinh(e d^{2d-3})) - e^{2(d-1)} \quad (24)$$

with $\phi_d = 2C_d d$. The maximum is realized by the value of ϕ_d that is the solution of the self-consistency equation

$$\frac{(2d-3)\phi_d}{8} \coth(\phi_d e^{-2d-3}) = 1 \quad (25)$$

In 2d, (25) assumes the simple form $\coth(\phi e^{-5}) = 1$. The graphical solution of this implicit equation is showed in fig 1. One sees that ϕ should be always larger than unity and at $e \rightarrow 1$ (infinite temperature $T = 1$ limit) $\phi = 1$. It can appear rather odd that in the dual model the magnetization $\phi \rightarrow 1$. This stems from the fact that the Gibbs probability measure $\exp(-\phi H)$ is a signed measure because the random coupling is transformed into a complex random magnetic field in (15). From fig 1, it is also clear that the mean field solution does not exhibit phase transitions at finite temperature. However there is an essential singularity at $T = 0$, since inserting (24) into (15) and (14) one sees that $f \sim \exp(-1/T)$ for $T \rightarrow 0$.

It is important to stress that the mean field solution does not improve at increasing the dimensionality, since the ratio N_p/N between number of plaquette spins and number of links in the dual lattice increases as $(d-1)$ at difference with the standard Ising model where the ratio of spins over links decreases as d^{-1} .

It is possible to explicitly solve the self-consistency equation for $e \rightarrow 0$ where (25) becomes

$$(12e)^{1/2} = \phi_d \quad \text{for } d = 2 \quad (26a)$$

and

$$\frac{2d-3}{8} e^{1/(2d-2)} = \phi_d \quad \text{for } d \geq 3 \quad (26b)$$

Such a relation shows that when $d \rightarrow 1$ one has $\phi_d \rightarrow 1$ for $T \rightarrow 0$ and then for all T 's. The high dimension limit is therefore trivial. The mean field approximation works at its best in two dimensions. For instance, the zero temperature energy of the mean field solution is $E_0 = -3d/4$ which is a fair estimate in 2d where the numerical

simulations [5] give $E_0 = -1.404 \pm 0.002$. In fig 2, we show the free energy as a function of T in 2d compared with the annealed free energy (4). One sees that entropy is negative at low temperature, thus indicating that the solution is unphysical. As a consequence, a better estimate of the ground state energy is given by the maximum of $f(\beta)$, following a standard argument of Toulouse and Vannimenus [6], and one has $E_0 = \max_{\beta} f(\beta) = -1.468$.

In conclusion, we have obtained two main results. (1) Formulation of the random coupling Ising model on the dual lattice made of square plaquettes. The dual model has signed Gibbs probability measure and magnetization larger than unity. (2) Application of the mean field approximation to solve the dual model. The approximation is sensible at low dimension.

In our opinion, the mean field approach is very promising at least in two dimensions. It has a good heuristic power and there are still a lot of open problems in its framework, such as finding a Ginzburg-Landau criterion or refining the mean field approximation in a cluster expansion scheme.

We acknowledge the financial support of the INFN, National Laboratories of Gran Sasso.

References

- [1] M. Mézard, G. Parisi and M. Virasoro, Spin glass theory and beyond, World Scientific Singapore 1988
- [2] G. Paladin and M. Serva, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 706 (1992).
- [3] G. Toulouse, Commun. Phys. 2, 115 (1977)
- [4] H. A. Kramers and G. H. Wannier, Phys. Rev. 60, 252 (1941)
- [5] L. Saul and M. Kardar, Phys. Rev. E 48 (1993) 48
- [6] G. Toulouse and J. Vannimenus, Phys. Rep. 67, 47 (1980)

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Graphical solution of the implicit equation (23) in 2d, at $T = 1$ corresponding to $e = 0.136...$. The full lines are $\coth(8e)$ versus and the straight line $=$.

Fig. 2 Random Ising model in 2d: the annealed free energy f_a (dashed line) and the mean field solution (full line) versus temperature $T = 1$. The dashed lines are the Maxwell constructions obtained by imposing that the free energy is a monotonous non-decreasing function of T . The annealed solution gives a ground state energy $E_0 = 1.559$; the mean field solution gives $E_0 = 1.468$; the numerical result of [5] is $E_0 = 1.404 \pm 0.002$.