

First order melting of vortex lattice in strongly type-II three dimensional superconductors

Igor F. Herbut and Zlatko Tešanović

Department of Physics and Astronomy, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore,
Maryland 21218

Abstract: We calculate the transition line of the first-order melting of vortex lattice in a three-dimensional type-II superconductor in fields of several Tesla, using the results from the density-functional theory of vortex melting in two-dimensions and a self-consistent Hartree treatment of correlations along the field. The result is in quantitative agreement with experiment. The temperature width of the hysteresis, the latent heat, the Debye-Waller factor and the magnetization at the transition are discussed.

PACS numbers: 74.60.Ge

Several recent experimental studies focused on the superconducting transition in untwinned YBCO samples in fields of several tesla [1, 2, 3, 4]. In contrast to earlier experiments in samples where the physics of the transition was dominated by inhomogeneities and where the transition appeared to be second order, the observed sharp hysteretic drop in resistivity in these very clean, strongly type-II materials in moderate magnetic fields suggests that the true vortex melting transition might be first order. This is somewhat surprising when one recalls that the mean-field Abrikosov theory predicts a second order phase transition for a homogeneous type-II superconductor in magnetic field. Thus here one encounters another possible example of strong thermal fluctuations changing the order of transition. An early suggestion that this might happen in a type-II superconductor in vicinity of $H_{c2}(T)$ came from the renormalization group analysis [5] close to the upper critical dimension $d_{up} = 6$, as well as from the analysis of the theory with infinite number of order parameter components in $4 < d < 6$ [6]. This is inadequate however for the physical three-dimensional (3D) samples which are below the lower critical dimension $d_{low} = 4$ in the problem considered there. Usually, the transition in the vortex system is described by the harmonic theory of vortex lattice and by invoking phenomenological Lindemman criterion to locate the melting point [7, 8]. This is however a suspect starting point if one is interested in describing strong fluctuations near $H_{c2}(T)$ and is more appropriate for the low-field or $T \approx 0$ region of the phase diagram. As emphasized by Moore [9], in this description one starts from the Abrikosov lattice solution for the order parameter which is unstable with respect to harmonic shear modes of the lattice at any finite temperature in two and three dimensions. It is therefore inconsistent to simply assume this ordered low-temperature state. Furthermore, the numerical constant in Lindemman criterion needs to be chosen phenomenologically, and the requisite number can actually differ by orders of magnitude from one material to another [10].

Recently, a novel approach to the problem has been formulated that encompasses the difficulties mentioned above by relying on a new physical picture of the phase transition in

strongly type-II system in high field [11]. Unlike the renormalization group study of ref. 5 where the superconducting Abrikosov transition arises from the growing phase correlations in the directions along the magnetic field, here the positional correlations orthogonal to the field drive the transition. The right paradigm is the two-dimensional (2D) problem. In high magnetic field (to be specified later), the original Ginzburg-Landau partition function in the symmetric gauge is equivalent to a system of classical particles interacting with long-range, multi-body forces [11]. It is a scale invariant, incompressible system which undergoes a weak first-order freezing transition, not unlike one-component Coulomb plasma in 2D. The point of transition, the latent heat and other relevant quantities at the transition can be quite accurately determined by using the density-functional theory of solidification [12]. The low-temperature phase is not the familiar Abrikosov vortex lattice but a charge-density-wave (CDW) of Cooper pairs, with a weak periodic modulation of Cooper pair density but with no long-range phase coherence [11, 13]. In this paper we extend the theory to 3D superconductor and use it to calculate the phase boundary in $H - T$ phase diagram. We find a very good quantitative agreement with experiment. Also, we make a number of predictions about the typical physical quantities at the transition and analyze the temperature width of the hysteresis as a function of the field.

Consider the Ginzburg-Landau partition function for an anisotropic, homogeneous, 3D superconductor in strong magnetic field, with fluctuations of the magnetic field neglected (Ginzburg-Landau parameter $\kappa \gg 1$). For the fields above $H_b \approx (\theta/16)H_{c2}(0)(T/T_{c0})$, where $\theta = \Lambda_{eff}/\Lambda_T$ ($\Lambda_{eff} = 2\lambda_{ab}(0)^2/d$, $\Lambda_T = \phi_0^2/16\pi^2T_{c0}$) is the Ginzburg fluctuation parameter the fluctuation spectrum is dominated by the lowest Landau level modes (LLL) [14]. In YBCO $\theta \sim 0.04$ and $H_{c2}(0) \sim 150T$ so the approximation which retains only the LLL modes should be appropriate for the fields larger than $\sim 0.3T$. The partition function is $Z = \int D[\psi^*, \psi] \exp(-S)$, and

$$S = \frac{1}{T} \int d^2\vec{r} dz [\gamma |\partial_z \psi|^2 + \alpha'(T, H) |\psi|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} |\psi|^4] \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha'(T) = \alpha(T)(1 - H/H_{c2}(T))$, and $\alpha(T) = a(T - T_{c0})$, β and γ are phenomenological parameters. It is convenient to rescale the fields and lengths as $(2d\beta 2\pi l^2/T)^{1/4}\psi \rightarrow \psi$, $r/(l\sqrt{2\pi}) \rightarrow r$ and $z/d \rightarrow z$, where l is the magnetic length for charge $2e$, and d is a microscopic length along the field (typically the spacing between the pairs of CuO planes). The action in the exponent then becomes

$$S = \int d^2\vec{r}dz [g_\gamma |\partial_z \psi|^2 + g_\alpha |\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\psi|^4], \quad (2)$$

with ψ which is restricted to the LLL, and the whole thermodynamics is determined by two dimensionless coupling constants $g_{\gamma/\alpha} = \{\gamma/d^2, \alpha\} \times \sqrt{\pi l^2 d/\beta T}$. In the 2D case, $g_\gamma = 0$, and the system described by the partition function (2) undergoes a weak first-order transition into CDW phase of Cooper pairs at $g_\alpha \equiv g_M = -6.5$, as seen in Monte-Carlo simulations [11, 15, 16, 17] and found in density-functional theory [12]. The CDW has a triangular modulation with the period set by the magnetic length: $a = l\sqrt{4\pi/\sqrt{3}}$. Small deviations from this periodicity are expected in principle, but do not change our results substantially and will not be considered here. We assume that the vortex transition in 3D system is driven by the same mechanism of growing positional correlations between vortices. In 2D, $g_\alpha \propto d^{1/2}$, where d is the film thickness. In 3D, this length is replaced by some temperature and field-dependent length Λ over which the vortices are “straight” along the field direction. Λ provides a short length scale along the z -axis, just like the magnetic length does in the $x - y$ plane. Here we assume that this length is not very different from the superconducting correlation length along the field, $\xi_{||}$. Thus we make an ansatz that there is a single coupling constant which describes the physics of the transition in the 3D regime (i. e., for $\xi_{||} > 1$ in units of d): $g_\alpha \xi_{||}^{1/2}$. The phase boundary is then determined by the condition

$$g_M = g_\alpha \xi_{||}^{1/2}, \quad (3)$$

for $\xi_{||} > 1$. To explicitly determine the transition line in the $H - T$ phase diagram we use the correlation length as obtained in the self-consistent Hartree treatment of the theory defined

by eq. 2:

$$\xi_{||} = \left(\frac{g_{\gamma}}{g_{\alpha} + \langle |\psi|^2 \rangle / 4} \right)^{1/2} \quad (4)$$

where the thermal average appearing is determined by the equation

$$\langle |\psi|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{g_{\gamma} k^2 + g_{\alpha} + \langle |\psi|^2 \rangle / 4}. \quad (5)$$

The expressions 4 and 5 look the same as they would in a purely 1D theory. This is a consequence of the strong magnetic field, which causes $D \rightarrow D - 2$ dimensional reduction in the theory on the Hartree level. The full theory as given in eq. 2 is, of course, not 1D, due to the non-local constraint on the fields to be entirely in the LLL. In fact, the transition we are describing comes exactly from those lateral, intra-LLL correlations which would be undetectable in a simple Hartree theory. $\xi_{||}$, however, is expected to be reasonably well described by the Hartree theory, since the dimensional reduction is exact for $\langle \psi^* \psi \rangle$ correlator [11, 18].

From the melting condition 3 and using the expressions 4 and 5 one obtains the equation for the transition line

$$t + h + \left(\frac{2c\kappa^2 \xi_{ab}^2(0)}{\Lambda_T \xi_c(0)} \right)^{2/3} (th)^{2/3} = 1, \quad (6)$$

where we rescaled the field and the temperature as $t = T/T_{c0}$, $h = H/H_{c2}(0)$, and the constant c is determined by the numerical value of g_M as $c^2 = g_M^4 (\sqrt{1 + 1/g_M^2} - 1)/2 = 10.5$. The equation for the transition line 6 is our main result. It depends only on a particular combination of the material constants and on a pure number g_M . Its accuracy is restricted to the region where $\xi_{||} > 1$ since otherwise the layers would decouple and the description in terms of 2D melting would be appropriate. In the region of 3D to 2D crossover better results would be obtained by using the Lawrence-Doniach model. We chose anisotropic Ginzburg-Landau partition function instead because the transition line can be found in simple closed form, which still is accurate over a large portion of the phase diagram.

In figure 1. we compare the calculated transition line with the experimental results on superconducting transition in YBCO in the magnetic field parallel to the c-axis [3]. We set

$T_{c0} = 90K$, $H_{c2}(0) = 148.14T$ (as found by linear extrapolation from small fields), $\kappa = 50$, $\xi_c(0) = 3\text{\AA}$, and the best fit is obtained with $\xi_{ab}(0)/\xi_c(0) = 5.8$ which agrees well with what is known about this material [19]. The results of experiments in refs. 1 and 2 are essentially the same and are not shown. Note that the distance between CuO planes $d = 12\text{\AA}$ completely cancels out in the determination of the transition line. According to our calculation, YBCO is three dimensional everywhere along the transition line in the range of the fields studied. One may also expect that the numerical value of g_M should be renormalized from its 2D value that we used due to the difference between the lengths Λ and $\xi_{||}$. This question can be settled by knowing more precisely the values of parameters κ and anisotropy in eq. 6. The present calculation suggests however that this difference is not substantial.

The density-functional theory predicts that the CDW phase can be superheated up to $g_{SH} = -6.25$ in the 2D vortex system. If we neglect the supercooling of the vortex liquid upon lowering the temperature and take only the superheating of the solid phase into account we may calculate the thermodynamic hysteresis width in temperature as a function of the magnetic field for 3D transition. The result is shown on Figure 2. The functional dependence of the calculated hysteresis width agrees with the observation in resistivity measurements in refs. 2,3,4 but the result is roughly an order of magnitude larger. We attribute this to the effect of disorder in the experiment, which tends to reduce the width of hysteresis. However, for a given sample and a small current, the hysteresis widths in temperature at different fields, when multiplied by a suitably chosen fudge factor, agree well with our curve (see Figure 2.). The reason for this is the following: in a homogeneous 2D sample, the hysteresis width $\Delta t_{hyst}(h) \propto \Delta g = g_{SH} - g_M$, for small Δg . A weak point disorder cuts off the crystalline order at large but finite Larkin-Ovchinnikov length ξ_{LO} . For a crystallite of that size both g_M and g_{SH} increase proportionally to ξ_{LO}^{-1} , but their difference Δg decreases. Thus the primary effect of weak disorder is to decrease the numerical value of Δg from its thermodynamic value of 0.25 [12], and therefore decrease $\Delta t_{hyst}(h)$. The functional dependence of the temperature

width of the hysteresis on the field however is not affected and hence the above behavior.

Although the transition under consideration is into a 3D phase of periodically modulated density of Cooper pairs, we expect the relevant quantities at the transition not to be very different from 2D case. This comes as a consequence of the same mechanism of the transition which is effectively 2D in nature. Thus, the latent heat per vortex and per layer should be $\sim 0.3k_B T_M$ [12]. Debay-Waller factor $\nu(\vec{G}) = |\rho(\vec{G})|^2$ at the transition should be given by $\rho(\vec{G}) = 0.72 \exp(-\lambda^2 G^2)$ with $\lambda = 0.47$, where \vec{G} is a reciprocal lattice vector in units where $l = 1$. The magnetization is expected to have a discontinuity of roughly 1% of its value at the transition [12]. The solid phase below the transition is expected to have at most a power law superconducting order [9, 11], but it will behave as a superconductor for most practical purposes—For example, its ohmic resistivity will be extremely low. This is the consequence of YBCO being effectively an anisotropic 3D superconductor in the region of fields and temperatures where the experimental data are currently available. This leads to an enhanced density modulation of the SCDW below the transition with the resulting large increase in the range of superconducting correlations. At even higher fields, where the layered structure of YBCO becomes more pronounced, we expect the range of superconducting correlations to become substantially shorter, perhaps leading to a clearly identifiable SCDW state with a long-range 3D positional order but only a finite resistivity. In this respect, a large variety of the HTS materials which are more anisotropic than YBCO appear particularly promising.

Finally, we should stress that our results leave open a possibility that $g_M(3D)$ is significantly different from $g_M(2D)$, with the corresponding modifications in the latent heat, characteristic vortex bending length, magnetization discontinuity, etc. The problem is that, while our theory successfully captures lateral correlations of the ‘microscopic’ GL-LLL theory which are responsible for the SCDW transition both in 2D and 3D, it still requires as an outside input the vortex structure factor near the transition. In 2D we were able to obtain this needed information from the numerical Monte Carlo simulations of the GL-LLL the-

ory. Unfortunately, such numerical results are not available at present for the 3D or layered GL-LLL model.

In conclusion, we calculated the transition line of first order vortex lattice melting in strongly type-II superconductor in magnetic field of several Tesla. The agreement with the experimental results on untwinned YBCO is excellent in the whole range of fields of $0.1 - 10T$. The temperature width of the hysteresis, the latent heat, the Debye-Waller factor at the transition and the discontinuity of the magnetization are discussed. Our results strongly support the conclusion that the vortex-liquid to solid transition in homogeneous strongly type-II superconductor is first order.

This work has been supported in part by the NSF Grant No. DMR-9415549.

Figure Captions:

Figure 1. H-T phase diagram for YBCO ($t = T/T_{c0}$). The full line represents the melting line calculated from eq. 6 with parameters described in the text. Crosses are the experimental points from ref. 3. The dashed line is the mean-field $H_{c2}(T)$ line.

Figure 2. The field dependence of temperature width of the hysteresis due to superheating of the low-temperature phase. The full line represents the calculated hysteresis width. Triangles and crosses are experimental points of ref. 2 for two samples with different degrees of disorder. The temperature widths are multiplied by factors 5 (triangles) and 10 (crosses) to fit the curve.

References

- [1] D. E. Farrell, J. P. Rice and D. M. Ginsberg, Phys. Rev. Lett **67**, 1165 (1991)
- [2] H. Safar, P. L. Gammel, D. A. Huse, D. J. Bishop, J. P. Rice and D. M. Ginsberg, Phys. Rev. Lett **69**, 824 (1992)
- [3] H. Safar, P. L. Gammel, D. A. Huse, D. J. Bishop, W. C. Lee, J. Giapintzakis and D. M. Ginsberg, Phys. Rev. Lett **70**, 3800 (1993)
- [4] W. K. Kwok, J. Fendrich, S. Fleshler, U. Welp, J. Downey and G. W. Crabtree, Phys. Rev. Lett **72**, 1092 (1994)
- [5] E. Brézin, D. R. Nelson and A. Thiaville, Phys. Rev. B **31**, 7124 (1985)
- [6] I. Affleck and E. Brézin, Nucl. Phys. B **257**, 451 (1985)
- [7] A. Houghton, R. Pelcovits and A. Sudbo, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 6763 (1989)
- [8] L. Glazman and A. E. Koshelev, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 2835 (1991)
- [9] M. A. Moore, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 136 (1989), Phys. Rev. B **45**, 7336 (1992)
- [10] M. W. Ortolano and H. R. Glyde, Physica (Amsterdam) **194-196B**, 2223 (1994) and references therein
- [11] Z. Tešanović and L. Xing, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2729 (1991); Z. Tešanović, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 12635 (1991); Physica (Amsterdam) **220C**, 303 (1994)
- [12] I. F. Herbut and Z. Tešanović, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 484 (1994)
- [13] R. Šášik, D. Stroud and Z. Tešanović, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 3042 (1995)
- [14] Z. Tešanović and A. V. Andreev, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 4064 (1994)

- [15] Y. Kato and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 2932 (1993); **48** (1993)
- [16] J. Hu and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 432 (1993)
- [17] R. Šášik and D. Stroud, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 16074 (1994)
- [18] Comparison of the experimental data for the fluctuation specific heat near $H_{c2}(T)$ with the LLL scaling functions indicates that the characteristic bending length of vortices along the field is, within factors of order unity, given by the superconducting correlation length along the field: S. W. Pierson, T. M. Katona, Z. Tešanović, and O. T. Valls, preprint (1995). We do, however, expect this bending length Λ to be somewhat longer near the transition point. We cannot determine this length directly from a microscopic theory. This point is discussed further in the text.
- [19] Z. Hao and J. R. Clem, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 5853 (1992)