arXiv:cond-mat/9509099v2 5 Dec 1995

Theory of Chiral Order in Random Copolymers

J.V.Selinger

Center for Bio/Molecular Science and Engineering, Naval Research Laboratory, Code 6900,

4555 O verbok A venue, SW , W ashington, D C 20375

R.L.B.Selinger

M aterials Science and Engineering Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology,

Gaithersburg, MD 20899

(September 15, 1995)

Abstract

Recent experiments have found that polyisocyanates composed of a mixture of opposite enantion ers follow a chiral \m a prity rule:" the chiral order of the copolymer, measured by optical activity, is dominated by whichever enantiomer is in the maprity. We explain this majority rule theoretically by mapping the random copolymer onto the random - eld Ising model. U sing this model, we predict the chiral order as a function of enantiomer concentration, in quantitative agreement with the experiments, and show how the sharpness of the majority-rule curve can be controlled.

PACS num bers: 61.41.+ e, 05.50.+ q, 78.20 Ek, 82.90.+ j

Typeset using REVT_FX

C coperative chiral order plays a vital role in the self-assem bly of ordered supram olecular structures in liquid crystals [1], organic thin $\ln s$ [2{5], and lipid m embranes [6{12}]. One particularly simple and well-controlled example of cooperative chiral order is in random copolym ers. Recent experiments have found that polyisocyanates form ed from a mixture of opposite enantiom ers follow a chiral $\ln a$ jority rule" [13]. The chiral order of the copolym er, m easured by optical activity, responds sharply to slight di erences in the concentrations of the enantiom ers, and is dom inated by whichever enantiom er is in the m a jority. In this paper, we show that the m a jority rule can be understood through a mapping of the random copolym er onto the random – eld Ising m odel [14{16}]. U sing this m odel, we predict the chiral order as a function of enantiom er concentration, in quantitative agreem ent with the experiments, and show that the sharpness of the majority-rule curve is determined by two energy scales associated with the chiral packing of monom ers.

In a series of experiments, G meen et al. have investigated chiral order in polyisocyanates [17]. This polymer consists of a carbon-nitrogen backbone with a pendant group attached to each monomer, as shown in Fig. 1. A lihough the backbone is nonchiral, steric constraints force the molecule to polymerize in a helical structure. If the pendant group is also nonchiral, the helix is random ly right- or left-handed. A long chain then consists of domains of xed helicity, separated by occasional helix reversals. On average, there are equal right- and left-handed domains, leading to zero net optical activity. However, if the pendant group is chiral, there is a preference for one sense of the helix, which leads to a net optical activity. Because of the cooperative interaction between the monomers in a domain, even a very small chiral in uence leads to a large optical activity [18]. Most recently, G reen et al. have synthesized random copolymers with a mixture of right- and left-handed enantiom eric pendant groups, with concentrations p and 1 p, respectively [13]. The resulting optical activity, shown in Fig. 2, has a surprisingly sharp dependence on p. A 56/44 mixture of enantiom ers has alm ost the sam e optical activity as a pure 100/0 hom opolymer, and even a 51/49 mixture has a third of that optical activity.

To explain this cooperative chiral order theoretically, we map the random copolymer onto

2

the one-dimensional random – eld Ising model, a standard model in the theory of random magnetic systems [14{16]. A lthough related models have been applied to other polymer systems [19{22], our theory gives a new, direct correspondence between the Ising order parameter and the optical activity. This correspondence provides a novel experimental test of predictions for the random – eld Ising model. Let the Ising spin $_{i} = 1$ represent the sense of the polymer helix at the monom eri. The energy of a polymer can then be written as

$$H = J_{i + 1}^{N_{x} 1} h_{i i}$$
(1)

Here, the random eld h speci es the enantiom eric identity of the pendant group on m onom eri: $h_i = +h$ if it is right-handed (with probability p) and $h_i = -h$ if it is left-handed (with probability 1 p). This eld is a quenched random variable; it is xed by the polymetrization of each individual chain. The parameter 2h is the energy cost of a right-handed m onom erin a left-handed helix, or vice versa. M olecular modeling gives 2h = 1.7 kJ/m ol (0.4 kcal/m ol) for the pendant group used in these experiments [23]. The parameter 2J is the energy cost of a helix reversal. Fits of the optical activity of pure hom opolymers as a function of tem perature T give 2J = 17 kJ/m ol (4 kcal/m ol) [18]. Note that 2J is much greater than $k_B T = 2.5$ kJ/m ol (0.6 kcal/m ol), but $2h < k_B T$. The degree of polymerization N ranges from 350 to 5800 in the experiments [13]. The magnetization of the Ising m odel,

$$M = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X^{N}}{\sum_{i=1}^{i}} ; \qquad (2)$$

corresponds to the chiral order parameter that is measured by optical activity. To predict the optical activity of the random copolymer as a function of enantiomer concentration, we must calculate M as a function of p.

To calculate the order parameter M, we note that each chain consists of domains of uniform helicity $_{i}$. As an approximation, suppose that each domain has length L, which is to be determined. Each domain responds to the total chiral eld $h_{tot} = {}^{P} h_{i}$ of the monomers in it. Because the domain is uniform, the response is M $(h_{tot}) = \tanh(h_{tot}=k_{B}T)$,

equivalent to a single spin in a magnetic eld. A veraging over the probability distribution P (h_{tot}), we obtain

$$M = \int_{1}^{Z} dh_{tot} P (h_{tot}) \tanh \frac{h_{tot}}{k_{B} T}$$
(3)

The probability distribution P (h_{tot}) is a binom ial distribution. For large domains, it can be approximated by a G aussian with m ean 2hL (p $\frac{1}{2}$) and standard deviation 2h [Lp(1 p)]⁼². Forp $\frac{1}{2}$, the standard deviation becom es hL¹⁼². Furthermore, if the width of the G aussian ismuch greater than the width of the tanh, hL¹⁼² $k_B T$, then the tanh can be approximated by a step function. The expression for M then becom es

M erf (2L)¹⁼² p
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 : (4)

W e must now estimate the domain size L. The domain size is determined by (a) the distance L_{rf} between helix reversals that are induced by the random eld, (b) the distance L_{th} between helix reversals that are induced by thermal uctuations, and (c) the chain length N. Because each of these e ects contributes to the density 1=L of domain boundaries, we expect

$$\frac{1}{L} = \frac{1}{L_{rf}} + \frac{1}{L_{th}} + \frac{1}{N}$$
(5)

For $p = \frac{1}{2}$, the random - eld dom ain size L_{tf} can be estimated using a variation of the Im ry-M a argument for the random - eld Ising model [14]. A dom ain form swhen the eld energy $hL^{1=2}$ grows to equal the boundary energy J. By equating these two energies, we obtain the random - eld dom ain size

$$L_{rf} = \frac{J}{h}^{2} :$$
 (6)

With the values 2J 17 kJ/m ol (4 kcal/m ol) and 2h 1:7 kJ/m ol (0.4 kcal/m ol) appropriate for the experimental system, the random – eld dom ain size becomes I_{tf} 100 m onomers. By comparison, the thermal dom ain size is $L_{th} = e^{2J=k_B T}$ 800, and the chain length is N 350{5800. Because L_{tf} is much less than L_{th} and N, we obtain L L_{tf} ; i.e., the dom ain size is limited by random – eld e ects. To test this approximate calculation explicitly, and to obtain a more precise value of the domain size, we performed numerical simulations of the random – eld Ising model. In these simulations, we used a series of chain lengths from 4 to 230, and used the values of J and h appropriate for the experiments. For each chain length, we constructed an explicit realization of the random eld, then calculated the partition function and order parameter using transfermatrix techniques. We then averaged the order parameter over at least 1000 realizations of the random eld. Figure 3 shows M as a function of p for chain length N = 230. These results can be t very well to Eq. (4), with the domain size L (230) = 96. The results for other values of N can be t equally well. Figure 4 shows the tted domain size L (N) as a function of chain length N. These results can be extrapolated using 1=L (N) = 1=L_{max} + 1=N, with L_{max} = 164. This random – eld dom ain size agrees well with Eq. (6), especially considering that the Im ry-M a argument is only a scaling argument. Thus, the chiral order parameter should indeed be given by Eq. (4), with the extrapolated dom ain size L = 164.

To compare our theory with the experiment, we plot our prediction for the chiral order parameter M on top of the experimental data for the optical activity in Fig.2. The prediction agrees very well with the data. In particular, M saturates at $(p = \frac{1}{2}) = 0.06$, a 56/44 composition, in agreement with the data. This saturation point is a direct measure of $1=(2L)^{1=2}$, which is controlled by the ratio of the two energy scales J and h. W e emphasize that the theory matches the experimental data with no adjustable parameters, other than the relative scale of the optical-activity axis and the order-parameter axis. That relative scale is the optical activity of a pure 100/0 hom opolymer.

We can make two remarks about these results. First, both the random – eld domain size L_{rf} and the thermal domain size L_{th} depend on p. The Im ry-M a argument above applies only to the regime where $p = \frac{1}{2}$. This is the appropriate regime for understanding the experiments, because all the signing cantivariation in the chiral order parameter M occurs around $p = \frac{1}{2}$. Outside that regime, M saturates at 1, and it is not sensitive to L. Second, the fact that $L_{rf} = L_{th}$ shows that quenched disorder is much more signing cantithan thermal

5

disorder in this copolymer system. Thus, the system is electively in the low-temperature limit. If the temperature T were increased so that $L_{th} < L_{rf}$, then thermal disorder (i.e. entropy) would become more signing cant. However, that would require a temperature of T $^{>}$ 400 K, which is unrealistic because the system would degrade chemically.

Using our theory, we can make two predictions for future experiments. First, the experim ent could be repeated using di erent degrees of polym erization. For short chains, the dom ain size L is limited by the chain length N, particularly for N < 200, as shown in Fig.4. Thus, shorter chains should give a broader version of the majority-rule curve. By contrast, bonger chains should not give a sharper maprity-rule curve, because the chains are already in the regin e where L is approximately independent of N. Second, the experiment could be repeated using pendant groups that are \less chiral," i.e. polyisocyanates with a lower energy cost for a right-handed m onom er in a left-handed helix. A low er value of the chiral eld $(J=h)^2$, and hence a sharper majorityh should give a larger value of the dom ain size L rule curve. (This prediction applies as long as $L_{rf} = L_{th}$, or 2h $2Je^{J=k_BT}$ 0:6 kJ/m ol [0.14 kcal/m ol]. Thus, h can be reduced by a factor of 3 from its value in the current experiments, and the majority-rule curve can become 3 times sharper. Beyond that point, the sharpness will be limited by the therm aloom ain size.) This second prediction might seem counter-intuitive, because one might expect a smaller chiral eld to give a smaller e ect. However, this prediction is reasonable, considering that the majority-rule curve is limited by the number of m onomers that cooperate inside a single domain. If the local chiral eld is reduced, then each monomer is more likely to have the same helicity as its neighbors, independent of the local chiral eld, and hence the cooperativity increases.

Finally, we note that the sharp majority-rule curve in polyisocyanates can be exploited in an optical switch [24]. If a mixture of enantiom ers is exposed to a pulse of circularly polarized light, one enantiom er is preferentially excited into a higher-energy state. That state can decay into either chiral form. Thus, a light pulse depletes the preferentially excited enantiom er and changes the enantiom er concentration p, which changes the optical activity. In other system s, this approach has been limited by the fact that light pulses induce only a

6

slight change in p, and hence only a slight change in optical activity. However, in polyisocyanates, a slight change in p close to $p = \frac{1}{2}$ is su cient to induce a very signi cant change in optical activity. Indeed, this polymer has alm ost a binary response to changes in p, which is needed for an optical switch. Our theory shows how to optimize the majority-rule curve for use in an optical switch.

In conclusion, we have shown that the cooperative chiral order in polyisocyanates can be understood through the random – eld Ising model. The energy scales J and h, which arise from the chiral packing of the monomers, give the random – eld domain size I_{tf} , which indicates how many monomers are correlated in a single domain. This domain size determines the sharpness of the majority-rule curve. Our theory agrees well with the current experiments, and it shows how future experiments can control the chiral order.

We thank M.M.Green and J.M.Schnur form any helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] P.G. de Gennes and J. Prost, The Physics of Liquid Crystals (Clarendon, Oxford, second edition, 1993).
- [2] J.V. Selinger, Z.-G.W ang, R.F. Bruinsma, and C.M. Knobler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1139 (1993).
- [3] C.J.Eckhardt et al., Nature 362, 614 (1993).
- [4] R. Viswanathan, J. A. Zasadzinski, and D. K. Schwartz, Nature 368, 440 (1994).
- [5] J.V. Selinger and R.L.B. Selinger, Phys. Rev. E 51, R860 (1995).
- [6] J.M. Schnur, Science 262, 1669 (1993).
- [7] W .Helfrich and J.Prost, Phys. Rev. A 38, 3065 (1988).
- [8] P.Nelson and T.Powers, J.Phys. II France 3, 1535 (1993).
- [9] D. S. Chung, G. B. Benedek, F. M. Koniko, and J. M. Donovan, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90, 11341 (1993).
- [10] J.V. Selinger and J.M. Schnur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 4091 (1993).
- [11] J.M. Schnur et al., Science 264, 945 (1994).
- [12] C.-M. Chen, T. C. Lubensky, and F. C. MacK intosh, Phys. Rev. E 51, 504 (1995).
- [13] M.M.Green et al., J.Am.Chem.Soc. 117, 4181 (1995).
- [14] Y. Im ry and S.K. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 1399 (1975).
- [15] T.Natterm ann and J.Villain, Phase Transitions 11, 5 (1988).
- [16] T.Natterm ann and P.Rujan, Int. J.M od. Phys. B 3, 1597 (1989).
- [17] M.M.Green et al, Science 268, 1860 (1995).

- [18] S.Lifson, C.Andreola, N.C.Peterson, and M.M.Green, J.Am.Chem.Soc.111, 8850 (1989).
- [19] A.L.Kholodenko and K.F.Freed, Macrom olecules 15, 899 (1982).
- [20] J.-C. Lin, P.L. Taylor, and R. Rangel, Phys. Rev. E 47, 981 (1993).
- [21] R. Zhang and P.L. Taylor, J. Appl. Phys. 73, 1395 (1993).
- [22] O.Heinonen and P.L.Taylor, J.Phys.: Condens.M atter 5, 3333 (1993).
- [23] S. Lifson, C. E. Felder, and M. M. Green, Macrom olecules 25, 4142 (1992).
- [24] M. Zhang and G. B. Schuster, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116, 4852 (1994).

FIGURES



FIG.1. M olecular structure of the polyisocyanate with enantiom eric pendant groups derived from citronellic acid, which was studied in Ref. [13].



FIG.2. Symbols: Optical activity [] of the random copolymer at the sodium D-line as a function of enantiomer concentration p, from Ref. [13]. Solid line: Theoretical prediction for the chiral order parameter M from Eq. (4), with the domain size L = 164. The prediction agrees with the data with no adjustable parameters, other than the relative scale of the vertical axes.



FIG.3. Numerical simulation of the chiral order parameter M as a function of enantiomer concentration p for chain length N = 230. The solid line shows a t to the prediction of Eq. (4), which gives the domain size L (230) = 96.



FIG.4. The domain size L (N) from numerical simulations for several values of the chain length N. The solid line shows a t to the extrapolation form 1=L (N) = $1=L_{max} + 1=N$, or L (N) = $L_{max}N = (L_{max} + N)$, which gives $L_{max} = 164$. The inset shows the extrapolation up to N = 6000.