Spin and charge excitations in a Three-Legs Fermionic Ladder: a Renormalization-Group study.

Enrico Arrigoni
Institut für Theoretische Physik Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany
Tel. +49-931-888-5892; Fax. +49 931 888-5141; E-Mail: arrigoni@physik.uni-wuerzburg.de

arXiv:cond-mat/9509145v3 24 Jun 1996

We study the spin and charge phase diagram of a three-legs ladder (at zero temperature) as a function of fermion density and of transverse single-particle hopping by means of a Renormalization-Group analysis rigorously controlled in the weak-coupling limit.

Periodic boundary conditions in the direction transverse to the ladder produce frustrated magnetic excitations yielding a spin-gapped phase in a large region about and at half filling. Spin correlations are instead enhanced when open transverse boundary conditions are considered, yielding an ungapped phase in a wide region about half filling (as observed in the $Sr_{n-1}Cu_{n+1}O_{2n}$ stripes compounds) and at half filling down to a critical value of the transverse hopping $t_\perp$. At that critical value, the system undergoes a Mott transition at half filling by decreasing $t_\perp$.

PACS numbers : 72.15.Nj, 64.60.Ak, 71.27.+a

The problem of coupled one-dimensional (1-D) systems of interacting fermions (ladders) [1–4] has recently received considerable attention among condensed-matter physicists due to the possible connections with high-Tc superconductivity. In addition, these systems present unusual properties whose analysis can give valuable new insights into the properties of low-dimensional quantum systems. An interesting topic addressed in this field is the contrast between the magnetic properties of ladders with even and odd numbers of legs, in analogy with 1-D systems with integer and half-integer spin. [2,5]

This theoretical interest has been further prompted by the discovery of the $Sr_{n-1}Cu_{n+1}O_{2n}$ compounds, whose lattice structure shows strips of $(n+1)/2$-legs fermionic ladders. [6] Different measures on these systems, show that a spin gap (signaled by an exponential falloff of the magnetic susceptibility as the temperature decreases) occurs in the even-legs compounds (at least at half filling), while the odd-legs systems remain ungapped.

In a strictly 1-D spin 1/2 system the low-lying spin and charge excitations are completely separated and the associated correlation functions show long-range oscillations with an anomalous power-law decay, whenever high-momentum-transferring processes (backward and umklapp scattering) can be neglected, allowing for the low-energy problem to be solved exactly. [7] At half filling, however, the coupling associated with the umklapp process becomes *relevant* and opens a gap in the charge excitations causing the system to be an insulator (at $T = 0$) for arbitrary small repulsion. [8] This does not happen for the repulsive backward scattering which remains *irrelevant* leading to quasi-long-range-ordered spin degrees of freedom. The problem is thus to understand when a given processes is important (relevant) for the low-energy (or, equivalently, long-range) properties of the system. This issue is best addressed in the framework of the renormalization group (RG), whereby one follows the evolution (flow) of the couplings as the system is observed by larger and larger length scales. [9]

The same question can be addressed for multi-legs systems, where the analysis is complicated by the larger number of parameters. Extensive RG studies have been carried out for two-legs ladders, [1,3,4] and for spin systems with an higher number of legs [2]. The results of these calculations clearly show that the crossover from a 1-D system to a system with many legs is far from being smooth at least concerning spin excitations, which are exponentially suppressed in even-legs system, while they are quasi-long-range ordered in systems with an odd number of legs. Data from the $Sr_{n-1}Cu_{n+1}O_{2n}$ ladder systems agree with these results. [6] A smooth behavior as a function of the number of legs should be then recovered when either even-legs or odd-legs systems are considered separately. In this sense, a three-legs system is the first continuous extension of the purely 1-D system. Anomalous exponents for the uniform charge degrees of freedom should instead continuously decrease with the number of legs, at least away from half filling. [4]

It is thus worthwhile to address the properties of an odd-legs system, by extending the analysis also to the *charge* degrees of freedom and by considering the behavior of the system upon doping. In this paper, we study the phase diagram of a three-legs spin 1/2 fermionic ladder by means of weak-coupling RG approach like the one applied to one [8] and two coupled [1,3] one-dimensional systems, here restricting to one-loop order. We mainly analyze the case of open boundary conditions in the y direction (OBC), since it represents the experimental situation and it is free from frustration in the magnetic interactions. Our results yield indeed ungapped spin modes in a wide region about half filling, and at half filling for an almost isotropic system. In the same region, the system is a conductor, whereas a metal-to-insulator transition appears at half filling for low values of t_p.

Specifically, we consider a system of 3 identical one-dimensional single-band models (legs), each with band energy $\epsilon(k)$ ($|k| \leq \pi$), coupled via a single-particle transverse hopping t_p and supplemented with a generic short-range interaction. For the sake of definiteness, we will specialize to the Hubbard model with on-site repulsion U and nearest-neighbor hopping t, although we will eventually allow for extensions. By diagonalizing the single-particle term containing t_p and $\epsilon(k)$ one obtains 3 "parallel" bands with energies $\epsilon(k,\alpha) = \epsilon(k) - T_p(\alpha)$ where

$\alpha = 1, \ldots, 3$ labels the 3 bands, and $T_p(\alpha) = \sqrt{2}\, t_p(\alpha - 2)$ or $2\, t_p \cos \frac{2\pi(\alpha-1)}{3}$ for OBC and periodic boundary conditions in the y direction (PBC), respectively.

Within an RG approach one first "integrates out" the degrees of freedom far from the Fermi surface (consisting of 6 points when all bands cross the Fermi surface) and restricts the range of the momenta to regions up to a cutoff Λ about each Fermi point, such that, within each of these disconnected regions, the dispersion can be approximated with a linear function and the interactions can be taken as k-independent. The renormalization of the parameters (Fermi momenta, Fermi velocities, and interactions) during this "preliminary" integration can be neglected in the weak-coupling limit and their bare values can be used as "input" for the linearized system. [3]

The generic interaction limited to the restricted regions can thus be written

$$\sum_{\substack{k,k',q \\ \sigma,\sigma'}} \sum_{r_l,\alpha_l} g_{\sigma\cdot\sigma'}(r_1,\alpha_1; r_3,\alpha_3 | r_4,\alpha_4; r_2,\alpha_2) \times c^\dagger_{r_1,\alpha_1,k+q,\sigma}\, c_{r_2,\alpha_2,k,\sigma}\, c^\dagger_{r_3,\alpha_3,k'-q,\sigma'}\, c_{r_4,\alpha_4,k',\sigma'} \times \delta_P \left(\sum_{l=1}^{4} (-1)^l\; r_l\; K(\alpha_l) \right) \qquad (1)$$

where $c_{r_l,\alpha_l,k,\sigma}$ ($c^\dagger_{r_l,\alpha_l,k,\sigma}$) is the destruction (creation) operator for a fermion with spin σ, momentum k relative to the Fermi point $r_l \cdot K(\alpha_l)$, and belonging to band α_l. In (1) the sum over momenta is restricted to $|k+q|, |k|, |k'-q|, |k'| \leq \Lambda$, and $r_l = \pm 1$ indicates the branch of the Fermi surface where the particle resides. Conservation of crystal momentum is enforced by the function δ_P.

The allowed values of the couplings g are, of course, constrained by symmetries such as conservation of crystal momentum in the longitudinal (x) direction, spin conservation, parity under reflection about the x axis, (in the PBC case, also transverse momentum conservation), time reversal and particle-exchange symmetry. These symmetries severely restrict the allowed couplings, especially if there is no particular relation between the Fermi momenta at the given value of the particle density.

In the OBC case, the allowed couplings can be classified like for the two-legs system, [10] where two band indices α_l in (1) belong to one band and the other two to another or to the same band, alternatively with parallel or antiparallel spin combinations, whereby the r_l are fixed by x momentum conservation. [11] On the contrary, for PBC the situation is more complicated since (a) some processes are forbidden by transverse momentum conservation, and (b) the degeneracy of the two bands $\alpha = 2$ and $\alpha = 3$ allows for anomalous processes in which particles are scattered among all three bands. In addition, more couplings are present at special particle densities both in the OBC (for example, at half filling) and in the PBC case. [3]

The RG flow is generated by reducing the momentum cutoff Λ by infinitesimal steps and by modifying (renormalizing) the parameters of the Hamiltonian in such a way that the partition function remains fixed. [9] At one-loop order, the differential renormalization of the parameters is evaluated by integrating the momenta of the internal lines over an infinitesimal shell of width $\Delta\Lambda$ at the cutoff in the corresponding diagram. [1] The differential renormalization $\Delta g_{\sigma\cdot\sigma'}(r_1,\alpha_1; r_3,\alpha_3 | r_4,\alpha_4; r_2,\alpha_2)$ of a given coupling is simply obtained by evaluating the "bubble" diagrams of Fig. 1 with zero external momenta and wave vectors, whereby the internal wave vectors q are restricted to the infinitesimal "shell" $\Lambda - \Delta\Lambda < |q| < \Lambda$. The integration is readily evaluated yielding from each diagram the contribution $\eta\, \delta_{r_5+r_6}\, g_A\, g_B\, \frac{1}{2\pi(|v_5|+|v_6|)}\, \frac{\Delta\Lambda}{\Lambda}$, where v_5 and v_6 are the Fermi velocities of the internal lines 5 and 6, respectively, and η is a symmetry and sign factor. [11] The total contribution to the renormalization of a given couplings corresponding to given external "legs" $1, 2, 3, 4$ of the diagram is then obtained by summing over all possible sets of labels ($\alpha_l = 1, \ldots, 3$, $r_l = \pm 1$, and $\sigma = \pm 1$) for the internal lines 5 and 6 *corresponding to allowed couplings g_A and g_B* for the points A and B. [11] In particular, it follows as usually that couplings with $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = r_4$ do not renormalize at the lowest order. [1,3,10] The effect of the renormalization of Fermi velocities and momenta can be neglected at this order.




FIG. 1 . One-loop diagrams contributing to the renormalization of the couplings $g(1;3|4;2)$.

After carrying out the burdensome but straightforward summation for the different couplings, by means of a computer algorithm for symbolic calculations, we end up with a set of quadratic RG differential equations, (40 equations at half filling, 27 for general density, in the OBC case, 24 in the PBC case) that can be written:

$$\frac{d\, g_i}{d\, \tau} = A^i_{j,l}\, g_j\, g_l \;, \qquad (2)$$

where $\tau = -\ln \Lambda$, the indices i, j, and l label the allowed processes, and the matrix elements $A^i_{j,l}$ depend on the Fermi velocities.

The precise expression for the system of differential equations (2) would be too long to reproduce here, [11] however, it can be readily solved numerically by inserting

initial values for the couplings depending on the particular model. The purpose here is to determine which couplings are most *relevant* in the RG sense, that is, which ones of the couplings in (1) are important for the low-energy properties of the system. This is achieved by looking at the behavior of their RG flux. It turns out that, depending on the initial conditions, some of the couplings will diverge at a certain cutoff scale $\tau = \tau_0$ and some not (only in few cases, all couplings converge). In the weak-coupling limit the *renormalized* Hamiltonian, whereby only the relevant couplings are retained, can be constructed by fitting the asymptotic behavior of each coupling by the power law $g_i(\tau) \approx \frac{g_{i0}}{(\tau_0 - \tau)}$, and by replacing each g_i by its associated g_{i0} in the Hamiltonian. [3] This procedure is *controlled* in the weak-coupling limit since one can always choose an arbitrary small U such that the asymptotic behavior occurs in the weak-coupling regime.

In order to understand the low-energy physics that follows from the renormalized Hamiltonian, we write it in terms of bosonic fields, [3] by expressing the fermionic destruction operators in the real-space representation as [7]

$$c_{r,\alpha,\sigma}(x) \approx C\sqrt{\Lambda}\exp[i(rK(\alpha)\,x - (r\phi_{\rho,\alpha}(x) - \theta_{\rho,\alpha}(x)) + \sigma(r\phi_{\sigma,\alpha}(x) - \theta_{\sigma,\alpha}(x)))]\,, \quad (3)$$

where C is a constant of order unity, and $\phi_{p,\alpha}(x)$, $\theta_{p,\alpha}(x)$ are dual canonical bosonic fields associated with the charge ($p = \rho$) or spin ($p = \sigma$) modes on band α.




FIG. 2 . Phase diagram for the three-legs Hubbard ladder with open transverse boundary conditions (OBC) as a function of transverse hopping $t_p > 0$, and particle density $\rho \geq 1$. Thick lines represent regions (*phase lines*) where a phase occurs *for a strict relation between the Fermi momenta* in the $U \to 0^+$ limit. Phase boundaries are indicated by dashed lines for the extended regions and by dots for the phase lines. Conventions for the labeling of the different phases are explained in the text.

The resulting bosonic Hamiltonian consists of two kinds of couplings. Couplings of the *density-density* type (containing operators of the type $c^\dagger_{r,\alpha,\sigma}(x)c_{r,\alpha,\sigma}(x)c^\dagger_{r',\alpha',\sigma'}(y)c_{r',\alpha',\sigma'}(y)$) contribute to the quadratic ungapped part of the Hamiltonian by mixing the different band modes, and renormalizing the Fermi velocities. The model restricted to density-density type couplings *conserves spin and charge at each point of the Fermi surface and is the analogue of a Fermi liquid in quasi-one dimension*, it is exactly solvable and shows spin-charge separation as well as a power-law behavior of correlation functions. However, the rest of the couplings (which will be here referred to as boson-interaction couplings) add to the Hamiltonian complicated "cosinus"-like terms that cannot be treated exactly. The standard way to deal with these terms, whenever they turn out to be relevant, is to expand the bosonic fields about one of the (equivalent) minima of the interacting Hamiltonian thus yielding a mass gap for the associated bosonic field. [3,12]

We first analyze the region where all three bands cross the Fermi surface [region (A) of Fig. 2] and no special combinations of Fermi momenta occur. As it is clear from the discussion above, we are interested in determining when some of the three charge and three spin modes (one for each band) acquire a gap due to the relevant processes. For this reason, we only need to consider the relevant couplings which are of the boson-interaction type. In this case, one has two "backward" couplings $g_b(i)$ reversing the spin in the two opposite branches of each of the two bands $i = 1$ and 3, and two processes $g_{fb}(1,3)$ transferring particles that move in opposite directions from band 1 to 3 and vice-versa (with different spin symmetry). Band 2 turns out to be asymptotically free. The associated boson-interaction term, obtained by using Eq. (3) reads:

$$g_b(1)\,\cos(4\,\phi_{\sigma,1}) + g_b(3)\,\cos(4\,\phi_{\sigma,3}) + 2\,g_{fb}(1,3)\,\sin(2\phi_{\sigma,1})\,\sin(2\phi_{\sigma,3})\cos[2(\theta_{\rho,1} - \theta_{\rho,3})]\,. \quad (4)$$

Expansion about the minimum at $\phi_{\sigma,\alpha} = \pi/4 \quad (\alpha = 1,3)$ and $\theta_{\rho,-} \equiv \theta_{\rho,1} - \theta_{\rho,3} = \pi/2$, yields a mass gap for the two spin modes $\phi_{\sigma,1}$ and $\phi_{\sigma,3}$, and for the odd charge mode $\theta_{\rho,-}$. Using the same notation as in Ref. [3], whereby a phase with n gapless charge and m gapless spin modes is referred to as CnSm, we have here a C2S1 phase (see Fig. 2). Note that, unlike the two-legs system, where a C1S0 phase occurs, [3] there are *ungapped spin modes* about half filling, which implies, for example, that the spin correlation function does not decay exponentially.

The situation is quite different for the PBC system. In this case, several boson-interaction couplings can become relevant, including some of the processes that involve particles in the three different bands. Two regions can be distinguished: When the Fermi velocity v_{F1} of the first band is larger than the velocities of the other two (degenerate) bands v_{F23}, the relevant couplings produce a C2S1 phase similarly to OBC. On the other hand, when $v_{F1} < v_{F23}$ only the uniform charge mode is ungapped yielding a C1S0 phase. Notice that this last region includes half filling, where a spin-liquid phase is expected, due to the frustration of the periodic system.

At half filling, a number of additional couplings are allowed for the OBC system. Due to the relation

$K(1) + K(3) = 2K(2) = \pi$, one can have umklapp processes with several combinations of Fermi momenta all equal to 2π. It follows that, in addition to the usual umklapp term for band $\alpha = 2$ one has combined umklapp processes for bands $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 3$ as well as processes that scatter particles from and to the three different bands. The behavior of the RG flow for these couplings turns out to be different depending on the value of t_p. For $t_p/t > x_c \approx 0.86$ the renormalized Hamiltonian is restricted to the combined $1-3$ umklapp terms (equal to $g_{fb}(1,3)$) and to the interaction terms (4) (and to unimportant density-density terms). Therefore, in addition to (4) one has a bosonic interaction of the form $g_{fb}(1,3)\,\cos[2(\phi_{\rho,1}+\phi_{\rho,3})][\cos(2\theta_{\rho,-}) + 2\cos(2\phi_{\sigma,1})\cos(2\phi_{\sigma,3})]$ which leads to an additional gap in the $\phi_{\rho,1}+\phi_{\rho,3}$ charge mode and to a C1S1 phase. Remarkably, the umklapp process on band 2 is suppressed by the interaction with bands 1 and 3 and is thus irrelevant in this region. The system is thus a *conductor* (the current being carried by the band $\alpha = 2$) with an *ungapped spin mode*. [13]

For $t_p/t < x_c$ a number of additional couplings, including some of the processes that involve particles in the three different bands become relevant. The analysis of the complicated boson-interaction Hamiltonian [11] leads to a C0S0 phase with *completely gapped charge and spin modes* (like for the two-legs system at half filling [3]). One therefore has a *metal-to insulator transition* for decreasing t_p at $t_p/t = x_c$. Notice that, regarding the spin modes, our results are consistent with the experiments (which probe an essentially isotropic system, thus $t_p/t \approx 1$). [6,13] It would be interesting to verify whether in anisotropic spin ladders ($t_p < t$) a spin gap is present at half filling or whether our result is due to the weak-coupling condition.

The half-filling condition for band 1 is fulfilled on the upper thick line of Fig. 2 . In this region a single gapped charge mode occurs. [3] We shall neglect other special cases which can arise due to particular combinations of Fermi momenta or when a band edge touches the Fermi level. [3]

In region (B) of the phase diagram only two bands cross the Fermi surface. The analysis of this region is identical to that for the two-legs ladder [3] yielding the same set of RG equations and similar phases. Finally, in the remaining regions (C) and (D) only one band is involved and one recovers the results of the purely one-dimensional system. [8]

In order to check the dependence on the detailed features of the particular model, we have tested our results by including, alternatively, a nearest-neighbor U_{nn} and a transverse U_p interaction. While values of U_{nn}/U up to 0.5 essentially don't modify the phase diagram, it turns out that already with $U_p/U \gtrsim 0.2$ charge and spin excitations tend to be suppressed and additional gaps arise especially in the neighborhood of the phase boundaries, both at half filling and at finite doping.

In conclusion, we have investigated the weak-coupling phase diagram of three coupled one-dimensional electronic systems modeled by a Hubbard Hamiltonian (allowing eventually for some extensions) with open boundary conditions in the transverse direction. Consistently with experiments on $Sr_2Cu_3O_5$, spin modes are ungapped in a large region at finite doping and at half filling for t_p/t greater than a critical value x_c. In the same region, the system is a conductor for U small enough and a Mott transition occurs at half filling by decreasing t_p. We have also analyzed the system with periodic transverse boundary conditions which shows, in contrast, a spin gap in a large region at and close to half filling.

As it was clear for the two-legs case, [3] the interest of this analysis applied to a quasi-one-dimensional system relies upon the non-trivial phase diagram which arises already at weak coupling. Here, the system is *strongly correlated even at infinitesimal* U. By increasing U, one should expect a smooth deformation of the phase boundaries and a widening of the isolated phase lines in Fig. 2 , such that the general features of the phase diagram should not change dramatically for finite U (up to a certain value [13]).

We thank G. C. Strinati, B. Brendel, J. Voit, H. J. Schulz, and W. Hanke for stimulating discussions and precious suggestions. The author acknowledges research support from the ECC under the network program N. ERBCHRX-CT940438.

[1] M. Fabrizio, A. Parola, and E. Tosatti, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 3159 (1992); M. Fabrizio, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 15838 (1993).
[2] S.R. White, R.M. Noack, and D.J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 886 (1994). D. J. Scalapino, Nature 377, 12;
[3] L. Balents and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B *in press*.
[4] H. J. Schulz, preprint cond-mat 9412098 (1994).
[5] S. Gopalan, T. M. Rice, and M. Sigrist, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 8901 (1994).
[6] K. Kojima, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2812 (1995).
[7] V. J. Emery, in *Highly-Conducting One-Dimensional Solids*, edited by J. T. Devreese, R. E. Evrard, and V. E. van Doren, Plenum Press, New York (1979).
[8] See, e. g., J. Sòlyom, Adv. Phys. **28**, 201 (1979).
[9] See, e.g., K. G. Wilson and J. Kogut, Physics Reports **12**, 75 (1974).
[10] C. M. Varma and A. Zawadowski, Phys. Rev. B **32**, 7399 (1985).
[11] Details will be given elsewhere: E. Arrigoni (unpublished).
[12] Despite the presence of the boson-interaction term one can still obtain an ungapped mode whenever a dual symmetry $\phi \leftrightarrow \theta$ is present (see Ref. [4]), this situation, however, never occurs in our results.
[13] One expects that the metallic half-filling phase (for $t/t_p > x_c$) becomes insulating at a certain finite $U = U_c$ due to the relevance of the umklapp scattering in band 2 at higher order. On the contrary, spin modes should be enhanced by increasing U.