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#### Abstract

The characteristic multi-dimensional integrals that represent physical quantities in random-matrix models, when calculated within the supersymmetry method, can be related to a class of integrals introduced in the context of two-dimensional conformal field theories by Dotsenko \& Fateev. Known results on these Dotsenko-Fateev integrals provide a means by which to perform explicit calculations (otherwise difficult) in random-matrix theory. We illustrate this by (i) an evaluation of the mean squared S-matrix elements for the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble coupled with $M$ external channels, and (ii) a direct derivation of the asymptotic behaviour of the dynamical density-density correlator in the limit of large spatial and temporal separation for the Calogero-Sutherland model which, at certain couplings, is known to map onto the parameter-dependent random matrix ensembles
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## 1 The GOE Problem with External Channels

### 1.1 Background

Various problems in quantum physics involving classical chaos or disorder can be modelled by Hamiltonians belonging to one of the standard ensembles of Gaussian-distributed random matrices $\mathbb{\square}$ ] coupled to (non-chaotic) external channels. The random Hamiltonian models the chaotic dynamics of the internal system - which may be the compound nucleus in a nuclear scattering problem [2], a ballistic electronmicrostructure in the shape of a classically chaotic cavity [3], or a disordered mesoscopic wire exhibiting diffusive electron motion 四. In the example from nuclear physics, the external channels represent the open decay modes of the compound nucleus; while in the mesoscopic conductance problems, they enumerate the transverse modes of the electron wave-function which enter or leave the chaotic system through attached ideally-conducting leads.

The central physical observable of relevance in such systems is the S-matrix, for which the external channels constitute the asymptotic states. In nuclear-physics applications, the cross-section is related to the square of S-matrix elements. In applications from condensed-matter physics, it is the conductance that can be obtained by summing the squares of the S-matrix elements describing transmission. This relationship arises from the Landauer formula, viz.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\sum_{a=1}^{M / 2} \sum_{b=M / 2+1}^{M}\left\{\left|S_{a b}\right|^{2}+\left|S_{b a}\right|^{2}\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the index $a$ sums over the $M / 2$ incoming channels and $b$ sums over the $M / 2$ outgoing channels. Here, $g$ here denotes the dimensionless conductance, and is related to the physical conductance $G$ by $g=h / e^{2} \cdot G$. There is, in fact, a close analogy between the statistical behaviour of cross-section and conductance for such random-matrix problems. For example, there appear parallel universal characteristics in the two types of system: Ericsson fluctuations in nuclear cross-sections and universal conductance fluctuations in mesoscopic physics [4] 6]. These comparisons are discussed more fully in Ref. (7.

Thus, in order to study the statistical properties of the cross-section or conductance, one is interested in calculating the ensemble averages of moments of S-matrix elements over the distributions of the random Hamiltonian matrices.

The coupling of the random system with the external channels $c=1,2, \ldots, M$ is fully characterized by the so-called sticking probabilities $T_{c}$, which range between zero and unity. The value of $T_{c}$ can be loosely viewed as an impedance mismatch for a given channel, which interpolates between total instantaneous reflection and perfect transmission for values of zero and unity, respectively. The $T_{c}$ are derived as
expressions involving combinations of all the microscopic parameters of the lead-system interface. These quantities are generally unknown and one does not try to specify them. Rather, assumptions are made directly about the sticking probabilities, based on the macroscopic characteristics of the experimental set-up. In most cases, the channels are physically equivalent, and so it is usual to take all the sticking probabilities to be equal. Also, in mesoscopic conductance problems, in the absence of detailed information, one typically sets the value of this common sticking probability to unity, thereby assuming perfect electron transmission at the interface. This represents a maximally symmetric situation that is amenable to exact mathematical analysis.

With the simplifications stated above having been made, the ensemble average of a typical squared S-matrix element $\left|S_{a b}\right|^{2}$ is independent of the channel indices $a, b$ provided $a \neq b$. Furthermore, in the case of the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (GOE), the presence of elastic enhancement (or equivalently - in mesoscopic physics - coherent back-scattering) ensures that $\overline{\left|S_{a a}\right|^{2}}=2 \overline{\left.S_{a b}\right|^{2}}$ for all $a \neq b$. Application of the supersymmetry method [8, 2] to problems with GOE symmetry in general yields analytical results for $\overline{\left|S_{a b}\right|^{2}}$ in terms of superintegrals over a 16 -dimensional coset-manifold of $8 \times 8$ supermatrices $Q$. The superintegration ranges over eight commuting (real) degrees of freedom and eight anti-commuting (Grassmann) variables. These superintegrals can normally be reduced further to characteristic triple (ordinary) integrals over one compact parameter and two non-compact (semi-infinite) ones. These parameters can be identified (modulo coordinate transformations) with the distinct eigenvalues of the supermatrices $Q$. For the problem at hand, one obtains the expression given in Eq. (C.13) of Ref. 9, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\left|S_{a b}\right|^{2}}=\frac{1}{4} I_{M}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $a \neq b$, where $I_{M}$ denotes the integral

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{M}= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} d \mu \int_{1}^{\infty} d \mu_{1} \int_{1}^{\infty} d \mu_{2} \frac{\left|\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right|}{\left[\left(\mu_{1}-1\right) \mu_{1}\left(\mu_{2}-1\right) \mu_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}} \cdot \frac{\mu^{M}}{\left(\mu_{1} \mu_{2}\right)^{M / 2}} \\
& \cdot \frac{\mu(1-\mu)}{\left(\mu_{1}-\mu\right)^{2}\left(\mu_{2}-\mu\right)^{2}}\left[\frac{2}{\mu}-\frac{1}{\mu_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mu_{2}}\right] \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We should note that the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \mapsto 1-\mu, \quad \mu_{1} \mapsto \mu_{1}-1, \quad \mu_{2} \mapsto \mu_{2}-1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been made relative to Ref. 9 .

It will be advantageous to make the further change of integration variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{1}{t}, \quad \mu_{1}=\frac{1}{t_{1}}, \quad \mu_{2}=\frac{1}{t_{2}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that we can rewrite $I_{M}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{M}=\int_{1}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d t_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{2} \frac{\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|}{\left[\left(1-t_{1}\right)\left(1-t_{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}} \cdot \frac{\left(t_{1} t_{2}\right)^{M / 2}}{t^{M}} \cdot \frac{t-1}{\left(t-t_{1}\right)^{2}\left(t-t_{2}\right)^{2}} \cdot\left(t-t_{1}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have made use of the fact that the integrand of $I_{M}$ is symmetric in the variables $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$.

By appealing to the general principles of (i) unitarity of the S-matrix and of (ii) elastic enhancement in the presence of orthogonal symmetry, one can argue that the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\left|S_{a b}\right|^{2}}=1 /(M+1) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

must hold, assuming $T_{c}=1$ for all $c=1,2, \ldots, M$, as we have done 9. Evaluating the integrals in Eq. (1.3) directly is quite another matter, in spite of the simplicity of the final result. Let us also note here that the ensuing expression for the mean dimensionless conductance $\bar{g}$ under the present assumptions is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{g(M)}=\frac{M^{2}}{2} \overline{\left|S_{a b}\right|^{2}}=\frac{M^{2}}{8} I_{M} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral in Eq. (1.3) has been evaluated explicitly in Appendix C of Ref. 9 after a lengthy and convoluted calculation using a method that will not readily generalize upon the introduction of additional complications. One such complication that is of physical interest is the incorporation of a parameter $s$ which measures the breaking of orthogonal symmetry due to the presence of a magnetic field and which drives the transition towards behaviour described by the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble. If one were to imagine expanding the corresponding result for $\overline{\left|S_{a b}\right|^{2}}$ in powers or inverse powers of $s$, in order to study the limiting cases of small amd large magnetic fields, respectively, then the coeffients in such an expansion would also be triple integrals of the same general nature, which one could expect to evaluate analytically as algebraic expressions in the number of channels $M$.

Such terms were evaluated using laborious ad hoc procedures in Appendix D of Ref. 9. It would be very useful to have a general systematic method for performing these calculations, as well as those for the higher dimensional integrals that would arise from considering higher order correlators (like four-point functions) in the pure GOE plus external channels problem.

### 1.2 Dotsenko-Fateev Integrals

The integral in Eq. (1.6) actually bears a close relationship with a class of integrals introduced first in the context of four-point correlation functions in two-dimensional conformal field theories by Dotsenko \& Fateev [10]. The Selberg integral, which is already known to be intimately connected with the CalogeroSutherland model and with random-matrix theory in the guise of the pure GOE problem without coupling to external channels [11], is in fact a special case of a Dotsenko-Fateev (DF) integral.

After appropriate variable transformations chosen to cast it into a form suitable for the present
application, the general DF integral can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}_{n m}(\alpha, \beta ; \rho)=\frac{1}{m!n!} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int_{1}^{\infty} d t_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{1} d \tau_{j}\left|f_{n m}\left(\left\{t_{i}\right\},\left\{\tau_{j}\right\} ; \alpha, \beta, \rho\right)\right| \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n m}\left(\left\{t_{i}\right\},\left\{\tau_{j}\right\} ; \alpha, \beta, \rho\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}^{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(t_{i}-1\right)^{\beta^{\prime}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \tau_{j}^{\alpha}\left(1-\tau_{j}\right)^{\beta} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i<i^{\prime}}\left(t_{i}-t_{i^{\prime}}\right)^{2 \rho^{\prime}} \prod_{j<j^{\prime}}\left(\tau_{j}-\tau_{j^{\prime}}\right)^{2 \rho}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(t_{i}-\tau_{j}\right)^{2}} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constraints on the parameters that ensure solvability of the integral are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}=-\rho^{\prime} \alpha, \quad \beta^{\prime}=-\rho^{\prime} \beta, \quad \rho^{\prime}=\rho^{-1} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the form that appears in Ref. 12, where the result of its evaluation can be found. According to Eq.(3.8) of Ref. 12, the value of this integral can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{J}_{n m}(\alpha, \beta ; \rho)= & \rho^{2 m n} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(\ell / \rho)}{\Gamma(1 / \rho)} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(j \rho-n)}{\Gamma(\rho)} \\
& \cdot \prod_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(1-\beta / \rho+\ell / \rho) \Gamma(-1+2 m+\alpha / \rho+\beta / \rho-(n-1+\ell) / \rho)}{\Gamma(\alpha / \rho-\ell / \rho)} \\
& \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(1-n+\alpha+j \rho) \Gamma(1-n+\beta+j \rho)}{\Gamma(2-n+\alpha+\beta+(m-1+j) \rho)} \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

having implemented the conditions on the parameters $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime}$ given in Eq. (1.11).

As it turns out, a knowledge of the dependence of the DF integral $\mathcal{J}_{12}(\alpha, \beta ; \rho)$ on arbitrary values of its parameters $\alpha, \beta, \rho$ is required in order to evaluate the particular integral expression (1.6) for $I_{M}$. Specifically, we shall need to consider the more general class of integrals defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
J[f] \equiv & \int_{1}^{\infty} d t t^{\alpha^{\prime}}(t-1)^{\beta^{\prime}} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{1} t_{1}^{\alpha}\left(1-t_{1}\right)^{\beta} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{2} t_{2}^{\alpha}\left(1-t_{2}\right)^{\beta} \\
& \cdot \frac{\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\lambda}}{\left(t-t_{1}\right)^{2}\left(t-t_{2}\right)^{2}} f\left(t, t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{align*}
$$

and we shall require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}=-\frac{2 \alpha}{\lambda}, \quad \beta^{\prime}=-\frac{2 \beta}{\lambda} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $f\left(t, t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \equiv 1$, the integral $J[f]$ belongs to the class of integrals discussed and evaluated analytically by Dotsenko \& Fateev, i.e., those encompassed by Eqs. (1.9)-(1.11). We have $J[1]=2 \mathcal{J}_{12}(\alpha, \beta ; \rho)$, and we satisfy the solvability conditions of Eq. (1.11) with the choice

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\prime}=\frac{2}{\lambda}, \quad \rho=\frac{\lambda}{2} . \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note however, that the parameter $\rho$ does not actually enter our expression because we are considering the special case where there exists only a single non-compact integration variable (viz. $t$ ).

What we have encountered in the present application is a moment of the DF integral. We must choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=t-t_{1} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha=M / 2, & \alpha^{\prime}=-M \\
\beta=-1 / 2, & \beta^{\prime}=1 \tag{1.17}
\end{array}
$$

These latter relations imply that ultimately $\lambda=1$ must be considered. The arbitrariness in $\lambda$ at this stage is required to ensure the convergence of all intermediate steps. Thus, in the framework of Dotsenko \& Fateev, we have $\rho=1 / 2, \rho^{\prime}=2$, which are values characteristic of problems with GOE symmetry.

As mentioned above, one is interested in computing moments of DF integrals, such as the one defined by Eqs. (1.13) and (1.14), in general since they will arise when considering perturbed GOE's and making asymptotic expansions of the exact integral result in powers of some small parameter.

### 1.3 The Method of Aomoto

We shall approach the problem at hand by method invented by Aomoto [13] to solve the Selberg integral. For this purpose, we introduce the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\lambda}\left(t, t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \equiv t^{\alpha^{\prime}}(t-1)^{\beta^{\prime}}\left(t_{1} t_{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left[\left(1-t_{1}\right)\left(1-t_{2}\right)\right]^{\beta} \frac{\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{\lambda}}{\left(t-t_{1}\right)^{2}\left(t-t_{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial D_{\lambda}}{\partial t}=\left[\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{t}+\frac{\beta^{\prime}}{t-1}-\frac{2}{t-t_{1}}-\frac{2}{t-t_{2}}\right] D_{\lambda} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the symmetry of the function $D_{\lambda}\left(t, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ in the variables $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$, and the fact that it vanishes sufficiently rapidly at the boundaries of the $t$-integration, viz, $t=1$ and $\infty$, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{1}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d t_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(t D_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\int_{1}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d t_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{2}\left[\left(1+\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}\right) 1+\beta^{\prime} \frac{1}{t-1}-4 \frac{t}{t-t_{1}}\right] D_{\lambda} \tag{1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(1+\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}\right) J[1]+\beta^{\prime} J\left[\frac{1}{t-1}\right]-4 J\left[\frac{t}{t-t_{1}}\right] . \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likewise, after some algebraic manipulation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{1}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d t_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(t^{2} D_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\int_{1}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d t_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{2}\left[\left(2+\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}\right) t+\beta^{\prime} 1+\beta^{\prime} \frac{1}{t-1}-4 \frac{t^{2}}{t-t_{1}}\right] D_{\lambda} \tag{1.22}
\end{align*}
$$

which leads to a second identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(2+\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}\right) J[t]+\beta^{\prime} J[1]+\beta^{\prime} J\left[\frac{1}{t-1}\right]-4 J\left[\frac{t^{2}}{t-t_{1}}\right] \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, subtracting Eq. (1.21) from Eq. (1.23) serves to eliminate the terms involving $J[1 /(t-1)]$, and yields the relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(2+\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}\right) J[t]-\left(1+\alpha^{\prime}\right) J[1]+4 J\left[\frac{t}{t-t_{1}}\right]-4 J\left[\frac{t^{2}}{t-t_{1}}\right] \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial D_{\lambda}}{\partial t_{1}}=\left[\frac{\alpha}{t_{1}}-\frac{\beta}{1-t_{1}}+\frac{2}{t-t_{1}}+\frac{\lambda}{t_{1}-t_{2}}\right] D_{\lambda} \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, upon partial integration,

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{1}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d t_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{1}}\left(t_{1} D_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\int_{1}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d t_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{2}\left[(1+\alpha+\beta) 1-\beta \frac{1}{1-t_{1}}+2 \frac{t_{1}}{t-t_{1}}+\frac{\lambda}{2}\right] D_{\lambda} \tag{1.26}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have made use of the fact that (owing to the $t_{1} \leftrightarrow t_{2}$ symmetry)

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{1}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d t_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{2} \frac{t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} D_{\lambda} & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d t_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{2}\left[\frac{t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}}+\frac{t_{2}}{t_{2}-t_{1}}\right] D_{\lambda} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d t_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{2} D_{\lambda} \tag{1.27}
\end{align*}
$$

This yields the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \lambda+\alpha+\beta\right) J[1]-\beta J\left[\frac{1}{1-t_{1}}\right]+2 J\left[\frac{t_{1}}{t-t_{1}}\right] \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{1}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d t_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{1}}\left(t_{1}^{2} D_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =\int_{1}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d t_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{2}\left[(2+\alpha) t_{1}-\beta \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{1-t_{1}}+2 \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{t-t_{1}}+\lambda t_{1}\right] D_{\lambda} \tag{1.29}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have now used the fact that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{1}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d t_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{2} \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} D_{\lambda} & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d t_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{2}\left[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{t_{1}-t_{2}}+\frac{t_{2}^{2}}{t_{2}-t_{1}}\right] D_{\lambda} \\
& =\int_{1}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d t_{1} \int_{0}^{1} d t_{2} t_{1} D_{\lambda} \tag{1.30}
\end{align*}
$$

After some further algebraic simplification, we arrive at the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=(2+\lambda+\alpha+\beta) J\left[t_{1}\right]+\beta J[1]-\beta J\left[\frac{1}{1-t_{1}}\right]+2 J\left[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{t-t_{1}}\right] \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting Eq. (1.28) from Eq. (1.31), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=(2+\lambda+\alpha+\beta) J\left[t_{1}\right]-\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \lambda+\alpha\right) J[1]-2 J\left[\frac{t_{1}}{t-t_{1}}\right]+2 J\left[\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{t-t_{1}}\right] \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which again has served to eliminate the terms involving $J[1 /(t-1)]$. To eliminate the terms involving $J\left[t_{1} /\left(t-t_{1}\right)\right]$ and $J\left[t_{1}^{2} /\left(t-t_{1}\right)\right]$, let us now consider the addition of Eq. (1.32) to one half times Eq. (1.24), which yields the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}\right)-1\right) J[t]+(\lambda+\alpha+\beta) J\left[t_{1}\right]-\left(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda-1)+\alpha+\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{\prime}\right) J[1] \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is more convenient to re-express this relationship as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime}\right)-1\right) J[t-1]+(\lambda+\alpha+\beta) J\left[t_{1}-1\right]+\left(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda-1)+\beta+\frac{1}{2} \beta^{\prime}\right) J[1] \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we must set $\alpha^{\prime}=-2 \alpha / \lambda, \beta^{\prime}=-2 \beta / \lambda$, which leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
J[t-1]-\lambda J\left[t_{1}-1\right] & =\frac{(\lambda-1)(\lambda+2 \beta)}{2(\alpha+\beta+\lambda)} J[1] \\
& =\frac{(\lambda-1)^{2}}{M-1+2 \lambda} J[1] \tag{1.35}
\end{align*}
$$

having performed the substitutions $\alpha=M / 2, \beta=-1 / 2$ in the last line. Therefore, assuming that the integrals implicit in $J[t-1]$ and $J\left[t_{1}-1\right]$ converge when $\lambda \rightarrow 1^{+}$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda=1}\left[t-t_{1}\right]=\frac{1}{M+1} \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 1^{+}}(1-\lambda)^{2} J_{\lambda}[1] \tag{1.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can perform the DF integral $J_{\lambda}[1]$ for $\lambda$ in the neighbourhood of unity by appealing to the results of Ref. 12. If we set $m=2, n=1, \rho=\lambda / 2$, then Eq. (3.8) of Ref. 12 (or equivalently Eq. (1.12) above) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda}[1] \underset{\lambda \rightarrow 1^{+}}{\sim} \frac{4}{(\lambda-1)^{2}} . \tag{1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{M}=J_{1}\left[t-t_{1}\right]=\frac{4}{M+1} \tag{1.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which case (recalling Eq. (1.2)),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\left|S_{a b}\right|^{2}}=\frac{1}{M+1} \tag{1.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a \neq b$, in agreement with the discussion of Ref. 9.

It is important to appreciate that because of the singularity in $J_{\lambda}[1]$ at $\lambda=1$, the calculation could not have been performed without a knowledge of the DF integral in a general neighbourhood about $\lambda=1$. Let us close the discussion with a remark on the physical significance of the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \rho$. The value $\rho=\frac{1}{2}$ is characteristic of all problems exhibiting an underlying GOE symmetry. In pure GOE problems (with no external channels), one typically deals with $\alpha=-1 / 2, \beta=-1 / 2$. For example, the density-density correlator $\overline{\rho\left(E_{1}\right) \rho\left(E_{2}\right)}$ is given by Eq. (2.10) below with $x=E_{1}-E_{2}, t=0, \lambda=1 / 2$, $p=1, q=2$ and $1 / \rho_{0}$ equal to the mean level spacing. After some simple changes of variables, the
integrand in Eq. (2.10) is seen to be of the DF type (1.13) with $\alpha=-1 / 2, \beta=-1 / 2$ and $\rho=\frac{1}{2}$. Thus we see that the introduction of $M$ external channels promotes $\alpha$ to a general value. It is an open question to determine what sort of additional degrees of freedom should be incorporated in the random-matrix model in order to move $\beta$ away from its default GOE value.

## 2 Calogero-Sutherland Model

The Calogero-Sutherland model (CSM) describes the quantum mechanics of particles on a line interacting through a $1 / r^{2}$ pairwise potential. It has been shown that this model is equivalent to a one-dimensional ideal gas of non-interacting anyons, and its excitations are known to exhibit fractional statistics. For arbitrary rational values of the coupling $\lambda=p / q, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, an exact analytical expression has been given by Ha 14], in terms of a $(p+q)$-dimensional integral, for the dynamical ground-state density-density correlation function $\langle 0| \rho(x, t) \rho(0,0)|0\rangle$. The physical significance of the integers $p, q$ is that an excited state of the CSM involving $p$ elementary quasi-particle excitations is always accompanied by $q$ quasihole excitations. The CSM is closely related to the circular ensembles of random matrix theory, with the ground-state wavefunctions of the CSM reproducing the eigenvalue distributions of random matrix ensembles corresponding to orthogonal, unitary and symplectic symmetry at couplings of $\lambda=1 / 2,1,2$, respectively. Also, after a Wick rotation in the time variable $t$, the dynamical density-density correlation at these couplings coincides with the dynamical density-density correlation for the parameter-dependent GOE, GUE and GSE, respectively 15. It is not too surprising then, to discover that the DF integral has a role to play in the calculation of physical quantities in the CSM.

Starting with the general form of the DF integral, we shall consider a limiting form obtained as the result of a certain scaling. This will lead us to an exact identity which allows one to directly compute the value of the multi-dimensional integral that represents the overall coefficient in the asymptotic behaviour of Ha's density-density correlation function in the limit of large spatial and temporal separations.

### 2.1 An Integral Identity

Suppose that in the DF integral, as given by Eqs. (1.9) and (1.10), we set $t_{i}=\exp \left\{s_{i} / \alpha\right\}$ and $\tau_{j}=\exp \left\{-\xi_{j} / \alpha\right\}$ after eliminating the parameters $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime}$ with the aid of Eq. (1.11), and then consider the limit $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. In this limit, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{n m}\left(\left\{e^{s_{i} / \rho}\right\},\left\{e^{-\xi_{j} / \rho}\right\} ; \alpha, \beta, \rho\right) \underset{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} & \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(s_{i} / \alpha\right)^{-\beta / \rho} e^{-s_{i} / \rho} \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(\xi_{j} / \alpha\right)^{\beta} e^{-\xi_{j}} \\
& . \frac{\prod_{i<i^{\prime}} \alpha^{-2 / \rho}\left(s_{i}-s_{i^{\prime}}\right)^{2 / \rho} \prod_{j<j^{\prime}} \alpha^{-2 \rho}\left(\xi_{j^{\prime}}-\xi_{j}\right)^{2 \rho}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \alpha^{-2 m n}\left(s_{i}+\xi_{j}\right)^{2}} . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1}^{\infty} d t_{i} \underset{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s_{i}, \quad \int_{0}^{1} d \tau_{j} \underset{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \xi_{j}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that Eq. (1.9) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{J}_{n m}(\alpha, \beta ; \rho) \underset{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} & \alpha^{-c_{n m}(\beta, \rho)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s_{i} s_{i}^{-\beta / \rho} e^{-s_{i} / \rho} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \xi_{j} \xi_{j}^{\beta} e^{-\xi_{j}} \\
& \frac{\left|\prod_{i<i^{\prime}}\left(s_{i}-s_{i^{\prime}}\right)^{2 / \rho} \prod_{j<j^{\prime}}\left(\xi_{j^{\prime}}-\xi_{j}\right)^{2 \rho}\right|}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(s_{i}+\xi_{j}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n m}(\beta, \rho) \equiv m+n+m \beta-n \beta / \rho+m(m-1) \rho+n(n-1) / \rho-2 m n . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Gamma(a+x)}{\Gamma(x)} \underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} x^{a}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\Gamma(1-n+\alpha+j \rho)}{\Gamma(2-n+\alpha+\beta+(m-1+j) \rho)} \underset{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \\
& \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\sim(\alpha+1+\beta+(m-1) \rho)}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \frac{1}{\alpha^{1+\beta+(m-1) \rho}},
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\Gamma(-1+2 m+\alpha / \rho+\beta / \rho-(n-1+\ell) / \rho)}{\Gamma(\alpha / \rho-\ell / \rho)} & \underset{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha / \rho-1+2 m+\beta / \rho-(n-1) / \rho)}{\Gamma(\alpha / \rho)} \\
& \underset{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}{\sim}\left(\frac{\rho}{\alpha}\right)^{1-2 m-\beta / \rho+(n-1) / \rho} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from Eq. (1.12) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{J}_{n m}(\alpha, \beta ; \rho) \underset{\alpha \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} & \alpha^{-c_{n m}(\beta, \rho)} \rho^{(1-\beta / \rho+(n-1) / \rho) n} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(\ell / \rho)}{\Gamma(1 / \rho)} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(j \rho-n)}{\Gamma(\rho)} \\
& \cdot \prod_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \Gamma(1-\beta / \rho+\ell / \rho) \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \Gamma(1-n+\beta+j \rho) . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

After equating Eq. (2.3) with Eq. (2.8) in the limit $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, we finally obtain the integral identity

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{n m}(z ; \beta, \rho) & \equiv \frac{1}{m!n!} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} d r_{i} r_{i}^{-\beta / \rho} e^{-z r_{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \eta_{j} \eta_{j}^{\beta} e^{-z \eta_{j}} \frac{\left|\prod_{i<i^{\prime}}\left(r_{i}-r_{i^{\prime}}\right)^{2 / \rho} \prod_{j<j^{\prime}}\left(\eta_{j^{\prime}}-\eta_{j}\right)^{2 \rho}\right|}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(\eta_{j}+\rho r_{i}\right)^{2}} \\
& =z^{-c_{n m}(\beta, \rho)} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(\ell / \rho)}{\Gamma(1 / \rho)} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma(j \rho-n)}{\Gamma(\rho)} \cdot \prod_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \Gamma(1-\beta / \rho+\ell / \rho) \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \Gamma(1-n+\beta+j \rho), \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

having also introduced the common scaling factor $z$ and used it in making the change of integration variables $s_{i} / \rho=z r_{i}, \xi_{j}=z \eta_{j}$.

### 2.2 Ha's Result

The exact result of Ha [14] for the dynamical ground-state density-density correlator reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle 0| \rho(x, t) \rho(0,0)|0\rangle= & C \prod_{i=1}^{q} \int_{0}^{\infty} d x_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{p} \int_{0}^{1} d y_{j} Q^{2} \frac{\left|\prod_{i<i^{\prime}}\left(x_{i}-x_{i^{\prime}}\right)^{2 \lambda} \prod_{j<j^{\prime}}\left(y_{j}-y_{j^{\prime}}\right)^{2 / \lambda}\right|}{\prod_{i=1}^{q} \prod_{j=1}^{p}\left(x_{i}+\lambda y_{j}\right)^{2}} \\
& \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{q}\left[x_{i}\left(x_{i}+\lambda\right)\right]^{\lambda-1} \prod_{j=1}^{p}\left[\lambda y_{j}\left(1-y_{j}\right)\right]^{1 / \lambda-1} \cos Q x \exp \{-i E t\}, \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
Q & =2 \pi \rho_{0}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{q} x_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{p} y_{j}\right) \\
E & =\left(2 \pi \rho_{0}\right)^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{q} x_{i}\left(x_{i}+\lambda\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda y_{j}\left(1-y_{j}\right)\right) \\
C & =\frac{\lambda^{2 p(q-1)} \Gamma^{2}(p)}{2 \pi^{2} p!q!} \frac{\Gamma^{q}(\lambda) \Gamma^{p}(1 / \lambda)}{\prod_{i=1}^{q} \Gamma^{2}(p-\lambda(i-1)) \prod_{j=1}^{p} \Gamma^{2}(1-(j-1) / \lambda)}, \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and $p, q$ are related by $p / q=\lambda$. One should note that, in the formulae given above, the symbol $\rho_{0}$ denotes the average density of states for the system $\rho_{0}=N / L$ ( $N$ being the total number of particles and $L$ the one-dimensional volume of the system), and should not be confused with the parameter of similar name $\rho$ in the DF integral.

In the region of large $x$ and $t$, the integral above is saturated by neighbourhoods of all points satisfying $x_{i}=0$ for all $i$ and $y_{j}=0$ or 1 . However, any point with $y_{j}=1$ for some $j=1,2, \ldots, p$ will give rise to an oscillating contribution. Thus, in order to calculate the leading-order non-oscillatory term in the limit of large $x, t$, we need to expand all the variables in the integrand about the end-points $x_{i}, y_{j}=0$. This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 0| \rho(x, t) \rho(0,0)|0\rangle \underset{x, t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{2}\left(2 \pi \rho_{0}\right)^{2} C \mathcal{A}(q, p, \lambda)\left[\frac{1}{\left(2 \pi i \rho_{0} x-i\left(2 \pi \rho_{0}\right)^{2} \lambda t\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{\left(2 \pi i \rho_{0} x+i\left(2 \pi \rho_{0}\right)^{2} \lambda t\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}(q, p, \lambda) \equiv & \prod_{i=1}^{q} \int_{0}^{\infty} d x_{i} x_{i}^{\lambda-1} e^{-x_{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{p} \int_{0}^{\infty} d y_{j} y_{j}^{1 / \lambda-1} e^{-y_{j}}\left(\frac{Q}{2 \pi \rho_{0}}\right)^{2} \\
& . \frac{\left|\prod_{i<i^{\prime}}\left(x_{i}-x_{i^{\prime}}\right)^{2 \lambda} \prod_{j<j^{\prime}}\left(y_{j}-y_{j^{\prime}}\right)^{2 / \lambda}\right|}{\prod_{i=1}^{q} \prod_{j=1}^{p}\left(x_{i}+\lambda y_{j}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

In carrying out the final steps in deriving Eq. (2.12), the $y_{j}$ integration intervals have been extended to infinity and then changes of integration variables have been made in order to remove the $x$ and $t$ dependent factors from the exponentials. Additionally, since the exponentials were initially imaginary, a Wick rotation is also assumed to have been performed without obstruction from significant singularities in the complex $x_{i}$ and $y_{j}$ planes. The fact the $\lambda=p / q$ has been used too.

### 2.3 Asymptotic Limit

The task now is to compute the multiple integral $\mathcal{A}(q, p, \lambda)$ of Eq. 2.13). It is clear that differentiating the integral identity of Eq. (2.9) twice with respect to $z$ and subsequently setting $z=1$ will yield an integral of the required type. We must choose $\beta=\rho-1, m=q, n=p$ and $\rho=(p+\epsilon) / q$, with the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to be taken later. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}(q, p, \lambda=p / q)=\left.p!q!\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}} I_{p q}(z ; \rho-1, \rho)\right|_{z=1} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

having let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ on the RHS. Now, to leading order in $\epsilon$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{-c_{p q}(\beta, \rho)} \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} z^{-\epsilon^{2}(q / p)} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p q}(z ; \rho-1, \rho) \underset{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{\sim} z^{-\epsilon^{2}(q / p)} \frac{\Gamma^{2}(\epsilon)}{[\Gamma(p / q)]^{q}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{p} \frac{\Gamma^{2}(q \ell / p)}{\Gamma(q / p)} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \Gamma^{2}(j p / q-p) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

recalling that $\rho=(p+\epsilon) / q$. After differentiating twice with respect to $z$, setting $z=1$ and taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the evaluation of the integral which occurs in the asymptotic expansion, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}(q, p, p / q)=\frac{q!p!}{[\Gamma(p / q)]^{q}}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \prod_{\ell=1}^{p} \frac{\Gamma^{2}(q \ell / p)}{\Gamma(q / p)} \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \Gamma^{2}(j p / q-p) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the integral identity (2.9) could not be used directly with the final desired choice of parameters $\rho=\lambda=p / q$ because, as seen from Eq. (2.16), this case is singular. Only after the twofold differentiation can the final parameter values be set. Hence, as in the previous calculation, a knowledge of the DF-integral based identity (2.9) is required in an arbitrary neighbourhood of the relevant point in the parameter space.

Let us now express the normalization constant $C$ in Eq. (2.11) in a form similar to the product of gamma functions appearing above. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{q} \Gamma^{2}(p-\lambda(i-1))}= & \frac{\lambda^{-2 p(q-1)}}{\Gamma^{2}(p)} \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{i=2}^{q} \Gamma^{2}(-\lambda(i-1))} \\
& \cdot \frac{1}{\left[\prod_{i=2}^{q}((p-1) / \lambda-(i-1))((p-2) / \lambda-(i-1)) \cdots(-(i-1))\right]^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\lambda^{-2 p(q-1)}}{\Gamma^{2}(p) \Gamma^{2}(q)} \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{i=2}^{q} \Gamma^{2}(-\lambda(i-1))} \prod_{j=2}^{p}\left[\frac{\Gamma((j-1) / \lambda-(q-1))}{\Gamma((j-1) / \lambda)}\right]^{2} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

But,

$$
\begin{align*}
\prod_{j=2}^{p} \Gamma^{2}((j-1) / \lambda-(q-1)) & =\prod_{j=1}^{p} \Gamma^{2}(1-(j-1) / \lambda) \\
\frac{1}{\Gamma^{2}(q)} \prod_{j=2}^{p} \frac{1}{\Gamma^{2}((j-1) / \lambda)} & =\prod_{j=1}^{p} \frac{1}{\Gamma^{2}(j / \lambda)} \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=2}^{q} \Gamma^{2}(-\lambda(i-1))=\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} \Gamma^{2}(i \lambda-p) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

having made extensive use of the relation $\lambda=p / q$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{q} \Gamma^{2}(p-\lambda(i-1))}=\frac{\lambda^{-2 p(q-1)}}{\Gamma^{2}(p)} \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} \Gamma^{2}(i \lambda-p)} \prod_{j=1}^{p} \frac{\Gamma^{2}(1-(j-1) / \lambda)}{\Gamma^{2}(j / \lambda)} . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2} p!q!} \frac{\Gamma^{q}(\lambda) \Gamma^{p}(1 / \lambda)}{\prod_{j=1}^{p} \Gamma^{2}(j / \lambda) \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} \Gamma^{2}(i \lambda-p)} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of this formula for $C$ into the asymptotic expansion above (i.e. Eq. (2.12)), together with the evaluation of the multiple integral $\mathcal{A}(q, p, \lambda)$ in Eq. (2.17), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 0| \rho(x, t) \rho(0,0)|0\rangle \underset{x, t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim}-\frac{\rho_{0}^{2}}{\lambda}\left[\frac{1}{\left(2 \pi \rho_{0} x-\left(2 \pi \rho_{0}\right)^{2} \lambda t\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{\left(2 \pi \rho_{0} x+\left(2 \pi \rho_{0}\right)^{2} \lambda t\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the required result, i.e. Eq. (7.10) of Ref. 14. In that paper, the relationship between the normalization constant $C$ and the integral $\mathcal{A}(q, p, \lambda)$, which are multiplied together in Eq. (2.12) to yield the simple factor $C \mathcal{A}=\left(2 \pi^{2} \lambda\right)^{-1}$, had to be deduced by indirect means. Here, with the aid of the DF integral, we have constructed an explicit derivation of this result.
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