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#### Abstract

The principle and the e ciency of the $M$ onte C arlo transfer-m atrix algorithm are discussed. E nhancem ents of th is algorithm are ilhustrated by applications to severalphase transitions in lattice spin m odels. W e dem onstrate how the statistical noise can be reduced considerably by a sim ilarity transform ation of the transfer $m$ atrix using a variational estim ate of its leading eigenvector, in analogy w ith a com $m$ on practice in various quantum $M$ onte $C$ arlo techniques. H ere we take the two-dim ensional coupled X Y-Ising m odel as an exam ple. Furtherm ore, we calculate interface free energies of nite three-dim ensional $O(n)$ m odels, for the three cases $n=1,2$ and 3 . Application of nite-size scaling to the num erical results yields estim ates of the critical points of these three $m$ odels. T he statistical precision of the estim ates is satisfactory for the $m$ odest am ount of com puter tim e spent.


## I. IN TRODUCTION

$M$ any im portant problem s in com putationalphysics and chem istry can be reduced to the com putation ofdom inant eigenvalues of $m$ atrioes of high or in nite order. A $m$ ong the num erous exam ples of such $m$ atrioes are quantum m echanical H am iltonians and transfer m atrices. T he latter where introduced in statisticalm echanics by K ram ers and W annier in 1941 to study the tw o-dim ensional Ising modell, and ever since, im portant work on lattice m odels in classical statisticalm echanic has been done w ith transfer $m$ atrices, producing both exact and num erical results.
$T$ he analogy of the tim e-evolution operator in quantum $m$ echanics and the transfer $m$ atrix in statisticalm echanics allows the two elds to share num erous techniques. Speci cally, a transfer $m$ atrix $T$ of a statistical mechanical lattice system in d dim ensions often can be interpreted as the evolution operator in discrete, im aginary tim e t of a quantum mechanical analog, as is well known. That is, $T \quad \exp (t H)$; where $H$ is the ham iltonian of a system in d 1 dim ensions, the quantum m echanical analog of the statisticalm echanical system. From this point of view, the com putation of the partition function and of the ground-state energy are essentially the sam e problem s: nding the largest eigenvalue of $T$ and of $\exp (t H$ ), respectively.
$T$ he transferm atrix $M$ onte $C$ arlo $m$ ethod used in this paper em ploys an algorithm as sim ple as the di usion $M$ onte C arlo algorithm, which was developed to com pute the dom inant eigenvalue of the evolution operator exp ( tH ) . In contrast to di usion M onte C arlo, transfer-m atrix M onte C arlo provides exact eigenvalues, sub ject only to statistical noise and as quali ed below in Section H. M ore speci cally, unlike transferm atrix M onte C arlo, di usion M onte C arlo su ens from a system atic error, the tim e-step error, because of the necessity to em ploy an approxim ate, short-tim e evolution operator. Sim ilar errors are also found in path-integralM onte $C$ arlo and, in general, in all approaches based on the Trotter form ulat. An altemative, related approach, viz. G reen firction $M$ onte $C$ arlo, used to com pute the dom inant eigenvalue of $\left(\begin{array}{ll}H & E\end{array}\right)^{1}$, where $E$ is close to the ground state energy, does not su er from a tim e-step error, and, from that point of view, $G$ reen function $M$ onte $C$ arlo is $m$ ore elegant than di usion $M$ onte $C$ arlo. H ow ever, the $G$ reen fiunction $M$ onte $C$ arlo algorithm is considerably $m$ ore com plicated, and enhancem ent of that algorithm by the variance reduction techniques discussed below, has its lim itations.

From an orthodox com plexity theory point of view, exact num erical transferm atrix com putations for lattioes in $m$ ore than one dim ension are intractable, since the order of transfer $m$ atrices grow s exponentially w ith the num ber of lattice sites in a transfer slice. Standard M onte C arlo $m$ ethods in statisticalm echanics, on the other hand, statistically sam ple the Boltzm ann distribution, typically employing som e variant of the $M$ etropolis algorithm. O ne can argue that $M$ onte $C$ arlo $m$ ethods are of polynom ial com plexity in the system size, at least for certain im portant physical observables. $T$ his raises the question of the ultim ate utility of the transfer $m$ atrix for com putational punposes.

In $m$ any cases, one is interested in the behavior of system $s$ in the them odynam ic lim it. For critical system $s$ in particular, one has to rely on nite-size scaling and extrapolation $m$ ethods to extract the relevant inform ation from the com putations. The transfer-m atrix $m$ ethod has advantages in both respects. Firstly, one can com pute the
spectrum of the transfer-m atrix $m$ ethod virtually to $m$ achine precision, which pem its extrapolation $w$ ithout serious loss of num erical accuracy. Secondly, a large body of num erical evidence suggests that the transfer-m atrix spectrum has weaker corrections-to-scaling than quantities com $m$ only com puted by standard $M$ onte $C$ arlo. C learly, also the transfer-m atrix M onte C arlo m ethod takes advantage of the weakness of the corrections-to-scaling. Unfortunately, statistical noise is introduced, but this can be substantially reduced by the use of optim ized trial eigenvectors, by virtue of which the $M$ onte $C$ arlo process is in e ect only used to com pute corrections to an already sophisticated approxim ation.

If one could neglect the correlations introduced by the re-w eighting step of the transfer-m atrix M onte C arlo algorithm [see the split/join steps (2a) and 2bl) in the algorithm given in Section 4 ] and if one could ignore the resulting loss of e ciency of the transferm atrix M onte C arlo algorithm, this m ethod would be a solution to the exponential grow th problem m entioned above3. In addition, transferm atrix $M$ onte $C$ arlo $w o u l d$ be com pletely free of critical slow ing dow $n$, since the correlation tim e of the algorithm is equal to the correlation length of the slices used in the de nition of the transfer $m$ atrix. A gain, the use of optim ized trial eigenvectors can serve to reduce the detrim ental $e$ ect of the $m$ ultiplicative re-w eighting.

A nother feature of the $M$ onte $C$ arlo transfer $m$ atrix, which can contribute to a reduction of the correlation tim e of the stochastic process, is that $m$ oves are e ectively $m$ ade at surface sites. This $m$ akes it $m u c h$ easier to overcom e the barriers som e system s present to standard M onte C arlo algorithm s. An exam ple of such a system is the X Y-Ising m odel discussed in Ref.4.

The layout of this paper is as follow s. In Section we review the basic $M$ onte $C$ arlo algorithm to determ ine transferm atrix eigenvalues by $m$ eans of a statistical im plem entation of the pow er $m$ ethod. A part from relatively $m$ inor details, the algorithm given in Section 4 is the sam e as the one discussed in Refs. 5, 6, 7. Section III describes the sim ilarity transform ation of the transfer $m$ atrix, which leads to a pronounced decrease of the statistical errors of the $M$ onte $C$ arlo process. Section in particular describes in detail the construction of a variationalapproxim ation of the eigenstate associated w th the largest eigenvalue. T his approxim ate eigenstate yields the sim ilarity transform ation used to reduce the statistical errors of the algorithm. D etails of the speed-up of the algorithm are presented at the end of Section II a coupled X Y -Ising m odel in two dim ensions. F inally, Section IV contains applications of the transferm atrix $M$ onte $C$ arlo $m$ ethod to three-dim ensionalo ( $n$ ) models frin $n=1,2$ and 3 . Prelim inary discussions of the the work discussed in Sections $\Psi$ and $\mathbb{I V}$ w ere published elsew herev.

## II. M ONTECARLO IM PLEMENTATION OFTHEPOWERMETHOD

$C$ onsider an operator $T$ of which we want to com pute the dom inant eigenvalue. Let $T$ be represented by $m$ atrix elem ents hR $\mathfrak{j} \mathfrak{j} i=T_{R S}$, where $\mathcal{R} i$ and $j \dot{j} i$ are basis states of the physical system under consideration. These states w illlbe treated here as discrete. For M onte C arlo calculations, the distinction betw een continuous and discrete states is a $m$ inor technicality; in the discussion below, generalization to the continuous case follow $s$ im $m$ ediately by replacing the appropriate sum s by integrals and replacing $K$ ronecker by D irac -functions.

Perhaps the sim plest w ay to calculate the dom inant eigenvalue of a m atrix or integral kemel is the powerm ethod. That is, choose an arbitrary in itial state $j \mu^{(0)}$ i and com pute teratively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \mu^{(t+1)} i=\frac{1}{a_{t+1}} T j^{(t)} i ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{t+1}$ is a constant chosen so that $j^{(t+1)} i$ is norm alized or in som e other convenient standard form. Fort! 1 , the constants $c_{t}$ approxim ate the dom inant eigenvalue 0 of $T$ and the vectors $j{ }^{(t)} i$ converge to the corresponding eigenvector.

To im plem ent Eq. [1) by M onte C arlo, $j^{(t)} i$ is represented by a sequence of $N_{t}$ walkers. E ach of these walkers is a pair ( $\mathbb{R}$; w ); $=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}_{t}$. The variable $R$ of a walker represents a possible con guration of the system described by T , and w represents its statisticalweight. The latter quantity is sub ject to the condition $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{l}}<\mathrm{w}$ < $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{u}}$, where $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{u}}$ are bounds introduced so as to keep all weights w of the sam e order of $m$ agnitude, which im proves the e ciency of the algorithm. This sequence of walkers represents a (sparse) vector $w$ ith com ponents

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{u}_{R}^{(\mathrm{t})}={ }_{=1}^{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{t}}} \quad \mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{R} \quad \text {; } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the usual K ronecker -function. The underscore is used to indicate that the ${ }_{R}{ }^{(t)}$ represent a stochastic vector $\dot{\mu}^{(t)} i$. A stochastic process $w i l l$ be de ned presently $w$ ith transition probabilities such that $c_{t+1}$ in $^{(t+1)}$ i has a
conditional expectation value equal to $T \dot{u}^{(t)} i$ for any given sequence of $w$ alkers representing $\dot{\mu}^{(t)} i$ ．In practice，one has to average over the stationary state of a stochastic process in which the constants $C_{t}$ are determ ined on the $y$ ，so that $c_{t+1}$ and $\underline{\underline{u}}^{(t+1)} i$ are correlated．A s a consequence，there is no guarantee that the stationary state expectation value of $\underline{\mu}^{(t)} i$ is precisely an eigenstate of $T$ ，at least not for nite $N_{t}$ ．The samemathem atical praplem occurs if
 discussed in the context of di usion M onte C arlol．

To de ne the stochastic process，Eq．（1）is rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{R}^{(t+1)}={\frac{1}{q_{t+1}}}_{s}^{X} P_{R S} D_{S} u^{(t)} S \text {; } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{S}={ }_{R}^{X} \quad T_{R S} \text { and } P_{R S}=T_{R S}=D_{S}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq．3）describes a process represented by a M onte C arlo run which，in addition to a few in itialequilibration sw eeps， consists of a tim e series of a little over $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ sw eeps over all walkers at tim es labeled by $t=::: ; 0 ; 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{M}_{0}$ ．T he sw eep at time $t$ consists of taf o steps designed to perform stochastically the matrix multiplications in Eq．自）．Follow ing $N$ ightingale and $B$ lote9，the process is de ned by the follow ing steps，which transform the generation of walkers at tim et into the the generation at tim e $t+1$ ．Variables pertaining to tim es $t$ and $t+1 \mathrm{w}$ ill be denoted respectively by unprim ed and prim ed sym bols．

1．U pdate the old walker（ $\mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{w}$ ）to yield a tem porary walker（ $\mathrm{S}^{0} ; \mathrm{w}^{0}$ ）according to the transition probability $P_{S^{0}} S$ ，where $\mathrm{w}^{0}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{C}^{0}$ ，for $=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}}$ ．The next step can change the num ber ofw alkers．Tom aintain their num ber close to a target num ber，say $N_{0}$ ，choose $c^{0}={ }_{0}\left(N_{t}=N_{0}\right)^{1=s}$ ，where ${ }_{0}$ is a running estim ate of the eigenvalue 0 to be calculated，where s 1 （see below）．

2．From the tem porary walkers construct the new generation of walkers as follow s：
（a）Split each walker $\left(S^{0} ; w^{0}\right)$ for which $w^{0}>b_{u}$ into two walkers $\left(S^{0} ; \frac{1}{2}^{1} w^{0}\right)$ ．The choige $b_{u}=2$ is a reasonable one．
（b）Join pairs $\left(\mathbb{R}^{0} ; w^{0}\right)$ and $\left(R^{0} ; w^{0}\right)$ with $w^{0}<b_{1}$ and $w^{0}<b_{1}$ to produce a single walker $\left(\mathbb{R}^{0} ; w^{0}+w^{0}\right)$ ， $w$ here $R^{0}=R^{0}$ or $R^{0}=S^{0} w$ th relative probabilities $w^{0}$ and $w^{0} . W$ e chose $b_{1}=1=2$ ．
（c）A ny tem porary w alker left single in step（2b），or for which $b_{1}<w^{0}<b_{1}$ ，becom es a perm anent m em ber of the new generation of walkers．
The algorithm described above wasconstructed so that for any given realization of $\dot{j}^{(t)}{ }^{(1}$ ，the expectation value of $c_{t+1} \underline{i}^{(t+1)} i$ ，in accordance w ith Eq．（1） ，satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \quad c_{t+1} \dot{\underline{u}}^{(t+1)} i=T \underline{\underline{j}}^{(t)} \dot{i} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where E（ ）denotes the conditionalaverage over the transitions de ned by the above stochastic process．M ore generally by p－fold iteration one nds 5 ：

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { " }{ }^{\mathrm{Y}} \quad \text { \# ! } \\
& E \quad C_{b+b} \quad \underline{\dot{u}}^{(t+p)} \dot{i}=T^{p} \underline{\underline{u}}^{(t)} i ;  \tag{6}\\
& b=1
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he stationary state average of $j^{(t)} i$ is close to the dom inant eigenvector of $T$ ，but，as $m$ entioned above，it has a system atic bias when the num ber $N_{t}$ of walkers is nite．For increasing p，com ponents of non－dom inant eigenvectors can be projected out and thus the bias is reduced，in principle．Unfortunately，the variance of the corresponding estim ators increases as their bias decreases．The reader is referred to Refs．［8，5，目，目 for a m ore detailed discussions of this problem．Su ce it to $m$ ention here，rstly，that $s$ is the expected number of tim e steps it takes to restore the num ber of $w$ alkers to its target value $N_{0}$ and，secondly，that strong population control（ $s=1$ ）tends to introduce a stronger bias than weaker control（ $s>1$ ）${ }^{10}$
$W$ ith Eq．6）one constructs an estim ator $H^{5}$ of the dom inant eigenvector $j^{(1)}$ i of the $m$ atrix $T$ ：

$$
\begin{equation*}
j A^{(p)} i={\frac{1}{M_{0}}}_{t=1}^{X_{0}} \sum_{b=0}^{1} q_{t b} \quad \underline{\mu}^{(t)} i: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ore practically, suppose that $\mathrm{h} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is an approxim ate leading eigenbra $\mathrm{h} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{j}}$ of T , and that O is an arbitrary operator. Them ixed expectation value of $O$ can be approxim ated as

An im portant special case is obtained by choosing in this expression $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{T}$ and $\mathrm{h} \mathrm{T} \mathrm{R} \mathrm{i}=1$ for all R . T he latter corresponds to the in nite-tem perature approxim ation for the trial state and in that case, Eq. 8) reduces to an estim ator for the dom inant eigenvalue of $T$ :
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{t}=h_{T} \underline{\dot{u}}^{(t)} i={\underset{=1}{X_{t}} W^{(t)}: ~ . ~}_{=1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the above special choice of the trialbra h $\mathcal{T}$ Eq. (8) becom es the expression for the surface expectation value of O in the geom etry shown on the right in Fig. 1 . A though we have used the transferm atrix algorithm only for the com putation of the dom inant eigenvalue of the transfer $m$ atrix for the applications discussed in this paper, it should be $m$ entioned for com pleteness that one can also com pute bulk expectation values, at least asym ptotically, as follow $s$.
$O$ ne can represent the $K$ ram ers $W$ annier transferm atrix by the graph show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1 a. T . h is m atrix transfers from an old slice to a new one, w th sliges represented respectively by $s m$ all full and large open circles. The process adds only one new site: the open circle labeled 1 . O ne site, the sm all closed circle labeled L , is about to disappear into the bulk. C oincidences of both types of circles represent K ronecker- functions in the transfer $m$ atrix [see Eq. ( $1 \$$ )]. The solid lines stand for interactions added in one transfer operation. O ne can de ne a transferm atrix w ith extended slices consisting of $m$ of the original, $m$ in $m$ al slices. The dom inant eigenvector of this extended transfer $m$ atrix is sim $p l y$ the original eigenvector $m$ ultiplied by the Boltzm ann weight associated $w$ ith the portion of the lattice containing variables that have not yet been sum $m$ ed over. Eq. 8), used with any operator in which occur only variables of slice m , becom es a bulk expectation vahat form ! 1 . The im plem entation of this concept is called forw ard walking in the context of quantum $M$ onte $C$ arld 1112 , and this only requires extending the walkers so that their states correspond to the extended slices introduced above. T his increases the $m$ em ory requirem ents and the cost of splitting a walker, but otherw ise the e ciency of the algorithm is not a ected.

## III. VARIANCEREDUCTION (IMPORTANCESAMPLING)ANDTRIALVECTORS

In principle, if $h{ }_{\mathrm{T}}$ jequals an exact eigenbra of the operator $O$ in equation Eq. (8), the right-hand side of the expression is a zero-variance estim ator. In general, no exact eigenvectors are kpow $n$, but even an approxim ation $m$ ay yield a substantial reduction of statistical noise. A m ore e cient well-known 13 way to exploit an approxim ate left eigenbra $h{ }_{\mathrm{T}}$ jto reduce variance works by application of the $m$ ethod described above to a sim ilarity transform of the original operator $T$. This transform ation is de ned by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=I T I^{1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is diagonal in the con guration presentation, and is de ned as

$$
\begin{align*}
& I=\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{X} \\
\mathrm{R} \text { in } \mathrm{T} \mathcal{R} \text { ihR } j: ~
\end{array}  \tag{12}\\
& \text { R }
\end{align*}
$$

Ideally, $h_{\text {r }}$ jwould equal the exact dom inant eigenbra of $T$. In that case, the stochastic process de ned as above, but w ith $T$ replaced by $T$, would becom e optim ally e cient and in fact would lack critical slow ing down. For such an ideal process $\mathbb{D}$, de ned as in Eq. 4) as a function of $T$, would be a constant tim es the unit $m$ atrix. T he walker weights would no longer uctuate so that birth and death process would no longer occur. T he walkers would evolve into a statistically independent ensem ble. The estim ator given in Eq. 8), appropriately transform ed, would have zero variance. The transform ed bra $h_{T}{ }_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{II}^{1}$ would have all elem ents equal to unity in the con guration
representation. In other words, $T$ w ould be represented by a stochastic $m$ atrix, which would elim inate re-w eighting of walkers and the concom itant split/ join step in the algorithm .

In the absence of exact eigenbras, approxim ations $m$ ay be obtained by variationalm ethods. The variational expression for the leading eigenbra $h$ i $j$ can conveniently be cast in the form of an e ective surface $H$ am iltonian $w$ ith pair interactions betw een nearest neighbors, next-nearest neighbors, and so on. These interactions are treated as variational param eters and can be determ ined from an analysis of the walker population ${ }^{14}$.

Since generalization to higher dim ensions and models $w$ ith di erent $m$ icroscopic variables is straightforw ard, it w ill su ce to consider the K ram ers- W annier transfer m atrix for the two-dim ensional Ising m odel to explain the construction of trial vectors used in the applications discussed in Section IV.

For a sim ple quadratic lattice ofM sites, w rapped on a cylinderw ith a circum ference ofL spins and helicalboundary conditions, the transfer $m$ atrix for the Ising $m$ odel is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{S ; R}=e^{K\left(s_{1} r_{1}+s_{1} r_{L}\right)^{\frac{\dot{Y}}{1}} s_{i} ; r_{i+1}} ; \\
& \mathrm{i}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{S}=\left(\mathrm{S}_{1} ; \mathrm{S}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ and $R=\left(\mathrm{r}_{1} ; r_{2} ;::: ; r_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$, where the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}=1$ and $r_{i}$ 1. The conditional partition function of the lattioe of M sites, sub ject to the restriction that the spins on the left-hand edge are in state $R$, as ilhustrated in $F$ igure 1 , is denoted $Z_{M}(R)$. O ne has

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{M+1}(S)={ }_{R}^{X} T_{S ; R} Z_{M}(R): \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

O bviously, for $M$ ! 1 the restricted sum $s Z_{M}(R)$ are proportional to the com ponents $u_{R}^{(1)}$ of the dom inant right eigenvector of the transfer $m$ atrix. The eigenvector is represented by the graph on the right in $F$ igure 1 . Full circles indicate spins that have been sum $m$ ed over, while the xed surface spins are represented by the open circles; each bond represents a factor $\exp \left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{si}_{i} \mathrm{~S}_{j}\right)$. The left eigenvector, which is the one that has to be approxim ated by an optim ized trial vector, is represented by the graph on the left. In passing, we m ention the follow ing relation betw een left and right eigenvectors, which follow s by inspection of the graphs:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h u^{(1)} j S i={ }_{i=1}^{Y_{Y}^{1}} e^{K s_{i} s_{i+1}} h U(S) j^{(1)} i_{i} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ is the re ection operator: $U(S)=\left(S_{L} ; S_{L} 1 ;::: ; S_{1}\right)$.
A sim ilarity transform ation of the transfer $m$ atrix $T$ can be introduced by dividing up the interaction energies betw een the colum ns di erently. $T$ hat is, $h$ is introduced by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{R}} \quad \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{R})}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

A transform ation $h$ ! $\check{h}$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\tilde{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{R})=\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~S})+\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{R}) \quad \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{R}) ; \\
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{R}}=\hat{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{~S}) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{R}}=\hat{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{R}) ; \\
\hat{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{~S})=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~S})}: \tag{19}
\end{array}
$$

Forpurposes of variance reduction, versatile trial vectors that capture som e of the essentialphysics w ithout seriously slow ing dow $n$ com putations, can be chosen of the follow ing form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}_{T}(S)=e^{P}{ }^{i, j} K_{i j} s_{i} s_{j} ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

a form rem in iscent of the Jastrow functions used for quantum $m$ any-body system $s$. The asterisk in the sum over pairs indicates that the $K_{i j}$ are truncated for distances greater than a couple of lattice spacings.

The couplings $K_{i j}$ in Eq. 2G) are variational param eters. They can be determ ined e ciently with the $M$ onte
 variance is approxim ated by a w eighted sum over the states of the walkers of one generation, during the in itial stage of the M onte C arlo run. This procedure is e cient and stable as long as the K ij are truncated w ith care, in which case it is perfectly feasible to use as m any as 50 to 100 di erent param eters.


F IG .1. Tllustration of left and right eigenvectors of the transfer $m$ atrix.


FIG.2. Illustration of the calculation of correlation functions involving spins in the bulk below the surface layer. Site labels before the addition of the new spin (open circle) appear to the right, and the new labels to the left of a lattice point.

Them agnitude of the $K_{i j}$ is expected to increase $w$ ith the strength of the correlations between surface spins．Since all correlations betw een surface spins for the left eigenvector have to be propagated through the lattige on the left，as illustrated in F igure 1 ，one expects that for high tem peratures，i．e．， sm all K ，

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i j} / K^{d_{i j}} \boldsymbol{j} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $d_{i j}$ is the length of the shortest path along edges connected by bonds between sites $i$ and $j$ ．By inspection of the graph in Figure 1，we therefore expect the follow ing partial ordering in decreasing strength of interaction and increasing $d_{i j}$
$d_{1 \mathrm{~L}}=2 ;$
$\mathrm{d}_{12}=\mathrm{d}_{23}=:::=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}} 1 ; \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{d}_{1 ; \mathrm{L}} 1=3 ;$
$\mathrm{d}_{13}=\mathrm{d}_{24}=:::=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}} \quad 2 ; \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{d}_{1 ; \mathrm{L}} 2=4$
$\mathrm{~d}_{14}=\mathrm{d}_{25}=:::=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}} \quad 3 ; \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{d}_{1 ; \mathrm{L}} 3=\mathrm{d}_{2 ; \mathrm{L}}=5$
$\vdots$

It is im portant to note that if $K_{i j}=K_{i+1 ; j+1}$ the corresponding factors cancel in the transform ed transfer $m$ atrix $T$ for 2 i $L$ 2，since $s_{i}=t_{i+1}$ for non－vanishing transfer－m atrix elem ents．For reasons ofe ciency it is therefore advantageous to have this equality satis ed as often as possible．Unfortunately，helicalboundary conditions introduce a step which destroys translation sym $m$ etry on the surface and renders the partial ordering in Eq．22）insu cient． For exam ple，stes 1 and 2 are m ore strongly correlated than 2 and 3，and correlations keep decreasing through pair （L 1；L）．C onsequently， $\mathrm{K}_{12}>\mathrm{K}_{23}>:::>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}} 1 ; \mathrm{L} \cdot$

In practioe，the di erences betw een the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ij}}=3$ are frequently greater than the higher－order $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ij}} . \mathrm{T}$ hen，it is necessary to treat $K_{12}$ and $K_{23}$ as di erent param eters of the trial vector．A $n$ e cient com prom ise is to treat $K$ ij in which site 1 or $L$ participate as di erent．The sam e applies to all $K_{i j}$ for which the shortest path betw een $i$ and $j$ straddles the step on the surface．To sum $m$ arize，we distinguish di erent types of pairs of sites（ $i ; j$ ）both on the basis of the distance $d_{i j}$ and to som e extent on the location of the pair，enforcing as $m$ uch translation invariance as possible．

C learly，none of the above depends only on lattice geom etry or the Ising nature of the variables．In general，only a $m$ ethod is required to generate lists of lattice sites separated by various distances $d_{i j}$ ．These can be constructed $w$ ith sim ple graph theoretic tools such as incidence $m$ atrioes，which $m$ akes it possible to dealw ith di erent dim ensions and lattice types in an identical fashion once the pertinent incidence $m$ atrix has been de ned．

To illustrate the ciency and exibility of this technique for constructing trialvectors，we use the X Y－Ising m odel． It consists of coupled Ising and planar rotator degrees of freedom on a sim ple quadratic lattice．On each lattice site there are two variables： $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}} 1$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ，a tw o－com ponent unit vector．The reduced $H$ am iltonian $\mid$ divided by $k_{B} T \mid$ is given by

$$
H=\underbrace{X}_{(i ; j)}\left(A n_{i} \quad \text { 月 }+B n_{i} \quad \mathrm{n}_{i} s_{j}+C s_{i} s_{j}\right):
$$

W e consider the special case $A=B$ and only from the point of view of the perform ance of transfer－m atrix $M$ onte $C$ arlo algorithm．For a discussion of the physics of this $m$ odel the reader is referred to $R$ ef．4．The trial vectors discussed above for the Ising $m$ odel have an im $m$ ediate generalization：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \text { 1 } \\
& T=\exp @^{X} \quad\left(A_{i ; j} n_{i} \quad \text { 月 }+B_{i ; j} n_{i} \quad \Re_{i} S_{j}+C_{i ; j} s_{i} S_{j}\right)^{A}: \\
& \text { i;j }
\end{aligned}
$$

T he truncation schem e introduced above for the Ising $m$ odel is purely geom etrical，and therefore carries overw ithout changes to the X Y－Ising m odel．It should，how ever，be noted that there are $m$ odels and choices of transfer $m$ atrices to which the above schem e is not applicable．Ref． 15 contains a discussion and an exam ple of such a case．

Table $\ddagger$ show s the estim ates of the dom inant eigenvalue of X Y－Ising m odel for trial vector truncated at di erent values of $d_{i j}$ ：As can be seen by com paring the rst and last lines of the table，the variance in the estim ate of the eigenvalue is reduced by a factor 300 for a xed num ber ofM onte $C$ arlo steps．Taking into account that the com puter tim e per step doubles，this constitutes a speed－up by a factor of 150 ．

TABLE I. Estim ated eigenvalue and standard deviations for the $X Y$-Ising $m$ odel. These data apply to the point $(A=1: 005 ; C=0: 2285)$ [cf. Eq. 23)] on the line where Ising and $X Y$ transitions coincide. Results are show $n$ for various values of $d_{m}$, the path length of the cuto in Eq. 24). The results are for a strip of $w$ idth $L=20$ and were obtained with a target num ber of walkers $N_{0}=10 ; 000$ and $M_{0}=1 ; 250 L$ generations of $w$ hich an initial 10\% were discarded. The last colum $n$ show $s$ the com puter tim in anbitrary units needed per tim e step of one walker.

| 0 |  | $d_{m}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34.17406 | 0.0071 | 0 |  |
| 3420875 | 0.0052 | 2 |  |
| 3421658 | 0.0015 | 3 |  |
| 3421418 | 0.00083 | 4 |  |
| 3421384 | 0.00052 | 5 | 17 |
| 3421366 | 0.00049 | 6 | 19 |
| 3421379 | 0.00041 | 21 |  |

## IV.APPLICATIONS

As an ilhustration of the transferm atrix technique we apply the $m$ ethod to three-dim ensional $O(n) m$ odels for $\mathrm{n}=1,2$ and 3, i.e. the Ising, planar and $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel. In particular the signi cance of the results for of the planar and $H$ eisenberg $m$ odels goes beyond $m$ ere illustrations. These results are su ciently accurate to be of som e relevance for the location of the critical points.

The $O(n)$ spins are located on the sim ple cubic lattioe. The transfer $m$ atrix for an $L \quad L \quad 1$ system, w ith helical boundary conditions and layers of $N=L^{2}$ sites each, is a straightforw ard generalization of Eq . 13) and reads:

$$
\left.T_{S ; R}={ }_{i=1}^{\operatorname{NY}^{1}{ }^{1}} s_{i} ; r_{i+1} \exp \mathbb{K} s_{1} \quad\left(\Upsilon+r_{L}+r_{N}\right)\right] ;
$$

$w$ here the $S_{i}$ and $r_{i}$ are $n$-com ponent unit vectors, $S ;\left(S_{1} ; S_{2} ;::: ; S_{N}\right)$ and $R ;=\left(r_{1} ; r_{2} ;::: ; r_{N}\right)$.
A s discussed above, transferm atrix $M$ onte $C$ arlo is designed to com pute the dom inant eigenvalue of the transfer $m$ atrix. The reduced free energy per site is $f=\ln 0$. From the free energy one can calculate the surface tension as the di erence in free energy of tw o system $s$ : one w ith ferrom agnetic and the other $w$ ith antiferrom agnetic interactions, if the dim ensions are chosen so as to force an interface in the antiferrom agnetic system. For L $L \quad 1$ system s w ith helicalboundary conditions, to which the present calculations are restricted, this $m$ eans that $L$ has to be even.
$R$ enorm alization group theory predicts that the values of , the reduced interface free energy per lattige site, as a function of coupling $K$ and system sizes $L$ collapse onto a single curve, at least close to the criticalpoint $K$ and for su ciently big system s . In term s of the non-linear therm al scaling eld

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(K)=K \quad K_{c}+a\left(K \quad K_{c}\right)^{2}+::: ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

this curve $(x)$ is determ ined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u ; L)=L^{1 d} \quad\left(L^{{ }^{y_{T}}} u\right) ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a d-dim ensional system w ith a therm alscaling exponent $y_{T}$. $T$ he function can be expanded in a series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)={ }^{x^{1}}{ }_{1=0}{ }^{x^{1}} ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $O(n) m$ odels behaves for large $x$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{x}^{[\mathrm{d} 1} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{n})\right]=\mathrm{y} \mathrm{~T} ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(1)=0$ and $p(2)=p(3)=1$.
Eqs. 27) to 29) are useful for the intenpretation of the $O(n)$ transferm atrix $M$ onte $C$ arlo results for the interface free energy. These results were obtained using nite sizes up to $L=12$, and populations typically consisting of 2500 or 5000 w alkers. Typical run lengths are 5000 steps, where each step $m$ eans the addition of a surface layer of $L$ L spins. Variance-reducing trial vectors [see Eq. 2d)] w ere constructed forpath lengths up to 5 . A s before, the variance
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of the M onte C arlo process w as observed to decrease considerably with increasing path length. For each system size, interface free energies were obtained for approxim ately 10 di erent couplings in a range of about 10\% around the critical points of the Ising and planarm odels, and about 1\% for the case of the $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel.

On the basis of these results for the Ising $(n=1)$ case, the function is show $n$ in $F$ igure . 1 . This data collapse is achieved by means of a least-spuares $t w$ ith param eters $K_{c}$; $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}$; a ; and 13 Taylor coe cients ı, a generalization of a technique used in the past ${ }^{4}$.

To check if the system sizes were in the asym ptotic nite-size scaling regim e, ts were done both $w$ ith and $w$ ithout the $6 \quad 61$ data. The results of these ts are displayed in Table 1 in A ppendix $A$. To sum marize, the results are: $K_{C}=0.22162 \quad 0: 00002$ and $y_{T}=1: 584 \quad 0: 004$ using data $w$ ith $L=6$ through 12 ; and $K_{c}=0: 22167$ 0:00004 and $y_{T}=1: 584 \quad 0: 014$ if the $L=6$ are om itted. These results agree well $w$ ith accurate determ inations using other m ethods (see e.g. Ref. $16,17,18$ and references therein) which appear to cluster about $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}=0.221655$ (w ith a m argin of about $10^{6}$ ) and $y_{T}=1: 586$ (w ith a precision of a few tim es $10^{3}$ ).

It is rem arkable that the corrections to scaling appear to be very sm all, as appears from the data show n in F igure 3 . In standard $M$ onte $C$ arlo analysed 9 of $L \quad L$ system $s$ these corrections are quite prom inent, and form an obstacle to the accurate determ ination of critical param eters.

T he scaling plot show $n$ in $F$ igure 3, can be used to determ ine the am plitude A graphically: on a double logarithm ic plot the asym ptotic slope of the curve follow from the known value of the therm al exponent $y_{T}$, cf. Eq. 29). The problem of calculating this am plitude has attracted considerable attention lately and the reader is referred to a paper by Shaw and $F$ ishen 20 for details and further references to the literature. For the largest values of the scaled tem perature variable $x$, we nd $A^{0}=A K_{C}^{2=y_{T}}=1: 8$, while the trend $w$ ith $x$ is an increasing one. This value is som ew hat larger than $M$ on'21 estim ate $A=1: 58 \quad 0: 05$, but still in the range $1: 4$ A $\quad 2: 0$ obtained by Shaw and $F$ isher. A s a nal com $m$ ent we note that $M$ on's $m$ ethod requires system $s$ of linear dim ensions in excess of 48 to reach the asym ptotic in nite-size regim $e$, $w$ th an increasing trend of the estim ates of $A \quad w$ ith increasing $x=L^{y_{r}} u$.
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A sim ilar analysis was perform ed for the planar $m$ odel $(n=2)$. In com parison $w$ th the Ising case, the scaling function behaves $m$ ore $s m$ oothly as a function of $x$, so that a satisfactory $t$ could be obtained with few er Taylor coe cients. The tted param eters, which are $K$ c; $Y_{T}$; $a$; and 8 Taylor coe cients $n$, are shown in Table II of A ppendix A. O ur resultes for the critical point are $K_{c}=0: 45410 \quad 0: 00003$ for system sizes $I=6$ to 12 , and $K_{c}=0: 45413$ 0:00005 for $L=8$ to $12 \mathrm{~T}^{T}$ hese values are close to results from series expansion $\frac{22}{}$ 准 $3 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{c}}=0: 45386$ and standard $M$ onte $C$ arlo calculation ${ }^{24} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{c}}=0: 4531$ (no errors quoted). A lso our results for the tem perature exponent, nam ely $y_{T}=1: 491 \quad 0: 003$ for $L \quad 6$ and $y_{T}=1: 487 \quad 0: 006$ for $L \quad 8$ are in a good agreem ent $w$ ith existing results; we quote the coupling-constant-expansion value $25 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{T}}=1: 495$ 0:005.

Fitted w ith these param eters the data collapse very well onto the function, as shown in $F$ igure A. A gain, this scaling plot can be used to determ ine the am plitude A graphically: in this case the asym ptotic pow er-law exponent is $1=y_{T}$. A $t$ of the data at the highest available values of $x=L^{Y_{T}} u$ leads to $A=5: 9$, while the trend is still increasing w th x .
$T$ he calculations for the $H$ eisenberg case $n=3$ were clustered in a narrow interval around the criticaltem perature, and were not aim ed at an accurate determ ination $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{T}}$. T hus, the transferm atrix M onte C arlo data could be analyzed by m eans ofa least-square $t w$ ith less param eters: $K_{c}, Y_{T}$ and $3 T$ aylorcoe cients $n$. $T$ he $t$ is shown in $T a b l e \mathbb{I V}$ in A ppendix A. T he result for $y_{T}$ is wellw ithin the statistical accuracy, equal to the know $n$ coupling-constant-expansion value ${ }^{25} y_{T}=1: 418$. Inchuding the latter value as a known variable in the ts leaves our results for the critical point practically unchanged. These are: $K_{c}=0: 69291$ 0:00004 for system sizes $T_{T}=6$ to 12 , and $K_{c}=0: 69294$ 0:00008 for $L=8$ to 12. These values are close to results from series expansion 26: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}=0: 6916$, and m ore recently 23 : $K_{c}=0: 69294$; and from $M$ onte $C$ arlo calculation\$7: $K_{c}=0: 693035$ 0:000037. The di erence with our result $w$ ith the $L=6$ data included could be interpreted as an indication of a sm all nite-size e ect.
$T$ he data collapse for the $n=3$ case onto the function as determ ined by the least-squares $t$ is show $n$ in $F$ igure $f$.
$F$ inally we rem ark that, although in each of the cases $n=1,2$ and 3 the nite-size e ect appears to be sm all for
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TABLE II. Param eters, as de ned in the text, and their standard errors for the scaling function of the interfacial free energy of the three-dim ensional Ising $m$ odel.

|  | n | 6 | n | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 022162 | 0.00002 | 022165 | 0.00003 |
| Ут | 1.583 | 0.004 | 1.594 | 0.009 |
| 0 | 0.6171 | 0.0007 | 0.6194 | 0.0025 |
| 1 | 2.6111 | 0.0176 | 2.5650 | 0.0505 |
| 2 | 6.0475 | 0.1001 | 5.8047 | 02565 |
| 3 | 92362 | 0.3052 | 8.4073 | 0.6724 |
| 4 | 6.0087 | 0.6350 | 4.8601 | 1.0249 |
| 5 | -13.6165 | 0.9830 | -10.8331 | 1.7414 |
| 6 | -33.2578 | 4.0574 | -24.7108 | 5.6481 |
| 7 | 4.4790 | 3.3849 | 1.4272 | 42080 |
| 8 | 70.6918 | 11.4018 | 46.6641 | 14.0107 |
| 9 | 28.0314 | 7.9088 | 21.4636 | 8.5327 |
| 10 | -69.1548 | 14.3387 | -40.6066 | 15.7549 |
| 11 | -43.4754 | 10.0932 | -27.7038 | 10.3867 |
| 12 | 25.2137 | 6.4840 | 13.2245 | 6.4356 |
| 13 | 18.8658 | 4.8588 | 10.5010 | 4.6564 |
| a | $-2.65$ | 0.16 | -2.65 | 0.37 |

TA BLE III. P aram eters, as de ned in the text, and their standard errors for the scaling function of the interfacial free energy of the three-dim ensional planar $m$ odel.

|  | n | 6 | n | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.45410 | 0.00003 | 0.45413 | 0.00005 |
| Ут | 1.491 | 0.003 | 1.487 | 0.006 |
| 0 | 12448 | 0.0010 | 12469 | 0.0033 |
| 1 | 2.5592 | 0.0144 | 2.5929 | 0.0345 |
| 2 | 2.4285 | 0.0439 | 2.4738 | 0.0796 |
| 3 | 0.9881 | 0.0623 | 0.9544 | 0.1031 |
| 4 | -0.5096 | 0.0664 | -0.4292 | 0.1050 |
| 5 | -0.7770 | 0.1579 | -0.5171 | 02741 |
| 6 | 0.1737 | 0.0754 | 0.0793 | 0.1204 |
| 7 | 0.4346 | 0.1503 | 0.1847 | 02567 |
| a | -0.7805 | 0.1159 | -0.9245 | 02259 |

L 6, it is large for $L=4$. For this reason the $L=4$ data were not included in the ts.
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APPENDIX A: SCALING PLOT PARAMETERESTIMATES

Tables $\mathbb{H}$ through $\mathbb{I V}$ contain estim ates of the param eters used in the nite-size scaling plots for the interface free energy of $O(\mathrm{n})$ m odels, as discussed in Section $\mathbb{I V}$.

TABLE IV. Param eters, as de ned in the text, and their standard errors for the scaling function of the interfacial free energy of the three-dim ensional $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel. The $M$ onte $C$ arlo data were taken relatively close to $K_{c}$, so that the tem perature exponent $y_{T}$ is not accurately determ ined. T he accuracy of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is una ected.

|  | n | 6 | 8 |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{C}}$ | 0.69291 | 0.00004 | n | 8 |
| $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{T}}$ | 1.44 | 0.07 | 0.69294 | 0.00008 |
| 0 | 1.8919 | 0.0015 | 1.55 | 0.18 |
| 1 | 2.4563 | 0.3123 | 1.8933 | 0.0043 |
| 2 | 0.7991 | 0.3005 | 1.9036 | 0.7665 |
| 2 | 0.5097 | 0.4651 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ H A. K ram ers and G H.W annier, P hys. Rev. 60, 252 (1941).
${ }^{2}$ H F. Trotter, P roc. Am . M ath. Soc. 10, 545 (1959).
${ }^{3}$ For a discussion of these correlations see K J. R unge, P hys. R ev. B 45, 12292 (1992).
${ }^{4}$ M P. N ightingale, E. G ranato and J M . K osterlitz, P hys. R ev.B 52, 7402 (1995).
${ }^{5}$ M P. N ightingale and H .W J. B lote, P hys. R ev. Lett. 60, 1662 (1988).
${ }^{6}$ M P. N ightingale, in F in ite-size scaling and sim ulation of statisticalm echanical system s, V.Privm an, ed. (W orld Scienti c, Singapore 1990), p 287-351.
${ }^{7}$ C J. Um rigar, M P.N ightingale, and K J. R unge, J. C hem . Phys. 99, 2865 (1993).
${ }^{8}$ J.H. H etherington, Phys. R ev. A 30, 2713 (1984).
${ }^{9}$ M P. N ightingale and H.N J. B lote, P hys. R ev. B 33, 659 (1986).
${ }^{10}$ D M. C eperley and C J. Um rigar, private com m unication.
${ }^{11}$ M H. K alos, J. C om p. P hys. 1, 257 (1966); the original idea of "forw ard walking" predates this paper (M.H.K alos private com m unication). For further references see $R$ ef. 11 of $R$ ef. 12 .
${ }^{12} \mathrm{~K}$ J. R unge, P hys. Rev.B 45, 7229 (1992).
${ }^{13}$ D M. C eperley and M H. K alos, M onte C arlo M ethods in Statistical P hysics, edited by K . B inder (Springer, Berlin, 1979).
${ }^{14} \mathrm{C} . J . \mathrm{Um}$ rigar, K .G.W ilson and J.W .W ilkins, Phys. R ev. Lett. 60, 1719 (1988); C om puter Sim ulation Studies in C ondensed $M$ atter P hysics, edited by D P. Landau, K K .M on, and H.B. Schuttler, Springer P roceedings in Physics 33 (Springer-V erlag, Berlin, 1988) p. 185.
${ }^{15}$ E.G ranato and M P. N ightingale, Phys. Rev.B 48, 7438 (1993).
${ }^{16}$ H .W J. B lote, E. Luiten and J.R.H eringa, J. P hys. A 28, 6289 (1995).
${ }^{17}$ H .W J. B lote, J R . H eringa, A. H oogland, E .W . M eyer and T S. Sm it, preprint (1996).
${ }^{18}$ R. G upta and P. Tam ayo, preprint; to appear in Int. J. M od. P hys (1996).
${ }^{19}$ A M . Ferrenberg and D P. Landau, P hys. R ev. B 44, 5081 (1991).
${ }^{20}$ L. Shaw and M E.F isher, Phys. Rev.A 39, 2189 (1989).
${ }^{21}$ K K.M on, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2749 (1988).
${ }^{22}$ M . Ferer, M A.M oore and M . W ortis, Phys. R ev. B 8, 5205 (1973).
${ }^{23}$ K . O hno, Y . O kabe and A . M orita, P rog. T heor. P hys. 71, 714 (1984).
${ }^{24}$ D P. Landau, R.P andey and K.B inder, Phys. Rev. B 39, 12302 (1989).
${ }^{25}$ J.C. Le G uillou and J. Zinn-Justin, P hys. R ev. B 213976 (1980)
${ }^{26}$ D S R itchie and M E.Fisher, Phys. Rev.B 5, 2668, 1972.
${ }^{27}$ K . C hen, A M . Ferrenberg and D P. Landau, J. A ppl. Phys. 73, 5488 (1993).

