Transm ission and Spectral Aspects of Tight Binding Hamiltonians For the Counting Quantum Turing Machine

PaulBenio
Physics Division, Argonne NationalLaboratory
Argonne, IL 60439
e-mail: pbenio @anlgov
(August 26, 2021)

## A bstract

1-D system swith deterministic disorder, such as those with quasiperiodic or substitution sequence potential distributions, have been much studied. It was recently shown that a generalization of quantum Turing machines (QTMs), in which potentials are associated with elementary steps or transitions of the com putation, generates potential distributions along com putation paths of states in some basis B, that are computable and are thus periodic or have determ inistic disorder. These generalized machines (GQTMs) can be used to investigate the e ect of potentials in causing re ections and reducing the com pletion probability of com putations. This paper expands on this work by determ ining the spectral and transmission properties of an example GQTM, which enum erates the integers in succession as binary strings. A potential is associated with just one type of step. For many computation paths the potential distributions are initial segments of a distribution that is quasiperiodic and corresponds to a substitution sequence. Thus the methods developed in the study of 1-D systems can be used to calculate the energy band spectra and Landauer Resistance (LR). For energies below the barrier height, The LR uctuates rapidly with momentum with minima close to or at band-gap edges. A lso for several values of the param eters involved there is good transm ission over som e m om entum regions.

72.10.-d,89.70.+c,63.90.+t

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

The discovery of quasicrystals [1], (See [2,3] for recent reviews) has stimulated much study of systems with deterministic disorder [4]. These systems are neither random nor periodic. As part of this work there has been much interest in the study, either with Kronig-Penney or tight binding Hamiltonians, of the spectra and transmission properties of 1-D systems whose potential distributions correspond to substitution sequences. (These are dened in [5]). For the Period Doubling, [4,6,7], Thue-Morse [4,6,7], and Fibonacci [8] substitution sequences, the spectra are singular continuous and are Cantor sets. For the Rudin-Shapiro sequence, the spectral properties are unknown [9]. Potential distributions corresponding to circle sequences [10] and the prime number distribution [11] have also been studied.

Transm ission properties of 1-D structures for which the potential distributions are initial segments of substitution sequences have also been studied [12{16]. Of special interest here are studies of the Landauer Resistance [17,18] for various potential distributions and its relation to the band structure of in nite periodic systems whose unit cells are initial segments of substitution sequences [19{21].

One dimensional structures of these types also appear in a generalization of quantum Turing machines introduced elsewhere [22]. The generalization consists of modifying the Ham iltonian description of quantum Turing machines (QTMs) so that potentials are associated with some types of steps or transitions of the computation as it moves along paths of states in a suitable basis. The resulting distributions of potentials along these computation paths are computable and are thus either periodic or deterministically disordered. As a result generalized quantum Turing machines (GQTMs) are both quantum computers and 1-D structures of the type of much recent interest.

The presence of these potentials means that GQTM salso serve as a test bed to exam ine the ect of these potentials on the transmission and rejection of the computation state along any computation path and the completion probability for the computation. The importance of this for quantum computation has been repeatedly emphasized by Landauer [23]. He has noted that these potentials, which may result from environmental in uences and errors in construction of actual physical models of the computation process [24,25], cause rejections back along the computation path and may result in exponential decay or localization of the transmitted state.

This emphasis is based on the fact that in much of the work done so far on quantum computation, the computation as been assumed to proceed smoothly along computation paths with no potentials present. This is the case whether quantum computers are used as computers [26{33}] or as simulators of other quantum systems [34,35]. Work on Shor's quantum algorithm [36] and on quantum gates and quantum error correction [37,38] is also included.

Them ain purpose of this work is the exam ination of the e ect of potentials on the spectral and transm ission properties of computation states along computation paths. The method will be based on other work [22] in which potentials are associated with some types of steps or transitions of the computation as it evolves along paths of states in a suitable basis. The Feynman Hamiltonians for these GQTM s can, under certain conditions, be decomposed into a direct sum of tight binding Hamiltonians,  $H = {}^{P}_{i}H_{i}$ , where the isum is over computation paths of states in a basis. The potential distribution,  $V_{i}$ , in  $H_{i}$  depends on the path i.

Here the exam ple GQTM, which was introduced in other work [22], will be exam ined in detail. This exam ple, the counting GQTM, which enumerates the integers in succession as binary strings, has a potential associated with read-1 steps only. (read-1 steps are dened at the end of Section III.) For many paths the potential distribution was seen to be quasicrystalline and to correspond to initial segments of a substitution sequence generated from an in nite alphabet.

Both the spectral and transm ission properties of the tight binding Ham iltonians associated with the counting GQTM will be determined. The energy band spectra will be calculated for associated periodic systems. These are 1-D crystals whose unit cells are (-nite sections of) computation paths that contain the potential distributions being studied. Transm ission properties will be calculated by determining the Landauer Resistance of computation paths for the counting GQTM. This paper should be read in conjunction with [22] as much of the earlier work will not be repeated.

In the next section the physical model for Q TM s (generalized or not) will be presented. This will be followed in Section III by a brief description of the generalization of Q TM s and the decomposition of H am iltonians for GQ TM s into a sum over paths of tight binding H am iltonians. Computation paths will be dened. The counting GQ TM will be described in Section IV. The discussion of the step operator and potential distribution will be brief as much of the material is already given elsewhere [22]. The transfer matrix will be dened for potential distributions containing the rst  $2^{N-1}$  potentials with N an arbitrary positive integer.

The emphasis of Sections V and VI is on the spectral gaps and band widths for the associated periodic systems and Landauer Resistance of systems described by tight binding H am iltonians with  $2^{N-1}$  potentials for the counting GQTM. The width and separation of the potentials is related to an initial segment of a generalized substitution sequence described elsewhere [22,39]. The work is exploratory with emphasis on calculations to show the dependence of these properties on various parameters. Also as was done by Roy and Khan [20], the relation between the Landauer Resistance of a system and the band spectra of the associated periodic system will be investigated.

It should be noted that the only e ect of this generalization is the introduction of potentials along computation paths. There is no change in the class of QTM s or in the concept of quantum computability.

#### II. THE PHYSICAL MODEL

The physicalm odel for one-tape quantum Turing machines consists of a two-way in nite one dimensional lattice of systems each of which can assume states in a nite dimensional Hilbert space. If the space is two dimensional, the systems are referred to as qubits. This term will be used here even if the dimensionality is greater than two. It is often convenient but not necessary to consider the lattice as spin systems, e.g. spin 1/2 systems for binary qubits.

A head which can assume any one of a nite number of orthogonal states jli with 1 L m oves along the lattice interacting with qubits at or next to its location on the lattice. Elementary QTM actions include one or more of (1) head motion one lattice site to the

right or left, (2) change of the state of the qubit scanned or read by the head, (3) change of the head state. W hat happens depends on the states of the head and scanned qubit.

Here the system states are all assumed to lie in a separable Hilbert space H. Based on the above description a particular basis, the computation basis, dened by the set of states fjl; j; S ig and which spans H, is used. Here l; j refer to the internal state and lattice position of the head. The qubit lattice computation basis state  $\beta i = \frac{1}{m=1} \beta (m) i$  where S is a function from the integers to the qubit state labels (e.g. f0;1g) such that S (m)  $\theta$  0 for at most a nite number of values of m. This condition, the 0 tail state condition, is one of m any that can be imposed to keep the basis denumerable. Note that all qubit states, such as a  $\beta i + b \beta i$  for arbitrary a; b and sums of products of these states are included as appropriate linear superpositions of the computation basis states.

# III. TIGHT BIND ING HAM ILTON IANS FROM QUANTUM TURING MACHINES

One begins by associating a bounded linear operator T with each GQTM. T is referred to as a step operator for the GQTM because iteration of T (or its adjoint) corresponds to the successive steps in the forward (or backward) time direction of the GQTM. An in nitesimal time interval is associated with the steps of T so that it can be used directly to construct a time independent Ham iltonian. The specie construction used here is that proposed by Feynman [40]:

$$H = K (2 T T^{y})$$
 (1)

where K is a constant. This de nition has the advantage that if T = Y where Y is the bilateral shift along the lattice, then H is the kinetic energy of free head motion on the lattice. As such it is equivalent to the sym metrized discrete version of the second derivative, ( $h^2=2m$ ) $d^2=dx^2$ .

For each GQTM the associated step operator T is de ned as a nite sum over elementary step operators. That is  $T = \begin{bmatrix} P \\ L_{1S} \end{bmatrix} T_{1S}$  where the sum is over all head state labels 1 L and all qubit state labels s S where S is the set of qubit state labels. For binary qubits S = f0;1g.  $T_{1;S}$  corresponds to the action taken by the GQTM associated with T when the head in state jli sees or reads a qubit in state jsi.

The generalization consists in noting that for each l;s  $T_{l;s} = _{l;s}W_{l;s}$  where  $_{l;s}$  is a positive real constant 1. For QTM s without the generalization  $_{l;s} = 1$  for all l;s.  $W_{l;s}$  is de ned as a sum over all lattice positions of products of projection operators, bit and head state change, and head position change operators. The speci c de nition of  $W_{l;s}$  appears elsewhere [22].

From now on either the W  $_{l,s}$  or the  $T_{l,s}$  w illbe referred to as the elem entary step operators for the GQTM . The reference w iilbe m ade explicit in contexts where the di erence between  $T_{l,s}$  and W  $_{l,s}$  is important.

The main condition imposed on T and its adjoint is that they be distinct path generating in some basis B which spans H. This means that iterations of T or  $T^y$  on any basis state in B, generate a path of states that, up to normalization, are also states in B. Furthermore the paths are distinct in that no two paths jpin, intersect, or branch.

Although B can be any basis which spans H, (see [27] for details), B is required here to be the computation basis, de ned in Section II. A path in B is de ned as a set of states in B ordered by iteration of T or T<sup>y</sup>. If the state  $j_m$ ;  $j_m$ ;  $S_m$   $i = j_m$ ; ii is the m th state in some path i, then the m + 1st and m 1th states in the path are given respectively by  $j_m$  + 1;  $ii = W_{j_m}$ ;  $j_m$ ;

M athem atically the requirement that T be distinct path generating in the computation basis, B, can be expressed by the condition that T is a direct sum of weighted shifts on B. That is,  $T = UD = {}^{P}_{i}T_{i}P_{i}$  where  $U = {}^{P}_{i}U_{i}$  is a direct sum of shifts (bilateral, unilateral, adjoint of a unilateral shift, nite, or cyclic) and D is pure discrete with positive real eigenvalues and eigenstates in B. These types of shifts correspond respectively to the associated paths being two-way in nite, one-way in nite from either end (m 0 or m 0) nite with distinct ends, or cyclic. One also has  $U = {}^{P}_{is}W_{is}$ .

For each  $i T_i = U_i D$  is a weighted shift on a subset  $B_i$  of B which spans a subspace  $P_i H$  of H [41]. The projection operators  $P_i$  are all pairwise orthogonal. More explicitly if fin; iig is the basis  $B_i$  and  $U_i$  in; ii  $\in$  0,  $T_i$  in; ii =  $D_{i,m}$  in + 1; ii.  $D_{i,m}$  is the eigenvalue of D associated to the eigenstate in; ii. For the adjoint  $T^y$  in; ii =  $D_{i,m-1}$  in = 1; ii if  $U_i^y$  in; ii = 0.

These results, expressed in the computation basis labels, give for any state which is not a term inal path state,

$$T j_{m}; j_{n}; S_{m} i = D_{j_{m}; S_{m}} (j_{m}) j_{m+1}; j_{n+1}; S_{m+1} i$$

$$T^{y} j_{m}; j_{n}; S_{m} i = D_{j_{m}} (j_{m}) j_{m} (j_{m$$

These equations use the requirement that D be a local operator in that its values depend only on the state of the qubit at the head location. It is independent of the states of qubits at other lattice sites. In this case one has D  $j_i$ ;  $j_i$ ;  $Si = D_{1,j,S} j_i$ ;  $j_i$ ;  $Si = D_{1,S(j)} j_i$ ;  $j_i$ ; Si. The connection between the eigenvalues of D and the values of  $I_{i,S(j)}$  is then given directly by  $D_{1,S(j)} = I_{i,S(j)}$ .

If T and T  $^{y}$  are distinct path generating on B then the direct sum decomposition of T m eans that the Feynm an H am iltonian [40] of Eq. 1 is also decomposable as H =  $^{P}_{i}$ H  $_{i}$ P  $_{i}$  where for each i,

$$H_{i} = K (2 T_{i} T_{i}^{y})$$
: (3)

Since  $T_i$  is a weighted shift on the path of states in  $B_i$ ,  $H_i$  is a tight binding Ham iltonian on  $B_i$  and thus in the subspace  $P_i$ H .

As is noted elsewhere [22]  $H_i$  can also be written in the form

$$H_{i} = K (2 U_{i} U_{i}^{Y}) + V_{i}$$
 (4)

where K (2  $U_i$   $U_i^y$ ) is, form ally, the kinetic energy associated with the evolution of the computation along path i. For the physical model used here it is also the kinetic energy of head motion on the qubit lattice. (The kinetic energy depends on the magnitude but not the direction of the head momentum on the lattice.)

The potential  $V_i = K (U_i \quad T_i + U_i^y \quad T_i^y)$  is a nearest neighbor o -diagonal potential w ith m atrix elements on internal path states given by

$$\text{hm}^{0}$$
;  $\text{ij}V_{1}$ ;  $\text{jn}$ ;  $\text{ii} = K [(1 \quad D_{1,m})_{m} \circ_{m+1} + (1 \quad D_{1,m})_{m} \circ_{m}]$ ; (5)

Since  $j_m$ ;  $i_i = j_m$ ;  $j_m$ ;  $S_m$  i and D is local,  $D_{i,m} = l_m$ ;  $S_m$   $(i_m)$ .

In Schrodinger evolution under the action of H , Eq. 1, the choice of which H  $_{\rm i}$  is active is determined by the initial state (0). If (0) is a superposition of of computation basis states in just one path i, Then H  $_{\rm i}$  is the only active component. If (0) is a superposition of computation basis states in dierent paths, then all H  $_{\rm i}$  are active for just those paths i in which (0) has a nonzero component. Note that in this case the states in the dierent paths evolve coherently.

The simplest nontrivial choice for D (other than D = 1) is that the D has two eigenvalues 1; where is a positive real number between 0 and 1. This will be implemented here by limiting consideration to generalized quantum Turing machines (GQTMs) that have a xed potential associated with the read-1 elementary steps only. All other qubit read steps are potential free. Read-1 steps are dened to be all steps  $jm; ii ! jm + 1; ii in which an elementary step term <math>T_{l;l}$  is active (i.e. the qubit at the location of the head is in state jli). This is accounted for by setting

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} X & X \\ [( & W_{1;s}) + & W_{1;1}] \end{bmatrix}$$
 (6)

IV.THE COUNTING GQTM

A. The Step Operator

The counting GQTM serves as an interesting example of the foregoing. Based on Eq. 6 the step operator for this GQTM is a sum over seven elements or terms (each term includes the j-sum):

$$T = \begin{cases} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ y = 1 \end{cases} (Q_{0}P_{0j}uP_{j} + wQ_{0}P_{2j}uP_{j} + Q_{1}P_{0j}uP_{j} + wQ_{0}P_{2j}uP_{j} + wQ_{1}P_{0j}uP_{j} + wQ_{1}P_{2j}u^{y}P_{j} + wQ_{2}V_{xj}P_{0j}uP_{j} + wQ_{2}P_{2j}uP_{j})$$

$$(7)$$

The projection operators  $Q_1$ ;  $P_{s;j}P_j$  are for the head in state jli, the site j qubit in state jsi, and the head at site j. w is a shift mod 3 on the three head states ( $wQ_m = Q_{m+1}w \mod 3$ ) and u shifts the head along the lattice by one site ( $uP_j = P_{j+1}u$ ). The need for markers is accounted for here by choosing the qubits in the lattice to be ternary with states  $\mathcal{D}i$ ;  $\mathcal{D}i$ :  $\mathcal{D}i$  is used as a marker and  $\mathcal{D}i$ ;  $\mathcal{D}i$  are used for binary strings. The qubit transformation operator  $v_{xj} = v_{xj}(P_{0j} + P_{1j}) + P_{2j}$  exchanges the states  $\mathcal{D}i$ ;  $\mathcal{D}i$  and does nothing to the state  $\mathcal{D}i$  for the site  $\mathcal{D}i$  qubit.

The adjoint  $T^y$  is de ned from T in the usual way noting that the operators for the head states, head position states, and qubit states commute with one another. Note that  $v_{xj}^y = v_{xj}$ . This gives

$$T^{y} = \sum_{j=1}^{x^{j}} (Q_{0}P_{0j}P_{j}u^{y} + Q_{0}w^{y}P_{2j}P_{j}u^{y} + Q_{1}P_{0j}P_{j}u^{y} + Q_{1}P_{0j}P_{j}u^{y} + Q_{1}w^{y}P_{2j}P_{j}u + Q_{2}wP_{0j}v_{xj}P_{j}u^{y} + Q_{2}w^{y}P_{2j}P_{j}u + Q_{2}wP_{0j}v_{xj}P_{j}u^{y} + Q_{2}w^{y}P_{2j}P_{j}u^{y})$$

$$(8)$$

The term s in T have been chosen so that, besides carrying out the desired operations, T is distinct path generating in the computation basis. As de ned T and T<sup>y</sup> satisfy a su cient condition for this [27], namely, that  $T^yT = {P \choose t=1} t^yt$  and  $TT^y = {P \choose t=1} t^yt$  where t and  $t^y$  denote term s of T and T<sup>y</sup> in Eqs. 7 and 8.

For this example all steps are potential free except those in which the 5th term is active. For these a potential, given by Eq. 5, is present as D  $_{l;1} = 1$ . The distribution of potential and potential free steps along a path depends on which of the 7 terms is active for a path state. The potential width at any path location is given by the number of successive iterations for which the 5th term is active.

Comparison with Eq. 6 shows that for this GQTM (T = UD) U is a also sum of 7 terms with  $U_{2;1}$  the fifth term of Eq. 7 with excluded. The other 6 terms of U in the l;s sum are given directly by Eq. 7.

To apply this to a speci c exam ple, consider the initial state, shown in Figure 1, with the head in state 0 in a wave packet localized to the left of the origin. All qubits are in state 0 except those at sites 0; n+1 which are in state 0. The initial head and lattice qubit state are the path labels for this example. Iteration of T on this state generates in turn all the integers as binary strings of length n. When the space between the two markers is completely led with 1s, corresponding to the integer 0 1, the last pass of the head changes all 1s to 0s. The head in state 0 in the moves to the right away from the marker region as the enumeration is completed. A more detailed description of this process, based on iteration of T, is given elsewhere 0.

## B.PotentialDistributions

The path potential distribution for the counting GQTM is closely related to the distribution of read-1 steps in all the steps (read-1, read-0, and read-2) obtained by iteration of T. This distribution can be represented by a function R from the nonnegative integers to f0;lg where R (j) = 1[0] if the jth step (or T iteration) is a read-1 [read-0 or read-2] step. The initial state or origin is assumed to be with the head in state jli at position n+1. The location of the origin is not in portant provided all read-1 steps are included.

The read-1 steps occur during a stepw ise search, starting from the units place, for the rst 0 after a string of 1s. Based on this R would be expected to be related to the function R from the nonnegative integers to the nonnegative integers such that, in the binary string for j, R (j) gives the number of 1s occurring before the rst 0. Because of this, the properties of R can be used to obtain a useful expression for R .

The range sequence of R, which is 0;1;0;2;0;1;0;3; , is known as the hierarchical sequence [39]. It can be expressed recursively [22] by the pair of recursion equations;  $R_N = S_{N-1}N$ ;  $S_N = R_N S_{N-1}$  for N=1;2; with = 0.0 R, is the rst = 0.0 elements of R. R is a generalized substitution sequence under the substitution rule = 0.01;2; .

The generalization denotes the fact that, contrary to the literature de nitions [4,42,43], the alphabet is in nite.

The recursion relations for R also hold for R if N is replaced with  $\underline{N}$  where  $\underline{N}$  denotes the string of numbers  $01^N$   $0^{N+1}$ . Here  $1^N$  and  $0^{N+1}$  denote strings of N 1s and N + 10s. That is, for N = 1;2;

$$R_N = S_{N-1} N_{:} S_N = R_N S_{N-1} :$$
 (9)

where  $S_0 = 0$ .

This equation gives the distribution of read-1 steps in all steps associated with enum erating the rst  $2^N$  integers. An example for N=6 is given elsewhere [22]. The explicit locations of bands of read-1 steps can be obtained by noting that the number of elements in  $S_N$  and  $R_N$  is given respectively by  $2^{N+3}$  2 (N + 3) and  $2^{N+2}$  2. The number of read-1 bands is equal to  $2^{N-1}$ .

The relation of the read-1 step distribution to the potential distribution in the tight binding H am iltonian, Eq. 4, for the path corresponding to enumerating the rst  $2^N$  integers, can be obtained from R  $_N$ . Extend R  $_N$  to a two way in nite sequence by adding 0s to both ends. De ne a function D  $_i$  on the spectrum of D by D  $_{i,m}$  = D  $_i$  (m). The function D  $_i$  is related to the extended R  $_N$  by

$$D_i = 1 + (1)R_N$$
: (10)

. From this the matrix elements of  $V_i$ , Eq. 5, are given by

$$\text{Im } {}^{0} \dot{\mathcal{T}}_{i} \dot{\mathcal{T}}_{n} \dot{\mathcal{T}} = K (1) \quad \text{If } R_{N} (m) \quad \text{Im } {}^{0} m + 1 + R_{N} (m) \quad \text{Im } {}^{0} m \quad \text{Im } {}$$

Eq. 11 shows that the o -diagonal potential barrier associated with a band of n 1s at path sites a; (a+n) = 1 with 0s on both sides  $(ie_N R_j) = 1$  if a (a+n) = 1 and (a+n) = 1 and

Figure 2 is a good illustration of the general property of the distribution, that barriers that are two sites wide (n = 1) are the most common as 1=2 the barriers are of this type. One half the remaining barriers are 3 sites wide n = 2, and 1=2 those remaining are 4 sites wide (n = 3), etc..

There are many other possible initial states and paths with associated tight binding Hamiltonians besides that given in Figure 1. Discussion of these, and the collection of paths into equivalence classes, is discussed elsewhere [22].

## C. Transfer M atrix

Following Erdos and Hemdon [18], solutions for tight binding Ham iltonians, such as that given by Eq. 4, can be written as =  $_{\rm I}$  +  $_{\rm III}$  +  $_{\rm III}$  where  $_{\rm I}$  and  $_{\rm III}$  are the states

corresponding to the path boundary potential free regions and  $_{\text{II}}$  is the state in the path region containing the potentials. For example if the path regions for j < 0 and j > n are potential free (integers label path states) then

$$I = \begin{cases} X^{1} & (A e^{ikj} + B e^{ikj}) j j i \\ j = 1 & X^{k} \\ III = \begin{cases} X^{k} & (F e^{ikj} + G e^{ikj}) j j i \\ j = n+1 \end{cases}$$
 (12)

Here j is the path state label and k is the momentum in the potential free regions.

The form of  $_{\rm II}$  depends on the form of the potential. For a single barrier corresponding to a band of N read-1 sites extending from site a to a + N 1,

$$II = (C_1 e^{iha} + D_1 e^{iha}) \dot{j}ai + (C_2 e^{ilj} + D_2 e^{ilj}) \dot{j}ji + (C_3 e^{ih(a+N)} + D_3 e^{ih(a+N)}) \dot{j}a + N i;$$
(13)

If the energy is below the barrier height of 2K (1 ), which is the case of interest here, then l is replaced by il. If the energy is below K (1 ) then the momentum h is replaced by ih. If several potentials are present II is a sum of terms of the form of Eq. 13.

The four complex coe cients A; B; F; G are completely determined by boundary conditions for the case under study and the properties of the transfer matrix. For example if initial states are required to be localized in region I (Figure 1), then G = 0 as there is no incoming head motion in region III.

The transfer matrix Z relates the coe cients F; G to A; B according to [18]

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & & & & \\
F & & & & & \\
G & & & & B
\end{array}$$

Z is a unim odular (determ inant=1) 2 2 m atrix which also satis es [18]

$$Z_{11} = Z_{22}$$
 $Z_{12} = Z_{21}$ : (14)

For a string of potentials the transfer m atrix connecting the state on the right to the state on the left is the product of the transfer m atrices for each of the potentials [18{20}]. If the potential distribution is given by a recursion relation, such as that of Eq. 9, then the transfer m atrix  $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$  for the potential distribution corresponding to  $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{N}}$  is given by the recursion relations

$$Z_{N} = W_{N} X_{N-1}$$
  
 $X_{N} = X_{N-1} W_{N} X_{N-1}$  (15)

with  $X_0j_1 = e^{2ik}$  and  $X_0j_2 = 0$ . Eq. 14 holds for  $X_0$ .  $W_N$  is the transfer matrix for the potential corresponding to the sequence  $\underline{N} = 01^N 0^{N+1}$ . As noted earlier this corresponds to a potential barrier with a core width of N 1 sites anked on each side by a one-site

potential half the core height. Potential free regions of 1 site and N+1 sites surround the barrier. Note that the order of matrix multiplication in Eq. 15 is the inverse of the order in which terms appear in the sequence of Eq. 9.

This use of recursion relations has the advantage that in order to obtain the elements of the matrix  $Z_N$ , only polynomially many matrix multiplications are needed. If  $Z_N$  is obtained from the matrices associated with each potential barrier in the distribution, then exponentially many matrix multiplications are required.

From Eq. 15 one sees that the only matrices needed in explicit form (other than  $X_0$ ) are the W m form N. These can be obtained explicitly (see also [18]) by setting (E  $H_i$ ) = 0 where  $H_i$  is given by Eqs. 4 and 11, and the state is given by Eqs. 12 and 13. A set of linear equations is obtained by setting the coe cients of each state jj; ii equal to 0. that is

Because H  $_{\rm i}$  contains nearest neighbor interactions only, just 3 term s in the j $^{\rm 0}$  sum , j $^{\rm 0}$  = j; j 1 contribute.

The linear equations so obtained can be used to derive the matrix elements of W  $_{\rm m}$  for  $\underline{\rm m}=01^{\rm m}~0^{\rm m+1}$ . A brief sum mary of the derivation is given in the Appendix. For energies below the potential core height (i.e. less than 2K (1 )), they are

$$W_{m} j_{1} = \frac{e^{ik (m + 2)}}{2i \sin k \sinh 1} [e^{2ik} \sinh lm \quad 2 e^{ik} \sinh l(m \quad 1) + 2 \sinh l(m \quad 2)]$$

$$W_{m} j_{2} = \frac{e^{ikm}}{2i \sin k \sinh 1} [\sinh lm \quad 2 \sinh l(m \quad 1) \cos k + 2 \sinh l(m \quad 2)]$$
(17)

where the momenta l;k are related by 2K (1  $\cos k$ ) = E = 2K (1  $\cosh$  l). For the ank potentials or those for m = 1, E = K (2  $(^2 + 2 \cos 2h + 1)^{1-2}$ ). However, the momentum h, Eq. 13, does not appear in the above. These equations can be shown by explicit calculation to hold for m = 1 and m = 2 as well as for larger m. One also has W m  $\dot{j}_1 = W_m \dot{j}_2$ ; W m  $\dot{j}_1 = W_m \dot{j}_2$ ; and W m is unim odular.

## V.ENERGY BANDS AND GAPS

As is well known [45,19,20] the distributions of energy bands and gaps for the in nite 1D periodic system, with a unit cell satisfying the problem being considered, can be obtained from a plot of TrZ $_{\rm N}$  as a function of the momentum k. Regions for which Jrz $_{\rm N}$ j 2 correspond to energy bands; regions with Jrz $_{\rm N}$ j> 2 correspond to energy gaps. As the main interest in the paper is in bound states, k is restricted to be less than arccos . The in nite periodic system is referred to as the associated periodic system .

Test calculations show that except for values of very close to 1, the values of  $TrZ_N$  uctuate extrem ely rapidly between astronom ically large positive and negative values (of the order of  $10^M$  where M is very dependent on and N . For values of appreciably di erent from 1 and values of N of order 10, M has values greater than 200. It is thus necessary to determ ine values of the two free param eters K; that are reasonable physically. To do this it is necessary to assign a spacing to the lattice qubits. Then the dimensionless

m om enta l;k are related to the usual m om enta  $l^0;k^0$  by  $k=k^0$ ;  $l=l^0$ . The form of K is obtained from the fact that if T=Y, the bilateral shift on the lattice, then H, Eq. 1, is the sym m etrized form of the second derivative on the lattice. This gives

$$K = \frac{h^2 c^2}{2m^2}$$
 (18)

where m is the mass of the head in energy units (m  $c^2$ ).

Since V = 2K (1), with = 1,

$$= \frac{2m \ V^{2}}{h^{2}c^{2}} : \tag{19}$$

For electron systems, m is of the order of the electron mass, V is a few electron volts, and is measured in Angstroms. Taking m equal to 2 electron masses, = 1 A, and V = 2eV gives '0:001 or '0:999

The method used for calculation of TrZ $_{\rm N}$  consists of using the recursion relations, Eq. 15, to obtain Z $_{\rm N}$  and then taking the trace. The well known method of using trace maps [42,9,12], is not used because it is more e cient to obtain directly the matrix Z $_{\rm N}$  and then take the trace rather than by use of the trace map relations. In particular, obtaining Z $_{\rm N}$  directly from Eq. 15, using Eq. 14, and then taking the trace requires a number of scalar multiplications proportional to N . Use of the trace map requires a number of scalar multiplications proportional to N  $_{\rm N}$  .

Energy bands and gaps were calculated as a function of the dimensionless momentum k for several dierent values of and N. The results for = 0.999 are given in Figure 3 for N = 10; 15; 18 as three bands of vertical lines connecting horizontal line segments or points. The upper or lower horizontal line segments or points represent respectively energy bands or energy gaps. Points represent bands or gaps whose width is of the order of the values of the increment or spacing k used to make the calculations.

The range of momentum shown is from k=0.0223 to  $k=\arccos$ . The lower limit is chosen because, to the accuracy of the calculations, there are no energy bands in the region k<0.0223 in which  $T\,rZ_N$  increases rapidly to very large values. Each band shows the result of calculations using about 2800 equally spaced grid points which gives k=5600.

The lower band for N=10 shows that most of the momentum region 0.0223 k arccos = 0.0447 is covered by energy bands separated in most cases by very narrow gaps. The widest gap is at k  $^\prime$  0.033 with smaller gaps at k  $^\prime$  0.025; 0.029; 0.038, and 0.043.

The middle band for N=15 shows that the wide energy bands for N=10 are split into many smaller bands with intervening gaps. Gaps which were already present at N=10 continue to be present. This splitting continues for increasing N as is shown by the upper band for N=18. The width and positions of the larger gaps at k ' 0:043; 0:038; 0:033; 0:029; 0:025 are maintained. The bands also show that as N increases the number of bands increases greatly. However the band widths decrease even faster so that the fraction of the momentum region associated with energy bands, although sitll large, decreases as N increases.

The distribution of bands or band density is shown by the spacing or density of the vertical lines. For example for N=18 the density is high in the dark regions of the band, such as around k=0.024 and 0.036. It is low in the regions of the wide gaps.

Calculations were not made for values of N > 18 because the range and uctuation of values of  $T\,rZ_N$  becomes so extreme that the accuracy of calculations that can be made with a reasonable amount of time and e ort becomes questionable. Even at N = 18 high resolution calculations (to be discussed later) over small momentum regions are needed to resolve individual bands. Recall that the results shown give the energy band and gap structure for an in nite periodic structure with a unit cell of  $2^N$  potentials with a width and location distribution given by Eq. 9. Thus for N = 18, about 128;000 potentials are present in the unit cell.

In all gures except Figure 1, the values of the momentum used in the abcissa are larger than the actual values by a factor of 1000. They are also dimensionless. This has the advantage that the bands in Figure 3 are valid for any values ofm; V; that give = 0:001 (Eq. 19) or = 0:999. One set is the values chosen for the electron range. A nother set in the nuclear range (probably impossible to realize) that gives 0:001 is mequal to the proton mass, V = IM ev and V = IM ev and V = IM or V = IM ev and V = IM ev and

It is useful to explore a wider variation of parameters, such as larger values of m; , or V which give dierent values of . Choosing values which increase values of gives one m ore latitude in a choice of physical model parameters. For this reason calculations were also made for larger by a factor of 10. The results are shown in Figure 4 for = 0.99 for the same values of N used in Figure 3. The momentum range is cut o at k = 0.069 as there do not appear to be any bands below the cuto .

Compared to the results for = 0.999, Figure 4 shows a great increase in the fraction of the momentum region occupied by energy gaps. There are large gaps around values of k=0.084 and 0.10 and especially 0.12. There are many more energy bands present than are shown in Figure 3 for the same value of N . But the widths are so much smaller that the fraction of the region alloted to energy bands is much less. This is especially pronounced in the bands for N=15 and N=18 where energy bands show as points only. For these values of N , the maximum width of any energy band is only about 0.0002. The calculations for N=15 and N=18 should only be taken as giving a rough indication of the bands present. Use of ner grids in the calculations would be expected to show more bands of very small widths in the high density band regions than are shown in the gure. The large gaps would be expected to remain.

Increasing the value of by another factor of 10 to give = 0.9 gives the results shown in Figure 5. They were obtained using a grid with over 7000 equally spaced points with a low momentum cuto at 0.166. The values of N used are smaller than those in the preceding gures because the uctuations in the values of  $TrZ_N$  are so large.

The calculations show that very few energy bands are present and except for N=6 the widths are pointlike. For N=6 the band grouping appears to be hierararchical in that pairs of doublets are grouped into pairs of quartets which are in turn grouped into octets. The appearance of the band at k '0:196 as a singlet instead of a doublet may be a consequence of the nite resolution of the calculations. The gaps between the groups increase as the group size increases. There are indications that this structure may be preserved for N=8 and possibly for N=10. However, each band splits up into many smaller bands as N increases. More detailed calculations with extremely negrids would be needed to check

this.

The reason for the low momentum cuto s in the appearance of bands is not clear. They do not appear to be associated with the height of the narrow est barriers (1/2 of the total), i.e. those with height K (1 ). For these barriers the corresponding values of the momentum at which the energy equals the barrier height ( $\cos k = (1 + )=2$ ) are 0:0316; 0:100; 0:317 for = 0:999; 0:99; 0:9 respectively. These values are appreciably higher than the values at which bands rst appear.

### VI.LANDAUER RESISTANCE

Interest in the Landauer Resistance [17,18], de ned as the ratio of the rejection probability to the transmission probability through a nite sequence of potentials, is based on the fact that for 1D electronic systems, it is a measure of the transmission of the electron through the system.

From the standpoint of this paper the Landauer Resistance (LR) is of interest because the reciprocal, 1=(1+LR), is the probability of transm ission through the potential sequence. For any path of states for a GQTM, the reciprocal is a measure of the probability of completion of the quantum computation as it refers to the transm ission of a wave packet from one end of the path to the other through potentials associated with the path states. For the counting GQTM it is a measure of the probability of completion of the counting with potentials associated with read-1 steps only.

Landauer [23] has emphasized that rejection from background potentials associated with each step of a quantum computation degrade the computation due to multiple rejections from and transmissions through the potentials. In particular for energies below the potential height the transmission would be expected to decay exponentially as the packet must tunned through each of the potentials,

There are indications that this may not be true for potential sequences which are not random. For example it has been shown [19,20] that for Thue-Morse, Fibonnaci, and periodic sequences in the Kronig-Penney model, the Landauer Resistance uctuates rapidly with energy between very high values >> 1 and very low values << 1. In addition high values are associated with energy gaps in the in nite associated periodic system; low values are associated with energy bands.

For these reasons the Landauer Resistance (LR) has been calculated for the counting GQTM for various values of N and as a function of the dimensionless momentum k. The calculations are done using the result that the LR is given by [18]

$$LR = \mathcal{I}_N j_2 \hat{J}$$
 (20)

where  $Z_N$  is given by Eq. 15. The results are shown in gures that show the functional dependence of the log (base 10) of the Landauer Resistance (log LR) on the momentum. The momentum units are dimensionless.

The results for = 0.999 and N = 10 are shown in Figure 6. The enery band and gap structure for the associated periodic system is reproduced at the bottom of the gure. The calculations show a rapidly uctuating  $\log LR$  with large maxima at momentum values corresponding to energy gaps. The heights of these large maxima appear to be correlated

with associated gap widths; wide gaps have greater maxima than narrower ones. Also the general trend of the values such as the height of the maxima, is towards lower values as the momentum increases. Both these features were noted by Roy and Khan [19,20]. However for the smaller maxima, which occur in regions of energy bands of fairly uniform width separated by narrow gaps, the opposite appears to be the case in that the maxima appear to be associated with energy bands. In addition log LR has sharp spikes to very low values at the regions of these narrow gaps.

An interesting feature of the results is that for most values of the momentum logLR is less than 0. A value of 0 corresponds to a 50% probability of transmission through the potentials. Thus for this case the probability of completion of the quantum computation is > 50% for most momenta. For selected values the probability is close to 100%. These low values are very likely correlated with the result that most momenta are in energy bands with only a small fraction of the momentum region taken up with gaps.

Figure 7 shows a higher resolution plot of  $\log LR$  for a portion of the momentum region. It shows very clearly the association of the sharp minima to band edges. A study of the actual computation points for the gure shows that the minima are associated with the upper edge of a band just below a gap and not with the lower edge of a band just above a gap. A lso the depth of the minima appear to depend on the width of the associated gap in that in general the depths are much less for wider gaps than for narrower ones. For example the minimum with the relatively wide gap at k=0.0355 is higher than the sharp spike minima on either side with relatively narrow gaps. The minimum associated with the even wider gap at k=0.038 has almost disappeared.

The results of a calculation of  $\log LR$  for the same value of but for a larger value of N=18, are shown in Figure 8. Just as the band spectrum is much more nely divided  $\log LR$  uctuates much more rapidly and violently. For the purposes of clarity the plot is cut o at values of  $\log LR > 20$  ( $LR > 10^{20}$ ). The main reason is that the interest here is in the behaviour of  $\log LR$  around 0 and not how high the values can go.

The results show that there are a great number of values of the momentum for which log LR is 0 or less. However there are also a large number of uctuations to much higher values. Because of the nely divided nature of the spectrum and extreme violence of the uctuations, the gure should be taken only as an approximate indication of the dependence of log LR on the momentum.

A higher resolution calculation over the range 0:032 k 0:033, Figure 9, shows very clearly the preponderance of values at or below 0. as well as the rapid uctuation to large maxim a. The heights of the maxim a are clearly correlated with the widths of the associated gaps. However, the individual small uctuations are barely resolved. The heights of the peaks also show a regularity that can be correlated with the widths of the potentials in the distribution. The regularity appears to be correlated with the hierarchical structure of the sequence given by Eq. 9.

A very high resolution calcuation over a very small momentum region of 0.03225 k 0.03228 for = 0.999 N = 18 is shown in Figure 10. The gure shows very clearly the correlation between the decrease in the depths of the minima and the increase in the widths of the associated gaps in the band spectra. For example the gap at k = 0.032261 is su ciently wide that the associated minimum in  $\log LR$  has just about disappeared with only a slight dip present. Shallow minima are associated with the narrower gaps at k = 0.032253 and

k=0.032269. The gure shows also that band widths are much more uniform than gap widths which vary widely. This point was noted before for smaller values of N .

These gures show that there are fairly wide regions of the momentum where, except for a few sharp spikes,  $\log LR$  0. It is noteworthy that this corresponds to transmission through more than 128;000 potential barriers with the energy below the barrier height for 1/2 these potentials for 0.0316 k arccos. For k 0.0316 the energy is below the barrier height for all the potentials. It is possible that the high transmission is due to the quasiperiodicity of the potential distribution; however more work is needed to test this possibility.

In order to show the dependence of  $\log LR$  on for xed N, calculations were made for N = 10 and = 0:99. this value is larger by a factor of 10 than that used so far. It corresponds to the electron regime with larger parameters in Eq. 19 to give = 0:01. The results are shown in Figure 11.

The gure shows very clearly the correspondence between maxima in  $\log LR$  and gaps in the spectrum. Large gaps are associated with high peaks and small gaps with small peaks. A lso the correlation between the peak heights and the hierarchical structure of R, Eq. 9, appears to be present. In regions where the band and gap widths are small,  $\log LR$  uctuates between values of about 1 and 2 or less. On average the values of  $\log LR$ , even in small gap regions, are higher than for = 0.999. This is to be expected as smaller values of correspond to larger values of the potential. On average the transmissivity is appreciable as there are extended regions where the values of  $\log LR$  are around 0.

Calculations for larger by another factor of 10 to = 0:1 (= 0:9) are shown in Figure 12 for N = 8. The extreme violence of uctuations in the values of  $\log LR$ , even for the relatively low value of N = 8 is evident. This is to be expected since higher values of corresponds to higher values of the potential (with m and xed). Also since most of the space is occupied by gaps,  $\log LR$  has very high values and approaches 0 only in the regions of narrow bands.

A high resolution calculation for = 0.9 and N = 8 over a small portion of the momentum region 0.40 k 0.415 is shown in Figure 13. The gure shows very clearly the association of minima in the LR with upper edges of energy bands and the loss of minima with increasing gap width. The gap including the value of k = 0.408 is so wide that the associated minimum in  $\log LR$  is completely gone. Also the values of the LR in the small momentum region shown in the gure are between 10 and 100 on average with values at or below 1 ( $\log LR$  0) occurring only rarely.

# V II.D ISC U SSIO N

To sum marize, the main characteristics of the band spectra shown here include the relative uniformity of band widths compared to gap widths over the bound state momentum regions. This is especially apparent in Figure 3. This gure and Figure 4 suggest that uctuations in bands widths do appear to increase somewhat as increases.

The gures also show that as increases the gap widths and the fraction of momentum range occupied with gaps increases greatly. For = 0.001 m ost of the k region is occupied by bands. For = 0.01 a large fraction is taken up by gaps and for = 0.1 almost all the region is gaps with a few very narrow bands present.

Figures 3-5 show that for xed values of as N is increased, energy bands are split into increasing numbers of bands with decreasing widths. Gaps that exist for a given value of N appear to be preserved as N is increased above the given value. This suggests but does not prove that in the limit of N = 1, for each the spectrum of the tight binding Hamiltonain is singular continuous and is a Cantor set. However, the proofs in the literature [7,4,8] do not hold here as the generalized substitution sequence of Eq. 9 is not primitive.

The values of log LR also uctuate widely over the momentum range with the uctuations very dependent on the values of and N . Sharp minima are located at or near band-gap boundaries with more minima located at or near gap-above-band edges than at or near band-above-gap edges. The reason for the location of minima at or near band gap boundaries is not clear. It is worth noting that, based on the properties of  $Z_N$ , Eq. 14, LR =  $J_N$   $J_{;1}$   $J_{;1}$  1. At the boundaries  $J_N = 2j = 1$ . This gives

$$LR = -\frac{1}{2} \left( Z_N \dot{\mathbf{1}}_{:1} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \tag{21}$$

at the boundaries. = (x) denotes the imaginary part of x.

A nother aspect of the m inim a is that none are associated with boundaries of su ciently wide gaps. The gures show that in almost all cases the depth of the m inim um in  $\log LR$  is very dependent on the width of the associated gap. If the gap is narrow, the m inim um is deep. As the gap becomes wider the associated m inim um becomes shallower until it disappears for su ciently wide gaps. An example of this where the m inim a has almost disappeared is the gap just below k=0.03227 in Fig 10. O ther examples can be found in the other gures.

One consequence is that for wide gaps the maxima in the LR appear to be centered over the gaps. For narrow gaps there is an associated minimum in the LR. For these cases the maxima in the LR appear to be centered over the bands and not the gaps.

These results dier from those obtained by Roy and Kahn [19,20] for the Thue-Morse lattice in that they found all maxima in the LR centered on the gaps irrespective of the gap widths. The reason for this dierence is not clear. The results obtained here do agree with those of Roy and Khan in that the larger LR maxima are associated with wide gaps, and the smaller maxima are associated with narrower gaps. As noted, here the smaller maxima tend to be centered over the bands and not the gaps.

As is well known the Landauer Resistance is a measure of the transmission through a sequence of potentials. For the case at hand it is a measure of the completion probability for the counting generalized quantum. Turing machine to enumerate the nonnegative integers up to  $2^N$  1. However the strong dependence of the LR on k means that care must be taken in its use. For example Figure 1 shows an initial state represented as a wave packet over dierent head lattice positions with a xed lattice qubit state. Transformed to momentum space the initial state is in essence a wave packet over the head momentum k with the same xed head internal state and lattice qubit state. That is =  $\frac{R}{R}$  Q, jl; k; S idk where jl; k; S i is a state for the head in state land momentum k and the qubit lattice in state §i.

Linearity of the Schrödinger Equation gives the result that, for each component state  $c_k$  jl;k;Si, the transmission and rejection coefcients are given respectively by

$$F = \frac{C_k}{Z_N \, \dot{\mathbf{j}}_{;2}}$$

$$B = \frac{Z_N \dot{j}_1 c_k}{Z_N \dot{j}_2} \tag{22}$$

Here Eqs. 12 and 13 were used along with the properties of  $Z_N$ , Eq. 14. The coe cient G=0 as there is no incoming component in region III.As the results in Section VI show, the coe cients F and B are very dependent on k. Of course the LR, given by  $LR=\beta j^2=f^2j$  is independent of the normalization to  $g_k$  shown in Eq. 22.

M ore general linear superpositions in the initial state are possible. For example the most general initial state for the counting GQTM is given by  $= \frac{1}{1.5}$  c(l;k;S)jl;k;Si. Each component in the S and lsum scorresponds to a dierent input state and a dierent quantum computation. This ability of quantum computers to carry out simultaneously computations on dierent input states i.e. parallel quantum computation was rst described by Deutsch [28].

From the perspective of this paper an interesting aspect of the above is that Schrodinger evolution with one Ham iltonian, Eq. 1 for the system is equivalent to a linear superposition of dierent Schrodinger evolutions each with a dierent tight binding Ham iltonian. This follows from the fact that in general for each component in the l;S sum, the potential distribution on the corresponding state path depends on  $\mathfrak F$  i and on  $\mathfrak F$  i. This is an example of a linear superposition of the action of component Ham iltonians in the decomposition of the Feynman Ham iltonian, Eq. 1 into a sum over tight binding Ham iltonians, Eq. 3.

#### ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by the U.S.D epartment of Energy, Nuclear Physics Division, under contract W -31-109-ENG -38.

### APPENDIX

As noted in the text around Eq. 16, solution of (E + H) = 0 gives a set of linear equations, one for each path state jji. In regions of constant potential or that are potential free, = 1, the linear equations have the same form:

$$(jX Y) (E 2K) + K (j 1X Y) + K (j + 1X Y) = 0$$
: (23)

Here (jX Y ) is a shorthand notation for X  $e^{iqj}$  + Y  $e^{iqj}$  where X ; Y = A ; B ; C  $_i$ ; D  $_i$ ; or F ; G respectively of Eqs. 12 and 13 and q is the appropriate m omentum .

Solution of this equation gives E = 2K (1 cosq). For a band of m read-1 sites (from a to a + m 1) surrounded by 0s on both sides, use of Eqs. 12, 13, and 16 gives 6 equations di erent from Eq. 23 for the sites a 1; a; a + 1; a + m 1; a + m; a + m + 1. In order of these sites, they are

$$(aAB) \quad (aC_1D_1) = 0$$
 
$$(aC_1D_1) (E \quad 2K) + K \quad ((a \quad 1AB) + K \quad (a + 1C_2D_2) = 0$$
 
$$(a + 1C_2D_2) (E \quad 2K) + K \quad (aC_1D_1) + K \quad (a + 2C_2D_2) = 0$$
 
$$(a + m \quad 1C_2D_2) (E \quad 2K) + K \quad (a + m \quad 2C_2D_2) + K \quad (a + m \quad C_3D_3) = 0$$
 
$$(a + m \quad C_3D_3) (E \quad 2K) + K \quad (a + m \quad 1C_2D_2) + K \quad (a + m \quad 1FG) = 0$$
 
$$(a + m \quad FG) \quad (a + m \quad C_3D_3) = 0$$

Here Eq. 23 has been used to sim plify the rst and last equations to rem ove (a 1AB) (E 2K) and (a + m + 1FG) (E 2K).

The rst equation is used to rem ove  $(aC_1D_1)$  from the next two equations. Combination of the resulting two equations with Eq. 23 gives a 2 2 m atrix connecting  $(a+1C_2D_2)$  to (aAB). In a similar way the second 3 equations are used to give a 2 2 m atrix connecting (a+mFG) to  $(a+m-1C_2D_2)$ . The product (taken in the correct order) of these two matrices and a matrix connecting  $(a+m-1C_2D_2)$  to  $(a+1C_2D_2)$  gives the nal result. The matrix for W<sub>m</sub> is obtained by setting a=1 and translating the result by another m+1 potential free sites.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Shechtman, I.Blech, D.Gratias, and J.Cahn, Phys. Rev. Letters 53 1951 (1984).
- [2] T. Fujiwara and T. Ogawa (Eds) Quasicrystals, Proceedings of the 112th Taniguchi Symposium, Shima, Mie Prefecture, Japan Nov 14-19 1989, Springer Series in Solid State Sciences 93, Springer Verlag New York.
- [3] D. DiV incenzo and P. Steinhardt Eds., Quasicrystals the State of the Art, in Directions in Condensed Matter Physics-Vol. 11, World Scientic Singapore 1991.
- [4] A. Bovier and J-M. Ghez, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 2313 (1995).
- [5] Let A be a nite alphabet, A the set of all nite words of A, and  $A^N$  the set of all one way in nite words of A. A substitution rule replaces each letter of A with a word from A. For each a sequence of words in A can be generated by starting with and iterating the substitution rule. The lim it sequence in  $A^N$  is a substitution sequence if it is invariant under the substitution.
- [6] JM .Luck, Phys. Rev. B 39 5834, (1989)
- [7] J. Bellissard, A. Bovier, and J-M. Ghez, Commun. Math. Phys. 135 379 (1991)
- [8] A. Suto, Jour Stat. Phys. 56 525 (1989).
- [9] A. Bovier and J-M. Ghez, Commun. Math. Phys. 158 45 (1993); 166 431 (1994).
- [10] M. Homquist and M. Johansson, J. Phys. A. M. ath. Gen. 28 479 (1995).
- [11] C.S.Ryu, In-mook Kim, G.Y.Oh, and M.H.Lee, Phys.Rev.B 49 14991 (1994).
- [12] Y. Avishai, D. Berend, and D. Glaubman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 1842 (1994).
- [13] Y. Avishai and D. Berend, Phys. Rev B-II 43 6873 (1994).
- [14] Y. Avishai and D. Berend, Phys. Rev B-II 45 2717 (1992).
- [15] V.M. Gasparian and A.Gh.Khachatrian, Solid State Commun. 85 1061 (1993).
- [16] P. Erdos and R. C. Hemdon, Solid State Commun. 98 495 (1996).
- [17] R. Landauer, Phil. Mag. 21 863, (1970).
- [18] P. Erdos and R. C. Hemdon, Adv. Phys, 31 65, (1982)
- [19] C.Roy and A.Khan, Phys. Rev. B, 49 14979 (1994):
- [20] C.Roy and A.Khan, Solid State Commun. 92 241 (1994).
- [21] C.Roy and C.Basu, Phys. Stat. Sol. (b) 177 315 (1993).
- [22] P. Benio, To Appear in Phys Rev. Letters
- [23] R. Landauer, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London A 353 367 91995); Physics Letters A 217 188 (1996); Physics Today 44 No. 5, 23 (1991).
- [24] A. Peres, Phys. Rev. A 32 3266 (1985)
- [25] W .H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 391 (1984)
- [26] P. Benio, Jour. Stat. Phys. 22 563 (1980); Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 480 475 (1986).
- [27] P. Benio, Phys. Rev A 54 1106 (1996).
- [28] D. Deutsch, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 400 97 (1985); 425 73 (1989).
- [29] S. Lloyd, Sci. American 273 No.4, 140, (1995); Journal of Modern Optics, 41 2503 (1994).
- [30] D.P.D iV incenzo, Science, 270 255 (1995)
- [31] I.L. Chuang, R. La amme, P.W. Shor, and W. H. Zurek, Science 270 1633 (1995)
- [32] W.G. Unruh, Phys, Rev. A 51 992 (1995).
- [33] R. La amme, C. Miguel, J. Pablo Paz, and W. H. Zurek, Phys Rev. Letters bf 77 198 (1996).
- [34] R. Feynman, Int Jour. Theoret. Phys. 21 467 (1982).

- [35] S. Lloyd, Science 273 1073 (1996); C. Zalka E cient Simulation of Quantum Sysytems by Quantum Computers, Report No. BUTP-96/11, March 25, 1996, Los Alamos Archives quant-ph/9603026.
- [36] P. Shor, in Proceedings of the 35th Annual Symposium on the Foundations of Computer Science (IEEE Computer Society, Los Alamitos, CA 1994), p. 124. For a recent review see A. Ekert and R. Jozsa, Rev. Mod. Phys. 68 733 (1996).
- [37] P.W. Shor, Phys. Rev. A 52 R2493 (1990); R. LaFlamme, C. Miquel, J.P. Paz, and W. H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. Letters 77 198 (1996).
- [38] S. Lloyd, Phys. Rev. Letters, bf 75 346 (1995); D. P. DiVencenzo, Phys. Rev. A 51 1015 (1995); D. Deutsch, A. Barenco, and A. Ekert, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A 449 669 (1995).
- [39] This sequence rst appeared in the literature in conjunction with work on relaxation of hierarchical systems (B.A. Huberm an and M. Kerzberg, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 18 L331 (1985)) and recently in work on aperiodic Ising chains (F. Igloi and L. Turban, Los A lam os Archives cond-mat/9606118). The sequence is referred to as the hierarchical sequence. The author thanks Dr. Turban for these references.
- [40] R. Feynman, Optics News, 11 11 (1985); reprinted in Foundations of Physics, 16 507 (1986).
- [41] P.R. Halmos, A. Hilbert Space Problem Book, 2nd Edition, Springer Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [42] M. Kolaram d F. Nori, Phys. Rev. B 42 1062 (1990)
- [43] M. Que elec, Substitution Dynamical Systems-Spectral Analysis, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1294, Editors A. Dold and B. Edmann, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1987.
- [44] D.P.D iV icenzo and P.J. Steinhardt, Progress and Current Issues in Quasicrystals, in D.P.D iV incenzo and P.J. Steinhardt Eds., Quasicrystals the State of the Art Directions in Condensed Matter Physics-Vol. 11, World Scientic Singapore 1991, pp 1-15.
- [45] For exam ple: M. Kohmoto, L.P. Kadano, and C. Tang, Phys. Rev. Letters 50 1870 (1983); M. Kohmoto, International Jour. Modern Phys. 1 31 (1987); G. Gumbs and M. K. Ali, Phys. Rev. Letters 60 1081 (1988); M. Kolar and M. K. Ali, Phys. Rev B 39 426 (1989).

### FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Figure 1. Initial and Final States for Counting GQTM for the First  $2^n$  B inary Numbers. All lattice qubits are in state  $\mathfrak P$ i except those at sites 0 and n + 1 which are in state  $\mathfrak P$ i. The initial and nalhead states are shown as wave packets with internal head states  $\mathfrak P$ i and  $\mathfrak P$ i to the left and right respectively.
- Figure 2. The Potential D istribution for N=6. The potential height, in units of K(1), is plotted against path position. A potential height of 1 or 2 corresponds respectively to one or both term s of Eq. 11 being active.
- Figure 3. Energy B and Spectra for the Associated Periodic Systems for  $\,=\,0.999$  for three values of N as a Function of M omentum  $\,k$ . The momentum range is from the least value for which  $\,J\!\!\Gamma\, rZ_N\, j'\, 2\, up$  to  $k=\, arccos\,$ . W ithin each long band or ribbon associated with each N , the upper horizontal line segments correspond to energy bands; the lower horizontal segments correspond to energy gaps. Very short bands or gaps appear as points. For N = 15 and especially N = 18, band and gap density is too high in several momentum regions to be resolved at the scale shown.
- Figure 4. Energy B and Spectra for the Associated Periodic System for the Same Values of N as were used in Figure 3 but with = 0.99. See the caption of Figure 3 for additional details. Note that, compared to the spectra in Figure 3, the gaps are much wider and the energy bands are narrower.
- Figure 5. Energy Band Spectra for the Associated Periodic System for = 0.9 and N = 6.8:10. The caption of Figure 3 gives more details. Lower values of N are shown because at the higher values of N the uctuations of TrZ<sub>N</sub> are so extreme that calculations become impractical. Even for the values of N = 8 and N = 10 there are no assurances that the grid used (with more than 7,000 equally spaced points) is no enough to capture all bands.
- Figure 6. The Log (base 10) of the Landauer Resistance Plotted as a Function of the M om entum for N = 10 and = 0.999. The m om entum range is the same as that used in Figure 3. The corresponding energy band spectrum for the associated periodic system, from Figure 4, is shown at the bottom of the gure.
- Figure 7. An Expanded P lot of the Log (base 10) of the Landauer R esistance as a Function of k for N=10 and =0.999 over the R ange 0.034 k 0.040. The corresponding expanded band spectrum is also shown. The correspondence between m in im a in  $\log LR$  and band-gap boundaries is clearly shown as is the decrease in the magnitude of the m in im a with increasing gap width.
- Figure 8. The Log (base 10) of the Landauer Resistance as a Function of the Momentum for N = 18 and = 0.999. The corresponding energy band spectrum is also shown. In order to show values of  $\log LR$  in the neighborhood of 0, the ordinate values were cut o at

- 20. Fluctuations in logLR are so rapid and extreme that individual maxima and minima in the neighborhood of 0 are not resolved.
- Figure 9. Expanded Plot of the Log (base 10) of the Landauer Resistance for N=18, = 0:999 over the range 0:032 k 0:033 The corresponding expanded band spectrum is also shown. The range is a small region in Figure 8 showing especially rapid uctuations. The correlation between the height of maxima in log LR and widths of associated gaps is shown clearly.
- Figure 10. A Very High Resolution Plot of the Log (base 10) of the Landauer Resistance for N=18, =0.999 Over a Very Small Momentum Region of 0.03225 k 0.03228. The expanded band spectrum is also shown. Individual maxima and minima are clearly resolved. As is the case in Figure 7 for N=10, the location of minima at or near band-gap boundaries, and the decrease in the minima with increasing gap widths are clearly shown.
- Figure 11. The Log (base 10) of the Landauer Resistance Plotted as a Function of the M omentum for N = 10 and = 0:99. The corresponding band spectrum is also shown. The momentum range is from the least value of k for which  $\mbox{\it Tr} Z_N \mbox{\it j'}$  2 up to arccos . High maxima in log LR are clearly assocated with wide gaps.
- Figure 12. Plot of the Log (base 10) of the Landauer Resistance and Corresponding B and Spectrum for N = 8 and = 0.9. The values of k range from the smallest value for which  $\text{TrZ}_N$  j' 2 to arccos. The values of log LR are cut o at 20 in order to show the ne structure at smaller values.
- Figure 13. Expanded P lot of the Log (base 10) of the Landauer R esistance and C orresponding B and Spectrum for N=8 and =0.9 for 0.400 k 0.415. The association between m in im a in  $\log LR$  and band-gap boundaries is weaker than for values of closer to 1 in that some m in im a are close to the m iddle of gaps.

























