# D am age Spreading in the Ising M odel

Have Hinrichsen and Eytan Domany

Department of Physics of Complex Systems, Weizmann Institute, Rehovot 76100, Israel (cond-m at/xxyyzzz, revised version, M arch 11, 1997)

We present two new results regarding dam age spreading in ferrom agnetic Ising models. First, we show that a dam age spreading transition can occur in an Ising chain that evolves in contact with a therm al reservoir. D am age heals at low tem perature and spreads at high T. The dynam ic rules for the system 's evolution for which such a transition is observed are as legitim ate as the conventional rules (G lauber, M etropolis, heat bath). Our second result is that such transitions are not always in the directed percolation universality class.

PACS num bers: 05.50 g, 05.70 Ln, 64.60 Ak, 64.60 Ht Keywords: Ising m odel, dam age spreading

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

A system is said to exhibit damage spreading (DS) if the \distance" between two of its replicas, that evolve under the same therm alnoise but from slightly di erent initial conditions, increases with time. Even though DS was rst introduced in the context of biologically motivated dynamical systems [1], it has evolved into an im portant tool in physics. It is used in equilibrium [2] for m easuring accurately dynam ic exponents and also out of equilibrium, to study the in uence of initial conditions on the tem poral evolution of various systems. In particular, one hoped that DS could be used to identify \phases" of chaotic behavior in systems with no intrinsic dynam ics, such as Ising ferrom agnets [3,4] and spinglasses [5]. Such hopes were dam pened when it was realized that di erent algorithm ic in plem entations of the sam e physical system 's dynam ics (such as G lauber versus heat bath or M etropolis M onte C arlo) can have different DS properties [6,7]. This im plies that DS is not an intrinsic property of a system [8], since two equally legitim ate algorithm s yield contradictory results. This problem was addressed recently in [9], where we realized that one can de ne \phases" on the basis of their DS properties in an algorithm -independent m anner. To do this one must, how ever, consider simultaneously the entire set A of possible algorithms (dynamic procedures) that are consistent with the physics of the model studied (such as detailed balance, interaction range and symmetries). Every system must belong to one of three possible DS phases, depending on whether dam age spreads for all, none or a part of the m em bers of the set A .

Once we have been led to consider a large fam ily of algorithms, it was natural to revisit an old question, such as the possibility for DS in the one-dimensional (1-d) Ising ferrom agnet. In this case all conventional dynam ic procedures agree that dam age does not spread. We show here that once the fam ily of dynam ic procedures is extended in the spirit explained above, a DS transition is possible in the 1-d Ising model. Having found such a DS transition, it is again natural to investigate to which

universality class it belongs. So far this issue could be addressed only for the 2-d case; since it is much easier to obtain high-quality num erical data in 1-d, we were able to test carefully a conjecture of G rassberger [8], according to which the generic universality class of dam age spreading transitions is directed percolation (DP). This indeed is correct, but we discovered that if the dynam ics that is being used has certain symmetries, the DS transition is not in the DP class. Interestingly this is the case for G lauber dynam ics of the H = 0 Ising model, for which the DS transition is non-DP.

W e start by reviewing brie y [6,7] the conventionalalgorithms - G lauber, heat bath (HB) and M etropolis and show that they form a particular subset of som e general set of legitim ate rules A. Allmembers of A satisfy detailed balance with respect to the sam e H am iltonian; hence all these rules generate the same equilibrium ensemble as the conventional algorithms and are equally legitim ate to m in ic the tem poral evolution of an Ising system in contact with a therm al reservoir. Next, we introduce two \new " dynam ic rules, which constitute just another subset of A, and show that for these two rules a DS transition does occur in the 1-d Ising model. Moreover, as we show in the example of the second rule, an additionalZ<sub>2</sub> sym m etry of the DS order param eter leads to a transition that is not in the DP universality class.

### II. PREVIOUS WORK, WITH CONVENTIONAL ALGORITHM S

Denote the site which is being updated by i and the set of its neighbors by j. The energy at time t is given by

$$\frac{H}{k_{\rm B} T} = \sum_{j}^{\rm X} h_{j}(t) \, _{j}(t); \quad h_{j}(t) = \sum_{j}^{\rm X} K_{jj} \, _{j}(t); \quad (1)$$

where  $K_{ij} = J = k_B T$  and i(t) = 1. De ne a transitionprobability  $p_i$  (t):

$$p_{i}(t) = \frac{e^{h_{i}(t)}}{e^{h_{i}(t)} + e^{-h_{i}(t)}};$$
(2)

The update rules of HB, G lauber and M etropolis dynam ics are expressed in term s of random numbers  $z = z_i(t)$ ,

selected with equal probability from the interval [0;1]. The rule for standard HB is

$$_{i}(t+1) = sign[p_{i}(t) z]$$
: (3)

A di erent dynam ic process is obtained by generating at each site two independent random numbers,  $z_+$  and  $z_-$ , and using the rst if  $_i(t) = +1$  and the second when  $_i(t) = -1$ . The rules of this uncorrelated HB dynam ics may be written as

$$i(t+1) = \frac{\text{sign}[p_i(t) \ z_+] \text{ if } i(t) = +1}{\text{sign}[p_i(t) \ z_-] \text{ if } i(t) = 1} :$$
 (4)

G lauber dynam ics uses on ly one random num ber per site:

$$i(t+1) = \frac{+ \operatorname{sign} [p_i(t) \ z]}{\operatorname{sign} [1 \ p_i(t) \ z]} \quad \text{if } i(t) = +1 \\ : (5)$$

This rule can be expressed in the form of (4) but with the two random numbers completely anticorrelated, i.e.,  $z_{+} + z_{-} = 1$ .

F inally the rules for M etropolis dynam ics read

$$i(t+1) = \frac{+ \operatorname{sign} [p_i^+(t) \ z] \text{ if } i(t) = +1}{\operatorname{sign} [p_i(t) \ z] \text{ if } i(t) = 1}; \quad (6)$$

where  $p_i$  (t) = m in (1; e<sup>2h\_i(t)</sup>).

It is easy to show that given  $i_{1}(t)$ ;  $i_{1}(t)$ ;  $i_{i+1}(t)$ , the probability to get  $i_{1}(t+1) = +1$  is the same for standard HB, uncorrelated HB, and G lauber dynam ics. Hence, by observing the tem poralevolution of a single Ising system, one cannot tell by which of these m ethods was its trajectory in conguration space generated.

corre	elation	G lauber		usual HB		uncorr.		dyn of e	amics	dynamics		
min		uyn.		пD		ПВ		010	9.(11)	01 64.(10)		
hr	r + i	1		1		1			1	(1	)	
hr	r <sub>+</sub> i	2	1		1		2		1	2	1	
hr	r + + i		1	1			0		1	(	1)	
hr	r+ + i	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	
hr	r+ + + i	1		1 2		2		1	2	1		
hr +	r <sub>+</sub> i		1	1			0		1	(	1)	
hr +	r + + i		1	1		0			1	1		
hr +	r+ i		1	1		1			1	1		
hr +	r+ + i	1		1		1		1		(1)		
hr +	r+ + i	1		1		0			1	1		
hr +	r <sub>+ +</sub> i		1	1	2		2	1	2		1	

	ТΑ	B	LΕ	I.	Τ	wo-r	point	$c \propto 10^{\circ}$	orre	lati	ions	in	the	e on	ie-di	m	ens	iona	1
Is	sing	m	ode	elf	br	var	ious	dyr	nam	ic :	rule	s.V	le	Jæ	d the	e ı	nota	atior	ſ
	= t	ar	nh <del>,</del>	2J љ Т	•														

The di erence between these dynamics may become evident only when we observe the evolution of two replicas, i.e., study dam age spreading! Indeed Stanley et al [4] and also M ariz et al [6] found, using G lauber dynam ics, that dam age spreads for the 2-d Ising m odel for  $T > T_c$ ; sim ilarly for M etropolis dynam ics [6]. M ore recently Grassberger [10] claim ed that the DS transition occurs slightly below T<sub>c</sub> for G lauber which was also observed in the corresponding mean eld theory [11]. On the other hand dam age does not spread at any tem perature with standard HB for neither the 2-d [6] nor the 3-d Ising models [5]. The 3-d model did exhibit DS for T > T with  $T < T_c$  when M etropolis [12] and G lauber [10,13] dynam ics were used. In the 1-d Ising m odel with HB, Glauber or Metropolis dynamics no dam age spreading has been observed.

# III.GENERAL CLASS OF DYNAM IC PROCEDURES FOR THE ISING MODEL

The dynamic rules considered here for the 1-d Ising model consist of local updates, where a random variable r = 1 is assigned to the spin i:

$$i(t+1) = r_{i_{1}(t); i(t); i+1}(t)$$
 (7)

This random variable is generated in some probabilistic procedure using one or several random numbers. Like in the conventional algorithm s discussed above, we allow the random variable to depend only on the values taken at time t by the updated spin itself and the spins with which it interacts (i.e., its nearest neighbors). The set of all one-point functions hr i 1; i; i+1 i determ ines the transfer matrix of a single system . Here h:::i denotes the average over m any independent realizations of random numbers. The simultaneous evolution (and, hence, DS) of two replicas f g and f  $^{0}$ g is, how ever, governed by a joint transfer matrix of the two systems which, in tum, is completely determined by the two-point functions hr  $_{i_1}$ ;  $_{i_1}$ : In general, n-point functions determ ine the joint transfer matrix of n replicas. An important requirement is that all correlation functions have to be invariant under the symmetries of the model [9]. For a homogeneous Ising chain in zero eld these symmetries are invariance under relection

and global inversion of all spins ( $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry):

$$\begin{split} & \text{hr}_{i \ 1}; \ _{i \ 1}; \ _{i+1} \ \dot{\textbf{i}} = \quad \text{hr}_{i \ 1}; \ _{i}; \ _{i+1} \ \dot{\textbf{i}}; \\ & \text{hr}_{i \ 1}; \ _{i}; \ _{i+1} \ \textbf{r}_{i \ 1}^{0}; \ _{i}^{0}; \ _{i+1}^{0} \ \dot{\textbf{i}} = \\ & \text{hr}_{i \ 1}; \ _{i}; \ _{i+1}; \ \textbf{r}_{i \ 1}^{0}; \ _{i}^{0}; \ _{i+1}; \\ \end{split}$$
(9)

For both HB and for G lauber dynam ics the one-point functions are given by

hr

For M etropolis dynam ics the transition probabilities are di erent

The corresponding transfer matrices for single systems are, hence, identical. On the other hand the two-point functions for HB and G lauber dynam ics are di erent so that dam age evolves di erently (see Table I). Still, dam age does not spread in 1-d for any of these algorithms at any tem perature.

# IV.DYNAM IC RULE FOR WHICH DAMAGE DOES SPREAD IN 1-D

Consider the following dynamics for the 1-d Ising model:

$$r_{i_{1};i_{1};i_{1}=1} = \frac{+ \operatorname{sign} (p_{i_{2}} z) \quad \text{if } i_{1} = i+1}{\operatorname{sign} (1 p_{i_{2}} z) \quad \text{if } i_{1} = i+1} (11)$$

As can be checked easily, this dynam ical rule yields the same one-point correlations as in eq. (10). Therefore, the evolution of a single replica using this rule cannot be distinguished from that of G lauber or HB dynam ics. How ever, the two-point correlations (and therew ith dam - age spreading properties) are di erent (see Table I).

Unlike G lauber and HB, this dynam ics does exhibit a dam age spreading transition in 1-d. This can be seen as follows. At T = 1 eq. (11) reduces to

$$r_{i_{1};i_{1};i_{1};i_{1}} = i_{1} i_{1$$

which implies that the local dam age  $_{i}(t) = 1$   $_{i(t); i(t)}$  evolves determ inistically:

$$_{i}(t+1) = \begin{array}{c} 0 & \text{if} \\ 1 & \text{if} \\ i & 1 \end{array} (t) = \begin{array}{c} _{i+1}(t) \\ _{i+1}(t) \\ \end{array} (13)$$

Since this is exactly the update rule of a D om any-K inzel m odel [14] in the active phase (with  $p_1 = 1$  and  $p_2 = 0$ ), we conclude that for T = 1 dam age spreads. On the other hand, for T = 0 eq. (11) reduces to

$$r_{i_{1};i_{1};i_{1}=1} = \frac{i_{1}}{\operatorname{sign}(z_{1}=\frac{1}{2})} \text{ if } i_{1}=\frac{i_{1}+1}{i_{1}+1} : (14)$$

In this case dam age evolves probabilistically and cannot be view ed as an independent process. One can, how ever, show that the expectation value to get dam age at site i, averaged over m any realizations of random numbers satis es the inequality  $h_i(t+1)i = \frac{1}{2}h_{i-1}(t) + \frac{1}{i+1}(t)i$ , that is  $h_i(t+1)i = h_i(t)i$ . This means that for T = 0dam age does not spread. In fact, simulating the spreading process one observes a DS transition at nite tem perature. A typical tem poral evolution near the transition is shown in Fig. 1.

In order to determ ine the critical exponents that characterize the DS transition, we perform dynam ic M onte-C arbo simulations [15]. Two replicas are started from



FIG.1. Tem poral evolution of dam age in the 1-d Ising m odel of size 200 with the dynam ics of eq. (11) near the DS transition  $J=k_B$  T = 0.2305. Each con guration is represented by a row of pixels and time goes downwards. The two replicas are started from identical initial conditions. At an early time, a dam age of 5 sites is inserted in the center.



FIG. 2. Num erical results for the 1-d Ising model with A : the dynam ics of eq. (11) and B : the dynam ics of eq. (18). The m easured quantities are explained in the text.

identical random initial conditions, where one dam aged site is inserted at the center. Both replicas then evolve according to the dynamic rules of the system using the same set of random numbers. In order to minimize nitesize e ects, we simulate a large system of 5000 sites with periodic boundary conditions. For various temperatures we perform  $10^6$  independent runs up to 1500 time steps. However, in many runs dam age heals very soon so that the run can be stopped earlier. As usual in this type of simulations, we measure the survival probability P (t), the number of dam aged sites (t), and the mean-square spreading of dam age from the center R<sup>2</sup> (t) averaged over the active runs. At the D S transition, these quantities are expected to scale algebraically in the large time limit:

P(t) t; (t) t; 
$$R^2$$
(t)  $t^z$ : (15)

The critical exponents ; ;z are related to the density exponent and the scaling exponents  $_{?}$ ,  $_{jj}$  by =  $_{jj}$ , z = 2  $_{?} = _{jj}$  and obey the hyperscaling relation 4 + 2 = dz. At criticality, the quantities (15) show straight lines in double logarithm ic plots. 0 criticality, these lines are curved. Using this criterion we estim ate the critical tem perature for the DS transition by  $J{=}k_{\rm B}\,T~=~0.2305\,(5)$ . The exponents , , and z are measured at criticality while the density exponent

is determ ined o criticality by measuring the stationary Hamming distance (T) (T T) in the spreading phase. The results of our simulations are shown in Fig. 2. From the slopes in the double logarithm ic plots we obtain the estimates = 0:165(5), = 0:315(10),z = 1.29(3), and = 0.26(2) which are in fair agreement with the known [16] exponents for directed percolation = 0:15947(3), = 0:31368(4), z = 1:26523(4), and= 0.27649(4). We therefore conclude that in agreem ent with G rassberger's conjecture [8], the D S transition belongs to the DP universality class. This is very plausible; as far as the dam age variable is concerned there is a single absorbing state (of no dam age at all) and the transition is from a phase in which the system ends up in this state to one in which it does not, just as is the case forDP.

### V.DAMAGE SPREADING TRANSITION W ITH NON-DP EXPONENTS

D i erent critical properties are expected  $[17\{22]$  for rules with two distinct absorbing states (of the dam age variables!) related by sym metry. It is important to note that the Z<sub>2</sub> sym metry of the Ising system does not su ce - inverting all spins in both replicas does not change the dam age variable (the H am ming distance between the two con gurations). Therefore, we are looking for dynamic rules which (a) have two types of absorbing states; one with no dam age and the other with full dam age. Furtherm ore, (b) the two play com pletely sym metric roles. O ne can see that both (a) and (b) hold for rules that satisfy the condition

$$r_{i_{1};i_{1};i_{1}=1}=r_{i_{1};i_{1};i_{1}=1}$$
: (16)

The immediate consequence of this condition is that if a con guration f (t)g evolves in one time step into f (t+1)g, then the spin-reversed con guration f (t)g will evolve into precisely f (t+1)g. Im agine now simultaneous evolution of two replicas with initial states f g and f <sup>0</sup>g, giving rise to a dam age eld f g. Reversal of the initial state on one of the replicas will give signreversed spin states on this replica and hence the dam age

eld f g will evolve. Thus, for rules that satisfy condition (16), the dam age variable has an  $Z_2$  sym m etry. A particular consequence of this sym m etry is that if two initial states are the exact sign-reversed of one another, this will persist at all subsequent times. Therefore, in as much as = 0 (no dam age) is an absorbing state, so is the situation of full dam age, = 1. For system s with such  $Z_2$  sym m etry we expect the DS transition (if it exists) to exhibit non-DP behavior.



FIG.3.  $Z_2$ -sym m etric dam age spreading transition. Two replicas with 200 sites are started from identical random initial conditions. At an early time 5 dam aged sites are introduced in the center. For xed temperature  $J=k_B^{'}T = 0.25$  a typicaltem poralevolution of dam age is shown for (a) G lauber dynam ics = 1, (b) near the transition = 0.82 and (c) in the spreading regime = 0.8 ecause of the sym m etry, islands of dam aged sites can heal only at the edges.

It is quite rem arkable to note that G lauber dynam ics satis es eq. (16)! The  $Z_2$ -sym m etry of dam age in the 1-d G lauber m odel is illustrated in Fig. 3a. One can see that com pact islands of dam aged sites are form ed because dam age does not heal spontaneously inside such islands but only at the edges. However, as mentioned earlier, there is no D S transition in the 1-d G lauber m odel.

Consider now a di erent dynam ic rule:

$$r_{i_{1};i_{1};i_{1}=1} = \frac{+ \operatorname{sign} (p_{i} \ z) \quad \text{if} \quad i_{1} \ i_{1} \ i_{1}=1}{\operatorname{sign} (1 \ p_{i} \ z) \quad \text{if} \quad i_{1} \ i_{1} \ i_{1}=1}$$
(17)

For this rule, which also satis es eq. (16), we observe in simulations that dam age always spreads (see Fig. 3c). In order to generate an  $Z_2$ -symm etric D S transition in 1-d, we use a rule that interpolates between this and G lauber. This can be done by introducing a second parameter 0 1 and 'switching' between G lauber dynamics and rule (17) as follows: in each update an additional random number z is generated. If z , rule (17) is applied, otherwise G lauber dynamics is used. This mixed dynam ics can be expressed as

where  $y = \frac{1}{2} i[(1 + i_1 i_{i+1}) + (1 i_1 i_{i+1})sign(z)]$ . A gain this rule leads to the one-point correlations of eq. (10), i.e., the temporal evolution of a single replica is the same as in G lauber and HB dynamics. However, varying (at xed T) we nd a critical value where a DS transition occurs. A typical temporal evolution of dam age near the transition is shown in Fig. 3b.

Since blam age' and ho dam age' play a sym metric role, the Hamming distance (the density of dam aged sites) cannot be used as an order parameter. Instead one has to use the density of kinks N (dom ain walls) between dam aged and healed dom ains. By de nition, the number of kinks is conserved modulo two which establishes a parity conservation law. As can be seen in Fig. 3, two processes compete with each other: kinks annihilate mutually (2X ! 0) and already existing kinks branch into an odd number of kinks (X ! 3X;5X;:::). Both processes resemble a branching annihilating walk with an even number of o spring. This branching process has a continuous phase transition that belongs to the so-called parity-conserving (PC) universality class. Phase transitions of this type have been observed in a variety of m odels, including certain probabilistic cellular autom ata [17], nonequilibrium kinetic Ising models with combined zero- and in nite-tem perature dynamics [18], interacting m onom er-dim er m odels [19], branching-annihilating random walks [20] and certain lattice models with two absorbing states [21]. In all these m odels the sym m etry appears either as a parity conservation law or as an explicit Z<sub>2</sub>-sym m etry am ong di erent absorbing phases. A

eld theory describing PC transitions is currently developed in [22].

The PC universality class is characterized by the exponents = 0.285(5), = 0.00(1), z = 1.15(1), and = 0:92(2). In fact, repeating the num erical simulations described above for  $J=k_B T = 0.25$  and = 0:82(1)(see Fig. 2), we obtain the estimates = 0.295(10),= 0.01(2), z = 1.17(3), and = 0.86(5), which are infair agreem ent with the known values. We therefore conclude that the DS transition observed for the dynam ics of eq. (18) belongs to the PC universality class. Furtherm ore, our ndings in ply that the DS transitions observed [10] for the 2-d Ising m odelw ith G lauber dynam ics should also exhibit PC exponents (remember: d = 2) in zero eld, and cross over to (2-d) DP values when a eld is switched on.

W e thank D.Stau er for sharing with us his know ledge of the DS literature and for encouragement. This work was supported by The M inerva Foundation and by the G erm any-Israel Science Foundation (G IF).

- [1] S.A. Kau man, J. Theor. Biol. 22, 437 (1969).
- [2] P.G rassberger, Physica A 214, 547 (1995).
- [3] M. Creutz, Ann. Phys. 167, 62 (1986).
- [4] H. Stanley, D. Stau er, J. Kertesz and H. Hermann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2326 (1987).
- [5] B. Derrida and G. Weisbuch, Europhys. Lett. 4, 657 (1987).
- [6] A.M.Mariz, H.J.Hermann and L. de Arcangelis, J. Stat. Phys. 59, 1043 (1990).
- [7] N. Jan and L. de A rcangelis, Ann. Rev. Com p. Phys. 1,1 (ed.D. Stau er, W orld Scienti c, Singapore 1994).
- [8] P.G rassberger, J.Stat. Phys. 79, 13 (1995).
- [9] H. Hinrichsen, S. Weitz, and E. Dom any, preprint condmat/9611085, submitted to J. Stat. Phys.
- [10] P.G rassberger, J.Phys.A 28, L 67 (1995).
- [11] T. Vojta, preprint cond-m at/9610084 (1996).

- [12] U.M.S.Costa, J.Phys.A 20, L 583 (1987).
- [13] G.LaCaer, J.Phys.A 22, L 647 (1989); Physica A 159, 329 (1989).
- [14] E. Dom any and W. Kinzel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 447 (1984).
- [15] P. Grassberger and A. de la Torre, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 122, 373 (1979).
- [16] I.Jensen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4988 (1996).
- [17] P.G rassberger, F.K rause, and T.von der Twer, J.Phys.
   A 17 L105 (1984); P.G rassberger, J.Phys.A 22, L1103 (1984).
- [18] N.M enyhard, J.Phys. A 27, 6139 (1994); B.M enyhard and G.O dor, J.Phys. A 29, 7739 (1996). The kinetic Ising m odels introduced in these papers have m ixed zeroand in nite-tem perature dynam ics and are di erent from the usual Ising m odel at nite tem perature discussed in the present work.
- [19] H.H.K im and H.Park, Phys.Rev.Lett. 73, 2579 (1994);
   H.Park, M.H.K im, and H.Park, Phys.Rev.E 52, 5664 (1995).
- [20] I. Jensen, J. Phys. A 26 3921 (1993); D. ben-Avraham,
   F.Leyvraz, and S.Redner, Phys.Rev.E 50, 1843 (1994);
   I. Jensen, Phys. Rev.E 50 3623 (1994); D. Zhong and
   D. ben-Avraham, Phys.Lett.A 209, 333 (1995).
- [21] H.Hinrichsen, Phys.Rev.E 55, 219 (1997).
- [22] J. Cardy and U. C. Tauber, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4780 (1996).