

Exact solution of the lattice vertex model analog of the coupled Bariev XY
chains.

M. J. Martins^{1,2} and P.B. Ramos²

¹ *Instituut voor Theoretische Fysica, Universiteit van Amsterdam
Valcknierstraat 65, 1018 XE Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

and

² *Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de São Carlos
Caixa Postal 676, 13565-905, São Carlos, Brasil*

Abstract

We present the algebraic Bethe Ansatz solution for the vertex model recently proposed by Zhou [5] as the classical analog of the Bariev interacting XY chains. The relevant commutation rules between the creation fields contain the Hecke symmetry pointed out recently by Hikami and Murakami [6]. The eigenvalues of the corresponding transfer matrix are explicitly given.

November 1996

Some years ago, Bariev has formulated a model of interacting XY chains and solved it exactly, in one-dimension, by the coordinate Bethe Ansatz approach [1]. The model couples two XY models and its Hamiltonian on a lattice of length L can be written as [1, 2, 5]

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^L \left\{ (\sigma_i^+ \sigma_{i+1}^- + \sigma_i^- \sigma_{i+1}^+) \exp(\alpha \tau_{i+1}^+ \tau_{i+1}^-) + (\tau_i^+ \tau_{i+1}^- + \tau_i^- \tau_{i+1}^+) \exp(\alpha \sigma_i^+ \sigma_i^-) \right\} \quad (1)$$

where σ_i^\pm and τ_i^\pm are two commuting sets of Pauli matrices acting on site i , and α is the coupling constant. Soon after that, Bariev [2] has generalized this model to include many coupled XY chains. After a Jordan-Wigner transformation, the model (1) and its generalizations, can be seen as an electronic system where the hopping term depends (asymmetrically) on the occupation number of the site itself [3, 4]. In this sense, the coordinate Bethe Ansatz solution of these models has been used in refs. [3, 4] to explore the finite size behaviour, the excitations as well as some related conductivity properties.

The quantum integrability of (1), however, has only been recently proved by Zhou [5] in terms of the quantum inverse scattering approach. Zhou [5] was able to construct the two dimensional vertex model whose transfer matrix commutes with the Bariev Hamiltonian (1). The purpose of this letter is to show that such underlying vertex model can be diagonalized by the algebraic Bethe Ansatz [7, 8]. We recall that this method is a powerful mathematical technique, which can provide us with information concerning the properties of the Bariev chain within a unified perspective. Our formulation is strongly inspired by our recent construction of the Bethe states of the Hubbard model by means of the quantum inverse scattering approach [11]. We remark, however, that the structure of Zhou's R -matrix is a bit different than that appearing in the Hubbard model [9, 10]. Indeed, we shall see that the appropriate parametrization of the quantum R -matrix associated to the Bariev chain is different and, in fact, much simpler than that we have found for the Hubbard model [11].

The quantum R -matrix $R(\lambda, \mu)$ found by Zhou [5] has fifteen non-zero Boltzmann weights, and following ref. [5] we denote them by $\rho_i(\lambda, \mu)$, $i = 1, \dots, 15$. However, many of the weights are related to each other under some functional properties, such as $\rho_i(\lambda, \mu) = -\rho_j(\mu, \lambda)$; $\rho_i(\lambda, \mu) =$

$\rho_j(h\lambda, h\mu); \rho_i(\lambda, \mu) = h\rho_j(\lambda, \mu)$ ¹. The parameter h is given in terms of the coupling constant α by $h = \exp(\alpha)$. For explicit expressions we refer to ref. [5]. Here we quote only few extra identities which we found relevant in the course of our calculations. These are given by

$$\rho_{15}(\lambda, \mu)[\rho_9(\lambda, \mu) + \rho_1(\lambda, \mu)] = \rho_5(\lambda, \mu)\rho_6(\lambda, \mu), \quad \rho_6(\lambda, \mu)\rho_1(\lambda, \mu) + \rho_5(\lambda, \mu)\rho_{15}(\lambda, \mu) = \rho_6(\lambda, \mu)\rho_7(\lambda, \mu) \quad (2)$$

$$\rho_{12}(\lambda, \mu)[\rho_9(\lambda, \mu) + \rho_1(\lambda, \mu)] = \rho_5(\lambda, \mu)\rho_4(\lambda, \mu), \quad \rho_5(\lambda, \mu)\rho_1(\lambda, \mu) + \rho_{15}(\lambda, \mu)\rho_6(\lambda, \mu) = \rho_5(\lambda, \mu)\rho_{10}(\lambda, \mu) \quad (3)$$

In order to diagonalize the transfer-matrix of the classical vertex model corresponding to the Bariev chain we can basically follow the main steps of our recent algebraic construction of the Bethe Ansatz for the Hubbard model [11]. We take as the reference state $|0\rangle$, the standard ferromagnetic vacuum where all the spins are in the “up” eigenstate of σ_j^z and τ_j^z . We solve the Yang-Baxter algebra for Zhou’s R -matrix by writing the monodromy matrix $\mathcal{T}(\lambda)$ in the auxiliary space as

$$\mathcal{T}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} B(\lambda) & \vec{B}(\lambda) & F(\lambda) \\ \vec{C}(\lambda) & \hat{A}(\lambda) & \vec{B}^*(\lambda) \\ C(\lambda) & \vec{C}^*(\lambda) & D(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

where $\vec{B}(\lambda)$ ($\vec{B}^*(\lambda)$) and $\vec{C}(\lambda)$ ($\vec{C}^*(\lambda)$) are two component vectors with dimensions 1×2 (2×1) and 2×1 (1×2), respectively. The operator $\hat{A}(\lambda)$ is a 2×2 matrix and the other remaining operators are scalars. The transfer matrix $T(\lambda)$ is the trace of $\mathcal{T}(\lambda)$ on the auxiliary space, and the eigenvalue problem becomes

$$[B(\lambda) + \sum_{a=1}^2 A_{aa}(\lambda) + D(\lambda)] |\Phi_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)\rangle = \Lambda(\lambda, \{\lambda_i\}) |\Phi_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)\rangle \quad (5)$$

The set of variables $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ parametrizes the multi-particle Hilbert space by the action of the creation fields on the reference state $|0\rangle$. The operators $\vec{B}(\lambda)$, $\vec{B}^*(\lambda)$ and $F(\lambda)$ play the role of creation fields while $\vec{C}(\lambda)$, $\vec{C}^*(\lambda)$, $C(\lambda)$ and $A_{ab}(\lambda)$, for $a \neq b = 1, 2$, are annihilators. This means that the monodromy matrix (4) has a triangular form when acting on the reference

¹ For instance, from ref. [5], it is possible to check that $\rho_{11}(\lambda, \mu) = \rho_9(\lambda/h, \mu/h)$; $\rho_4(\lambda, \mu) = \rho_2(\lambda, \mu)\rho_2(\lambda/h, \mu/h)$; $\rho_5(\lambda, \mu) = -\rho_{12}(\mu, \lambda)$.

state $|0\rangle$. In addition, we have the following ‘‘diagonal’’ identities

$$B(\lambda)|0\rangle = |0\rangle, \quad D(\lambda)|0\rangle = [\lambda]^{2L}|0\rangle, \quad A_{aa}(\lambda)|0\rangle = [\lambda h]^L|0\rangle, \quad a = 1, 2 \quad (6)$$

A crucial step in algebraically solving the eigenvalue problem (5) is to find the appropriate commutation rules between two fields of $\vec{B}(\lambda)$ or $\vec{B}^*(\lambda)$ type. Similar to what happens for the Hubbard model [11], their commutation rules are equivalent, because they generate as a new operator only the common creation field $F(\lambda)$. Remarkably enough, these commutation rules already encode the basic underlying hidden symmetry of the Bariev chain [6]. We can see this, for instance, in the commutation relation ² between the fields $\vec{B}(\lambda)$ and $\vec{B}(\mu)$

$$\vec{B}(\lambda) \otimes \vec{B}(\mu) = \vec{B}(\mu) \otimes \vec{B}(\lambda) \hat{r}(\lambda, \mu) + \frac{\vec{\xi}}{\rho_9(\lambda, \mu)} \{ \rho_5(\lambda, \mu) F(\lambda) B(\mu) + \rho_5(\mu, \lambda) F(\mu) B(\lambda) \} \quad (7)$$

where the vector $\vec{\xi}$ and the matrix $\hat{r}(\lambda, \mu)$ have the following structures

$$\vec{\xi} = (0 \quad 1 \quad h^{-1} \quad 0), \quad \hat{r}(\lambda, \mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a(\lambda, \mu) & b(\lambda, \mu) & 0 \\ 0 & b(\lambda, \mu) & \tilde{a}(\lambda, \mu) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

and functions $a(\lambda, \mu)$, $\tilde{a}(\lambda, \mu)$ and $b(\lambda, \mu)$ are given by

$$a(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{\lambda(1-h^2)}{\lambda-h^2\mu}, \quad \tilde{a}(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{\mu(1-h^2)}{\lambda-h^2\mu}, \quad b(\lambda, \mu) = -\frac{h(\lambda-\mu)}{\lambda-h^2\mu} \quad (9)$$

The structure of the Boltzmann weights of the matrix $\hat{r}(\lambda, \mu)$ are the same of that appearing in the 6-vertex model with an azimuthal anisotropy η given by $\eta = i \ln(h) = i\alpha$. In order to see that, we have to introduce the following parametrization

$$\lambda = \exp[ik(\lambda)] \quad (10)$$

and consequently the Boltzmann weights can be rewritten in terms of the difference $k = k(\lambda) - k(\mu)$ and the anisotropic constant η as

$$a(k) = \frac{\exp(ik/2) \sin(\eta)}{\sin(k/2 + \eta)}, \quad \tilde{a}(k) = \frac{\exp(-ik/2) \sin(\eta)}{\sin(k/2 + \eta)}, \quad b(k) = -\frac{\sin(k/2)}{\sin(k/2 + \eta)} \quad (11)$$

² We remark that identities such as (2) and (3) are important in the simplification of the commutation rules.

By means of a transformation which preserves the Yang-Baxter equation, the so-called symmetry breaking transformation [13], the Boltzmann weights $a(k)$ and $\tilde{a}(k)$ can be symmetrized in order to give the standard anisotropic 6-vertex model. In fact, the presence of the asymmetric version of the 6-vertex model in the commutation rules is a clear sign that the underlying symmetry is of Hecke type. It is not difficult to see, from the asymmetric vertex model (8), that we can construct a braid operator which appears as the generator of the Hecke algebra [13]. We remark here that such symmetry was first noticed by Hikami and Murakami [6] in the context of the lattice Schrödinger equation for the “fermionic” formulation of the Bariev Hamiltonian (1). The only subtle point is the minus sign on weight $b(k)$. The Yang-Baxter equation is invariant under $b(k) \rightarrow -b(k)$, and the sign \pm can be interpreted as periodic/antiperiodic boundary conditions when the size of the quantum Hilbert space is odd [12]³.

Now, the construction of the eigenvectors and the eigenvalues goes fairly parallel to the formulation we have recently presented for the Hubbard model [11]. Basically, we have to adapt the “diagonal” commutation rules of ref. [11] by taking into account the specific weights of Zhou’s R -matrix and also consider the convenient parametrization given in equation (10). Here we only present our final results for the eigenvalues of the “covering” vertex model. Many other results, as well as the main technical steps we have developed will be presented in a separate publication [12], together with the detailed algebraic solution of the Hubbard model [11]. We remark that, recently, the exact expression for the eigenvalue appears to be very important in the study of finite temperature properties of integrable models [14, 15, 16]. We found that the eigenvalue of the transfer matrix associated to the Bariev chain is given by

$$\Lambda(\lambda, \{\lambda_i\}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{h^{-1} + h\lambda_i\lambda}{\lambda_i - \lambda} + \lambda^{2L} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 + h^2\lambda_i\lambda}{\lambda - h^2\lambda_i} + [\lambda h]^L \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{h^{-1} + h\lambda_i\lambda}{\lambda - \lambda_i} \Lambda^{(1)}(\lambda, \{\lambda_i\}) \quad (12)$$

where $\Lambda^{(1)}(\lambda, \{\lambda_i\})$ is the eigenvalue of the vertex model defined by the auxiliary R -matrix $\hat{r}(\lambda, \mu)$ in the presence of inhomogeneities. Furthermore the variables $\{\lambda_i\}$ are constrained by

³ For an even size the sign does not matter. We also recall that in the fermionic formulation of the Bariev chain this sign is positive.

the Bethe Ansatz equation

$$[\lambda_i h]^{-L} = -(-1)^n \Lambda^{(1)}(\lambda = \lambda_i, \{\lambda_j\}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (13)$$

The auxiliary problem can be solved by using the standard 6-vertex formulation of Faddeev et al [7, 8], adapted to include the inhomogeneities $\{\lambda_i\}$. In the diagonalization procedure, it is necessary to introduce the auxiliary variables $\{\mu_j\}$ and the eigenvalue $\Lambda^{(1)}(\lambda, \{\lambda_i\}, \{\mu_j\})$ reads

$$\Lambda^{(1)}(\lambda, \{\lambda_i\}, \{\mu_j\}) = \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{b(\mu_j, \lambda)} + \prod_{i=1}^n b(\lambda, \lambda_i) \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{b(\lambda, \mu_j)} \quad (14)$$

where the variables $\{\mu_j\}$ satisfy the equation

$$\prod_{i=1}^n b(\mu_j, \lambda_i) = - \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{b(\mu_j, \mu_k)}{b(\mu_k, \mu_j)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m \quad (15)$$

Finally, all these results can be combined in order to give us the eigenvalue and the Bethe Ansatz equations. At this point, to cast the final results in a convenient form, we redefine the variables λ , $\{\lambda_i\}$, and $\{\mu_j\}$ by

$$\lambda_i h = \exp(ik_i), \mu_j = \exp(i\Lambda_j), \lambda = \exp(ik) \quad (16)$$

In terms of these new parameters the expression for the eigenvalue is

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(k, \{k_i\}, \{\Lambda_j\}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\cos(k/2 + k_i/2 - \eta/2)}{i \sin(k_i/2 - k/2 + \eta/2)} + \exp(i2Lk) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\cos(k_i/2 + k/2 - \eta/2)}{i \sin(k/2 - k_i/2 + \eta/2)} \\ &+ \exp[i(k - \eta)L] \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{i \cos(k/2 + k_i/2 - \eta/2)}{\sin(k_i/2 - k/2 + \eta/2)} \prod_{j=1}^m - \frac{\sin(\Lambda_j/2 - k/2 + \eta)}{\sin(\Lambda_j/2 - k/2)} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{i \cos(k/2 + k_i/2 - \eta/2)}{\sin(k/2 - k_i/2 + \eta/2)} \prod_{j=1}^m - \frac{\sin(k/2 - \Lambda_j/2 + \eta)}{\sin(k/2 - \Lambda_j/2)} \right\} \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

and the nested Bethe Ansatz equations are given by

$$\exp(ik_i L) = -(-1)^{n-m} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\sin(k_i/2 - \Lambda_j/2 + \eta/2)}{\sin(k_i/2 - \Lambda_j/2 - \eta/2)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (18)$$

$$(-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\sin(\Lambda_j/2 - k_i/2 - \eta/2)}{\sin(\Lambda_j/2 - k_i/2 + \eta/2)} = - \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\sin(\Lambda_j/2 - \Lambda_k/2 - \eta)}{\sin(\Lambda_j/2 - \Lambda_k/2 + \eta)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m \quad (19)$$

This last equation is similar to that found early by Bariev [1, 2] in the context of the coordinate Bethe Ansatz approach, as should be. In order to recover the results of Bariev [1, 2] for the eigenenergies $E(L)$ of the Hamiltonian (1), we just have to take the logarithmic derivative of the transfer matrix eigenvalue at the point $\lambda = 0$. This calculation leads us to

$$E(L) = 2h \sum_{i=1}^L \cos(k_i) \quad (20)$$

We conclude this letter with the following remarks. The extra signs we have found in the Bethe Ansatz equations (18,19) are typical of the “ bosonic ” formulation (1) of the Bariev chain. They can be related with peculiar boundary conditions [11, 17], and they are not present if one formulates the diagonalization problem for the “fermionic ” version of (1). Our algebraic formulation has an invariance under $h \rightarrow h^{-1}$, which is in accordance with the symmetry of the Bariev chain ($\alpha \rightarrow -\alpha$) [1]. Following the results of Shiroischi and Wadati [18], there exists a way of generating a generalized Bariev chain from Zhou’s R -matrix. Defining the vertex operator [18] $\mathcal{L}^{\theta_0}(\lambda) = PR(\lambda, \theta_0)$, where P is permutator and $R(\lambda, \theta_0)$ is Zhou’s R -matrix, we can define a one-parameter (θ_0) family of vertex models by the transfer matrix $T^{\theta_0}(\lambda) = Tr_a[\mathcal{L}_{aL}^{\theta_0}(\lambda) \cdots \mathcal{L}_{a1}^{\theta_0}(\lambda)]$. Such vertex model can be diagonalized following the basic steps we presented so far. The main change is concerned with the action of the “ diagonal ” operators on the reference state $|0\rangle$. In this case we find $B(\lambda)|0\rangle = [\rho_1(\lambda, \theta_0)]^L|0\rangle$, $A_{aa}(\lambda)|0\rangle = [\rho_3(\lambda, \theta_0)]^L|0\rangle$, $D(\lambda)|0\rangle = [\rho_9(\lambda, \theta_0)]^L|0\rangle$, in such way that only the terms which are proportional to the power of L change in the expressions (12) and (13). Finally, since the parametrization (10) is quite simple, it seems interesting to re-investigate the Yangian symmetry as well as the analytical properties of the transfer matrix associated to the Bariev chain in light of the recent results of refs. [19, 20].

Acknowledgements

The authors thank J. de Gier for helpfull comments. This work was support by FOM (Fundamental Onderzoek der Materie) and Fapesp (Fundação de Amparo á Pesquisa do Estado de S. Paulo).

References

- [1] R.Z. Bariev, *J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.* 24 (1991) L549
- [2] R.Z. Bariev, *J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.* 24 (1991) L919
- [3] R.Z. Bariev, *J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.* 25 (1992) L261
- [4] R.Z. Bariev, A. Klümper, A. Schadschneider and J. Zittartz, *J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.* 26 (1993) 1249 ; 4663
- [5] Huan-Qiang Zhou, *Phys.Lett.A* 221 (1996) 104; *J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.* 29 (1996) 5509
- [6] K. Hikami and S. Murakami, *Phys.Lett.A* 221 (1996) 109
- [7] L.D. Faddeev, *in Recent advances in Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics, Les Houches, 1982*
L.A. Takhtajan and L.D. Faddeev, *Rus.Math.Sur.*34 (1979) 11
- [8] L.A. Takhtajan, *Lectures Notes on Physics, Vol 242 ,pag. 175, eds. B.S. Shastry, S.S. Jha and V. Singh*
H.J. de Vega, *Int.Mod.Phys.A* 4 (1989) 2371
V.E. Korepin, G. Izergin and N.M. Bogoliubov, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method, Correlation Functions and Algebraic Bethe Ansatz, 1992, (Cambridge University Press)*
- [9] B.S. Shastry, *Phys.Rev.Lett.*56 (1986) 1529; *ibid* 2453; *J.Stat.Phys.*30 (1988) 57
- [10] M. Wadati, E. Olmedilla and Y. Akutsu, *J.Phys.Soc.Japan* 36 (1987) 340; *ibid* 2298; *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 60 (1988) 1595
- [11] P.B. Ramos and M.J. Martins, *preprint UFSCARF-TH-96-10, hep-th/9605141*
- [12] M.J. Martins and P.B. Ramos, *in preparation*
- [13] M. Wadati, T. Deguchi and Y. Akutsu, *Phys.Rep.* 180 (1987) 247, and references there
in

- [14] M. Suzuki, *Phys.Rev.B* 31 (1985) 2957
M. Suzuki and M. Inoue, *Prog.Theor.Phys.* 78 (1987) 787
- [15] A. Klümper and R.Z. Bariev, *Nucl.Phys.B* 458 (1996) 623
- [16] P.A. Pearce and A. Klümper, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 66 (1991) 623
C. Destri and H.J. de Vega, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 69 (1992) 2313
- [17] R. Yue and T. Deguchi, *preprint OCHA-PP-81, cond-mat/9606039*
- [18] M. Shiroischi and M. Wadati, *J.Phys.Soc.Japan* 64 (1995) 57
- [19] S. Murakami and F. Göhmann, *preprints cond-mat/9609249 and cond-mat/9610096*
- [20] D.B. Uglov and V.E. Korepin, *Phys.Lett.A* 190 (1994) 238