On the mean eld approach to glassy system s

Giorgio Parisi

D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita La Sapienza, INFN Sezione di Rom a I Piazzale Aldo Moro, Rom e 00185

January 2, 2022

A bstract

In these lectures I will study som e properties that are shared by m any glassy system s. I will shown how som e of these properties can be understood in the fram ework of the m ean eld approach based on the replica m ethod and I will discuss which are the di culties which we have to face when we apply the replica m ethod to realistic short range m odels. We nally present a partially successfully application of the replica m ethod to soft sphere glasses.

1 Introduction

In these lecture Iw ill study som e system swhich present som e of the relevant characteristics of glasses. The aim is to construct simple m icroscopic m odels which can be studied in details and still behave in an interesting way. I will start from the simplest m odels, where only som e of the observed characteristics are reproduced and we will later go to more com plex system s.

The basic idea is to learn as much it is possible from mean eld theory results and to try to understand the extent of the overlap among the rather complex phenom enology displayed by glasses and the exact results which are obtained form odelwith in nite range interaction. It is remarkable that the two cases have many points in common (with a few very interesting di erences!) so that it seems to be a quite natural and reachable goal to construct a theory of glasses based on mean eld tools. This construction is in progress and here I will review our present understanding.

This note is organized as follows: after this introduction we recall some of the main results which have been obtained in the framework of the mean eld theory, both for systems with and without quenched disorder. In section III I will show which are the di culties to extend these results to short range models, which properties are maintained and which are modiled. Finally in the last section I will stress some of the properties of real glasses and I will describe a results to for doing explicite computations for a soft sphere glass.

2 Mean eld results

In these recent years there have been m any progresses on the understanding of the behaviour of glassy systems in the m ean eld approximation. The mean eld approximation is correct when the range of the interaction is in nite and this property allows us to write self consistent equations whose solution gives the solution of the model. The techniques that can be used are of various types, the replica m ethod, a direct probabilistic m ethod based of the cavity (Bethe) equations [2, 3] and the direct study of the dynam ical equations [4, 5].

The main results have been the following:

Model with random quenched disorder have been well understood both from the equilibrium and from the dynamical point of view.

Some of the results obtained for system s with random quenched disorder have been extended to non disordered system. This step is crucial in order to have the possibility of extending these m odels to real glasses.

Let us see in details the results that have been obtained.

2.1 Disordered system s

In this case the therm odynam ical properties at equilibrium can be computed using the replica method [2, 3]. A typical example of a model which can be solved with the replica method is a spin model with p spin interaction. The H am iltonian we consider depends on some control variables J, which have a G aussian distribution and play the same role of the random energies of the REM (i.e. the random energy model [6, 7]) and by the spin variable . For p = 1;2;3 the H am iltonian is respectively

$$H_{J}^{1}() = \sum_{i=1:N}^{X} J_{i i}$$
(1)

$$H_{J}^{3}() = J_{i;k;l=1;N}$$

where the primed sum indicates that all the indices are dimension. The N variables J must have a variance of O(N $^{(1 p)=2}$) if we want to have a non trivial therm odynam ical limit. The variables are usual Ising spins, which take the values 1. From now on we will consider only the case p > 2.

In the replica approaches one assumes that at low temperatures the phase space breaks into many valleys, (i.e. regions separated by high barriers in free energy). One also introduces the overlap among valley as

$$q(;) \quad \frac{\frac{1}{1} = 1, N \quad i \quad i}{N}; \quad (3)$$

where and are two generic con gurations in the valley and respectively.

In the simplest version of this m ethod [8, 9] one introduces the typical overlap of two con gurations inside the same valley (sometimes denoted by q_{EA} . Something must be said

about the distribution of the valleys. Only those which have minimum free energy are relevant for the therm odynamics. One nds that these valleys have zero overlap and have the following probability distribution of total free energy of each valley:

$$P(F) / exp(m(F F_0)); \qquad (4)$$

where F_0 is the total free energy of the valley having lower free energy.

Indeed the average value of the free energy can be written in a self consistent way as function of m and q (f (q;m)) and the value of these two parameters can be found as the solution of the stationarity equations:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial m} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = 0:$$
 (5)

The quantity q is of order 1 exp (A p) for large p, while the parameter m is 1 at the critical tem perature, and has a nearly linear behaviour allow tem perature. The only di erence is that m is no more strictly linear as function of the tem perature.

The therm odynam ical properties of the model are the same as is the Random Energy Model (indeed we recover the REM when p ! 1): there is a transition at T_c with a discontinuity in the speci c heat, with no divergent susceptibilities.

A very interesting nding is that if we consider the in nite model and we coolit starting at high temperature, there is a transition at a temperature $T_D > T_c$ [4,5]. At temperatures less than T_D the system is trapped in a metastable state. The correlation time (not the equilibrium susceptibilities) diverges at T_D and the mode-mode coupling become exact in this region [10].

It was suggested some time ago [11, 12, 13] that these properties of the p-spin m odel strongly hint that this model may be considered a mean eld realization of a glassy system and it should share with real glasses the physical origine of this behaviour.

It is interesting to note that although in the original approach the dynam ical transition was found by using explicitly the equation of motions now we have techniques which allow the determ ination of the dynam ical transition and of some of the properties of the exponentially large times needed to escape from a metastable state using only equilibrium computations [14, 15, 16, 17]. The main technical tool consists in computing the properties of a system with coupled replicas [18].

2.2 M odelwithout quenched disorder

We could ask how much of the previous results can be carried to models without quenched results. It has been found in the framework of the mean eld theory (i.e. when the range of the interaction is in nite), that there a the partial equivalence of H am iltonians with quenched and random disorder. More precisely it often possible to nd H am iltonians which have the same properties (at least in a region of the phase space) of the H am iltonian without disorder [19] - [26]. An example of this intriguing phenomenon is the following.

The conguration space of our model is given by N Ising spin variables [19]. We consider the following H am iltonian

$$H = \int_{i=1,N}^{X} B_{i} j^{2} l j^{2};$$
 (6)

where
$$B_i = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ R_{i;k} \\ k=1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (7)

Here R is an unitary matrix, i.e.

$$X R_{i,k} \overline{R_{k,m}} = i_{m} :$$
(8)

W e could consider two di erent cases [19] :

The matrix R is a random orthogonal matrix.

The matrix R is given by

$$R (k;m) = \frac{\exp(2 i km)}{N^{1-2}}$$
(9)

In other words B is the Fourier transform of .

The second case is a particular instance of the second case is a particular instance of a random sequence.

The rst model can be studied using the replica method and one nds results very similar to those of the p-spin model we have already studied.

Now it can be proven that the statistical properties of the second model are identical to those of the rst model, with how ever an extra phase. In the second model (at least for some peculiar value of N, e.g. N prime [20, 19, 21]) there are con gurations which have exactly zero energy. These con guration form isolated valleys which are separated from the others, but have much smaller energy and they have a very regular structure (like a crystal). An example of these con gurations is

$$k \mod N k^{(N-1)=2}$$
 (10)

(The property $k^{(N-1)}$ 1 for prime N, implies that in the previous equations $_k = 1$). A lthough the sequence $_k$ given by the previous equation is apparently random, it satisfies so many identities that it must be considered as an extremely ordered sequence (like a crystal). One nds out that from the therm odynam ical point of view it is convenient to the system to jump to one of these ordered con gurations at low temperature. More precisely there is a rst order transition (like a real crystalization transition) at a temperature, which is higher that the dynam ical one.

If the crystallisation transition is avoided by one of the usual methods, (i.e. explicit interdiction of this region of phase space or su cient slow cooling), the properties of the second model are exactly the same of those of the rst model. Similar considerations are also valid for other spin models [23, 24, 25] or for models of interacting particles in very large dimensions, where the elective range of the force goes to in nity [26, 27, 28, 29].

We have seen that when we remove the quenched disorder in the Ham iltonian we nd a quite positive e ect: a crystallisation transition appears like in some real systems. If we neglect crystalization, which is absent for some values of N, no new feature is present in system without quenched disorder.

These results are obtained for long range system s. A swe shall see later the equivalence of short range system swith and without quenched disorder is an interesting and quite open problem.

3 Short range m odels

The interest of the previous argument would be to much higher if we could apply them to short range models. In order to discuss this point it is convenient to consider two classes of models:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ J(x;y;z) & (x) & (y) & (z) \\ X \\ H = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ J(x & y;x & z) & (x) & (y) & (z) \\ x_{xy;z} \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

In both cases the sum is restricted to triplets spins which are at distance less than R (i.e. j_{R} $y_{j} < R, j_{R}$ $z_{j} < R, j_{Z}$ $y_{j} < R$). In the rst case the model is not translational invariant while in the second case the H am iltonian is translational invariant. Moreover in the second case the H am iltonian depends only on a nite number of J also when the volume goes to in nity, so that the free energy density will uctuates with J. The second model looks much more similar to real glasses than the rst one. A careful study of the di erence among these two models has not yet been done. In any case it is clear that the phenom enon of metastability which we have seen in the previous section for the in nite range models is de nitely not present in these short range models. No metastable states with in nite mean life do exist in nature.

Let us consider a quite simple argument. Let us suppose that the system may stay in phase (or valleys) which we denote as A and B. If the free energy density of B is higher than that of A, the system can go from B to A in a progressive way, by forming a bubble of radius R of phase A inside phase B. If the surface tension among phase A and B is nite, has happens in any short range model, for large R the volume term will dom inate the free energy di erence among the pure phase B and phase B with a bubble of A of radius R. This di erence is thus negative at large R, it maximum will thus be nite.

In the nutshella nite amount of free energy in needed in order to form a seed of phase A starting from which the spontaneous formation of phase A will start. For example, if we take a mixture of H_2 and O_2 at room temperature, the probability of a spontaneous temperature uctuation in a small region of the sample, which lead to later ignition and eventually to the explosion of the whole sample, is greater than zero (albeit quite a small number), and obviously it does not go to zero when the volum e goes to in nity.

We have two possibilities open in positioning the mean eld theory predictions of existence of realm etastable states:

We consider the presence of these m etastable state with in nite m can life an artefact of the m can eld approximation and we do not pay attention to them \cdot

We notice that in the real systems there are metastable states with very large (e.g. much greater than one year) mean life. We consider the in nite time metastable states of the mean eld approximation as precursors of these nite time metastable states. We hope (with reasons) that the corrections to the mean eld approximation will give a nite (but large) mean life to these states (how this can happen will be discussed later on).

Here we suppose that the second possibility is the most interesting and we proceed with the study of the system in the mean eld approximation. We have already seen that in a

short range m odel we cannot have real m etastable states. Let us see in m ore details what happens.

Let us assume that f < 0 is the di erence in free energy among the metastable state and the stable state. Now let us consider a bubble of radius R of stable state inside the metastable one. The free energy di erence of such a bubble will be

$$F(R) = fV(R) I(R)$$
 (12)

where the interfacial free energy I(R) can increase at worse as (R). The quantities $V(R) / R^{D}$ and $(R) / R^{D-1}$ are respectively the volume and the surface of the bubble;

is the surface tension, which can also be zero (when the surface tension is zero, we have I (R) / R[!], with ! < D 1).

The value of F (R) increases at small R, reaches a maximum a R_c , which in the case 6 0 is of order (f) ¹ and it becomes eventually negative at large R. According to enucleation theory, the system goes from the metastable to the stable phase under the form ation and the growth of such bubbles, and the time to form one of them is of order (neglecting prefactors)

$$\ln() / f R^{D} / (f)^{(D-1)}$$
 (13)

$$/ \exp\left(\frac{A}{(f)^{(D-1)}}\right)$$
(14)

where A is constant dependent on the surface tension. In the case of asymptotically zero surface tension we have

$$/ \exp\left(\frac{A}{f}\right)$$
 (15)

$$= \frac{D}{!} \quad 1 \tag{16}$$

This argument for the non existence of metastable states can be naively applied here. The metastable states of the the mean eld approximation now do decay. The dynamical transition becomes a smooth region which separates dierent regimes; an higher tem – perature regime where mode mean eld predictions are approximately correct and a low temperature region where the dynamics is dominated by barriers crossing. There is no region where the predictions of mean eld theory are exact but mean eld theory is only an approximated theory which describe the behaviour in a limited region of relaxation times (large, but not too large).

The only place where the correlation timem ay diverge is at that the therm odynam ical transition T_c , whose existence seems to be a robust prediction of the mean eld theory. It follows that the only transition, both from the static and the dynam ical point of view, is present at T_c .

In order to understand better what happens near T_c we must proceed in a careful matter. The nucleation phenom enon which is responsible of the decay of metastable states is of the same order of other non-perturbative corrections to the mean eld behaviour, which cannot be seen in perturbation theory. We must therefore compute in a systematic way all possible sources of non perturbative corrections. This has not yet been done, but it should not be out of reach.

One of the st problem to investigate is the equivalence of systems with and without random disorder. In systems with quenched disorder there are local inhom ogeneities which correspond to local uctuations of the critical tem perature and m ay dom inate the therm odynam ics when we approach the critical tem perature.

It is quite possible, that system s with and without quenched disorder, although they coincide in the mean eld approximation, they will be quite dierent in nite dimension (e.g. 3) and have dierent critical exponents. The scope of the universality classes would be one of the rst property to assess.

It is not clear at the present m om ent if the strange one order and half transition is still present in short range m odel or if it is promoted to a bona de second order transition. If the transition remains of the order one and half (for example is conceivable that this happens only for systems without quenched disorder). It could also possible that there is appropriate version of the enucleation theory which is valid near T_c and predicts:

$$/ \exp\left(\frac{A}{(T - T_{c})}\right)$$
: (17)

A rst guess for is D 2 [13, 14], although other values, e.g. 2/3, are possible. A more detailed understanding of the static properties near T_c is needed before we can do any reliable prediction.

4 Toward realistic models

4.1 General considerations on glasses

I would like now to shortly review the properties of real glasses, which, as we shall see, have m any points in common with the systems that we have considered up to now. As usual we must select which of the m any characteristics of glasses we think are important and should be understood. This is a matter of taste. I believe that the the following facts are the main experimental indings about glasses that a successfully theory of glasses should explain:

- 1. If we cool the system below some temperature (T_G), its energy depends on the cooling rate in a signi cant way. We can visualize T_G as the temperature at which the relaxation times become of the order of a hour.
- 2. No them odynam ic anomalies (i.e. divergent specic heat or susceptibilities) are observed: the entropy (extrapolated at ultraslow cooling) is a linear function of the temperature in the region where such an extrapolation is possible. For nite value of the cooling rate the specic cheat is nearly discontinuous. D at are consistent with the possibility that the true equilibrium value of the specic cheat is also discontinuous at a temperature T_c lower than T_G . This results comes mainly from systems which have also a crystal phase (which is reached by a di erent cooling schedule) under the very reasonable hypothesis that the entropy of the glass phase cannot be smaller than that of the crystal phase.
- 3. The relaxation time (and quantities related to it, e.g. the viscosity, which by the K ubo form ula is proportional to the integral of the correlation function of the stress energy tensor) diverges at low temperature. In many glasses (the fragile ones) the experimental data can be tted as

$$= _{0} \exp(B(T));$$
 (18)

$$B(T) / (T T_c)$$
; (19)

where $_0$ 10 13 s is a typical microscopic time, T_c is near to the value at which we could guess the presence of a discontinuity in the speci c heat and the exponent is of order 1. The so called Vogel-Fulcher law [1] states that = 1. The precise value of is not too well determ ine. The value 1 is well consistent with the experimental data, but di erent values are not excluded.

Points 1 and 2 can be easily explained in the fram ework of them ean eld approximation. Point 3 is a new feature of short range models which is not present in the mean eld approximation. It is clearly connected to the non-existence of in nite mean life metastable states in a nite dimensional world. One needs a more careful analysis in order to nd out the origine of this peculiar behavior and obtain quantitative predictions.

It is interesting to nd out if one can construct approximation directly for the glass transitions in liquids. Some progresses have been done in the framework of mean eld theory in the in nite dimensional cases. Indeed the model for hard spheres moving on a sphere can be solved exactly in the high temperature phase when the dimension of the space goes to in nity in a suitable way [26, 27, 29].

4.2 A rst attempt to use the replica method for glasses

O ne of the m ost interesting results is the suggestion that the replica m ethod can be directly applied to real glasses. The idea is quite sim ple [30]. We assume that in the glassy phase a nite large system m ay state in di erent valleys (or states), labeled by . The probability distribution of the free energy of the valley is given by eq. (4). We can speak of a probability distribution because the shape of the valleys and their free energies depends on the total num ber of particles. Each valley m ay be characterized by the density

$$(x) < (x) > :$$
 (20)

In this case we can de ne two correlation functions.

$$g(x) = \frac{{}^{R} \frac{dy < (y) (y + x > >}{V}}{V}$$

$$f(x) = \frac{{}^{R} \frac{dy < (y) > < (y + x > >}{V}}{V}$$
(21)

A correct description of the low temperature phase must take into account both correlation functions. The replica method does it quite nicely: g is the correlation function inside one replica and f is the correlation function among two di erent replicas.

D

$$g(x) = \frac{\int_{R}^{R} \frac{dy < a(y) + x > y}{V}}{V}$$

f(x) =
$$\frac{\int_{R}^{R} \frac{dy < a(x) + y + x > y}{V}}{V};$$
 (22)

with a€ b.

The problem is now to write closed equation for the two correlation functions f and g. Obviously in the high temperature phase we must have that f = 0 and the non-vanishing of f is a signal of entering in the glassy phase.

The rst attempt in this direction was only a partial success [30]. A generalized hypernetted chain approximation was developed for the two functions f and g. A non trivial solution was found at su cient low temperature both for soft and hard spheres and the transition temperature to a glassy state was not very far from the numerically observed one. Unfortunately the value of the speci c heat at low temperature is not the correct one (it strongly increases by decreasing the temperature). Therefore the low temperature behaviour is not the correct one; this should be not a surprise because an explicite com putation show that the corrections to this hypernetted chain approximation diverge at low temperature.

These results show the feasibility of a replica computation for real glasses, however they point in the direction that one must use som ething di erent from a replicated version of the hypermetted chain approximation. At the present moment it is not clear which approximation is the correct one, but I feel con dent that a more reasonable one will be found in the near future.

References

- [1] H. Vogel, Phys. Z, 22, 645 (1921); G.S. Fulcher, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 6, 339 (1925).
- [2] M M ezard, G Parisi and M A V irasoro, Spin glass theory and beyond, W orld Scienti c (Singapore 1987).
- [3] G Parisi, Field Theory, D isorder and Simulations, W orld Scientic, (Singapore 1992).
- [4] L.Cugliandolo, J.Kurchan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 173.
- [5] A. Crisanti, H. Homer and H.-J. Sommers, The Spherical p-Spin Interaction Spin-G lass M odel: the D ynam ics, Z. Phys. B 92 (1993) 257.
- [6] B Derrida, Phys. Rev. B 24 (1981) 2613.
- [7] L.R.G.Fontes, Y.Kohayakawa, M. Isopi, P.Picco The Spectral Gap of the REM under M etropolis D ynam ics Rom e preprint (1996).
- [8] D.J.G ross and M. Mezard, The Sim plest Spin Glass, Nucl. Phys. B 240 (1984) 431.
- [9] E.Gardner, Spin Glasses with p-Spin Interactions, Nucl. Phys. B 257 (1985) 747.
- [10] J.P.Bouchaud, L.Cugliandolo, J.Kurchan, Marc Mezard, cond-mat 9511042.
- [11] T.R.K inkpatrick and D.Thirum alai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2091 (1987).
- [12] T.R.Kirkpatrick and D.Thirum alai, Phys. Rev. B 36, 5388 (1987).
- [13] T.R.Kirkpatrick, D.Thirum alai and P.G.W olynes, Phys. Rev. A 40, 1045 (1989).
- [14] G.Parisi, Gauge Theories, Spin Glasses and RealGlasses, Talk presented at the Oskar Klein Centennial Symposium, cond-m at 9411115.
- [15] S Franz and G Parisi, J. Phys. I (France) 5 (1995) 1401.
- [16] E.Monasson Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 2847.

- [17] A. Cavagna, I. Giardina and G. Parisi, cond-m at 9611068.
- [18] J.Kurchan, G. Parisi and M.A. Virasoro, J. Physique 3, 18 (1993).
- [19] E Marinari, G Parisi and F R itort, JPhysA (MathGen.) 27 (1994), 7615; JPhysA (MathGen.) 27 (1994), 7647.
- [20] G.Migliorini, Sequenze Binarie in Debole Autocorrelazione, Tesidi Laurea, Universita di Rom a Tor Vergata (Rom a, March 1994).
- [21] IBorsari, S.G.ra and F.U.nguendoli, J.P.hys. (Math.G.en.), to appear and Deterministic spin models with a glassy phase transition, cond-mat 9605133.
- [22] G. Parisi and M. Potters, J. Phys. A: M ath. Gen. 28 (1995) 5267, Europhys. Lett.
 32 (1995) 13.
- [23] S.Franz and J.Hertz; Nordita Preprint, cond-m at 9408079.
- [24] E.Marinari, G.Parisi and F.Ritort, J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. 28 1234 (1995).
- [25] E.Marinari, G.Parisi and F.Ritort J.Phys. A: Math.Gen. 28 327 (1995).
- [26] L.Cugliandolo, J.Kurchan, G. Parisi and F.R itort, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 1012.
- [27] L Cugliandolo, J Kurchan, E M onasson and G Parisi, M ath. Gen. 29 (1996) 1347.
- [28] G. Parisi, cond-m at 9701032.
- [29] G Parisi and F Russo, work in progress.
- [30] M.Mezard and G.Parisi cond-m at 9602002.