Spectral functions of the 1D H ubbard model in the U ! +1 lim it: H ow to use the factorized w ave-function
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#### Abstract

W e give the details of the calculation of the spectral functions of the 1D H ubbard m odel using the spin-charge factorized wave-function for several versions of the $U!+1$ lim it. The spectral functions are expressed as a convolution of charge and spin dynam ical correlation functions. A procedure to evaluate these correlation functions very accurately for large system $s$ is developed, and analytical results are presented for the low energy region. T hese results are fully consistent with the conform al eld theory. W e also propose a direct $m$ ethod of extracting the exponents from the $m$ atrix elem ents in $m$ ore general cases.


## I. IN TRODUCTION

A fter the recent photoem ission experim entsh on quasi one-dim ensionalm aterials, the need of understanding the dynam ical spectral functions of strongly correlated electron system s has arised. W hile the low energy behavior is usually well describep w ithin the fram ew ork of the Luttinger liquid theory ${ }^{3 / 4}$ 国 the experim entally relevant higher energies ( 100 m eV ) can be falculated for exam ple by diagonalizing sp all clusters ${ }^{6}$ or by $Q$ uantum $M$ onte-C arlo calculations U nfortunately, both $m$ ethods have lim itations either given by the sm all size of the system or by statistical errors and use of analytic continuation. Even for the Bethe-A nsatz solvable m odels, where the excitation spectra can be calculated, the problem atic part of calculating the $m$ atrix elem ents rem ains: $T$ he wave fiunctions are required, and they are sim ply too com plicated. T here is, how ever, a special class of m odels, where the evaluation of the $m$ atrix elem ents is $m$ ade possible through a relatively sim ple factorized form of the wave-function, and som e results w ere already published by Sorella and P arplas for the insulating half- lled case and by the authord ${ }^{10}$ aw ay from half- lling.

The dynam ical, zero tem perature one-particle spectral functions can be de ned as the im aginary parts of the tim e ordered $G$ reen's function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(k ;!)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Im} G(k ;!) ;} \text { for }!>; \\
& B(k ;!)=\frac{1}{-} \operatorname{Im} G(k ;!) ; \text { for }!<\quad:
\end{aligned}
$$

A ( $k ;!$ ) is measured in angular resolved inverse photoem ission experim ents and can be calculated from the Lehm ann representation:

$$
A(k ;!)={\underset{f}{f}}_{X}^{h f ; N+1 \dot{\dot{a}_{k}^{Y}} ;-0 ; N i^{2} \quad\left(!\quad E_{f}^{N+1}+E_{0}^{N}\right) ; ~ ; ~}
$$

while $B(k ;!)$ is $m$ easured in the angular resolved photoem ission experim ents and is given by:

$$
B(k ;!)={\underset{f}{f}}_{X}^{h f} ; N \quad 1 \dot{\beta}_{k} ; ~ j 0 ; N i^{2} \quad\left(!\quad E_{0}^{N}+E_{f}^{N} \quad 1\right):
$$

$H$ ere $N$ is the number of electrons, $f$ denotes the nal states and $a_{k}$; destroys an electron $w$ ith $m$ om entum $k$ and spin . If the spectral functions are know $n$, the tim $e$ ordered G reen's function can be obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(k ;!)=^{Z+1} d!\frac{A\left(k ;!^{0}\right)}{!!^{0}+i}+{ }_{1}^{Z} d!\frac{B\left(k ;!^{0}\right)}{!!^{0} i}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The specialm odels for which the $m$ atrix elem ents can be calculated are:
i) The $H$ ubbard m odel, de ned as usual:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=t_{i ;}^{X} a_{i+1 ;}^{Y} ; a_{i ;}+h: c:+U^{X} n_{i ; "} n_{i ; \#} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the $\lim$ it $U=t!+1$;
ii) The anisotropic $t \quad \mathrm{~J}$ m odel

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{t J}=t^{X}\left(a_{i ;}^{Y} a_{i+1 ;}+h: C:\right) \\
& X^{i ;} X \\
& +\quad J \quad S_{i} S_{i+1} \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad ; z n_{i} n_{i+1} \quad \text {; }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { i }=x ; y ; z
\end{align*}
$$

in the lim it $J$ ! 0 , where $\mathbb{a}_{i}$; are the usual projected operators. A ctually, the $H$ ubbard $m$ odel in the large $U$ lim it can be $m$ apped onto a strong coupling $m$ odel usually identi ed as the $t \mathrm{~J}$ modelphis three-site term s using a canonical transform ation 12 where $J=4 t^{2}=U$ is sm all;
iii) An extension of the ${ }^{t} \mathrm{~J} m$ odel rst proposed by $X$ iang and d'Am brum enil, 13 de ned by the H am iltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=t^{X} \quad a_{i ;}^{y} a_{i+1} ;+h: c: \\
& X^{i ;} \quad X \\
& +\underset{i>j=x ; y ; z}{X} \quad S_{i} S_{i+j} \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad ; z n_{i} n_{i+j} \quad P_{i ; j} ; \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{i ; j}=Q_{j^{0}=1}^{j}\left(1 n_{i+} j^{0}\right)$ in the exchange part of the H am iltonian ensures that tw o spins interact as long as there is no other spin betw een them. T he motivation to study this model is that, unlike the in nite U H ubbard model, there is a nite energy $J$ associated with spin uctuations, and this w ill give us useful indications about the nite $U \mathrm{H}$ ubbard m odel.

From the m odels de ned above, the H ubbard model is the most relevant one. It plays a central role as the generic model of strongly correlated electron system s. Even though it is com paratively simple, it is very di cult to solve except for the one dimensional case, where it is solvable by Bethe Ansatz 14 Unfortunately, the Bethe ansatz solution is not convenient for direct computation of spectral functions, therefore an altemative approach was needed. In the lim it of sm all U one can use the renorm alization group 15 to show that the $\mathrm{H} u b b a r d \mathrm{~m}$ odel belongs to the universality class of the T om onaga- uttinger m odel ${ }^{16}$ usually referred to as Luttinger-liquid $T$ he Luttinger liquids are characterized by pow er-law decay of correlation functions, and nonexistence of quasiparticles $\$ 1$ he underlying conform al eld theory can be used to relate the exponents thene corrections of the energy and m om entum 192021 22 Th is gives consistent results not only w the renorm alization group in the w eak coupling regim e, 23 but also w the special case of $U=t!+1$, where the exponents of the static correlations gould be obtained using a factorized wave function 24.25 .26

A ctually, the spin-charge factorized w ave fiunction also describes the excited states as well $l^{27}$ and it can be used to calculate the dynam icalspectral functions as well. The spectral functions obtained in this $w$ ay are very educative and in som e sense, unexpected. For exam ple, it tums out that the spectrum contains rem nants of band 10 crossing the Ferm i energy at $3 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$ - the so called shadow bands. A lso it gives inform ation on the applicability of the pow er-law Luttinger liquid correlation function $T$ he aim of this paper is not only to give the details of the calculation, that can be usefill for other correlation fiunctions, but also to present som e new results on the low energy behavior of the charge and spin part (both for the isotropic H eisenberg and XY spin model).

The paper is organized as follow s: In Section He review the factorized wave function and in Section we show how the spectral functions can be given as a convolution of spin and charge parts. Sections $\mathbb{I V}$ and $V$ are devoted to the detailed analysis of the charge and spin parts. The relation to the results obtained from the nite-size corrections and conform al eld theory is discussed in Section V.F. Finally, in Section VIH we present our conclusions.

## II. THE FACTORIZED W AVEFUNCTION

It has been show $n, 27$ by using the Bethe ansatz solution, that the ground state wave function of the $H u b-$ bard model in the $U$ ! +1 lim it can be constructed as a product of a spinless ferm ion wave function $j i$ and a squeezed spin wave function $j$ i. This can be alternatively seen using perturbational argum ents 4 and then extended to the $t \quad \mathrm{~J}$ model in the J! 0 lm it. M oreover, the fayte function of the excited states are also factorized

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{N} i=j \underset{\mathrm{~L} ; Q}{\mathrm{~N}}(f I g) i \quad j_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N} \#}\left(Q ; \tilde{f}_{Q}\right) \mathrm{i}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spinless ferm ion wave fiunction $j i$ describes the charges and is an eigen function ofN noninteracting spinless ferm ions on $L$ sites $w$ ith $m$ om enta

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{j} L=2 I_{j}+Q ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $I_{j}$ are integer quantum numbers and $j=$ $1 ; 2 ;::: \mathrm{N}$. T he charge part is not fully decoupled from the spin wave function $j i$, as the $m$ om entum $Q=$ $2 \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{N}$ ( $\mathrm{J}=0 ; 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1$ ) of the spin $w$ ave function im poses a tw isted boundary condition on the spinless ferm ion wave-fiunction (each ferm ion hopping from site L 1 to site 0 w illacquire a phase $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ig}}$ ) to ensure periodic boundary conditions for the originalproblem. T he energy of the charge part is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{c}^{N}=2 t_{j=1}^{X^{N}} \operatorname{cosk}_{j} ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $m$ om entum reads $P_{c}^{N}=\underset{j=1}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}$, or, using Eq. (6) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{c}^{N}=\frac{2}{L}_{j=1}^{X^{N}} I_{j}+\frac{N}{L} Q=\frac{2}{L} @_{j=1}^{0} I_{j}^{N}+J A: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the spin wave functions $j i$ are characterized by the num ber of dow $n$ spins $N$ \#, the total $m$ om entum $Q$, and the quantum number $\tilde{f_{Q}} w$ ithin the subspace of $m$ om entum $Q$. They are eigenfunctions of the H eisenberg H am iltonian

$$
H_{S}=\begin{align*}
& X_{i=1}^{N} \quad X \quad \mathcal{X} ; y ; z \tag{9}
\end{align*} \quad S_{i} S_{i+1} \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad ; z:
$$

$w$ th eigenenergies $E_{s} . J$ depends on the actual charge wave function $j i$. In the case of the $U!+1 H u b b a r d$ m odel,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\frac{2 t^{2}}{U} \frac{1}{N}_{i ;=1}^{X} h_{i} \dot{n}_{i} n_{i+} \quad b_{i+}^{y} n_{i} b_{i} \quad j \quad i ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $b_{j}^{y}$ and $b_{j}$ are the operators of spinless ferm ions at site $j$. For the ground state $j^{G S} i$ it reads $J=$ $n\left(4 t^{2}=U\right)[1 \quad \sin (2 n)=(2 n)]$, where $n=N=L$ is the density.

For the $t$ J model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=J{ }_{i}^{X} h \dot{\eta}_{i} n_{i+j} j i ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the ground state $\mathcal{J}=J n\left[1 \quad \sin ^{2}(n)=(n)^{2}\right]$. For the m odel of $X$ iang and d'Ambrum enil $J=n J$ and is independent of the charge part. The energy of the factorized wave function is then given as the sum of the charge and spin energies, w ith the assum ption that the correct $J$ is chosen. If $U!+1$ or $J!0$, then the spectrum collapses and we can assum e all the spin states degenerate, sim plifying considerably som e of the calculations to be presented later.

Furthem ore, we choose $N$ to be of the form $41+2$ ( 1 integer), when the ground state is unique. Then in the ground-state the spinless ferm ion wave-function $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{GS}} \mathrm{i}$ is described by the quantum num bers $Q=$ and $f I g=f \mathrm{~N}=2 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}=2 \quad 2 ; \mathrm{N}=2 \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$, so that the distribution of the $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{j}}$ 's is sym m etric around the origin and we choose the spin part as the ground-state of the $H$ eisenbere m odel according to O gata and Shiba's prescription 24 $T$ his choige of the spin wave function $m$ akes the di erence betw een the $U!+1$ and $U=+1$ (the so called tmodel) lim its.

The price we have to pay for such a sim ple wave function is that the representation of real ferm ion operators $a_{j}^{y}$; in the new basis becom es complicated. A s a rst step, we can w rite $a_{j ;}^{y}$ as $a_{j ;}^{y}=a_{j ;}^{y}\left(1 \quad n_{j ;}\right)+a_{j ;}^{y} n_{j ;}$, where $a_{j}^{Y}$ ( $1 \quad n_{j}$; ) creates a ferm ion at an unoccupied site and the $a_{j}^{y} ; n_{j}$; adds a ferm ion at an already occupied site, thus creating a doubly occupied site. $m$ eans the spin state opposite to . This latter process gives contributions to the spectral functions in the upper $\mathrm{H} u b-$ bard band, $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{UHB}}(\mathrm{k} ;$ !) which can be calculated in a sim ilar way, but we w ill not address th is issue in the present paper.

Next, we de ne the operators $\hat{Z}_{i}^{y}$, and $\hat{Z}_{i}$; acting on the spin part of the wave function: $T$ he $\hat{Z}_{i}^{y} ;$ adds a spin
to the beginning of the spin wave function $j_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{i}$ if $i=0$, or inserts a spin after skipping the rst ispins, and $m$ akes it $N+1$ long, e.g.: $\hat{Z}_{0}^{y} ; ~ j " \# i=j$ \#\#i and $\hat{Z}_{1 ;}^{y} ; " \# i=j "$ \#i. The $\hat{Z_{i}}$; is de ned as the adjoint operator of $\hat{Z}_{i}^{y}$, i.e. it rem oves a spin from site i.
$T$ hen, to create a ferm ion at the em pty site $j=0$, we need to create one spinless ferm ion $w$ ith operator $b_{0}^{y}$ and to add a spin to the spin wave function $w$ ith operator $\hat{\mathrm{Z}}_{0}^{\mathrm{y}}$; :

$$
a_{0 ;}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{n}_{0} ; \tag{12}
\end{array}\right)=\hat{z}_{0 ;}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{b}_{0}^{\mathrm{y}}:
$$

$T$ he apparent sim plicity is lost for $\mathrm{a}_{1 \text {; }}^{\mathrm{y}}$. T hen, apart from
creating a spinless ferm ions $w$ ith $b_{1}^{y}$ in the charge part, we have to consider the follow ing tw o possibilities: either the $j=0$ site is em pty, and with $a_{1}^{y}$; we create a spin at the beginning of the spin wave function $w$ th $\hat{z}_{0}^{y}$; or it is occupied, and we insert a spin betw een the rst and second spin in $j$ i w ith $\hat{Z}_{1}^{y}$; So we end up with

$$
a_{1 ;}^{\mathrm{Y}}\left(1 \quad \mathrm{n}_{1 ;}\right)={ }^{\mathrm{h}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{n}_{0}
\end{array}\right) \hat{\mathrm{Z}}_{0 ;}^{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{n}_{0} \hat{Z}_{1 ;}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}_{1}^{\mathrm{y}}:
$$

O bviously we choose the $j=0$ in further calculations for its sim plicity. H ow ever, one can show that the nalresult does not depend on this special choice and the translational invariance is preserved even for these com plicated operators.

## III. SPECTRALEUNCTIONS

To use the factorized w ave functions in the calculation of the spectral function it is $m$ ore convenient to transfer the $k$ dependence from the $a_{k}^{y}$; operator to the nal state:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(k ;!)= & \mathrm{X} \\
\mathrm{f} ; & \mathrm{Lf} ; \mathrm{N}+1 \dot{\mathrm{a}}_{0}^{\mathrm{y}} ; j \mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{Ni}^{2} \\
& \left(!\quad \mathrm{E}_{f}^{N+1}+\mathrm{E}_{0}^{N}\right)_{k ; \mathbb{P}_{f}^{N+1} P_{0}^{N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(k ;!)={ }_{f}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{Lhf} ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1 \mathrm{j}_{0} ; \mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{N} \mathrm{i}^{2} \\
& \text { (! } \left.\quad E_{0}^{N}+E_{f}^{N}{ }^{1}\right)_{k ; P_{0}^{N}} P_{f}^{N} \quad 1 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $m$ om enta of the nal states are $P_{f}^{N} \quad{ }^{1}$.
A s we already pointed out, the addition of an electron to the ground state can result in a nalstate w th orw ithout a doubly occupied state. C orrespondingly, the spectral function has contributions from the upper and low er H ubbard bands: $A(k ;!)=A^{\text {UBB }}(k ;!)+A^{\text {LHB }}(k ;!) . W e$ will now consider $A^{\text {LHB }}(k ;!)$ only. From Eqs. (5) and 12) we get the follow ing convolution as a consequence of the w ave function factorization:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\mathrm{LHB}}(k ;!)={ }_{Q ;!0 ;}^{X} C\left(Q ;!^{0}\right) A_{Q}\left(k ;!\quad!^{0}\right) ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sim ilarly for $B(k ;!)$ :
$A_{Q}(k ;!)$ and $B_{Q}(k ;!)$ depend on the spinless ferm ion wave function only:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (! } \left.\quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{N}+1}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{GS} ; \mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{k;} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{N}+1} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{GS} ; \mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{N}} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (! } \quad E_{G S ; C}^{N}+E_{f ; C}^{N} 1_{k ; P_{G S ; C}^{N}} P_{f ; c}^{N} 1 \text {; } \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

and they are discussed in $m$ ore detail in the next section (Sec. IV).
On the other hand, $C(Q ;!)$ and $D(Q ;!)$ are deter$m$ ined by the spin wave function only:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C \quad(Q ;!))^{X} \quad h_{N+1}\left(Q ; \tilde{f}_{Q}\right) \hat{E}_{0}^{Y} ; j_{N}^{G S}{ }^{2} \\
& f_{\ell} \\
& \text { (! } \left.\quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{N}+1}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{GS} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{N}}\right) \text {; } \\
& D \quad(Q ;!)=h_{N} \quad{ }_{1}\left(Q ; \tilde{f}_{Q}\right)-\hat{E}_{0} ; j_{N}^{G S} i^{2} \\
& \text { (! } \left.\quad E_{G S ; s}^{N}+E_{f ; s}^{N}{ }^{1}\right) ; \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

and are analyzed in Sec.V. A though we do not present it here, a sim ilar analysis can be made for $A^{\text {U }}{ }^{B}(k ;!)$.

In Eqs. (13) and (14) the sim ple addition of the spin and charge energies is assum ed. Strictly speaking, this is only valid for the $U$ ! +1, J! 0 and the model of $X$ iang and d'Am brum enil for any $J$. In the other cases the dependence of $J$ on the charge wave function should be explicitly taken into account. Still, it is a reasonable approxim ation, as the im portant $m$ atrix elem ents will come from excitling a few particle-hole excitations only, which will give nite-size corrections to $J$ in the therm odynam ic lim it. Furthem ore, we are peglecting the $t^{2}=U$ corrections to the e ective operators ${ }^{12}$ and to the wave functions.

The $m$ om entum distribution function, $n_{k}=h a_{k}^{y} a_{k} i$ fan be calculated from the spectral function as $n_{k}=$
$B(k ;!) d!$, leading-to a sim ilar expression as used by P ruschke and Shiba $\frac{28}{X}$

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{Q}}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Q}) ;
$$

Where $B_{Q}(k)={ }^{R} B_{Q}(k ;!) d$ ! and sim ilarly $D(Q)=$ D (Q;!)d!.
The local spectral function $A(!)=\frac{1}{L}_{k}^{P} A(k ;!)$ is given by

$$
A(!)=\frac{X}{Q ;!0 ;}
$$

where $A_{Q}(!)=\frac{1}{L}^{P}{ }_{k} A_{Q}(k ;!)$. Sim ilar equation holds for $B$ (!).

$$
\text { IV. ABOUTAQ }(\mathbb{K} ;!) A N D B_{Q}(K ;!)
$$

To calculate $A_{Q}(k ;!)$ and $B_{Q}(k ;!)$ de ned in Eq. 15), we need to evaluate $m$ atrix elem ents like
 ent boundary conditions. In the ground state $Q^{0}=$, but we w ill not specify $Q^{0}$ yet. To calculate these matrix elem ents, we need the follow ing anti-com $m$ utation relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{b}_{k^{0}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}} & =\frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{e}_{j ; j^{0}}^{i k^{0} j^{0} i k j} \mathrm{~b}_{j^{0}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{b}_{j} \\
& \left.\left.\left.=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}} e^{i\left(k^{0} k\right)=2} e^{i\left(Q^{0}\right.} \quad Q\right)=2 \frac{\sin \left(Q^{0}\right.}{\sin \left(k^{0}\right.} \quad \mathrm{Q}=2\right)=2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k$ and $k^{0}$ are wave-vectors with phase shift $Q=L$ and $Q^{0}=L$, respectively, see Eq. (G). For $Q!Q^{0}$ the anticommutation relation is the usual one: $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k} 0}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}^{0}$, while for $Q \in Q^{0}$ the overallphase shift $\left(Q Q^{0}\right)=L$ due to $m$ om entum transfer $Q \quad Q^{0}$ to the spin degrees of freedom gives rise to the A nderson's orthogonality catastrophe 29
 where j 0 i is the vacuum state, is given by the follow ing determ inant:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}_{1}^{0} ; \mathrm{k}_{1}} & \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}_{1}^{0} ; \mathrm{k}_{2}} & ::: & \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}_{1}^{0} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{N}}} \\
\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}_{2}^{0} ; \mathrm{k}_{1}} & \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}_{2}^{0} ; \mathrm{k}_{2}} & ::: & \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}_{2}^{0} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{N}}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0} ; \mathrm{k}_{1}} & \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0} ; \mathrm{k}_{2}} & ::: & \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{N}}}
\end{array}
$$

Replacing the anticom $m$ utator, the determ inant above becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.L N^{N} e^{i\left(Q^{0}\right.} Q\right) N=2 e^{i\left(k_{j}^{0} \quad k_{j}\right)=2} \sin ^{N} \frac{Q^{0} Q}{2} \\
& \text { j } \\
& \sin ^{1} \frac{k_{1}^{0} k_{1}}{2} \quad \sin ^{1} \frac{k_{1}^{0} k_{2}}{2}::: \sin 1 \frac{k_{1}^{0} k_{N}}{2} \\
& \sin 1 \frac{1 k_{2}^{0} k_{1}}{2} \quad \sin 1 \frac{k_{2}^{0} k_{2}}{2}::: \sin 1 \frac{k_{2}^{0} k_{N}}{2} \\
& \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
& \sin ^{1} \frac{k_{N}^{0} k_{1}}{2} \quad \sin 1 \frac{k_{N}^{0} k_{2}}{2}::: \sin 1 \frac{k_{N}^{0} k_{N}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This determ inant is very sim ilar to the Cauchy deter$m$ inant (there the elem ents are $1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}k & k^{0}\end{array}\right)$ instead of $\left.1=\sin \left(k \quad k^{0}\right)\right)$ and it can be expressed as a product, 10 so for the overlap we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L \quad N \quad e^{i\left(Q^{0} Q\right) N=2} \sin ^{N} \frac{Q^{0} Q^{2}}{2} Y^{j} e^{i\left(k_{j}^{0} k_{j}\right)=2} \\
& Y \sin \frac{k_{j} k_{i} Y}{2} \sin \frac{k_{j}^{0} k_{i}^{0} Y^{j}}{2} \sin ^{1 ; j} \frac{k_{i}^{0} k_{j}}{2} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sign + is for $N=1 ; 4 ; 5 ; 8 ; 9 ;:$ and for $\mathrm{N}=2 ; 3 ; 6 ; 7$; : :
$N$ ow we tum back to the $A_{Q}(k ;!)$. The matrix elem ents in Eq. 15) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& =L{ }^{2 N} \sin ^{2 N} \frac{Q^{0} Q^{Y}}{2}{ }_{j>i} \sin ^{2} \frac{k_{j} k_{i} Y}{2}{ }_{j>i} \sin ^{2} \frac{k_{j}^{0} k_{i}^{0} Y}{2} \operatorname{lin}_{i ; j}^{2} \frac{k_{i}^{0} k_{j}}{2} ; \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q^{0}$ is a w ave vectorw ith phase shift $Q^{0}=L$. H ere we have used that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X e^{i q^{0}=2}{ }^{Y^{M}} \sin \frac{k_{i^{0}}^{0} q^{0}}{2}{ }_{i=1}^{N Y^{+}+1} \sin 1 \frac{k_{i} q^{0}}{2} \\
& q^{0} \\
& =L^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{2 Q^{0} Q}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

holds, independently of the actualquantum num bers fIg and $f I^{0} g$.

Sim ilarly, for the $m$ atrix elem ents in $B_{Q}(k ;!)$ we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{j>i}{Y} \sin ^{2} \frac{k_{j} k_{i}}{2}{ }_{j>i} \sin ^{2} \frac{k_{j}^{0} k_{i}^{0} Y}{2}{ }_{i ; j} \sin 2 \frac{k_{i}^{0} k_{j}}{2} \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

W e are now ready to calculate the spectral functions num erically. O ne has to generate the quantum num bers $I_{j}$, and evaluate the energy, $m$ om entum and the expressions above.

From now on, wewill consider $Q^{0}=$.
$F$ irst of all, it tums out that the follow ing sum rules are satis ed for every $Q$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z} \quad \frac{d k}{2}^{Z_{1}^{2}} d!A_{Q}(k ;!)=1 \quad n ; \\
& \frac{d k_{1}^{1}}{Z_{1}^{1}} \quad d!B_{Q}(k ;!)=n:
\end{align*}
$$

In the absence of the A nderson orthogonality catastrophe, when $Q=Q^{0}=$, the contribution to the spectral functions com es from one particle-hole excitations only, and the spectral functions are nothing but the fa$m$ iliar (! + 2tcosk). This is not true any m ore when we consider $Q$. In that case we get contributions from $m$ any particle-hole excitations as well. T he largest weight com es from the one particle-hole excitations, and increasing the num ber of excited holes, the additional weight decreases rapidly. A though from Eq. 11) we can
calculate the $m$ atrix elem ents num erically for all the excitations of the nal state, its application is lim ited to sm allsystem sizes (typically $L<30$ ). It is due to the fact that the tim e required to generate all the possible states (quantum num bers I) is grow ing exponentially. Therefore, in som e of the calculations we take into account up to three particle hole excitations only. In Table. |t we give the total sum rule for sm all sizes in a calculation where we took into account up to one, two and three particlehole excitations. W e can see that the $m$ issing weight is really sm all in the approxim ation that includes up to three particle-hole excitations in the nal state. So, if we restrict ourselves to a nite num ber of particle-hole excitations and introduce the function

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{N} \bar{Y}^{2} \quad 1 \\
& \sin ^{2}\left[I \quad I^{\infty}\right]_{\mathrm{L}}+\frac{Q}{2 L} ; \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

the calculation of the spectral weight becom es sim ple. The weight of the peak corresponding to a one particlehole excitation can be given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{Q}\left(I^{p} ; I^{h}\right)=\frac{g\left(I^{p}\right)}{g^{\left(I^{h}\right)}} \frac{1}{\sin ^{2}\left(\left[I^{h} \quad I^{p}\right]_{\bar{L}}\right)} A_{Q}^{(0 ; 0)} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have rem oved the quantum number $I^{h}$ (hole) from and added $I^{p}$ (particle) to the set $f I g$ of the groundstate of $N \quad 1$ ferm ions, so that the $m$ om entum of the nal state is $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{N}+1}=\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{p}} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{GS}}^{\mathrm{N}+1}$ and the energy is $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{N}+1}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{GS}}^{\mathrm{N}+1} \quad 2$ tcosk $^{\mathrm{P}}+2$ tcosk $^{\mathrm{h}}$, where the $P_{G S}^{N+1}=(\mathbb{N}+1) Q=L$ is the $m$ om entum of the ground state. Furthem ore, $\mathrm{A}_{Q}^{(0 ; 0)}$ is the overlap betw een the N electron ground state $w$ ith boundary condition and the $\mathrm{N}+1$ electron ground state w ith boundary condition $Q$, and w illbe discussed later.

Sim ilarly, for the tw o particle-hole excitations we get:
$w$ ith energy and $m$ om entum

$$
\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{N}+1}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{GS}}^{\mathrm{N}+1} \quad 2 \mathrm{t} \operatorname{cosk}_{1}^{\mathrm{p}}+\operatorname{cosk}_{2}^{\mathrm{p}} \quad \operatorname{cosk}_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} \quad \operatorname{cosk}_{2}^{\mathrm{h}} ;
$$

$\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}+1}=\mathrm{k}_{1}^{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{k}_{2}^{\mathrm{p}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{1}^{\mathrm{h}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{2}^{\mathrm{h}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{GS}}^{\mathrm{N}+1}:$
The corresponding equations for three or $m$ ore particlehole excitations are sim ilar to those above, but since they
are long, we do not give them here.
A typical plot of $A_{Q}(k ;!)$ is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1. We choose $Q==2$, which is halfw ay betw een the sym $m$ etric $Q=0$ and the trivial $Q=$ case. In the gure we can see the singularity near the Ferm i energy, further$m$ ore the weights are distributed on a cosine- like band. To m ake it m ore clear, in Fig. $\mathrm{Z}^{2}$ we show the support of $A_{Q}(k ;!)$ and the distribution of the weights.

## A. The weight of the low est peak

N ow, what can we say about $A_{Q}^{(0 ; 0)}$, the weight of the low est peak? In the ground state the quantum num bers $I_{j}$ and $I_{j}^{0}$ are densely packed, and from Eq. 19) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{Q}^{(0 ; 0)}= & {\frac{\cos ^{2 N}(Q=2)}{L^{2 N}}}^{j N} \sin ^{2} \frac{j^{2 N}+1}{L} \\
& Y^{2 N} \sin ^{2} \frac{(2 j \quad 1)+Q}{2 L} \sin ^{2} \frac{(2 j 1) \quad Q}{2 L}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this we can conclude that $A_{Q}^{(0 ; 0)}$ is an even function ofQ and $A^{(0 ; 0)}=1$. W e are not able to give a closed form ula for the sum. H ow ever, very useful inform ation can be obtained by noticing that

$$
\frac{A_{Q+}^{(0 ; 0)}}{A_{Q}^{(0 ; 0)}}=Y^{Y}{ }_{j=1}^{\sin ^{2}} \frac{\sin ^{2} \frac{2 j Q}{2 L}}{\sin ^{2} \frac{2 j+Q}{2 L}} ;
$$

and in the therm odynam ic lim it,
$\frac{\mathrm{A}_{Q+2}^{(0 ; 0)} \mathrm{A}_{Q}^{(0 ; 0)}}{\mathrm{A}_{Q}^{(0 ; 0)^{2}}}=\frac{\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)^{2}}{(2 \mathrm{~L} \sin \mathrm{n})^{4}} 1 \quad \frac{2}{\mathrm{~L}} \cot \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{L}^{2}\right):$
H ere the Q is extended outside the B rillouin zone. N ow it is straightforw ard to get the size- and lling-dependence of $Q_{Q}^{(0 ; 0)}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{Q}^{(0 ; 0)}={\frac{f(Q)}{(L \sin n)^{Q}}}^{h} \quad Q \frac{1}{2 L} \cot n+O\left(L^{2}\right)^{i} ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{1}{2} \underline{Q}^{2} \frac{1}{2}: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. 24) is also valid for $\mathrm{B}_{8}^{(0 ; 0)}$, apart from the sign in the $1=\mathrm{L}$ correction.

The $f(Q)$ is an even function of $Q, f()=1$, and it satis es the second order recurrence equation

$$
\frac{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{Q}+2) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{Q} \quad 2)}{\mathrm{f}^{2}(\mathrm{Q})}=\frac{\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)^{2}}{16} ;
$$

which can be reduced to

$$
\frac{f(Q+)}{f(Q)}=\frac{{ }^{2}(Q=2)}{{ }^{2}(Q=2)} 2 Q=;
$$

and it follows that $f(3), f(5)$ etc. are zero. In the interval from $Q=0$ to it can be approxim ated as

$$
\ln f(Q) \quad 0: 3047+0: 3248 \frac{Q^{2}}{2} \quad 0: 0201 \frac{Q^{4}}{4}
$$

$w$ ith accuracy $0: 0001$. Furtherm ore $\ln f(0)=0: 304637$.
B. Low energy behavior

As we can see in Fig . 目, for low energies $A_{Q}(k ;!)$ has so called tow ers of excitations centered at $m$ om enta $k=(N+1)(Q+2 p)=L$, where $p$ is an integer. The largest weights are for the peaks in the tow er with $p=0$, the next $w$ ith $p=1$ (if $Q>0$ ) or $p=1$ (if $Q<0$ ), and so on. T he low est excitation in tow er $p$ corresponds to a set of densely packed quantum num bers $I_{j}$ shifted by $p$. From the de nition of the $m$ om enta $k_{j}$, this is equivalent to im posing a tw ist ofw ave-vectorQ $+2 p$. Therefore we can introduce $\mathbb{Q}=Q+2 p$, where $\mathbb{Q}$ is not restricted to be in the $B$ rillouin zone, but for $p \in 0$ it has values outside. $W$ e de ne $A_{Q}(k ;!)$ to describe the $p$-th tower, so that $A_{Q}\left(k ; l_{p}\right)$ has contributions from each of the tow ers: $A_{Q}(k ;!)=p_{Q} A_{Q}(k!)$.

Furtherm ore, we enum erate the peaks in a given tow er $w$ ith indices $i$ and $i^{0}$, so that the energy and $m$ om entum of the peaks are, from Eqs. (6), (7) and (8):
$E_{i ; i^{0}}=E_{G S}^{N}+"_{C}+\frac{L^{2}}{2 L} u_{c} 1+\frac{Q^{2}}{2}+\frac{2 u_{C}}{L}\left(i+i^{0}\right) ;$
$P_{i ; i^{0}}=k_{\widetilde{Q}}+\frac{\widetilde{Q}}{L}+\frac{2}{L}\left(\begin{array}{ll}i & i^{0}\end{array}\right) ;$
where we have neglected the $O\left(1=L^{2}\right)$ nite-size corrections. Here $"_{c}=2 t \cos n$ is the Ferm ienergy', $u_{c}=$ $2 t \sin n$ is the Fem i (charge) velocity' and $k_{\Omega}=n \widetilde{\square}$ is the Ferm im om entum ' of spinless ferm ions representing the charges. $B y A_{\widetilde{Q}}^{\left(i ; i^{0}\right)}$ we denote the weight of the peaks, and for convenience, we also introduce the relative weights $a_{\bar{Q}}^{\left(\mathrm{i} ; i^{0}\right)}=A{ }_{\bar{Q}}^{\left(\mathrm{i} ; i^{0}\right)}=\mathrm{A}_{\bar{Q}}^{(0 ; 0)}$. The weight of the rst few lowest-lying peaks can be calculated explicitly by Eqs. 21)-23), as they are given by a nite number ofparticle-hole excitations. T he degeneracy ofeach peak grow $s w$ th $i$ and $i^{0}$. Here we assum ed that the dispersion relation is linear near the Ferm i levelw ith velocity $u_{c}$. C learly, this picture is valid for energies sm all com pared to bandw idth.

From Eq. (22) we get the relative weights $a_{\varnothing}^{\left(i ; i^{\circ}\right)}$, e.g. $a_{\widetilde{Q}}^{(1 ; 0)}$ is given as:

$$
a_{\widetilde{Q}}^{(1 ; 0)}=\frac{\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{+\sigma}{2 \mathrm{~L}}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~N}+}{\mathrm{L}}\right)}{\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\bar{L}}{}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{2 \mathrm{~N}++\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~L}}\right)}:
$$

Introducing $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{j}}=(\widetilde{Q}=+j)^{2}=4$, the relative $w$ eights in the them odynam ic lim it sim plify so that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{\widetilde{\complement}}^{(0 ; 0)}=1 ; \\
& a_{\widetilde{Q}}^{(1 ; 0)}=w_{1} ; \\
& a_{\widetilde{\complement}}^{(2 ; 0)}=\frac{1}{2^{2}} \mathrm{w}_{1}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{w} \\
1
\end{array}+\mathrm{w}_{3}\right) ; \\
& a_{\widetilde{Q}}^{(1 ; 1)}=\mathrm{w} \quad 1 \mathrm{w}_{1} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and also $a_{\widetilde{Q}}^{\left(i ; i^{0}\right)}=a_{\mathscr{Q}^{\left(i^{0}\right.}{ }^{(i)}}$ holds. N ote that som e peaks are degenerate and therefore they are a sum of $m$ ore contributions. N ow, it takes only one step to get the general form ula which reads (including the nite-size corrections) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(1+\underset{Q}{ })\left(2+Q^{2}\right):::\left(i^{0}+\quad Q^{\Omega}\right)}{i^{0}!} \\
& 1+\frac{\left(i+i^{0}\right) \quad\left(i \quad i^{0}\right) \mathscr{Q}}{L} \cot n+O\left(L^{2}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\widetilde{Q}}{2}^{!_{2}} 1: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can also be expressed w th the help of the -function, since

$$
\frac{\left(1+{ }_{\Omega}\right)\left(2+{ }_{Q}\right):::\left(i+\widetilde{Q}^{\prime}\right)}{i!}=\frac{\left(i+\widetilde{Q}^{+1)}\right.}{(i+1)\left(\widetilde{\sigma}^{+1)}\right.}:
$$

The asym ptotic expansion of the -function gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(i+Q^{+1)}\right.}{(i+1)} \quad(i+1=2+\widetilde{Q}=2) \text {; } \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a reasonable approxim ation apart from the $i=0$ peak. Then, it follow s that $a_{\widetilde{C}}^{\left(i ; i^{0}\right)}$ has a pow er law behavior:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\widetilde{Q}}^{\left(\mathrm{i} ; i^{0}\right)}=\frac{\left(i+1=2+Q^{=}=2\right) \varnothing\left(i^{0}+1=2+\quad \sigma=2\right) \Phi}{\left(\sigma^{+}+1\right)\left(\sigma^{+} 1\right)}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that the exponent $\widetilde{Q}$ in Eq. 24) is also given by $\sigma^{=} \sigma^{+} \sigma^{+} 1$.
W e can clearly see the $m$ anifestation of the underlying conform al eld theory: i) The nite-size corrections to the energy and $m$ om entum $\mathbb{E} q s$. 26) and 27)] of the
low est lying peak in the tow er determ ines the exponents of the correlation functipns; ii) The weights in the tow ers are given by -function 31

The spectral function $A_{Q^{( }}(k ;!)$ in the them odynam ic lim it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{Q}(k ;!)=\sum_{i ; i^{0}}^{X} A_{\widetilde{Q}}^{\left(i ; i^{0}\right)}\left(!\quad E_{i ; i^{0}}\right){ }_{k ; P_{i ; i 0}} ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and collecting everything together, Eqs. 24) and 3132), for the low energy behavior of $A_{Q}(k ;!)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{!{ }^{{ }_{\mathrm{c}}+}+\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{k} \mathrm{k}_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)}{4 \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{c}} \sin \mathrm{n}} \quad \frac{\square}{4 \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{c}} \sin (\mathrm{n})} \quad \text { " (33) }
\end{aligned}
$$

It is also worth $m$ entioning the symmetry property $A_{Q}(k ;!)=A \quad(k ;!)$. The whole calculation can be repeated for the spectral function $B_{Q}(k ;!)$ :
$B_{Q}(k ;!)=\int_{p}^{X} \frac{f(Q)\left(u_{c} k+k_{Q} j \quad!\right)}{4 u_{c} \sin (n)\left(\sigma^{+1}\right)\left(\sigma^{+1}\right)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{"_{c}!u_{c}\left(k+k_{\widetilde{Q}}\right)}{4 u_{c} \sin n} \quad \frac{"_{c}!+u_{c}\left(k+k_{Q}\right)}{4 u_{c} \sin n} \quad \text { (34) } \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e should note, how ever, that these expressions are restricted for the weights far from the edges of the tow ers, where the asym ptotic expansion of the -function, Eq. (30), is valid. This is especially true when $Q$ !, where the correct result is $A \quad(k ;!)=\left(!\quad{ }_{c} \quad u_{c} \mathbb{k}\right.$
$\mathrm{n}]$ ). In other words, for the exponents close to 1 there can be a considerable deviation from the power law behavior, and the spectralw eight accum ulates along the edges of the towers. This behavior can be observed in Fig. 1 , where the exponents are $+Q=7=16$ and $Q=15=16$.

## 1. Local spectral functions

For the local ( $k$-averaged) spectral function $A_{Q}(!)$ the weight of the $j$-th peak, denoted by $A_{Q^{(j)}}$, is

$$
A_{\widetilde{Q}}^{(j)}=\frac{1}{L} X_{j^{0}=0}^{X^{j}} A_{\widetilde{Q}}^{\left(j^{0} ; j j^{0}\right)}:
$$

The sum m ation gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\widetilde{Q}}^{(j)}= & \frac{1}{L} \frac{\left(1+Q^{+}+j\right)}{\left(1+Q^{\prime}\right)(1+j)} A_{\widetilde{Q}}^{(0 ; 0)} \\
& 1+j-\frac{Q^{2}}{2}+{Q^{2}}^{2} \\
& \text { \# } n+O\left(L^{2}\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

If we put it together w ith Eqs. 24) and 26), and neglect the $1=\mathrm{L}$ corrections, the local spectral function in the L! 1 lim it reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{Q}(!) \quad X \quad \frac{1}{2 u_{c}} \frac{f(\widetilde{Q})}{\left(Q^{+1)}\right.} \frac{!"_{c}}{2 u_{c} \sin n} \quad{ }^{\sigma}: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $B_{Q}(!)$ the ! $"_{c}$ should be replaced by $!+"_{C}$. W e show $A_{Q}$ (!) for som e selected values of $Q$ in $F$ ig. 3 .

## 2. $M$ om entum distribution function

H ere we try to $m$ ake som e statem ents about $B_{Q}(k)$ in Eq. 17). A na ve calculation in the low energy region is to sum up the weights near $\mathrm{k}_{\boxed{Q}}$
$O$ fcourse, one is aw are that the sum $m$ ation includes high energies as well, where the equivalent for $b_{i ; i^{\circ}}^{\sigma}$ Of Eq. 28) is not valid any more. H ow ever, the largest contributions com efrom the low energy regions and the error is not very large. $W$ e do not want to get precise values, but rather som e quallative results. Neglecting the $\mathrm{O}(1=\mathrm{L})$ corrections, the sum gives for 10 :
and for $l<0$ the $l$ and $\widetilde{C}$ should be replaced by $l$ and Q. A gain, we can use the asym ptotic expansion of the -fiunction to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathscr{Q}}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{f}(\widetilde{Q}) \frac{\left(\widetilde{Q}^{\prime}\right)}{\sin \left(\quad \widetilde{Q}^{\prime}\right)} \frac{\mathrm{k} \mathrm{k}_{Q} j}{2 \sin \mathrm{n}} \quad \text {; } \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad$ for $k>k_{Q}$ and $Q$ for $k<k_{Q}$ should be taken in the argum ent of the sine. It is interesting that, although the exponent of the singularity $\sigma$ is the same for $k>k_{Q_{\Upsilon}}$ and $k<k_{Q^{\prime}}$, there is a strong asym $m$ etry due to the prefactor (a sim ilar observation was $m$ ade by Frahm and K orepin ${ }^{32}$ ). In $F$ ig. $\#$ this behavior is clearly observed. For Q ! the correct result of B $(k)=(k \quad k)(k+k)$ is recovered.

## V.ABOUTTHESPIN PART

To calculate C (Q;!) and D (Q;!) given by Eqs. (16), we need to know the energies and wave-functions of the spin part. They can be calculated from the usual spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg $H$ am iltonian, see Eq. (G), taking $N$ and $N \quad 1$ sites (spins).

For the $J$ ! 0 case the excitation spectrum of the spins collapse, and then we can use the local, ! integrated functions $C(Q)=!C(Q ;!)$ and $D(Q)=$ ! D (Q;!). They are related to the spintransfer function ! jo! j; , de ned by O gata and Shiba, 24 as was rst notioed by Sorella and P arola 8 The spin transfer function gives the am plitude of rem oving a spin at site $j^{0}$ (here we choose $j^{0}=0$ ) and inserting it at site $j$, and can be given as

$$
!_{0!j ;}=h{ }_{N}^{G S} \hat{P}_{j ; j} \quad::: \hat{P}_{1 ; 0} \quad ; S_{0}^{z}{ }^{j} \hat{N}^{G S} i_{i}
$$

where the operator ${\hat{P_{i} ; i+1}}=2 S_{i} S_{i+1}+\frac{1}{2}$ perm utes the spins at sites $i$ and $i+1 . T$ hen $C \quad(Q)$ and $D \quad(Q)$ read

$$
\begin{align*}
& C \quad(Q)=\frac{1}{N+1} 1+\sum_{j=0}^{M 1} e^{i(Q+)(j+1)}!0!j ; \quad ; \\
& D \quad(Q)=\frac{1}{N \quad 1}_{j=0}^{M X^{2}} e^{i(Q+) j!0!j ;:} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, ! o! Op $=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$, and it follows that ${ }_{Q} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q})=1$ and $Q_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Q})=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$.
$W$ e are interested in these quantities for tw o particular cases: the isotropic $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel because it is physically relevant, and the X Y m odelbecause it allow s analytical calculations. W e rst consider the X Y m odel because the sim plicity of that case $m$ akes it $m$ ore convenient to introduce the basic ideas.

## A. XY m odel

In this special case the spin problem can be $m$ apped to noninteracting spinless ferm ions using the $W$ ignerJordan transform ation. It $m$ eans that the eigenenergies and wave functions are known, and we can calculate $D(Q ;!)$ and $C(Q ;!)$ analytically. We are facing a sim ilar problem - the orthogonality catastrophe as when we calculated the $A_{Q}(!; k)$, but now it com es from the overlaps betw een states $w$ ith di erent num ber of sites. For convenience, we choose the spinless ferm ions to represent the spins, so that the operator $\hat{Z}_{0 ;}^{Y} \quad\left(\hat{Z}_{0} ;\right)$ only adds (rem oves) a site and does not change the num ber of ferm ions, which we $x$ to be $N$. Then we have to evalhate $m$ atrix elem ents like $h \sim_{N}+1-\hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{0}^{\mathrm{Y}} ; j \sim_{N}^{G S} i$ and $h \sim_{N} 1 \hat{k}_{0} ; j \sim_{N}^{G S} i$, where in the $j \sim_{N}^{G S} i$ the 0 site is unoccupied and the ferm ions are on sites $l=1:: \mathbb{N}$ and from site $l=1$ they hop to $l=N$ skipping the $l=0$ site. For sim plicity, we consider cases w hen the num ber of spin up and dow $n$ ferm ions is odd $(\mathbb{N}$ is even), so that we do not have to worry about extra phases arising from the Jordan $W \mathrm{~W}$ igner transform ation. Then the m om entum of the ground state $j \sim_{N}^{G} S_{i}$ is $P_{G S}=$. Let us denote by $k^{0}$ the $m$ om enta of ferm ions on a $N \quad 1$ site lattice, quantized as $k_{j}^{0}=2 J_{j}^{0}=(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)$ and by $k$ the $m$ om enta of
ferm ions on a $N$ site lattice, quantized as $k=2 \quad J_{j}=N$, $w$ here $J_{j}$ and $J_{j}^{0}$ are integers ( $j=1 ;::: ; N$ ), and by $f$ and $f^{y}$ the operators of the spinless ferm ions. The energy and $m$ om entum of the state are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E=J_{X Y} \sum_{j=1}^{X} \operatorname{cosk}_{j}^{0} ;  \tag{38}\\
& P=\sum_{j=1}^{X} k_{j}^{0}: \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

To calculate the matrix elem ent in $C(Q ;!)$, see Eq. 16), we need the follow ing anti-com mutation relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{k^{0}}^{y} ; f_{k}=\frac{1}{\overline{N(N+1)}}{ }_{l=1}^{X^{N}} X^{X^{N}}=0 \quad e^{i k^{0} l^{0} \quad i k l} f_{l^{0}}^{y} ; f_{1} \\
& =p \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N} \mathrm{(N+1)}} e^{i k=2} \frac{\sin \left(k^{0}=2\right)}{\sin \left(\begin{array}{ll}
k & \left.k^{0}=2\right)
\end{array} ; ~ ; ~ ; ~\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the $m$ atrix elem ent $h_{N+1}\left(f J^{0} g\right)-\hat{V}_{0}^{Y} ; j_{N}^{G S} i^{2}=$
 C auchy determ inant, which can be expressed as a product:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N}+1)]^{N}{ }_{j=1}^{Y} \sin ^{2} \frac{k_{j}^{0} Y}{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{k_{j} k_{i}}{2} \\
& Y \underset{j>i}{ } \sin ^{2} \frac{k_{j}^{0} \quad k_{i}^{0} Y}{2} \sin _{i ; j}^{2} \frac{k_{i}^{0} k_{j}}{2}: \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Sim ilarly, in the case ofD (Q ; !), the anticom $m$ utator is

$$
f_{k^{0}}^{Y} ; f_{k}=\frac{1}{\overline{N(N \quad 1)}} e^{i k^{0}=2} \frac{\sin (k=2)}{\sin \left(k^{0} \quad k J=2\right)} ;
$$

and the $m$ atrix elem ent $h_{N} \quad 1\left(f J{ }^{0} g\right) \hat{\sum_{0}} ; j_{N}^{G S} i^{2}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)]^{N}{ }_{j=1}^{Y} \sin ^{2} \frac{k_{j}}{2} Y_{j>i} \sin ^{2} \frac{k_{j} \quad k_{i}}{2} \\
& Y \quad \sin ^{2} \frac{k_{j}^{0} \quad k_{i}^{0} Y}{2} \sin _{i ; j}^{2} \frac{k_{i}^{0} \quad k_{j}}{2}: \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

As soon as we have the product representation, it is straightforw ard to analyze the low energy behavior and also to obtain num erically $D(Q ;!)$ and $C(Q ;!)$ for larger system sizes.

## 1. The low energy behavior

The low energy spectra ofD $(Q ;!)$ and $C \quad(Q ;!)$ consist of tow ers centered at $m$ om enta $Q_{r ;}=2 r \quad$, where
$r=1=2 ; 3=2 ;:::$. To analyze the low energy behavior in the tow er labeled by $r$, we can proceed analogously to the charge part: the weights in the tow er of excitations,
 culated from Eqs. 40) and (41). The energy and $m$ om entum of the state ( $; i^{0}$ ) can be calculated from Eqs. 38) and 39) and neglecting the $O\left(1=\mathrm{N}^{2}\right)$ corrections they read:

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{u} \text { ( } i+\mathrm{i}^{0} \text { ); }  \tag{42}\\
& P_{i ; i^{0}}^{(N)}=Q_{r} \quad \bar{N} \quad \stackrel{+}{r} ; \quad r ; \quad+\frac{2}{N}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i & \left.i^{0}\right) ; ~
\end{array}\right. \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r ;=\overline{2} r^{2} 1 ; \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the \Ferm ienergy" and the velocity of the spins are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& "=J_{X Y} \quad \cos \quad \frac{1}{\sin } \quad ; \\
& u=J_{X Y} \sin ; \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

and $=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$.
The relative weights $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{r} ;}^{\left(\mathrm{i}^{i}{ }^{0}\right)}$ can be calculated from Eq. 41), e.g.:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{1=2 ;}^{(0 ; 1)}= & \frac{\sin ^{2} \frac{(1+N+N)}{N 1}}{\sin ^{2} \frac{1}{N} 1} \frac{\sin ^{2} \frac{(1+N+N)}{2\left(N^{2} N\right)}}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\left(1+N N^{N}+2 N N\right)}{2\left(N^{2} N\right)}} \\
& \frac{R^{2}((\mathbb{N}+N+1)=2)}{R^{2}(\mathbb{N}+N} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
R(\mathbb{I})={ }_{j=0}^{N_{Y}} \sin \frac{l}{N(\mathbb{N} 1)}+\frac{j}{N} ;
$$

and the other $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\left(\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{i}^{0}\right)}$ are sim ilar. In the them odynam ic $\lim$ 止, $N \quad!1$, the weight $d_{1=2 ;}^{(0 ; 1)} \quad$ sim pli es to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{1=2 ;}^{(0 ; 1)}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{2} \quad[1+\mathrm{O}(\ln \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{L})]: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Neglecting the nite-size corrections, for general ( $i ; i^{0}$ ) and $r$ we get:

$$
d_{r i}^{\left(i ; i^{0}\right)}=\frac{(i+\underset{r}{ }+1)}{(r ;+1)(i+1)} \frac{\left(i^{0}+\underset{r}{+}+1\right)}{\left(\begin{array}{r}
+  \tag{47}\\
r
\end{array}+1\right)\left(i^{0}+1\right)} ;
$$

where the exponents $r$; are de ned in Eq. 44) and the weights again follow s the prescription of the confor$m$ altheory, w ith strong logarithm ic nite-size corrections
how ever．A sim ilar analysis can be done for C（Q ；）． From the above and Eq．16）we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { X } \\
& D \quad(\mathrm{Q} ;)_{\mathrm{r}} \quad \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{r} ; \quad)^{\prime \prime} \quad!+\mathrm{u}\left(\mathrm{Q} \quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{r}} ;\right)^{r} \\
& \text { r } \\
& \text { [" ! u (Q } \left.\left.Q_{r} ;\right)\right]^{+} \\
& \text {(" ! + u 又 } \left.\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{r}} ; \mathrm{J}\right) \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

and
where $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{r} ; \quad$ ）are num bers which can be determ ined nu－ $m$ erically．

W e im m ediately see that the C（Q）and D（Q）are singular at $Q=Q_{r}$ ；：

$$
\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q}) ; \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Q}) / \mathbb{Q} \quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{r}} ; j^{\mathrm{r} ;}
$$

w ith exponent

$$
r ;=\underset{r}{+}+\underset{r}{ }+1
$$

and they are strongly asym $m$ etric around $Q_{r}$ ，as we can conclude from the analog of Eq．（36）．

For the non $-m$ agnetic case（ $=\quad=1=2$ ），the singu－ larity is at $Q_{r}==2$ for all the tow ers，and the exponents of the $m$ ain singularity $(r=1=2)$ are $1=2=15=16$ and $+\underset{1=2}{+} \quad 7=16$ ，furthem ore ${ }_{1=2}=3=8$ ．

## B．H eisenberg m odel

A though the $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel is solvable by B ethe－ ansatz and in principle the wave functions are known，it is too involved to give the $m$ atrix elem ents of $C \quad(Q ;!)$ and $D(Q ;!)$ ．The sim plest altemative way＿is exact di－ agonalization of sm all chysters and DMRG33 extended to dynam ical properties 34 W e have used both m ethods to calculate the weights for system sizes up to $\mathrm{N}=24$ and $N=42$ ，respectively．A typical distribution of the weights for $C(Q ;!)$ for zero $m$ agnetization is given in Fig．5．There are several features to be observed：i）D ue to selection rules，the nonzero $m$ atrix elem ents are $w$ ith the $S=1=2$ nal states only；ii）$T$ he weight is concen－ trated along the low er edge of the excitation spectra in the interval $=2 \quad \mathrm{Q} \quad$ ；iii）There are two，alm ost overlapping tow ers visible corresponding to $r=1=2$ and $r=3=2$ ．O ur interpretation of the spectrum is that the weight $m$ ostly follow s the dispersion of the spinon of Faddeev and Takhta jan 35 since the nal states have an odd num ber of spins，thus there can be a single spinon in the spectrum and it has a cosine－like dispersion．It is also surprising that for $C$（ $Q ;!$ ）m ore than $97 \%$ and
for $D(Q ;!) m$ ore than $99 \%$ of the totalweight is found in this spinon branch．This behavior is smilar to that discussed by Talstra，Strong and A nderson 36 where they added two spins to the spin wave function．

W e can also try to analyze the low energy behavior from the conform al eld theory point of view． N am ely， from the Bethe－ansatz sohytions the nite－size corrections to the energy are known 373839 and they are also given by Eqs．42）and 43）apart from $\ln (\mathbb{N})=\mathrm{N}$ corrections， w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
r ;=\frac{r^{2}}{2} 1: \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

For zero $m$ agnetization the velocity $u$ reads $J=2$ ，the energy is $"=\mathcal{J} \ln 2$ and $=1=\bar{p}$ ，and the exponents are ${ }_{1=2}=1$ and ${ }_{1=2}^{+}=1=2$ ，very close to the $\mathrm{X} Y$ exponents（ $15=16$ and $7=16$ ，respectively）．For arbi－ trary magnetization $u$ and are to be calculated from integral equations 38

A lso，we check if Eq．（47）is satis ed for the $r=1=2$ tow er in Fig．因． Nam ely，it tells us that $\mathrm{c}^{(1 ; 0)}=\mathrm{d}^{(0 ; 1)}=$ $1=2$ and $c^{(2 ; 0)}=d^{(0 ; 2)}=3=8$ ，apart from nite－size cor－ rections which we assum ed to be of the sam e form as in the case of the X Y m odel in Eq．46）．W e believe that this $m$ ethod can also be used to determ ine exponents in a m ore general cases as well．

A nother interesting point is that the exponent ${ }_{1=2}=$
1 already indicates that $c^{(0 ; 1)}$ vanishes，in agreem ent with the selection rules．H ow ever，there is still som e weight for $\mathrm{C}^{(0 ; 2)}$ ，which com es from $S=1=2$ bound states of spinons．$W$ e do not know the nite－size scaling of that weight，i．e．if it disappears in the them odynam ic lim it or not．
$N$ ow，if we recall that $D(Q)={ }^{P} \quad D(Q ;!)$ ，then it follows（see Eq．（36））that the contribution to $D_{Q}$ for Q＞＝2 is strongly suppressed，and we see essentially the contributions from the $r=3=2$ tower．Since the contribution to $C(Q ;!)$ and $D(Q ;!)$ com emostly from the lower edge of excitation spectrum，we can use the approxim ations

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
C \quad(Q ;!)=C & (Q) & (! & \left."_{s} \quad "_{Q}\right) ; \\
D & (Q ;!)=D & (Q) & (! & \left."_{s}+"_{Q}\right) ;
\end{array}
$$

where＂ 2 is the des $C$ loizeaux $P$ earson dispersion 40

$$
" Q=\frac{-}{2} \cup j \sin (Q \quad=2) j:
$$

The C（Q）and D（Q）can be calculated num erically for $s m$ all chusters（typically up to $N=26 \mathrm{w}$ th exact diagonalization and $N=70 \mathrm{w}$ ith DM RG）for the non－ $m$ agnetic case（see Refs． 8, G）．The（ $\mathrm{N}+1$ ）C $(Q)$ and （ $\mathbb{N} \quad 1) \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Q})$ seem s to have sm all nite－size e ect，as follows from Eq．37），and the singularity in the non－ m agnetic case is given by $1=2=1=2$ ，as already noticed by Sorella and Parola 8

W e have also calculated C (Q) and D (Q) for the system $w$ ith nite $m$ agnetization $N \#=N=1=4$ (see Fig. (7). There $Q^{n}=3=4, Q_{\#}==4$ and the exponents are $1=2 ; "=0: 58 \quad 0: 03$ and ${ }_{1=2 ; \#}=0: 25 \quad 0: 03$. These exponents are consistent $w$ th $=0: 87$ 0:02 and in surprisingly good agreem ent w ith the sim ple form ula given by Frahm and K orepin 32 \# 1 2 valid in a large $m$ agnetic eld.
VI. THEGREEN'SFUNCTION AND THE COMPARISON W ITH THECONFORMALFIELD THEORY

The realspace $G$ reen's function can be calculated from the spectral functions as

$$
G(x ; t)=^{Z} d k_{1}^{Z_{1}} d!e^{i!t} i k x A(k ;!)
$$

for $t>0$ and $A(k ;!)$ should be replaced by $B(k ;!)$ for $t<0$, as follow sfrom Eq. [1). Then, from Eqs. 13), (33) and 49) it follow s that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(x ; t>0)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\left(x \quad u_{S} t\right)^{+}+1\left(x+U_{C} t\right)^{+}+1} ; \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\widetilde{Q}_{r} w$ as de ned as $Q_{r}+2 p$, furtherm ore $G_{p}$; are num bers. The charge velocity $u_{c}$ is the same one as in Eq. 26), while the spin velocity is $u_{s}=u=n$, where $u$ was de ned in Eq. 42). The G reen's function has singularities at di erent $m$ om enta, depending on the actual quantum num bers $p$ and $r$, see Table. Tl for details.

On thepther hand, according to the conform al eld theory ${ }^{21,22}$ a correlation functions $h(x ; t)(0 ; 0) i$ reads:

$$
\text { X } \frac{C_{c} ; D_{s} e^{\left.2 i D_{c} k_{n}+\left(D_{c}+D_{s}\right) k_{\#}\right] x}}{\left(x u_{c} t\right)^{2}{ }_{c}^{+}\left(x+u_{c} t\right)^{2} c\left(x u_{s} t\right)^{2}{ }_{s}^{+}\left(x+u_{s} t\right)^{2} s} ;
$$

where the exponents

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2_{\mathrm{c}}=Z_{\mathrm{cc}} D_{\mathrm{c}}+Z_{\mathrm{sc}} D_{\mathrm{s}} \frac{Z_{\mathrm{ss}} N_{c} Z_{\mathrm{cs}} N_{s}}{2 \operatorname{det} Z^{2}} ; \\
& 2{ }_{\mathrm{s}}=Z_{\mathrm{cs}} D_{\mathrm{c}}+Z_{\mathrm{ss}} D_{\mathrm{s}} \frac{Z_{\mathrm{cc}} N_{s} Z_{\mathrm{sc}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}}{2 \operatorname{det} Z^{2}} ; \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

are related to the nite-size corrections:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { E } \quad E_{0}=\frac{2}{N} u_{c}{ }_{c}^{+}+c^{+}+\frac{2}{N} u_{s} \quad{ }_{s}^{+}+s_{i} ;  \tag{53}\\
& \text { P } \quad P_{0}=2 D_{c} k^{\prime \prime}+2\left(D_{c}+D_{s}\right) k_{\#} \\
& +\frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
+ \\
\mathrm{c}
\end{array} \mathrm{c}^{+}{ }_{\mathrm{s}} \quad \mathrm{~s}\right): \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

and $C_{c} ; D_{s}$ are num bers. The quantum num bers $D_{c}, D_{s}$, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}$ characterize the excitations and are related to p and r as given in Table. II. The Z 's are the elem ents of the so called dressed charge $m$ atrix. It can be calculated from B ethe A nsatz solution of the $H$ ubbard $m$ odel, and in the large $U$ lim it they read:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{\mathrm{cc}}=1 \quad Z_{\mathrm{cs}}=0 \\
& \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{sc}}=\# \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{ss}}=;
\end{aligned}
$$

where can be obtained solving an integralepruation. For the non $-m$ agnetic case $\#=1=2$ and $=1=\overline{2}$.

Then we are ready to identify the exponents: $\widetilde{\Omega}_{r}+$ $1=2 \mathrm{c}$ and $\mathrm{r}+1=2 \mathrm{~s}$, and in this way we can directly see the validity of the CFT in the largeU lim it.
 is known, but using the analogy $w$ ith the isotropic case, the exponents are readily obtained using the substitution $Z_{c c}!1, Z_{c s}!0, Z_{s c}!\quad \#$ and $Z_{s s}!1$.

## VII. C ON CLUSION S

To conclude, we have show $n$ that for som e special cases the spectral functions of the 1D Hubbard can be calculated using the spin-charge factorized wave-function, which im plies that the spectral functions are given as a convolution involving the charge and spin parts. A nalytical calculations are possible for the charge part and for the spin part in the case of the X Y m odel. The low energy behavior tums out to be fully consistent $w$ th the predictions of the conform al eld theory, i.e. the exponents are given by the nite-size corrections to the energy and $m$ om entum, and the $w$ eights are given by -fiunction. B ased on this, we propose a new way to determ ine the exponents of the correlation functions. Furtherm ore, we argue that when the exponents of the correlation functions are close to integers, the Luttinger-liquid pow er-law behavior ofthe correlation functions should be taken w ith care, as it com es from the asym ptotic expansion of the function.
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TABLE I. Sum rule, Eq. (20), for $Q=0$ including one, two and three particle-hole excitations, $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{L}=2$.

| L | 1 ph | $1+2 \mathrm{ph}$ | $1+2+3 \mathrm{ph}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0.50000000 | 0.50000000 | 0.50000000 |
| 12 | 0.46477280 | 0.49989083 | 0.49999999 |
| 20 | 0.43436168 | 0.49933463 | 0.49999968 |
| 28 | 0.41165708 | 0.49844924 | 0.49999808 |
| 36 | 0.39388871 | 0.49738700 | 0.49999428 |
| 44 | 0.37941227 | 0.49623473 | 0.49998778 |
| 52 | 0.36725942 | 0.49504054 | 0.49997842 |
| 60 | 0.35682437 | 0.49383182 | 0.49996622 |

TABLE II. The $m$ om enta for which the $G$ reens function G (x;t>0) is singular.

| r | $\mathrm{p}=$ | 1 | $\mathrm{p}=0$ | $\mathrm{p}=1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-3 / 2$ | $:::$ | $3 k$ | $k+2 k$ |  |
| $-1 / 2$ | $::$ | $k$ | $k+2 k$ |  |
| $1 / 2$ | k | 2 k | k | $:::$ |
| $3 / 2$ | k | 2 k | 3 k | $:::$ |

TABLE III. The correspondence between the Bethe A nsatz quantum num bers and $p$ and $r$

|  | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| " | $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{r}$ | r | 1 | 0 |
| $\#$ | p | r | 1 | 1 |
| " | pr | r | 1 | 0 |
| $\#$ | p | r | 1 | 1 |



FIG.1. $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Q}}(\mathrm{k} ;!)$ for $\mathrm{Q}=48=97(\quad=2), \mathrm{N}=96$ electrons on $\mathrm{L}=192$ sites. W e can see the power-law singularity at $\mathrm{k}==4$ and that the weight is accum ulated along a co-sine-like band like structure.


FIG.2. Schem atic plot of the support of $A_{Q}(k ;!)$ (above $"_{C}$ ) and $B_{Q}(k ;!)$ (below " ${ }_{c}$ ) for $N=L=1=3$ and $Q=2$. The dom inant tow er $(p=0)$ at $k=k_{Q}$ and the sub-dom inant tower $(p=1)$ at $k=k_{Q} \quad 2 n$ are show $n$. Thew eightm ostly follow s the solid lines, and the shadow ing represent the intensity. A though there are excitations above the dashed line for $A_{Q}(k ;!)$ as well, the weight associated with them is negligible. T he low energy part of $A_{Q}(k ;!)$ near $k=k_{Q}$ is en larged on the insert, where the discrete states in the tow er of excitations are shown.


FIG.3. $A_{Q}(!)$ for $Q=0,=2$ and for quarter lling ( $\mathrm{L}=300, \mathrm{~N}=150$ ). For $Q=0$ the V an H ove singularity is suppressed, and the weight is $m$ ainly near the Ferm i energy. $\mathrm{Q}=\quad$ is equivalent to free-ferm ion case. The dotted line show s the low -energy approxim ation Eq. 35).



FIG.4. $A_{Q}(k)$ for $Q=0,46=91(\quad=2)$ and $90=91()$ (a) and $B_{Q}(k)$ for $Q=0,44=89(\quad=2)$ and $88=89()$ (b) for $\mathrm{L}=270$ and $\mathrm{N}=90$. The evolution of the weight and shape can be followed from the symmetric $Q=0$ case $w$ ith the singularities at $k=0$ and $k=2=3$ through the asymmetric $Q==2$ case $w$ ith singularities at $k==6$ and $=2$ to the horm al distribution at $\mathrm{Q}=$.


FIG.5. The support and weights of $C(Q ;!)$ for the $\mathrm{N}=18 \mathrm{sp}$ in H eisenberg m odel. T he sym bols represent the excitations of the nalstates (19 spins), where the total spin is also indicated. T he num bers near solid triangles give the w eight of that particular state. D ue to selection rule the m atrix elem ents are zero w ith higher spin states denoted by open sym bols. The dotted lines are a guide to the eyes and show the $r=1=2$ and $r=3=2$ tow ers.



F IG . 6. The relative weights $\mathrm{C}^{(1 ; 0)}, \mathrm{C}^{(2 ; 0)}, \mathrm{d}^{(0 ; 1)}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{(0 ; 2)}$ as a function of the system size calculated by exact diagonalization (squares and triangles) and by DMRG (crosses) for the $r=1=2$ tower. The dashed line represents $a t$ to $\mathrm{a}_{0}+\mathrm{a}_{1}=\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \log (\mathrm{~N})=\mathrm{N}$ form and it is reasonably close to the theoretical values $0: 5$ and $0: 375$ in the therm odynam ic lim it (a). $T$ he opposite sign of logarithm ic corrections cancels if we $m$ ake the products $\left[\mathrm{c}^{(1 ; 0)} \mathrm{d}^{(0 ; 1)}\right]^{1=2}$ and $\left[\mathrm{c}^{(2 ; 0)} \mathrm{d}^{(0 ; 2)}\right]^{1=2}$ (b).


FIG.7. C" (Q) and D " (Q) (a), $\mathrm{C}_{\#}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{D} \#(\mathrm{Q})$ (b) for nite $m$ agnetization $N n=N=3=4 \mathrm{w}$ ith singularity at $Q=3=4$ and $Q=4$, respectively. The solid sym bols stands for $D \quad(Q)$ and open for $C$ (Q).

