Transport in -Sexithiophene Films

M.W.Wu and E.M.Conwell

Center for Photoinduced Charge Transfer, Chemistry Department, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627, USA

and X erox Corporation, W ilson C enter for Technology, 114-22D, W ebster, N ew York 14580,

U SA

Abstract

The eld-e ect mobility of hole polarons in -sexithiophene, measured in thin lm transistors, was shown to be well tted by Holstein's small polaron theory. Unfortunately, Holstein's form ulation is based on an integral that does not converge. We show that the data are well tted by a theory of polaron transport that was successful in accounting for mobility in molecular crystals of naphthalene. The prospect of eld-e ect transistors, FETs, made of easily processible thin organic in s has stimulated considerable research e ort. The most successful devices to date have been made of oligomeric rather than polymeric material, an outstanding one being -sexithiophene, -6T.^{1,2} From the device theory and operation it is possible to deduce a eld-e ect mobility, $_{FET}$, for holes moving in the active part of the device, the -6T layer just above the gate dielectric. Recent measurements of mobility made in the space-charge limited current regime have been in good agreement with $_{FET}$ obtained in a long channel device,¹ indicating that the device measurements can be considered to represent the mobility reasonably well. For convenience of notation we will drop the subscript in referring to the device measurements.

The -6T Ins for the FETs are usually made by vacuum evaporation onto the gate dielectric, the latter frequently being SiO_2 or M gF₂. This results in a polycrystalline lm which is, however, well oriented in that the long axis of the -6T m olecules stands alm ost perpendicular to the subtract.^{3,4} The transport direction in the FETs, parallel to the substrate, is then essentially perpendicular to the molecular chains. Mobility as a function of tem perature has been measured in -6T FETs by Horow itz et al.⁵ W aragai et al.⁶ and Torsi et al.⁷ In the two form er cases the m easurem ents were taken down to 100 and 77 K , respectively. Over this range mobility was seen to increase with increasing temperature. W aragai et al. attributed the transport to therm ally activated hopping of polarons am ong the thiophene molecules. (A ctually they used a derivative of -6T, dimethyl sexithiophene.) H orow itz et al. analyzed the data down to 150 K in term s of free carriers, speci cally holes in the valence band, undergoing multiple them altrapping and release involving shallow traps. Below 150 K they attributed the mobility to the free carriers hopping among deep traps. Such a model could never account for the increase in mobility with decreasing temperature 50 K⁷ (See Fig. 1.) The model would be appropriate for found by Torsi et al. below carriers moving in wide bands of the kind found in three-dim ensional sem iconductors such as silicon, but not for carriers moving in the narrow bands characteristic of a molecular crystal, or the polycrystalline array of molecular crystals that -6T sam ples generally consist of. For

these cases it is essential to include polaronic e ects.

Torsi et al. showed that their data are well tted by Holstein's small polaron theory based on his Molecular Crystal Model.⁸ In this model the electron (or hole) wavefunction is limited to a single site. The electron or hole becomes a small polaron, still limited to a single site, due to its coupling to the vibrations of a diatom ic molecule at the site. It is well known that an excess electron or hole on a conducting polymer or on a conjugated molecule such as naphthalene or -6T forms a polaron that is spread over a considerable number of sites, 20 if the conjugation length allows. A lithough Holstein's calculations are readily generalized to such a polaron, and to the vibrations being the usual acoustic and optical modes of a lattice,⁹ they are fatally awed by the fact that their essential result, the integral obtained for the probability of a polaron moving or hopping from site to site, does not converge.^{10,11} Holstein obtains nite results for the high tem perature (hopping) region and the low temperature (coherent motion) region by approximating the integral for each case with a leading term. Nevertheless, many of the ideas developed by Holstein and some predecessors form the basis for later theories of polaron transport.

A form of the theory that was successful in describing mobility over a wide range of tem – peratures for naphthalene crystals starts from a simple H amiltonian used by m any workers in this eld:

$$H = \sum_{m=m}^{X} a_{m}^{Y} a_{m} + \sum_{m=m}^{X} V_{m-n} a_{m}^{Y} a_{n} + \sum_{q}^{X} h!_{q} (b_{q}^{Y} b_{q} + \frac{1}{2}) + N^{1=2} \sum_{m=q}^{X} h!_{q} g_{q} \exp(iq - R_{m}) (b_{q} + b_{q}^{Y}) a_{m}^{Y} a_{m}; \qquad (1)$$

where a_m^y creates an electron with energy $_m$ at a site m in the crystal of N sites, b_q creates a phonon with wave vector q and frequency $!_q$, $V_{m n}$ is the intersite m atrix element between sites m and n, g_q is the dimensionless electron-phonon coupling constant and R_m is the lattice vector that locates site m. The Ham iltonian is transformed to the polaron picture by a unitary transformation,¹² resulting in

$$H = \sum_{m}^{X} (N^{-1} X^{-1} j_{q} j_{q} j_{h} l_{q} A_{m}^{y} A_{m} + X^{-1} h_{q} (B_{q}^{y} B_{q} + \frac{1}{2})$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{n \neq n \\ m \neq q}}^{X} V_{n m} \sum_{\substack{n = m \\ n \neq q}}^{Y} A_{n}^{Y} A_{m} + N^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n \neq q \\ n \neq q \neq q}}^{X} jg_{q} jh!_{q} \exp[iq (R_{n} - R_{m})]A_{n}^{Y} A_{m}^{Y} A_{n} A_{m}; (2)$$

$$= \exp N^{-1-2} \sum_{\substack{q \\ q \neq q}}^{X} g_{q} \exp(iq - R_{m})(B_{q} - B_{q}^{Y}); (3)$$

where A_m creates a polaron at site m and B_q^{γ} creates a phonon of wave vector q that is associated with the motion of the ions about their displaced equilibrium positions.

The Ham iltonian (2), (3) was used in the calculation of polaron mobility in naphthalene by Kenkre at al.¹³ The formulation of KADD includes disorder, the site energy $_{\rm m}$ being taken as a random ly uctuating quantity. The uctuations can arise from crystal defects, which are surely present in these materials. It is usual to represent $_{\rm m}$ by a Gaussian or Lorentzian distribution of width h . The latter was chosen by KADD. It was specified that must be small compared to $!_{\rm q}$; if were not small a different approach to the problem would be necessary.

As was done by Holstein and m any others, KADD assumed that the hole interacts with only one phonon branch of mean energy $h!_0$ and width h_i in the ith direction. The signi cance of this assumption will be discussed below. W ith these simplications KADDobtained the mobility if for eld and current along the ith principal axis direction:

where $= 1 = k_B T$, the g_i are dimensionless electron-phonon coupling constants as dened in Eq. (1), the a_i are lattice constants and the V_i the nearest-neighbor transfer integrals along the ith direction. The bandwidth W is taken as usual as $4V_i$. It is seen that $_{ii}$ is similar to the result of H olstein's theory, but it converges due to the factor e^{-t} , representing disorder, in the integral.

It is clear that m any phonon branches, not only one, have signi cant interaction with the current carrier, whether electron or hole. For example, when a carrier jumps onto or o a chain, the well known distortion that occurs involves a number of phonon frequencies and m ore than one phonon branch. This was calculated explicitly for the case of polyacetylene.¹⁴

A similar polaronic e ect must occur when a hole jumps onto or o an -6T molecule. Of course the distortions are not large, but this represents a necessary energy expenditure that a ects the hopping rate. A much bigger e ect, however, can be caused by phonons that change the overlap of them olecules between which the polarons jump. For example, consider nearest neighbor hopping along that direction in an -6T crystallite perpendicular to the long axis of them olecules where nearest neighbors are tilted at opposite angles to the norm al. Librations around an axis in this direction would greatly a ect overlap of adjacent molecules and therefore the rate of polaron motion. This appears to be the situation in at least one of the structures attributed to -6T.¹⁵ In such a case the libration would have by far the strongest e ect on the hopping and the e ect of the other phonons could be considered to be included in the value of g_i , which is determined by comparison with experiment in any case.

A shas been shown by m any authors, in the approximation that only one phonon branch interacts with the carriers the therm ally averaged bandwidth for the ith direction is^{16}

$$W_{T} = 4 \mathbf{j} V_{i} \mathbf{j} \exp[g_{i}^{2} \coth(h!_{0}=2)] = 4 \mathbf{j} V_{i} \mathbf{j} \exp[g_{i}^{2} (m + 1=2)];$$
(5)

where $m = [\exp(h!_0) \quad 1]^1$, the number of phonons in the mode with frequency $!_0$. It is apparent that W_T decreases monotonically as the temperature increases from zero, rapidly when $k_B T = h!_0 > 1 = 2$. Thus the mobility, which is proportional to W_T^2 , decreases with temperature due to this factor, rapidly at high temperatures. A second factor depending on T is csch ($h!_0=2$) in the integrand. This factor is proportional to m, the phonon abundance. In the low temperature limit this factor makes the argument of I_0 sm all and therefore the integralsm all. As T increases this factor increases and the integral increases, quite rapidly for $k_B T > h!_0$. C rudely it can be thought that the integral represents the hopping probability. Thus as T increases from zero the librons play the dual role of decreasing the coherent or bandlike motion through the decrease in bandwidth and of increasing the hopping rate. How strong these e ects are depends on g_i .

Before com paring these predictions with the data of Torsi et al. shown in Fig. 1, we note

that their mobility values do not show the e ect of trapping; their measurements have been made for gate voltages past the threshold value required to obtain a carrier concentration large enough to llthe traps. Also they have shown that grain boundaries do not dominate the transport, at least above theminimum in at 45 K. Increase in grain size by an order of magnitude did not in prove the room temperature mobility.⁷ From these facts Torsi et al. conclude that their FET mobility data represent intrinsic behavior of -6T. C on paring the data of F ig. 1 with the theory discussed above, we see above the temperature of the minimum, T_L , a region in which increases rapidly with increasing T, consistent with hopping. Below T_L there is a region in which decreases rapidly with increase without limit with decreasing T, as predicted by Eq. (4), must be due to another process, perhaps boundary scattering, determining in the low temperature limit.

To t the data of Fig. 1 with Eq. (4) we took $a_i = 0.38$ nm, the closest distance between adjacent m olecules along the transport direction.⁷ The widths of the phonon band, not known for -6T, were taken from a libration of similar frequency which determines the polaron transport in naphthalene,¹³ speci cally h₁ = 0.70 meV, h₂ = 1.40 meV and h₃ = 0.24 meV. In any case _{ii} is not sensitive to the _i values. The quantities \mathbf{j}_{ij} , \mathbf{g}_{i} , h!₀ and were treated as parameters. The t shown in Fig. 1 was obtained for \mathbf{j}_{ij} = 14 meV, \mathbf{g}_{i}^{2} = 11.5, h!₀ = 11.2 meV and = 2 10⁵ ps¹. The rst three parameters are quite close to those obtained by Torsi et al. using Holstein's results.

It is of interest to compare these results with those obtained by KADD in thing Eq. (4) to the data for naphthalene. From 30 K, the lowest temperature for which there are data, to 100 K for naphthalene decreases fairly rapidly with increasing T, although not as rapidly as for -6T. Above 100 K continues to decrease with increasing T but the increase ismuch less rapid in two of the three directions shown. These results are consistent with Eq. (4) with a somewhat larger $h!_0$ but more importantly a smaller value of g_i as compared with -6T. Decreasing the electron-phonon coupling decreases both the rate of bandwidth decrease and the rate at which hopping grows with increasing T. The value of

 g_1^2 for naphthalene, varying from 2.6 to 3.5 over the three directions, is small enough that the increase in the integral is not large enough to overcome the decrease in bandwidth and create a positive slope for mobility vs. temperature. Another contrasting feature of the naphthalene data is that the changes in slope of vs. T are much more gradual. This is apparently a result of , the disorder parameter, being larger in naphthalene, 0.259 vs 2 10 ⁵ ps¹. In fact, the later value for is surprisingly small. We speculate that this may be a result of the holes being connect to within 1 or 2 molecular layers of the interface² so that only a very small part of the -6T layer is sampled, that part being more ordered perhaps because of the proximity of the interface. Of course, it is not possible to be certain of the parameters because there may still be some di erences between _{FET} and the drift m obility, particularly below 45 K.

If higher m obility is the requirem ent for in proved organic FET perform ance, it is clear from Eq. (4) that for m olecular crystals desirable properties are lower electron-phonon coupling and lower frequency of the interacting phonons. There is a limit to how far one can go in this direction, however, because polaron theory is no longer valid if $g^2h!_0=W < 1$. From what was said earlier, naphthalene is superior to -6T, its room temperature m obility ranging from 0.3 to 0.8 cm²/Vs in the di erent crystallographic directions.¹³

W e acknowledge the support of the National Science Foundation under Science and Technology Center grant CHE 912001.

7

REFERENCES

M ailing address.

- ¹G.Horowitz, F.Gamier, A.Yassar, R.Hajlaoui, and F.Kouki, Adv.Mater.8 (1996) 52.
- ² A.D odabalapur, L. Torsi, and H.E. Katz, Science 268 (1995) 270.
- ³F.Gamier, A.Yassar, R.Hajlaoui, G.Horowitz, F.Delore, B.Servet, S.Ries, and P. Alnot, J.Am.Chem.Soc.115 (1993) 8716.
- ⁴ A.J. Lovinger and L.J. Rothberg, J.M ater. Sci. 10 (1995) 2958.
- ⁵G.Horowitz, R.Hajlaoui, and P.Delannoy, J.Phys. III France 5 (1995) 355.
- ⁶ K.W aragai, H.Akim ichi, S.Hotta, and H.Kano, Phys. Rev. B 52 (1995) 1786.
- ⁷L.Torsi, A.D odabalapur, L.J.R othberg, A.W. P.Fung, and H.E.K atz, Science 272 (1996) 1462.
- ⁸ T.Holstein, Ann. Phys. 8 (1959) 343.
- ⁹ E.M. Conwell, H.-Y. Choi, and S. Jeyadev, Synth. Met. 49-50 (1992) 359.
- ¹⁰ H.J.deW it, Phillips Research Repts. 23, 449 (1968).
- ¹¹D.Emin, Adv.Phys.24 (1975) 305.
- ¹² This transform ation has been used by m any authors, am ong the earliest being S.J.Pekar, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.20 (1950) 267, and K.Huang and A.Rhys, Proc.R.Soc.A 204 (1950) 406.
- ¹³ V M . Kenkre, JD . Anderson, D H . D unlap, and C B . D uke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 1165. This reference will be denoted KADD in what follows.
- ¹⁴ E M . Conwell, H .-Y . Choi, and S. Jeyadev, J. Phys. Chem . 96 (1992) 2827.
- ¹⁵G. Horowitz, B. Bachet, A. Yassar, P. Lang, F. Demanze, J.-L. Fave, and F. Gamier,

Chem .M ater. 7 (1995) 1337.

¹⁶ For discussion and references see J.Appel, \Polarons" in Solid State Physics, Vol. 21, eds. F. Seitz, D. Tumbull and H. Ehrenreich, Academ ic Press, 1968.

FIGURES

FIG.1. FET vs. T. Squares give experimental data of Torsi et al., 7 solid curve is the theoretical t described in the text.