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#### Abstract

W e investigate the isotropic tw o-leg $S=\frac{1}{2}$ ladderw ith general bilinear and biquadratic exchange interactions betw een spins on neighboring rungs, and determ ine the $H$ am iltonians which have a m atrix product wavefunction as exact ground state. W e dem onstrate that a sm ooth change of param eters leads one from the $S=\frac{1}{2}$ dim er and $M$ ajum dar-G hosh chains to the $S=1$ chain with biquadratic exchange. $T$ his proves that these $m$ odel system $s$ are in the sam e phase.


7420 Hi, $75.10 \mathrm{Lp}, 71.10 .+\mathrm{x}$

Low dim ensional quantum antiferrom agnets have attracted a large am ount of both theoretical and experi$m$ entalinterest in recent years. T heoretically, the results of calculations for $m$ odel system $s$ in one dim ension (1D) clearly show the di erence betw een system s w ith a gapless spectrum of excitations and pow er law decay of spin correlations and gapped system $s$ w ith exponentially decaying correlation fiunctions. T he $m$ ain representatives of these tw o classes are resp. the $S=\frac{1}{2}$ chain $w$ ith isotropic nearest neighbor ( $\mathbb{N} N$ ) exchange interaction 1] and the isotropic $S=1$ (H aldane) chain 2]. G apped elem entary excitation spectra are also found in $m$ ore com plicated low -dim ensional $S=\frac{1}{2}$ system $s$ such as chains $w$ ith sufciently strong additional next-nearest neighbor (NNN) exchange orw ith altemating exchange (w ith noninteracting dim ers as the sim plest lim it) and also isotropic spin ladders $w$ th an even num ber of legs 目].
$M$ any of these $m$ odels are realized to a high degree of accuracy in simple com pounds as dem onstrated by the follow ing exam ples: $\mathrm{KCuF}_{3}$ (1D isotropic $S=\frac{1}{2}$ antiferrom agnet 4]), $\mathrm{CaCuG} \mathrm{e}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (w eakly interacting dim ens (5]), $\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ [6] and $\mathrm{SrCu} \mathrm{Z}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (7] (tw o leg spin ladder) and $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ ( NENP , 1D $\mathrm{S}=1$ antiferro$m$ agnet [8]). A though the precise spin $H$ am iltonians of these substances are not known, the study of the sim ple theoretical models $m$ entioned above has contributed in an essential way to the understanding of the behaviour of the realm aterials and these are believed to be in the sam e phase as the sim ple $m$ odels.

In recent years argum ents and num ericalevidence have been presented that the variousm odels w ith a gapped ex-
citation spectrum, in particular the $S=\frac{1}{2}$ dim er chain, the gapped nearest and next nearest neighbor exchange chain, the two-legged $S=\frac{1}{2}$ spin ladder and the $S=1$ (H aldane) chain are all in the sam e phase $9\{14]$. In this letter we present the follow ing proof for this con jecture: W e describe a class of $H$ am ittonians w ith exactly known nondegenerate ground states and show that it is possible, by a continuous change of param eters $w$ ithin this class, to connect sm oothly the ham iltonians of the 1D dim er chain, the M a jum dar-G hosh chain, a generalized spin ladder (which includes biquadratic interactions) and of the $S=1$ antiferrom agnetic chain w ith additionalbiquadratic exchange. G iven the relevance of these model system $s$ w ith exactly known ground states for the traditional m odel system s and for the real quasi 1D com pounds this proves the existence of a single phase for the gapped low dim ensional spin system $s$ above. A s a \byproduct" of this investigation, we also present a new set of m odels of frustrated $S=\frac{1}{2}$ spin chains (including only bilinear NN and NNN interactions) whose ground states can be found exactly.

O ur approach starts from the observation 13]] that the exactly known ground states of the Shastry-Sutherland $S=\frac{1}{2}$ chain 15]

$$
\begin{equation*}
H={ }_{n}^{X}\left(1+(1)^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{n}+1}+\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad) \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{n}+2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which includes the M a jum dar-G hosh $(=0)$ [1ф] and dimer $(=1)$ lim its as special cases, and the $S=1$ chain w ith biquadratic exchange

$$
\begin{equation*}
H={ }_{n}^{X} S_{n} S_{n+1} \quad\left(S_{n} S_{n+1}\right)^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

at $=\frac{1}{3}$ (the AKLT m odel [17] which is believed to be in the sam e phase as the $H$ aldane chain $w$ ith $=0$ ) have very sim ilar structure: both ground states can be w rilten asm atrix product ( $\mathrm{M} P$ ) wave functions,

The ground state of the Shastry-Sutherland chain is obtained setting $a=b=\frac{1}{2}$, where $\operatorname{jin}_{i}$ and fii $i_{i}$ are the $\sin -$ glet, resp. triplet form ed by two spins $S=\frac{1}{2}$ on adjacent
sites $n$ and $n+1$ ( $n$ even for $>0$ in ( $-(1)$ ). The ground state of the AKLT chain is obtained by setting $a=\frac{p^{1}}{\overline{3}}$, $\mathrm{b}=0$, and identifying the rem aining triplet w ith the three states of the spin $S=1$ at site i. The $m$ atrix elem ents of the MP wavefunction $j$ oi are related to boundary effects for open boundary conditions whereas for periodic boundary conditions the trace should be taken 17]. M atrix product (or nitely correlated) wave functions were rst introduced by Fannes et al [1\$] a decade ago and have since then found widespread applications in exact and variational calculations 19 , 23$]$. T hese w ave functions are characterized by nite (and typically rather short) correlation lengths and are therefore tailored to dealw th gapped system s.

It should be em phasized that our M P ansatz for the ladder (3), in contrast to that used in Ref. 22], respects rotational sym $m$ etry of the H am iltonian (4), i.e. the wavefunction joi under periodic boundary conditions is a global singlet for any value of the param eter u [13,24]. The ansatz used by Su 22] has a built-in' broken rotational sym $m$ etry and thus can access only states of ferrom agnetic type.

W e generalize the m odels of E qs. (1) , $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ ) by considering the $f_{\mathrm{P}} 10 \mathrm{low}$ ing spin ladder H am iltonian (see F ig. 1 ) :
$\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{i+1}}$, where
$R_{i ; i+1}=C_{0}+\frac{1}{2} J_{0} S_{1 ; i} S_{2 ; i}+\frac{1}{2} J_{0}^{0} S_{1 ; i+1} S_{2 ; \text { i+ } 1}$
$+\mathrm{J}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1 ; \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{S}_{1 ; \text { i+ } 1}+\mathrm{J}_{1}^{0} \mathrm{~S}_{2 ; \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{S}_{2 ; \text { i+ } 1}+\mathrm{J}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{1 ; \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{S}_{2 ; \text { i+ } 1}+\mathrm{J}_{2}^{0} \mathrm{~S}_{2 ; \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{S}_{1 ; \text { i+ } 1}$
$+K\left(S_{1 ; \text { i }} S_{1 ; \text { i+ } 1}\right)\left(S_{2 ; i} S_{2 ; \text { i+ } 1}\right)+K^{0}\left(S_{1 ; \text { i }} S_{2 ; \text { i+ }}\right)\left(S_{2 ; i} S_{1 ; \text { i+ }}\right)$
$+K{ }^{\infty}\left(S_{1 ; i} S_{2 ; i}\right)\left(S_{1 ; \text { i+ }} S_{2 ; \text { i+ } 1}\right)$
T his is the general isotropic $\mathrm{S}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{H}$ am iltonian w ith exchange interactions restricted to neighboring rungs of the ladder. For periodic boundary conditions $\frac{1}{2}\left(J_{0}+J_{0}^{0}\right)$ is the coupling on the rungs, in addition there are 4 pair exchange couplings on the legs and on the diagonals and 3 biquadratic term s . Together with the constant $C_{0}$ we have a totaloften param eters. O ne com bination of these is irrelevant since it sets the energy scale. It is essential to include the diagonal interactions as rst introduced by $W$ hite 14$]$ ) in order to obtain the various lim its of interest. These lim its include the isotropic spin ladder and also the $S=1$ chain when strongly ferrom agnetic exchange on the rungs ( $J_{0}$ ! 1 ) is considered to form $S=1$ units w ith an $e$ ective pair interaction $\coprod_{f f}$ and e ective biquadratic interaction $K_{\text {eff }}$

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{e f f} & =\frac{1}{4}\left(J_{1}+J_{1}^{0}+J_{2}+J_{2}^{0}\right)+\frac{1}{8}\left(K+K^{0}\right) ; \\
K_{e f f} & =\frac{1}{4}\left(K+K^{0}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

O ur procedure in the follow ing is based on the ideas presented in 20] and generalizes the work for the $S=1$ chain with biquadratic exchange 17]: W e start from the


F IG . 目. A generalized spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ ladder $w$ ith allpossible isotropic exchange interactions betw een nearest-neighbor rungs. $O$ vals show spin pairs whose states are involved in the elem entary $m$ atrix $g_{i}$ de ned by 3). C ouplings are described in the text, see (A).

MP wave function (3), considering ji and t i as the states of a single rung, and require that the param eters in the $H$ am iltonian (4) and the free param eter $u=b=a$ in $j$ oi satisfy the follow ing conditions:
(i) $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{i}$ is annihilated by H , i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{k}_{i ; i+1} g_{i} g_{i+1}=0 ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) all other states of 19 have the energy $\mathrm{E}>0$.

Then $j o i$ is the ground state of l , with the energy density $C_{0}$ per rung.

To proceed we w rite the local H am iltonian h in the altemative form ulation 21] using pro jectors on states $w$ ith
xed angularm om entum of the 4 spin plaquette ( $i ; i+1$ ) of the ladder:

H ere $j \underset{j ; M}{(k)} i$ are the $m$ ultiplets which can be form ed from the 4 spins $S=\frac{1}{2}$ of one plaquette: one quintuplet, three
 are linearly related to the 10 param eters in the H am iltonian ofe q. (4). Them ultiplets $j \underset{j ; M}{(k)} i$ are given in term $s$ of the singlets ji and triplets fi on rungs $i$ and $i+1$; the plaquette singlets are a linear combination of jssi and $j(t t)_{J=0} i$, the plaquette triplets are linear com binations of tsi, jsti and $j(t t)_{J=1} i$ and the plaquette quintuplet is $j(\mathrm{tt})_{J=2} i$. It is easily veri ed that only one singlet

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(3+u^{4}\right)^{1=2} \quad u^{2} \dot{j} s i \quad{ }^{p} \overline{3} \mu \mathrm{ti}_{J}=0 \quad j \quad{ }_{0}^{(1)} i \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and one triplet

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+u^{2}\right)^{1=2} \quad P_{\overline{2}}^{u}(\text { (Jsi+ jsti }) \quad \mathrm{Hti}_{J=1} \quad j_{1}^{(1)} i \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

occur in $g_{i} g_{i+1}$. A convenient choice for the rem aining multiplets is

$$
\begin{align*}
& j{ }_{0}^{(2)} i=\left(3+u^{4}\right)^{1=2} \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{3} \bar{j} s i+u^{2} \nexists i_{J=0} \\
& j{ }_{1}^{(2)} i=\left(1={ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}\right)\left(\text { fsi }{ }_{n}^{\text {jsti }}\right. \\
& j{ }_{1}^{(3)} i=\left(1+u^{2}\right)^{1=2}{ }^{n}\left(1=p^{2}\right)(j s i+j \operatorname{jti})+u f t i_{J=1} \\
& j_{2} i=\mu^{i} i_{J}=2 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Conditions (i) result in 5 equations, corresponding to

$$
\begin{equation*}
0_{0}^{(1 ; 1)}=0_{0}^{(1 ; 2)}=1_{1}^{(1 ; 1)}=1_{1}^{(1 ; 2)}=1_{1}^{(1 ; 3)}=0: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, in order to lead to M P ground states, the H am iltonian hasto pro ject on the space $j{ }_{0}^{(2)} i ; j{ }_{1}^{(2)} i ; j{ }_{1}^{(3)} i ; j{ }_{2}$ i and condition (ii) results in the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{(2)}>0 ; \quad \sim_{1}^{()}>0 ; \quad 2>0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{1}^{()}(=1 ; 2)$ are the tw o eigenvalues of them atrix
${ }_{1}^{(i ; j)}$; ( $\left.i ; j=2 ; 3\right)$. The inequalities (12) guarantee that the ground state is nondegenerate (apart from the fact that Eq. (3) describes four ground states $w$ th di erent behaviour at the boundaries ofopen ladders), since it can be show $n$ by induction $w$ ith respect to the ladder length that the plaquette states of E qs. (8,9) do not allow a zero energy state which is di erent from the M P ground state.

For an explicit discussion of the results we use a som ewhat simpli ed Ham iltonian with less freedom than in the general case: First, we set $J_{0}=J_{0}^{0}$, and from one of the conditions (i) we nd that this necessarily m eans $\mathrm{J}_{1}=J_{1}^{0}$ ( w hich respects the ladder sym m etry) and
${ }_{1}^{(1 ; 2)}=0$; thus the eigenvalues $\mathrm{e}_{1}^{(1)}$ are identical to the ${ }_{1}^{(2 ; 2)}$ and ${ }_{1}^{(3 ; 3)}$. Furtherwe set $\mathrm{K} \quad \mathrm{K}^{0}=\mathrm{K}^{\infty}=0$ (these combinations are found irrelevant in a more detailed treatm ent which w ill.be published separately). Then we are left $w$ th the 6 coe cients $C_{0}, J_{0}, J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{2}^{0}, K$ and the conditions (i) take the follow ing form

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 J_{1} \quad J_{2} \quad J_{2}^{0}+u^{2}\left(4 C_{0}=3 \quad J_{0}+K=2\right)=0 \\
& 2\left(2 J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{2}^{0}\right) \quad 4 C_{0} \quad J_{0} \quad 7 \mathrm{~K}=2  \tag{13}\\
& +u^{2}\left(J_{2}+J_{2}^{0} \quad 2 J_{1}\right)=0 \\
& 2\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathrm{J}_{2}^{0} & \mathrm{~J}_{2}
\end{array}\right)+\mathrm{u}\left(4 \mathrm{C} 0 \quad \mathrm{~J}_{0}+2 \mathrm{~J}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~J}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~J}_{2}^{0} \quad \mathrm{~K}=2\right)=0 \\
& 2 J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{2}^{0}+3 K=2 \quad 4 C \quad J_{0}+2 u\left(J_{2} \quad J_{2}^{0}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he general solution of these 4 linear equations contains 2 arbitrary constants, when the param eter $u=b=a$ of the MP wavefunction is xed. W e absorb one of the two constants in the energy scale, denote the rem aining one by $x$ and de ne

$$
u^{2}=F
$$

to obtain the follow ing fam ily ofham iltonians $w$ th exact M $P$ eigenstate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{0}=39+2 \mathrm{x} \quad 3(1+\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{F}+3 \mathrm{xF}{ }^{2}=32 ; \\
& \mathrm{J}_{0}=32 \mathrm{x} \quad(1+\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{F}+\mathrm{xF}^{2}=4 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{2}^{0}=15 \quad 9(1+\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{F}+7 \mathrm{xF}^{2}=4 ; \\
& 2 \mathrm{~J}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~J}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~J}_{2}^{0}=\mathrm{xF} ; \\
& 2 \mathrm{~J}_{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{~J}_{2}^{0}=\mathrm{F}^{1=2}(3(1+\mathrm{x})=2 \quad \mathrm{xF}) ; \\
& \mathrm{K}=3\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{F} & 1)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{xF} & 1)=4:
\end{array}\right.
\end{array},\right.
\end{align*}
$$

W ith these de nitions the conditions ofE q. (17) that the M P state is a ground state are obtained as follow S

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=x\left(3+\mathrm{F}^{2}(\mathrm{x})\right)=4>0 \\
& \mathrm{e}_{1}^{(1)}=(3(1+\mathrm{x})+2 \mathrm{xF}(\mathrm{x}))=8>0 \\
& \mathrm{e}_{1}^{(2)}=(1+\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}))(3(1+\mathrm{x}) \quad 2 \mathrm{xF}(\mathrm{x})=8)>0 \\
& 2=18+8 \mathrm{xF}^{2}(\mathrm{x}) \quad 9(1+\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})=8>0 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

The eigenvalues 0 and $e_{1}^{(1)}$ are seen to be positive for $\mathrm{x}>0, \mathrm{~F}>0$. The eigenvalues $\mathrm{e}_{1}^{(2)}$ and 2 require a m ore detailed discussion; it is easily seen that a su cient (though not necessary) condition for $2>0$ is $J_{\text {eff }}>0$, i.e. an e ective antiferrom agnetic interaction between the tw o rungs of one plaquette, and the condition on $e_{1}^{(2)}$ is equivalent to the requirem ent $u\left(\begin{array}{ll}J_{2} & J_{2}^{0}\end{array}\right)>0$. It is convenient to discuss the possible ham iltonians considering $F$ as function of $x$. We use $F=u^{2}$ instead of $u$ keeping in $m$ ind that changing the sign $u!u$ am ounts just to interchanging the ladder legs and thus does not bring in any new physics; therefore from now on we assum e that $\mathrm{F}^{1=2}>0$.

The follow ing ilhustrative $m$ em bers of this fam ily of ham iltonians are now easily obtained:
(i) Shastry-Sutherland m odel:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=1 ; \quad x=3=(2+) ; \quad 0 \ll 1: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the MP wave function w th $F=1$, i.e. $a=b$ corresponds to singlets on one type ofdiagonals 13], th is also covers (after a translation of one of the ladder legs) the case $\mathrm{a}=0$. The eigenvalue 0 vanishes for $\mathrm{x}=0$, which is to be expected since in the M ajum dar-G hosh lim it a second degenerate eigenstate exists (singlets on the altemative bonds).

The solution (16) also applies for partially ferrom agnetic interactions, $x>1$, resp. $(1)=(1+)<0$. A though the e ective interaction between units on the diagonals is ferrom agnetic, the Shastry-Sutherland dim er state is the ground state up to $\mathrm{x}=9$ (corresponding to
$=3)$, since all $s$ are positive. At the singular point
$=3$ the eigenvalue 2 vanishes and the energy of the dim er ground state coincides w ith the energy of the fiully polarized ferrom agnetic con guration. Thus this is the point of the rst-order quantum phase transition from dim er to ferrom agnetic phase.
(ii) G eneralized AK LT model, de ned by F = 0, i.e. $\mathrm{b}=0$ in the MP wave function (so that only triplets on the rungs occur):

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=0 ; \quad x \quad \text { nite } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen choosing a convenient scale factor to render $J_{\text {eff }}=$ 1 this corresponds to the follow ing H am iltonian:

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{0}=4=3 \quad 2 x=3 ; J_{1}=J_{2}=J_{2}^{0}=5=6 ; \\
& K=K^{0}=2=3 ; K^{\infty}=0 ; C_{0}=3=4+x=3: \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his is essentially the H am ittonian of R ef. [17], but w ithout requiring explicitly the coupling of two spins $S=\frac{1}{2}$ into a triplet, a result that could be easily obtained directly. T he A K LT m odel in a strict sense is obtained for $\mathrm{x}!+1$ and $\mathrm{xF}(\mathrm{x})$ ! 0 .
(iii) For $\mathrm{xF}(\mathrm{x})=1$ another class of ham iltonians w ithout biquadratic term s is obtained; the condition $2>$ 0 leads to the restriction $x \quad \frac{1}{9}$. A nontrivial case is $x=\frac{1}{9}$, which leads to the presence of only one diagonal exchange interaction, equivalent to a chain $w$ ith $N N$ and NNN exchange,

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{0}=7=12 ; J_{0}=J_{0}^{0}=2=3 ; J_{1}=J_{1}^{0}=J_{2}^{0}=1 ; \\
& J_{2}=K=K^{0}=K^{\infty}=0: \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his is a $S=\frac{1}{2}$ chain $w$ th altemating ferro- and antiferrom agnetic exchange and ferrom agnetic NNN interactions, a frustrated $H$ eisenberg chain. A s in (i), the eigenvalue 2 vanishes and the energy of the fully polarized ferrom agnetic state coincidesw ith that of the M P ground state (3). Thus we have obtained tw o exact points on the line where the chain with NN and NNN interactions 13] undergoes a rst order quantum phase transition to the ferrom agnetic state.
(iv) A fam ily of m odels with exact M P ground states connecting the M a jum dar-G hosh and the AK LT lim its is obtained from e.g.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=1=(1+x)^{2}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the M ajum dar-G hosh and dim er chains have identical ground states, Eq. 20) explicitly dem onstrates the possibility to transform the H am iltonian of the dim er $S=\frac{1}{2}$ chain continuously to the H am iltonian of the AKLT chain w thout any singularity (or quantum phase transition) in the ground state.

This provides an exact proof that from the point of view of theoretical concepts no essentialdi erence exists betw een the H aldane gap and the dim er gap and that the gapped $S=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odels listed in the introduction belong to the sam e phase as the $H$ aldane $S=1$ chain .
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FIG. 1. Generalized spin $\frac{1}{2}$ ladder $w$ th all possible isotropic exchange interactions betw een nearest-neighbor rungs. $O$ vals show spin pairs whose states are involved in the elem entary $m$ atrix $g_{i}$ de ned by (3). C ouplings are described in the text, see (䡒).

