

# Finite-Size Effects in Critical Phenomena from Mean Field Approach with $\phi^4$ Model

C.B. Yang and X. Cai

Institute of Particle Physics, Hua-Zhong Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China

## Abstract

The finite-size effects in critical phenomena of a thin film system are studied from a mean field (MF) approach with  $\phi^4$  model for second-order phase transition. The influence of boundary condition on the critical properties are emphasized. Scaling functions for relative free-energy and relative specific heat capacity are given.

**PACS** numbers: 68.35.Rh, 05.70.Jk

The critical phenomena in the thermodynamic limit is characterized by the divergence of the correlation length  $\xi$  near critical point. Nowadays, the experimental techniques have become so advanced that the correlation length  $\xi$  can be pushed up to several thousand Å and the samples under study become comparable with  $\xi$ . As a consequence, the effects of finite size of the samples on the critical phenomena become increasingly important. Generally such effects depend on the shape of the sample, on the boundary condition, on the dimension of the system and on the number of components of the order parameter. Finite-size effects near critical point have been remained to be a topic of active research over the past two decades. In fully

finite or quasi-one-dimensional systems the phase transition is smeared out, whereas in thin films of thickness  $L$  the critical temperature  $T_C(L)$  is shifted with respect to the bulk  $T_C$ . Without any doubt, detailed theoretical studies are necessary in order to understand the most important finite-size scaling structures predicted by phenomenological [1] and renormalization-group theories [2].

Since 1985 various perturbative approaches to calculations of finite-size scaling functions with the  $\phi^4$  model have been developed [3-6]. All those perturbative approaches are based on the division of the free energy into a Gaussian term and higher order perturbative terms. In fact, the coupling constant with the  $\phi^4$  model of the perturbation is not necessary small, so that the convergence of the perturbation expansion cannot be ensured. Thus some more effective approaches to the calculation are needed. It is clear that the random changes of the order parameter due to thermal motion should be around certain average and the fluctuations around the average are generally not too large except in the temperature region close to the critical one. If the averaged order parameter can be obtained, the fluctuations can be described as a Gaussian term and small higher order terms. MF calculations are important. First of all, they furnish the lowest order approximation of the real problem, and some characteristics can be obtained from such calculations. The advantage of the MF approximation is that it allows a systematic discussion of the corrections to that approximation. For an infinite size system, such a MF calculation is quite easy and can show some features of critical phenomena. If the same results are used for system with finite size, thermodynamic quantities will have the same jumps as the bulk system, thus are physically unacceptable for finite size systems. The normal solution to that problem is with the zero-mode approximation instead of the MF approach. The fundamental problem in the usual MF approach when

used to finite size systems, it seems to us, is that there exist boundary influences. For system with boundaries, one should consider the influences of the boundaries on the thermal properties near the bulk critical point. In addition, the spatial distribution of the order parameter should be taken into account for finite size system. In the MF calculations for an infinite system, the gradient term has no contribution, because the system prefers a uniform distribution of order parameter. For a finite size system, however, the spatial distribution cannot be considered as uniform any longer due to the influence of the boundary though the condition of minimum free energy would prefer a smooth distribution. Thus the gradient term should not be ignored in the MF calculations of finite size system. When this term is taken into account for a finite size system, the MF calculations become much more difficult than those for the infinite system. On the other hand, MF calculations provide the base for the consideration of fluctuations. The MF approximation is just the tree level approximation of the corresponding field theory, and corrections to mean field can be given by suitable perturbation theories. By the way, the calculations can be expected to give reasonable results and are very easy comparing to those in perturbation expansion. Exact calculations show that the MF approximation becomes exact for an infinite system when the dimension  $d$  of the system becomes very large. To the best of our knowledge, no such work for finite size system has ever been done yet. In this paper, we try to show some features of finite-size effects in second-order phase transition from a MF approach suitable for limited systems. For simplicity, we only consider  $\phi^4$  model for a thin film under second-order phase transition with a one-component order parameter as an example to show the method. The extension to fully finite system and to the case of  $n$ -component order parameter is straightforward.

In Ginzburg-Landau theory of phase transition, the partition function for second-order phase transition is given as

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp(-H) \quad (1)$$

with effective Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d^d x \left[ \frac{\gamma}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{c}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 + \frac{b}{4!} \phi^4 \right] \quad (2)$$

with  $\gamma = a(T - T_C)$ ,  $a > 0$ .

In the following, we consider a thin film with thickness  $L$  and assume the boundary condition for the system is of Dirichlet type for simplicity. For non-homogeneous boundary conditions, similar calculations can be done. The MF is the configuration of  $\phi$  which makes the effective Hamiltonian  $H$  minimum, or simply  $\delta H = 0$ . From the point view of partition function, MF theory is a saddle point approximation. The corrections to the approximation can be considered by expanding around the saddle point. From the condition of minimum of  $H$ , one gets

$$\nabla^2 \phi = \gamma \phi + \frac{b}{6} \phi^3 \quad (3)$$

with boundary condition  $\phi(0) = \phi(L) = 0$ . Since one intends to choose the configuration of  $\phi$  with  $H$  minimum, the averaged order parameter  $\phi$  should vary only in the direction of  $L$  which will be chosen as  $x$  direction in later discussions. If  $\gamma > 0$ , last equation has only trivial solution  $\phi = 0$ , the same as for the bulk system. So one needs only to discuss the case with  $\gamma < 0$ . Defining  $\phi_0 = \sqrt{-6\gamma/b}$  and  $\xi^2 = -1/\gamma$ , the last equation can be normalized as

$$\xi^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \Psi = -\Psi + \Psi^3, \quad (4)$$

with  $\Psi = \phi/\phi_0$  and  $\Psi(0) = \Psi(L) = 0$ . Obviously,  $\xi$  is the usual correlation length and  $\Psi$  is MF relative to  $\phi_0$ , the MF for the corresponding infinite system. Apparently, Eq. (4) is invariant under  $\Psi \leftrightarrow -\Psi$  since  $\pm\Psi$  correspond to the same effective

Hamiltonian  $H$ . Then one can assume  $\Psi \geq 0$  in later discussions. Last equation can be integrated as

$$\xi^2 \left( \frac{d\Psi}{dx} \right)^2 = -\Psi^2 + \frac{\Psi^4}{2} + c, \quad (5)$$

with  $c$  an integral constant which, as will be shown afterward, is in the range  $[0, 1/2]$ .

It should be kept in mind that the solution of last equation should make the effective Hamiltonian  $H$  minimum. Thus the spatial change of  $\Psi$  should be as slow as possible.

Due to the symmetric boundary condition,  $\Psi$  will reach its unique maximum at  $x = L/2$ , where  $d\Psi/dx = 0$ . Let  $k^2 = (1 - c - \sqrt{1 - 2c})/c$ , the integral constant  $c$  as a function of  $\xi$  and  $L$  can be determined through

$$L = 2\xi\sqrt{1 + k^2}F(k), \quad (6)$$

where  $F(k)$  is the first kind of complete elliptic integrals. From above expression, one can see that the integral constant  $c$  depends not on  $\xi$  and  $L$  separately but on the combination of  $L/\xi$ . Thus it is convenient to define a reduced length of the system  $l = L/\xi = l_0\sqrt{1 - T/T_C}$ . The value of  $l_0$  depends only on the nature of the substance under study. In fact both  $l$  and  $l_0$  are dimension-less, they are ratios between the real thickness of the film and certain characteristic lengths. In fact, Eq. [4] can be written in terms of dimensionless variable  $l$ . Since  $k$  should be in the interval  $[0, 1]$  the integral constant  $c$  should be in  $[0, 1/2]$ . Smaller  $c$  corresponds to smaller reduced length  $l$ . The behavior of  $c$  with  $l$  is shown in Fig. 1. A special feature appears as shown in the figure. If the reduced length  $l \leq \pi$ ,  $c = 0$  thus the maximum of the MF or averaged order parameter  $\Psi = 0$ . In other words, under such condition the system will remain in the disordered phase despite the bulk critical point has been crossed from above. One should notice that what plays a role is not the real length  $L$  of the system but the reduced one  $l$  which depends also on the

deviation from the bulk critical point. This shows that if the reduced length of the system is too short, either due the small size of the system or due to its closeness to the bulk critical point, the influence of the boundary will dominate. This result is not surprising considering the physical meaning of the correlation length  $\xi$  which can be regarded as an indication of the influence range of the boundary condition. The minimum values of the reduced length  $l_{min} = \pi$ , as a mathematical result, can be understood physically. If  $c$  is very small, the maximum of  $\Psi$  is also very small, so the  $\Psi^4$  term in Eq. (5) can be neglected. Then the solution of Eq. (5) is  $\Psi = \sqrt{c} \sin(x/\xi)$ . As discussed above,  $\Psi$  should reach its maximum before  $x = L/2$ , thus  $l = L/\xi \geq \pi$ , otherwise  $\Psi \equiv 0$ . Of course, the existence of  $\Psi^4$  term will modify the behavior of  $\Psi$  and make the two parts for  $x > L/2$  and  $x < L/2$  join together smoothly for larger  $l$ .

The existence of a minimum reduced length  $l_{min}$  is an indication of the shift of critical point for a the finite size system with respect to the bulk one. One can easily get the critical temperature  $T_C(l_0)$  at which the finite system begins to have non-zero averaged order parameter

$$T_C(l_0) = T_C \cdot \left(1 - \left(\frac{\pi}{l_0}\right)^2\right). \quad (7)$$

If the characteristic length  $l_0$  of the system goes to infinity (thus the system becomes unlimited),  $T_C(l_0)$  in last equation returns to the bulk value  $T_C$ . But for small  $l_0$  the shift of critical temperature will be important. Specially, if  $l_0 \rightarrow \pi$ ,  $T_C(l_0) \rightarrow 0$ , so that the limited system will stay in the disordered phase until the temperature becomes absolute zero. Thus there exists a lower bound for the size of the system above which there may exist phase transition. With the increase of  $l$ , the constant  $c$  increases monotonously and saturates at  $1/2$  for  $l \rightarrow \infty$ . When  $c \sim 1/2$ , the MF

$\phi$  almost equals to the value  $\phi_0$  except in the narrow bands near the boundaries. This shows that the effects of the boundary condition can be ignored for system with the reduced length  $l \gg 1$ . This point can be seen from the behaviors of other thermodynamic quantities.

The effects of the boundaries on the distribution of MF  $\Psi$  can also be shown from Eq. (5). One can first normalize the film by variable transformation  $x = x'L$ . Then

$$x' = \frac{1}{l} \sqrt{1+k^2} F(\sin^{-1} \frac{\Psi \sqrt{1+k^2}}{\sqrt{2}k}, k), \quad (8)$$

where  $F(\theta, k)$  is the first kind of incomplete elliptic integral. From last expression the MF  $\Psi$  can be solved as a function of  $x'$  and  $l$ . Due to the existence of minimum reduced length  $l_{\min} = \pi$  one is interested in the varying behavior of the distribution of  $\Psi$  verse  $l' \equiv l - \pi$ . For  $l' = 0.0625, 0.214, 0.328, 0.409, 0.863$  and  $10.00$  the distributions of MF  $\Psi = \Psi(x', l)$  are shown in Fig. 2. From those figures one can see that the maximum value of  $\Psi$  increases with the increase of  $l$  and when  $l$  is large enough there is a plateau in the spatial distribution of  $\Psi$ . The larger  $l$ , the larger the fraction of the plateau, indicating the lesser influence of the boundary condition. Because of the continuous change of the distribution with the reduced length, the singularities accompanied with the infinite system are smeared, as demanded from general physical consideration. This can be shown from the behaviors of thermodynamical quantities.

To study the finite-size effects on free energy, define  $F_\infty = -3\gamma^2/2b$ , which is the density of free-energy for infinite system. Then the ratio  $F_r$  between free energy of the thin film per unit thickness  $F/L$  and that of the infinite counterpart per unit

volume  $F_\infty$  can be shown as

$$F_r = \frac{F}{L F_\infty} = \frac{2}{l} \int_0^l c(t) dt . \quad (9)$$

This ratio depends only on the reduced length of the system, indicating the mutual influences of the boundaries and intrinsic thermal motions. From the saturation of  $c(l)$ , it is obvious that  $F_r$  also saturates to 1 for  $l \rightarrow \infty$ . But as shown in Fig. 3, the saturation of  $F_r$  to its maximum value 1 is very slow, so to speak, the influences of the boundary condition is in force even the length scale  $L$  of the system is over ten times larger than the correlation length  $\xi$ . Thus the influences of boundaries should be considered even for systems with size scale much larger than  $\xi$ .

Once the free-energy of the limited system is known, other thermal quantities can be obtained. As an example, one can consider the specific heat capacity. Define  $C_r$  the ratio between specific heat capacity of the limited system and that of the infinite counterpart. After simple algebras, one arrives at

$$C_r \equiv \frac{C_V(l)}{C_V(\infty)} = \frac{5}{4}c + \frac{3}{8}F_r + \frac{1}{4}l \frac{dc}{dl} . \quad (10)$$

Again this ratio  $C_r$  only depends on the reduced length of the system. The vary of  $C_r$  with the reduced length  $l$  are shown in Fig. 4. In the region of  $l$  close to its critical value  $\pi$ , the relative specific heat capacity increases quickly with  $l$ , but the rate of increase slows down and finally saturates to 1 as expected.

As a summary, we have investigated the finite-size effects on critical behaviors based on a MF approach adapted for the limited system with a one-component order parameter. Though the fluctuations have not been considered, interesting critical phenomena due to finite size of the system have been shown. The relative thermodynamical quantities such as the free energy and specific heat capacity with respect to those for infinite counterpart are expressed as scaling functions of the



reduced length  $l$ . It will be fruitful to study the modification to the MF results from fluctuations, which will be discussed elsewhere.

## References

- [1] M.E. Fisher, in: *Critical Phenomena, International School of Physics "Enrico Fermi"*, Course 51, ed. M.S. Green (Academic, New York, 1971).
- [2] M. Suzuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **58**(1977)1142.
- [3] E. Brézin and J. Zinn-Justin, Nucl. Phys. **B257**(1985)867.
- [4] J. Rudnick, H. Guo and D. Jasnow, J. Stat. Phys. **41**(1985)353.
- [5] A. Esser, V. Dohm and X.S. Chen, Physica **A222**(1995)355; X.S. Chen, V. Dohm and N. Schultka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**(1996)3641.
- [6] C.B. Yang and X. Cai, cond-mat/9612074.

## Figure Captions

**Fig. 1** The behavior of the integral constant  $c$  as a function of the reduced length  $l$  of the system.

**Fig. 2** Distributions of mean field  $\Psi$  as defined in text over the normalized space  $x'$  for various  $l' = l - \pi$ .

**Fig. 3** The ratio between the free energy density of a limited system and that of the infinite counterpart as a function of the reduced length of the system.

**Fig. 4** The ratio between the specific heat capacity of a limited system and that of the infinite counterpart as a function of the reduced length of the system.




Fig. 1





Fig. 2



l

Fig. 3




Fig. 4