Dynamics and Instabilities of Planar Tensile Cracks in Heterogeneous Media

Sharad R am anathan and D aniel S.F isher
Physics D epartm ent, H arvard U niversity, C am bridge, M assachusetts 02138
(N ovem ber 7, 2021)

The dynam ics of tensile crack fronts restricted to advance in a plane are studied. In an ideal linear elastic medium, a propagating mode along the crack front with a velocity slightly less than the Rayleigh wave velocity, is found to exist. But the dependence of the elective fracture toughness (v) on the crack velocity is shown to destabilize the crack front if $\frac{d}{dv} < 0$. Short wavelength radiation due to weak random heterogeneities leads to this instability at low velocities. The implications of these results for the crack dynamics are discussed.

PACSnumbers:6220Mk, 03.40Dz, 46.30Nz, 81.40Np

The conditions under which solids fail by extension of pre-existing cracks are quite well established [1], but the dynamics of crack-front propagation is poorly understood. Experim ents show a variety of types of crack surfaces including fractal roughness over a wide range of length scales [2] and erratic dynamics of the local crack-front velocity [3]. With the exception of the uniform motion of a straight crack-front of a planar crack in an ideal elastic solid, there has not been, until recently, much theoretical analysis of these problems. Indeed, even what determines in which direction a section of crackfront will advance is not understood [4{6], although it is clear that this involves physics on smaller scales than the regim e of continuum elasticity theory. This has been the subject of substantial recent attention [5,6], but the effects of heterogeneities, side branching and other e ects are only just starting to be addressed.

In this paper, we consider a seem ingly much simpler problem: the dynam ics of a tensile (mode I) crack that is con ned to a plane in a weakly heterogeneous material. In this case, the local dynam ics of the crack-front is determined by energy conservation and we can therefore use continuum mechanics with the non-linear small scale physics near the crack-front just determining the local fracture toughness. But we shall see that, nevertheless, the combined e ect of even weak heterogeneities and elastodynam ics can be dramatic.

In a scalar approximation to elasticity, Perrin and Rice [7] found that to lowest order in a weak random ness perturbation theory, heterogeneities in the fracture toughness led to slow | logarithm ic in time | roughening of a planar crack-front suggesting that this system does not reach a statistical steady state. In this paper, we st show that the dynamics of a tensile crack are very dierent from the scalar approximation. In particular, in an ideal system with constant fracture toughness, , a m ode I crack that is advancing with velocity v, supports a propagating mode along the crack-front with a velocity that is slightly slower than the Rayleigh wave velocity, c, and depends weakly on v. This mode does not exist in the scalar approximation and its presence dramatically enhances the e ects of weak heterogeneities. Furtherm ore if the toughness (v) is not constant but decreases with

velocity, the propagating mode and hence the crackfront becomes unstable. A perturbative analysis of the e ects of random local heterogeneities is found to yield an e ective (v) whose contributions from short wavelength acoustic emission indeed make $\frac{\theta}{\theta\,\nu}$ < 0 at least for v=c small. The implications of these results are discussed and we speculate on possible scenarios suggested by them .

We study a tensile crack con ned to the plane y = 0with the crack open in the region x < F(z;t). The norm al displacem ent has a discontinuity across the crack, i.e., $u_y (y = 0^+) \in u_y (y = 0)$, while the normal stresses, $_{iy}$ (y = 0) vanish on the crack surfaces. Under an external load, the displacement eld u, has a F(z;t) singularity at the crack-front with an amplitude proportional to the local m ode I stress intensity factor, $K_{T}(z;t)$. As long as the crack remains planar, the loading is purely modeIsowewriteK K_I. The system is under a static load applied very far away so that for a straight crack at rest, (i.e., F = constant), $K = K^1 = constant$. The crack is considered to be initially at rest (at large negative times) and move a total distance small compared to its length and the sample dimensions and for a time less than the sound traveltim es across the sample.

If the crack-front advances, F ! F + F , an energy per unit area of new crack [k = F(z;t);z]m ust be provided to the crack front in order to fracture the solid; in an ideal quasi-equilibrium situation this is just twice the solid-vacuum inter-facial energy density, more generally it is the local fracture toughness that includes the e ects of small scale physics for which linear continuum elasticity is not valid. The fracture energy will be provided to the crack-front by a ux of stored elastic energy per unit area of new crack G(z;t;fFg), which in general depends on the past history of the crack and its instantaneous local velocity $\frac{g_F}{g_T}$: The dynam ics of the crack is given by

G [z;t;fF (
$$t^0$$
 t)q] = [x = F (z;t);z] (1)

for all z and t.

The available energy, G, has the general form

$$G = [v_2(z;t)]G_R[z;t;fF(t^0 < t)g]$$
 (2)

where G_R , which is independent of (F(z;t)=0), is the available elastic energy at (z;t) for a crack at rest locally and $v_?=\frac{\theta F}{\theta t}=\frac{1+\frac{\theta F}{\theta z}}{2}$ is the local velocity norm al to the crack front. For a straight crack F=constant, $G_R=G^1=(K^1)^2$ 1 $^2=\!E$, with E Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio [8]. The fraction of G_R available for fracture $(v_?)$, decreases linearly from unity for small $v_?$ and goes to zero for $v_?=c$, yielding, for a straight crack with uniform toughness, a monotonic $v\left(G^1\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(G^1=0\right)$ for G^1 greater than the G ri th threshold, i.e., $G^1>0$.

In a weakly inhom ogeneous material, one can hope to perturbatively expand about a uniformly opening crack, writing

$$F (z;t) = vt + f(z;t);$$

$$(x;z) = {}_{0}[1 + (x;z)]$$
and
$$G = G_{0}[1 + q(z;t)];$$
(3)

The variation in the available energy for a small crack-front distortion has the form:

$$q = P f + S(f;f) + T(f;f;f) + O(f)$$
 (4)

where in Fourier space z ! k;t!!

$$P = \mbox{f} \frac{2c}{c^2} \mbox{p} \frac{p}{c^2} \frac{a}{a^2} \mbox{q}^2 \frac{a}{a^2} \mbox{$q2$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \mbox{i} \mbox{dW} \mbox{$I(W;$$^2)$} \mbox{$g$} \qquad (5)$$

with

$$I = \frac{2v^{2}W}{W} \frac{2(W + v^{2})}{W} \frac{(W + v^{2})}{W} \frac{(W + v^{2})^{2}}{W} \frac{(W + v^$$

the contour integral circling the cut in the complex W plane from $W = b^2$ to $W = a^2$ with a and b the longitudinal and transverse sound velocities respectively; the argum ent of the logarithm in Eq. (6) the function whose zero is W = c^2 ; $\frac{!^2}{k^2} + v^2$ the square of a phase velocity in the rest fram e; and !!! $\pm \frac{i0 \text{ needed}}{2}$ to de ne all cuts e.g., $\frac{1}{c^2}$ = isign (!) $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{c^2}$ for large!. The functionals S and T are bi- and tri-linear, respectively, with each consisting of a sum of many terms that are sequences of multiplications and convolutions () involving P (k;!;v) and functions related to it The linear kernel, P, can be derived from the results of M ovchan and W illis [9] but a sim pler m ethod was developed to obtain the non-linear term s; it will not be reproduced here. For i! $\frac{d \ln}{dv_2}$ representing the dependence of G on local velocity, while for ! = 0, P / jkj representing the long range sti ness of the crack (as found in the scalar elasticity approximation) [7]. Generally, for

j! j< $\frac{p}{c^2-v^2}$ k; the imaginary part $P^{(0)}(k;!)=0$ since no bulk or crack-surface modes can carry away energy in this regime. But, perhaps surprisingly, for a particular ! < ckj P[! = s(v)kj] = 0 implying a propagating mode along the crack-front with velocity s(v) in the moving frame and $\frac{s(v)}{c^2-v^2}$ increasing from 0.94 for v=0 to 1 for v! c. Evidence for this propagating mode is seen in recent numerical simulations of Morrissey and Rice [10]. This is in striking coptrast to the scalar approximation for which $P = \frac{c}{c^2-v^2}$ $k^2(c^2-v^2)$ l^2 yielding a l=t decay of the maximum distortion at long times after a localized disturbance caused by a tough region.

D am ping of bulk sound modes can be taken into account by replacing ! 2 by ! 2 =(1 $\,$ i! $_{\rm d}$) in P (and S and T). This yields a dam ping rate of the propagating crackfront mode proportional to ! 2 $_{\rm d}$. The leading e ect of weak heterogeneities can readily be seen to yield a mean square roughness in steady state:

C (z) hjf (z +
$$z^{2}$$
;t) f (z^{2} ;t) j i
$$= \frac{z z}{x} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(q = \frac{1}{v};k)(2 - 2\cos kz)}{v^{2}(k;w)^{2}}$$
(7)

with \hat{z} the Fourier transform of the correlations h (x;z) $(x^0;z^0)$ i of the fractional toughness variations. The propagating mode yields at large separations \hat{z} cl=v

$$C (z) = \frac{\dot{z}\dot{j}}{V_{c}d}h^{2}\dot{i}^{2}$$
 (8)

where lisa characteristic correlation length of the toughness variations. This is much rougher than the C (z) $\frac{c\,l^2}{v}\,\ln^2\,(\dot{z}\,\dot{j}=c_d) \text{ or C (z)} \qquad \frac{c\,l^2}{v}\,\ln\,(\dot{z}\,\dot{j}=c_d) \text{ obtained, respectively, in the scalar or quasi-static (P = iw=c+ jkj) approximations to the stress transfer.}$

As we shall see, the roughness of Eq. (8) is caused by a straight moving crack being at the boundary of a regime of stability so that small perturbations which we will not can be driven by the random ness induced uctuations can cause it to become much more unstable.

We are particularly interested in the behavior on length and time scales much longer than the scales of variations of the toughness, i.e., l and l=v respectively. On long scales, one might expect that the crack-front would have a well de ned coarse-grained position f that does not vary much, even if on small scales the local velocities and local angles, @f=@z, are strongly uctuating. The long wavelength and low frequency components of the elastic energy ux into the crack, G (or g) will still be given by g = P f. But the non-linear e ects of the random toughness and the non-linearities in G [fF g] willmake the e ective toughness include contributions from radiation of short wavelength sound waves as well as other small scale physics such as plasticity, etc. These cause the e ective toughness to increase and become dependent on the coarse-grained velocity and history. If the

random ness is e ectively averaged out, on long scales the crack will be moving almost uniform ly with a mean velocity \overline{v} determined by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}; \mathbf{f} \quad \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{G}^{1} \quad \overline{\mathbf{v}}$$
 (9)

with \sim depending on the distribution and spatial correlations of the microscopic (x;z).

Naively, it would appear that this system is stable as long as v (G 1) is single valued, i.e., if \widetilde{A} $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ But, in fact, the elastodynam ics in plies that it is unstable at nite wavelengths unless $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ > 0. Linearizing in perturbations f about a putative xed velocity steady state, given by Eq (9), we have for long wavelengths and low frequencies, i!Af = Pf.For A > 0, the response function $^{\circ}$ = $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ has no singularities in the upper half plane and thus the system is stable. The perturbative effects of the residual small random ness will then result in uctuations in f that are strongly suppressed and more like the quasi-static result, with C (z) $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ In z for small A.

W ith the dynam ics appropriate with A > 0, it can be shown that non-linear terms consistent with the symmetry are irrelevant at long scales (in contrast to the case of a simple elastic line moving through a random potential). Thus the long wavelength low frequency behavior for A > 0 is quite simple, although the dynam ic correlations will still reject the elastodynam ics unless A = 0 is very large. But for A < 0, will have poles in the upper half plane corresponding to unstable propagating modes with A < 0, it can be shown means to the symmetry and some some specific plane corresponding to unstable propagating modes with A < 0.

Since we have begun with a more microscopic description of the system, it should be possible to compute the large scale response function perturbatively for weak heterogeneities and thereby nd the sign of A for the case in which the microscopic (x;z) is not velocity dependent. It is somewhat simpler to compute a slightly dierent quantity: (k;!) $\frac{f(k;l)}{(k;l)}$ which is the response to extra energy per unit area added at the crack-front (or roughly equivalently a decrease in the toughness); this will yield the behavior of perturbations and hence also the random ness induced uctuations.

The unperturbed response function is $= _{\circ} = \frac{1}{P}$. Since the interesting regime is near where P vanishes, a direct expansion of in powers of is not useful. Thus instead, we expand

with (the analog of a \self-energy") expected to be well behaved at small k and !; we will thus focus on this. Conventional Feynm an diagram matic techniques can be used via a Martin-Siggia-Rose form ulation of the dynamics, analogous to treatments of, e.g., charge density wave dynamics [11]. The main complication here is the form of the non-linearities. In addition to the non-linearities from expanding k = vt + f(z;t) in powers of k = vt + f(z;t)

are also the non-linear terms in G [ff g], Eq. (4), arising from the moving boundary problem of an irregular crack-front. To compute even the leading correction to

¹, both the second and third order non-linearities in G are needed. But for velocities v c, only the second order parts, S, are needed and there are some simplications; we will focus on this regime here.

From the structure of the perturbative expansion, it can be seen that (k;!) vanishes for k = ! = 0, and for small k and ! has the form

$$(k;!)$$
 i! A + BP $(k;!)$ + O $(!^2;k^2;P^2;P_2;i!^3:::)$ (11)

with $P_2=\frac{R_!}{\text{d!}^0\frac{\text{GP}(!^0)}{\text{GV}}}$: From the above discussion, it should be clear that the coe-cient A will be the most important, with A^ A [1+0 (B)]. We are thus interested primarily in the weak random ness expansion of A.

For low velocities, inverse powers of \overline{v} are associated with non-linearities from the random toughness and thus would be expected to dom inate, with the natural expansion parameter being h 2 ic= \overline{v} [11]. But the leading low velocity contribution to the expansion of A is found to vanish exactly. The dom inant term thus involves both the non-linearities from the random toughness and those from the changes in crack shape. Evaluating these terms yields

A
$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} Z & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}$$

which is independent of v. C orrections to this arise primarily from the random ness induced non-linearities with h 2 i 2 =v 2 term s expected at next order. Thus the expansion should be good if h 2 i v 2 =c 2 (rather than the naive h 2 i v=c).

At low velocities, we thus conclude that the crackfront is unstable at long wavelengths, > _c. The i! 3 term in and the sound wave damping stabilize the system for shorter wavelengths, < _c, with _c max $\frac{c}{h}\frac{d}{2}$; 1 $\frac{c}{v}$; note that the second cuto corresponds to modes with period much longer than the time, $\frac{1}{v}$, for the crack to advance a distance 1. The most rapidly growing modes will have $2_{\rm c}$.

At higher velocities, there are contributions of both signs to the order ² terms in and the sign of A depends on more details of the correlations, (p;k), but at least for simple forms of the correlations, A can become positive for high velocities and the crack-front will be stable. [12]

We now consider various possible scenarios for mode I planar cracks. The simplest scenario, suggested by the perturbative analysis, is that there will be a regime of unstable velocities probably extending down to zero velocity although the perturbative analysis certainly

fails there. A ssociated with this, one might expect hysteresis in the macroscopic velocity $\overline{ ext{v}}$ versus the load K 1 (or G¹) with a stationary crack jumping to a nite velocity as the load is increased, with a statistically steady state moving phase that is only logarithmically rough corresponding to $A^{\sim}(\overline{v}) > 0.0$ n decreasing the load, the crack would not stop until it reaches some lower criticalload at which A ! 0 whereupon it would stop suddenly. A Itematively, for m acroscopic systems, the hysteresis m ight disappear leaving a single-valued but discontinuous \overline{v} (G¹) and a strongly uctuating crack for G^1 just above the critical load G_c^1 $[1 + 0 (h^2 i)].$ The scenario with the stationary crack jumping to a nite velocity when the loading is increased, is consistent with our numerical results on a simpli ed model. [13]

A nother possibility is that strongly non-linear uctuations in the local velocity driven by the variable toughness might drive A positive and stabilize the crack dynam ics even for low velocities. But our understanding of the behavior near G 1 in the quasi-static approxim ation suggests that this is unlikely [14]. Perhaps the m ost interesting possibility is that for some range of velocities, a non-trivial, non-linear statistical steady state m ight occur that is much rougher than logarithm ic and perhaps characterized by propagating modes along the crack. This would be roughly analogous to the behavior of the KPZ [15] equation in dimension d > 2 for which both a non-trivial strongly uctuating phase and a simple weakly uctuating phase exist. Combinations of these possibilities could also occur. The behavior should depend on aspects of the correlations of the random toughness as well as its magnitude and on velocity dependent toughness that arises from other physics such as plasticity, dislocation em ission, therm all creep, and small scale side branching.

Note that even in an ideal system with no toughness variations, them all uctuations can give rise to effects similar to those discussed here as the elastic energy needed to break a bond is less if the bond is already stretched due to them all uctuations. For v a substantial fraction of c, the growth rate of the uctuation driven instability will be of order $\frac{1}{2}$ with of order the ratio of the temperature to the bond breaking energies, and a microscopic time. In practice, the elects of the atom ic scale physics on the elective (v) will probably dominate over them all uctuation elects.

One type of correlation in the toughness that is important to consider is periodic inhom ogeneities | a crude approximation to some of the elects of a crystal lattice. In this case, weakly variable toughness can again be shown to lead to a perturbatively unstable crack-front at low velocities. But the short wavelength acoustic radiation that leads to the velocity dependent elective toughness arises from high order harmonics of order $\frac{c}{v}$ of the periodicity in the x-direction; thus at low velocities the leading perturbative elect can be strongly suppressed. This instability caused by non-steady motion in a periodic system would appear to be related to that found by

M arder and G ross in a one-dim ensional ball and spring" model of a crack [16].

We have shown here that both random and periodic inhom ogeneities in the toughness can dynam ically destabilize the front of a tensile crack moving in a plane and in general such cracks will be unstable to nite wavelength disturbances if their elective toughness decreases with velocity. Understanding the resulting dynamic states of the system as well as the more challenging problem of out-of-plane crack motion, we must leave for future work.

W e would like to thank J.R.Rice and J.M orrissey for useful discussions and communicating their numerical results. This work has been supported in part by the NSF via DMR-9106237, 9630064 and Harvard University's MRSEC.

- [1] B. Lawn, Fracture of Brittle Solids, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1993.
- [2] P. Daguier, S. Henaux, E. Bouchaud and F. Creuzet, Phys. Rev. E 53, 5637 (1996) and references therein.
- [3] J. Fineberg et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 67, 457 (1991).
- [4] An account of various proposed criteria is given in H.Bergkvist and L.Guex, Int.J.Fracture 15, 429 (1979).
- [5] J. Hodgdon and J. P. Sethna, Phys. Rev. B 47, 4831 (1993); B. Cotterell and J. R. Rice, Int. J. Fracture 16, 155 (1980).
- [6] E.S.C Ching, J.S. Langer and H. Nakanishi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1087 (1996).
- [7] G. Pennin and J.R.Rice, J.Mech.Phys.Solids, 42, 1047, (1994).
- [8] L.B. Freund, D ynam ic Fracture M echanics, C am bridge University P ress, C am bridge, 1990.
- [9] J. R. W illis and A. B. Movchan, J. Mech. Phys. Solids 43, 319 (1995).
- [10] J. Morrissey and J. R. Rice, Trans. Amer. Geophys. Union 77, F485, (1996).
- [11] By analogy with charge density waves; see O nuttom Narayan and Daniel S. Fisher, Phys Rev B. 46, 11520 (1992), and references therein.
- [12] We note that in the scalar model rather dierent physics occurs: the short wavelength radiations lead to $\frac{d}{dv} < 0$ resulting in a supersonic mode at low velocities . This mode is generically weakly unstable, resulting again in the instability of the moving crack-front. At higher velocities, depending on the microscopic details of the system , $\frac{d}{dv}$ can change sign resulting in stable crack-fronts that are only logarithm ically rough
- [13] S.Ram anathan and D.S.Fisher, Unpublished.
- [14] If A is large and positive, uctuations will drive it towards low velocities, as can be shown following Ertas and Kardar, Phys. Rev. E 49, R2532 (1994) and Ref. [11]. This is likely to make the crack-front unstable.
- [15] M . Kardar, G . Parisi and Y-C . Zhang, PhysRev Lett, 56,889 (1986).