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#### Abstract

W e present the exact solution to them om ent problem for the spin-1=2 isotrop ic antiferrom agnetic $X Y$ chain $w$ ith explicit form $s$ for the $m$ om ents $w$ ith respect to the N eel state, the cum ulant generating function, and the Resolvent Operator. W e verify the correctness of the $H$ om $-W$ einstein $T$ heorem $s$, but the analytic structure of the generating function he ${ }^{t H} i$ in the com plex t-plane is quite  Expansion due to the vanishing gap. This function has a nite radius of convergence about $t=0$, and for large $t$ has a leading descending algebraic series $E$ (t) E $E_{0} A{ }^{2}$. TheR esolvent has a branch cut and essential singularity near the ground state energy of the form $G(s)=s \quad B \dot{j}+1 j^{3=4} \exp \left(C \dot{j}+1 \mathcal{j}^{1}\right)$. C onsequently extrapolation strategies based on these assum ptions are awed and in practise we nd that the CM X m ethods are pathological and cannot be applied, $w h i l e ~ n u m ~ e r i c a l ~ e v i d e n c e ~ f o r ~ t w o ~ o f ~ t h e ~ t-e x p a n s i o n ~ m ~ e t h o d s ~ i n d i c a t e s ~ a ~ c l e a r ~$ asym ptotic convergence behaviour w ith truncation order.
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## 1 M otivation

Som e novel non-perturbative form alism s based on a $m$ om ent approach have been proposed recently, the $t \pm$ xpansion [1] and the C onnected $M$ om ents Expansion (CM X ) [2],
 gauge theories $17,6,9,12,11,12,13]$ to condensed $m$ atter problem s [14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. These form alism $s$ take their starting point from a nite sequence of low order connected H am iltonian m om ents, or cum ulants $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}: \mathrm{n}=1::: \mathrm{g}$, and appeal to ostensibly exact theorem s for ground state properties in order to extract appropriate averages. In all these applications, the sequence of cum ulants was nite and relatively sm all, and the e ects of truncation and the rate of convergence was com pletely unknown. $T$ his issue has particular practicalim portance because in $m$ any of the applications the convergence and nal estim ates were rather poor considering the e ort expended in calculating a large num ber of $m$ om ents. A s rough nule of thum b, the initial and low order estim ates were very good and the situation looked prom ising but when taken to higher order there w as not a signi cant im provem ent, and in som e cases the convergent behaviour seem ed to be arrested 17, 19, 18]. The expectation of an accurate, perhaps arbitrarily and controllably accurate, $m$ ethod has to date seem ed to be unfounded. The source of these problem s had not been properly identi ed nor any rem edy proposed. H ere we give the rst exam ple of an exact solution to a $m$ om ent problem which can answer som e of these questions.

Furthem ore it was not known whether these techniques were capable of yielding exact results in any case. This is a natural expectation since any form alism, applicable to generalproblem s, m ust have a set of sim ple, but nontrivial solvable cases. T here was another m odel [2], of quadratically coupled harm onic oscillators, for which the exact ground state $w$ avefunction and density $m$ atrioes are know $n$ but the $m$ om ent problem was not exactly solved in that a general cum ulant was not given. W e present the solution to the $m$ om ent problem for the isotropic, one-dim ensional antiferrom agnetic spin $\frac{1}{2}$ X Y M odel O ur solution also dem onstrates an altemative notion of solvability, from those concepts and $m$ ethods usually associated $w$ th the know $n$ solvable m odels.

In the tExpansion, one constructs the cum ulant generating function from its taylor series expansion about $t=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h e^{t H} i=\exp X_{n=1}^{\left(X^{1}\right.} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \quad e^{F(t)} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where allm om ents are calculated w ith respect to som e trialstate, w th the proviso that the overlap with exact ground state is nonzero. Then the H om-N einstein Theorem [1] states that the ground state energy and rst excited state gap (w ithin the sam e sector as the ground state) are given by the follow ing lim its

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{0} & =\lim _{t!1} E(t) \\
E_{1} \quad E_{0} & =\lim _{t!1} \frac{d^{2} E(t)=d t^{2}}{d E(t)=d t} ; \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E$ ( $t$ ) $\mathrm{F}^{0}(\mathrm{t})$. O ther ground state averages can be found as well by com puting the appropriate generalised connected $m$ om ents [] and perform ing the sam e extrapolation. This theorem was \proved" in Ref. ([⿴囗 $]$ ) by assum ing a sim ple discrete point
spectrum for the system, even in the therm odynam ic lim it, and these kinds of assum ptions continue to be com m only used. The question im mediately arises of how this extrapolation can be carried out and severalm ethods 21] are em ployed. T hese are

P ade A nalysis:
$E(t)$ is assum ed to be representable by a $\mathbb{L}=\mathrm{M}]$ P ade approxim ant w ith $L=M$ i.e. 边 has only separable poles and zeros of nite order in any nite region of the com plex t-plane, and the extrapolation $t!1 \mathrm{~m}$ ade directly,

D P ade A nalysis:
$d E(t)=d t$ is assum ed to be representable by a $\llbracket=M$ ] Pade approxim ant $w$ ith M $L+2$, on the basis of the sam e considerations as above, and the ground state energy is found by num erically integrating this

$$
E(1) \quad E(0)=\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \operatorname{dsE}^{0}(s) ;
$$

Laplace M ethod/R esolvent A nalysis:
The R esolvent

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(u)=u \quad h \frac{1}{1+u H} i \text {; } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is assum ed to have a pole structure of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(u)=u \quad \frac{b_{0}}{1+u E_{0}}+\frac{b_{1}}{1+u E_{1}}+::: ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that a $\mathbb{L}=\mathrm{M}]$ P ade analysis w ith $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{L}+1$ can be $m$ ade and the pole found, Inversion A nalysis:

O ne inverts the $E(t)$ function, form $s d t(E)=d E$ and $m$ akes a Pade analysis of this. T he ground state energy is the location of a pole in this derivative, from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t}{d E} \quad \frac{C}{E \quad E_{0}} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\E of F "/Partition Function:
The function $(t)=1$ he ${ }^{t H} i$ is taken as the independent variable instead of $t$. O ne inverts the ( t ) fiunction and $m$ akes a diagonalP ade analysis of $E$ ( ) and extracts the ground state energy from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{0}=\lim _{!1} \frac{1}{(1 \quad) \frac{\mathrm{dt}}{\mathrm{~d}}}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he C onnected M om ents E xpansion, ofw hich there are $m$ any variants, is derived by assum ing

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \text { (t) } \quad E_{0}+X_{j=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{n}} A_{j} e^{b_{j} t}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he variants give the follow ing explicit form s for the ground state energy

CMXHW:
T he rst $m$ ethod 20] gives the ground state energy up the $n$th order as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}=1 X_{i=1}^{X^{n}} \frac{S_{i ; 2}^{2}}{i=1} S_{j ; 3}^{i} ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $S$-variables are recursively generated by

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{1 ; k} & =k \\
S_{i+1 ; k} & =S_{i ; k} S_{i ; k+2} \quad S_{i ; k+1}^{2} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

CM X-SD :
A nother m ethod 20] gives the ground state energy up the nth order as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{0}=!_{1 ; \mathrm{n}} ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the ! -variables are recursively generated by

$$
\begin{align*}
!_{j ; 1} & =j \\
!_{j ; k+1} & =!_{j ; k} \quad 2{\frac{!}{j+1 ; k}!_{2 ; k}}_{!_{3 ; k}}+\frac{!_{2 ; k}}{!_{3 ; k}}{ }^{2}!_{j+2 ; k} ; \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

## CM X - ـT :

This m ethod described in Ref. 20, 22] gives

There is also another variant, called the AM X 23], which is very sim ilar to the CM X HW m ethod. The CMX, in addition to its convergence di culties, often presents another, although less serious problem. Usually the cum ulants are nonlinear functions of som e coupling constant, and the resulting ground state energy estim ate above truncated at som e order above would be rational function of the constant. In these problem s24, 25] an unphysical pole would appear for real coupling values. This pole w as found to be exactly cancelled 23] if one included the next order, but a next order is not alw ays available.

## 2 The M odel

W e follow the conventions and notations of $R$ ef. 26] as closely as possible in what follow s. For the $N$ site chain, w ith $N$ even but not a multiple of 4, the H am iltonian is taken to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} S_{i}^{x} S_{i+1}^{x}+S_{i}^{y} S_{i+1}^{y} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the labels $i_{i} j ;:::$ index the sites. Follow ing the standard treatm ents a transfor$m$ ation from spin operators to a $m$ ixed Ferm iB ose operator algebra is perform ed

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}^{y} ; a_{i} \quad S_{i}^{x} \quad i S_{i}^{y} ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then a W igner-Jordan transform ation to a pure Ferm ionic representation

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c_{i} & e^{i^{P}} \underset{j=1}{i}=1 a_{j}^{y} a_{j} \\
a_{i}  \tag{16}\\
c_{i}^{y} & a_{i}^{y} e^{i^{P}{ }_{j=1}^{i}{ }_{j}^{1} a_{j}^{y} a_{j}}
\end{array}
$$

so that the H am iltonian is now

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{i=1}^{X^{N}}{ }_{c}^{h} C_{i+1}^{y}+C_{i+1}^{y} C_{i}^{i}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In doing so we have neglected the boundary term $s$ arising from the exact $m$ apping of the spin or a-cyclic problem, and will only consider the c-cyclic problem $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N}+1}=\mathrm{Cl}_{1}$. W e take this course because we don't want to com plicate $m$ atters unnecessarily and are only interested in the therm odynam ic lim its.

P roceeding w ith the diagonalisation ofthis quadratic form via the canonicaltrans form ation

$$
\begin{align*}
& p=X_{i} \frac{p_{i}+{ }_{p i}}{2} C_{i}+\frac{p i \quad p_{i}}{2} C_{i} \\
& \underset{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{\mathrm{pi}^{+}+\mathrm{pi}^{\mathrm{y}}}{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}+\frac{\mathrm{pi} \mathrm{pi}^{2}}{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \text {; } \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

w th transform ation coe cients

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\mathrm{pi} & =\begin{array}{ll}
r \frac{2}{2} \\
\sin \mathrm{pi} & \mathrm{p}>0 \\
& =\frac{\frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}}}{\operatorname{cospi}} \\
\mathrm{pi} & =\frac{\mathrm{cosp}}{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{pi}
\end{array} \quad 0
\end{array}
$$

where the quasiparticle energy $p=$ jcosp jand the $m$ om entum values, labelled by p;q;:: are

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{2 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~N}} \quad \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{N}=2 ;::: ; 0 ; 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}=2 \quad 1: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he H am iltonian then becom es

T he transform ation coe cients are orthonorm al in the sense

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{p} & \mathrm{p}^{0}= \\
\mathrm{p} p^{0}  \tag{22}\\
\mathrm{p} & \mathrm{p}^{0}= \\
\mathrm{p} p^{0} \\
\mathrm{p} & \mathrm{p}^{0}=0:
\end{array}
$$

In all the m om ent form alism s a choige has to be m ade as w hat trial state the m om ents of the H am iltonian are com puted with respect to and it is this choice which is the crucial physical one. The only general requirem ent is that, for ground state properties at least, the trial state should have a non-zero overlap w ith the true ground state. In all the previous and approxim ate treatm ents of the the XY chain, and $m$ ost of the other antiferrom agnetic spin $m$ odels, the trial state $w$ as taken to be the classical N eel state. T his state is in fact, as we shall quantify, as far from the true ground state as one could possibly be but its sim plicity allows for ease of $m$ om ent com putation, certainly com pared to altematives. So as a \natural" choice we proceed by only considering this choice.

In the spin picture the N eel state N i w ill be represented by
j"\#"\# :::"\#"\#i ;
and in the ferm ionic c picture by
¡1010 : : :1010i :

The de ning equations for the N eel state are

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{C}_{2 i+1}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~N} i & =0^{\prime} \\
\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~N} i & =0
\end{align*}
$$

and using the transform ation coe cients, Eq. (19), and the spectral points, Eq. (40), these can be show $n$ to be equivalent to the follow ing equations in term $s$ of the quasiparticle operators

$$
\begin{align*}
& \binom{\mathrm{y}}{(\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{N} i=0 \\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{y} \\
\mathrm{p}
\end{array} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \mathrm{N} i=0
\end{align*} \quad 8 \mathrm{p} 2 \mathrm{Z}:
$$

H ere the spectrum of allowed $m$ om entum values have been divided up into the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Z } \quad[\quad ; \quad=2)[(0 ;=2) ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its com plem ent $Z$, and there is a bijection from $Z$ to $Z$ de ned by

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
q & q & q & 0  \tag{28}\\
& q+ & q> & 0
\end{array}
$$

If we denote the vacuum state for the quasiparticles by $j 0 i$ then the $N$ el state can be expressed in term s of the quasiparticles by

and because of the anticom $m$ utation relations for the quasiparticle operators

O ne can easily show that this state satis es the de ning Eq. (26). Basically the N eel state is created from the quasiparticle vacuum state by pairs of excitations whose $m$ om enta sum to .

W e will have need of som e spectral sum s de ned on $Z$ and these are

$$
\begin{align*}
& X \quad{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{2 \mathrm{n}}=\frac{(2 \mathrm{n})!}{2^{2 \mathrm{n}+1}(\mathrm{n}!)^{2}} \mathrm{~N} \\
& \stackrel{q 22}{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{X}  \tag{31}\\
& \mathrm{q}=\frac{1}{\sin (=\mathrm{N})}:
\end{align*}
$$

## 3 G enerating Function

W e now show how to nd the exact form for the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h e^{\text {th }} i \quad h N \quad j e^{t H}-j N i=X_{n=0}^{X^{H}} \frac{n t^{n}}{n!} ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $m$ om ents $n \quad h H^{n}$ i. W ew ill establish this result for a nite system of size N although ultim ately the them odynam ic lim it w illbe taken. It is straightforw ard to show a direct expression for a generalm om ent

$$
\begin{align*}
& n^{n}=2^{N=2} E_{0}^{n}+\underbrace{}_{q 2 Z}\left(E_{0}+2 q\right)^{n}+\frac{1}{2!}{ }_{q_{1} \notin q_{2} 2 Z}\left(E_{0}+2_{q_{1}}+2_{q_{2}}\right)^{n} \\
&:::+\left(E_{0}+2_{q_{1}}+:::+2_{q_{V}=2}\right)^{n}: \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

in its sym $m$ etrical form. Then our initial form for the generating fiunction is

$$
\begin{align*}
h e^{\mathrm{tH}} i=2^{N=2} e^{E 0 t} 1+{ }_{q 2 Z}^{X} e^{2} q^{t}+\frac{1}{2!}{ }_{q_{1} \notin q_{2} 2 Z}^{X} e^{2 t\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)} \\
:::+e^{2 t\left(q_{1}+:::+q_{N}=2\right)} \quad: \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

For sim plicity let us de ne the follow ing sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n} \int_{q 2 z}^{X} e^{2 n t q} ; \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denote the general $p$-th term of the Eq. (34) by $g_{p}=p$ ! after expanding it. This would now be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g_{p}}{p!}=\frac{1}{p!}{ }_{q_{1} f::\left\{q_{p} 2 z\right.} e^{2 t q_{1}}::: e^{2 t} q_{p}: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such sum s over m utually distinct spectral points are recognisable as the cycle index of the Sym $m$ etric $G$ roup $S_{p}$. C onsequently we have the partition sum form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g_{p}}{p!}=\underbrace{X}_{{ }^{p}} \frac{1}{i_{1}=p} \frac{i_{1}!I_{1}^{i_{1}} i_{2} 2^{i_{2}}::: i_{p}!_{p_{p}^{i_{p}}}}{( })^{p+{ }^{p}}{ }^{i_{1}} I_{1}^{i_{1}}::: T_{p}^{i_{p}} ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $n d i m$ ediately the exponential sum, constiluting the generating function, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{p=0}^{x^{1}} \frac{g_{p}}{p!}=\exp X_{n=1}^{\left(X^{1}\right.} \frac{()^{n+1} I_{n}}{n} I_{n} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

E ach term $g_{p}=p$ ! is an elem entary sym $m$ etric polynom ial in the variables $z_{p} \quad e_{P}^{2 t}{ }^{p}$ and Eq. 37) is just the way of re-expressing this in term sof the power sum $s I_{n}=p_{p} z_{p}^{n}$.

The generating function is then expressible in the follow ing sequence of closed form $s$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.h e^{t H} i=2^{N=2 e^{t E} 0} \exp \frac{1}{2}_{\left(0 g_{q}\right.}^{\left(1+e^{2 t} q^{\prime}\right.}\right) \\
& ={ }_{q 2 Z} \cosh \left(t_{q}\right)  \tag{39}\\
& =\sum_{k=0 q 2 Z}^{Y^{\sharp} Y} \quad 1+\frac{1}{{ }^{2}(k+1=2)^{2}} t^{2} \underset{q}{2} \\
& { }_{N!}^{k=0 q 2 z} \operatorname{i}^{\operatorname{N}^{Z}} \quad \exp \quad \operatorname{dq} \log \cosh \left(t_{q}\right) \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his leads to the generating function $F(t)$ associated $w$ ith the t-expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=\frac{N}{Z}_{0}^{Z} \operatorname{dqlog} \cosh \left(t_{q}\right) ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is identical to the form of the free energy 26] for this system of noninteracting quasiparticles w ith zero chem ical potential, with the identi cation $\frac{1}{2}$ ! $t$. The corresponding density $f(t)$, de ned by $F(t) \quad N f(t)$ is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\ddagger(t)}=\sum_{k=0}^{Y^{\ddagger}} \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \overline{1+\frac{t^{2}}{2}(k+1=2)^{2}} \text { " } \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his function is also the cum ulant generating function, so from the taylor series expansion about $t=0$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
2 \mathrm{n}+1 & =0 & \mathrm{n} & 0 \\
2 \mathrm{n} & =\frac{2^{2 \mathrm{n}}}{4 \mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{n}!)^{2}} \frac{(2 \mathrm{n})!}{B_{2 n} \mathrm{~N}} & \mathrm{n} & 1 ; \tag{42}
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathrm{B}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$ are the standard Bemoulli num bers. These cum ulants coincide exactly w th all the low order ones 27] com puted directly by com binatorial enum eration on a com puter.
$T$ here is also a sim pler, $m$ ore usefiul expression for the $m$ om ents, other than that given by Eq. (33). U sing the in nite product expansion for the generating function and expanding it in $t^{2}$, one collects all factors contributing to $t^{2 n}$ term. By picking $n$ such factors, all of which $m$ ust be distinct,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 n}{(2 n)!}=\frac{1}{n!} \frac{4}{2}^{n} \sum_{\left(k_{1} ; q_{1}\right) \epsilon::: \delta\left(k_{n} ; q_{n}\right)} \frac{{ }_{q_{1}}}{\left(2 k_{1}+1\right)^{2}}::: \frac{{ }_{q_{n}}^{2}}{\left(2 k_{n}+1\right)^{2}} ; \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{k=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{1}{(2 k+1)^{2 i}}{ }_{q 2 z}^{X}{ }_{q}^{2 i}=\frac{{ }^{2 i}\left(2^{2 i}\right.}{2^{2 i+2}(i!)^{2}}-B_{2 i} \mathcal{N}^{N} ; \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can apply the cycle index form ula to arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 n=(2 n) \sum_{P}^{X} Y_{i_{1}=n}=1=1 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 A nalyticity and A nalysis

In this part we analyse our results for the generating function and its closely related functions. The cum ulant generating function $F(t)$ and its derived functions have a nite radius of convergence about $t=0$ of $\mathrm{tj}<=2$, and which is evident from the in nite product form of Eq. (41) in having branch points at $t=i(k+1=2)$ for all integer $k$. This nite radius of convergence about $t=0 \mathrm{w}$ ill then be a source of problem $s$ for extrapolation $m$ ethods, even though the function itself is analytic in the neighbourhood of $<(t) 0$.

Focussing on

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\underline{N}_{0}^{Z}{ }_{0}^{=2} d q{ }_{q} \tanh \left(t_{q}\right) ; \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

we verify the $H$ om-W einstein $T$ heorem is exactly satis ed

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t!1} E(t)=\underline{N}: \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the rst excited state has an energy $X_{1}=E_{0}+2$ q for a value of

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{-}{2}\left(1 \frac{2}{N}\right) ; \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $X_{1} \quad E_{0} \quad 2=N$ and that the gap vanishes like $O(1=N)$. The Hom-N einstein theorem for the gap also gives zero. F inally the expression for the overlap 28]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { tiN } \left.-0 i j=\exp \quad 0_{0}^{1} \quad d t \mathbb{E}(t) \quad E(1)\right] ; \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

can also be shown to give the correct answer of $2^{\mathrm{N}=2}$. The vanishing of this in the them odynam ic lim it is another signal of the problem swith using the $N$ eel state.

H ow ever while the speci c predictions of the theorem are correct the basis for these are not, as will be evident in what follow s . W e require for this the large $t$ developm ent of the fiunction $E(t)$ and this can be obtained in a num ber of ways. $U$ sing the $M$ ellin Inversion $T$ heorem s one can get the leading series only, so we adopt a direct expansion of the integrand. This yields
$E$ (t) $\quad E_{0}=\frac{N}{2}_{m=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{(m \quad 1=2)}{m!(1=2)}\left(1 \quad 2^{1} 2 m\right) \beta B_{2 m} j{\underset{t}{2 m}}^{2 m}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\underline{2 N}_{n=1}^{X^{1}}()^{n} e^{2 n t}{ }_{m=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{(1=2)_{m}(2 m+1)!^{2 x+1}}{m!}{ }_{k=0}^{k!}(2 n t)^{2 m 2+k}: \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Several com $m$ ents are in order here. The above $m$ sum s are separately divergent sum $s$, and consequently these expansions are asym ptotic, but when combined the result is convergent. The leading term s are algebraic, and the exponential term s are subdom inant, w ith a num erical evaluation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \text { (t) } \quad E_{0} \quad \frac{N}{2} \frac{1}{12} \bar{t}^{2}+\frac{7}{960} \bar{t}^{4}+::: ; \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is at com plete variance w ith com $m$ on assum ptions discussed above. This is basically due to the vanishing spectral gaps. The speci c leading exponent of 2 and not 1 in the descending series of $t$ is also signi cant and di erent from the $P$ ade analysis assum ptions. A diagonal P ade analysis of the taylor series for $E$ ( $t$ ) is not possible because the $2 n+1$ are zero, and so the $t$ ! 1 lim it either doesn't exist or is trivially zero.

However a D Pade analysis is possible as $M / M+2$ ] approxim ants can be constructed for $E^{0}(t)$. $W$ e display in $F$ igure 1 the error for the ground state energy, com puted using a series of $D P$ ade approxim ants for $M$ values up to 24 . It is clear that while there is initial convergence, this eventually ceases and no further im prove$m$ ent is evident. A nd the best result is never any better than $10{ }^{4}$. Furthem ore there are som e gaps in our data where the P ade approxim ant had singularities close to the positive real axis which $m$ ade the num erical integration algorithm unreliable. There are two sources of error in this analysis, the rst being the error due to the nite P ade approxim ants of the integrand, and the second due to the num erical integration. W e consider the latter error to be sm all ( $m$ uch sm aller than $10{ }^{4}$ ) and relatively uniform across the series so that $m$ ost of the variation is due to the truncation.
$T$ he Inversion $M$ ethod is also awed here in that the leading order factor fort( $E$ ) has a branch cut singularity, not a pole

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(E) \quad \overline{24}^{N}{ }^{1=2} P \frac{1}{E E_{0}} \text { : } \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have done an inversion analysis, using a full range of P ade approxim ants $\mathbb{L}=\mathrm{M}]$ to Eq. (G), and present the error for the ground state energy in $F$ igure 2 against $L$. There are som e points not evident in this F igure, and that is the root of the denom inator
polynom ial closest to the exact ground state energy was not necessarily real, nor the one w ith the low est realpart. H ere it is also clear that there is good intitial convergence but the im provem ent ceases and in fact gets w orse. T here are som e points m uch closer to the true answer than the rest but it is also true that there is clustering around this point and there is no way of uniquely distinguishing this point. A lso going to higher order doesn't lead to any im provem ent.

The Resolvent can be exactly w rilten dow $n$ as
in term sofa scaled inverse energy $s=u N=$, although not explicitly evaluated. Instead we present a saddle point approxim ation to this integral for N ! 1 which yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(s)=s \quad \frac{N}{2^{2}} s^{2}{ }_{0}^{Z}=2\left(d q{ }_{q}^{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(S x_{0}\right. & q^{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad{ }^{1=2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where the saddle point $x_{0}$ is given by the functional equation

$$
1=s_{0}^{Z} \int_{q}^{=2} d q \quad \tanh \left(\begin{array}{ll}
s x_{0} & q \tag{55}
\end{array}\right):
$$

The inverse energy lies in the range jsj> 1 and as $s!\quad 1$ then the point $x_{0}!1$. U sing the asym ptotic form for this integral, nam ely from the large $t$ analysis Eq. (51), one nds in the neighbourhood of the ground state energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \quad \overline{2^{p}} \overline{6} \dot{j}+1 j^{1=2} ; \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that the R esolvent behaves like

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(s)=S \quad 2^{N=2} \quad \frac{4: 6^{3=2}}{7=2} N \quad{ }^{1=2} \quad \dot{j}+1 j^{3=4} \exp \quad \frac{N}{2^{P}-\dot{6}} \dot{s}+1 \jmath^{j=2} \quad: \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that we have a branch cut and essential singularity, and not sim ple separated poles.
For the $\backslash E$ of $F$ " analysis the inversion of the function ( $t$ ) for ! 1 has the follow ing leading order term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}=\frac{-}{2} \log 2+\frac{-}{\mathrm{N}} \log (1 \quad)+\mathrm{O}(1=\log (1 \quad)) ; \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consequently the energy fiunction $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{)}$ has the leading term as ! 1 (w ith xed N) of

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \text { ( ) } E_{0} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~N}^{3}}{24} \frac{1}{\log (1 \quad)\}} \text {; } \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is not a rational function of .
The C onnected $M$ om ents Expansions actually exhibit pathological behaviour in this M odel in that for both the CM X HW and CM X-SD the recursion can't even get started because $3=0$. A nd for the CM X-LT when the dim ension of the $m$ atrix to be inverted is odd then it is exactly singular, and when it is even the inner product is exactly zero, which is again due to 3 vanishing. This behaviour is typical in that the expansions work better when 3 is large, say relative to ${ }_{2}^{3=2}$.

## 5 C onclusions

W e have given the exact solution to the $m$ om ent problem for the one dim ensional isotropic spin-half antiferrom agnetic XY M odel and explicitly found expressions for the $m$ om ents, the generating function and the Resolvent. W ith this M odel we have
 correct but that the assum ptions upon which they are based, are not true. In fact we nd leading order algebraic decay in $E$ ( $t$ ) $E_{0}$ of second degree and not sum $s$ of exponentialdecay term $s$, that the inversion ofE $(t)$ has a leading order branch cut, and that the R esolvent in the neighbourhood of the ground state energy has branch cut and essential singularities. For this M odel we nd the CM X m ethods and som e of the texpansion extrapolation $m$ ethods to give pathological results, and the two t-expansion $m$ ethods that can be applied give clear indications of having asym ptotic convergence behaviour w ith respect to the truncation order. The analytic properties of this M odel are at com plete variance $w$ th com $m$ only held assum ptions $m$ ade by the $m$ ethods and show s why extrapolation and expansion procedures based on these assum ptions have poor convergence. H ow ever we optim istically predict that revision of the extrapolation $m$ ethods, along lines indicated by our results, should dram atically im prove the conver-
 $M$ odel is perhaps the $m$ ost di cult case to treat $w$ ith $m$ om ent $m$ ethods em ploying the $N$ eel state as the trial state, being the $m$ ost extrem equantum lim it of the anisotropic antiferrom agnetic $H$ eisenberg $M$ odels, a num ber of features found here are expected to survive for the isotropic $H$ eisenberg M odel. In this last case the excited state gap still vanishes, as in our current exam ple. W e also want to em phasize that the general questions of convergence in these $m$ ethods, which are prim arily of a physical and not just a technicalm athem atical nature, still rem ain open.
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