# Expressing Products of Ferm i Fields in terms of Ferm i Sea Displacements

Girish S. Setlur

January 15, 2022

#### A bstract.

An attempt is made to generalise the ideas introduced by Haldane and others regarding Bosonizing the Ferm i surface. The present attempt involves introduction of Bose elds that correspond to displacements of the Ferm i sea rather than just the Ferm i surface. This enables the study of short wavelength uctuations of the Ferm i surface and hence the dispersion of single particle excitations with high energy. The number conserving product of two Ferm i elds is represented as a simple combination of these Bose elds. It is shown that most (!) commutation rules involving these number conserving products are reproduced exactly, as are the dynamical correlation functions of the free theory. Also the work of Sharp, Meniko and Goldin has shown that the eld operator may be viewed as a Unitary representation of the local current group. An explicit realisation of this unitary representation is given in terms of canonical conjugate of the density operator.

### 1 Introduction

Recent years have seen remarkable developments in many-body theory in the form an assortment of techniques that may be loosely termed bosonization. The beginnings of these types of techniques may be traced back to the work of Tomonaga[1] and later on by Luttinger [2] and by Leib and Mattis [3]. In the 70's an attempt was made by Luther [4] at generalising these ideas to higher dimensions. Closely related to this is work by Sharp et. al. [11] in current algebra. Mention must also be made about the work of Feenberg and his collaborators[12] who have a theory of correlated electrons written down in the standard wavefunction approach familiar in elementary quantum mechanics. It seems that the approach adopted here has some similarities with this work although the details are not identical. More progress was made by Haldane [5] which culminated in the explicit computation of the single particle propagator by Castro-Neto and Fradkin [6] and by Houghton, Marston et.al. [7] and also by Kopietz et.al. [8]. Rigorous work by Frohlich and Marchetti[9] is also along similar lines.

The attempt made here is to generalise the concepts of Haldane [5] to accommodate short wavelengths uctuations where the concept of a linerised bare fermion energy dispersion is no longer valid. To motivate progress in this direction one must art introduce the concept of the canonical conjugate of the Fermi density distribution. This concept is likely to be important in the construction of the eld operator in terms of the Fermi sea displacements, although the latter is not completed in this article. The concept of the velocity operator being the canonical conjugate of the density has been around for a long time, and this has been exploited in the study of HeII by Sunakawa et. al. [10]. However, the author is not aware of a rigorous study of the meaning of this object, in particular, an explicit formula for the canonical conjugate of the density operator has to the best of my knowledge never been written down in terms of the eld operators. The work by Sharp et. al. [11] comes close to what I am attempting here.

## 2 Unitary Representation of the Local Current Group

The work of Sharp et. al. [11] established that the current algebra itself does not convey the underlying particle statistics rather the statistics is hidden in a choice of a unitary representation of the local current group. Here, I try to write down some formulas that provide (possibly for the rst time) an explicit and exact representation of the Ferm i eld operator in terms of the canonical conjugate of the density operator thereby providing an explicit realisation of the claims of Sharp et. al. [11]

### 2.1 Som e M athem atical Identities

$$(x ) = {}^{y}(x ) (x )$$
 (1)

is a bose-like object; namely it satis es

$$[(x); (x^{\circ})] = 0$$
 (2)

Notation:

$$N = dx (x)$$

$$n = \frac{N}{V}$$
$$= hn i$$

is a c-num ber, whereas N and n are operators.

So it natural to introduce the canonical conjugate (x).

$$[(x);(x^0)] = i^d(x x^0); 0$$
 (3)

$$[ (x); (x^{\circ})] = 0$$
 (4)

P ropose the ansatz

$$(x) = \exp(i(x))\exp(i(x))(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (5)

The above Eq.(5) shall be called the DPVA ansatz. Here again,

$$(x) = i \ln \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} + (x) \right) ( + (x) \right] \frac{1}{2} \exp \left( i \left( \left[ \right]; x \right) \right)$$
 (6)

$$(x) = (x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (7)

$$(x ) = (x ) \tag{8}$$

is a c-num ber given by = hn i. W here ([];x) is some herm it in functional to be computed later. This ansatz autom atically sats es

$$y(x) (x) = (x)$$
 (9)

and

$$[ (x ); (x^{\circ})] = {}^{d}(x x^{\circ}) ; (x )$$
 (10)

W rite

$$(x) = n + \frac{1}{V} X_{q \in 0} + \exp(iq x)$$
 (11)

where  $n = \frac{N}{V}$ . and

$$(x ) = X_0 + \sum_{q \in 0}^{X} \exp(iq x) X_q$$
 (12)

$$[X_0;N_0] = i_0 \qquad (13)$$

$$[X_q;_{q^0}] = i_{q;q^0}, \qquad (14)$$

T herefore

$$(x ) = \exp(iq x)X_q)F([f_k \circ g];x)$$
(15)

w here

$$F([f_{k} \circ g]; x) = \exp(i ([f_{k} \circ g]; x)) (n + \frac{1}{V} \sum_{q \in 0}^{X} \exp(iq x)_{q})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(16)

It is possible to write these formulas in momentum space. This will be useful later on. First we write

T herefore

$$(x ) = \exp( i \frac{x}{i} \exp(iqx)X_q ) \exp( i \frac{x}{i} \exp( iqx) ([];q)) (n + \frac{1}{V_q} ([];q)) (n + \frac{1}{V_q} ([];q))^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (18)

It is possible to write the corresponding formula in momentum space by making the identications

$$\exp(iq x) ! T_q (k)$$
 (19)

$$\exp(iqx)! T_q(k)$$
 (20)

w here

$$T_{\alpha}(k) = \exp(q \mathbf{r}_{k}) \tag{21}$$

The translation operators translate the Kronecker delta that appears in the extreme right. It may be veried that

$$[(k);_{q}] = (k q);_{q}$$
 (23)

$$[ (k); N \circ ] = (k) : \circ$$
 (24)

H ere

$$(x) = \frac{1}{V^{\frac{1}{2}}} x \exp(ik x) (k)$$
 (25)

A lso the following identities are going to be important.

$$(k) = \exp(i \int_{q}^{X} T_{q}(k)X_{q})f_{k}([])$$
 (26)

$$f_{k}$$
 ([]) =  $\exp(i T_{q}(k) ([];q))(N + T_{q}(k)_{q})^{\frac{1}{2}}_{k;0}$  (27)

Check by expansion that

$$X$$
 $f_{k+q=2}^{y}$  ([])  $f_{k q=2}$  ([]) =  $q$  (28)

### Proof (??) of the Ferm ion Commutation Rules

We use the theory of distributions to (try) prove rigorously (good enough for a physicist!) the ferm ion anticom m utation rules. Pardon the pretense of mathematical rigor. The approach is as follows. Let be the space of all sm ooth functions from  $S = R^3$  f"; #g to C. Further, on this space de ne the inner product (Schwartz space). In : $L_C^2$  (S)  $L_C^2$  (S)! C

$$Z X$$

hfjgi=  $dx$  f (x )g(x )

Since the Fermi elds are operator-valued distributions we can construct operators

and we then have to prove

$$fc_f; c_g g = 0 (29)$$

$$c_f^2 = 0 \tag{30}$$

The above two relations shall be called c c anticom mutation rules.

$$fc_f; c_r^{y} q = hq f i$$
 (31)

The above relation shall be called the c  $c^y$  anticom m utation rule. for all f;g that belong to  $L_c^2$  (S). The claim is, that these relations are satisfied provided obeys the recursion below.

([f (
$$y_{1-1}$$
) ( $y_{1} \times^{\circ}$ )  $_{1}$ ;  $_{0}$ g];  $\times$  )
$$+ ([]; \times^{\circ}) ([]; \times)$$
([f ( $y_{1-1}$ ) ( $y_{1} \times$ )  $_{1}$ ;  $g$ ];  $\times^{\circ}) = m$  (32)

where m is an odd integer. This recursion is to be satis ed for all  $(x) \in (x^0)$ . At precisely  $(x) = (x^0)$  the recursion obviously breaks down. But this is not a serious drawback as we shall soon and out. The proof involves working with a basis. Here the integer m has to be even for bosons and odd for fermions. The imposition of the commutation rules by them selves do not provide us with a formula for the herm itian functional. Any rede nition of (x) consistent with [;] = i (:::) may be absorbed by a suitable rede nition of (akin to gauge transform ations pointed out by AHC Neto, private communication). Solution to <math>([];q) by making contact with the free theory. A random choice of ([];x) that satis es the recursion is (A.J.Leggett: private communication) the Leggett ansatz (for fermions) (A.Bo FDM.Haldane [5]).

$$([\ ];x\ ) = \ \ dx \ \ (t(x\ ) \ \ t(x^\circ)) \ \ (x^\circ)$$

rede nitions of that leave the statistics invariant are the 'generalised guage transform ations'. D isclaim erp lease pardon my pretensions at rigor in the following paragraph. It is easy to get carried away. The relation that relates two ferm i elds by a generalised guage transform ation is an equivalence relation. Therefore, analogous to the claim that a state of a system is a ray in Hilbert space, one is tempted to call the equivalence class of ferm i elds under these transform ations the ferm i distribution. Therefore a ferm i distribution is not just one ferm i eld but a whole bunch of equivalent ones. Therefore a state containing one ferm ion at a space point is obtained by acting the creation ferm i distribution on the vacuum. Thus one may construct the Fock space by repeatedly acting these ferm i distributions on the vacuum. Therefore there are two ways by which one can choose . One is a random choice which satis es the recursion. In which case is determined by Eq.(6). The other choice is = i—. In which case, can no longer be chosen arbitrarily. It has to be determined by making contact with the free theory.

Here (x) is the Heaviside step function. The unpleasentness caused by the fact that the recursion for ferm ions does not hold when  $(x) = (x^{\circ})$  is probably remediable by multiplying the ferm i elds which are operator-valued distributions by arbitrary smooth functions and proving properties about these latter objects. More importantly, that to invertible in order for the ansatz to satisfy the recursion. That such a bijective mapping exists is guaranteed by the theory of cardinals. However, this mapping is not continuous let alone dierentiable and therefore of little practical value. (A continuous mapping would imply a homeomorphism between R and R<sup>3</sup> eg.)

The claim is that the state of the ferm is ystem is prescribed by prescribing an amplitude for noting the system in a given con guration of densities. Let

$$W_{FS}([]) = H([])exp(U_{FS}[])exp(i_{FS}([]))$$

be the wavefunctional of the noninteracting ferm i sea. The  $U_{FS}[\ ]$  is uniquely determined by prescribing all moments of the density operator. The functional  $_{FS}[\ ]$  cannot be so determined. Moreover, since the amplitude for noting the system with negative densities is zero, we must also have a prefactor,

$$_{H}$$
 ([ ]) =  $_{y_{1}}$   $_{1}$   $_{H}$  (  $(y_{1})$ )

W here  $_{\mbox{\scriptsize H}}$  (x) is the H eaviside unit step function.

### 2.3 Making Contact With the Free Theory

Consider the operator

$$n (y) W_{FS} ([]) = n_0 (y) W_{FS} ([])$$
 (34)

$$n (y) W_{FS} ([]) = n_0 (y) W_{FS} ([])$$
 (34)  
 $n_0 (y) = (k_F k) \exp(ik_Y)$  (35)

First assume  $y \in 0$ . In eq. (34) there are two undetermined functionals. One is ([];x) and the other is FS ([]). We have remarked earlier that rede nitions of ([];x) are similar to 'gauge transform ations'. These functional gauge transform ations leave the local density of particles invariant but alter the statistics. There is a subgroup of these functional gauge transform ations that also leaves the statistics invariant. A realisation of this subgroup is achieved by for exam ple:

$$([\ ];x\ )\ !\ ([\ ];x\ )+\ ([\ ])$$

This may be exploited to our advantage while solving eq. (34). In other words FS ([]) can be absorbed into ([];x) without altering the statistics. This leaves us with an equation for ([];x) in terms of the properties of the free theory exclusively. Exploiting the recursion relation for ([]; x) we arrive at the result (Here  $y \in 0$ .)

dx ( ((x + y=2) ))
$$^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 ( ((x y=2) )) $^{\frac{1}{2}}$  exp[i ([]; (x y=2) ) i ([]; (x + y=2) )]

$$\exp(U_{FS}[f(y^{\circ})]) \qquad (y^{\circ} (x y=2)) \circ, g] \quad U_{FS}[f(y^{\circ})] \qquad (y^{\circ} (x+y=2)) \circ, g])$$

$$\frac{([f(y^{\circ})]) \quad (y^{\circ} (x+y=2)) \circ, g]}{([f(y^{\circ})]) \quad (y^{\circ} (x+y=2)) \circ, g])} = n_0(y)$$
(36)

The term's involving the Heaviside are indeterm in ate unless we expand around

$$(y) = {}^{d}(0) + (y)$$
 (37)

and,

h 
$$(y) i = {}^{d}(0) =$$
 (38)

### Point Splitting or no Point Splitting?

The attempts made here are partly based on the work of Ligouri and Mintchev on Generalised statistics [13] and work of Goldin et. al.[11] and the series by Reed and Sim on [15] Here I shall attempt to provide a fram ework within which questions such as the existence of the canonical conjugate of the ferm i-density distribution may be addressed. For reasons of clarity we shall not insist on utmost generality. The philosophy being that the quest for utm ost generality should not cloud the underlying basic principles. We start owith some prelim inaries. Let H be an in nite dimensional separable Hilbert Space. We know from textbooks that such a space possesses a countable orthonorm all basis  $B = fw_i$ ;  $i \in Z g$ . Here, Z is the set of all integers. Thus H = S et of all linear com binations of vectors chosen from B.W e construct the tensor product of two such spaces

$$^{\rm N}$$
  $^{\rm 2}$  =  $^{\rm H}$   $^{\rm H}$ 

This is de ned to be the dual space of the space of all bilinear form, s on the direct sum . In plain English this means som ething like this. Let f2 H and g2 H de ne the object f g to be that object which acts as shown below. Let < v; w > be an element of the Cartesian product H H.

of 
$$g < v; w > = (f; v) (g; w)$$

Here, (f;v) stands for the inner product of f and v. De nealso the inner product of two f g and f  $^{\circ}$  g

$$(f \quad g; f^{\circ} \quad g^{\circ}) = (f; f^{\circ}) (g; g^{\circ})$$

Construct the space of all nite linear combinations of objects such as f g with  $di_N$  erent choices for f and g. Lump them all into a set. You get a vector space  $_{NI}$  It is still not the vector space H  $^{2}$ . Because the space of all nite linear combinations of objects such as f g is not complete. Not every Cauchy sequence converges.

Complete the space by appending the limit points of all Cauchy sequences from the space of all nite linear combinations of vectors of the type f g. This complete space is the Hilbert space H  $^2$ . Similarly one can construct H  $^n$  for n=0,1,2,3,... Where we have set H  $^0=C$  the set of complex numbers. Denne the Fock Space over H as

 $F (H) = {M \choose n=0} H^{N}$ 

Physically, each element of it is an ordered collection of wavefunctions with dierent number of particles

is a typical element of F (H). This is the Hilbert Space which we shall be working with. Let  $D^n$  be the space of all decomposable vectors.

 $D^{n} = ff_{1} \quad ::: \quad f_{n}; f_{i} 2 H g$ 

For each f 2 H de ne

$$b(f) : D^{n} ! D^{n-1}; n 1$$
  
 $b(f) : D^{n} ! D^{n+1}; n 0$ 

de ned by

We also de neb(f) $H^0 = 0$ . By linearity we can extend the de nitions to the space of all nite linear combinations of elements of  $D^n$  namely  $L(D^n)$ . For any '2  $L(D^n)$  and 2  $L(D^{n+1})$ 

$$kb(f)' k \stackrel{p}{\overline{n}} k f k k' k$$

$$kb (f)' k \stackrel{p}{\overline{n+1}} k f k k' k$$

$$( ;b (f)') = (b(f) ;')$$

So long as k f k< 1, b(f) and b (f) are bounded operators. An operator O is said to be bounded if

$$\sup_{k',k=1} k 0' k < 1$$

O is unbounded otherwise. The norm of a bounded operator is de ned as

$$k \circ k = \sup_{k', k=1} k \circ' k$$

In order to describe ferm ions, it is necessary to construct orthogonal projectors on F (H). In what follows c(f) will denote a ferm i annhilation operator. c(f) will denote a ferm i creation operator. Physically, and naively speaking, these are the ferm i operators in "m omentum space"  $c_k$  and  $c_k$ . First de ne P to be the projection operator that projects out only the antisym metric parts of many body wavefunctions. For example,

P 
$$f_1$$
  $f_2 = \frac{1}{2}(f_1 \ f_2 \ f_2 \ f_1)$ 

We now have

$$c(f) = P b(f)P$$

$$c(f) = P b(f)P$$

Let us take a m ore complicated example. Let us  $\ \ \, \text{nd out how c (f)c(g)} \ \, \text{acts on a vector } v = \ \, f_1^{\ \ \, N} \ \, f_2 \, .$ 

$$c(f)c(g) = P(b(f)P(P(g)P(g)P(g))$$

$$c(f)c(g) = P(b(f)P(b(g)P)$$

$$c(f)c(g)v = P(b(f)P(b(g)P(v))$$

$$P \quad v = \frac{1}{2!} (f_1 \quad f_2 \quad f_2 \quad f_1)$$

$$b(g)P \quad v = \frac{1}{2!} \frac{p}{2} ((g; f_1) f_2 \quad (g; f_2) f_1)$$

$$P \quad b(g)P \quad v = \frac{1}{2!} \frac{p}{2} ((g; f_1) f_2 \quad (g; f_2) f_1)$$

$$b \quad (f)P \quad b(g)P \quad v = \frac{1}{2!} \frac{p}{2} ((g; f_1) f \quad f_2 \quad (g; f_2) f \quad f_1)$$

$$c \quad (f) \quad c(g)v = (\frac{1}{2!})^2 \frac{p}{2} ((g; f_1) f \quad f_2 \quad f_2 \quad f] \quad (g; f_2) [f \quad f_1 \quad f_1 \quad f])$$

Having had a feel for how the ferm ioperators behave, we are now equipped to pose some more pertinent questions. Choose a basis

$$B = fw_{i}; i2 Zq$$

### De nition of the Ferm i Density Distribution

Here we would like to capture the notion of the ferm idensity operator. Physicists call it (x) =Multiplication of two ferm ields at the same point is a tricky business and we would like to make more sense out of it. For this we have to set our single particle Hilbert Space:

$$H = L_p (R^3)^O W$$

Here,  $L_p$  (R  $^3$ ) is the space of all periodic functions with period L in each space direction. That is if u 2  $L_p$  (R  $^3$ )

$$u(x_1 + L; x_2; x_3) = u(x_1; x_2; x_3)$$
  
 $u(x_1; x_2 + L; x_3) = u(x_1; x_2; x_3)$   
 $u(x_1; x_2; x_3 + L) = u(x_1; x_2; x_3)$ 

W is the spin space spanned by two vectors. An orthonormal basis for W

A typical element of H is given by f(x)  $^{\rm N}$   $_{\sharp}$  . A basis for H is given by

$$q_n = (\frac{2 n_1}{T_1}; \frac{2 n_2}{T_2}; \frac{2 n_3}{T_2})g$$

We move on to the de nition of the ferm i-density distribution. The Hilbert Space H is the space of all n-particle wavefunctions with no symmetry restrictions. From this we may construct orthogonal subspaces

N Tensors from H  $_{+}^{\ \ n}$  are orthogonal to tensors from H  $_{-}^{\ \ n}$  . The only exceptions are when n = 0 or n = 1.

N N

The space  $H_+$  is the space of bosonic-wavefunctions and the space  $H_+$  is the space of fermionic wavefunctions. The denition of the fermionic density distribution proceeds as follows. Let v be written as

$$v = X$$
 $2 f'';#g$ 

The Ferm i density distribution is an operator on the Fock Space, given a vector f v 2 H in the single particle H ilbert Space, and a tensor' in the n-particle subspace of of F (H), there exists a corresponding operator (f v) that acts as follows:

 $$\rm N$$  if  $^\prime$  2 H  $_{+}$   $^{\rm n}$  and

O [ (f v)' ]<sub>n</sub> (
$$x_{1-1}$$
;  $x_{2-2}$ ; ....;  $x_{n-n}$ ) =  $(x_{1}$ ) a (  $(x_{1-1}$ ;  $x_{2-2}$ ; ....;  $(x_{n-n}$ )

Ν

when '2 H  $^{\rm n}$ . The physical meaning of this abstract operator will become clear in the next subsection.

### 2.6 De nition of the Canonical Conjugate of the Ferm iD ensity

W e introduce som e notation. Let  $g = \begin{array}{c} q & \\ \frac{1}{1.3} \exp{(ik_m \ \mathbf{x})} \end{array}$   $_r$ 

$$(k_m r) = c(q)$$

$$(k_m r) = (q)$$

This  $(k_m r)$  is nothing but the density operator in momentum space, familiar to Physicists

$$(k_m r) = X c_{q_n + k_m r}^{V} c_{q_n r}$$

We want to de ne the canonical conjugate of the density operator as an operator that maps the Fock space (or a subset thereof) on to itself. If ' 2 H  $^0$  = C then

$$X_{q_m}' = 0$$

Let ' 2 H  $_{+}^{n}$  , n = 2;3; ... then

$$X_{q_m}' = 0$$

The important cases are when '2 H  $^{\rm n}$  , n = 2;3;::: or if '2 H . In such a case, we set n = N  $_{\rm s}^{\rm 0}$   $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\bullet}$  0 for s 2 f#;"g. Let us introduce some more notation. N  $_{\rm s}$  =  $_{\rm q=0s}$  is the number operator to be distinguished from the c-number N  $_{\rm s}^{\rm 0}$ . The eigenvalue of N  $_{\rm s}$  is N  $_{\rm s}^{\rm 0}$  when it acts on a state such as '2 H  $^{\rm n}$ . Some more notation.

$$(k_m \ s) = (k_m \ s) \frac{p}{N_s^0} \frac{1}{k_m};0$$

and

$$(k_m \ s) = (k_m \ s) \ N_{s \ k_m ; 0}^0$$

The Canonical Conjugate of the density distribution in real space denoted by  $_{\rm s}$  (x) is de ned as follows.

$$_{s}(x_{1} + L; x_{2}; x_{3}) = _{s}(x_{1}; x_{2}; x_{3})$$

$$_{s}(x_{1};x_{2} + L;x_{3}) = _{s}(x_{1};x_{2};x_{3})$$

$$_{s}(x_{1};x_{2};x_{3} + L) = _{s}(x_{1};x_{2};x_{3})$$

The de nition is as follows.

$$_{s}(x) = \underset{q_{m}}{\overset{X}{=}} \exp(iq_{m} x)X_{q_{m} s}$$

$$X_{q_{m} s} = i \ln \left[ (1 + \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{N_{s}^{0}} X_{k_{n}} (k_{n} s) T_{k_{n}} (q_{m}) (1 + \frac{1}{k_{n}} X_{k_{n}} (q_{m})) (1 + \frac{1}{N_{s}^{0}} (k_{n} s) T_{k_{n}} (q_{m})) \frac{1}{2} \exp(i (1 + \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{N_{s}^{0}} ([1]; k_{n} s) T_{k_{n}} (q_{m}))) q_{m}; 0$$

$$([1]; x_{s}) = X_{k_{n}} ([1]; k_{n} s) \exp(i k_{n} x)$$

$$T_{k_{n}} (q_{m}) = \exp(k_{n} x) q_{m}$$

$$([2]; k_{n} s) \exp(i k_{n} x)$$

$$([39]$$

The translation operator translates the  $q_m$  in the K ronecker delta that appears in the extreme right by  $k_n$  and ([];xs) satis es a recursion explained in detail in the previous manuscript. The logarithm is to be interpreted as an expansion around the leading term which is either  $N_s^0$  or  $N_s^0$ . The question of existence of  $X_{q_m s}$  now reduces to demonstrating that this operator (possibly unbounded) maps its domain of denition (densely dened in Fock space) on to the Fock space. Dening the limit of the series expansion is likely to be the major bottleneck in demonstrating the existence of  $X_{q_m s}$ . That this is the canonical conjugate of the density operator is not at all obvious from the above denition. A rigorous proof of that is also likely to be dicult. Considering that we arrived at this formula by rest postulating the existence of  $x_{q_m s}$ , it is probably safe to just say "it is clear that  $x_{q_m s}$ , is in fact the canonical conjugate of the above formula can be deduced may be motivated as follows:

$$(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{V^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{k}^{X} \exp(ik \mathbf{x}) \quad (k)$$

$$= \exp(i \sum_{q}^{X} \exp(iq \mathbf{x}) \times_{q}^{X}) \exp(i \sum_{q}^{X} \exp(iq \mathbf{x}) \quad ([];q])$$

$$(n + \frac{1}{V} \sum_{q \in 0}^{X} \exp(iq \mathbf{x}))^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(40)$$

In the above Eq.(40) ONLY on the right side make the replacements,

$$\exp(iq x) ! T_{\alpha} (k)$$
(41)

$$\exp(iqx)! T_q(k)$$
 (42)

w here

$$T_{q}(k) = \exp(q x_{k})$$
 (43)

and append a  $_{k;0}$  on the extreme right. Also on the LEFT side of Eqn.(40) make the replacement (x ) by (k ). And you get a formula for (k ). In order to get a formula for  $X_{q_m}$  we have to invert the relation and obtain,

$$(xs) = i \ln \left[ \left( \begin{array}{c} p \\ \overline{N_s^0} + \\ \end{array} \right) \exp (ik_n x) \quad (k_n s) \right]$$

$$x \\ \exp(i x_n x) \quad ([i,k_n x)) \quad (N_s^0 + \\ x_n \\ k_n \\ \end{array} \quad k_n = \exp(ik_n x)) \quad (44)$$

Make the replacements on the right side of Eqn. (44)

$$\exp(ik_n x)! T_{k_n}(q_m) \tag{45}$$

$$\exp(ik_n x)! T_{k_n}(q_m)$$
 (46)

where and append a  $q_m$ ;0 on the extreme right. Also on the LEFT side of Eqn.(44) replace (xs) by  $X_{q_m s}$ . This results in formula given in Eqn.(39).

#### Expression in terms of Ferm i Sea Displacements 3

The claim is that the following exact relation holds,

$$C_{k+q=2}^{y}C_{k+q=2} = n_{F} (k) \frac{N}{hN i} q_{i}0 + (\frac{N}{hN i})[_{k}(q) (k \pi)a_{k}(q) + _{k}(q) (k \pi)a_{k}^{y}(q)]$$

$$+ X X + (q_{i} k_{1}k_{2} q_{1}k_{2} k_{2} k_{1}k_{2} q_{2})$$

$$+ (47)$$

where.

$$f_{Q_k};_{Q_r}\circ q=0 \tag{48}$$

and

$$f_{Q_{k}}; C_{k,0}^{Y}g = {}_{k:k} 0$$

$$(49)$$

and,

$$[a_k (q); a_{k^0} (q^0)] = 0$$
 (50)

$$[a_{k} (q); a_{k}^{y} (q^{\circ})] = k_{k} a_{q} a_{q} a_{q}$$
(51)

and,

$$_{k}(q) = {p \over n_{F}(k + q=2)(1 \quad n_{F}(k \quad q=2))}$$
 (52)

and

$$N = c_k^y c_k \tag{53}$$

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ c_k^y c_k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ X \\ K \end{pmatrix}$$

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} K \\ K \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(53)$$

and  $n_F(k) = (k_f + j_f)$  The  $(k \neq j)$  is a rem inder that  $k \neq j$  is nonzero only when  $k \neq j$  0. Also,

$$[N; a_k(q)] = 0 \tag{55}$$

$$[c_p; a_k(q)] = som ething com plicated$$
 (56)

and the kinetic energy operator is,

$$K = X \qquad {}_{k} c_{k}^{y} c_{k}$$

in terms of the Bose elds, it is postulated to be,

$$K = E_0 + \sum_{k,q}^{X} !_k (q) a_k^y (q) a_k (q)$$
 (57)

$$!_k(q) = {}_k(q) \frac{k q}{m}$$

and  $E_0 = \frac{P}{k} k n_F (k)$ . Also, the led Fermi sea is identified with the Bose vacuum. FS >= 10 >. The fact that this is true will become clearer later. At this point it is succient to note that  $!_k(q)$  0 for all k and q. We have to make sure that for the right choice of ,

$$h_{q_1}(t_1)_{q_2}(t_2)_{q_1q_2}(t_3)i \in 0$$
 (58)

The terms linear in the Ferm is a displacements in Eq. (47) are chosen in order to ensure that the correct dynamical four-point functions are recovered. Now for the quadratic terms. The best way to derive formulae for them is to compute the exact dynamical six point function and set it equal to the expression got from the free theory.

$$hc_{k+q=2}^{y} \text{ (t) } c_{k-q=2} \text{ (t) } c_{k-q=2}^{y} \text{ (t) }$$

From the free theory we have

$$I(k;q;t;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};t^{\circ};k^{\circ};q^{\circ};t^{\circ}) = \exp(i\frac{k\pi}{m}t)\exp(i\frac{k^{\circ}\pi^{\circ}}{m}t^{\circ})\exp(i\frac{k^{\circ}\pi^{\circ}}{m}t^{\circ})$$

$$[(1 \quad n_{F} (k \quad q=2))(1 \quad n_{F} (k^{\circ} \quad q^{\circ}=2))n_{F} (k+q=2)_{k+q=2;k^{\circ}} (q^{\circ}=2)_{k+q=2;k^{\circ}} (q^{$$

A lso the six-point function may be evaluated in terms of the Bose elds (sum mation over super uous indices is implied),

$$I = h_{k}(q)a_{k}(q)exp(i!_{k}(q)t) \xrightarrow{q_{1},q_{2}}_{k_{1},k_{2}}(k^{\circ};q^{\circ})a_{k_{1}}^{y}(q_{1})a_{k_{2}}(q_{2})$$

$$exp(i!_{k_{1}}(q_{1})t^{\circ})exp(i!_{k_{2}}(q_{2})t^{\circ}) \xrightarrow{k^{\circ}} (q^{\circ})a_{k_{\infty}}^{y}(q^{\circ})exp(i!_{k^{\circ}}(q^{\circ})t^{\circ})i$$
(60)

The reduced form of the six-point function may be written as,

$$I = {}_{k} (q) \exp( i!_{k} (q)t) \stackrel{q_{1};q_{2}}{k_{1};k_{2}} (k^{\circ};q^{\circ}) \exp(i!_{k_{1}} (q_{1})t^{\circ}) \exp( i!_{k_{2}} (q_{2})t^{\circ}) _{k^{\infty}} (q^{\circ}) \exp(i!_{k^{\infty}} (q^{\circ})t^{\circ})$$

$$ha_{k} (q) a_{k_{1}}^{y} (q_{1}) a_{k_{2}} (q_{2}) a_{k_{\infty}}^{y} (q^{\infty}) i$$

This may be simplied to

$$I = \exp(i!_k (q) t) \exp(i!_k (q)$$

Further, since the commutation rules,

$$[c_{k+q=2}^{y}c_{k-q=2};c_{k-q=2}^{y}c_{k-q=2},c_{k-q=2},c_{k-q=2};c_{k-q=2},c_{k-q=$$

This means, that the coe cents also have to satisfy,

$$q^{\circ}_{k^{\circ}k_{2}}^{\circ}(k;q) \quad {}_{k^{\circ}}(q^{\circ}) + q^{\circ}_{k^{\circ}k_{2}}^{\circ}(k^{\circ}q^{\circ}) \quad {}_{k}(q)$$

$$= k_{+q=2} (q + q^{\circ})_{k_{q=2};k_{q+q}=2} (q + q^{\circ})_{k_{q=2};k_{q+q}=2} (q + q^{\circ})_{k_{q+q=2};k_{q+q}=2} (q + q^{\circ})_{k_{q+q+2};k_{q+q}=2} (q + q^{\circ})_{k_{q+q+2};k_{q+q+q}=2} (q + q^{\circ})_{k_{q+q+2};k_{q+q+q}=2} (q + q^{\circ})_{k_{q+q+2};k_{q+q+q}=2} (q + q^{\circ})_{k_{q+q+2};k_{q+q+q}=2} (q + q^{\circ})_{k_{q+q+q}=2} (q + q^{\circ})_{k_{q+q+q+q}=2} (q + q^{\circ})_{k_{q+q+q+q}=2} (q + q^{\circ})_{k_{q+q+q}=2} (q + q^{\circ})_{k_{q+q+q}=2} (q + q^{\circ})_{k_{q+q+q}=2} (q + q^{\circ})_{k_{q+q+q}=2} (q + q^$$

First notice that  $_k$  (q) is either zero or one. Notice that Eq.(59), Eq.(60) and Eq.(63) are such that they suggest to us,

$$q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4$$
 (k;q) = 0 unless  $k_1$  (  $q_1$ ) = 1 and  $k_2$  (  $q_2$ ) = 1 (64)

$$k_1 + q_1 = 2; k_2 + q_2 = 2 \quad k_1 \quad q_1 = 2; k \quad q = 2 \quad k + q = 2; k_2 \quad q_2 = 2 \quad \text{for} \quad k_1 \quad (q_1) \quad k_2 \quad (q_2) = 1$$
 (65)

and

$$q_1, q_2 \atop k_1, k_2 \ (k; q) = 0 \text{ for } k_1 \ (q_1) k_2 \ (q_2) = 0$$
 (66)

From this one may verify that the formula for the kinetic energy operator written down previously, Eq.(57) is correct. Lastly, we must make sure that the following additional commutation rule holds.

Veri cation of the above rule completes the conmmation that the formula for  ${}^{V}_{k^{+}q=2} c_{k^{-}q=2} c_{k^{-}q=2}$  in terms of the bose elds is exact. The algebra here goes through without a hitch expect in this respect, namely we have to assume that the  ${}_{k}$  (q) = 1.

To sum marise let us write down the following:

\*

THEOREM:

$$c_{k+q=2}^{y}c_{k+q=2}q_{k-q=2} = n_{F}(k)\frac{N}{hN} i_{q;0} + (\frac{N}{hN}i)[_{k}(q)a_{k}(q) + _{k}(q)a_{k}^{y}(q)]$$

$$X X$$

$$+ \frac{q_{1}q_{2}}{k_{1}k_{2}q_{1}q_{2}}(k;q)a_{k_{1}}^{y}(q_{1})a_{k_{2}}(q_{2})$$

$$(68)$$

together with

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 q_1 & p_2 \\
 k_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\
 k_1 & p_4 & p_4 \\
 & p_4 & p_4 \\$$

is an exact transform ation of products of Ferm i elds into Bose elds. Namely, all dynamical moments of  $c_{k+q=2}^{V}c_{k-q=2}$  are recovered exactly, provided we identify the led Ferm is a with the Bose vacuum.

$$a_k (q) \ddagger Si = 0 \tag{70}$$

and the above is also an operator identity, namely they satisfy proper mutual commutation rules.

This may also be written in a simpli ed form as,

$$C_{k+q=2}^{y}C_{k-q=2} = n_{F} (k) \frac{N}{hN i} q_{;0} + (\frac{N}{hN i}) [k (q)a_{k} (q) + k (q)a_{k}^{y} (q)]$$

$$X + k_{q=2 q_{1}=2} (q_{1}) k_{q_{1}=2} (q_{1}) a_{k+q=2 q_{1}=2} (q_{1})a_{k-q_{1}=2} (q_{1}) a_{k-q_{1}=2} ($$

that the com m utation am ongst the  $c_{k+q=2}^{y}c_{k-q=2}$  com e out right. However, there are other ner points that need to be discussed. For example, do the therm odynamic expectation values also come out right? That is, assuming that the tem perature is nite, can we compute the dynam ical density correlation function and show that it agrees with the free theory? The nite temp. case is most unusual. It seems that for dealing with ferm ions at nite tem perature, we have to assume that the Bose like-excitations are always at zero tem perature with zero chemical potential, only the coe cients in the expansion acquire nite temp. values. All this is very strange and on shaky ground. Thus, I shall relegate the nite temp. case to the last section where the skeptical reader may have a eld day dem olishing my ideas.

#### 3.1 The Ferm i Field Operator

A lso how about expressing the Ferm i elds them selves in term softhe Ferm isea displacements? For this one has to rst construct a canonical conjugate of the density and then use it in the DPVA ansatz Eq. (5) [16] to compute the phase functional . I have tried to construct a canonical conjugate of (any canonical conjugate su ces) but it has not been successful. Just for future reference, it is useful to write down a formula for the total current operator.

$$J_{\text{tot}} = \begin{pmatrix} X & k \\ \frac{k}{m} \end{pmatrix} c_k^{y} c_k = \begin{pmatrix} X & X \\ k_1 + k_2 + q_1 + q_2 \\ k_1 + k_2 + q_1 + q_2 \end{pmatrix} c_{k_1}^{q_1 + q_2} a_{k_1}^{y} (q_1) a_{k_2} (q_2)$$
(72)

Therefore,

$$J_{\text{tot}} = \begin{pmatrix} X & (\frac{k}{m})c_k^{y}c_k = X \\ k_1 & (q_1)(\frac{q_1}{m})a_{k_1}^{y}(q_1)a_{k_1}(q_1) \end{pmatrix}$$
(74)

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Thus an alternative but less system atic approach seems to be in order. For this choose,

$$(x) = \exp(iU_0(x))\exp(iU_1(x))\exp(iU_2(x))((x))^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (75)

here  $U_0(x)$  is a c-num ber and,

$$U_{1}(x) = \begin{cases} X \\ [a_{k}(q)f(k;q;x) + a_{k}^{y}(q)f(k;q;x)] \end{cases}$$

$$X X$$

$$U_{2}(x) = \begin{cases} X & X \\ U_{2}(k_{1};q_{1};k_{2};q_{2};x)a_{k_{1}}^{y}(q_{1})a_{k_{2}}(q_{2}) \end{cases}$$
(76)

$$U_{2}(x) = X X U_{2}(k_{1};q_{1};k_{2};q_{2};x)a_{k_{1}}^{y}(q_{1})a_{k_{2}}(q_{2})$$
(77)

Now let us evolve this using the kinetic energy operator,

$$(x;t) = e^{itK}$$
  $(x)e^{itK} = \exp(iU_0(x))\exp(iU_1(x;t))\exp(iU_2(x;t))((x;t))^{\frac{1}{2}}$  (78)

here,

$$U_{1}(x;t) = \sum_{k:\alpha}^{X} [a_{k}(q)e^{it!_{k}(q)}f(k;q;x) + a_{k}^{y}(q)e^{it!_{k}(q)}f(k;q;x)]$$
(79)

$$U_{2}(x;t) = U_{2}(k_{1};q_{1};k_{2};q_{2};x)a_{k_{1}}^{y}(q_{1})a_{k_{2}}(q_{2})e^{it(!_{k_{1}}(q_{1})!_{k_{2}}(q_{2}))}$$

$$(80)$$

The basic philosophy it seems, involves observing that the commutation rules satis ed by number conserving objects such as  $c_{k+q=2}^{V}c_{k-q=2}$  am ongst them selves is independent of the underlying statistics. Thus one is lead to postulate convenient form s for such objects in term s of other Bose elds. But not all approaches are likely to be correct. Take for example, the straightforward substitution,

$$c_{k+q=2}^{y} c_{k-q=2} = b_{k+q=2}^{y} b_{k-q=2}$$
 (81)

(84)

where  $q_k$  are ferm ions and  $b_k$  are bosons. This no doubt makes the commutation rules commount right but is quite obviously wrong because all the correlation functions are wrongly represented.

#### 4 Luttinger and Ferm i Liquids

Consider an interaction of the type,

$$H_{I} = \frac{X}{Q \in 0} \frac{V_{q}}{2 V_{k, k}^{0}} \left[ k_{k}(q) a_{k}(q) + k_{k}(q) a_{k}^{y}(q) \right] \left[ k_{k}^{0}(q) a_{k}^{0}(q) + k_{k}^{0}(q) a_{k}^{y}(q) \right]$$
(82)

$$i \frac{\theta}{\theta t} a_k^t (q) = !_k (q) a_k^t (q) + (\frac{v_q}{V})_k (q) X_k^T (q) a_k^t (q) + (\frac{v_q}{V})_k (q) A_k^T (q) A_$$

The equations of motion for the Bose propagators read as,

$$(i\frac{\theta}{\theta t} \cdot !_{k} \cdot (q)) \frac{ihT \, a_{k}^{t} \cdot (q) a_{k^{\circ}}^{y} \cdot (q^{\circ}) i}{hT \, 1i} = \sum_{k \neq k^{\circ} \cdot q \neq q^{\circ}} (t)$$
 
$$+ (\frac{v_{q}}{V})_{k} \cdot (q) \frac{X}{[k^{\circ} \cdot (q))} \frac{ihT \, a_{k^{\circ}}^{t} \cdot (q) a_{k^{\circ}}^{y} \cdot (q^{\circ}) i}{hT \, 1i} + \sum_{k^{\circ}} (q) \frac{ihT \, a_{k^{\circ}}^{yt} \cdot (q) a_{k^{\circ}}^{y} \cdot (q^{\circ}) i}{hT \, 1i} ]$$

$$(i\frac{\theta}{\theta t} + !_k (q)) = \frac{ih \pi a_k^{yt} (q) a_k^{y} (q^0) i}{h \pi 1 i}$$

$$= (\frac{v_{q}}{V})_{k}(q)_{k}^{X} \left[ k^{\infty}(q) - \frac{ihT a_{k}^{yt}(q) - qa_{k}^{y}(q^{0})i}{hT 1i} + k^{\infty}(q) - \frac{ihT a_{k}^{t}(q)a_{k}^{y}(q^{0})i}{hT 1i} \right]$$
(85)

The boundary conditions on these propagators may be written down as,

$$\frac{\text{ihf } a_{k}^{yt} ( q) a_{k^{\circ}}^{y} (q^{\circ}) i}{\text{hf 1i}} = \frac{\text{ihf } a_{k}^{y(t i)} ( q) a_{k^{\circ}}^{y} (q^{\circ}) i}{\text{hf 1i}}$$
(86)

$$\frac{-ihT \, a_{k}^{t} \, (q) a_{k^{\circ}}^{y} \, (q^{\circ}) i}{hT \, 1i} = \frac{-ihT \, a_{k}^{(t \, i \, )} \, (q) a_{k^{\circ}}^{y} \, (q^{\circ}) i}{hT \, 1i}$$
(87)

$$(t) = \left(\frac{1}{i}\right)^{X} \exp\left(!_{n} t\right) \tag{88}$$

$$(t) = \left(\frac{1}{i}\right)^{X} \frac{\exp\left(!_{n}t\right)}{!_{n}}$$
(89)

The boundary conditions imply that we may write,

$$\frac{\text{ihT } a_{k}^{t} (q) a_{k^{0}}^{y} (q^{0}) i}{\text{hT } 1 i} = X \exp(!_{n} t) \frac{\text{ihT } a_{k}^{n} (q) a_{k^{0}}^{y} (q^{0}) i}{\text{hT } 1 i}$$
(90)

$$\frac{\text{ihf } a_k^{yt} (q) a_{k^{\circ}}^{y} (q^{\circ}) i}{\text{hf li}} = X \exp(!_n t) \frac{\text{ihf } a_k^{yn} (q) a_{k^{\circ}}^{y} (q^{\circ}) i}{\text{hf li}}$$

$$(91)$$

and,  $!_n = (2 \quad n) = . Thus,$ 

$$(i!_n !_k (q)) \frac{\text{ihT } a_k^n (q) a_{k^0}^y (q^0) i}{\text{hT 1 i}} = \frac{k k^0 q q^0}{i}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{q}}}{\mathbf{V}}\right)_{k} \left(\mathbf{q}\right)_{k}^{\mathbf{X}} \left[\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{w}} \left(\mathbf{q}\right) - \frac{i\hbar\mathbf{T} \, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{q}\right) \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{q}}}^{\mathbf{y}} \left(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{0}}\right) \mathbf{i}}{\hbar\mathbf{T} \, \mathbf{i}} + \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{w}}} \left(\mathbf{q}\right) - \frac{i\hbar\mathbf{T} \, \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{w}}}^{\mathbf{y}} \left(\mathbf{q}\right) \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{q}}}^{\mathbf{y}} \left(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{0}}\right) \mathbf{i}}{\hbar\mathbf{T} \, \mathbf{i}} \right]$$
(92)

$$(i!_n + !_k (q)) = \frac{ihT a_k^{y_n} (q) a_k^{y_n} (q^0) i}{hT 1 i}$$

$$= (\frac{V_{q}}{V})_{k}(q)^{X} \left[_{k}^{\infty}(q) - \frac{ihT a_{k}^{y_{n}}(q) a_{k}^{y_{n}}(q)^{i}}{hT 1i} + \sum_{k}^{\infty}(q) - \frac{ihT a_{k}^{n_{\infty}}(q) a_{k}^{y_{n}}(q)^{i}}{hT 1i}\right]$$
(93)

De ne,

$$\frac{X}{k} (q) \frac{ihT a_{k}^{n} (q) a_{k}^{y_{0}} (q^{\circ}) i}{hT 1 i} = G_{1} (q; k^{\circ}; q^{\circ}; n)$$
(94)

Multiplying the above equations with k (q) and sum ming over k one arrives at simple formulas for  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ .

$$G_{1}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n) = k^{\circ}(q) \frac{q;q^{\circ}}{i(i!_{n}!_{k^{\circ}}(q))}$$

+ 
$$f_n (q) [G_1 (q; k^{\circ}; q^{\circ}; n) + G_2 (q; k^{\circ}; q^{\circ}; n)]$$
 (96)

and,

$$G_{2}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n) = f_{n}(q)[G_{1}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n) + G_{2}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n)]$$
 (97)

and,

$$f_{n}(q) = \left(\frac{V_{q}}{V}\right)^{X} \frac{{}_{k}(q)}{(i!_{n}!_{k}(q))}$$
(98)

In 1D and 3D an analytical solution for  $f_n$  (q) is possible. In 1D we have,

$$f_{n}(q) = v_{q}(\frac{m}{q})(\frac{1}{2})\ln(\frac{k_{f} \frac{m i!_{n} + \frac{q}{2}}{q}}{k_{f} \frac{m i!_{n} + \frac{q}{2}}{q}}) \qquad (b \quad a) v_{q}(\frac{1}{2})(\frac{m}{q})\ln(\frac{b \frac{m i!_{n} + \frac{q}{2}}{q}}{a \frac{m i!_{n} + \frac{q}{2}}{q}})$$
(99)

where, b= m in  $(k_f; k_f = q)$  and a = m ax  $(k_f; k_f = q)$ . The logarithm is to be interpreted as the principal value, that is, Im  $(\ln(z)) = A rg(z) = \tan^{-1}(y=x)$ , x = Re(z); y = Im(z), and  $Re(\ln(z)) = \ln(r)$  and,  $r = (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . The nice thing about this de nition (not shared by non-principal value defins.) is that  $(\ln(z)) = \ln(z)$ . This is because  $A rg(z) = \tan^{-1}(y=x)$ . This may easily be solved and the nall formulas for the Bose propagators may be written down as,

$$G_{2}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n) = \frac{f_{n}(q)}{(1 f_{n}(q))}G_{1}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n)$$

 $G_1(q;k^0;q^0;n) + G_2(q;k^0;q^0;n) = G_1(q;k^0;q^0;n) = (1 f_n(q))$ 

$$G_{1}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n) = (\frac{1}{i})\frac{(1 - f_{n}(q))_{k^{\circ}}(q)_{q;q^{\circ}}}{(1 - f_{n}(q) - f_{n}(q))(i!_{n} - !_{k^{\circ}}(q))}$$
(100)

$$G_{2}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n) = (\frac{1}{i}) \frac{f_{n}(q)_{k^{\circ}}(q)_{q;q^{\circ}}}{(1 - f_{n}(q) - f_{n}(q))(i!_{n} - !_{k^{\circ}}(q))}$$
(101)

$$G_1(q;k^0;q^0;n) + G_2(q;k^0;q^0;n) = (\frac{1}{i}) \frac{k^0(q)_{q;q^0}}{(1 - f_n(q) - f_n(q))(i!_n - !_{k^0}(q))}$$

$$\frac{\text{ihf } a_k^n (q) a_{k^0}^{Y} (q^0) i}{\text{hr } 1 i} = \frac{k_i k^0 q_i q^0}{i (i! - 1) (q^0)}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{i}\right) \left(\frac{v_{q}}{V}\right) \frac{k(q)}{(i!_{n} !_{k}(q))} \frac{k(q)}{(1 f_{n}(q) f_{n}(q)) (i!_{n} !_{k}(q))}$$
(102)

also,

$$\frac{\text{ihf } a_{k}^{\text{yn}} (q) a_{k}^{\text{y}} (q^{\circ}) i}{\text{hf } 1 i} = (\frac{1}{i}) (\frac{v_{q}}{V}) \frac{k(q)}{(i!_{n} + !_{k}(q))} \frac{k^{\circ} (q)_{q,q^{\circ}}}{(1 f_{n}(q) f_{n}(q)) (i!_{n}!_{k^{\circ}}(q))}$$
(103)

The zero tem perature correlation function of signi cance here is,

$$iha_{k^0}^{\gamma}(q^0)a_k(q)i$$
 (104)

This may be obtained from the above formulas as,

$$iha_{k^{0}}^{y}(q^{0})a_{k}(q)i = (\frac{v_{q}}{V})_{k}(q)_{k^{0}}(q)_{q^{0}} \frac{2}{Q^{0}} \frac{d!}{2i} \frac{1}{(i! !_{k}(q))(i! !_{k^{0}}(q))(1 f_{n}(q) f_{n}(q))}$$
(105)

where C is the positively oriented contour that encloses the upper half plane. Thus the problem now boils down to computing all the zeros of (1  $f_n$  (q)  $f_n$  (q)) that have positive imaginary parts. In 1D, we may proceed as follows,

$$1 \quad f_{n} (q) \quad f_{n} (q) = 1 \quad v_{q} \left(\frac{m}{q}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \ln \left[\frac{k_{f}}{k_{f}} \frac{\frac{m-i!}{q} + \frac{q}{2}}{\frac{m-i!}{q} + \frac{q}{2}}\right]$$

$$+ \quad (b \quad a) v_{q} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{m}{q}\right) \ln \left[\frac{b \quad \frac{m-i!}{q} + \frac{q}{2}}{a \quad \frac{m-i!}{q} + \frac{q}{2}}\right]$$

$$v_{q} \left(\frac{m}{q}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \ln \left[\frac{k_{f}}{k_{f}} \frac{\frac{m-i!}{q} \quad \frac{q}{2}}{\frac{q}{2}}\right]$$

$$+ \quad (b \quad a^{\circ}) v_{q} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{m}{q}\right) \ln \left[\frac{b^{\circ}}{a^{\circ}} \frac{\frac{m-i!}{q} \quad \frac{q}{2}}{\frac{q}{2}}\right]$$

$$(106)$$

where a = m ax (  $k_f$ ;  $k_f$  q), b = m in ( $k_f$ ;  $k_f$  q) and  $a^0 = m$  ax (  $k_f$ ;  $k_f$  + q),  $b^0$  = m in ( $k_f$ ;  $k_f$  + q). There are several regions of interest.

(A) 0 q  $k_f$  This means, 0 q  $k_f$  or  $k_f$   $k_f$  q 0,  $k_f$   $k_f$  q  $2k_f$ ,  $k_f$   $k_f$  + q  $2k_f$ ,  $k_f$   $k_f$   $k_f$  + q  $2k_f$ ,  $k_f$   $k_f$   $k_f$  + q  $2k_f$ ,  $k_f$   $k_f$ 

1 
$$f_n(q) = 1 + v_q(\frac{m}{q})(\frac{1}{2}) ln \left[\frac{k_f}{k_f} \frac{\frac{m-i!}{q} + \frac{q}{2}}{\frac{m}{2}}\right]$$

$$+ v_{q} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{m}{q}\right) \ln \left[\frac{k_{f} \frac{m \cdot i!}{q} \frac{q}{2}}{k_{f} \frac{m \cdot i!}{q} + \frac{q}{2}}\right]$$
(107)

1 
$$f_n(q) = 1 + v_q(\frac{1}{2})(\frac{m}{q}) ln \left[\frac{(k_f + q=2)^2 + (\frac{m!}{q})^2}{(k_f q=2)^2 + (\frac{m!}{q})^2}\right] = 0$$
 (108)

$$\ln \left[ \frac{(k_f + q = 2)^2 + (\frac{m!}{q})^2}{(k_f - q = 2)^2 + (\frac{m!}{q})^2} \right] = \left( \frac{2 - q}{m} \right) \left( \frac{1}{v_q} \right)$$
(109)

$$\frac{(k_f + q=2)^2 + (\frac{m!}{q})^2}{(k_f - q=2)^2 + (\frac{m!}{q})^2} = \exp(-(\frac{2-q}{m})(\frac{1}{v_q}))$$
(110)

$$(\frac{m !}{q})^2 = [(k_f + q = 2)^2 (k_f - q = 2)^2 \exp(-(\frac{2 - q}{m})(\frac{1}{v_q}))] = [1 - \exp(-(\frac{2 - q}{m})(\frac{1}{v_q}))]$$
 (111)

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{W}}$  e want to  $\ensuremath{\mathtt{n}}$  and a root of this that has a positive imaginary part.

$$! = i \left(\frac{q}{m}\right)^{\frac{V}{L}} \frac{(k_f + q=2)^2 (k_f q=2)^2 \exp\left(\left(\frac{2-q}{m}\right)\left(\frac{1}{v_q}\right)\right)}{1 \exp\left(\left(\frac{2-q}{m}\right)\left(\frac{1}{v_q}\right)\right)}$$
(112)

The next case is,

(B)  $k_f$  q 0. In this case, 0  $k_f + q$   $k_f$ , 2  $k_f$   $k_f + q$   $k_f$ ,  $k_f$  q 0, 2  $k_f$   $k_f$  q  $k_f$ , 0  $k_f$  q  $k_f$ , a =  $k_f$  q, b =  $k_f$ , a =  $k_f$ , b =  $k_f$ , b =  $k_f$  + q. Thus, (b a) = 1, (b a ) = 1 For case (B)

1 
$$f_n(q) = 1 + v_q(\frac{m}{q})(\frac{1}{2}) ln[\frac{k_f \frac{m \cdot i!}{q} \frac{q}{2}}{k_f \frac{m \cdot i!}{q} + \frac{q}{2}}]$$

$$+ v_{q} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{m}{q}\right) \ln \left[\frac{k_{f}}{k_{f}} \frac{\frac{m \cdot 1!}{q} + \frac{q}{2}}{k_{f} \frac{m \cdot i!}{q} \cdot \frac{q}{2}}\right]$$
(113)

Thus the pole for both cases (A) and (B) is given by,

$$! = i \left(\frac{g_{1}}{m}\right)^{\frac{V}{U}} \frac{\frac{V}{(k_{f} + q=2)^{2} - (k_{f} - q=2)^{2} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{2-q}{m}\right)\left(\frac{1}{v_{q}}\right)\right)}}{1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{2-q}{m}\right)\left(\frac{1}{v_{q}}\right)\right)}$$
(114)

The quantity under the square root is positive in both cases. Third, we have the case,

(C)  $k_f$  q 2  $k_f$ , this means,  $k_f$  q 2  $k_f$ , also, 2  $k_f$   $k_f$  + q 3  $k_f$ , 0  $k_f$  + q  $k_f$ , 0  $k_f$  q 3  $k_f$ , 2  $k_f$  q 3  $k_f$ , a =  $k_f$ , b =  $k_f$  q, a° =  $k_f$  + q, b° =  $k_f$ . (b a) = 1 and (b° a°) = 1 This leads to the same most Eq.(114). In case

1 
$$f_n(q) = 1 + v_q(\frac{m}{q})(\frac{1}{2}) ln[\frac{k_f \frac{m-i!}{q} + \frac{q}{2}}{k_f \frac{m-i!}{q} + \frac{q}{2}}]$$

$$v_{q}\left(\frac{m}{q}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\ln\left[\frac{k_{f}}{k_{f}}\frac{\frac{m \ i!}{q} \frac{q}{2}}{k_{f}\frac{m \ i!}{q}\frac{q}{2}}\right] \tag{115}$$

This when solved gives the same result as Eq.(114). So too does the nalcase (F) which is (F) 1 < q  $2 k_f$ ,  $1 < k_f + q$   $k_f$ ,  $1 < k_f + q$   $3 k_f$ , 1 > q  $2 k_f$ ,  $1 > k_f$  q  $3 k_f$ ,

 $k_f = k_f$ ,  $k_f = k_f$ ,  $k_f = k_f$ ,  $k_f = k_f$ ,  $k_f = k_f$ ,  $k_f = k_f$ . This case also leads to the same result namely, Eq.(114). Therefore the nal result may be written as,

$$ha_{k^{0}}^{y}(q^{0})a_{k}(q)i = (\frac{1}{V})\frac{{}_{k}(q) + {}_{k}(q)(!_{R}(q) + {}_{k^{0}}(q))(\frac{m}{q^{2}})(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{k_{r}})^{2}(\frac{m}{q})^{2}!_{R}(q)(\cosh((q)) - 1)}{(!_{R}(q) + !_{k}(q))(!_{R}(q) + !_{k^{0}}(q))(\frac{m}{q^{2}})(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{k_{r}})^{2}(\frac{m}{q})^{2}!_{R}(q)(\cosh((q)) - 1)}$$
 (116)

Here we may write,

$$(q) = (\frac{2 - q}{m})(\frac{1}{v_{q}})$$
 (117)

$$!_{R} (q) = (\frac{\dot{q}\dot{q}\dot{q}}{m}) \frac{(k_{f} + q=2)^{2} (k_{f} q=2)^{2} exp((q))}{1 exp((q))}$$
(118)

In other words,

$$hc_{k}^{y}q_{k}i = n_{F}(k) + (2k_{f})^{2} \frac{dq_{l}}{1} \frac{dq_{l}}{2!_{R}(q_{l})(!_{R}(q_{l}) + !_{k q_{1}=2}(q_{l}))^{2}(\frac{m^{3}}{q_{1}^{4}})(\cosh((q_{l})) - 1)}$$

$$(2k_{f})^{2} \frac{dq_{l}}{1} \frac{dq_{l}}{2!_{R}(q_{l})(!_{R}(q_{l}) + !_{k + q_{1}=2}(q_{l}))^{2}(\frac{m^{3}}{q_{1}^{4}})(\cosh((q_{l})) - 1)}$$

$$(119)$$

In order to see how good the present theory is, it is desirable to compare these results with the Calogero-Sutherland model or more specically with the spin-spin correlation function of the Haldane-Shastry model. This is given by [14], = 1=2, = 2, m = 1,  $k_f = -2$ .

$$h0j^{Y}(x;0) \quad (x^{\circ};0)j0i = \begin{cases} Z_{+1} & \frac{dk}{2} \exp(ik(x^{\circ} - x))hc_{k}^{Y}c_{k}i \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{dk}{2} \exp(ik(x^{\circ} - x))hc_{k}^{Y}c_{k}i \end{cases}$$

$$= (\frac{1}{4})\frac{((3=2))^{2}}{((1=2))^{2}((1))^{2}} \int_{1}^{Z_{+1}} dv_{1} \int_{1}^{Z_{+1}} dv_{2} (1 - v_{1}^{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1 - v_{2}^{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}jv_{1} \quad v_{2}j$$

$$= \exp(i\frac{1}{2}(x - x^{\circ})v_{1})\exp(i\frac{1}{2}(x - x^{\circ})v_{2})$$

$$= 4 \frac{((3=2))^{2}}{((1=2))^{2}((1))^{2}} \int_{1}^{X_{-1}} ((1)^{2})^{2} \int_{1}^{2} (x - x^{\circ})^{2n} dv_{2} \int_{1}^{2} (x - x^{\circ})^{2n} dv_{2} dv_{2}$$

$$= 4 \frac{((3=2))^{2}}{((1=2))^{2}((1))^{2}} \int_{1}^{X_{-1}} ((1)^{2})^{2n} (x - x^{\circ})^{2n} dv_{2} dv_{2$$

For this we have to use the interaction given by  $(V(x)) = \frac{(-1)}{x^2}$ ,

$$v_{q} = (1) (\dot{q}) \tag{121}$$

### 4.1 Qualitative Conclusions

It is clear that one of the features of the Luttinger liquid that is a result of the M attis-Leib solution is absent, namely the discontinuous dependence of the momentum distribution on the coupling strength as the latter goes to zero. This may therefore be an artifact of the Luttinger model and not a generic feature of all 1D systems. Also the highly nonperturbative manner in which the momentum distribution of the interacting system approaches the noninteracting one as the coupling goes to zero may be seen quite easily. The other point that may be seen is that h  $_{q6\ 0}$  i = 0 indicating that there is no W igner crystallization at any density. Furthermore, the vanishing of the quasiparticle residue is seen only for su ciently large values of the repulsion between the fermions. Thus the conventional view that the ferm i surface is destroyed for arbitrarily weak repulsion in case of 1d fermions does not seem to hold up in this case.

### 4.2 M om entum D istribution in 3D

For this one has to compute the expressions in 3D:

$$f_{n}(q) = \frac{V_{q}}{(2)^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z} dk \ k^{2} \ f(\frac{m}{k j q}) \ln(\frac{i!_{n} \ q \frac{k j q j}{m}}{i!_{n} \ q + \frac{k j q j}{m}}) + (\frac{m}{k j q j}) \left(k_{F} \ j q j \ k\right) \ln(\frac{i!_{n} \ q + \frac{k j q j}{m}}{i!_{n} \ q + \frac{k j q j}{m}}) + (\frac{m}{k j q j}) \left(j q j + k \ k_{f}\right) \left(k_{f}^{2} \ k \ j q j\right)^{2} \ln(\frac{i!_{n} \ k_{f} + k}{i!_{n} \ q + \frac{k j q j}{m}}) g$$

$$(122)$$

This may be split into several cases

(A)  $0 < jqj < k_f$ 

$$f_{n}(q) = \frac{v_{q}}{(2)^{2}} \left(\frac{m}{jqj}\right) f \int_{0}^{Z_{k_{f}}} dk \, k \, \ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} - q - \frac{kjqj}{m}}{i!_{n} - q + \frac{kjqj}{m}}\right) + \int_{0}^{Z_{k_{f}}} dk \, k \, \ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} - q - \frac{kjqj}{m}}{i!_{n} - q + \frac{kjqj}{m}}\right) - \int_{0}^{Z_{k_{f}}} dk \, k \, \ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} - q - \frac{kjqj}{m}}{i!_{n} - q + \frac{kjqj}{m}}\right) g$$

$$= \frac{Z_{k_{f}}}{k_{F} - jqj} dk \, k \, \ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} - k_{F} + k}{i!_{n} - q + \frac{kjqj}{m}}\right) g$$

$$= \frac{Z_{k_{f}}}{k_{F} - jqj} dk \, k \, \ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} - k_{F} + k}{i!_{n} - q + \frac{kjqj}{m}}\right) g$$

$$= \frac{Z_{k_{f}}}{k_{F} - jqj} dk \, k \, \ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} - k_{F} + k}{i!_{n} - q + \frac{kjqj}{m}}\right) g$$

$$= \frac{Z_{k_{f}}}{k_{F} - jqj} dk \, k \, \ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} - k_{F} + k}{i!_{n} - q + \frac{kjqj}{m}}\right) g$$

$$= \frac{Z_{k_{f}}}{k_{F} - jqj} dk \, k \, \ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} - k_{F} + k}{i!_{n} - q + \frac{kjqj}{m}}\right) g$$

$$= \frac{Z_{k_{f}}}{k_{F} - jqj} dk \, k \, \ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} - k_{F} + k}{i!_{n} - q + \frac{kjqj}{m}}\right) g$$

$$= \frac{Z_{k_{f}}}{k_{F} - jqj} dk \, k \, \ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} - k_{F} + k}{i!_{n} - q + \frac{kjqj}{m}}\right) g$$

$$= \frac{Z_{k_{f}}}{k_{F} - jqj} dk \, k \, \ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} - k_{F} + k}{i!_{n} - q + \frac{kjqj}{m}}\right) g$$

$$= \frac{Z_{k_{f}}}{k_{F} - jqj} dk \, k \, \ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} - k_{F} + k}{i!_{n} - q + \frac{kjqj}{m}}\right) g$$

$$= \frac{Z_{k_{f}}}{k_{F} - jqj} dk \, k \, \ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} - k_{F} + k}{i!_{n} - q + \frac{kjqj}{m}}\right) g$$

$$= \frac{Z_{k_{f}}}{k_{F} - jqj} dk \, k \, \ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} - k_{F} + k}{i!_{n} - q + \frac{kjqj}{m}}\right) g$$

(B)  $k_f < jqj < 2 k_f$ 

$$f_{n}(q) = \frac{v_{q}}{(2)^{2}} \left(\frac{m}{jq}\right) f \int_{0}^{Z_{k_{f}}} dk \ k \ ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} \quad q \quad \frac{kjqj}{m}}{i!_{n} \quad q + \frac{kjqj}{m}}\right) + \int_{jqj_{k_{f}}}^{Z_{k_{f}}} dk \ k \ ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} \quad k_{f} + k}{i!_{n} \quad q + \frac{kjqj}{m}}\right) g$$
(124)

(C)  $jqj > 2 k_f$ 

$$f_{n}(q) = \frac{v_{q}}{(2)^{2}} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{2} dk k \ln \left(\frac{i!_{n}}{q} + \frac{k!_{q}j}{m}\right)$$
(125)

(A)

$$f_{n}(q) = \frac{v_{q}}{(2)^{2}} \left(\frac{m}{jqj}\right) \left[ \frac{1}{2} k_{f}^{2} \ln (i!_{n} - q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m}) + \frac{1}{2} (k_{f} - jqj)^{2} \ln (i!_{n} + q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m}) \right] + \left(\frac{m^{2}}{2 q^{2}}\right) f_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} (i!_{n} - q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m})^{2} - \frac{1}{2} (i!_{n} + q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m})^{2} + (i!_{n} - q)^{2} \ln \left(\frac{i!_{n} - q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m}}{i!_{n} + q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m}}\right) + \left(\frac{2 jqj^{2}}{m}\right) (i!_{n} - q)g + m (i!_{n}) \ln (i!_{n}) - m i!_{n} - m (q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m} + i!_{n}) \ln (q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m} + i!_{n}) + m (q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m} + i!_{n}) \right]$$

$$(126)$$

(B)

$$f_{n}(q) = \frac{v_{q}}{(2)^{2}} \left(\frac{m}{jqj}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2}k_{f}^{2} \ln\left(i!_{n} - q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(k_{f} - jqj\right)^{2} \ln\left(i!_{n} + q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m}\right)\right] + \left(\frac{m^{2}}{2q^{2}}\right) f_{\frac{1}{2}} \left(i!_{n} - q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m}\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(i!_{n} + q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m}\right)^{2} + \left(i!_{n} - q\right)^{2} \ln\left(\frac{i!_{n} - q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m}}{i!_{n} + q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m}}\right) + \left(\frac{2jqj^{2}}{m}\right) \left(i!_{n} - q\right)g + m \left(i!_{n}\right) \ln\left(i!_{n}\right) - m i!_{n} - m \left(q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m} + i!_{n}\right) \ln\left(q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m} + i!_{n}\right) + m \left(q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m} + i!_{n}\right) \right]$$

$$(127)$$

(C)

$$f_{n}(q) = \frac{v_{q}}{(2)^{2}} \left(\frac{m}{jqj}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2} k_{f}^{2} \ln \left(\frac{i!_{n}}{i!_{n}} - q + \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m}\right)\right]$$

$$\frac{m^{2}}{2 jqj^{2}} f^{\frac{1}{2}} (i!_{n} - q + \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m})^{2} - \frac{1}{2} (i!_{n} - q - \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m})^{2}$$

$$+ (i!_{n} - q)^{2} \ln \left(\frac{i!_{n}}{i!_{n}} - q + \frac{k_{f} jqj}{m}\right) - \frac{4 k_{f} jqj}{m} (i!_{n} - q)g]$$

$$(128)$$

### 5 Ferm ions at Finite Temperature

It should be clear from the previous sections that ferm ions at nite temp. is a major headache. A straightforward generalisation is not working out for unknown reasons. In this section we shall not distinguish between N and lN i, things are complicated enough as it is! Take for example, the expectation value of the number density at nite tem erature,

$$h_{k}^{y} q_{k} i = n_{F} (k) + \sum_{\substack{k \neq 1 = 2 \\ q_{1}}} (q_{1}) h_{k}^{y} q_{1} = 2 (q_{1}) a_{k \neq 1} (q_{1}) i$$

$$X$$

$$k + q_{1} = 2 (q_{1}) h_{k + q_{1} = 2}^{y} (q_{1}) a_{k + q_{1} = 2} (q_{1}) i$$
(129)

A ssum e the  $n_F$  (k) are all evaluated at zero temp. as in the previous sections. Now, taken at face value, one is obliged to compute the therm odynam ic expectation values of the Bose occupation probabilities assuming the chemical potential for the Bosons is zero (this means that we are allowed to create and destroy any number of Bosons). Now such a calculation yields an in nite answer for  $h_k^y c_k$  is the sum over all  $q_1$  diverges (is proportional to the total number of fermions). This is the reason why a more nefarious approach may be necessary in dealing with fermions at nite temperature. For this the only guide is that all the nite tempeduced. Hopefully the commutation involving the number conserving object  $c_{k+q=2}^y c_{k+q=2} c_{k$ 

$$h_{k+q=2}^{y} c_{k+q=2} i = q=0 n_{F}; (k)$$
 (130)

where,

$$n_F$$
;  $(k) = [exp((k)) + 1]^1$  (131)

For this to happen we have to do the following,

- (1) I reat the bosons as before assuming that they are always at zero temperature and with zero chemical potential.
- (2) Assume that all nite temperature elects are lumped into the coecients.
- (3) Fix the coe cients as before by dem anding that the nite temp. dynamicalm om ents of the number-conserving products come out right.

For the dynam ical four-point function to come out right we must ensure that,

$$q = \frac{q}{n_{F}; (k + q=2) (1 \quad n_{F}; (k \quad q=2))}$$
(132)

Unfortunately, the coescient  $_k$  (q) is no longer either zero or one. This renders matters even more discult. But the dynamical six-point function is still the same and has to be correctly given as in the zero-temp case.

$$I = hc_{k+q=2}^{y} c_{k-q=2} c_{k^0+q^0=2}^{y} c_{k^0+q^0=2}^{y} c_{k^0+q^0=2}^{y} c_{k^0+q^0=2}^{y} c_{k^0-q^0=2}^{y} c_{k^0-q^0=2}^{y} c_{k^0-q^0=2}^{y} id_{k^0-q^0=2}^{y} id_{k^0-$$

In terms of the Bose elds we have,

$$I = {}_{k} (q) {}_{k^{\infty}} (q^{\infty}) {}_{k;k^{\infty}} {}^{q;q^{\infty}} (k^{\circ};q^{\circ})$$

$$(134)$$

Equating these two we arrive at a formula for the coe cient. It is somewhat dierent from the zero temp case. But we can remedy that by choosing,

$$k_1 + q_1 = 2; k_2 + q_2 = 2 \quad k_1 \quad q_1 = 2; k \quad q = 2 \quad k + q = 2; k_2 \quad q_2 = 2$$
 (135)

and,

$$F(x) = 1 \text{ if } x \in 0 \text{ and } F(x) = 0 \text{ for } x = 0$$
 (136)

For any tem perature above zero F(x) = 1 always. But for exactly at zero tem p, the original case is recovered. But more in portantly, all the right sort of dynamical correlation functions are recovered if we choose in addition, the following formula for the kinetic energy operator,

$$K = \sum_{\substack{k \neq q}}^{X} !_{k} (q) a_{k}^{Y} (q) a_{k} (q)$$
(137)

and,

$$!_{k}(q) = F(_{k}(q))(\frac{k q}{m})$$
 (138)

These choices ensure that all the  $\,$  nite temp. dynam icalm om ents of  $\overset{v}{k}_{+\,q=2}\,c_{k\,q=2}\,$  are correctly recovered.

### 5.1 Demonstration of Emergence of Superconductivity

Consider two interactions, one the coulomb repulsion and the other electron-phonon interaction:

$$H_{I} = \frac{X}{Q} \frac{V_{Q}}{2V} \left[ k_{k}^{0} (q) a_{k} (q) + k_{k}^{0} (q) a_{k}^{y} (q) \right] \left[ k_{k}^{0} (q) a_{k}^{0} (q) + k_{k}^{0} (q) a_{k}^{y} (q) \right]$$
(139)

$$H_{e \text{ phon}} = \frac{X}{V^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{M_{q} X}{V^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[ k_{q} (q) a_{k} (q) + k_{q} (q) a_{k}^{y} (q) \right] \left[ k_{q} + k_{q}^{y} \right]$$
(140)

The displacem ent operators are,

$$P_{q} = b_{q} + b_{q}^{y} \tag{141}$$

and,

$$X_{q} = (\frac{1}{2}) (b_{q} b_{q}^{y})$$
 (142)

The equations of motion for the Bose elds are,

$$i \frac{\theta}{\theta t} a_k^t (q) = !_k (q) a_k^t (q) + (\frac{v_q}{V})_k (q) X_k^T [_{k^0} (q) a_k^t (q) + (\frac{v_q}{V})_k (q)]$$

$$+\frac{M_{q}}{V^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{k} (q) [b_{q} + b_{q}^{y}]$$
 (143)

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta t}X_{q} = \frac{iM_{q}}{V^{\frac{1}{2}}}X_{k^{0}} \left[ k^{0}(q)a_{k^{0}}(q) + k^{0}(q)a_{k^{0}}(q) \right] + \frac{i_{q}}{2}P_{q}$$
(144)

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta t}P_{q} = \frac{2}{i}X_{q}$$
 (145)

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta t}a_{k}^{yt}(q) = !_{k}(q)a_{k}^{yt}(q) \quad (\frac{v_{q}}{V})_{k}(q) \quad X_{k^{\circ}}(q)a_{k^{\circ}}^{t}(q) + k^{\circ}(q)a_{k^{\circ}}^{yt}(q)]$$

$$\frac{M_{q}}{V^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{k}(q)[b_{q} + b_{q}^{y}] \qquad (146)$$

$$(i\frac{\theta}{\theta t} \quad !_{k}(q)) \quad \frac{ihT a_{k}^{t}(q)a_{k^{\circ}}^{y}(q^{\circ})i}{hT 1i} = k_{k^{\circ}} \quad q_{k^{\circ}}(q)a_{k^{\circ}}^{y}(q^{\circ})i$$

$$+ (\frac{v_{q}}{V})_{k}(q) \quad X_{k^{\circ}} \left[ k_{k^{\circ}}(q) \quad \frac{ihT a_{k^{\circ}}^{t}(q)a_{k^{\circ}}^{y}(q^{\circ})i}{hT 1i} + k_{k^{\circ}}(q) \quad \frac{ihT a_{k^{\circ}}^{y}(q)a_{k^{\circ}}^{y}(q^{\circ})i}{hT 1i} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{M_{q}}{V^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{k}(q) \quad \frac{ihT P_{q}^{t}a_{k^{\circ}}^{y}(q^{\circ})i}{hT 1i} = (147)$$

$$(i\frac{\theta}{\theta t} + !_{k}(q)) \quad \frac{ihT a_{k^{\circ}}^{y}(q)a_{k^{\circ}}^{y}(q^{\circ})i}{hT 1i} = (147)$$

$$(\frac{v_{q}}{V})_{k}(q)_{k}^{X} \left[ k_{k}^{\infty} (q) - \frac{ihT \ a_{k}^{t} (q) a_{k}^{y} (q) hT 1i}{hT 1i} + k_{k}^{\infty} (q) - \frac{ihT \ a_{k}^{yt} (q) a_{k}^{y} (q) hT 1i}{hT 1i} \right]$$

$$\frac{M_{q}}{V^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{k}(q) \frac{\text{im } P_{q}^{t} a_{k}^{y}(q^{0}) \text{i}}{\text{m 1i}}$$

$$(148)$$

The corresponding propagators with phonons are,

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta t} = \frac{i\hbar T \ P_{q}^{t} \ a_{k^{0}}^{y} \ (q^{\circ})i}{\hbar T \ 1i} = \left(\frac{2_{q}}{i}\right) = \frac{i\hbar T \ X_{q}^{t} \ a_{k^{0}}^{y} \ (q^{\circ})i}{\hbar T \ 1i}$$
(149)

Transform to frequency domain and we have the corresponding equations:

$$G_{1}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n) = {}_{k^{\circ}}(q) - \frac{q;q^{\circ}}{i(i!_{n}!_{k^{\circ}}(q))}$$

+ 
$$f_n(q)[G_1(q;k^0;q^0;n) + G_2(q;k^0;q^0;n)] + g_n(q)G_3(q;k^0;q^0;n)$$
 (151)

$$G_2(q_j k^{\circ}; q^{\circ}; n) = f_n(q)[G_1(q_j k^{\circ}; q^{\circ}; n) + G_2(q_j k^{\circ}; q^{\circ}; n)] + g_n(q)G_3(q_j k^{\circ}; q^{\circ}; n)$$
 (152)

$$i!_n G_3(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n) = (\frac{2}{i})G_4(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n)$$
 (153)

$$i!_{n} G_{4}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n) = i [G_{1}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n) + G_{2}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n)] + (\frac{i}{2})G_{3}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n)$$
(154)

The solutions may be written down as,

$$G_{3}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n) = \left(\frac{2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$G_{2}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n) = (\frac{n(q)}{1-n(q)})G_{1}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n)$$
 (156)

$$_{n}(q) = f_{n}(q) - \frac{2q}{q+!_{n}^{2}}g_{n}(q)$$
 (157)

and nally,

$$G_{1}(q;k^{\circ};q^{\circ};n) = q_{;q} \circ \frac{F_{n}(q)_{k^{\circ}}(q)}{i(i!_{n}!_{k^{\circ}}(q))}$$
(158)

and,

$$F_{n}(q) = \left[1 - \frac{f_{n}(q)}{1 - \frac{2}{n}(q)} + \left(\frac{2 - q}{\frac{2}{n} + \frac{1}{n}}\right) \left(\frac{g_{n}(q)}{1 - \frac{q}{n}(q)}\right)\right]^{1}$$
(159)

here,

$$f_{n}(q) = \left(\frac{v_{q}}{V}\right)^{X} \frac{\left(\frac{(q)^{2}}{k} (q)\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{i!_{n}!_{k}(q)}{l}\right)}$$
(160)

$$g_{n}(q) = \left(\frac{M_{q}^{2}}{V}\right)^{X} \frac{(k_{q} (q))^{2}}{(i!_{n} !_{k} (q))}$$
(161)

For the four-point function we have to proceed as follows, the quantity of interest is (with phonons and coulomb),

$$\frac{1}{i^{2}}hT (a_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}} (q_{1}) a_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}} (q_{2})a_{k_{2}}^{yt_{2}^{0}} (q_{2}^{0})a_{k_{1}^{0}}^{yt_{1}^{0}} (q_{1}^{0}))i$$

for this we as usual decompose as follows,

$$\frac{1}{i^{2}} \text{hT} \left( a_{k_{1}}^{t_{1}} \left( q_{1} \right) a_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}} \left( q_{2} \right) a_{k_{2}^{0}}^{yt_{2}^{0}} \left( q_{2}^{0} \right) a_{k_{1}^{0}}^{yt_{1}^{0}} \left( q_{1}^{0} \right) \right) i$$

$$= \frac{X}{\text{exp} \left( !_{n} \ t_{1} \right) \frac{1}{i^{2}} \text{hT} \left( a_{k_{1}}^{n} \left( q_{1} \right) a_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}} \left( q_{2} \right) a_{k_{2}^{0}}^{yt_{2}^{0}} \left( q_{2}^{0} \right) a_{k_{1}^{0}}^{yt_{1}^{0}} \left( q_{1}^{0} \right) \right) i} \qquad (162)$$

$$(i \ !_{n} \ !_{k_{1}} \left( q_{1} \right) \right) \frac{1}{i^{2}} \text{hT} \left( a_{k_{1}}^{n} \left( q_{1} \right) a_{k_{2}}^{t_{2}} \left( q_{2} \right) a_{k_{2}^{0}}^{yt_{2}^{0}} \left( q_{2}^{0} \right) a_{k_{1}^{0}}^{yt_{1}^{0}} \left( q_{1}^{0} \right) \right) i$$

$$= F_{1} \left( k_{1} \ q_{1} \ n; k_{2} \ q_{2} \ t_{2}; k_{2}^{0} \ q_{2}^{0} \ t_{2}^{0}; k_{1}^{0} \ q_{1}^{0} \ t_{1}^{0} \right)$$

$$+ \left( \frac{k_{1} \left( q_{1} \right)}{V} \right) \left[ v_{q_{1}} \ \left( \frac{2}{!_{2}^{0}} + \frac{q_{1}^{0}}{q_{1}^{0}} \right) \right] M_{q_{1}} \right]^{2} \right]$$

 $F_{1}(q_{1}n;k_{2} q_{2} t_{2};k_{2}^{0} q_{2}^{0} t_{2}^{0};k_{1}^{0} q_{1}^{0} t_{1}^{0}) + F_{2}(q_{1}n;k_{2} q_{2} t_{2};k_{2}^{0} q_{2}^{0} t_{2}^{0};k_{1}^{0} q_{1}^{0} t_{1}^{0}) = (1 \quad n(q_{1}) \quad n(q_{1})) \quad (163)$ 

$$F_{1}(q_{1}n;k_{2} q_{2} t_{2};k_{2}^{\circ} q_{2}^{\circ} t_{2}^{\circ};k_{1}^{\circ} q_{1}^{\circ} t_{1}^{\circ})$$

$$= \frac{X}{(i!_{n} !_{k_{1}}(q_{1}))} F_{1}(k_{1}q_{1}n;k_{2} q_{2} t_{2};k_{2}^{\circ} q_{2}^{\circ} t_{2}^{\circ};k_{1}^{\circ} q_{1}^{\circ} t_{1}^{\circ})$$
(164)

$$F_{2}(q_{1}n;k_{2} q_{2} t_{2};k_{2}^{\circ} q_{2}^{\circ} t_{2}^{\circ};k_{1}^{\circ} q_{1}^{\circ} t_{1}^{\circ})$$

$$= \frac{X}{(i!_{n} + !_{k_{1}} (q_{1}))} F_{2}(k_{1}q_{1}n;k_{2} q_{2} t_{2};k_{2}^{\circ} q_{2}^{\circ} t_{2}^{\circ};k_{1}^{\circ} q_{1}^{\circ} t_{1}^{\circ})$$
(165)

### 5.2 Bosons at zero tem perature

In this subsection we do what we did earlier except that here we are bosonizing the bosons. Let  $b_k$  and  $b_k^y$  be the Bose elds in question. Analogous to Ferm i sea displacements we introduce Bose condensate displacements.

$$b_{k+q=2}^{y}b_{k q=2} = N \quad k; 0 q; 0 + (N)[_{k+q=2}; 0 d_{k} (q) + _{k q=2}; 0 d_{k}^{y} (q)]$$

$$X + T_{1}(k; q; q_{1})d_{k+q=2}^{y}q_{1}=2 (q_{1})d_{k q_{1}=2} (q+q_{1})$$

$$X + T_{2}(k; q; q_{1})d_{k q=2+q_{1}=2}^{y} (q_{1})d_{k+q_{1}=2} (q+q_{1})$$

$$q_{1}$$

$$q_{1}$$

$$q_{1}$$

$$q_{1}$$

$$q_{2}$$

$$q_{3}$$

$$q_{4}$$

$$q_{1}$$

$$q_{2}$$

$$q_{3}$$

$$q_{4}$$

$$q_{4}$$

$$q_{4}$$

$$q_{5}$$

$$q_{4}$$

$$q_{4}$$

$$q_{5}$$

$$q_{6}$$

$$q_{1}$$

$$q_{1}$$

$$q_{1}$$

$$q_{2}$$

$$q_{1}$$

$$q_{2}$$

$$q_{3}$$

$$q_{4}$$

$$q_{5}$$

$$q_{5}$$

$$q_{6}$$

$$q_{1}$$

$$q_{1}$$

$$q_{2}$$

$$q_{3}$$

$$q_{4}$$

$$q_{5}$$

$$q_{5}$$

$$q_{7}$$

$$q$$

To m ake all the dynam ical m om ents of  $b_{k+q=2}^{y}b_{k-q=2}$  com e out right and the com m utation rules am ongst them also com e out right provided we choose  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  such that,

$$(\stackrel{p}{\overline{N}})^{2}_{k+q=2;0} + \stackrel{\infty}{\underset{k^{0}}{\otimes}} q^{0} = 2;0 \\ \stackrel{q_{1}}{\xrightarrow{}} T_{1} (\stackrel{\circ}{k}; \stackrel{\circ}{q}; q_{1}) h q_{k} (q) d_{k^{0}+q^{0}=2} + q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q_{1} = 2 (q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q_{1} = 2 (q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} + q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} (q^{0}) i d_{k^{0}} q^{0} = 2 (q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} + q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} = 2 (q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} + q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} = 2 (q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} + q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} = 2 (q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} + q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} = 2 (q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} + q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} = 2 (q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} + q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} = 2 (q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} + q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} = 2 (q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} + q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} = 2 (q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} + q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} = 2 (q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} = 2 (q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} + q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} = 2 (q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} + q_{1}) d_{k^{0}} q^{0} = 2 (q_{1}) d_{k^{0}}$$

$$N = {}_{k+q=2;0} {}_{k+q=2;k} {}^{00} {}_{q} {}^{00} {}_{=2} {}_{k} {}_{q=2;k} {}^{0} {}_{+q} {}^{0} {}_{=2} {}_{k} {}^{0} {}_{q} {}^{0} {}_{=2;k} {}^{00} {}_{+q} {}^{00} {}_{=2}$$

$$(167)$$

This means,

$$T_1(q q^0 = 2; q^0; q) = 1; q \in 0; q^0 \in 0$$
 (168)

$$T_2(q^0=2;q^0; q) = 0; q \in 0; q^0 \in 0$$
 (169)

in order for the kinetic energy operator to have the form,

$$K = \sum_{k=0}^{X} d_{(1=2)k}^{Y} (k) d_{(1=2)k} (k)$$
(170)

wemusthave,

$$k+q_1=2T_1(k+q_1=2;0;q_1) + k_{q_1=2}T_2(k-q_1=2;0;q_1) = k_{q_1=2}q_1$$
 (171)

In order for,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & & & & \\
& b_k^y b_k & = & N
\end{array}$$

we must have,

$$T_1(k + q_1=2;0;q_1) + T_2(k - q_1=2;0;q_1) = 0$$
 (172)

In order for the commutation rules amongst the  $b_{k+q=2}^{y}b_{k-q=2}$  to common out right, we must have in addition to all the above relations,

$$T_2 (q=2;q; q^0) = 0$$
 (173)

$$T_2(q=2;q;q+q^0)=0$$
 (174)

Any choice of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  consistent with the above relations should sure. From the above relations (Eq.(171) and Eq.(172)), we have quite unambiguously,

$$T_1(k + q_1 = 2; 0; q_1) = k \cdot q_1 = 2$$
 (175)

$$T_2 (k q_1=2;0;q_1) = k;q_1=2$$
 (176)

$$T_1(q q^0 = 2; q^i; q) = 1$$
 (177)

and,

$$T_1(k;q;q_1) = 0$$
; otherwise (178)

$$T_2(k;q;q_1) = 0; \text{ otherw ise}$$
 (179)

This means that we may rewrite the formula for  $b_{k+\alpha=2}^{y}b_{k+\alpha=2}$  as follows,

$$b_{k+q=2}^{y}b_{k q=2} = N_{k;0 q;0} + (N_{1})[_{k+q=2;0}d_{k}(q) + _{k q=2;0}d_{k}^{y}(q)] + d_{(1=2)k+q=2}^{y}(k+q=2)d_{(1=2)k q=2}(k+q=2)$$

$$X_{k;0 q;0} d_{q_{1}=2}^{y}(q_{1})d_{q_{1}=2}(q_{1})$$
(180)

Consider an interaction of the type,

$$H_{I} = \left(\frac{0}{2}\right)^{X} v_{q} \left[ v_{q+q=2;0} d_{k} (q) + v_{q+q=2;0} d_{k}^{Y} (q) \right] \left[ v_{q+q=2;0} d_{k}^{Y} (q) + v_{q+q=2;0} d_{k}^{Y} (q) \right]$$

$$(181)$$

or,

$$H_{I} = \left(\frac{0}{2}\right)^{X} v_{q} \left[d_{q=2} \left(q\right) + d_{q=2}^{Y}\left(q\right)\right] \left[d_{q=2}\left(q\right) + d_{q=2}^{Y}\left(q\right)\right]$$
(182)

and the free case is given by,

$$H_0 = X_{qd_{(1=2)q}}(q)d_{(1=2)q}(q)$$
(183)

The full ham iltonian may be diagonalised as follows,

$$H = {\overset{X}{\underset{q}{\text{I}}}} {\overset{Y}{\underset{q}{\text{I}}}} f_{q}$$
 (184)

and,

$$f_{q} = \left(\frac{!_{q} + q + 0V_{q}}{2!_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d_{q=2}(q) + \left(\frac{!_{q} + q + 0V_{q}}{2!_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d_{q=2}^{Y} (q)$$
(185)

$$f_{q}^{Y} = \left(\frac{!_{q} + {}_{q} + {}_{0}V_{q}}{2 !_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d_{q=2}(q) + \left(\frac{!_{q} + {}_{q} + {}_{0}V_{q}}{2 !_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d_{q=2}^{Y} (q)$$
(186)

$$d_{q=2}(q) = \left(\frac{!_{q} + {}_{q} + {}_{0}V_{q}}{2!_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{q} \quad \left(\frac{!_{q} + {}_{q} + {}_{0}V_{q}}{2!_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{q}^{y}$$
(187)

$$d_{q=2}^{y} (q) = \left(\frac{!_{q} + q + 0V_{q}}{2!_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{q}^{y} \qquad \left(\frac{!_{q} + q + 0V_{q}}{2!_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{q}$$
(188)

From this we may deduce,

$$hd_{(1=2)q}^{y}(q)d_{(1=2)q}(q)i = \frac{!_{q} + _{q} + _{0}v_{q}}{2!_{q}}$$
(190)

From this it is possible to write down the lling fraction.

FILLING FRACTION

$$f_0 = N_0 = 1$$
 (1=N)  $M_{(1=2)q}^{X}$  (q)d<sub>(1=2)q</sub> (q)i (191)

or,

$$f_0 = N_0 = N = 1$$
  $(1=2^2_0) \sum_{q_{\text{m in}}}^{Z_1} dq q^2 (\frac{!_q + q + 0_q + 0_q}{2!_q})$  (192)

Here the lower lim it is necessary to ensure that the state

has positive norm . and the value of  $q_{\!\scriptscriptstyle m}$  is given by,

$$!_{q_{m in}} + q_{m in} + 0 v_{q_{m in}} = 0$$
 (193)

First de ne,

$$A_{q} = \left(\frac{!_{q} + {}_{q} + {}_{0}V_{q}}{2 !_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (194)

$$B_{q} = \left(\frac{!_{q} + q + 0V_{q}}{2!_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (195)

The density operator is,

$$q(t) = P \frac{p}{N} [d_{(1=2)q}(q)(t) + d_{(1=2)q}^{y}(q)(t)] + X d_{(1=2)k+q=2}^{y}(k+q=2)(t) d_{(1=2)k-q=2}(k-q=2)(t)$$
(196)

$$d_{(1=2)q}(q)(t) = A_q f_q e^{i!_q t} B_q f_q^y e^{i!_q t}$$
 (197)

$$d_{(1=2)q}^{y}(q)(t) = A_q f_q^{y} e^{i \cdot qt} B_q f_q e^{i \cdot qt}$$
 (198)

$$d_{(1=2)k q=2} (k q=2) (t) = A_{k q=2} f_{k q=2} e^{i!_{k q=2}t} B_{k q=2} f_{k+q=2}^{y} e^{i!_{k q=2}t}$$
(199)

$$d_{(1=2)k+q=2}^{y} (k+q=2) (t) = A_{k+q=2} f_{k+q=2}^{y} e^{i \cdot k_{+q=2}t} B_{k+q=2} f_{k-q=2} e^{i \cdot k_{+q=2}t}$$
(200)

Now de ne,

$$S^{>}(qt) = h_{q}(t) - q_{q}(0)i = N h[d_{(1=2)q}(q)(t) + d_{(1=2)q}^{y}(q)(t)][d_{(1=2)q}(q)(0) + d_{(1=2)q}^{y}(q)(0)]i$$

$$X - hd_{(1=2)k+q=2}^{y}(k+q=2)(t)d_{(1=2)k-q=2}(k-q=2)(t)d_{(1=2)k^{0}-q=2}^{y}(k-q=2)(0)d_{(1=2)k^{0}+q=2}(k^{0}+q=2)(0)i$$

$$k : k^{0}$$

$$= N \left(\frac{q}{!_{q}}\right) \exp\left(i !_{q} t\right)$$

$$+ h_{k_{+}q=2} f_{k_{q}=2} e^{i!_{k_{+}q=2} t} A_{k_{q}=2} f_{k_{q}=2} e^{i!_{k_{q}=2} t} A_{k_{q}=2} e^{i!_{k_{q}=2} t} A_{k_{q}=2} f_{k_{q}=2}^{y} f_$$

$$S^{>}(q;t) = N \left(\frac{q}{!_{q}}\right) \exp(i!_{q}t)$$

$$+ \exp(i(!_{k+q=2} + !_{k-q=2})t) B_{k+q=2}^{2} A_{k-q=2}^{2} + B_{k+q=2} B_{k+q=2} A_{k-q=2} A_{k+q=2}]$$

$$S^{<}(q;t) = N \left(\frac{q}{!_{q}}\right) \exp(i !_{q} t) + \sum_{k}^{X} \exp(i (!_{k+q=2} + !_{k q=2}) t) B_{k q=2}^{2} A_{k+q=2}^{2} + B_{k q=2} B_{k q=2} A_{k+q=2} A_{k q=2} ]$$

and,

$$S^{>}$$
 (q;t) =  $h_{q}$  (t)  $_{q}$  (0)i  
 $S^{<}$  (q;t) =  $h_{q}$  (0)  $_{q}$  (t)i

From the above equations, it is easy to see that there is a coherent part corresponding to the Bogoliubov spectrum and an incoherent part which is due to correlations and is responsible (hopefully) for the roton m in im um.

### 6 A cknow ledgem ents

The author is deeply indebted to Prof. A.J. Leggett and Prof. A.H. Castro-Neto for very illuminating conversations and indeed in plicitly hinting at the next step in the developments. They should have been the coauthors but are not due to their own choosing.

### R eferences

- [1] S.Tom onaga, Prog. Theor. Phys. 5, 544 (1950)
- [2] J.M. Luttinger, J.M ath. Phys. 4, 1154 (1963)
- [3] D.C.M attis and E.H.Leib, J.M ath. Phys. 6, 304 (1965)
- [4] A.Luther, Phys. Rev. B 19, 320 (1979)
- [5] F.D.M. Haldane, J. Phys. C 14, 2585 (1981); Helv. Phys. Acta 65, 152 (1992); Perspectives in Many-Particle Physics, Proc. of the Intl. School of Physics "Enrico Ferm i" Course CXXI, Varenna, 1992, eds. R. Schrei er and R.A. Broglia (North-Holland, New York, 1994).
- [6] A.H.Castro-Neto and E.Fradkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 1393 (1994); Phys. Rev. B 49, 10 877 (1994); Phys. Rev. B 51, 4084 (1995)
- [7] A. Houghton and J.B. Marston, Phys.Rev.B 48,7790 (1993); A. Houghton, H.-J. Kwon and J.B. Marston, Phys.Rev.B 50,1351 (1994); A. Houghton, H.-J. Kwon, J.B. Marston and R. Shankar J. Phys. C 6,4909 (1994)
- [8] P.Kopietz, J.Hermisson and K.Schonhammer, Phys.Rev.B 52 10 877 (1995)
- [9] J.Frohlich, R.Gotschm ann and P.A.M. archetti, J.Phys.A. 28, 1169 (1995); J.Frohlich and R.Gotschm ann Phys.Rev.B. 55 no.11 (1997)
- [10] S. Sunakawa, S. Yamasaki and T. Kebukawa Prog. Theor. Phys. 38, 804 (1967); 41, 919 (1969); 44 565 (1970); 49 1802 (1973)
- [11] G.A.Goldin, R.Meniko and D.H.Sharp, J.Math.Phys. 21 (1980); R.Meniko and D.H.Sharp, J. Math.Phys. 18, 471 (1977); R.F.Dashen and D.H.Sharp, Phys. Rev. 165, 1857 (1968)
- [12] E. Feenberg, "Theory of Quantum Fluids"
- [13] A. Ligouri and M. Mintchev, Comm. Math. Phys. 169, 635-52 (1995)
- [14] F. Lesage, V. Pasquier and D. Serban, Nucl. Phys. B 435 FS] (1995) 585-603
- [15] M . Reed and B . Sim on, "M ethods of M odern M athem atical Physics" vols. I and II, A cadem ic Press Inc. c1980
- [16] G.S.Setlur, "Density Phase Variable Approach for Nonrelativistic Fermions" UIUC preprint (1996)