Statistics of conductance oscillations of a quantum dot in the Coulom b-blockade regime

F.Simmel, T.Heinzel, and D.A.W haram Sektion Physik der Ludwig-Maximilians-Universitat, Geschwister-Scholl-Platz 1, D-80539 Munchen

January 15, 2022

A bstract

The uctuations and the distribution of the conductance peak spacings of a quantum dot in the C oulom b-blockade regim e are studied and compared with the predictions of random matrix theory (RMT). The experimental data were obtained in transport measurements performed on a sem iconductor quantum dot fabricated in a G aA s-A LG aA s heterostructure. It is found that the uctuations in the peak spacings are considerably larger than the mean level spacing in the quantum dot. The distribution of the spacings appears G aussian both for zero and for non-zero magnetic eld and deviates strongly from the RMT-predictions.

PACS num bers: 73.20 D x,73.23 H k,05.45.+ b

A dvanced nanofabrication techniques have made it possible to con ne small num bers of electrons electrostatically within the two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) of a sem iconductor heterostructure [1, 2]. Both the electric charge and energy of such \quantum dots" are quantised and hence such structures are som etim es referred to as $\alpha = 1$ atom s" [3, 4]. In transport m easurem ents the charging of these electron islands with single electrons leads to the observation of periodic conductance oscillations in the C oulom b-blockade regime [1]. These re ect the electrostatic coupling of the quantum dot to its environment and, additionally, they contain information about the eigenenergies and eigenfunctions of the electrons in the dot. Due to irregularities in the electrostatic con nem ent potential and electron-electron interactions, the corresponding classical motion of the electrons in the quantum dot can be expected to be chaotic (nonintegrable) [5, 6, 7]. Consequently, recent experiments have considered the peak height distribution [8, 9], param etric conductance correlations [9] and level statistics [10] of a quantum dot in the C oulom b-blockade regime to test the concepts developed for the quantum mechanical description of classically chaotic system s (\quantum chaos" [11, 12]). In particular random m atrix theory (RMT)

[13] has proven to be a very successful description of the statistical properties of spectra of m any irregular system s. Therefore, it is a very interesting question, how RM T applies to the transport properties of quantum dots. In this paper we investigate the uctuations of the peak spacings of the conductance peaks of a quantum dot obtained in transport m easurem ents with and without applied m agnetic eld. The spacing distributions are calculated and com pared with the predictions of RM T.

For su ciently low temperatures T and small dot capacitances C, quantum dots isolated from the reservoirs of the 2DEG via tunnel barriers can exhibit C oulom b-blockade phenomena. When $e^2=C$ k_B T, transport through the quantum dot is blocked. A nite conductance only occurs when the total energy of the quantum dot with N electrons is degenerate with the energy of the dot occupied by N + 1 electrons. This is the case when

$$F(N + 1) F(N) = ;$$
 (1)

where F (N) denotes the free energy of the quantum dot with N $\,$ electrons and

is the chem ical potential of the leads. Then a single electron can tunnel from a reservoir into the dot [1]. This can be achieved by tuning the dot's potential with a centre gate. A sweep in the centre gate voltage V_g results in the well-known conductance oscillations in the C oulom b-blockade regime. From Eq.(1) the di erence V_g between gate voltages at which two adjacent peaks occur can be related to the therm odynam ic quantity (e = @N, which has the m eaning of an inverse com pressibility [10]. Within the capacitive charging model [1] the electrons are assumed to occupy single particle states of energies $_i$ and the C oulom b interactions are described by a classical electrostatic term U (N). The dot's energy is then F (N) $_{i}^{N}$ i + U (N) and the di erence V g is given by

$$e V_{\alpha}^{N} = e^{2} = C + N;$$
 (2)

Here e denotes the electronic charge, C the total capacitance of the dot and N = N + 1 N the level spacing. The conversion factor $= C_g = C$, where C_g is the dot-to-gate capacitance, translates between the energy and the voltage scale of the conductance oscillations. Thus, in principle, one should be able to extract the energy level spacings N from the so-called \addition spectrum " obtained in C oulom b-blockade m easurem ents.

From the addition spectrum, one can calculate the nearest neighbour spacing (NNS) distribution P (S), which can be compared to the predictions of RMT. P (S) is the distribution of the spacings between adjacent levels of an energy spectrum, where the spacings S are norm alised to a mean value of unity. The results for P (S) within the fram ework of RMT are very well approxim ated by the W igner sum ise, which is [12]

$$P(S) = \frac{1}{2}Se^{\frac{1}{4}S^2}$$
 (GOE) (3)

$$P(S) = \frac{32}{2}S^2 e^{\frac{4}{2}S^2}$$
 (GUE) (4)

for time-reversal invariant systems and for systems with broken time-reversal invariance, e.g. in the presence of a magnetic eld. The rst distribution corresponds to the energy spectrum of H am iltonians drawn from the G aussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE) of random matrices, while the second is obtained for the Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE). The uctuations $S = (hS^2 i hS^2)^{1-2}$ are thus expected to be 0.52hS i and 0.42hS i for GOE and GUE, respectively. The quantum dot on which our measurements were performed was de ned by electron-beam lithography in the 2DEG of a GaAs-A h.32Ga0.68As heterostructure. The mobility and the sheet density of the 2DEG are $120m^2 = Vs$ and 3:6 10^{5} m², respectively. The application of negative gate voltages to the surface structure de nes an island which is isolated from the left and right reservoirs via tunnel barriers (see inset of Fig. 1). The radius of the island is 150nm. The Coulom b-blockade measurements were perestimated to be r form ed in a dilution refrigerator with a base temperature of 25m K. Electron transport through the dot was studied by applying a sm all bias voltage (4.3 V AC) between the left and right reservoirs and m easuring the current using standard lock-in techniques (for further details see Ref. [14]). From the onset of the conductance oscillations at $V_q = 200 \text{mV}$ roughly 170 peaks are observed (Fig. 1). During the gate sweep from $V_{g} = 200 \text{ mV}$ to 1000 mV the number of electrons in the quantum dot thus varies from N 250 to N 80. In the following, the only relevant energy scale is the mean energy level spacing . It should be roughly $E_F = N$, where E_F is the Fermi energy. From the sheet density one obtains E _F 50 eV . The therm alenergy $k_{\!\scriptscriptstyle\rm B}\,T$ 12:9m eV and therefore is about one order of magnitude smaller.

To calculate the NNS distribution from the conductance oscillations, rst the gate voltage di erences V $_{\rm g}$ between adjacent peaks are extracted from the data. The mean value of V $_{\rm g}$ increases linearly with decreasing voltage (see Fig.2(a)) re ecting an inverse linear change in the dot-to-gate capacitance [15]. Identifying h V $_{\rm g}$ i with the classical charging voltage e=C $_{\rm g}$, from Eq.(2) the energy spacings are obtained as

$$= e (V_g h V_g i):$$
(5)

The conversion factor is also a function of the gate voltage. This can be considered by using the same linear tas above, i.e. $= C_g = C = e(e+C_{rest} + V_g i)^{-1}$, where the capacitance $C_{rest} = C$ C_g is assumed to be constant. However, the actual choice of is not a crucial parameter in the calculation, as tests with di erent constant values for have shown. From Eq.(5) the are obtained as uctuations around a mean value of zero. To remove the unphysical negative values for the whole data are shifted by a constant value (cf. Fig. 2 (b)). It turns out, that the uctuations around the mean value are considerably larger than the mean level spacing estimated above. This may be regarded as an indication that the calculated are not the \real" addition energies and that the in uence of the electron-electron interactions both within the dot and its environment play a signi cant role [10].

From the energy spacings one can construct an articial one-particle energy spectrum via $E_i = \int_{N=1}^{i} N \cdot To unfold the data to a mean level spacing of unity a polynom ial t is made to the spectral step function N (E) = <math>(E - E_i)$. The renormalized energies are obtained by the standard unfold-

ing m apping E_i 7 hN (E_i)i [11, 12]. From these, the energy level spacings are calculated and can be directly compared to the predictions of RM T. In Fig. 3(a) the resulting NNS distribution for zero magnetic eld is displayed.

It obviously does not agree with the W igner sum ise (Eq.(3)). Instead, it is much better described by a G aussian centered at S = 1, as illustrated.

In the presence of a magnetic eld, tim e-reversal invariance breaks down. In this case the appropriate ensemble of random matrix theory to describe energy level uctuations is the G aussian unitary ensemble. However, as in the B = 0T-case, the experimentally obtained spacing distributions look G aussian rather than

GUE-like. In Fig. 3 (b) the distributions for zero, for low (B = 0.1T, B = 0.5T) and high (B = 4T) magnetic elds are displayed. The distributions are derived with typically 150 170 data points. From a statistical point of view this number is rather sm all. N onetheless, these are large numbers when compared to previous C oulom b-blockade experiments [10]. It can be seen that the distributions narrow with increasing magnetic eld as may be expected due to the Landau quantisation [16, 17].

The largeness of the uctuations indicates that the capacitive term $e^2=C$ in Eq.(2) undergoes even larger uctuations than the energy levels them selves. Thus the obtained above do not display the energy level spectrum of the quantum dot. However, this would not mean a failure of RM T, but an insufciency of the capacitive charging model. Eq.(2) obviously cannot be used to get access to the bare energy level spacings of the quantum dot, when a larger range of gate voltages is considered.

In a recent publication Sivan et al. [10] argued that electron-electron interactions in the dot were responsible for the failure of RM T to describe the conductance peak spacing distribution. Their experiments and calculations lead to a Gaussian P (S) centered at S = 1 which is similar to our results. In terms of the charging energy the uctuations obtained in our experiment are

() 0:07 $0:11e^{-C}$, which is slightly smaller than in the work by Sivan et al. Their numerical calculations suggest that uctuations in the quantity V=h V i converge to a \universal" value between 0.1 and 0.2 for strong elec-

tronic interactions. Calculating this quantity from our data we arrive at 0.10, which is consistent with their nding. However, the in uence of the capacitive coupling to the reservoirs has not been considered in their publication, which may also have a considerable in uence on the uctuation properties of the peak spacings.

Finally, it has to be considered that RM T was initially developed to handle the statistical properties of excitation spectra of complex systems. The addition spectrum as obtained in C oulom b-blockade measurements, however, consists of the many-particle ground state energies of the quantum dot rather than excita-

tion energies. The comparison with RMT has been made under the assumption that the addition spectrum be equivalent to a single particle spectrum. Indeed, the excitation spectrum of the model used in [10], obeys RMT. Recently, this could also be shown for the excitation spectrum of the two-dimensional Hubbard model [18]. But it is not clear whether the results of RMT can be applied to ground state energy statistics. In this respect it is interesting to notice, that the peak height distribution for the conductance oscillations seems to be in accordance with RMT [8, 9], whereas the parametric conductance correlations [9, 19] quantitatively do not agree with RMT.

In conclusion, we have investigated the statistics of conductance peak spacings obtained in C oulom b-blockade experiments with zero and non-zero magnetic eld. In all cases the results do not agree with the predictions of random matrix theory. Instead, the nearest neighbour spacings appear to be G aussian distributed around their mean value. It seems to be di cult to extract the bare energy levels when using a simple capacitive charging model. Therefore, our results include uctuations in the electrostatic coupling with the environment which are larger than the uctuations in the quantum dot's energy level spectrum itself. Further theoretical and experimental work are required to understand this central phenom enon in mesoscopic physics.

W e gratefully acknow ledge stimulating discussions with R.Berkovits, H.Bruus, W.Hausler, J.P.K otthaus, C.Sta ord and S.Ulloa and nancial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgem einschaft. One of us (F.S.) also acknow ledges support from the Institute for Scientic Interchange Foundation.

present address: ETH Hoenggerberg HPF C13, CH-8093 Zurich

References

- [1] H. van Houten, C. W. J. Beenakker, and A. A. M. Staring in Single Charge Tunneling, edited by H.G rabert, J.M. Martinis, and M. H. Devoret (Plenum, New York, 1991).
- [2] U.Meirav and E.B.Foxm an, Sem icond.Sci.Technol.10 255, (1995) and references therein.
- [3] M.A.Kastner, Physics Today 46, 24 (1993).
- [4] R.C.Ashoori, Nature 379, 413 (1996).
- [5] R.A. Jalabert, A.D. Stone, and Y.A Ihassid, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3468 (1992).
- [6] A.D. Stone and H.Bruus, Physica B 189, 43 (1993).

- [7] H.Bruus and A.D.Stone, Phys. Rev. B 50, 18275 (1994).
- [8] A.M. Chang, H.U.Baranger, L.N. Pfeier, K.W. West, and T.Y.Chang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1695 (1995).
- [9] J.A.Folk, S.R.Patel, S.F.Godijn, A.G.Huibers, S.M.Cronenwett, C. M.Marcus, K.Chapman, and A.C.Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1699 (1996).
- [10] U. Sivan, R. Berkovits, Y. Aloni, O. Prus, A. Auerbach, and G. Ben-Yoseph, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1123 (1996).
- [11] M.C.Gutzwiller, Chaos in Classical and Quantum Mechanics (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990).
- [12] F.Haake, Quantum Signatures of Chaos (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990).
- [13] M.L.Mehta, Random Matrices (A cadem ic Press, London, 1991), 2nd ed.
- [14] T.Heinzel, S.M anus, D.A.W haram, J.P.K otthaus, G.Bohm, W.Klein, G.Trankle, and G.W eim ann, Europhys.Lett. 26, 689 (1994).
- [15] D.B.Chklovskii, B.I.Shklovskii, and L.I.Glazman, Phys. Rev. B 46, 4026 (1992).
- [16] P.L.McEuen, E.B.Foxman, J.K inaret, U.Meirav, M.A.Kastner, N.S. W ingreen, and S.J.W ind, Phys. Rev. B 45, 11419 (1992).
- [17] T.Heinzel, A.T.Johnson, D.A.W haram, J.P.K otthaus, G.Bohm, W. Klein, G.Trankle, and G.W eim ann, Phys. Rev. B 52, 16638 (1995).
- [18] H Bruus and J.-C. Angles d'Auriac, Europhys. Lett. 35 (5), 321 (1996).
- [19] H.Bruus, C.H.Lewenkopf, and E.R.Mucciolo, Phys. Rev. B 53, 9968 (1996).

Figure 1: C onductance oscillations of a quantum dot in the C oulom b-blockade regime at zero magnetic eld as a function of the centre-gate voltage V_g . R oughly 170 peaks are observed between $V_g = 200 \text{mV}$ and $V_g = 1000 \text{mV}$. The inset shows a schematic of the quantum dot. The shaded area denotes the 2DEG and the black areas indicate the gates with which the dot is de ned. The lower middle gate is the centre-gate.

Figure 2: (a) The peak spacings (V $_{\rm g}$) extracted from Fig.1 (indicated by dots) and a linear t to them . (b) Energy spacings () calculated from the peak spacings and shifted to positive values. The straight line indicates their m ean value and the broken lines indicate the largeness of the uctuations expected from RMT.

Figure 3: (a) NNS histogram calculated from the energy spacings of Fig. 2 (b) after unfolding them to a mean value of unity. The full line denotes the GOE – prediction of RMT for P (S) and the broken line is a Gaussian t centered at S = 1. (b) NNS distributions for di erent magnetic eld strengths. The full and the broken lines denote the RMT -predictions for P (S) obtained in the Gaussian orthogonal and unitary ensemble, respectively. For clarity, lines have been used to diplay the distributions instead of histogram s.







