Boundary bound states for the open Hubbard chain with boundary elds

O sam u T suchiya¹ and Takashi Y am am oto²

¹D epartm ent of P ure and Applied Sciences, U niversity of Tokyo,

K om aba, M eguro-ku, Tokyo 153, Japan

²Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, K yoto U niversity,

K yoto 606, Japan

A bstract

The boundary e ects in the open Hubbard chain with boundary elds are studied. The boundary string solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations that give rise to a wave functions localized at the boundary and exponentially decreasing away from the boundary are provided. In particular, it is shown that the correct ground state of the model at half-lling contains the boundary strings.

In view of the experim ental possibilities [1], interest in the theoretical study of transport properties for one-dimensional electron systems has been considerably enhanced during recent years (see ref. [2] and references therein). Thus, theoretical investigations of Tom onaga-Luttinger liquids (see [3] and references therein) in the presence of boundaries and potential scatterers are of crucial importance. A conspicuous example of Tom onaga-Luttinger liquids with boundaries is provided by the one-dimensional Hubbard model with open boundaries and boundary elds (open Hubbard chain with boundary elds) [4, 5, 6, 7]. The Ham iltonian of this model is given by $H = H_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{(open)}} + H_{\text{boundary}}$, where

$$H_{\text{bulk}}^{\text{(open)}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x}{X} & 1 & X \\ & & & \\ & & & (& \frac{y}{j} & _{j+1} & + & \frac{y}{j+1} & _{j}) + U & n_{j"}n_{j\#}; \\ & & & & & \\ j=1 & =";\# & & & j=1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (1)

$$H_{boundary} = h_1 (n_{1"} + n_{1\#}) h_L (n_{L"} + n_{L\#});$$
 (2)

Here U is the coupling constant, h_1 is the boundary eld at site 12 f1;Lg, $_j$ (resp. $_j^y$) denotes the annihilation (resp. the creation) operator of an electron with spin 2 f";#g at site j 2 f1;2; ;Lg, and $_j^y$ j is the number operator. This model has been solved exactly by Bethe ansatz [4, 5, 6, 7], and its long-distance properties have been analyzed by using boundary conformal eld theory [5, 6, 7]. A lso, the boundary S matrices of the model have been obtained [8].

In this letter, focusing on the complex solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations, we proceed to study the boundary e ects in the open Hubbard chain with boundary elds. O riginally, such kind of work has been done in the case of open X X Z chain with boundary magnetic elds [9, 10]. In refs. [9, 10], the authors found boundary string solutions to the Bethe ansatz equations. The boundary strings represent boundary bound states which correspond to the wave functions localized at the boundaries. In particular, it was shown that, in the gapfull regime with appropriate conditions [11] on the boundary magnetic elds, the ground state contains the boundary 1-string.

We will show that, for the open Hubbard chain with boundary elds of type (2), there exist boundary bound states of the electrons corresponding to each boundary elds. If the boundary elds is su ciently large (h > 1 for l = 1;L) then the rapidity con guration in the ground state of this model contains boundary strings.

Let us recall the Bethe ansatz solutions of the open Hubbard chain [4, 5, 6, 7]. The energy is represented as

$$E = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{X^{N}} \cos k_{j}; \qquad (3)$$

where the charge rapidities k_i are the solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations

$$e^{i2k_{j}(L+1)} \quad (k_{j}; h_{1}) \quad (k_{j}; h_{L}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{M} e(2 (\sin k_{j}) = e) e(2 (\sin k_{j} + e) = e); (4)$$

$$e((e) = e) e((e) + e) = e$$

$$e(2 (\sin k_{j}) = e) e(2 (\sin k_{j} + e) = e); (4)$$

$$e((e) = e) e((e) + e) = e$$

$$e(2 (\sin k_{j}) = e) e(2 (\sin k_{j} + e) = e); (4)$$

$$e((e) = e) e((e) + e) = e$$

$$e(2 (\sin k_{j}) = e) e(2 (\sin k_{j}) = e) e(2 (\sin k_{j}) = e); (5)$$

Here N and M respectively denote the number of electrons and electrons with down spin, and

$$c = U = 2; (6)$$

$$(x;h) = \frac{1 \quad he^{ix}}{1 \quad he^{ix}}; \tag{7}$$

$$e(x) = \frac{x + i}{x - i}:$$
 (8)

Note that, in this model, the solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations are restricted as $Re(k_i)$; Re() 0 and k_i ; e 0.

Putting $k_j = k_j$, = and $k_0 = 0$; $k_0 = 0$, we can rewrite the above Bethe ansatz equations into the m ore tractable form s,

$$e^{i2k_{j}(L+1)}$$
 $(k_{j};h_{1})$ $(k_{j};h_{L}) = e(2\sin k_{j}=c)^{1}$ $(2\sin k_{j})$ $(2\sin k_{j})$ (9)

e (=c)
1
 $^{\text{M}}$ e (()=c) = $^{\text{M}}$ e (2 ($\sin k_{j}$)=c): (10)

A lso, the energy is represented as

$$E = \sum_{j=N}^{X^{N}} cosk_{j} + 1;$$
 (11)

For the problem s with periodic boundary conditions, we usually adopt the string hypothesis [12]. The string hypothesis states that, in the therm odynam ic lim it, the set of solutions fk_j ; g of the Bethe ansatz equations can be split into three kinds of solutions, which are real k_j 's, combination of n 's (-strings of length n) and combination of n 's and $2n k_j$'s (k- -strings of length n) [12]. (We shall call these the bulk string solutions.) The -strings and the k- -strings can be interpreted as some kind of bound states [12, 13].

For the problem s with open boundary conditions, since the equations (9) and (10) are very similar to the one for the model with periodic boundary conditions, it is reasonable to conjecture that the bulk strings make up the (sub) set of the solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations.

Boundary string

For the model with open boundary conditions, however, there may exist the complex solutions which are the dierent kind to the bulk strings. Note that, for the model with open boundary conditions, the energy (3) is the integrals of motion but the total momentum is not. Thus $\sum_{j=1}^{N} k_j$ does not have to be real, in contrast to the case of the periodic boundary conditions. The rapidity congurations which contain pure imaginary roots (or roots whose real parts are) and do not contain the complex conjugate of these pure imaginary roots are admissible. (We shall call this kind of solutions which correspond to the boundary elds boundary string solutions'. [9, 10])

One particle system s

As a warm -up exercise, we set consider the one electron system (N = 1). The wave function of the Bethe ansatz states is given by

$$(n) = A (k)e^{ikn} B (k)e^{ikn}$$
 (12)

where = "or # is the spin of an electron. The relation between A (k) and B (k) is obtained by investigating the boundary scattering at left or right boundaries. The rapidity k is determined by the consistency condition for the left and right scatterings (Bethe ansatz equation). The boundary scattering at the boundary n = 1 gives

$$B (k) = \frac{1 - h_1 e^{ik}}{1 - h_1 e^{-ik}} A (k)$$
 (13)

and at n = L gives

$$B (k) = \frac{1 - h_L e^{-ik}}{1 - h_L e^{ik}} e^{ik2(L+1)} A (k):$$
 (14)

The consistency condition for both left and right scatterings gives

$$\frac{1 \quad h_1 e^{ik}}{1 \quad h_1 e^{ik}} \frac{1 \quad h_L e^{ik}}{1 \quad h_r e^{ik}} = e^{2ik (L+1)}; \tag{15}$$

There are two type of solutions for (15): one is the real solution which correspond to the free particle interacting at the boundary, the other is the pure imaginary solution which can be interpreted as the bound state at the boundary. When k is pure imaginary, the right hand side of (15) decreases exponentially fast in the therm odynam ic limit (we deal with only the case Im (k) > 0). Therefore, if eq. (15) has pure imaginary solution, the rapidity k must take values of which the left hand side of (15) vanishes. Let $k_{(1)} = i_{(1)}$; $k_{(L)} = i_{(L)}$ be the pure imaginary solutions such as

1 e
$$^{(1)}h_1$$
 e 2 $^{(1)}$ $^{(L+1)}$;
1 e $^{(L)}h_L$ e 2 $^{(L)}$ $^{(L+1)}$: (16)

Note that the above equations have solution with $_{(1)} > 0$ only when $h_1 > 1$ (l = 1;L). The solution $k_{(1)}$ ($k_{(L)}$) corresponds to the wavefunction localized at the site 1: $e^{n_{-(1)}}$ (resp. site L: $e^{(L-n)_{-(L)}}$)

Ground state

Now we investigate the ground state of the open Hubbard chain with boundary elds at the half lling. For the model with periodic boundary conditions, in the ground state, the rapidities fk_j ; gare all real and llthe Ferm is eas. However, as exam ined above, we must consider the possibility of the existence of the boundary strings. Our task is, then, to compare the energy of the conguration with boundary strings to that of the conguration without the boundary strings. (In the remainder

 $^{^1\}mbox{In}$ this letter, the term half-lling means that the real charge rapidities k_j llthe Ferm i-sea between $\,$ and $\,$.

of this letter, we treat only the case that the real roots fk_j ; g llthe Ferm i-seas.) To calculate the e ect of the existence of the boundary strings to the energy, we must investigate, in addition to the energy of the boundary strings, the shift of the densities of the real roots due to the existence of the boundary strings.

We shall introduce the densities of roots and holes. The number of allowed solutions for the Bethe ansatz equations (4) and (5) in the intervals (k, k+dk) and (, +d) are expressed as L $[(k)+{}^h(k)]dk$ and L [(, +h)()]d. Here (k) and (, +d) are the densities of roots (, +d) solutions), and (, +d) are the densities of holes (un led solutions).

If there exist two boundary strings $_{(1)} = \ln h_1$, $_{(L)} = \ln h_L$ for each boundary elds (and there do not exist holes in the real roots), the densities (k), () satisfy the following integral equations

$$(k) = \frac{1}{-} + 2 \cos k \int_{B}^{Z_{B}} d \quad () K (2 (\sin k))$$

$$+ \frac{1}{L} \frac{1}{2} ((k; h_{L}) + (k; h_{L})) \quad 2 \cos k K (2 \sin k) ;$$

$$(17)$$

$$() = 2 \int_{C}^{Z_{Q}} dk \quad (k) K (2 \quad 2 \sin k) \int_{B}^{Z_{B}} d^{0} \quad (^{0}) K \quad (^{0})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{L} \int_{C}^{Z_{Q}} \frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} K \left(\frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} \right) + \frac{2c}{c + 2 \sinh_{(L)}} K \left(\frac{2c}{c + 2 \sinh_{(L)}} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{L} \int_{C}^{Z_{Q}} \frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} K \left(\frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} \right) + \frac{2c}{c + 2 \sinh_{(L)}} K \left(\frac{2c}{c + 2 \sinh_{(L)}} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{L} \int_{C}^{Z_{Q}} \frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} K \left(\frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} \right) + \frac{2c}{c + 2 \sinh_{(L)}} K \left(\frac{2c}{c + 2 \sinh_{(L)}} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{L} \int_{C}^{Z_{Q}} \frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} K \left(\frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} \right) + \frac{2c}{c + 2 \sinh_{(L)}} K \left(\frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{L} \int_{C}^{Z_{Q}} \frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} K \left(\frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} \right) + \frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} K \left(\frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{L} \int_{C}^{Z_{Q}} \frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} K \left(\frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} \right) + \frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} K \left(\frac{2c}{c \quad 2 \sinh_{(L)}} \right)$$

where $(k;h) = (h^2 - 1) = (1 - 2h \cos k + h^2)$, $K(x) = c = [(x^2 + c^2)]$. Here Q and B are charge and spin pseudo Ferm i-m om entum, respectively. We assume that, to exam ine the densities of order O (1=L) in the half—led case, we can take B = and Q = 1.

If we separate the densities into the part of order O (L⁰) and O (1=L) as

$$(k) = {}_{0}(k) + \frac{1}{L} {}_{1}(k) + O(\frac{1}{L^{2}})$$

$$() = {}_{0}() + \frac{1}{L} {}_{1}() + O(\frac{1}{L^{2}});$$
(19)

then $_0$ (k) and $_0$ () are identical to the densities of k's and 's, respectively, for the ground state with periodic boundary conditions. The ground state energy of the model with periodic boundary conditions is, then, given by

$$E_{periodic} = L dk_0(k) cosk$$
: (20)

The 0 (1=L) contribution $_1$ (k) can be divided into three parts which reject the geometry (open boundary conditions), the eject of the boundary elds, and the existence of the boundary strings;

$$_{1}(k) = _{\text{geom}}(k) + _{\text{eld}}(k) + _{\text{string}}(k)$$
: (21)

We further separate $_{eld}$ (k) to $_{eld}$ (k) = $_{eld}$ (k; h_1) + $_{eld}$ (k; h_L) where $_{eld}$ (k; h_1) is the contribution from the boundary $_{str}$ (k) to $_{str}$ (k) to $_{str}$ (k) = $_{str}$ (k; h_1) + $_{str}$ (k; h_L) where $_{str}$ (k; h_1) is the contribution from the boundary string corresponding to the boundary $_{str}$ eld h_1 .

Here geom (k) is given by

$$_{\text{geom}} (k) = \frac{\cos k}{2}^{\frac{Z}{1}} dw \frac{e^{-iw \sin k - cjw \, j - 2}}{1 + e^{cjw \, j}} 2 \cos kK (2 \sin k); \qquad (22)$$

and eld (k;h) is given by

$$_{\text{eld}}(k;h) = \frac{(k;h)}{2} \frac{\cos k}{2^{2}} dk^{0} \frac{\cos k}{1} dw \frac{e^{2 \text{iw} (\sin k \sin k^{0}) \text{ ciw j}}}{\cosh (cw)}$$
(23)

The form of str(k;h) is depend on the value of the boundary eld h.

The energy in the case that there are boundary strings, is given by

$$Z$$
 Z $E = L dk_0(k) cosk dk_1(k) cosk 2(cosh_1 + cosh_L): (24)$

Then the energy di erence between the con guration which contains boundary string and the con guration which does not contain the boundary string, corresponding to the boundary eld h, is given by

$$E(h_1) = 2 \cosh_1 + e(h_1);$$
 (25)

w here

If the strength of the boundary eld h is such that c < 2 sinh 1; then

$$_{\text{str}}(k;h_1) = 4\cos k d \frac{K \frac{2c}{2\sinh 1 c} K (2\sin k 2)}{2\sinh 1 c};$$
 (27)

and

$$e(h_1) = \frac{1}{L} dk dk d \frac{4ccs^2k}{2 sinh} K (\frac{2c}{2 sinh}) K (2 sink 2): (28)$$

Noticing K (x) > 0 (x is real), we see that $e(h_1) < 0$.

On the other hand, if $\frac{1}{2} \sinh_{1} j < c$, then

$$str(k;h_1) = \frac{\cos k}{4} G(\frac{c}{2} \sinh_{1}k) + G(\frac{c}{2} + \sinh_{1}k);$$
 (29)

w here

$$G(x;y) = \int_{1}^{Z} dw \frac{e^{-iw \sin y + x + iw + j}}{\cosh cw + 2} = \frac{x}{2} \int_{1}^{Z} dw \frac{K(c(-\sin y) + x)}{\cosh (-\cos y)}; \quad (30)$$

In this case, e(h1) is given by

$$e(h_1) = \frac{1}{L}^{Z} dk \frac{\cos^2 k}{4} G(\frac{c}{2} \sinh_{1}k) + G(\frac{c}{2} + \sinh_{1}k) :$$
 (31)

Again, noticing G (x;y) > 0 (x > 0), we see that $e(h_1) < 0$.

We thus conclude that the conguration which contains boundary strings has lower energy than the conguration which does not contain the boundary strings. Therefore, if $h_1 > 1$ for l = 1; L, the ground state rapidity conguration of the open Hubbard chain with boundary elds at the half-lling contains the boundary strings for each boundary elds.

The surface energy of the Hubbard chain with boundary elds

$$E_{\text{surface}} = E^{\text{gr}} \quad E_{\text{periodic}}^{\text{gr}};$$
 (32)

where E^{gr} is the ground state energy of the H am iltonian H and $E^{gr}_{periodic}$ is the ground state energy of the m odel with periodic boundary conditions, is given by

$$E_{\text{surface}} = \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} dk \left[g_{\text{eom}}(k) + g_{\text{eld}}(k) + g_{\text{tr}}(k) \right] \cos k$$

$$2 \left(\cosh_{1} + \cosh_{1} \right) : \tag{33}$$

C onclusion

Although, we did not yet performed to classify the all solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations (4) and (5), we have found that there exist the boundary string solutions in addition to the bulk strings.

It was known that the open Hubbard chain with another type of the boundary elds $H_{\rm boundary}^0 = h_1 (n_{1"} n_{1\#}) h_L (n_{L"} n_{L\#})$ can be solved. The related Bethe ansatz equations for this Ham iltonian contain factors which depend both the coupling constant and boundary elds [6, 7]. The analysis of these Bethe ansatz equations will be appeared elsewhere.

A fler this letter was written up, we not the preprint which relates to our results [14]. In their paper, boundary strings for the both charge and spin rapidities are studied.

R eferences

- [1] F.P.M illiken, C.P.Um bach and R.A.W ebb, Solid State Commun. 97 (1995) 309, A.M. Chang, L.N.P fei er and K.W.W est, Phys.Rev.Lett. 77 (1996) 2538, S.Tarucha, T.Honda and T.Saku, Solid State Commun. 94 (1995) 413, A.Yacoby, H.L.Stormer, N.S.W ingreen, L.N.P fei er, K.W.Baldwin and K.W.W est, Phys.Rev.Lett. 77 (1996) 4612.
- [2] M.P.A.Fisher and L.I.Glazman, Transport in a one-dimensional Luttinger liquid, preprint (1996) cond-mat/9610037.

- [3] F.D.M. Haldane, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 14 (1981) 2585, J. Voit, Rep. Prog. Phys. 58 (1995) 977, M. Sasseti, in Quantum Transport in Semiconductor Submicron Structures, ed. B. Kramer, (Kluwer Academic Publ. 1996).
- [4] H. Schulz, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 18 (1985) 581.
- [5] H.Asakawa and M.Suzuki, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.29 (1996) 225.
- [6] M . Shiroishi and M . W adati, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66 (1997) 1.
- [7] T. Deguchi and R. Yue, Exact solutions of 1-D Hubbard model with open boundary conditions and the conformal scales under boundary magnetic elds, preprint (1996).
- [8] O.T suchiya, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.in press.
- [9] S. Skorik and H. Saleur, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 (1995) 6605.
- [10] A.Kapustin and S.Skorik, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.29 (1996) 1629.
- [11] M. Jimbo, R. Kedem, T. Kojima, H. Konno and T. Miwa, Nucl. Phys. 411 (1995) 437.
- [12] M. Takahashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 47 (1972) 69.
- [13] F.Essler, V.E.Korepin and K.Schoutens, Nucl. Phys. B 372 (1992) 559, ibid. B 384 (1992) 431.
- [14] G.Bedurffig and H.Frahm, Spectrum of boundary states in the open Hubbard chain, preprint (1997) cond-m at/9702227.