Linear optical absorption spectra of m esoscopic structures in intense THz elds: free particle properties

K ristinn Johnsen^{1;2} and Antti-Pekka Jauho¹

¹M ikroelektronik Centret, Technical University of Denmark, Bldg 345east

DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark

²D ept. of M athem atics, U niversity of C alifornia, Santa B arbara, C A 93106, U SA

W e theoretically study the e ect of THz radiation on the linear optical absorption spectra of sem iconductor structures. A general theoretical fram ework, based on non-equilibrium G reen functions, is form ulated, and applied to the calculation of linear optical absorption spectrum for several nonequilibrium m esoscopic structures. W e show that a blue-shift occurs and sidebands appear in bulk-like structures, i.e., the dynam ical Franz-K eklysh e ect A .-P. Jauho and K. Johnsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4576 (1996)]. An analytic calculation leads to the prediction that in the case of superlattices distinct stable steps appear in the absorption spectrum when conditions for dynam ical localization are m et.

PACS num bers: 71.10.-w,78.20Bh,78.20Jq,78.47.+p

(Submitted to Physical Review B on April 3, 1997)

Light absorption can be described in term s of a process where polarization is induced in the medium. To linear order in the electric eld component of the traversing light, E, the induced polarizability, P, can be expressed in term s of the dielectric susceptibility as

$$P(t) = dt^{0} (t;t^{0})E(t^{0}):$$

If the absorbing medium is in a stationary state, the susceptibility depends only on the di erence of its time arqum ents, i.e., $(t;t^0) =$ (t f). Under these conditions M axwell's equation for E is an algebraic equation in frequency space and one nds that the absorption is proportional to the imaginary part of (!). However, under non-equilibrium conditions, which are the topic of the present study, the susceptibility is a two-time function, and M axwell's equation remains an integral equation even in the frequency domain. Further progress hinges upon two steps: rst, one has to develop methods to calculate the non-equilibrium susceptibility function and second, one has to specify what sort of light wave or pulse is used in the absorption experiment. The present work addresses both of these problem s. As far as the tim e-dependence of the probe pulse is concerned, two specic situations are examined. First, consider an undoped sem iconductor placed in an intense THz eld; we assume that the THz eld is not able to induce polarization, i.e., no carriers are excited in the conduction band. Such a system is in a non-equilibrium state, i.e., the susceptibility is a two time function. Properties of such systems have been investigated experimentally using the Free Electron Laser (FEL) as a source for intense THz elds [1]; m any interesting properties have been discovered, and others predicted, such as photon-assisted

tunneling [2], dynam ical localization and absolute negative conductivity [3], ac Stark e ect [4], dynam icalFranz-K eldysh e ect and form ation of sidebands [5[8]. A second exam ple consists of ultra-fast transients. C onsider an undoped sem iconductor structure subject to an external intense static eld. At some time instant a population of carriers is pumped into the conduction band. These m obile charges will rearrange them selves so as to screen the external eld. W hile the screening is building up the susceptibility of the system is a two time function. U sing fem tosecond laser techniques, which are able to probe the time scales in which screening is building up, experiments investigating the non-equilibrium properties of such system s have been perform ed [9,10].

Bandgap engineering techniques of sem iconductor compounds, such as Molecular Beam Epitaxy (MBE), allow spatialm odulation of the bandgap down to atom ic resolution. It is possible to break the translational sym metries of bulk crystals, induce new ones and reduce the degrees of freedom with these techniques. Construction of system swhich are e ectively two dimensional (2D) and even one dim ensional (1D) with regard to electron m obility and optical properties is today a standard procedure. A nother example of such m an-m ade structures are superlattices (SL), i.e., an engineered periodic potential in the growth direction of the sample. The interplay between the mesoscopic properties and the dynam ical properties can lead to m any interesting phenom ena such as absolute negative resistance for the transport properties [11] and the rich features in the optical properties which are the subject of the present work.

The purpose of this paper is to present a theoretical study of light absorption in m esoscopic systems subject to intense THz [far infrared (FIR)] elds. We consider undoped systems, which implies that there are no carriers in the conduction band. Thus, the near infrared (NIR) inter-band absorption is the dom inant absorption

process. The non-equilibrium nature of the system necessitates the use of special theoretical tools; we have chosen to apply the non-equilibrium G reen function techniques [12,13]. In particular, this method allows us to treat the intense FIR eld non-perturbatively, and denes a fram ework in which screening can be treated system atically. Our analysis consists of the following steps. Starting from a two-band Ham iltonian we derive a form alexpression for the inter-band susceptibility in terms of non-equilibrium Green functions. Next, we use the general expression to derive the NIR absorption spectrum for non-interacting particles (C oulom b interactions will be discussed in a subsequent paper, see also below). Finally, we give explicit results for a number of special cases (3-, 2-, 1-d system s and superlattices), and discuss the physical im plications.

This paper is organized as follows. In section II we derive a general expression for the two-time dielectric interband susceptibility. Section III relates the susceptibility to the measured absorption, considering both continuous wave measurements as well as short white light pulses. The single-particle G reen functions and the corresponding spectral functions, which determ ine the susceptibility, are de ned in section IV and related to the generalized density of states [6] (GDOS), which, in turn, is shown to determ ine the optical absorption. Section V considers bulk-like systems, and we obtain analytic results for the GDOS, which is analyzed in some detail in terms of the side-band picture. The properties of light absorption in superlattices are treated in section VI. Specic attention is paid to conditions where dynam ical localization occurs, and we show how it a ects the absorption spectrum. Finally, in section VII we have some concluding rem arks.

II. THE D ELECTRIC INTER-BAND SUSCEPTIBILITY

We shall now derive an expression for the dielectric inter-band susceptibility using non-equilibrium G reen functions. The microscopic operator describing interband polarization is

$$P'(t) = \int_{k}^{X} d_{k} a_{k}^{y}(t)b_{k}(t) + b_{k}^{y}(t)a_{k}(t) :$$
(1)

Here \tilde{d}_k is the dipole matrix-element, a_k^{Y} (t) $[a_k$ (t)] are the conduction band electron creation [annihilation] operators and b_k^{Y} (t) $[b_k$ (t)] are the valence band creation [annihilation] operators. The linearized H am iltonian associated with a polarization P (t) induced by the external

eld E(t) is $H_P(t) = P(t) E(t)$. Linear response theory now yields the Cartesian 1-component of the induced inter-band polarization due to a weak external eld E:

$$P_{1}(t) = \frac{i}{h} \begin{bmatrix} Z_{1} \\ h \end{bmatrix} dt^{0} (t \quad t)hP'(t^{0}); P_{1}(t)] i \quad E^{0}(t; (2))$$

The retarded susceptibility tensor can be identiaed from Eq.(2):

$$r_{\rm Im}$$
 (t;t⁰) = $\frac{i}{h}$ (t $\frac{0}{2}$)hP_m (t⁰);P₁(t)]i: (3)

Following the standard line of attack in non-equilibrium theory [12], we rst consider the causal (time-ordered) response function:

$${}_{lm}^{c}(t;t^{0}) = \frac{i}{h}hTfP_{m}(t^{0})P_{l}(t)gi \qquad (4)$$

where T is the time-ordering operator. In nonequilibrium, the causal response function is replaced by its contour ordered counterpart: $^{c}_{Im}$ (t;t⁰) ! $^{c}_{Im}$ (; 0), where the complex-time variables ; 0 reside on the K eldysh contour. Finally we obtain the retarded tensor by an analytic continuation using the Langreth rules [14].

W e use Eq.(1) to write the susceptibility as

$$\sum_{lm}^{C} (; {}^{0}) = \frac{i}{h} \sum_{qk}^{X} d_{l}(k) d_{m}(q)$$

$$[M_{c}fa_{q}^{y}({}^{0})b_{q}({}^{0})a_{k}^{y}()b_{k}()gi + hT_{c}fa_{q}^{q}({}^{0})b_{q}({}^{0})b_{k}^{y}()a_{k}()gi + hT_{c}fb_{q}^{q}({}^{0})a_{q}({}^{0})b_{k}^{y}()b_{k}()gi + hT_{c}fb_{q}^{q}({}^{0})a_{q}({}^{0})b_{k}^{y}()b_{k}()gi] ;$$

$$(5)$$

where $T_{\,\rm c}$ is the contour-ordering operator. In equilibrium, the two-particle correlation functions occurring in Eq.(5) would be found via the Bethe-Salpeter equation [15]. In what follows, however, we shall consider the noninteracting $\lim it of Eq.(5)$. This approach is motivated by the following considerations. The noninteracting limit will allow signi cant analytic progress, and the results, which we believe are interesting in their own right, will form the basis for any subsequent interacting theories. Secondly, experimentally it is known that in undoped sem iconductor quantum wells excitons are quenched if the system is subject to intense FIR [16], thus implying that for the present situation C oulom b interactions m ay not play an equally dom inant role as is the case in equilibrium situations. A quantitative assessment requires a non-equilibrium theory for the two-particle G reen functions and will be a topic of our future work. For noninteracting particles we can use W ick's theorem to factorize the two-particle correlation functions. Thus, the non-equilibrium susceptibility can be expressed in terms of single-particle G reen functions. The following G reen functions are needed:

$$g_{c}(k; ;q;^{0}) = ihT_{c}fa_{k}()a_{q}^{V}(^{0})gi \qquad (6)$$

 $g_v(k;;q;^0) = ihT_cfb_k()k_d^v(^0)gi$ (7)

$$g_{ab}(k; ;q; ^{0}) = ihT_{c}fa_{k}()b_{a}^{V}(^{0})gi$$
 (8)

and

$$g_{ba}(k; ;q; ^{0}) = ihT_{c}fb_{k}()a_{d}^{V}(^{0})gi: \qquad (9)$$

We assume that the frequency, , of the FIR eld is such that h $_{\rm g}$. In typical experiments on III-V systems s $_{\rm g}$ is of the order of eV, while h is a few meV, so this condition is satis ed. Consequently, inter-band transitions due to the perturbing eld can be ignored, and the G reen functions related to Zener-e ect, i.e., $g_{\rm ab}$ (k; ;q; ⁰) and $g_{\rm ba}$ (k; ;q; ⁰), are neglected from this on. The rst order non-equilibrium susceptibility reads thus

$$\sum_{lm}^{c} (; {}^{0}) = \frac{i}{h} \sum_{qk}^{X} d_{1}(k) d_{m}(q) [g_{c}(k; ; q; {}^{0})g_{v}(q; {}^{0};k;)]$$

$$+ g_{v} (k; ;q; ') g_{c} (q; ';k;)]:$$
(10)

The analytic continuation to realtim es is perform ed with the Langreth rules [14], which state that if on contour

$$C (; ^{0}) = A (; ^{0})B (^{0};);$$
(11)

then the retarded function on the realtim e axis is

$$C^{r}(t;t^{0}) = A^{<}(t;t^{0})B^{a}(t^{0};t) + A^{r}(t;t^{0})B^{<}(t^{0};t):$$
 (12)

W e thus have

$$\begin{split} r_{\rm Im}^{\rm r} (t;t^0) &= \frac{i}{h} \sum_{\rm k}^{\rm X} d_{\rm I}({\rm k}) d_{\rm m} ({\rm k}) \left[g_{\rm c}^< ({\rm k};t;t^0) g_{\rm v}^{\rm a} ({\rm k};t^0;t) + g_{\rm c}^{\rm c} ({\rm k};t;t^0) g_{\rm c}^{\rm a} ({\rm k};t^0;t) + g_{\rm c}^{\rm c} ({\rm k};t;t^0) g_{\rm c}^{\rm a} ({\rm k};t^0;t) + g_{\rm v}^{\rm c} ({\rm k};t;t^0) g_{\rm c}^{\rm a} ({\rm k};t^0;t) + g_{\rm v}^{\rm c} ({\rm k};t;t^0) g_{\rm c}^{\rm a} ({\rm k};t^0;t) + g_{\rm v}^{\rm c} ({\rm k};t;t^0) g_{\rm c}^{\rm a} ({\rm k};t^0;t) + g_{\rm v}^{\rm c} ({\rm k};t;t^0) g_{\rm c}^{\rm a} ({\rm k};t^0;t) ; \end{split}$$

with

$$g^{<}(t;t^{0}) = ihc^{Y}(t^{0})c(t)i;$$
 (14)

$$g^{a}(t;t^{0}) = i(t^{0} t)hfc(t); e^{t}(t^{0})gi;$$
 (15)

$$g^{r}(t;t^{0}) = i(t^{0}) = i(t$$

W e recall the follow ing relations:

$$g^{s}(t;t^{0}) = g^{s}(t^{0};t)$$

$$[g^{a}(t;t^{0})] = g^{r}(t^{0};t)$$

$$[g^{r}(t;t^{0})] = g^{a}(t^{0};t)$$
(17)

For certain applications, e.g. Section IIIA, it is convenient to introduce the center of mass variables $T = (t^0 + t)=2$ and $= t \quad t$ [17]. In term s of these variables the symmetry relations of the G reen functions are:

$$g^{<}(T;) = g^{<}(T;)$$

$$[g^{a}(T;)] = g^{r}(T;)$$

$$[g^{r}(T;)] = g^{a}(T;)$$
(18)

The retarded susceptibility expressed in center of mass coordinates is

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{r}_{lm}(T;) &= \frac{i}{h} \sum_{k}^{X} d_{l}(k) d_{m}(k) \\ &= f[\hat{g}(k;T;)\hat{q}_{r}^{a}(k;T;) \\ &+ g_{v}^{<}(k;T;)\hat{g}(k;T;)] \quad h \approx g: (19) \end{aligned}$$

Note that in equilibrium $r_{lm}(T;) = r_{lm}()$. As shown in Section IIIA, the relevant quantity for continuous wave measurements at frequency $!_1$ is

$$Im _{Im}^{r} (T;!_{1}) = Im \qquad d e^{i!_{1}} Im (T;) \qquad (20)$$

to rstorder in =! 1 (here is the FIR frequency). Now, r_{lm}^r (T;) is a real quantity as is evident from (19). Using the properties of the Fourier transform we obtain

Since ${}_{\rm Im}^{\rm r}$ (I;) is real, the imaginary part of its Fourier transform is obtained through

Im
$$_{lm}^{r}$$
 (T;!₁) = $\frac{1}{2i} [_{lm}^{r}$ (T;!₁) $_{lm}^{r}$ (T; !₁)]: (22)

W e can therefore w rite, in term s of the spectral functions

$$A_{c}(k;T;!) = i[g_{c}^{r}(k;T;!) \quad q_{c}^{a}(k;T;!)] \quad (23)$$

and

$$A_{v}(k;T;!) = i[g_{v}^{r}(k;T;!) \quad g_{v}^{a}(k;T;!)]; \quad (24)$$

that

The lesser functions can be expressed in the form [12]

$$g_a^{<}$$
 (k;T;!) = if_a (k;T;!)A_a (k;T;!) (26)

where $f_a(k;T;!)$ is a generalized particle distribution for particles of species a, and $A_a(k;T;!)$ is the corresponding spectral function. In accordance with our assumption about no FIR eld induced inter-band transitions, we can set $f_c(k;T;!) = 0$ (zero occupation of conduction band), and that $f_v(k;T;!) = 1$ (all valence states are occupied). In the general case, e.g., when considering nonlinear effects in the probing light eld, one would have to nd $f_a(k;T;!)$ via, say, a M onte C arb solution of sem iconductor B bch equations [18,19] or by a direct integration of quantum kinetic equations for $g_a^<(k;T;!)$ [12]. W ith these assumptions the susceptibility reduces to

$$\operatorname{Im} \quad \operatorname{Im} \quad \operatorname{Im$$

The second equality comes about because we do not consider overlapping bands. Eq.(27), which is the central result of this section, expresses the fact that the nonequilibrium inter-band susceptibility function can be calculated from a joint spectral function, which is a convolution of the individual band spectral function. A sim ilar result is known from high- eld quantum transport theory [20]: there the eld-dependent scattering rate is expressed as a joint spectral function for the initial and nal states.

In order to m ake a connection to the equilibrium case, we recall the exact identity $g_{eq}^{<}(k;!) = ia_{eq}(k;!)n(!)$ (n(!) is the Ferm i function), and obtain from Eq.(25) [15,21]

$$\operatorname{Im} \quad \operatorname{Im} \quad \operatorname{I$$

Here n_c (!) is the conduction band electron occupation function, and n_v (!) is corresponding function for the valence band electrons.

III. A B SOR PT ION COEFFICIENT IN TERM S OF THE TIME DEPENDENT DIELECTRIC SUSCEPTIBILITY

The dielectric susceptibility links the induced polarization P to the eld E via

$$P (t) = dt^{0} (t;t^{0})E(t^{0}):$$
(29)

The wave equation for light is then

$$r^{2}E(t) = \frac{1}{c^{2}}\frac{\theta^{2}D(t)}{\theta^{2}t^{2}} = 0$$
 (30)

where D (t) = E (t) + 4 P (t). The absorption ∞ e cient (!) is de ned as the inverse of the length which light

has to traverse in the medium at frequency ! in order for the intensity of the light to decrease by a factor of 1=e. In equilibrium D (!) = [1 + 4 (!)E(!) = (!)E(!) and the absorption coe cient [18] becomes

$$(!) = 4 ! \frac{\text{Im } (!)}{\text{cn } (!)}$$
: (31)

Here $n^2(!) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{R} e(!) + j(!) \mathbb{J}$ is the refraction coe – cient which usually depends only weakly on !. In nonequilibrium this analysis must be generalized, and we consider two special cases: (i) monochrom atic continuous wave measurements, and (ii) white light short pulse measurements.

A.Continuous wave m easurem ents

Consider a system out of equilibrium which is probed by a light eld (which is assumed to be weak) of frequency $!_1:$

$$E(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{t}) = E_0 \exp[i(\mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{k} \quad \mathbf{t})]: \qquad (32)$$

The polarization can then be expressed as

$$P(t) = E(r;t) \qquad dt^{0}e^{i!t(t t^{0})} r(t;t^{0}) : \qquad (33)$$

This form is suggestive: it is advantageous to express ^r in terms of the center-ofm ass and di erence coordinates, ^r(t;t⁰)! ~^r($\frac{1}{2}$ (t+t⁰);t t⁰). The characteristic tim e-scale for the center-ofm ass time is set by the \slow " frequency , while the di erence-time varies on the scale of the \fast" frequency !₁. We thus gradient-expand: ~^r($\frac{1}{2}$ (t+t⁰);t t⁰) ' ~^r(t;t t⁰)+ $\frac{1}{2}$ (t⁰ t) $\overset{s^0}{\xrightarrow{}}$ (t;t t⁰)+ where the prime indicates di erentiation with respect to the slow temporal variable. Substitution in (33) then yields (we introduce a new variable t ⁰t

$$P(t) = E(r;t) \quad d \quad e^{i!_{1}} [\sim^{r} (t;) + (\frac{1}{2}) \sim^{r^{0}} (t;) +$$

]

= E (r;t)
$$[\sim^{r} (t;!_{1}) + \frac{0}{0!_{1}} \frac{0}{0!_{2}} \frac{1}{2} \sim^{r} (t;!_{1}) +]$$

= E (r;t) exp
$$\frac{i}{2} \frac{\theta^2}{\theta t \theta!_1} \sim^r (t;!_1)$$
: (34)

Eq.(34) can now be used in the M axwell equation; note how ever that upon Fourier-transforming the dominant frequency comes from E(t) and we can keep tin $(t;!_1)$ xed. The slow time-variation will from this on be indicated by T. Proceeding as in deriving the static result (31), we identify the time-dependent absorption coe – cient

$$T_{\rm T}(!) = 4 \quad ! \frac{\text{Im} \sim^{r} (T; !)}{\text{Cn}_{\rm T}(!)} + O((=!):$$
(35)

If the driving force is periodic in T (the harm onic tim edependence due to a FEL-laser is an important special case), then the average absorption coe cient is

$$(!) = \frac{1}{T_{\text{period}}} Z^{\text{period}} dT_{\text{T}} (!)$$

$$= \frac{1}{T_{\text{period}}} dT_{\text{period}} dT_{\text{T}} (!)$$

$$(36)$$

$$(36)$$

to all orders in =!. We stress that here $\sim^{r} (T;!)$ is Fourier transform ed with respect to the di erence variable . Below we shall represent num erical examples for the generalized absorption coe cient.

B.Short white light pulse m easurem ents

Consider now an instantaneous measurement performed on a non-equilibrium system: at some specic time t = t_m the system is probed with a weak pulse whose duration is short compared to the characteristic dynamics of the system. We approximate the pulse with delta function in time:

$$E(r;t) = E_0 e^{ir k} (t k);$$
 (37)

In principle, construction of such a pulse would take innite energy due to its time dependence. The pulse is therefore hardly \weak". W hen we refer to the pulse as weak we assume that E_0 1, that is the intensity of the light is small at all frequencies. U sing (37) in the M axwell equation yields dispersion relation

$$k^{2} = \frac{!^{2}}{c^{2}} [1 + 4 \quad r(!;t_{m})]:$$
 (38)

This dispersion relation looks quite similar to the one obtained in the previous section. The difference is that here

 $^{\rm r}$ (! ;t_m) is Fourier transform ed with respect to t⁰, not the di erence variable $\,$. In the present case we obtain the tim e dependent absorption coe cient

$$t(!) = 4 ! \frac{\text{Im} r(!_{1};t)}{cn_{t}(!)}:$$
(39)

For examples of experiments which probe systems in this manner see, e.g., Refs. [9,10].

C.Di erentialTransm ission Spectrum

Consider a sample of thickness L; then the ratio of the intensity transmitted through the sample with its initial intensity is $T(!) = \exp[(!)L]$ where (!) is the absorption coe cient of the sample. Experimental setups for measuring the change in absorption due to externally controlled perturbations commonly measure the di erential transmission spectrum (DTS) de ned by [18]

$$D T S (!) = \frac{T (!) T_0 (!)}{T_0 (!)} :$$
 (40)

Here T (!) is the transmission with the perturbation present and T_0 (!) is the transmission through the unperturbed sample. Below we give examples of DTS (!) in non-equilibrium situations.

IV . SINGLE PARTICLE GREEN FUNCTIONS AND THE SPECTRAL FUNCTIONS

In this section we determ ine the single-particle G reen functions and their associated spectral functions. We show that, under conditions speci ed below, that the convolutions of the spectral functions, encountered in Section II, result in elective single band spectral functions.

A . The single particle G reen functions

Let A be the vector potential which de nes the FIR eld. Considering harm onic, translationally invariant external elds we choose

$$A^{\prime}(t) = E^{\prime} \frac{\sin(t)}{t}; \qquad (41)$$

which represents the physical uniform electric eld E $\cos(t)$. The Ham iltonian describing the two bands is then

$$H = \int_{c}^{X} \sum_{k}^{n} e^{X} (t)]a_{k}^{Y}a_{k} + \sqrt{k} e^{X} (t)]b_{k}^{Y}b_{k} : (42)$$

The Dyson equation for the retarded/advanced freeparticle G reen function is

$$ih\frac{\theta}{\theta t} \qquad [k \quad e^{\alpha}(t)] \quad g^{r=a}(k;t;t^{0}) = (t \quad 0; ; (43))$$

where 2 fc;vg is the band index. This equation is readily integrated with the solutions

$$g^{r=a}(\tilde{k};t;t^{0}) = \frac{i}{h} (t^{0}texp + \frac{i}{h}t^{0}ds \ [\tilde{k} \in \mathcal{K}(s)];$$

$$(44)$$

and the spectral function $A = i(g^r - g^a)$ becomes

A
$$(\tilde{x};t;t^{0}) = \frac{1}{h} \exp^{n} \frac{i}{h} \int_{t^{0}}^{Z_{t}} ds [\tilde{x} \in \tilde{A}(s)] : (45)$$

B.Convolution of the spectral functions

A coording to Section II the susceptibility is obtained through the trace of a convolution of the spectral functions. We shall now show that within the present model the convolution of spectral functions results in a new effective single-band spectral function.

In term s of the center of m ass variables, = t t and $T = (t + t^0)=2$, we write the spectral functions as

A
$$(\tilde{\kappa};T;!) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} de^{i!}$$
 (46)

$$n \int_{1} de^{i!} de^{i!}$$

Then the convolution,

$$b(\tilde{\kappa};T;!_{1}) = h \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d!}{2} A_{e}(\tilde{\kappa};T;!) A_{v}(\tilde{\kappa};T;!_{1}); (47)$$

of the two spectral functions becomes

$$b(\tilde{K};T;!_{1}) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} de^{i!_{1}} exp \int_{1}^{n} \frac{i}{h} \int_{T_{1}}^{Z_{T+}=2} ds \quad (48)$$

$$\int_{C} [\tilde{K} e\tilde{K}(s)] \sqrt{k} e\tilde{K}(s)] :$$

In the case of parabolic bands we have

$$_{c}[\kappa] = \frac{h^{2}k^{2}}{2m_{e}}$$
; $_{v}[\kappa] = \frac{h^{2}k^{2}}{2m_{h}}$ g: (49)

Here m $_{\rm e}$ is the electron m ass, m $_{\rm h}$ is the positive hole m ass and $_{\rm g}$ is the band gap. W e de ne a single e ective band for the system :

$$_{e}$$
 [K] $_{c}$ [K] $_{v}$ [K] = $\frac{h^{2}k^{2}}{2m_{e}} + _{g}$; (50)

where $m_e = m_e m_h = (m_e + m_h)$ is the elective reduced m ass. Thus, the elective band is parabolic like the original bands but with their reduced m ass. It is therefore evident that the convolution, writing A_e ($K;T;!_1$) = $b(K;T;!_1)$, is a spectral function for a parabolic band,

$$A_{e} (K;T;!) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} de^{i!}$$
(51)
$$expf \frac{i}{h} \int_{T_{e}=2}^{Z_{T+e}} ds_{e} [K e^{K} (s)]g:$$

In the case of tight-binding m in bands for a type I superlattice (with period a) we write the bands as

$$_{c}[k] = \frac{1}{2} c \cos(ak_{k}) + \frac{h^{2}k_{2}^{2}}{2m_{e}}$$
 (52)

$$v[k] = \frac{1}{2} v \cos(ak_k) \frac{h^2 k_2^2}{2m_h} g;$$
 (53)

where $_{\rm c}$ is the electron m iniband width, $_{\rm h}$ is the corresponding bandwidth for the holes; k_k is the (crystal) m om entum component parallel to the growth direction of the superlattice and $k_{\rm 2}$ is the magnitude of the component perpendicular to the growth direction. The elective band thus becomes

$${}_{e} [\breve{k}] = \frac{1}{2} {}_{e} \cos(ak_{k}) + \frac{h^{2}k_{2}^{2}}{2m_{e}} + {}_{g}; \qquad (54)$$

where $_{\rm e}$ = $_{\rm c}$ + $_{\rm v}$ is the elective bandwidth, which again is of the same form as the original bands. This shows that also for superlattices the convolution (47) leads to an elective spectral function of the original form.

In terms of the elective spectral function the imaginary part of the susceptibility can be written as

$$\operatorname{Im} \quad \underset{\mathrm{Im}}{\overset{\mathrm{r}}{=}} (\mathrm{T}; !_{1}) = \frac{d_{1}d_{\mathrm{m}}}{2h} \overset{\mathrm{X}}{\underset{\mathrm{R}}{=}} A_{\mathrm{e}} (\tilde{\kappa}; \mathrm{T}; !_{1}); \quad (55)$$

where we assume that the dipole matrix elements are k-independent. In equilibrium the trace of the spectral function yields the density of states for the system . A nalogously, the generalized time-dependent density of states (GDOS) [6] is dened as

$$(T;!_{1}) = \frac{1}{k} A_{e} (K;T;!_{1});$$
(56)

allowing us to write the absorption coe cient as

$$_{\rm T}(!_1) = \frac{2^{-2}!_1 j df}{cnh} (T;!_1):$$
 (57)

For the remainder of this work we shall investigate the properties of $(T;!_1)$ for various system s.

C.Gauge invariance

To conclude this section we brie y comment on gauge invariance. We might have, from the outset, chosen to work within the gauge invariant formulation which has been developed in of high-eld transport [22,23,12]. Considering translationally invariant systems, correlation functions are made gauge invariant with the transform ation

$$\tilde{\kappa} ! \quad \tilde{\kappa} + \frac{e}{t - t} \int_{t^0}^{Z_t} ds \tilde{A}(s) :$$
 (58)

However, the absorption coe cient follows from a trace operation (56), which makes the transformation (58) redundant: a simple change of variables when performing the trace undoes (58) and proves that our form ulation of the absorption is gauge invariant.

V.PARABOLIC BANDS

In this section we shall investigate the properties of the generalized density of states for systems which can be e ectively described by H am iltonians yielding parabolic bands, be it in one, two or three dimensions. We write the e ective single-band dispersion as

$$[k] = \frac{h^2 k^2}{2m_e} + g:$$
(59)

For convenience we write $m = m_e$ and set g = 0 which shifts the energy axis such that the reference point is the band gap energy. We calculate the generalized density of states from

$$^{nD}(\mathbf{T};) = d e^{i - nD}(\mathbf{T};);$$
 (60)

where

nD (**T**;) =
$$\frac{1}{h}^{Z} \frac{d^{n} \tilde{K}}{(2)^{n}} \exp^{n} \frac{i}{h}^{Z_{T+}=2} ds \tilde{K} e^{\tilde{K}}$$
 (s)]
(61)

W ith the vector potential (41) one obtains explicitly that

$${}^{nD}(\mathbf{T}; \mathbf{i}) = \frac{1}{h} \frac{Z}{(2)^{n}} \exp^{n} \mathbf{i} (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{f}) = h$$
$$+ 2 \frac{e^{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{E}}{m^{2}} \sin (\mathbf{T}) \sin (\frac{1}{2})$$
$$\frac{I}{f} \cos(2\mathbf{T}) \sin(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{E}$$
(62)

Here $_{k} = h^{2}k^{2}=2m$ and we have de ned the fundam ental energy scale

$$_{f} = h!_{f} = \frac{e^{2}E^{2}}{4m^{2}}$$
: (63)

The energy $_{\rm f}$ can be interpreted classically in the following way: consider a classical particle with charge e and mass m subjected to an electric eld E (t) = E cos(t). From Newton's equation of motion one nds that the mean kinetic energy of such a particle equals $_{\rm f}$.

In order to perform the Fourier-transform (60) we utilize the identity [24]

$$\exp(ix \sin \beta) = \int_{n}^{X} J_{n}(x) \exp(in\beta); \quad (64)$$

where $_{p}J_{n}$ (x) $_{pare}$ B essel functions; we shall henceforth write $_{n}$ $_{n=1}^{1}$ to simplify the notation. The generalized density of states becomes

The dimensionality is entirely contained in the remaining momentum integration $d^n \tilde{\kappa} = (2)^{n-1}$. We note that Eq.(65) implies a shift of the absorption edge by f. The term lh in the D irac-delta function gives rise to photonic side bands. Since $J_{21}(x)$ is an even function, the density of states is invariant under the transformation E'! E, as expected. In the following subsections we shall consider the 1D, 2D and 3D systems separately and show how the density of states smoothly evolves from a low eld intensity regime into a high eld intensity regime making the non-linear eld extended to the term.

A . G eneralized density of states, 1D

The density of states for a single-mode 1D-system (\quantum wire") in the absence of external elds is

$${}_{0}^{1D}$$
 (T;) = $\frac{1}{-1}$ $\frac{2m}{h^{2}}$ ${}^{1=2}$ (): (66)

In the presence of a external strong oscillating eld we get from (65) that the GDOS is

$$\begin{array}{l} ^{\text{LD}} (\mathbf{T}; \) = \begin{array}{c} X & ^{\mathcal{L}_{1}} \\ & & \text{dk} \ [\ _{k} \quad _{f} + \ln \] \\ & & \text{l}_{jj} \end{array}^{1} \begin{array}{c} p & & \text{dk} \end{array} \\ & & \frac{1}{J_{2j}} & \frac{p \cdot \frac{32 \cdot _{f} \cdot _{k}}{h} \sin \left(\cdot T \right) \\ & & \frac{J_{2j} \quad \frac{f}{h} \cos \left(2 \cdot T \right)}{h} \\ & = \begin{array}{c} X & r_{1}^{\text{LD}} \left(\mathbf{T}; \quad _{f} + \ln \right) \right) \overset{1D}{_{0}} \left(\quad _{f} + \ln \right); \\ & 1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} (67) \end{array}$$

where the side-band weights are

$$r_{1}^{1D}(\mathbf{T};) = \begin{matrix} X & p \\ J_{2j} & \frac{1}{2} \\ J_{2j} & \frac{1}{2} \\ J_{1+j} & \frac{f}{h} \cos(2\mathbf{T}) \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{matrix}$$
(68)

We note that in the lim it $_{\rm f}$! 0

$$r_1^{1D}$$
 (T;) ! 1;0 (69)

and $^{\rm 1D}$ (T;)! $^{\rm 1D}_{\rm 0}$ (), as expected. If is in the THz regime then most experiments would probe the time averaged absorption. The time-average of the side-band weights is calculated from

$$r_{1}^{1D}() = \frac{X}{\int_{1}^{2} \frac{ds}{2}} \frac{ds}{2} J_{2j} \frac{p}{h} \frac{32_{f}}{h} \sin(s)$$
$$J_{l+j} \frac{f}{h} \cos(2s) ; \qquad (70)$$

which yields for lodd:

$$r_{1}^{1D}() = \frac{X}{\int_{j=0}^{J} \frac{ds}{2}} \frac{ds}{2} J_{4j+2} - \frac{p}{\frac{32}{h}} \frac{1}{h} \sin(s)$$
$$J_{2j+l+1} - \frac{f}{h} \cos(2s) ; \qquad (71)$$

and for leven

$$r_{1}^{1D}() = \frac{X}{\int_{j}^{2} \frac{ds}{2}} \frac{ds}{2} J_{4j} \frac{p}{h} \frac{\overline{32_{f}}}{h} \sin(s)$$
$$J_{2j+1} \frac{f}{h} \cos(2s) :$$
(72)

At the onset of side band 1 the side-band weight is

$$r_{1}^{1D}(0) = \begin{array}{c} 0 & \text{iflodd} \\ J_{1=2}^{2}(f=h) & \text{ifleven,} \end{array}$$
(73)

where we used the identity [24]

$$Z_{2}$$

d $J_{21}(a \cos) = 2 J_{1}^{2}(a)$: (74)

This shows that processes involving an odd number of photons of the THz eld are strongly suppressed. In Fig. 1 we illustrate $^{1D}_{ave}()$ for a range of values of $_{\rm f}$ =h. In the gures we write $_{\rm e}$ = h. We observe all the signatures of the D ynam ical Franz-K eldysh e ect (DFK) [6]: Stark-like blue shift of the main absorption-edge by $_{\rm f}$, form ation of side-bands at $_{\rm g}$ + $_{\rm f}$ N h , and nite absorption within the band-gap.

B.G eneralized density of states, 2D

Several authors have considered elds perpendicular to the quantum well, cf. [25] and references in these papers; here we focus on the situation where the electric eld is in the plane of the two-dimensional electron gas. In such a system with no external eld the density of states is constant,

$$_{0}^{2D}$$
 () = $\frac{m}{h^{2}}$ (): (75)

W ith a harm onically oscillating eld we obtain from (65)

$${}^{2D}(\mathbf{T}; \mathbf{i}) = \frac{\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{1}}{\lim_{l \neq j} 0} \frac{\mathbf{Z}_{2}}{\mathbf{Q}} \frac{\mathbf{d}}{2} \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{k} & \mathbf{f} + \mathbf{lh} \end{array} \right] \\ \int_{l \neq j} \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{2}}{\frac{\mathbf{J}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{k}}{\mathbf{h}}} \cos \sin (\mathbf{T}) \\ \int_{l \neq j} \frac{\mathbf{f}}{\mathbf{h}} \cos(2\mathbf{T}) :$$
(76)

The integrals in (76) are again performed using (74) and writing the result in the side-band picture we obtain [26]

^{2D} (**T**;) =
$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ r_{1}^{2D} \\ r_{1} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (**T**; $f + lh$) $\begin{bmatrix} 2D \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ($f + lh$)
(77)

where the side-band weights are

$$r_{1}^{2D}(T;) = \begin{cases} X & p & \frac{p}{32 f} \\ j & \frac{1}{h} & \sin(T) \\ J_{1+j}(\frac{f}{h}\cos(2T)): \end{cases}$$
(78)

Identical argum ents as in the 1D case lead to

$$r_{1}^{2D}(0) = \begin{array}{c} 0 & \text{iflodd} \\ J_{1=2}^{2}(f=h) & \text{ifleven,} \end{array}$$
(79)

i.e., the sam e result as in the 1D -case.

As in the 1D case we have num erically investigated the time averaged GDOS $_{ave}^{2D}() = \frac{R_2}{2} = \frac{1}{0} dT^{2D}(T;)$: In Fig. 2 we illustrate $_{ave}^{2D}()$ for various values of $_{f}$ =h. Again, as in the 1D case, we observe all the characteristics of the DFK [6]. Finally, Fig. 3 shows the di erential transm ission (DTS) signal.

C.G eneralized density of states, 3D

Absorption in bulk sem iconductors subject to THz radiation was considered already long time ago by Yacoby [5]. He studied transition rates between bands by investigating approximate solutions to the corresponding time-dependent Schrödinger equation. He concluded that transitions occur in the gap and noted reduced rates above the gap, both in agreement with the present work. General quantitative results, were not presented. The 3D eld-free density of states is

$$_{0}^{3D}$$
 () = $\frac{1}{2^{2}}$ $\frac{2m}{h}$ $^{3=2}$ ()¹⁼²: (80)

W ith the external eld the density of states becomes

^{3D} (**T**;) =
$$\begin{bmatrix} X \\ r_1^{3D} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (**T**; $f + Ih$) $\begin{bmatrix} 3D \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ($f + Ih$);
(81)

with the side-band weights

$$r_{1}^{3D} (T;) = \begin{matrix} X \\ J_{1+j} \\ f_{h} \\ C \\ Z_{1} \\ d \\ J_{2j} \\ 0 \end{matrix} = \begin{matrix} p \\ \frac{32_{f}}{h} \\ \frac{1}{h} \\ \sin(T) \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{h} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{h} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{$$

Again, we have

$$r_{1}^{3D}(0) = \begin{array}{c} 0 & \text{iflodd} \\ J_{l=2}^{2}(f=h) & \text{ifleven.} \end{array}$$
 (83)

In Fig. 4 we illustrate $^{3D}_{ave}$ () for various values of $_{\rm f}$ =h ; the DTS signal for the 3D case is shown in Fig. 5.

D.Summary

The main physical consequences of the THz eld on linear absorption spectrum for systems with parabolic dispersion can be sum marized as follows. The dynamical modi cations of the absorption spectrum (i) Appear near the absorption edge; (ii) They extend a few $_{\rm e}$ = h around the edge, and (iii) They are most pronounced when $!_{\rm f}$ = is of order unity.

If is in the THz regime, and elds like those attainable with free electron lasers are considered [2,27], then $!_f = 1$ and the ne structure extends over an area of severalm eV.C onsequently, an experimental veri cation of these e ects should be possible.

V I. SUPERLATTICES

A coording to the sem iclassical B loch-B oltzm ann theory of transport, a uniform electric eld causes charge carriers in a periodic potential to execute a tim e-periodic motion with frequency $!_{B} = eaE = h$, where a is the lattice periodicity. C onditions for observing these B loch oscillations are much more favorable in superlattices than in ordinary bulk materials, and recent years have witnessed an intense research e ort culm inating in the observation of B loch oscillations [28]. In ac-elds a phenom enon called dynam ical localization m ay occur: if the $aeE_k = h$ equals a zero of J_0 , the average param eter velocity vanishes [29]. In this section we investigate how dynam ical localization [4,29{32] manifests itself in the free particle absorption spectra. Recently, Meier et al. [33] presented results of a detailed num erical solution of the sem iconductor B loch equations, including excitonic e ects, and found that at dynam ical localization the relative motion exciton wave function changes from a 3D character (i.e., localized in k_z -space) to a 2D-structure (extended in k_z-space), and below we shall illustrate how the same phenom enon re ects itself in the present analytic study of free-particle properties.

A.G eneralized D ensity of States

The starting point for our analysis is the e ective dispersion (54) introduced in section IV B which we reproduce here for the convenience of the reader,

$$_{e}$$
 $[\tilde{K}] = \frac{1}{2} _{e} \cos(ak_{k}) + \frac{h^{2}k_{2}^{2}}{2m_{e}} + _{g}:$ (84)

Henceforth we put $_{g} = 0$ and drop the \e " subscript. We consider the e ect of the THz eld described by the vector potential $A(t) = E \sin(t) = w$ here $E = (0;0;E_{k})$. In accordance with Section IV we calculate the generalized density of states from

$$s_{1}(\mathbf{T}; \mathbf{i}) = \frac{m}{2 \cdot 2h^{3}} d_{2} d_{2} d_{k_{k}} e^{i_{2} \cdot 2h} d_{k_{k}} e^{i_{2} \cdot$$

$$I(T;) = \frac{1}{2h} ds \cos[ak_k + sin(s)]:$$
 (86)

W e evaluate the integral within the exponential using the identity (64) with the result

$$I(T;) = \frac{n}{2h} \cos(ak_k) [C() + J_0()\frac{1}{2h}] + \sin(ak_k) S();$$
(87)

where

$$C(z) = 2 \frac{X}{n} \frac{J_{2n}()\cos[2n T]}{n} \sin[nz]$$
(88)

$$S(z) = 2 \frac{X}{n} \frac{J_{2n-1}()\sin[(2n - 1) T]}{n}$$

$$n = 2 - \frac{n = 1}{n = 1} - \frac{n = 1}{n = 2} - \frac{1}{n = 2}$$

W e have suppressed the explicit dependence of T and in C (z) and S (z) for simplicity. Note that both of these functions are anti-symmetric in z, i.e., C (z) = C (z) and S (z) = S (z). The identity [24]

$$\int_{0}^{Z^{2}} \frac{d}{2} \exp fia\cos + ib\sin g = J\left(\frac{p}{a^{2}+b^{2}}\right) \quad (90)$$

is the key to the next step in the evaluation of (85) and allow s us to write

^{sl}(**T**;) =
$$\frac{m}{2 {}^{2}h^{3}a} {}^{d}_{0}$$
 ; eⁱ, =^hK () (91)

where we have de ned the kernel

K (z) =
$$J_0 = \frac{p}{2h} (C(z) + J_0(z)^2 + S^2(z))$$
 : (92)

A lso here we have suppressed the explicit dependence of T and $\$. In distribution sense we can write

$${}^{Z_{1}}_{0}$$
 d₂ e<sup>i₂, =h = ih
_0 i0; (93)</sup>

where 0^+ indicates a positive in nitesimal. This expression allows us compute the Fourier transform of (91):

^{s1}(**T**;) =
$$\frac{m}{2 {}^{2}h^{2}a} {}^{1}_{1} d \frac{\sin(-h)}{K}() + :$$
 (94)

In what follows we shall exam ine several properties of this result.

In the lim it of vanishing eld strength we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0} K(z) = J_0 \frac{z}{2h} :$$
 (95)

U sing the identity [24]

$$Z_{1} = \frac{dx}{x} \sin(x) J_{0}(x) = 2 \arcsin \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} (96)$$

we obtain the density of states for a tight-binding superlattice,

^{s1}() =
$$\frac{m}{h^2 a} \stackrel{8}{:} \frac{1}{0} \arcsin (2 =) + 1 = 2 [j j = 2] (97)$$

[< =2]

which is fam iliar.

C.The static lim it

In the lim it ! 0 one obtains

$$\lim_{! 0} K() = J_0 \frac{1}{2h!_B} \sin(!_B) :$$
(98)

Recalling the identities [24]

$$J_{0} (z \sin) = \int_{j}^{X} J_{j}^{2} (z=2) \cos(2k)$$
(99)

and

$$Z_{1} dx \cos(x) \sin(x) = x = [(+) ()];$$
(100)

we obtain the density of states

^{sl}() =
$$\frac{m}{h^2 a} \int_{j}^{2} \frac{J_{j}^2}{4h!_{B}}$$
 (+ 2jh_b): (101)

This result coincides with the one obtained in Refs. [34,35], which study both theoretically and experimentally the e ects of strong static elds on the absorption in superlattice structures. They conclude that the steplike behavior of (101) is due localization in the growth direction (M annier-Stark localization).

D . D ynam ic localization

As seen in the previous subsection the signature of localization in the growth direction in a superlattice is a step-like behavior of the density of states. This is intuitively clear since the density of states for a 2D system (75) is constant. We therefore expect the density of states to be composed of a step function for each well the states extend into, with weight relative to the occupation in that particular well. We shall now show that if $J_0() = 0$, i.e., the conditions for dynamical localization are met, then the GDOS indeed is of this kind. The argument runs as follows. If $J_0() = 0$ then the kernel (92) is periodic in z with period 2. Furthermore, the kernel is an even function: K (z) = K (z). We can therefore form ally write

$$K() = X_{j} \cos(k);$$

which is of the same functional form as in the static limit, Eq.(98). Consequently, we may conclude that the generalized density of states must be of the form

$$_{dyn:loc}^{sl}() = \frac{m}{h^2 a} K_{j} (+jh);$$
 (102)

i.e., it is a superposition of step-functions. The weights K $_{j}$, however, m ust be evaluated num erically, and examples are given in the next section. It is important to note that the \step-length" in the ac-case is determined by the frequency of the THz-eld in contrast to the static case, where it is determined by the eld strength. The eld strength enters the density of states only through the weight-factors K $_{j}$.

The result (102) suggests that it should be possible to probe dynam ic localization by photo-absorption: when the appropriate conditions are approached, the absorption coe cient should change qualitatively from a generic sm ooth behavior to a sharply de ned step-like structure. The num ber of distinct steps appearing in the spectrum is determ ined by the ratio =h which is also a m easure of the num ber of wells the localized states span. This is filly consistent with the results of [33], who considered a m iniband of width = 21m eV and FIR frequency h = 20m eV, which corresponds to a single step, and hence m axim um binding energy of the corresponding exciton which would be mostly con ned to a single well.

E.Num erical results

We again focus our numerical study to the time averaged generalized density of states ${}^{\rm sl}_{\rm ave}() = \frac{R_2}{2} {}^{\rm o}_0$ dT ${}^{\rm sl}(T;)$: In Figs. 6 and 7 we show the absorption spectra for a superlattice with e ective bandwidth = 3.4h. The numerical results con rm the expectations of the previous section: when the =

aeE $_{k}$ =h approaches the rst zero of J₀, which occurs at the argument value of 2.4048.., the gradually evolving replicas of the zero-eld density of states converge into plateaus of nite width. The exactness of the plateaus can be judged from Fig. 7: at = 2.4048:: the line joining the the steps appears near vertical. Finally, in Fig.8 we show the DTS spectra at dynam ical localization (DL) and non-DL conditions. There are two characteristic di erences: (i) Outside the zero-eld m iniband DL leads to a step-like structure in contrast to the sm ooth behavior found otherwise, and (ii) Inside the m iniband the DL-spectrum distinguishes itself by its sharp jagged structure.

V II. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a theoretical formulation of linear photo-absorption for samples under strongly nonequilibrium conditions. Typical non-equilibrium agents would be THz-radiation from free-electron lasers, or ultra-short pulse measurements of transient e ects. In the present work noninteracting carriers are considered, but the formulation allows an extension to C oulom b interactions, which will be addressed in our future work. Two central concepts emerge from our analysis: a generalization of the density of states into time-dependent conditions (G D O S de ned in Eq.(56)], and photonic sidebands, which form a convenient fram ework for discussing the various features of the absorption spectra.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

W e wish to acknow ledge useful discussions with B en Hu, Andreas W acker and B jorn B imir. Furtherm ore we wish to thank Fausto Rossi and Junichiro K ono for valuable comments of an earlier version of the manuscript and K ent Nordstrom for sharing details of his unpublished data [16]. This work was partially supported by a LACOR grant no. 41570 0015-3A from Los A lam os National Laboratory.



FIG.1. Time averaged generalized density of states for 1D-system shown for a range of FIR-intensities, $_{\rm f}$ =h 2 [0;2]. The band edge and the side-bands display a blue-shift, which scales linearly with the intensity. A bsorption extends below the bandgap (dynamical Franz-K eldysh e ect).



FIG.2. The time averaged GDOS for a 2D-system for a range of FIR-intensities, f=h = (0.2;0.5;0.8;1:1;1:4;1:7;2:0). At

low intensities one observes a Stark-like blue-shift of the band edge as well as nite absorption within the band gap. With increasing intensity side bands emerge at = g + f + 2h.



FIG.3. The DTS signal for a 2D-system for a range of intensities, f=h = (0.2; 0.5; 0.8; 1:1; 1:4; 1:7; 2:0).



FIG. 4. The time averaged generalized density of states for a 3D-system for a range of FIR-intensities, f = h = (0.0; 0.2; 0.5; 0.8; 1.1; 1.4; 1:7; 2.0).



FIG.6. The time-averaged GDOS for a superlattice with e ective band-width = 3:4h. Dots: = 1:5; dashes: = 2:4. The proximity of dynamical localization occurring at = 2:4048::: re ects itself in the step-wise structure of the dashed curve.



FIG.7. The time averaged GDOS for a superlattice with = 3.4h as a function of FIR-intensity. At low side bands are observed, which merge at = 2.4048:: corresponding to dynam ical localization.



FIG.5. DTS-spectrum for a 3D-system.



FIG.8. The di erential transm ission signal for superlattice structure with e ective m ini bandwidth = 8h . s Solid line: = 2:4; dashes: = 2:0. Outside the zero-eld m iniband a step-like behavior is seen, while inside the m iniband DTS for dynam ical localization develops a jagged shape in contrast to the sm ooth behavior for the extended state.

- [1] J.Ceme et al, Phys.Rev.B 51, 5253 (1995).
- [2] P. S. S. Guim areas et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3792 (1993); B. J. K eay et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4098 (1995)
- [3] B.J.K eay et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4102 (1995)
- [4] M. Holthaus and D.W. Hone, Phys. Rev. B 49, 16605 (1994).
- [5] Y.Yacoby, Phys. Rev. 169, 610 (1968).
- [6] A.-P. Jauho and K. Johnsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4576 (1996).
- [7] J.Kono et al., (unpublished); J.Kono et al., in Proc. of 23rd Int. Conf. on the Physics of Sem iconductors, eds.
 M. Sche er and R.Zimmerm an (World Scientic, Singapore, 1996), p. 1911.
- [8] B.Bimir et al, Phys. Rev. B 47, 6795 (1995).
- [9] H.Heeselet al, Phys.Rev.B 47, 16000 (1993).
- [10] F.X.Cam ecasse et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.77, 5429 (1996).
- [11] For a recent theoretical paper m odeling the experim ents of Ref. [3] see, e.g., A. W acker et al., archived in condm at/9612035, where also other relevant work is cited.
- [12] H. Haug and A. P. Jauho, Quantum K inetics in Transport and Optics of Sem iconductors (Springer, Berlin, 1996).
- [13] W .Potz, Phys.Rev.B 54, 5647 (1996).
- [14] These rules are sum m arized, e.g., in Table 4.1. of R ef [12]
- [15] H. Haug and S. Schm itt-R ink, Prog. Quantum Electronics 9, 3 (1984).
- [16] K.Nordstrom et al., (unpublished).
- [17] The reader should note that the symbol is used in a dual meaning: it can indicate a complex-time variable on the Keldysh contour, or the time-di erence variable.

C onfusion can be avoided by noting that in the case of complex-time variables the G meen functions have a superscript c, while in the real-time case they have r;a, or < .

- [18] H. Haug and S. W. Koch, Quantum theory of the optical and electronic properties of sem iconductors (W orld Scientic, Singapore, 1993).
- [19] T.Kuhn and F.Rossi, Physical Review Letters 69, 977 (1992); ibid. 72, 152 (1994); S.Haas et al., Phys. Rev. B 53, 12855 (1996)
- [20] See, e.g., Chapter 11 in Ref. [12].
- [21] S. Schm itt-Rink, D. S. Chem la, and D. A. Miller, Adv. Phys. 38, 89 (1989).
- [22] J.H.D avies and J.W.W ilkins, Phys. Rev. B 38, 1667 (1988).
- [23] R. Bertoncini and A. P. Jauho, Phys. Rev. B 44, 3655 (1991).
- [24] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series and Products (Academ ic Press, New York, 1994), 5th ed.
- [25] M.Wagner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4010 (1996); J. Inamea and G. Platero, Europhys. Lett. 34, 43 (1996).
- [26] It is interesting to note that by comparing the GDOS in the 2D and 3D case with Eqs. (15-18) in [6] one may obtain exact expansions for the integrals in term s of Bessel functions.
- [27] K. Unterrainer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 2973 (1996).
- [28] C.W aschke et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3318 (1993)
- [29] A.A. Ignatov and Y.A.Rom anov, Phys. Status Solidi
 (b) 73, 327 (1976); D.H.Dunlap and V.M.Kenkre, Phys.Rev.B 34, 3625 (1986)
- [30] X.-G. Zhao, J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 6, 2751 (1994).
- [31] M. Holthaus, G. W. Ristow, and D. W. Hone, Europhys.Lett. 32, 241 (1995).
- [32] J. Rotvig, A.-P. Jauho, and H. Sm ith, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1831 (1995); Phys. Rev. B 54, 17691 (1996)
- [33] T. Meier, F. Rossi, P. Thomas and S. W. Koch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2558 (1995).
- [34] J. Bleuse, G. Bastard, and P. Voisin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 220 (1988).
- [35] P.Voisin et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 61, 1639 (1988).