A Itland and Z imbauer reply: In the preceding comment [1] Casati, Izrailev and Sokolov (CIS) claim that our recent eld theoretical analysis of the quantum kicked rotor [2] m isses an important dynamical feature: the di erence in behavior between rational and irrational values of T = = 4 (being the time between kicks). We reject that claim. The rationality of T does play a fundamental role in our Letter (referred to here as I). We were kept from reviewing the number theoretic aspects in I by space limitations, and welcome this opportunity to dispel any confusion that m ay have resulted.

It is wellknown (cf. Ref. [10] of I for a review) that the quantum physics of the rotor is qualitatively di erent for rationalas opposed to irrationalT. In our Letter only the rational case was treated. Indeed, what we did was to introduce an upper angularm om entum cuto L (or, equivalently, we put the system on an angular lattice), thereby in posing a quantization condition that forces T to be rational. To make this point clear, let us brie y review how the topology of phase space relates to the period : Take the classical phase space of the rotor, which is a cylinder S^1 R, and compactify it to a 2-torus S^1 S by imposing periodic boundary conditions not only for the angle but also for the angular momentum 1 (with period L 2 N). Canonical quantization then requires the eigenvalues of the operator $^{\circ}$ to be spaced by 2 =L, thus giving the angular lattice. M oreover, L-periodicity of the F loquet operator U $(U_{11^0} = U_{1+L}; 1^{0+L})$ forces the period

to satisfy a quantum resonance condition, i.e. to be of the rational form = 4 n=L with n 2 N. Conversely, if = S¹ R and fulls the quantum resonance condition, U commutes with the operator translating 1 by L units and B loch's theorem says that the eigenfunctions of U organize into sectors labeled by a B loch wave num – ber : $(l+L) = e^{iL}$ (l). By choosing to focus on one speci c sector, we arrive at the compacti ed m odel with periodic (= 0) or twisted (€ 0) boundary conditions. Thus, the quantum resonance of the kicked rotor does not \mysteriously disappear between eqs. (6) and (8)" of I, as is stated by C IS, but is fundam ental (though im plicit) to the form ulation of the m odel we treat.

W hy did we choose to compactify? Our main motivation was that discrete symmetries such as those due to the number theoretic properties of T, are not easy to keep track of in the approximate steps that are performed in the late stages of our analysis. These steps are valid if and only if the dom inant con gurations in (6) are $Z_{11^0} = {}_{11^0}Z_1$ where the eld Z_1 varies slow by with 1. In the presence of exact or approximate discrete symmetries, they become invalid in general. For example, for T close to rational n=L, where $e^{i^{-1}}Ue^{-i^{-1}}$, U, additional low-energy modes appear at wave number 1=L. These are lost by the naive gradient expansion without

prior com pacti cation. W e avoided this di culty by tuning T to a rational value and taking care of the resulting discrete sym m etry by restriction of the phase space. (A sim ilar desym m etrization strategy was used in order to dealw ith tim e reversal sym m etry; see footnote [13] of I.)

A nother bene t from compacti cation is that we can break time reversal symmetry by kicking the rotor with $k\cos(^+ a)$, where a 2 [0;2 =L] is closely analogous to an A haronov-Bohm ux threading a mesoscopic metallic ring. C IS argue that a is a pure gauge, which cannot ever a ect the two-particle G reen function (1) of [1]. W hile this is so for = S¹ R, it is not true for the cohom ologically nontrivial ring topology we consider [3].

By construction, the eld theory of I inherits the ring topology, i.e. the nonlinear model eld Q obeys the boundary condition Q(l) = Q(l + L). W hat are the consequences? The answer depends on the size of L relative = k^2 =2 + :::, the localization length. For L < to the quantum motion is di usive and states extend more or less uniform ly around the ring. In the opposite lim it), di usion is brought to a halt by quantum inter-**(**L ference e ects causing localization. However, the wavefunctions are expected to have exponentially sm all tails, reaching around the ring even in this case. True localization is possible only in the irrational limit L ! 1 . Let us em phasize that the em ergence of localization for L > is not incom patible with the resurgence of wavefunctions that is predicted by B loch's theorem .

To conclude, we reject the insinuation that there is a hidden random -m atrix ensem ble" in our Letter. We are con dent that the steps leading to the eld theory (8) are valid for the compacti ed or angular lattice m odel under consideration. The dom inance of diagonal elds $Z_{11^0} = {}_{11^0}Z_1$ is ensured by the limit of hard driving k = 1, while the gradient expansion is an expansion in powers of $k = k^1$, valid for large k.

PACS 05.45.+b, 72.15.Rn

A lexander A ltland^{1);2)} and M artin R.Zimbauer¹⁾

1) Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Zulpicher Str. 77, 50937 Koln, Germany

2) Cavendish Laboratory, M adingley Road, Cambridge CB30HE, UK

- G. Casati, F. M. Izrailev and V. V. Sokolov, preceding Comment.
- [2] A.Altland and M.R.Zimbauer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4536 (1996).
- [3] The gauge transform ation that eliminates a violates the boundary condition $(l + L) = e^{i L}$ (l), unless a 2 2 Z=L.