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#### Abstract

W e apply the recently introduced $m$ ethod of herm itization to study in the large $N$ lim it non-herm itean random $m$ atrices that are draw from a large class of circularly sym $m$ etric non-G aussian probability distributions, thus extending the recent $G$ aussian non-herm itean literature. $W$ e develop the general form alism for calculating the $G$ reen's function and averaged density ofeigenvalues, which $m$ ay be thought of as the non-hem itean analog of the $m$ ethod due to Brezin, Itzykson, Parisi and Zuber for analyzing hem itean non-G aussian random $m$ atrioes. $W$ e obtain an explicit algebraic equation for the integrated density of eigenvalues. A som ew hat surprising result of that equation is that the shape of the eigenvalue distribution in the com plex plane is either a disk or an annulus. A s a concrete exam ple, we analyze the quartic ensemble and study the phase transition from a disk shaped eigenvalue distribution to an annu lar distribution. F inally, we apply the $m$ ethod of herm itization to develop the addition form alism for free non-herm itean random variables. W e use this form alism to state and prove a non-abelian non-herm itean version of the central lim it theorem.


## 1 Introduction

T here has been considerable interest in random non-herm itean matriaes recently. P ossible applications range over several areas of physics[1] 园]. O ne di culty is that the eigenvalues of non-herm itean $m$ atrioes invade the com plex plane, and consequently, various $m$ ethods developed over the years to deal $w$ ith random herm titean $m$ atrices are no longer applicable, as these m ethods typically all involve exploiting the pow erful constraints of analytic function theory. (See in particular the paper by B rezin, Itzykson, Parisi, and Zuber[3].) In a recent paper[4], we proposed a \m ethod of herm itization", whereby a problem involving random non-herm titean $m$ atriaes can be reduced to a problem involving random hem itean $m$ atriges, to whidh various standard $m$ ethods (such as the diagram $m$ atic $m$ ethod 5 ], or the \renorm alization group" $m$ ethod $\left[6,\left[7,\left[\begin{array}{l}[6]) \\ 6\end{array}\right)\right.\right.$ can be applied.

To our know ledge, the literature on random non-herm itean $m$ atrioes 1 , 2] has focussed exclusively on G aussian random ness. For instance, it has been know n for over thirty years, from the work of $G$ inibre [10], that for the $G$ aussian probability distribution $P()=(1=Z) \exp (N$ tr $Y)$ (here, as in the rest of this paper, denotes an $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ random m atrix w th the $\lim$ it N ! 1 understood), the density ofeigenvalues of is uniform ly distributed over a disk of radius 1 in the com plex plane. In this paper, we point out that using the $m$ ethod of hem itization we can determ ine the density of eigenvalues of probability distribution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P()=\frac{1}{Z} e^{N \operatorname{trv}(y)} ; \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $V$ is an arbitrary polynom ial of its argum ent. Indeed, by a sim ple trick, we show that we can obtain the desired density ofeigenvahes $w$ ith a minim alam ount of work, by judiciously exploiting the existing literature on random herm itean $m$ atriges.

D ue to the sym m etry of $P\left(\right.$ ) under the transform ation ! $e^{i}$, the density of eigenvalues is obviously rotational invariant. W e nd that the class of probability distributions of the form 1.1) exhibits a universalbehavior in the sense that w hatever the polynom ialV is, the shape of the eigenvahe distribution in the com plex plane is
alw ays either a disk or an annulus.
In a certain sense, our work $m$ ay be thought of as the analog of the work of Brezin et al. for random herm itean $m$ atrices 3$]$; they showed how the density of eigenvalues of hem itean $m$ atrices' taken from the probability distribution $P\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=$ ( $1=\mathrm{Z}$ ) exp [ $\left.\mathrm{NtrV}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)\right]$ w ith V an arbitrary polynom ial can be determ ined, and not just for the $G$ aussian case studied by $W$ igner and others [1], in which $V=(1=2) t r^{\prime 2}$. An im portant sim plifying feature of the analysis in [3] is that $P(r)$ depends only on the eigenvalues of $'$, and not on the unitary $m$ atrix that diagonalizes it. In contrast, the probability distribution (1.1) for non-hem itean $m$ atriaes depends explicitly on the $G L(\mathbb{N})$ m atrix $S$ used to diagonalize $=S^{1} S$, and $S$ does not decouple. Rem arkably how ever, for the $G$ aussian $P$ ( ), G inibre 10] m anaged to integrate over S explicitly and derived an explicit expression for the probability distribution of the eigenvalues of . U nfortunately, it is not clear how to integrate over $S$ and derive the expression for the eigenvalue probability distribution for non-G aussian distributions of the form 1.1). In this paper we circum vent this di culty by using the $m$ ethod of hem itization.

A s an explicit application of our $m$ ethod, we study the case $V\left(y^{y}\right)=m^{2} y+$ $\frac{g}{2}\left({ }^{y}\right)^{2}$ in detail. For $m^{2}$ positive, we expect we would get a disk-like distribution generalizing $G$ inibre's work. As we $m$ ake $\mathrm{m}^{2} \quad{ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ore and m ore negative, we expect a phase transition at som e critical value ${ }_{c}^{2}$, after which we m ight im agine the disk fragm enting into an annulus. Indeed, we nd the critical value

$$
{ }_{c}^{2}=\frac{q}{2 g}:
$$

W e also calculate the density of eigenvalues inside the annulus in detail.
The problem ofadding random herm itean $m$ atrioes hasbeen $m$ uch discussed in the recent literature 12, 可, 13, 14, 15, 16]. In particular, a Feynm an diagram m atic proof of the form alism, w ritten in term s of the so-called B lue's function, was given in 14]. In a series of very interesting papers [], Zahed and his collaborators have extended the addition form alism to random non-herm itean $m$ atrices. It tums out that the form alism we used above to determ ine the density of eigenvalues of random non-
herm itean $m$ atrices can be naturally used to study the addition form alism. Thus, we obtain, in a way which we naturally think is quite transparent, the addition form alism for random non-herm itean $m$ atrioes, thus reproducing the result of $Z$ ahed et al $W e$ use this addition form alism to state and prove a non-abelian non-hem itean version of the central lim it theorem.

## 2 The Two D im ensional G as of E igenvalues

A s is well know $n$, the D yson gas approach represents one of them ost pow erfiulm ethods in analyzing herm itean random $m$ atriges. D iagonalizing a herm itean $m$ atrix $=$ $S^{y} S$ one writes
$\mathrm{Z} f()=Z_{U(N)}^{Z} d$
$d \quad(S)^{Z} Y_{k} d_{k} @_{i<j}^{0}\left({ }_{i} \quad{ }_{j}\right)^{2 A} f(k ; S):$

For non-herm itean random $m$ atriges, one can diagonalize $=S^{1} S$ and write

The fourth power in ( $i \quad j$ ) here, in contrast to the second pow er in 2.1), is easily understood by dim ensional analysis: a non-herm itean com plex m atrix has tw ice as $m$ any real variables as a herm itean $m$ atrix. The im portant di erence betw een the herm titean and the nontherm trean cases is already apparent in the Gaussian case considered by G inibre. T he probability distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P\left(y^{y}\right)=\frac{1}{Z} e^{N \operatorname{tr} y}=\frac{1}{Z} e^{N \operatorname{tr}\left[S S^{y}\right.}\left(S^{Y}\right)^{1}\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

depends on $S$. In the case of herm itean $m$ atriges, $S$ would be unitary and hence disappear from 2.3) entirely. H ere, how ever, one m ust integrate over S.A fter som e work, $G$ inibre 10] show ed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{ZSe}^{\left.\mathrm{N} \operatorname{tr[SS^{Y}}\left(S^{Y}\right)^{1}\right]}=\text { constant } \mathrm{Y}_{i<j}^{Y} j_{i} \quad j^{2} e^{N^{P}}{ }_{k} j_{k} \mathcal{Y} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and w as thus able to proceed w ith the gas approach. O nce again, the problem reduces to the statisticalm echanics of a gas, this tim e in tw o dim ensions, w ith a logarithm ic repulsion betw een the gas m olecules and con ned by a harm onic potential. Follow ing B rezin et al. 3] in evaluating the integral by steepest descent, we obtain

$$
x={ }^{z} d^{2} y(\mathbb{y}) \frac{x}{\frac{x}{\dot{Y} x}} \frac{y}{y\}^{2}}:
$$

$M$ aking an analogy with two dim ensional electrostatics $m$ entioned, the solution of this equation is im m ediate: is equal to $1=$ inside a disk of radius 1 centered at the origin, and vanishes outside the disk.

W e se however, that had we been faced with a m ore com plicated probability
 know how to carry out the integration over $S$. In this paper we circum vent this di culty and present an altemative way of calculating the density of eigenvalues of , based on the $m$ ethod of herm itization. It is an interesting challenge to obtain our results presented below using the gas m ethod, and we con jecture to that end, that in the non-G aussian case there should be a closed form expression for the integral over $S$ that is analogous to (2.4).

## 3 The M ethod of H erm itization

Here we brie y review the discussion in our recent paper[4]. The averaged density of eigenvalues

$$
(x ; y)=\operatorname{hr}_{\mathrm{N}}^{i} \quad(x \quad \operatorname{Re} \quad i) \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
y & \operatorname{Im} & i \tag{3.1}
\end{array}\right) i
$$

of the non-herm itean $m$ atrix,$m$ ay be determ ined from the follow ing tw o altemative quantities. T he rst quantity is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z ; z)=h \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr} \log \quad(z \quad)\left(z \quad{ }^{y}\right) i \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

in term $s$ of whidn

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y)=\frac{1}{-} @ @ \quad F(z ; z): \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other quantity is the G reen's function associated w ith , nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z ; z)=h \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr} \frac{1}{z} i=d^{z} x^{2} \frac{\left(x^{0} ; y^{0}\right)}{z z^{0}} ; \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in term s of which

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y)=\frac{1}{-} @ G(z ; z): \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The probability distributions (1.1) studied in this paper are invariant under ! $e^{i} \quad$, rendering

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y) \quad(x)=2 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

circularly invariant. R otationalinvariance thus leads to a sim pler form of the de ning formula 3.4) for $G(z ; z)$ which reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (r) } \quad z G(z ; z)=r_{0}^{z^{r}} r^{0} d r^{0} \quad\left(r^{0}\right) \text {; } \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r)=\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}: \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]C learly, the quantity (r) is a positive m onotonically increasing function, whidh satis es the obvious \sum -rules"

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad(1)=1: \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, observe that the rst condition in 3.9) insures that no (x) (y) spike arises in ( $x ; y$ ) when calculating it from (3..) with $G(z ; z)$ given by (3.7), as it should be.

W e have m entioned in the introduction that a straightforw ard diagram $m$ atic $m$ ethod is not allowed for non-herm itean $m$ atrioes. The $m$ ethod of herm itization [4] enables us to arrive at a diagram $m$ atic $m$ ethod indirectly by reducing the problem of determ ining the eigenvalue density of random non-herm itean $m$ atrioes to the $m$ ore elem entary problem of determ ining the eigenvalue density of random herm itean matrices (for which the diagram $m$ atic $m$ ethod $m$ ay be applied.) To $m$ ake the paper self-contained, we sum $m$ arize the $m$ ethod of herm itization here in the form of an algorithm .

G iven a set of random non-herm itean N N matrioes, construct the auxiliary set of random hem itean $2 \mathrm{~N} \quad 2 \mathrm{~N}$ matrioes

$$
\mathrm{H}=\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & & & & \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\mathrm{~B}
\end{array}  \tag{3.10}\\
& 0 & & & z^{\mathrm{C}} \\
& \mathrm{y} & \mathrm{z} & 0 &
\end{array}
$$

Then one applies one's favorite m ethod of herm itean random $m$ atrix theory to caloulate the propagator associated w ith H, nam ely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}(; z ; z)=\mathrm{h} \frac{1}{\mathrm{H}} \quad i ; \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a com plex variable and the indioes and run over allpossible 2 N values. In particular, in the diagram $m$ atic $m$ ethod, one sim ply expands $G$ in powers of $1=$, w ith interaction vertioes $H$. This is a well de ned procedure for large, and it converges to a function which is analytic in the com plex plane, except for the cut (or cuts) along the real axis which contain the eigenvalues of $H$. A fter sum $m$ ing this
series (and thus determ ining G ( ; z; z ) in closed form ), we are allowed to set ! 0 in 3.11) :

A smentioned, we can now calculate ( $x ; y$ ) by two di erent $m$ ethods, each $w$ th its advantages. The rst is to sim ply observe that
from which it follows that

$$
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z})=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{tr}_{(2 \mathrm{~N})}, \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{O} & 1_{\mathrm{N}} & !  \tag{3.12}\\
0 & 0
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{G}(0 ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z})^{\#} \text {; }
$$

enabling us to use 3.5) to express ( $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}$ ). This observation is the basis form any of the calculations in [ 2 ].

A $n$ altemative is to take the trace of $G$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(; z ; z)=\frac{1}{2 N} h t r_{(2 N}, \frac{1}{H} i=\frac{1}{N} h t r_{(N)} \frac{1}{2(z \quad y)(z \quad)} i ; \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which one can construct an integral representation [4] of (32), thus enabling us to use 3.3) to determ ine $(x ; y)$. The determ ination ofG ( $; z ; z$ ) involves only the wellknow $n$ and $m$ ore elem entary problem ofdeterm ining the density of eigenvalues of the herm itean random $m$ atrices $H$, which is the essence of our $m$ ethod of herm itization.

O bserve from 3.13) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(0 \quad i 0 ; z ; z)=\frac{i}{N} h t r_{(N)} \quad q \overline{(z \quad y)(z \quad)} \text { i } \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus counts the (average) num ber of zero-eigenvalues of the positive sem i-de nite herm itean $m$ atrix $\left.{ }^{q} \overline{(z} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{y}}\right)(\mathrm{z} \quad$ ) [7]. Thus, if (on the average) has no eigenvalues equal to $z$, or in other words, if the density of eigenvalues of vanishes at $z$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(0 \quad i 0 ; z ; z)=0 ; \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]independently of the large N lim it. In particular, the boundaries of the eigenvalue distribution of in the large $N$ lim it, are the curve (or curves) in the com plex plane which separate regions where $G(0 ; z ; z)=0$ from regions where $G(0 ; z ; z) \quad 0$. As a $m$ atter of fact, one can infer the location of these boundaries even without an explicit know ledge of $(0 ; z ; z)$ and $G(z ; z)$, by investigating the \gap equation" for G ( $0 ; z ; z$ ) , nam ely, the trace ofboth sides of (3.11) , and then setting $=0$. The \gap equation" is a polynom ial in $G$ with real coe cients (which depend on $z$ explicitly as well as through $G(z ; z)$, and the param eters of the potential V .) D ue to the chiral nature of $H$, this polynom ial contains a trivial factor of $G(0 ; z ; z)$ which we im $m$ ediately factor out. Setting $G=0$ in the rem aining factor we obtain an equation for the boundary. $T$ his is discussed in detail in [7] .

To sum $m$ arize, the $m$ ethod of hem itization allow $s$ us to reduce the problem of dealing $w$ th random non-herm itean $m$ atrices to the well-studied problem of dealing $w$ ith random hem itean $m$ atrioes. $G$ iven the non-hem itean $m$ atrix, we study the herm itean $m$ atrix $H$ instead. By whatever $m$ ethod one prefers, once one has deter$m$ ined the quark propagator $G$ (or its trace, the $G$ reen's function $G(; z ; z)$ ), one can in principle obtain $(x ; y)$. W hether that can be done in practice is of course another story.

It is worth em phasizing that the form alism described here has nothing to do $w$ th large $N$ as such. The form alism is also totally independent of the form of the probability distribution $\mathrm{P}\left(\right.$; $^{\mathrm{y}}$ ).

## 4 N on-G aussian Ensem bles

O urtask in this paper is to solve them ore problem ofdeterm ining the $G$ reen's function and the averaged eigenvalue density of a non-herm itean random $m$ atrix. Here we show that by applying a sim ple trick, we can obtain the desired density of eigenvalues w ith a m inim al am ount of work, by judiciously exploiting the existing literature on random herm itean $m$ atrices.

In this section we will follow a com m on practice and borrow som e term inology from quantum chrom odynam ics: we may consider ; ${ }^{\text {y }}$ as \ghons" (in zero spacetime dim ensions), which interact with a 2 N dim ensionalmultiplet of \quarks" , $w$ th a com plex $m$ ass $m$ atrix (the \inverse propagator")

$$
\mathrm{G}_{0}{ }^{1}=\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{C}}
\end{array} \quad \begin{gathered}
1 \\
\AA
\end{gathered}
$$

z
(expressed in term s of its $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ blocks.) The m atrix G reen's function $\mathrm{G}=$ $h(1=(H)) i$; in 3.11), which represents the quark propagator, is given by the D yson-Schw inger equation in term s of the one-quark irreducible self energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{G}_{0}{ }^{1}} ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e em phasize that this equation always holds, and practically am ounts to the de nition of the one-particle irreducible self energy. For probability distributions of the form $P()=(1=Z) e^{N \operatorname{trV}\left({ }^{y}\right)}$ in 1.1) it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
=(; r) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where we recall that $r=j j$.) $N$ ote that depends on $r$ and not separately on $z$ and $z$ because it $m$ ay be expanded as an in nite sum of traces of $G_{0}$, each term of

[^2]which is a function only of and r. Thus,
leading to
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G} \quad \frac{1}{2 N}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{G}=\frac{}{\mathrm{r}^{2}()^{2}} \text { : } \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The im portant point is that in the large $N$ lim it, the onequark irreducible self energy can bew ritten in term softhe cum ulants $2 k$ ofP ()$=(1=Z) e^{N \operatorname{trv}(y)}$ (Eq. (1.1)), nam ely, the connected correlators involving $k$ 's and ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ 's. D iagram matically speaking, the $2 k \mathrm{~m}$ ay be thought of as a \blob" out of which em anatek 'sand ${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ 's, which cannot be separated into two sm aller blobs, with $k_{1}$ 's and ${ }^{y}$ 's in one blob and $k_{2}$ ' $s$ and ${ }^{y}$ 's in the other (w ith $k_{1}+k_{2}=k$ of course.) $W$ e then obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(; r)=x_{k=1}^{x^{1}} 2 k[G(; r)\}^{2 k 1} ; \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a fashion sim ilar to the calculation in [14]. Recall also that for the G aussian ensemble only the variance 2 , and thus simply $={ }_{2} G$.

If we knew in term sof $G$, then we can solve for $G$ and hence the density of eigenvalues. We are thus faced with the problem of determ ining the $2 k$ 's which appears to be a rather di cult task.

It is im portant to note that the $2 k$ in (4.6) depend only on the probability distribution P ( ) shown above and not on the particular quantity we average over. C onsider the problem of determ ining the eigenvalue density of the herm itean $m$ atrix y . W e would study the G reen's function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(w)=h \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr}_{(\mathbb{N}}, \frac{1}{w} \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\sim(\mathrm{~d})}{\mathrm{w}} ; \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sim()$ is the averaged eigenvalue density of $y . W$ e see from 3.13) that this $G$ reen's function is related to $G(; r=0)$ sim ply through

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(; r=0)=F\left({ }^{2}\right): \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A lso, for $\mathrm{z}=0$ we have from 4.5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
(; 0)=G^{1}(; 0): \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The crucial observation is that $F$ ( $w$ ) is already known in the literature on chiral and rectangular block random herm itean $m$ atricesf for the $G$ aussian distribution 15, 9, 17, [18], as well as for non-G aussian probability distributions of the form (1.1) w ith an arbitrary polynom ial potential $V\left({ }^{2}\right)$ ) 19, 20]. In fact, the authors of 19, 20] sim ply calculated the diagonal elem ents of the propagator $G(; 0)$ using D yson gas techniques (so the coe cients 2 k are only im plicit in these papers.)

The contents of (4.6), (4.8) and 4.9) m ay thus be sum m arized as follow s: there is a unique function a( ) which behaves like $1=$ as tends to in nity, is regular at $=0$, and satis es the equation $\quad a^{1}={ }^{P}{\underset{k}{l}=1}_{1}^{2 k} a^{2 k}$. This function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
a()=F\left({ }^{2}\right) \quad G(; 0): \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We , on the other hand, are interested in the opposite case, where $=0 ; r=j j$ 0 . C onsider the matrix G (0 i0; z; z ). D ue to $\backslash$ color index dem ocracy", its $N \quad N$ blocks are all proportional to the unit $m$ atrix $1_{N}$, and we see that

$$
G(0 \quad i 0 ; z ; z)={ }_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{G} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad \begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}  \tag{4.11}\\
& \mathrm{C} \\
& \mathrm{C}
\end{align*} ;
$$

where $G(0 \quad i 0 ; r)$ is given in 3.14 ) and the complex $G$ reen's function $G(z ; z)$ was de ned 9 in 3.12). Inverting the propagator in 4.11) and using 4.1) (w ith $=0$ ) and (42), we obtain the two equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{G}{j G^{3} \quad G^{2}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{G}{j G \xi G^{2}}: \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]N ote from 4.12) that if $G=0$, then $G=1=z$, which corresponds to the region outside the dom ain of the eigenvalue distribution 园, 4]. In addition, using 4.12) tw ioe, we obtain

$$
z G=\frac{j G \mathcal{J}}{j G \jmath^{2} \quad G^{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
j G & G^{2} \tag{4.14}
\end{array}\right) r^{2}:
$$

Thus, due to the fact that $G$ is pure im aginary (see 3.14)) we conclude that $\quad z G$ is alw ays real and non-negative. A ltematively, we can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{2}=\frac{z G \quad(z G \quad 1)}{r^{2}} ; \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore from the fact that $\mathrm{G}^{2} 0$ we conclude that $0 \quad 1$, in accordance $w$ th the $m$ onotonicity of $(r)$ and the sum rules $(0)=0$ and $(1)=1$ in (3.8).

Let us now de ne the pure im aginary quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{G}+=\frac{1}{G}+{ }_{k=1}^{X^{2}} 2 \mathrm{G}^{2 \mathrm{k} 1} ; \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last equality follows from 4.6) (w ith $=0$ ). U sing (4.13) and 4.13) we thus have sim ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{zG}}{\mathrm{G}}: \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It then follows from 4.19) and the statem ent preceding that equation, and from (4.17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left({ }^{2}\right)=G=\underline{z G}: \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have thus elim inated the 2 k !
From 4.15) and from 4.17) we determ ine $==G$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{2}=\frac{}{1} r^{2}: \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that ${ }^{2} \quad 0$, consistent $w$ ith the bounds 0

1. C om paring 4.18) and (4.8) we obtain the rem arkable relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(; 0)=G(0 ; r) ; \tag{420}
\end{equation*}
$$

for and $r$ that are related by (4.19) (w ith im aginary.)

W e now have the desired equation for $z G$ : substituting (4.19) into 4.19) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} F \frac{r^{2}}{1} \quad+1=0: \tag{421}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, given F we can solve for ( r ) using this equation.
From (4.7) we observe that for $w$ large we $m$ ay expand

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(w)=\frac{1}{w}+\frac{1}{w^{2}} f(w) ; \tag{422}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(w)=f_{0}+\left(f_{1}=w\right)+\quad$. Substituting $w \underline{\underline{2}}=r^{2}=(1)$ into (423) and letting tend to 1, (421) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\quad 1)^{2} \frac{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{w})}{\mathrm{r}^{2}} \quad 1^{\#} \text {; } \tag{423}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus $=1$, which corresponds to the region outside the eigenvalue distribution, is always a double root of 4.21).

W ew illnow explain how the $G$ reen's function $F$ (w) is obtained in the literature ${ }^{\text {G }}$, 19, 20]. Let $V$ be a polynom ial of degree p. From the de nition off (w) in 4.7) and its analyticity property, we expect $F$, follow ing the argum ents of $B$ rezin et $a l$, to have the form 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(w)=\frac{1}{2} V^{0}(w) \quad P(w) \quad{ }^{q} \overline{(w} \quad \text { a) (w } \quad \text { b) } ; \tag{424}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(w)=e_{k=1}^{e^{2}} a_{k} w^{k}: \tag{425}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants $0 \quad \mathrm{a}<\mathrm{b}$ and $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are then determ ined com pletely by the requirem ent that $F$ ( $w$ ) ! $\frac{1}{w}$ as $w$ tends to in nity, and by the condition that $F$ (w) has at $m$ ost an integrable singularity asw ! 0. ■

[^4]
## 5 Phase Transitions in the Q uartic Ensem ble

Having determ ined $F(w)$ in this way, we substitute it into 4.21) and nd $G(z ; z)$. W e can thus calculate the density of eigenvalues explicitly for an arbitrary V. For the sake of sim plicity we now focus on the quartic potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(y)=2 m^{2} y+g(y)^{2}: \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.1 The D isk P hase

For $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ not too negative, which as we shall see translates here into $\mathrm{m}^{2}>\mathrm{p} \overline{2 \mathrm{~g}}$, we expect the density of eigenvalues to be a disk. In that case we expect that $(0)>0$, as in $G$ inibre's case. Thus, from 3.8) $\quad(r)=(1=r)(d=d r)$ and from the rst sum rule ( 0 ) $=0$ in (3.9) we conclude that ( $r$ ) $r^{2}$ near $r=0$. Therefore, for $r$ sm all, (421) yields F ( $r^{4}$ ) $\quad 1=r^{2}$, nam ely, $F(w) \quad 1={ }^{p} \bar{w}$ for $w \quad 0$, as we could have anticipated from $G$ inibre's case. This $m$ eans that $a=0$ in (4.24), and therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(w)=m^{2}+g w \quad \frac{a_{1}}{w}+a_{0} \quad q \overline{w(w \quad b)}: \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the asym ptotic behavior of $F$ (w) we then obtain 10

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=g ; \quad c \quad a_{1}=\frac{2 m^{2}+p \overline{m^{4}+6 g}}{3} ; \quad \text { and } \quad b=\frac{2 m^{2}+2^{p} \overline{m^{4}+6 g}}{3 g}: \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For later convenience we record here that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b c^{2}=2 \frac{\left(m^{4}+6 g\right)^{3=2} m^{2}\left(m^{4} 18 g\right)}{27 g}: \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (52) and (5.3) into (4.21) we arriver at

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \quad\left[1+2\left(m^{2} r^{2}+g r^{4}\right)\right]+b c^{2} r^{2}=0 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^5]w ith roots
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r)=\frac{1}{2} 1+2\left(m^{2} r^{2}+g r^{4}\right) \quad q \frac{}{\left[1+2\left(m^{2} r^{2}+g r^{4}\right)\right]^{2} \quad 4 b c^{2} r^{2}}: \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Let us assum e thatm ${ }^{2}>\mathrm{P} \overline{2 g}$. U nder this condition the the discrim inant of 5.5) is non-negative, and contains a factor $\left(\begin{array}{ll}r^{2} & b=4\end{array}\right)^{2}$. The root of 5.5) which satis es the condition $(0)=0$ (see 3.S)) is (r) (whereas $+(0)=1$.) H ow ever, a subtlety arises, since ( $r$ ) is m onotonically increasing (as it should be, by de nition) only for $r^{2}<b=4$. The root $+(r)$ increases $m$ onotonically only for $r^{2}>b=4$. $D$ ue to the factor $\left(r^{2} \quad b=4\right)^{2}$ in the discrim inant of (5.5), we observe that ${ }^{\circ}(\bar{b}=2)={ }_{+}^{0}(\bar{b}=2+)$, and thus + and $m$ ay be glued sm oothly into a single $m$ onotonically increasing function $(r)_{\text {disk }}$ as

$$
(r)_{\text {disk }}=\quad \begin{align*}
(r) & ; \\
\quad+(r) & r^{2}<b=4  \tag{5.7}\\
& r^{2}>b=4:
\end{align*}
$$

The eigenvalue density is then given by (3.8) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y)=m^{2}+2 g r^{2}+\left[\operatorname{sgn}\left(\frac{b}{4} \quad r^{2}\right)\right] \frac{b^{2}}{q} \frac{\left(m^{2}+2 g r^{2}\right)\left[1+2\left(m^{2} r^{2}+g r^{4}\right)\right]}{\left[1+2\left(m^{2} r^{2}+g r^{4}\right)\right]^{2} 4 b c^{2} r^{2}}: \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, note that $(0 ; 0)=b c^{2}=0$.
$W$ enow determ ine the radius of the disk $r_{b}$, nam ely, the boundary of the eigenvalue distribution. In this case there is only one boundary, and thus the $r$ dependent solution of 421) increases $m$ onotonically from $(0)=0$ to $\quad\left(r_{5}\right)=1$, since all the eigenvalues are included within $r$ $r_{b}$. Thus, setting ( $r$ ) $=1$ in 5.5) and using (5.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{b}^{2}=\left(b c^{2} \quad 2 m^{2}\right)=2 g=2 \frac{\left(m^{4}+6 g\right)^{3=2} m^{2}\left(m^{4}+9 g\right)}{27 g}: \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is possible to show that $r_{b}^{2}>b=4$. W e thus nd that the density at the boundary is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}=2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}+\frac{2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~g}}{\frac{1}{2 g r_{b}^{2}}}^{\#} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be shown to be alw ays positive.

In the lim it $g!0$ (w ith $m^{2}>0$, of course) $c$ tends to $m^{2}$ and both $r_{b}^{2}$ and $b=4$ tend to $1=\left(2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$. Therefore, 5.7) simpli es into

$$
(r)_{\text {disk }}=\begin{gathered}
\left(m^{2} r^{2} ; r^{2}<1=\left(2 m^{2}\right)\right. \\
1 ; r^{2}>1=\left(2 m^{2}\right):
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, from 3.8) (or by taking $g$ ! 0 in (5.8)) we recover $G$ inibre's uniform density $(x ; y)=2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}=$ inside a disk of radius $1=\left(2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$, consistent $w$ ith the $g!0$ lm it of (5.1) .

In the strong coupling lim it $g=m^{4} \gg 1$ we observe that $c \quad q \overline{2 g=3}$ and $b$ ${ }^{q} \overline{8=3 g}$. Therefore, in this lim it the disk shrinks like $r_{b}^{2} \quad q \overline{8=27 g}$, which means that the density should blow up like $1=r_{b}^{2}$. We nd indeed that in this lim it b $(12=5)^{p} \overline{6 g} . W$ e also nd that in this lim it $\quad(0 ; 0) \quad(2=)(2=3)^{3=2} \overline{\mathrm{~g}} \quad(5=27)_{\mathrm{b}}<$ b , and the density grow s as r increases.

To sum $m$ arize, for $m^{2}>P \overline{2 g}$, the ( $r$ ) corresponding to the ensem ble (5.1) in the disk phase is thus given by 5.7) for $r r_{b}$ and is equal to one for $r>r_{b}$.

W hen $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ becom es too negative, that is, form ${ }^{2}<{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2 \mathrm{~g}}$, the discrim inant of 5.5) becom es negative for som e range of values of $r$, rendering ( $r$ ) com plex, which is unacceptable. This signals that the disk phase becom es unstable, and the system s undergoes a phase transition, as we discuss in the next section. The boundary of the disk phase in them ${ }^{2} \quad g$ plane is thus given by $m^{2}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2 \mathrm{~g}}$. C onsider approaching this boundary from w thin the disk phase by setting $m^{2}=P \overline{2 g}+, w$ th positive and sm all. Then, using 5.3), we nd to rstorder in that $c=2$ andb $=2^{q} \overline{(2=g)}=g$. In particular, at the phase boundary itselfc $=0$. C onsequently, at the phase boundary (5.8) sim pli es into

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y)={ }_{2}^{p} \frac{1}{2 g}\left(p \frac{0}{2 g r^{2}} \quad ; \quad r^{2}<1=\frac{p}{p} \overline{2 g}, 1=\frac{2 g}{2 g}<r^{2}<r_{b}^{2}:\right. \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{b}^{2}={ }^{q} \overline{2=g}$ from 5.9). Thus, as we decrease to zero, $(x ; y)$ becom es increasingly depleted inside the disk $r^{2}<b()=4$, reaching com plete depletion at $=0$, at which point the disk breaks into an annulus. F inally, for later reference, let us note
that at the phase boundary (5.2) reads

### 5.2 The A nnular P hase

$W$ e saw that at the phase boundary $m^{2}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2 g}$ the disk con guration of the previous sub-section broke into an annulus. W e thus expect that for $m^{2}<\mathrm{P} \overline{2 g}$ the stable eigenvalue distribution would be annular.

For convenience, let us sw itch notations according to $\mathrm{m}^{2}={ }^{2}$, and also write ${ }_{c}^{2}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2 \mathrm{~g}}$.
$W$ e denote the radii of the annulus boundaries by $r_{1}<r_{2}$. In the inner void, $r<r_{1}$, we clearly have (r) 0.Thus, (421) and (424) im ply a ${ }_{1} \overline{a b}=0$. The annulus must therefore arise for $\mathrm{a}_{1}=0$ (the other possible solution $\mathrm{a}=0 ; \mathrm{a}_{1} \not 0$ leads to a disk con guration with $=0$ only at $r=0$, as we discussed in the previous sub-section.) Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(w)=m^{2}+g w \quad a_{0}^{q} \overline{(w \quad a)(w \quad b)}: \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the asym ptotic behavior off (w) we then obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=g ; \quad a=\frac{2}{g} \quad \frac{s}{\frac{2}{g}} ; \quad \text { and } \quad b=\frac{2}{g}+\frac{s}{\frac{2}{g}}: \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that $a=(2=\underset{c}{4})\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 2_{c}^{2}\end{array}\right)$ which is positive for ${ }^{2}>{ }_{c}^{2}$, as it should be, by de nition.

W e now determ ine (r) inside the annulus. Substituting (5.13) and (5.14) into 421) and factoring out all the factors ${ }^{[2]}$ of (1), we obtain a linear equation for and nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (r) })_{\text {annulus }}=1 \quad 2^{2} r^{2}+2 g r^{4} ; \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which $m$ ust correspond to the region inside the annulus.

[^6]The (r) con guration 5.15) has to $m$ atch continuously to $=0$ at $r=r_{1}$ and to $=1$ at $r=r_{2}$. From the $m$ atching at $r=r_{2}$ we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}^{2}=\frac{2^{2}}{g}=\frac{2^{2}}{\frac{4}{c}}: \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $m$ atching at $r=r_{1}$ yields a quadratic equation for $r_{1}^{2} w$ ith roots

both of which are sm aller than the expression in 5.16). In order to determ ine which of these roots corresponds to $r_{1}^{2}$ we recall that ( $r$ ) m ust intenpolate m onotonically between its two boundary values, nam ely, that ${ }^{0}(r)>0$ for $r_{1}<r<r_{2}$, which is nothing but the statem ent that $(r)>0$. Substituting (5.13) in (3.9) we nd
$N$ ote that ( $r$ ) increasesm onotonically. The correct norm alization of (5.18) is guaranteed by $\left(r_{2}\right)=1$, as can be checked explicitly. F inally, positivity of 5.18) determ ines $r_{1}^{2}$ in (5.17) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}^{2}=\frac{{ }^{2}+\frac{q}{{ }_{4}^{4} \quad \stackrel{4}{c}}}{\stackrel{4}{c}}: \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that $r_{1}^{2}=1={ }_{c}^{2}=1={ }^{p} \overline{2 g}$ at ${ }^{2}={ }_{c}^{2}$, which coincides $w$ ith the radius (squared) of the depleted region in the disk con guration 5.11). A lso, at ${ }^{2}={ }_{c}^{2}$ we have $r_{2}^{2}=2={ }_{c}^{2}={ }^{q} \overline{2=g}$, which coincides with the disk radius squared $r_{b}^{2}$ in 5.9) at the phase boundary. Thus, at the phase boundary ${ }^{2}=c_{c}^{2}$ (5.18) coincides with (5.11), nam ely, ( $x ; y$ ) is continuous at the transition from the disk phase to the annular phase.
$C$ onsider approaching the phase boundary $m^{2}=P \overline{2 g}$ from $w$ ithin the annular phase by setting ${ }^{2}=\mathrm{P}_{\overline{2 g}}+$, with positive and $s m$ all. Then, since all the expressions in 5.14) are linear in ${ }^{2}$, we nd that $a=\Rightarrow$ and $b=2^{q} \overline{(2=g)}+=g$. Thus, at the phase boundary itself $a=0$, and $b=1={ }^{p} \overline{2 g}$. Therefore, at the phase boundary 5.13) reads
which coincides with 5.12). Thus, F (w) (and consequently, the eigenvalue density of y ) is also continuous at the transition.

It is am using to note that the various expressions we encountered in this subsection are $m$ uch sim pler than their counterparts in the disk phase.

## 6 B oundaries and Shape U niversality of the E igenvalue $D$ istribution: the $S$ ingle $R$ ing $T$ heorem

In the previous section we analyzed the probability distribution (1.1) w ith a quartic potential $V(y)=m^{2} y+\frac{g}{2}(\mathrm{y})^{2}$. W e obtained circularly sym metric eigenvalue distributions: a disk (5.8) and an annulus 5.18). M aking $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ negative enough drove a phase transition from the disk con guration to the annulus con guration.

This state of a airs obviously generalizes. In this class of models, the dom ain of the eigenvalue distribution is alw ays circularly sym $m$ etric, due to the rotational invariance of the probability distribution (1.1). C onsider a potential V ( y ) with several wells or minim a. For deep enough wells, we expect the eigenvalues of $y$ to \fall into the wells". Thus, onem ight suppose that the eigenvalue distribution of to be bounded by a set of concentric circles ofradii $0 \quad r_{1}<r_{2}<\quad n_{m} \frac{r}{2}$, separating annular regions on which $(x)>0$ from voids (annuli in which $(x)=0$.) A priori, it is natural to assum e that the $m$ axim al num ber of such circular boundaries should grow w th the degree of $V$, because $V m$ ay then have $m$ any deep $m$ inim $a$.

Rem arkably, how ever, we prove below that the num ber of these boundaries is tw o at the m ost. To reconcile this conclusion $w$ ith the a prioriexpectation just m entioned, we note that while the eigenvalues of the hem itean $m$ atrix $\quad y \quad m$ ay split into several disjoint segm ents along the positive real axis, this does not necessarily constrains the eigenvalues of itself to condense into annuli. This statem ent is $m$ ade $m$ ore precise in the A ppendix.

W e call this result the $\backslash$ Single R ing $T$ heorem ." To prove it, let us assum e for the $m$ om ent that there are $n$ boundaries. It is easy to see from (3.7), the de ning equation of $(r)$, that in the annular void $r_{k}<r<r_{k+1}$ in the eigenvalue distribution, is a constant which is equal to the fraction of eigenvalues contained inside the disk $r \quad r_{k}$. Thus, the equation to determ ine (Eq. 421) which we repeat here for convenience)

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} \mathrm{~F} \frac{r^{2}}{1}+1=0 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

m ust have a series of m onotonically increasing constant solutions $1_{1}<2<$
which correspond to the various voids.
In particular, from (3.9) we have $=1$ for $r>r_{n_{\text {max }}}$, nam ely, $G=1=z$. Thus, $=1 \mathrm{must}$ be a solution of (6.1), the m axim al allowed constant solution. It is straightforw ard to see that $=1$ is indeed a solution, because we know that

$$
F-\frac{1}{1} r^{2} \frac{1}{r^{2}}
$$

as tends to 1. A lso, if $r_{1}>0$, nam ely, if there is a hole at the center of the eigenvalue distribution, then for $r \quad r_{1}$ we must have $=0$ (independently of $r$ ), which is obviously a solution ${ }^{[17}$ of 6.1). ( n n the other hand, if $\mathrm{r}_{1}=0$, so that the eigenvalue distribution includes the origin, an $r$ independent solution $=0$ is of course only a spurious solution which should be discarded.)

A ssum e now that $=0$ is an $r$ independent solution of 6.1). Taking the derivative of 6.1) $w$ ith respect to $r^{2}$ at owe obtain
which is the condition for the existence of an $r$ independent solution $0 . T$ hus, there are two possibilities: either $0=0$, which we already encountered, or $F\left({ }^{2}\right)+{ }^{2} \frac{\mathrm{dF}}{\mathrm{d}^{2}}=$ 0 . This equation im $m$ ediately yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left({ }^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} ; \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration constant is xed by the asym ptotic behavior ofF ( ${ }^{2}$ ) as ! 1 . But for a generic $V\left({ }^{\mathrm{V}}\right), \mathrm{F}$ (w) is given by (Eq. 4.7))

$$
F(w)=h \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr}_{(N)} \frac{1}{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\sim(\mathrm{l}) \mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{w}} ;
$$

w ith (6.3) being the asym ptotic behavior off (w) asw $={ }^{2}$ ! 1. w e thus conclude that ${ }^{2}$ ! 1 in 6.3), nam ely, that $0=1$. Thus, to sum $m$ arize, the only possible $r$ independent solutions of 421) are $=0$ and $=1$, which we already discussed.

[^7]Since no other r independent solutions arise, there can be no $m$ ore than a single void in the eigenvalue distribution, whatever polynom ial the potential $V(y)$ is. The shape of the eigenvalue distribution is thus either a disk or an annulus.

Interestingly enough, we can arrive at the same conclusion by invoking other general aspects of the $m$ ethod of herm itization [ 4 ], and thus providing a nice self consistency check of our form alism. Recall at this point that the boundaries of the eigenvalue distribution are given by (3.15), nam ely, the zeros of G. But $G$ is given by (Eq. 4.15))

$$
\mathrm{G}^{2}=\frac{(\quad 1)}{\mathrm{r}^{2}} ;
$$

from which we that at the boundaries can take on only two values: zero and one. Since is a constant in the void, by continuity, these are the only possible values of inside any of the voids. $T$ herefore, there $m$ ay be tw o circular boundaries at $m$ ost. In other words, as far as the eigenvalue density of is concemed, an ensem ble of the form 1.1) $m$ ay have two phases at $m$ ost, as we concluded earlier.

In addition to $=1$ (and possibly $=0$ ), (6.3) m ust have other roots which do depend on r. Am ong all these other roots, we expect to nd a unique root (r), which is a positive $m$ onotonically increasing fiunction of $r$, that $m$ atches continuously at the boundaries $r_{k} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}k & 2)\end{array}\right)$ to the constant roots of 6.1). The actual $z G(z ; z)$ of the ensemble (1.1) is therefore a continuous monotonically increasing function of $r$, as required by 3.7). If the eigenvalue distribution is annular $w$ th boundaries $0 \quad r_{1}<r_{2}$ (the case $r_{1}=0$ corresponding to the filldisk), it vanishes for $0 \quad r \quad r_{1}$, rises $m$ onotonically from zero to one on $r_{1} \quad r \quad r_{2}$, and equals to one for $r \quad r_{2}$.

## 7 TheA ddition Form alism fornon $H$ erm itean $R$ andom M atrices

U sing the $m$ ethod of herm itization [4], we develop in this section the addition for$m$ alism for non-herm tiean random $m$ atrices. O ur results agree $w$ th recent results of Zahed et al [ $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ ]. W e feel that the $m$ ethod of herm itization clearly elucidates the developm ent of this addition form alism. At the end of this section we use the addition form alism to form ulate and prove a non-herm tean non-G aussian version of the central lim it theorem.

Let 1 and 2 betwo $N \quad N$ random non-herm itean $m$ atriges, draw $n$ independently from the probability distributions $P_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}y & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $P_{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}\frac{y}{2} & 2\end{array}\right)$. Furtherm ore, let $H_{a}(a=$ 1;2) be the $2 \mathrm{~N} \quad 2 \mathrm{~N}$ herm tean H am iltonians associated w th a as de ned by (3.10), and sim ilarly de ne the \total H am iltonian" as

The addition problem for 1 and 2 m ay be form ulated as follow s : C onsider the probability distributions

$$
P_{a}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y & a  \tag{72}\\
a & a
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{Z_{a}} \exp { }^{h} N \operatorname{tr} V_{a}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
y & a
\end{array}\right)^{i} ; \quad a=1 ; 2:
$$

G iven the two propagators

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{(a)}(; z ; z)=h \frac{1}{H_{a}} i^{(a)} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $h \quad{ }^{(a)}$ indicates an average $w$ ith respect to $P_{a}$ ), nd (in the large $N$ lim it) the propagator

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(; z ; z)=h \frac{1}{H_{T}} i \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

associated w ith the probability distribution

$$
P\left(\begin{array}{lll}
y & y & y  \tag{7.5}\\
1 & 1 & \frac{y}{2}
\end{array}\right)=P_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) P_{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{y}{2} & 2
\end{array}\right):
$$

The propagators $G^{(a)}(; z ; z) m$ ay be obtained in principle, by applying the for$m$ alism of Section 3. O nce one has succeeded in solving the addition problem and obtained an explicit expression for (7.4), one $m$ ay set $=0$ and calculate the various averages $F(z ; z)=h \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr} \log (z \quad)\left(z \quad{ }^{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z})=\mathrm{h}(1=\mathrm{N}) \operatorname{tr} 1=(\mathrm{z} \quad$ ) i and $G(0 ; z ; z)$ as prescribed in Section 2, from which one $m$ ay further calculate the averaged density of eigenvalues (x;y) of $1_{1}+2$.

The form ulation of the addition problem fornon herm tean random $m$ atrioes $1 ; 2$ bears obvious sim ilarities to the form ulation of the addition problem for herm tean random m atrices. In fact, if in the form ulation just given one replaces the bare inverse propagator $G_{0}{ }^{1}$ de ned in 4.1) sim ply by $G_{0}{ }^{1} \quad z$, and the chiralm atrioes

by the ordinary hem itean $m$ atrices 'a $(a=1 ; 2)$, one w ould recover the form ulation of the usualaddition problem for hem tiean random $m$ atrices 13, 14, 16]. These identi cations of various quantities that appear in the form ulations of the two addition problem s , enable us to derive the addition form alism for random non-herm itean m atrices by follow ing the steps of the by now well known addition form alism for ordinary herm itean random $m$ atrices [14], which we now proceed to do.

Let ${ }_{2 k}^{(a)}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (a) }={ }^{(a)}(; r) \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

be, respectively, the connected $2 k$ ghon \blob" (or cum ulant) and the selfenergy associated w th the probability distribution $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$. From the de nition of selfenergy

$$
\mathrm{G}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{G}_{0}^{1}}
$$

(Eq. 42)) we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (a) }=G_{0}{ }^{1} \quad G^{1(a)}: \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, rew riting the expansion (4.6) for (a) we have

For reasons that will becom e clear below we bothered to display the trace operation in 7.9) explicitly. The two gluon types 1 and 2 in the com bined probability distribution 7.5) do not interact and therfore the selfenergy $=(; r)$ associated w ith 7.5) is given by
where now obviously the intemal quark lines are given by 7.4) .
The right hand side of 7.8) and of 7.9) are expansions in powers of the trace of three di erent propagators: $G^{(a)}(a=1 ; 2)$ and $G$. These three propagators are obviously not the sam e, but we observe that if they were identical, then 7.9) would sim ply be the sum (over the two values of a) of 7.9). The fact that these three propagators are not identical renders the relation betw een the three selfenergies m ore com plicated. The essence of the addition form alism is to m aneuver around this di culty. The idea is as follow s: for the sake of argum ent, assume that it is $m$ athem atically consistent to consider $G^{(a)}(; i r)$ as a matrix valued function of the inverse propagator $m$ atrix

(Eq. 4.1).) This function would then have a $m$ atrix valued functional inverse $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{a}}$, where $\mathrm{Ba}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{G}_{0}{ }^{1}=\mathrm{G}_{0}{ }^{1}$. N aively, we $m$ ay deduce from 7.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{G}^{(\mathrm{a})}\right)=\mathrm{G}^{1(\mathrm{a})}+{ }^{(\mathrm{a})} \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(below we shall do aw ay w th the adverb \naively" and $m$ ake the construction of $B_{a}$ $m$ athem atically precise.) U sing this $m$ atrix valued functional inverse we would be able to solve the equation $G^{(a)}\left(X_{a}\right)=G$ (where $X_{a}$ is the $m$ atricial argum et of the
$m$ atrix valued function $G^{(a)}$ ) as $X_{a}=B_{a}(G)$. W e would then evaluate each of the
(a) in (7.8) at the appropriate argum ent $X_{a}$ (instead at argum ent $G_{0}{ }^{1}$ ). T he right hand side of 7.8) would then be an expansion in powers of $G(; r)$, and we would thus conclude that ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ a ${ }^{\text {(a) }}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)=\left(\mathrm{G}_{0}{ }^{1}\right)$.

The argum ents in the last paragraph follow the sam e logic as in the case of the addition form alism of random herm itean $m$ atrices. $R$ ecall that in the theory of ordinary hem tiean random $m$ atriges, the $B$ lues function $B(w)$ and the $G$ reen's function $G(z) \quad(1=N) h t r(z \quad,)^{1} i$ are functional inverses of one another 14]. In the present context, we already noted that the ordinary complex variable $z$ is replaced by the $m$ atrix $G_{0}{ }^{1}$ in 4.1), which leads us to consider $m$ atrix valued functions of $G_{0}{ }^{1}$ and com position thereof. Thus, follow ing the nom enclature of the herm itean addition form alism, we nam e $B_{a}$ the $\backslash B$ lue's" function associated with $G^{(a)}$. W e now have to com plete the argum ents in the previous paragraph and show that com position of such $m$ atrix valued functions ofm atricial argum ents are $m$ athem atically $m$ eaningful.

In order that com position of such functions be a consistent operation (in the sense that it generalizes com position of com plex-analytic functions of a com plex variable), it is necessary that thesem atrix valued functions (w ith G in 4.4) being an im portant exam ple), have the sam em atricial texture as $G_{0}{ }^{1}$ (Eq. (4.1)). R ecall that (Eq. 4.4))
where and (ir) are complex. Thus, for complex, the texture of is more com plicated than the texture of

$$
\mathrm{G}_{0}^{1}=\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{B} \\
\mathrm{Q} \\
\\
\\
\mathrm{Z}
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{~A}
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

(Eq. 4.1), whose o -diagonalblocks are herm itean conjugates of each other. This $m$ ism atch of textures can be dealt $w$ ith readily by generalizing the space of $m$ atrices
out of which $\mathrm{G}_{0}{ }^{1}$ is taken into

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { w } \tag{7.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the o diagonalblocks are no longer related. To see that this generalization is the naturalone to $m$ ake, we follow the rst few steps of analysis in Section 4 and nd that $w$ ith 7.11) the selfenergy $m$ atrix is

$$
\begin{equation*}
=(; z w) \quad ; \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where the only change in 4.3) is that now depends on zw rather than on $\mathrm{r}^{2}=\mathrm{z} \mathrm{z}$.) Thus, from the de nition ofselfenergy (4.2) we im m ediately see that (4.4) is changed into

This has essentialy the sam e texture as (4.4). W ith this extension, we have gained the fact that 7.11), which generalizes here the ordinary com plex variable $z$, has the sam em atricial texture as 7.13). At the end of our calculations $w e w i l l ~ s e t ~ w ~ w ~ . ~$ W e can also see that the new texture 7.11) is the right one at a m ore elem entary level, by sim ply recognizing that the texture of 7.11) is preserved by raising this new $\mathrm{G}_{0}{ }^{1}$ to any integer (positive or negative) power.
$W$ th this texture it is enough to consider $G_{a}$ and $G_{0}{ }^{1} \operatorname{sim} p l y$ as $2 \quad 2$ complex $m$ atrioes with equal diagonal elem ents. $V$ iew ing $G_{a}(a=1 ; 2)$ as a 2 matrix valued function of a $m$ atricial argum ent (7.11) is an equivalent way of saying that we are dealing with the three functions $G_{a}(; z w) ; G_{a}(; z ; w)$ and $G_{a}^{0}(; z ; w)$, and com position of such $m$ atrix valued functions am ounts to com positions of such triads in the obvious way.

In the follow ing the sym bols $B$; W ;X etc. will stand for $m$ atrices of a texture identical to that of $G_{0}{ }^{1}$ and $G_{a}$. Them atrix valued $B$ lue's function $B_{a}(W)$, associated
$w$ th the probability distribution $P_{a}\left(\frac{y}{a} a\right) ;(a=1 ; 2)$ is de ned then as the $m$ atrix

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{a}}=\begin{array}{ccc}
0  \tag{7.14}\\
\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{G}} & \mathrm{Ba}_{\mathrm{a}} & \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{a}} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{~A} \\
\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}
\end{array} & \mathrm{Ba}_{\mathrm{a}}
\end{array} ;
$$

that satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{a}}\left[\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{X})\right]=\mathrm{X}: \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, we de ne the $2 \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix $\backslash \mathrm{B} \mathrm{he}$ 's" function B associated with 7.5) by $B[G(X)]=X$. From the de nition of selfenergy (42), or equivalently from (7.7), we readily identify

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(\mathbb{W})=W^{1}+1(\mathbb{W})=W^{1}+1_{k=1}^{\mathbb{X}^{1}} \quad 2 k \quad \frac{1}{2} \tan W^{2 \mathrm{k} 1} ; \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 1 is the 2 unit $m$ atrix.
The identity oftextures on both sides of (7.13) is assured already by the de nitions of the quantities involved, and does not pose by itself any constraints on the various $m$ atrix elem ents that appear on both sides of 7.15). In other words, $B_{a}$ is indeed the $m$ atrix valued inverse function of the $m$ atrix valued function $G_{a}$. Furthem ore, due to this equally of textures we can readily apply $G_{a}$ to both sides of 7.15) obtaining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.G_{a} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{a}}\left[\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{X})\right]\right]=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{X}) ; \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which veri es that the $m$ atricial function com position in 7.15) respects the obvious condition that taking the functional inverse tw ioe in a row yields the original function. $T$ hus, setting for exam ple $X=G_{0}{ }^{1}$ in 7.15), that equation sim ply says that starting w th the set of three functions $G_{a}(; z w) ; G_{a}(; z ; w)$ and $G_{a}^{0}(; z ; w)$, we construct the
 $; \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{a}} ; \mathrm{b}_{a} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}\right)=\mathrm{z}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{a} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{a}} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}\right)=\mathrm{w}$.
$N$ ote from 7.16) that $B(W)=B(\mathbb{W})$. This is so because $B$ is the functional inverse of the propagator $G(X)$ which is $m$ anifestly an odd function of $X$ due to the fact that in this paper all the probability distributions are even in .

Let us now go back to 7.8). The propagator $\mathrm{G}^{(a)}$ on the right hand side of 7.8) is a function of $; z$ and $w$, nam ely, of the $m$ atrix $G_{0}{ }^{1}$. We may generalize and consider it a function of the variable $W$, rendering ${ }^{(a)}$ a function of $W$,
 the argum ent $\mathrm{G}_{0}{ }^{1}$ of G on the right hand side of 7.9) by a variable X (w ith X having the sam e texture as all the $m$ atrices above), we $m$ ay consider the function $(X)={ }_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{k}=1}{\underset{2 k}{(a)}}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{h}} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} G(X)^{\mathrm{i}_{2 k}}$.

Evaluating ${ }^{(a)}(\mathbb{W})$ at $W=W_{a} B_{a}{ }^{h} G_{0}{ }^{1}{ }^{i}$, and using (7.15) and 7.9) we im mediately nd that

Thus, from 7.16) and from the $m$ atrix inverse of (7.15) we obtain

$$
{ }_{a=1 ; 2}^{X} B_{a}[G(X)] \quad G^{1}(X)^{0}=X \quad G^{1}(X) ;
$$

nam ely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{a=1 ; 2}{X} B_{a}[G(X)] \quad G^{1}(X)=X: \tag{7.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by de nition, the B lue's function associated w ith 7.5) is sim ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbb{W})=\mathrm{B}_{1}(\mathbb{W})+\mathrm{B}_{2}(\mathbb{W}) \quad W^{1} ; \tag{720}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreem ent w th [ ${ }^{2}$ ].
To sum $m$ arize, we carried out diagram $m$ atic expansions (nam ely, expansions in traces of powers of ${ }^{(a)}$ and $G$ ) of the selfenergies (a) and. W ewere allowed to do so because by construction $G_{0}{ }^{1}$ was taken to be non-herm itean, and thus in the analyticity dom ain of $G$. Those diagram $m$ atic expansions led us to introduce the $m$ atrix valued $B$ lue's functions 7.14), in close analogy w ith the addition form alism for ordinary hem itean random $m$ atrioes 14]. A s in the latter case, the $B$ lue's functions follow a simple addition form ula 720) under multiplication of the individual probability distributions (7.5).

N ow that we have $B_{T}$ in 720), we can calculate the $G$ reen's function $G(; z ; w)$ associated w ith $H_{T}$ by evaluating the functional inverse of $B_{T}$ in 720) at argum ent $\mathrm{G}_{0}{ }^{1}$. A fter com pleting this step we m ay retum to the original conventions and set w = z . A lso, for the sole purpose of detem ining the eigenvalue distribution of $=1_{1}+2$ allwe need is $G(0 \quad i 0 ; z ; z)$, and wemay also set $=0 \quad i 0$.

### 7.1 A Simple Application: A $N$ on-abelian $N$ on $H$ erm itean C entral Lim it T heorem

G auss proved that if we add $K$ random numbers $x_{i}, i=1 ; 2 ;:: K$, with $x_{i}$ taken from the probability distribution $P_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)$, then the norm alized sum $S=P_{\overline{\bar{K}}}{ }^{P}{ }_{i} x_{i}$ follow $S$ the G aussian distribution in the lim it $K$ tending to in nity. This result plays an im portant role in physics and $m$ athem atics and accounts for the ubiquitous appearance of the G aussian distribution.

A generalization of auss's central lim it theorem for the case in which the variables $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are replaced by $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ hem itean random m atrioes ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{i}, \mathrm{i}=1 ; 2 ;:: \mathrm{K}$, taken from from the probability distributions

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}\left({ }_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{Z_{i}} e^{\left.N \operatorname{trv} V_{i} \prime_{i}\right)}: \tag{721}
\end{equation*}
$$

certainly exists and was recently discussed in [14] In this version of the theorem the norm alized sum ${ }^{T} \quad \frac{1}{\bar{K}}{ }^{P}{ }_{i}{ }_{i}$ indeed follow $S$ the $G$ aussian distribution in the large K lim it.

In this sub-section we w ish to discuss G auss's theorem for $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ non-herm itean random $m$ atrioes $i, i=1 ; 2 ;::: K$, that are taken from from the probability distributions

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}\left(Y_{i}^{y}\right)=\frac{1}{Z_{i}} e^{N \operatorname{tr} V_{i}\left(\sum_{i}^{y}\right)}: \tag{722}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^8]A s was already rem arked in [14], it is not di cult to generalize one of the standard proofs ofG auss's theorem to $m$ atrioes, and we shalldo so at the end of this subsection. H ow ever, we nd it quite interesting to address the question ofw hether $T_{T} \sum_{\overline{\bar{K}}}{ }^{P}$ i i follow $s$ the $G$ aussian distribution by applying the non-herm itean addition form alism just presented. In doing so, we shall generalize the analysis $m$ ade in the last section of [14] to non-herm itean random $m$ atriges and show that $G$ inibre's disk distribution


It is clear from the derivation of the addition form alism just given that when we add tw o random $m$ atrioes, in general it is di cult to determ ine explicitly the resulting $G$ for the sum of the two $m$ atrices. $W$ hat we hope for here is that the large $K$ lim it w ill.bring considerable sim pli cation. This is indeed the case.

From the law of addition 720 ) we leam that the function $B(\mathbb{W})$ associated w ith the unknown $G(X)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(\mathbb{W})={\underset{i=1}{K} B_{a}(\mathbb{W}) \quad \frac{K}{W}: ~}_{W} \tag{723}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing 7.16) we m ay rew rite 723) as
where ${ }_{2 k}^{(i)}$ is the $2 k$-th cum ulant associated $w$ th the distribution $P_{i}$ in 723).
By de nition (Eq. 7.14)), the G reen's function $G(X)$ associated $w$ ith ${ }^{P} i_{i}$ is determ ined by solving $B(G))=X . W$ e are how ever interested in the nom alized sum $T^{\frac{1}{\bar{K}}}{ }^{P}{ }_{i}$. De ne the corresponding propagator as

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \quad 1 \\
& G_{T}(X) \quad h^{\complement} \frac{1}{X P_{\bar{K}}^{P^{1}}{ }_{i} H_{i}^{A}}{ }^{A}={ }^{p} \bar{K} G(\bar{K} X) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where


Rescaling and substituting $G(\bar{K} X)$ into (724), we nd that $G_{T}(X)$ is determ ined by

For nite K, 726) is hopelessly com plicated. H ow ever, as K ! 1 we see that it sim pli es rather naturally to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}^{1}(\mathrm{X})+\frac{2}{2} \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{X} \tag{727}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \frac{1}{K}_{i}^{\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{K}}}{ }_{2}^{\text {(i) }}: \tag{728}
\end{equation*}
$$

The selfenergy associated w ith (727) is evidently $T_{T}=\frac{2}{2} \operatorname{trG}_{T}(X)$. It is the sim plest case of the general form ula (Eq. (7.8)) $\quad(G)={ }^{P}{ }_{k=1}^{1} \quad 2 k \quad \frac{1}{2}$ trG ${ }^{2 k}$, where only 2 ( 0 . In other words, it is equal to the selfenergy associated w ith the $G$ aussian distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{G}\left(y^{y}\right)=\frac{1}{Z} \exp \quad \frac{N}{2} \operatorname{tr}^{y}: \tag{729}
\end{equation*}
$$

Re-substituting
and using the general herm itization form alism in Section 2 (and in particular Eqs. (3.3) and (3.12)) we conclude [0, 4] that the eigenvalues of $T=(1=\bar{R} \bar{K})^{P}{ }_{i}^{K} \quad i$ are distributed uniform ly in a disk of radius $\mathrm{P}_{2}$.

T hus, not only do we obtain G inibre's distribution, we also leam that 2 is given by 728) .

W hile we have proved that the density of eigenvalues of $T_{T}$ is identical to the density associated w th the G aussian distribution 729), we cannot yet conclude that the probability distribution of T is G aussian. T he reason is that in principle, a given eigenvalue distribution $m$ ay correspond to $m$ ore than a single probability
distribution ${ }^{[5]}$. To see that $T$ is indeed taken from a G aussian distribution, we have to calculate that distribution explicitly.

A s m entioned above, it is not di cult to extend one of the usual proofs of the central lim it theorem to the case of $m$ atrices. The distribution for the norm alized sum $m$ atrix $\quad \frac{1}{\bar{K}}{ }^{P} i i$ is given by (here we om it the subscript $T$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P()=y_{i}^{Y Z} d_{i} P_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y \\
i & i
\end{array}\right) \quad P_{\bar{K}}^{1} X_{i}^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral over the ${ }_{i}$ can be done in the large $K$ lim it:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =1 \frac{1}{4 K} d_{i} P\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
y & i
\end{array}\right) \operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i
\end{array}\right) \operatorname{tr}\left(t^{Y}\right. & \frac{y}{i}
\end{array}\right)+O \frac{1}{K^{2}} \\
& =1 \frac{2^{(i)}}{4 K \mathrm{~N}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{t}\right)+0 \frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}^{2}} \tag{7.31}
\end{align*}
$$

where by de nition ${ }_{2}^{(i)}={ }^{R} d_{i} P\left(\begin{array}{c}y \\ i \\ i\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr}_{\underset{i}{y}}^{i_{i}}$. Reexponentiating and integrating over t we obtain the desired result that P ( ) is proportional to $e^{\frac{N}{2} \operatorname{try}}$, w ith 2 given by 728).
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A ppendix : A N ote C oncerning the $\backslash$ Single R ing T heorem "

The herm itean $m$ atrix $y$ can always be diagonalized $y=U{ }^{2} U$ by a unitary $m$ atrix $U$, with ${ }^{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left({ }_{1}^{2} ;{ }_{2}^{2}\right.$; ${ }_{N}^{2}$ i); where the ${ }_{i}$ are all real. This implies that $=V^{Y} U$, w ith $V$ a unitary $m$ atrix as well. Thus, the com plex eigenvalues of are given by the roots of $\operatorname{det}(z \quad W)=0$, $w$ ith $W=U V^{y}$. Evidently, as W ranges over $\mathrm{U}(\mathbb{N})$, the eigenvalues of W could be sm eared (in the sense that they would not span narrow annuli around the circles $\dot{k} j=j i j$.) In Section 6, we proved (in the large $N \lim$ it) that for taken from the class ofensembles of the form $P(\mathrm{y})=(1=\mathrm{Z}) \exp [\mathrm{N} \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{y})]$ (Eq. 1.1) ), the eigenvalues can only span either a disk or an annulus. N e have veri ed that this is the case, explicitly for $\mathrm{N}=2$, and num erically for large $N$ (of the order of 100) and for som e range of ${ }^{2}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~W}$ e use the follow ing notational conventions: for $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+$ iy we de ne @ $\quad \frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{C} z}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{@}{@ x} \quad i \frac{\varrho}{@} \mathrm{y}$ so that $@ z=1$. Sim ilarly, we de ne @ $\quad \frac{\varrho}{@}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{@}{@ x}+i \frac{@}{@ y}$, so that @ $z=1$ and also @ $(1=z)=$
    ${ }^{(2)}(z) . F$ inally, we denote $\dot{k} j=r$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Speak ing colloquially, wem ay say that the crucialm aneuver here is that while we cannot expand in powers of $z$, we can arrange for $z$ to \hitch a ride" $w$ ith and at the end of the ride, throw aw ay.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Split the 2 N dim ensional quark multiplet into two N dimensional $\backslash$ avors", $=(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{d}) . \mathrm{Then}$ note that the \quark-quark-ghon" interaction is $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}} \quad 0 \quad=u^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{d}^{2}+d^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{u}}$, and use the fact that the ferm ion lines are directed, e.g. a vertex alw ays absorbs a d and em its a u. Then invoke \index dem ocracy".

[^3]:    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~N}$ am ely, m atri@es H w ith the block structure $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}} \quad 0 \quad$ :
    ${ }^{5}$ From this point on, unless otherw ise stated, our notation $w$ ill be such that $G \quad G(0 \quad i 0 ; r)$, $(0 ; r)$ and $G \quad G(z ; z)$. N ote in particular from 3.14) that $G$ is then pure im aginary, with Im G 0 .

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ H ere we assum $e$ for sim plicity that the eigenvalues of $y$ condense into a single segm ent [a;b].
    ${ }^{7}$ Thus, if $a>0$, inevitably $a_{1}=0$. H ow ever, if $a=0$, then $a_{1} \mathrm{w}$ ill be determ ined by the rst condition.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ In the G aussian case, $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{y}$, we have $2 \mathrm{~F}\left({ }^{2}\right)=\quad \mathrm{P} \overline{2} 4$, whence the roots of 4.21) are
    $=0 ; 1$ and $r^{2} . W$ e note that $=0$ is unphysical, $=r^{2}$ (i.e., $G=z$ ) corresponds to G inibre's disk 10], and $=1$ is the solution outside the disk.
    ${ }^{9}$ A ltematively, we can reach the sam e conclusion about (r) for r sm all by looking at G ${ }^{2}$. A ccording to 3.15), $G^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ust not vanish in som e neighborhood of the origin. N either can it diverge there. It thus follow sfrom 4.15) that ( $r$ ) $r^{2}$ near $r=0$.
    ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~b}$ is positive by de nition. The expression forb in 5.3) is the positive root (also form ${ }^{2}<0$ ) of the quadratic equation which determ ines b. b then determ ines c via $c=m^{2}+(g b=2)$.
    ${ }^{11}$ In deriving (5.5) we divided through by the trivial factor ( 1$)^{2}$ alluded to in 4.23).

[^6]:    ${ }^{12} \mathrm{~T}$ here is one extra such factor as com pared to the disk phase.

[^7]:    ${ }^{13}$ From the paragraph right below (424) it is clear that $w F(w)!0$ at $w=0$.

[^8]:    ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that there exists another com m only considered class of random $m$ atriges, in which the elem ent of the random $m$ atrioes is each taken from a probability distribution (the sam efor each elem ent). For this class, which is som etim es referred to as the $W$ igner distribution [G], the theorem follow s im m ediately from the usualabelian central lim it theorem. H ere we are speaking of the trace classes de ned by 721).

[^9]:    ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~T}$ his is indeed the case for herm itean random m atrices. For exam ple, frorm atriges in the W igner class, even when the distribution is not $G$ aussian, the corresponding density of eigenvalues still satis es the sem i-circle law, as is well known.

