# NON-GAUSSIAN NON-HERM ITEAN RANDOM MATRIX THEORY: phase transition and addition form alism

Joshua Feinberg<sup>a</sup> & A.Zee<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a)</sup> Institute for Theoretical Physics University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA <sup>b)</sup> Institute for Advanced Study

Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

#### Abstract

W e apply the recently introduced m ethod of herm itization to study in the large N limit non-hermitean random matrices that are drawn from a large class of circularly symmetric non-Gaussian probability distributions, thus extending the recent G aussian non-herm itean literature. W e develop the general form alism for calculating the G reen's function and averaged density of eigenvalues, which may be thought of as the non-herm itean analog of the method due to Brezin, Itzykson, Parisi and Zuber for analyzing herm itean non-Gaussian random matrices. We obtain an explicit algebraic equation for the integrated density of eigenvalues. A som ewhat surprising result of that equation is that the shape of the eigenvalue distribution in the complex plane is either a disk or an annulus. As a concrete example, we analyze the quartic ensemble and study the phase transition from a disk shaped eigenvalue distribution to an annular distribution. Finally, we apply the method of herm itization to develop the addition form alism for free non-herm itean random variables. We use this form alism to state and prove a non-abelian non-herm itean version of the central lim it theorem .

e-mail: joshua, zee@ itp.ucsb.edu

## 1 Introduction

There has been considerable interest in random non-herm itean matrices recently. Possible applications range over several areas of physics [1, 2]. One di culty is that the eigenvalues of non-herm itean matrices invade the complex plane, and consequently, various methods developed over the years to deal with random herm itean matrices are no longer applicable, as these methods typically all involve exploiting the powerful constraints of analytic function theory. (See in particular the paper by B rezin, Itzykson, Parisi, and Zuber[3].) In a recent paper[4], we proposed a m ethod of herm itization", whereby a problem involving random non-herm itean matrices can be reduced to a problem involving random herm itean matrices, to which various standard methods (such as the diagram matric method [5], or the renorm alization group" method [6, 7, 8, 9]) can be applied.

To our know ledge, the literature on random non-herm itean matrices [1, 2] has focussed exclusively on G aussian random ness. For instance, it has been known for over thirty years, from the work of G inibre [10], that for the G aussian probability distribution P () = (1=Z)exp ( N tr  $^{Y}$  ) (here, as in the rest of this paper, denotes an N N random matrix with the lim it N ! 1 understood), the density of eigenvalues of is uniform ly distributed over a disk of radius 1 in the complex plane. In this paper, we point out that using the method of herm itization we can determ ine the density of eigenvalues of probability distribution of the form

$$P() = \frac{1}{Z} e^{N \operatorname{tr} V(Y)}; \qquad (1.1)$$

where V is an arbitrary polynom ial of its argument. Indeed, by a simple trick, we show that we can obtain the desired density of eigenvalues with a minimal amount of work, by judiciously exploiting the existing literature on random herm itean matrices.

Due to the symmetry of P () under the transformation  $! e^{i}$ , the density of eigenvalues is obviously rotational invariant. We not that the class of probability distributions of the form (1.1) exhibits a universal behavior in the sense that whatever the polynomial V is, the shape of the eigenvalue distribution in the complex plane is always either a disk or an annulus.

In a certain sense, our work may be thought of as the analog of the work of B rezin et al. for random herm itean matrices [3]; they showed how the density of eigenvalues of herm itean matrices ' taken from the probability distribution P (') =  $(1=Z)\exp[N \text{ tr}V(')]$  with V an arbitrary polynom ial can be determ ined, and not just for the G aussian case studied by W igner and others[11], in which V =  $(1=2)\text{tr}'^2$ . An in portant simplifying feature of the analysis in [3] is that P (') depends only on the eigenvalues of ', and not on the unitary matrix that diagonalizes it. In contrast, the probability distribution (1.1) for non-herm itean matrices depends explicitly on the G L (N) matrix S used to diagonalize = S<sup>-1</sup> S, and S does not decouple. Remarkably however, for the G aussian P (), G inibre [10] managed to integrate over S explicitly and derived an explicit expression for the probability distribution of the eigenvalues of . Unfortunately, it is not clear how to integrate over S and derive the expression for the eigenvalue probability distribution for non-G aussian distributions of the form (1.1). In this paper we circum vent this di culty by using the method of herm itization.

As an explicit application of our method, we study the case V ( $^{y}$ ) = m<sup>2</sup>  $^{y}$  +  $\frac{g}{2}$ ( $^{y}$ )<sup>2</sup> in detail. For m<sup>2</sup> positive, we expect we would get a disk-like distribution generalizing G inibre's work. As we make m<sup>2</sup>  $^{2}$  m ore and m ore negative, we expect a phase transition at some critical value  $^{2}_{c}$ , after which we might in agine the disk fragmenting into an annulus. Indeed, we not the critical value

$$r_{c}^{2} = \frac{q}{2g}$$
:

We also calculate the density of eigenvalues inside the annulus in detail.

The problem of adding random herm itean matrices has been much discussed in the recent literature [12, 5, 13, 14, 15, 16]. In particular, a Feynm an diagram matic proof of the form alism, written in terms of the so-called B lue's function, was given in [14]. In a series of very interesting papers [2], Zahed and his collaborators have extended the addition form alism to random non-herm itean matrices. It turns out that the form alism we used above to determ ine the density of eigenvalues of random non-

herm itean matrices can be naturally used to study the addition form alism. Thus, we obtain, in a way which we naturally think is quite transparent, the addition form alism for random non-herm itean matrices, thus reproducing the result of Zahed et al. We use this addition form alism to state and prove a non-abelian non-herm itean version of the central lim it theorem.

## 2 The Two D im ensional G as of E igenvalues

A siswell known, the D yson gas approach represents one of the most powerful methods in analyzing hermitean random matrices. Diagonalizing a hermitean matrix =  $S^{y} S$  one writes

For non-herm itean random matrices, one can diagonalize  $= S^{-1} S$  and write

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & y & 1 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y &$$

The fourth power in  $\begin{pmatrix} i & j \end{pmatrix}$  here, in contrast to the second power in (2.1), is easily understood by dimensional analysis: a non-herm itean complex matrix has twice as many real variables as a herm itean matrix. The important dimension between the herm itean and the non-herm itean cases is already apparent in the Gaussian case considered by G inibre. The probability distribution

$$P(Y) = \frac{1}{Z}e^{N \operatorname{tr} Y} = \frac{1}{Z}e^{N \operatorname{tr} [SSY (SSY)^{1}]}$$
(2.3)

depends on S. In the case of herm itean matrices, S would be unitary and hence disappear from (2.3) entirely. Here, however, one must integrate over S. A fler som e work, G inibre [10] showed that

and was thus able to proceed with the gas approach. Once again, the problem reduces to the statistical mechanics of a gas, this time in two dimensions, with a logarithm ic repulsion between the gas molecules and conned by a harmonic potential. Following Brezin et al. [3] in evaluating the integral by steepest descent, we obtain

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} z \\ d^2 y \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}$$

Making an analogy with two dimensional electrostatics mentioned, the solution of this equation is immediate: is equal to 1= inside a disk of radius 1 centered at the origin, and vanishes outside the disk.

We see however, that had we been faced with a more complicated probability distribution, of the form P () =  $\frac{1}{z}e^{N \operatorname{tr} V(\frac{y}{z})} = \frac{1}{z}e^{N \operatorname{tr} V[SS^{y} (SS^{y})^{-1}]}$ , we do not know how to carry out the integration over S. In this paper we circum vent this di culty and present an alternative way of calculating the density of eigenvalues of

, based on the method of herm itization. It is an interesting challenge to obtain our results presented below using the gas method, and we conjecture to that end, that in the non-G aussian case there should be a closed form expression for the integral over S that is analogous to (2.4).

## 3 The M ethod of H erm itization

Here we brie y review the discussion in our recent paper[4]. The averaged density of eigenvalues

$$(x;y) = h \frac{1}{N} \frac{x}{i}$$
 (x Re<sub>i</sub>) (y Im<sub>i</sub>)i (3.1)

of the non-herm itean matrix , may be determined from the following two alternative quantities. The rst quantity is

$$F(z;z) = h \frac{1}{N} tr \log (z) (z) (z)$$
 (3.2)

in term s of w hich<sup>1</sup>

$$(x;y) = \frac{1}{2}@@F(z;z):$$
 (3.3)

The other quantity is the G reen's function associated with  $\$  , namely

G (z;z) = 
$$h \frac{1}{N} tr \frac{1}{z} i = d^2 x^0 \frac{(x^0; y^0)}{z z^0};$$
 (3.4)

in term s of which

$$(x;y) = \frac{1}{-} (0 G (z;z))$$
: (3.5)

The probability distributions (1.1) studied in this paper are invariant under  $\ ! \ e^i$  , rendering

$$(x;y)$$
  $(r)=2$  (3.6)

circularly invariant. Rotational invariance thus leads to a simpler form of the dening form ula (3.4) for G (z;z) which reads

(r) 
$$zG(z;z) = \int_{0}^{2^{r}} r^{0} dr^{0}(r^{0});$$
 (3.7)

whence

$$(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}} : \tag{3.8}$$

<sup>1</sup>W e use the following notational conventions: for z = x + iy we de ne  $\begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ \theta z \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ \theta x \end{pmatrix} \quad i \frac{\theta}{\theta y}$ so that  $\theta z = 1$ . Sim ilarly, we de ne  $\theta \quad \begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ \theta z \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ \theta x \end{pmatrix} + i \frac{\theta}{\theta y}$ , so that  $\theta z = 1$  and also  $\theta$  (1=z) = <sup>(2)</sup>(z). Finally, we denote jzj = r. C learly, the quantity (r) is a positive monotonically increasing function, which satisfies the obvious  $\sum u = u$ 

$$(0) = 0$$
 and  $(1) = 1$ : (3.9)

In particular, observe that the rst condition in (3.9) insures that no (x) (y) spike arises in (x;y) when calculating it from (3.5) with G (z;z) given by (3.7), as it should be.

We have mentioned in the introduction that a straightforward diagrammatic method is not allowed for non-hermitean matrices. The method of hermitization [4] enables us to arrive at a diagrammatic method indirectly by reducing the problem of determining the eigenvalue density of random non-hermitean matrices to the more elementary problem of determining the eigenvalue density of random hermitean matrices (for which the diagrammatic method may be applied.) To make the paper self-contained, we summarize the method of hermitization here in the form of an algorithm.

Given a set of random non-hermitean N N matrices , construct the auxiliary set of random hermitean 2N 2N matrices

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 0 & & & z \\ B & & & C \\ & & & A \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.10)

Then one applies one's favorite m ethod of herm itean random m atrix theory to calculate the propagator associated with H , nam ely,

G (;z;z) = h 
$$\frac{1}{H}$$
; (3.11)

ī

where is a complex variable and the indices and run over all possible 2N values. In particular, in the diagram matic method, one simply expands G in powers of 1 =, with interaction vertices H. This is a well de ned procedure for large , and it converges to a function which is analytic in the complex plane, except for the cut (or cuts) along the real axis which contain the eigenvalues of H. A fler sum ming this series (and thus determ ining G (;z;z) in closed form), we are allowed to set ! = 0 in (3.11).<sup>2</sup>

As mentioned, we can now calculate (x;y) by two different methods, each with its advantages. The rst is to simply observe that

$$\frac{1}{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{z} & y \\ 0 & & & C \\ 0 & & & A \end{bmatrix};$$

from which it follows that

$$G(z;z) = \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr}_{(2N)} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1_{N} \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \qquad G(0;z;z); \qquad (3.12)$$

enabling us to use (3.5) to express (x;y). This observation is the basis for m any of the calculations in [2].

An alternative is to take the trace of G :

$$G(;z;z) = \frac{1}{2N} htr_{(2N)} - \frac{1}{H} i = \frac{1}{N} htr_{(N)} - \frac{1}{2(z-y)(z-y)} i; \quad (3.13)$$

from which one can construct an integral representation [4] of (3.2), thus enabling us to use (3.3) to determ ine (x;y). The determ ination of G(;z;z) involves only the well-known and more elementary problem of determ ining the density of eigenvalues of the herm itean random matrices H, which is the essence of our method of herm itization.

Observe from (3.13) that

$$G(0 \quad i0;z;z) = \frac{i}{N} htr_{(N)} \quad (z \quad y)(z) \quad i \quad (3.14)$$

$$G(0 \quad i0;z;z) = 0;$$
 (3.15)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Speaking colloquially, we may say that the crucial maneuver here is that while we cannot expand in powers of z, we can arrange for z to hitch a ride" with and at the end of the ride, throw away.

independently of the large N limit. In particular, the boundaries of the eigenvalue distribution of in the large N limit, are the curve (or curves) in the complex plane which separate regions where G(0;z;z) = 0 from regions where G(0;z;z) = 0. As a matter of fact, one can infer the location of these boundaries even without an explicit know ledge of G(0;z;z) and G(z;z), by investigating the \gap equation" for G(0;z;z), namely, the trace of both sides of (3.11), and then setting = 0. The \gap equation" is a polynom ial in G with real coe cients (which depend on z explicitly as well as through G(z;z), and the parameters of the potential V.) Due to the chiral nature of H, this polynom ial contains a trivial factor of G(0;z;z) which we immediately factor out. Setting G = 0 in the remaining factor we obtain an equation for the boundary. This is discussed in detail in [4].

To sum marize, the method of herm itization allows us to reduce the problem of dealing with random non-herm itean matrices to the well-studied problem of dealing with random herm itean matrices. Given the non-herm itean matrix , we study the herm itean matrix H instead. By whatever method one prefers, once one has determined the quark propagator G (or its trace, the Green's function G(;z;z)), one can in principle obtain (x;y). Whether that can be done in practice is of course another story.

It is worth emphasizing that the formalism described here has nothing to do with large N as such. The formalism is also totally independent of the form of the probability distribution P (;  $^{y}$ ).

9

### 4 Non-Gaussian Ensembles

O ur task in this paper is to solve them ore problem of determ ining the G reen's function and the averaged eigenvalue density of a non-herm itean random matrix . Here we show that by applying a simple trick, we can obtain the desired density of eigenvalues with a minim al amount of work, by judiciously exploiting the existing literature on random herm itean matrices.

In this section we will follow a common practice and borrow some term inology from quantum chromodynamics: we may consider ; y as \gluons" (in zero space-time dimensions), which interact with a 2N dimensional multiplet of \quarks", with a complex mass matrix (the \inverse propagator")

$$G_0^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} B & & z \\ B & & Z \\ & & Z \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(4.1)$$

(expressed in terms of its N N blocks.) The matrix G reen's function G = h(1=(H)) i; in (3.11), which represents the quark propagator, is given by the D yson-Schwinger equation in terms of the one-quark irreducible self energy :

$$G = \frac{1}{G_0^{1}};$$
 (4.2)

W e emphasize that this equation always holds, and practically amounts to the de nition of the one-particle irreducible self energy. For probability distributions of the form P () =  $(1=Z)e^{N \operatorname{tr} V(\frac{y}{2})}$  in (1.1) it is easy to see that<sup>3</sup>

$$=$$
 (;r) (4.3)

(where we recall that r = jzj.) Note that depends on r and not separately on z and z because it may be expanded as an in nite sum of traces of  $G_0$ , each term of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Split the 2N dimensional quark multiplet into two N dimensional \ avors", = (u;d). Then note that the \quark-quark-gluon" interaction is  $\begin{array}{c} y \\ y \\ 0 \end{array} = u^{y} d + d^{y} \begin{array}{c} y \\ y \\ 0 \end{array} = u^{y} d + d^{y} \begin{array}{c} y \\ y \\ 0 \end{array}$  that the ferm ion lines are directed, e.g. a vertex always absorbs a d and em its a u. Then invoke \index dem ocracy".

which is a function only of and r. Thus,

$$G = \frac{1}{r^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ B & Z \\ C & A \end{pmatrix}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ C \\ C & A \end{pmatrix}^{2} (4.4)$$

leading to

G 
$$\frac{1}{2N}^{X}$$
 G =  $\frac{1}{r^{2}}$  (4.5)

The important point is that in the large N limit, the one-quark irreducible self energy can be written in term softhe cumulants  $_{2k}$  of P () = (1=Z)e <sup>N trV (Y)</sup> (Eq. (1.1)), namely, the connected correlators involving k 's and 'y's. D iagram matically speaking, the  $_{2k}$  may be thought of as a \blob" out of which emanate k 's and 'y's, which cannot be separated into two smaller blobs, with  $k_1$  's and 'y's in one blob and  $k_2$  's and 'y's in the other (with  $k_1 + k_2 = k$  of course.) We then obtain

$$(;r) = \sum_{k=1}^{k^2} [G(;r)]^{2k-1}; \qquad (4.6)$$

in a fashion similar to the calculation in [14]. Recall also that for the Gaussian ensemble only the variance  $_2 \notin 0$ , and thus simply =  $_2$ G.

If we knew in terms of G, then we can solve for G and hence the density of eigenvalues. We are thus faced with the problem of determining the  $_{2k}$ 's which appears to be a rather dicult task.

It is in portant to note that the  $_{2k}$  in (4.6) depend only on the probability distribution P () shown above and not on the particular quantity we average over. Consider the problem of determining the eigenvalue density of the hermitean matrix <sup>y</sup>. We would study the G reen's function

$$F(w) = h_{N}^{1} tr_{(N)} \frac{1}{w} \frac{1}{y} i \int_{0}^{Z^{1}} \frac{\sim ()d}{w}; \qquad (4.7)$$

where  $\sim$  () is the averaged eigenvalue density of  $^{y}$ . We see from (3.13) that this G reen's function is related to G (; r = 0) simply through

$$G(r = 0) = F(2)$$
: (4.8)

A lso, for z = 0 we have from (4.5)

$$(;0) = G^{-1}(;0):$$
 (4.9)

The crucial observation is that F (w) is already known in the literature on chiral and rectangular block random herm itean matrices<sup>4</sup> for the Gaussian distribution [15, 9, 17, 18], as well as for non-Gaussian probability distributions of the form (1.1) with an arbitrary polynom ial potential V ( $^{y}$ )) [19, 20]. In fact, the authors of [19, 20] simply calculated the diagonal elements of the propagator G (;0) using D yson gas techniques (so the coe cients  $_{2k}$  are only in plicit in these papers.)

The contents of (4.6), (4.8) and (4.9) m ay thus be summarized as follows: there is a unique function a () which behaves like 1= as tends to in nity, is regular at = 0, and satisfies the equation  $a^{1} = {P \atop k=1}^{P} {1 \atop k=1} {2k} a^{2k-1}$ . This function is

$$a() = F(^2) \quad G(;0):$$
 (4.10)

W e, on the other hand, are interested in the opposite case, where  $= 0; r = j; j \in 0.$  Consider the matrix G (0 i0; z; z). Due to \ color index dem ocracy", its N N blocks are all proportional to the unit matrix  $1_N$ , and we see that

$$G (0 \quad i0;z;z) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & G & 1 \\ G & G & C \\ B & A & i \end{bmatrix} (4.11)$$
  

$$G \quad G \quad G$$

where G (0 i0; r) is given in (3.14) and the complex G reen's function G (z; z) was dened <sup>5</sup> in (3.12). Inverting the propagator in (4.11) and using (4.1) (with = 0) and (4.2), we obtain the two equations

$$z = \frac{G}{jG f G^2}$$
(4.12)

and

$$= \frac{G}{\frac{1}{3}G_{1}^{2}G^{2}}: \qquad (4.13)$$

<sup>4</sup>N amely, matrices H with the block structure H =  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>From this point on, unless otherwise stated, our notation will be such that G G (0 i0;r), (0;r) and G G (z;z ). Note in particular from (3.14) that G is then pure imaginary, with Im G 0.

Note from (4.12) that if G = 0, then G = 1=z, which corresponds to the region outside the dom ain of the eigenvalue distribution [2, 4]. In addition, using (4.12) twice, we obtain

$$zG = \frac{jG f}{jG f G^2} = (jG f G^2) r^2$$
: (4.14)

Thus, due to the fact that G is pure in aginary (see (3.14)) we conclude that zG is always real and non-negative. A Itematively, we can write

$$G^2 = \frac{zG (zG 1)}{r^2};$$
 (4.15)

and therefore from the fact that  $G^2 = 0$  we conclude that 0 = 1, in accordance with the monotonicity of (r) and the sum-rules (0) = 0 and (1) = 1 in (3.9).

Let us now de ne the pure in aginary quantity

$$\frac{1}{G} + = \frac{1}{G} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} 2^{k} G^{2k-1}; \qquad (4.16)$$

where the last equality follows from (4.6) (with = 0). Using (4.12) and (4.13) we thus have simply

$$=\frac{zG}{G}:$$
(4.17)

It then follows from (4.10) and the statement preceding that equation, and from (4.17) that

$$F(^2) = G = \frac{zG}{2}$$
: (4.18)

We have thus elim insted the  $_{2k}$  !

From (4.15) and from (4.17) we determ ine = =G to be

$$^{2} = ---_{1} r^{2}$$
: (4.19)

Note that  $2^{\circ}$  0, consistent with the bounds 0 1. Com paring (4.18) and (4.8) we obtain the remarkable relation

$$G(;0) = G(0;r);$$
 (4.20)

for and r that are related by (4.19) (with im aginary.)

We now have the desired equation for zG: substituting (4.19) into (4.18) we obtain " ! #

$$r^2 F - \frac{r^2}{1} + 1 = 0$$
: (4.21)

Thus, given F we can solve for (r) using this equation.

From (4.7) we observe that for w large we may expand

F (w) = 
$$\frac{1}{w} + \frac{1}{w^2} f$$
 (w); (4.22)

where  $f(w) = f_0 + (f_1 = w) + \dots$ . Substituting  $w^2 = r^2 = (1)$  into (4.22) and letting tend to 1, (4.21) becomes

$$(1)^{2}$$
  $\frac{f(w)}{r^{2}}$   $\frac{t}{1}$ ; (4.23)

and thus = 1, which corresponds to the region outside the eigenvalue distribution, is always a double root of (4.21).

W e w ill now explain how the G reen's function F (w) is obtained in the literature [9, 19, 20]. Let V be a polynom ial of degree p. From the de nition of F (w) in (4.7) and its analyticity property, we expect F, following the arguments of B rezinet al, to have the form <sup>6</sup>

$$F(w) = \frac{1}{2} V^{0}(w) P(w)^{q} (w a) (w b); \qquad (4.24)$$

where

$$P(w) = \int_{k=1}^{k} a_k w^k :$$
 (4.25)

The constants 0 a < b and  $a_k$  are then determ ined completely by the requirement that F (w) !  $\frac{1}{w}$  as w tends to in nity, and by the condition that F (w) has at most an integrable singularity as w ! 0.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>H ere we assume for simplicity that the eigenvalues of <sup>y</sup> condense into a single segment [a;b]. <sup>7</sup>Thus, if a > 0, inevitably  $a_1 = 0$ . However, if a = 0, then  $a_1$  will be determined by the rst

condition.

### 5 Phase Transitions in the Quartic Ensemble

Having determ ined F (w) in this way, we substitute it into (4.21) and nd G (z;z). We can thus calculate the density of eigenvalues explicitly for an arbitrary V. For the sake of simplicity we now focus on the quartic potential<sup>6</sup>

$$V(^{y}) = 2m^{2} + q(^{y})^{2}$$
(5.1)

#### 5.1 The Disk Phase

For m<sup>2</sup> not too negative, which as we shall see translates here into m<sup>2</sup> >  $p \overline{2g}$ , we expect the density of eigenvalues to be a disk. In that case we expect that (0) > 0, as in G inibre's case. Thus, from (3.8) (r) = (1=r) (d =dr) and from the rst sum rule (0) = 0 in (3.9) we conclude that  $p (r) r^2$  near r = 0. Therefore, for r sm all, (4.21) yields F ( $r^4$ )  $1=r^2$ , namely, F (w)  $1=\frac{p}{w}$  for w = 0, as we could have anticipated from G inibre's case. This means that a = 0 in (4.24), and therefore,

$$F(w) = m^{2} + gw \qquad \frac{a_{1}}{w} + a_{0} \qquad w(w \quad b):$$
 (5.2)

From the asymptotic behavior of F (w) we then  $obtain^{10}$ 

$$a_0 = g; c a_1 = \frac{2m^2 + pm^4 + 6g}{3}; and b = \frac{2m^2 + 2pm^4 + 6g}{3g}; (5.3)$$

For later convenience we record here that

$$bc^{2} = 2 \frac{(m^{4} + 6g)^{3=2} m^{2} (m^{4} - 18g)}{27g};$$
 (5.4)

Substituting (5.2) and (5.3) into (4.21) we arrive<sup>11</sup> at

$$\frac{2}{1+2(m^2r^2+gr^4)} + bc^2r^2 = 0$$
 (5.5)

<sup>9</sup>A lternatively, we can reach the same conclusion about (r) for r sm all by looking at  $G^2$ . A ccording to (3.15),  $G^2$  must not vanish in some neighborhood of the origin. Neither can it diverge there. It thus follows from (4.15) that (r)  $r^2$  near r = 0.

<sup>10</sup>b is positive by de nition. The expression for b in (5.3) is the positive root (also for  $m^2 < 0$ ) of the quadratic equation which determ ines b. b then determ ines c via  $c = m^2 + (qb=2)$ .

<sup>11</sup>In deriving (5.5) we divided through by the trivial factor  $(1)^2$  alluded to in (4.23).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>In the Gaussian case,  $V = \frac{y}{y}$ , we have 2 F (<sup>2</sup>) =  $\frac{P}{2} \frac{2}{4}$ , whence the roots of (4.21) are = 0;1 and  $r^2$ . We note that = 0 is unphysical, =  $r^2$  (i.e., G = z) corresponds to G inibre's disk [10], and = 1 is the solution outside the disk.

with roots

$$(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \ 1 + 2 \,(\mathrm{m}^2 \mathbf{r}^2 + \mathrm{gr}^4) \qquad q = \frac{1}{[1 + 2 \,(\mathrm{m}^2 \mathbf{r}^2 + \mathrm{gr}^4)]^2} \ 4\mathrm{bc}^2 \mathbf{r}^2 \qquad (5.6)$$

Let us assume that  $m^2 > p_{\overline{2g}}$ . Under this condition the the discriminant of (5.5) is non-negative, and contains a factor  $(r^2 \ b=4)^2$ . The root of (5.5) which satisfies the condition (0) = 0 (see (3.9)) is (r) (whereas  $_+$  (0) = 1.) However, a subtlety arises, since (r) is monotonically increasing (as it should be, by denition) only for  $r^2 < b=4$ . The root  $_+$  (r) increases monotonically only for  $r^2 > b=4$ . Due to the factor  $(r^2 \ b=4)^2$  in the discriminant of (5.5), we observe that  $\binom{p}{b}=2 = \binom{p}{+} \binom{p}{b}=2+$ , and thus  $_+$  and may be glued smoothly into a single monotonically increasing function  $(r)_{disk}$  as

$$(\mathbf{r})_{disk} = \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbf{r}) & ; & \mathbf{r}^2 < \mathbf{b} = 4 \\ + (\mathbf{r}) & ; & \mathbf{r}^2 > \mathbf{b} = 4 : \end{cases}$$
 (5.7)

The eigenvalue density is then given by (3.8) as

$$(x;y) = m^{2} + 2gr^{2} + [sgn(\frac{b}{4} r^{2})] \frac{bc^{2}}{q} \frac{(m^{2} + 2gr^{2})[1 + 2(m^{2}r^{2} + gr^{4})]}{[1 + 2(m^{2}r^{2} + gr^{4})]^{2} 4bc^{2}r^{2}} : (5.8)$$

In particular, note that  $(0;0) = bc^2 = 0$ .

W enow determ ine the radius of the disk  $r_b$ , namely, the boundary of the eigenvalue distribution. In this case there is only one boundary, and thus the r dependent solution of (4.21) increases monotonically from (0) = 0 to  $(r_b) = 1$ , since all the eigenvalues are included within r  $r_b$ . Thus, setting (r) = 1 in (5.5) and using (5.4) we obtain

$$r_{b}^{2} = (bc^{2} - 2m^{2}) = 2g = 2 \frac{(m^{4} + 6g)^{3=2} - m^{2} (m^{4} + 9g)}{27g}$$
: (5.9)

It is possible to show that  $r_b^2 > b=4$ . We thus nd that the density at the boundary is

$${}_{\rm b} = 2r_{\rm b}^2 + \frac{2m^2}{g} - \frac{1}{2gr_{\rm b}^2}^{\# 1}$$
(5.10)

which can be shown to be always positive.

In the limit g ! 0 (with m<sup>2</sup> > 0, of course) c tends to m<sup>2</sup> and both  $r_b^2$  and b=4 tend to 1=(2m<sup>2</sup>). Therefore, (5.7) simplifies into

$$(\mathbf{r})_{disk} = \begin{pmatrix} 2m^2r^2 ; r^2 < 1 = (2m^2) \\ 1 ; r^2 > 1 = (2m^2) : \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, from (3.8) (or by taking g ! 0 in (5.8)) we recover G in ibre's uniform density  $(x;y) = 2m^2 =$  inside a disk of radius  $1 = (2m^2)$ , consistent with the g ! 0 lim it of (5.1).

In the strong coupling limit  $g=m^4 >> 1$  we observe that  $c = \frac{q}{2g=3}$  and  $b = \frac{q}{8=3g}$ . Therefore, in this limit the disk shrinks like  $r_b^2 = \frac{q}{8=27g}$ , which means that the density should blow up like  $1=r_b^2$ . We not indeed that in this limit  $_b = (12=5)^p \overline{6g}$ . We also not that in this limit (0;0)  $(2=)(2=3)^{3=2}p \overline{g}$  (5=27)  $_b < _b$ , and the density grows as r increases.

To sum marize, for  $m^2 > \frac{p}{2g}$ , the (r) corresponding to the ensemble (5.1) in the disk phase is thus given by (5.7) for  $r_b$  and is equal to one for  $r > r_b$ .

When m<sup>2</sup> becomes too negative, that is, for m<sup>2</sup> <  $p \overline{2g}$ , the discriminant of (5.5) becomes negative for some range of values of r, rendering (r) complex, which is unacceptable. This signals that the disk phase becomes unstable, and the system s undergoes a phase transition, as we discuss in the next section. The boundary of the disk phase in the m<sup>2</sup> g plane is thus given by m<sup>2</sup> =  $p \overline{2g}$ . Consider approaching this boundary from within the disk phase by setting m<sup>2</sup> =  $p \overline{2g}$ , with positive and small. Then, using (5.3), we not to rst order in that c = -2 and  $b = 2 \frac{q}{(2-g)} = -g$ . In particular, at the phase boundary itself c = 0. Consequently, at the phase boundary (5.8) simplifies into

$$(x;y) = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 2^{p} \frac{p}{2g} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2gr^{2} \end{pmatrix}; r^{2} < 1 = p^{2} \frac{2g}{2g} \\ r^{2} \frac{p}{2g} < r^{2} < r^{2} \\ r^{2} \frac{p}{2g} \\ r^{2} \frac{p}{2g}$$

where  $r_b^2 = \frac{q}{2-g}$  from (5.9). Thus, as we decrease to zero, (x;y) becomes increasingly depleted inside the disk  $r^2 < b()=4$ , reaching complete depletion at = 0, at which point the disk breaks into an annulus. Finally, for later reference, let us note that at the phase boundary (5.2) reads

$$F(w) = 2g + gw g^{t} w w 2 - \frac{2}{g};$$
 (5.12)

### 5.2 The Annular Phase

We saw that at the phase boundary  $m^2 = \frac{p}{2g}$  the disk conguration of the previous sub-section broke into an annulus. We thus expect that for  $m^2 < \frac{p}{2g}$  the stable eigenvalue distribution would be annular.

For convenience, let us switch notations according to  $m^2 = \frac{2}{r}$ , and also write  $\frac{2}{r} = \frac{p}{2g}$ .

We denote the radii of the annulus boundaries by  $r_1 < r_2$ . In the inner void, r <  $r_1$ , we clearly have (r) 0. Thus, (4.21) and (4.24) in ply a  $\frac{p}{1}$  ab = 0. The annulus must therefore arise for a  $\frac{1}{1} = 0$  (the other possible solution a = 0; a  $\frac{1}{1} \neq 0$ leads to a disk con guration with = 0 only at r = 0, as we discussed in the previous sub-section.) Therefore,

$$F(w) = m^{2} + gw a_{0} (w a) (w b)$$
: (5.13)

From the asymptotic behavior of F (w) we then obtain

$$a_0 = g; a = \frac{2}{g} \quad \frac{s}{\frac{2}{g}}; and b = \frac{2}{g} + \frac{s}{\frac{2}{g}};$$
 (5.14)

We see that  $a = (2 = \frac{4}{c})(2 = \frac{2}{c})$  which is positive for  $2 > \frac{2}{c}$ , as it should be, by denition.

We now determ ine (r) inside the annulus. Substituting (5.13) and (5.14) into (4.21) and factoring out all the factors  $^{12}$  of ( 1), we obtain a linear equation for and nd

$$(\mathbf{r})_{annulus} = 1 \quad 2^{2}\mathbf{r}^{2} + 2g\mathbf{r}^{4};$$
 (5.15)

which must correspond to the region inside the annulus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>There is one extra such factor as compared to the disk phase.

The (r) con guration (5.15) has to match continuously to = 0 at  $r = r_1$  and to = 1 at  $r = r_2$ . From the matching at  $r = r_2$  we determine that

$$r_2^2 = \frac{2}{g} = \frac{2}{\frac{4}{c}}^2 = \frac{2}{\frac{4}{c}}^2$$
 (5.16)

The matching at  $r = r_1$  yields a quadratic equation for  $r_1^2$  with roots

$$\frac{2}{2g} \frac{p}{4} \frac{2g}{2g} = \frac{2}{\frac{q}{4} \frac{4}{4} \frac{4}{c}}{\frac{q}{c}};$$
(5.17)

both of which are smaller than the expression in (5.16). In order to determ ine which of these roots corresponds to  $r_1^2$  we recall that (r) must interpolate monotonically between its two boundary values, namely, that  ${}^0(r) > 0$  for  $r_1 < r < r_2$ , which is nothing but the statement that (r) > 0. Substituting (5.15) in (3.8) we nd

$$(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = \frac{4\mathbf{g}}{\mathbf{r}^2} \quad \mathbf{r}^2 \quad \frac{2}{\frac{4}{c}} = \frac{2}{\frac{2}{c}} \quad \frac{2}{c} \mathbf{r}^2 \quad \frac{2}{\frac{2}{c}}; \quad \mathbf{r}_1 < \mathbf{r} < \mathbf{r}_2: \quad (5.18)$$

Note that (r) increases m onotonically. The correct norm alization of (5.18) is guaranteed by  $(r_2) = 1$ , as can be checked explicitly. Finally, positivity of (5.18) determ ines  $r_1^2$  in (5.17) as

$$r_1^2 = \frac{2 + \frac{q}{4} + \frac{q}{c}}{\frac{q}{c}} :$$
 (5.19)

Note that  $r_1^2 = 1 = \frac{2}{c} = 1 = \frac{p}{2g} at^2 = \frac{2}{c}$ , which coincides with the radius (squared) of the depleted region in the disk con guration (5.11). Also, at  $2 = \frac{2}{c}$  we have  $r_2^2 = 2 = \frac{2}{c} = \frac{q}{2=g}$ , which coincides with the disk radius squared  $r_b^2$  in (5.9) at the phase boundary. Thus, at the phase boundary  $2 = \frac{2}{c}$  (5.18) coincides with (5.11), nam ely, (x;y) is continuous at the transition from the disk phase to the annular phase.

Consider approaching the phase boundary  $m^2 = p \overline{2g}$  from within the annular phase by setting  $2 = p \overline{2g} + q$ , with positive and small. Then, since all the expressions in (5.14) are linear in  $2^{2}$ , we not that a = -g and  $b = 2^{2} \overline{(2-g)} + -g$ . Thus, at the phase boundary itself a = 0, and  $b = 1 = 2^{2} \overline{2g}$ . Therefore, at the phase boundary (5.13) reads

$$F(w) = \frac{q}{2g} + gw g^{t} w w 2 - \frac{2}{g}; \qquad (5.20)$$

which coincides with (5.12). Thus, F (w) (and consequently, the eigenvalue density of  $^{\rm y}$  ) is also continuous at the transition.

It is amusing to note that the various expressions we encountered in this subsection are much simpler than their counterparts in the disk phase.

# 6 Boundaries and Shape Universality of the Eigenvalue Distribution: the Single R ing Theorem

In the previous section we analyzed the probability distribution (1.1) with a quartic potential V ( $^{y}$ ) = m<sup>2</sup>  $^{y}$  +  $\frac{g}{2}$  ( $^{y}$ )<sup>2</sup>. We obtained circularly symmetric eigenvalue distributions: a disk (5.8) and an annulus (5.18). Making m<sup>2</sup> negative enough drove a phase transition from the disk con guration to the annulus con guration.

This state of a airs obviously generalizes. In this class of models, the domain of the eigenvalue distribution is always circularly symmetric, due to the rotational invariance of the probability distribution (1.1). Consider a potential V ( $^{y}$ ) with several wells or minima. For deep enough wells, we expect the eigenvalues of  $^{y}$  to  $\arrow \$  fall into the wells". Thus, one might suppose that the eigenvalue distribution of to be bounded by a set of concentric circles of radii 0  $r_1 < r_2 < \int_{max} r_x$ , separating annular regions on which (r) > 0 from voids (annuli in which (r) = 0.) A priori, it is natural to assume that the maximal number of such circular boundaries should grow with the degree of V, because V may then have many deep minima.

Remarkably, however, we prove below that the number of these boundaries is two at the most. To reconcile this conclusion with the a priori expectation just mentioned, we note that while the eigenvalues of the herm itean matrix y may split into several disjoint segments along the positive realaxis, this does not necessarily constrains the eigenvalues of itself to condense into annuli. This statement is made more precise in the Appendix.

We call this result the \Single R ing Theorem ." To prove it, let us assume for the moment that there are n boundaries. It is easy to see from (3.7), the dening equation of (r), that in the annular void  $r_k < r < r_{k+1}$  in the eigenvalue distribution, is a constant which is equal to the fraction of eigenvalues contained inside the disk r  $r_k$ . Thus, the equation to determine (Eq. (4.21) which we repeat here for convenience)

$$r^{2} F - \frac{r^{2}}{1} + 1 = 0$$
 (6.1)

must have a series of monotonically increasing constant solutions  $_1 < _2 < 1$ ,

which correspond to the various voids.

In particular, from (3.9) we have = 1 for  $r > r_{n_{max}}$ , namely, G = 1=z. Thus, = 1 must be a solution of (6.1), the maximal allowed constant solution. It is straightforward to see that = 1 is indeed a solution, because we know that

$$F \quad --- 1 \quad r^2 \quad -\frac{1}{r^2}$$

as tends to 1. Also, if  $r_1 > 0$ , namely, if there is a hole at the center of the eigenvalue distribution, then for r  $r_1$  we must have = 0 (independently of r), which is obviously a solution<sup>13</sup> of (6.1). (On the other hand, if  $r_1 = 0$ , so that the eigenvalue distribution includes the origin, an r independent solution = 0 is of course only a spurious solution which should be discarded.)

A sum e now that  $= _0$  is an r independent solution of (6.1). Taking the derivative of (6.1) with respect to  $r^2$  at  $_0$  we obtain

$$\frac{0}{10} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{dF}{d^2} = 0; \qquad (6.2)$$

which is the condition for the existence of an r independent solution  $_0$ . Thus, there are two possibilities: either  $_0 = 0$ , which we already encountered, or F ( $^2$ ) +  $^2\frac{dF}{d^2} = 0$ . This equation immediately yields

$$F(^{2}) = \frac{1}{2};$$
 (6.3)

where the integration constant is xed by the asymptotic behavior of F ( $^2$ ) as ! 1. But for a generic V ( $^{y}$ ), F (w) is given by (Eq. (4.7))

$$F(w) = h \frac{1}{N} tr_{(N)} \frac{1}{w} \frac{1}{y} i \int_{0}^{Z^{2}} \frac{()d}{w} ;$$

with (6.3) being the asymptotic behavior of F (w) as  $w = {}^{2}$ ! 1. We thus conclude that  ${}^{2}$ ! 1 in (6.3), namely, that  ${}_{0}$  = 1. Thus, to summarize, the only possible r independent solutions of (4.21) are = 0 and = 1, which we already discussed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>From the paragraph right below (4.24) it is clear that w F (w) ! 0 at w = 0.

Since no other r independent solutions arise, there can be no m ore than a single void in the eigenvalue distribution, whatever polynom ial the potential V ( $^{y}$ ) is. The shape of the eigenvalue distribution is thus either a disk or an annulus.

Interestingly enough, we can arrive at the same conclusion by invoking other general aspects of the method of herm itization [4], and thus providing a nice self consistency check of our form alism. Recall at this point that the boundaries of the eigenvalue distribution are given by (3.15), namely, the zeros of G. But G is given by (Eq. (4.15))

$$G^2 = \frac{(1)}{r^2};$$

from which we see that at the boundaries can take on only two values : zero and one. Since is a constant in the void, by continuity, these are the only possible values of inside any of the voids. Therefore, there may be two circular boundaries at most. In other words, as far as the eigenvalue density of is concerned, an ensemble of the form (1.1) may have two phases at most, as we concluded earlier.

In addition to = 1 (and possibly = 0), (6.1) must have other roots which do depend on r. Am ong all these other roots, we expect to nd a unique root (r), which is a positive monotonically increasing function of r, that m atches continuously at the boundaries  $r_k$  (k 2) to the constant roots of (6.1). The actual zG (z;z) of the ensemble (1.1) is therefore a continuous monotonically increasing function of r, as required by (3.7). If the eigenvalue distribution is annular with boundaries  $r_1 < r_2$  (the case  $r_1 = 0$  corresponding to the full disk), it vanishes for 0 r  $r_1$ , rises monotonically from zero to one on  $r_1$  r  $r_2$ , and equals to one for r  $r_2$ .

23

# 7 The Addition Form alism for non-Herm itean Random Matrices

U sing the method of herm itization [4], we develop in this section the addition formalism for non-herm itean random matrices. Our results agree with recent results of Zahed et al.[2]. We feel that the method of herm itization clearly elucidates the development of this addition formalism. At the end of this section we use the addition formalism to formulate and prove a non-herm itean non-Gaussian version of the central lim it theorem.

Let 1 and 2 betwo N N random non-herm itean matrices, drawn independently from the probability distributions  $P_1 \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $P_2 \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Furtherm ore, let  $H_a$  (a = 1;2) be the 2N 2N herm itean H am iltonians associated with a, as de ned by (3.10), and similarly de net he \total H am iltonian" as

The addition problem for  $_1$  and  $_2$  may be formulated as follows: Consider the probability distributions

$$P_{a}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} y\\ a & a \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \frac{1}{Z_{a}} \exp^{h} N \operatorname{tr} V_{a}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} y\\ a & a \end{smallmatrix}\right)^{i}; \quad a = 1;2: \quad (7.2)$$

G iven the two propagators

$$G^{(a)}$$
 (;z;z) = h  $\frac{1}{H_a}^{!}$  i<sup>(a)</sup> (7.3)

(where h  $^{(a)}$  indicates an average with respect to  $P_a$ ), nd (in the large N lim it) the propagator

G (;z;z) = h 
$$\frac{1}{H_{T}}$$
! (7.4)

associated with the probability distribution

$$P \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = P_1 \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} P_2 \begin{pmatrix} y \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} :$$
(7.5)

The propagators  $G^{(a)}$  (;z;z) may be obtained in principle, by applying the formalism of Section 3. Once one has succeeded in solving the addition problem and obtained an explicit expression for (7.4), one may set = 0 and calculate the various averages F (z;z) =  $h_N^1$  tr log (z) (z); (z) = h(1=N) tr 1=(z) i and G (0;z;z) as prescribed in Section 2, from which one may further calculate the averaged density of eigenvalues (x;y) of  $_1 + _2$ .

The formulation of the addition problem for non-herm itean random matrices  $_1$ ;  $_2$  bears obvious similarities to the formulation of the addition problem for herm itean random matrices. In fact, if in the formulation just given one replaces the bare inverse propagator  $G_0^{-1}$  de ned in (4.1) simply by  $G_0^{-1}$  z, and the chiral matrices

by the ordinary herm itean matrices  $'_a$  (a = 1;2), one would recover the form ulation of the usual addition problem for herm itean random matrices [13, 14, 16]. These identi cations of various quantities that appear in the form ulations of the two addition problems, enable us to derive the addition form alism for random non-herm itean matrices by following the steps of the by now well known addition form alism for ordinary herm itean random matrices [14], which we now proceed to do.

Let  $\binom{(a)}{2k}$  and

$$(a) = (a) (;r)$$
 (7.6)

be, respectively, the connected 2k gluon \blob" (or cumulant) and the self-energy associated with the probability distribution  $P_a(\begin{array}{c} y\\ a\end{array})$ . From the de nition of self-energy

$$G = \frac{1}{G_0^{1}}!$$

(Eq. (4.2)) we nd

$$^{(a)} = G_0^{\ 1} \quad G^{\ 1(a)} \quad :$$
 (7.7)

In addition, rewriting the expansion (4.6) for <sup>(a)</sup> we have

$${}^{(a)}(;r) = \begin{cases} x^{d} & x^{a} \\ 2k & 2k \\ k=1 \end{cases} G^{(a)}(;z;z) \stackrel{2k-1}{=} x^{d} & x^{d} \\ 2k & 2k \\ k=1 \end{cases} G^{(a)}(;r) \stackrel{i_{2k-1}}{=} (7.8)$$

For reasons that will become clear below we bothered to display the trace operation in (7.8) explicitly. The two gluon types  $_1$  and  $_2$  in the combined probability distribution (7.5) do not interact and therfore the self-energy = (;r) associated with (7.5) is given by

$$(;r) = \begin{array}{c} x^{k} & x & y^{k} \\ z^{k} & 2k \\ k=1 \\ a \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} x^{k} & x \\ 2k \\ z^{k} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 2k & 1 \\ 2k \\ 2k \\ z^{k} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 2k & 1 \\ 2k \\ z^{k} \\ z^{k} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} (a) \\ 2k \\ z^{k} \\ z^{k} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} (a) \\ (a) \\ z^{k} \\ z^{k} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} (a) \\ (a) \\ z^{k} \\ z^{k} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} (a) \\ (a) \\ z^{k} \\ z^{k} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} (a) \\ (a) \\ (a) \\ z^{k} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} (a) \\ (a) \\ (a) \\ z^{k} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} (a) \\ (a) \\ (a) \\ z^{k} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} (a) \\ (a) \\ (a) \\ (a) \\ z^{k} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} (a) \\ (a) \\$$

where now obviously the internal quark lines are given by (7.4).

The right hand side of (7.8) and of (7.9) are expansions in powers of the trace of three di erent propagators:  $G^{(a)}$  (a = 1;2) and G. These three propagators are obviously not the same, but we observe that if they were identical, then (7.9) would simply be the sum (over the two values of a) of (7.8). The fact that these three propagators are not identical renders the relation between the three self-energies m ore complicated. The essence of the addition form alism is to m aneuver around this di culty. The idea is as follows: for the sake of argument, assume that it is m athem atically consistent to consider  $G^{(a)}$  (;;r) as a matrix valued function of the inverse propagator matrix

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 1 \\ & & z \\ G_0^{-1} = \begin{array}{c} B \\ 0 \\ z \end{array} \begin{array}{c} C \\ A \end{array}$$

(Eq. (4.1).) This function would then have a matrix valued functional inverse  $B_a$ , where  $B_a \in G_0^{-1} = G_0^{-1}$ . Naively, we may deduce from (7.7) that

$$B_{a}(G^{(a)}) = G^{1(a)} + {}^{(a)}$$
(7.10)

(below we shall do away with the adverb \naively" and m ake the construction of  $B_a$  m athem atically precise.) Using this matrix valued functional inverse we would be able to solve the equation  $G^{(a)}(X_a) = G$  (where  $X_a$  is the matricial argument of the

matrix valued function  $G^{(a)}$  ) as  $X_a = B_a(G)$ . We would then evaluate each of the <sup>(a)</sup> in (7.8) at the appropriate argument  $X_a$  (instead at argument  $G_0^{-1}$ ). The right hand side of (7.8) would then be an expansion in powers of G (;r), and we would thus conclude that  $P_a^{(a)}(X_a) = (G_0^{-1})$ .

The arguments in the last paragraph follow the same logic as in the case of the addition formalism of random hermitean matrices. Recall that in the theory of ordinary hermitean random matrices, the B lues function B (w) and the G reen's function G (z) (1=N)htr (z ')<sup>1</sup> i are functional inverses of one another[14]. In the present context, we already noted that the ordinary complex variable z is replaced by the matrix  $G_0^{-1}$  in (4.1), which leads us to consider matrix valued functions of  $G_0^{-1}$  and composition thereof. Thus, following the nomenclature of the hermitean addition formalism, we name  $B_a$  the \B lue's" function associated with G<sup>(a)</sup>. We now have to complete the arguments in the previous paragraph and show that composition of such matrix valued functions of matricial arguments are mathematically meaningful.

In order that composition of such functions be a consistent operation (in the sense that it generalizes composition of complex-analytic functions of a complex variable), it is necessary that these matrix valued functions (with G in (4.4) being an important example), have the same matricial texture as  $G_0^{-1}$  (Eq. (4.1)). Recall that (Eq. (4.4))

$$G (;z;z) = \frac{1}{r^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ B & Z \\ C & A \end{pmatrix}^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ C \\ Z & A \end{pmatrix}^2$$

where and (;r) are complex. Thus, for complex, the texture of G is more complicated than the texture of

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 1 \\
 & z \\
 & &$$

(Eq. (4.1), whose o -diagonal blocks are herm itean conjugates of each other. This m ism atch of textures can be dealt with readily by generalizing the space of matrices

out of which  $G_0^{1}$  is taken into

$$G_{0}^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z \\ C \\ A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ A \end{bmatrix}$$
(7.11)

Here the o diagonal blocks are no longer related. To see that this generalization is the natural one to make, we follow the rst few steps of analysis in Section 4 and nd that with (7.11) the self-energy matrix is

$$=$$
 (;zw); (7.12)

(where the only change in (4.3) is that now depends on zw rather than on  $r^2 = z z$ .) Thus, from the de nition of self-energy (4.2) we immediately see that (4.4) is changed into

$$G(;z;w) = \frac{1}{zw} \frac{1}{(z)^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & z & 1 & 0 & G & 1 \\ 0 & z & G & G & G \\ 0 & A & 0 & A & C \\ 0 & 0 & G & G \end{bmatrix}$$

$$W = G^{0} G = G^{0} G$$
(7.13)

This has essentially the same texture as (4.4). W ith this extension, we have gained the fact that (7.11), which generalizes here the ordinary complex variable z, has the same matricial texture as (7.13). At the end of our calculations we will set w = z. We can also see that the new texture (7.11) is the right one at a more elementary level, by simply recognizing that the texture of (7.11) is preserved by raising this new  $G_0^{-1}$  to any integer (positive or negative) power.

W ith this texture it is enough to consider  $G_a$  and  $G_0^{-1}$  simply as 2 2 complex matrices with equal diagonal elements. Viewing  $G_a$  (a = 1;2) as a 2 2 matrix valued function of a matricial argument (7.11) is an equivalent way of saying that we are dealing with the three functions  $G_a$  (;zw); $G_a$  (;z;w) and  $G_a^0$  (;z;w), and composition of such matrix valued functions amounts to compositions of such triads in the obvious way.

In the following the symbols B;W;X etc. will stand for matrices of a texture identical to that of  $G_0^{-1}$  and  $G_a$ . The matrix valued B lue's function  $B_a$  (W), associated

with the probability distribution  $P_a \left( \begin{array}{c} y \\ a \end{array} \right)$ ; (a = 1;2) is de ned then as the matrix

$$B_{a} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ B_{a} & b_{a} & \\ 0 & & A \\ b_{a}^{0} & B_{a} \end{bmatrix}$$
(7.14)

that satis es

$$B_{a}[G_{a}(X)] = X :$$
(7.15)

Similarly, we denote the 2 2 matrix \Blue's" function B associated with (7.5) by B [G (X)] = X. From the denition of self-energy (4.2), or equivalently from (7.7), we readily identify

$$B(W) = W^{-1} + 1(W) = W^{-1} + 1_{k=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2k} \frac{1}{2} t W^{-2k-1}; \quad (7.16)$$

where 1 is the 2 2 unit matrix.

The identity of textures on both sides of (7.15) is assured already by the denitions of the quantities involved, and does not pose by itself any constraints on the various matrix elements that appear on both sides of (7.15). In other words,  $B_a$  is indeed the matrix valued inverse function of the matrix valued function  $G_a$ . Furthermore, due to this equality of textures we can readily apply  $G_a$  to both sides of (7.15) obtaining

$$G_{a} [B_{a} [G_{a} (X)]] = G_{a} (X);$$
 (7.17)

which veri es that the matricial function composition in (7.15) respects the obvious condition that taking the functional inverse twice in a row yields the original function. Thus, setting for example  $X = G_0^{-1}$  in (7.15), that equation simply says that starting with the set of three functions  $G_a(;zw); G_a(;z;w)$  and  $G_a^0(;z;w)$ , we construct the set of three functions  $B_a(;zw); b_a(;z;w)$  and  $b_a^0(;z;w)$ , such that  $G_a(B_a; b_a^0 b_a) = ;G_a(B_a; b_a; b_a^0) = z$  and  $G_a^0(B_a; b_a; b_a^0) = w$ .

Note from (7.16) that B(W) = B(W). This is so because B is the functional inverse of the propagator G(X) which is manifestly an odd function of X due to the fact that in this paper all the probability distributions are even in .

Let us now go back to (7.8). The propagator  $G^{(a)}$  on the right hand side of (7.8) is a function of ;z and w, namely, of the matrix  $G_0^{-1}$ . We may generalize and consider it a function of the variable W, rendering <sup>(a)</sup> a function of W, namely, <sup>(a)</sup> (W) =  $P_{k=1}^{P_{1}} \frac{(a)}{2k}^{h_{1}} \operatorname{tr} G^{(a)} (W)^{i_{2k-1}}$  as in (7.16). Similarly, by replacing the argument  $G_0^{-1}$  of G on the right hand side of (7.9) by a variable X (with X having the same texture as all the matrices above), we may consider the function  $(X) = P_{k=1}^{P_{1}} \frac{(a)}{2k}^{h_{1}} \operatorname{tr} G(X)^{i_{2k-1}}$ .

Evaluating <sup>(a)</sup> (W) at W = W a  $B_a G G_0^{1}$ , and using (7.15) and (7.9) we immediately nd that

$$X (a) (W_{a}) = \begin{cases} x^{k} & X \\ x^{k} & 2k \end{cases} [G(;r)]^{2k-1} (;r): (7.18)$$

Thus, from (7.16) and from the matrix inverse of (7.15) we obtain

$$\begin{array}{c} X & n \\ & B_{a} \left[ G \left( X \right) \right] & G^{-1} \left( X \right)^{\circ} = X & G^{-1} \left( X \right); \\ a = 1;2 \end{array}$$

nam ely,

Therefore, by de nition, the Blue's function associated with (7.5) is simply

$$B_{T}(W) = B_{1}(W) + B_{2}(W) W^{-1};$$
 (7.20)

in agreem ent with [2].

To sum marize, we carried out diagram matic expansions (namely, expansions in traces of powers of  $G^{(a)}$  and G) of the self-energies <sup>(a)</sup> and . We were allowed to do so because by construction  $G_0^{-1}$  was taken to be non-herm itean, and thus in the analyticity domain of G. Those diagram matic expansions led us to introduce the matrix valued B lue's functions (7.14), in close analogy with the addition formalism for ordinary herm itean random matrices [14]. As in the latter case, the B lue's functions (7.5).

Now that we have  $B_T$  in (7.20), we can calculate the G reen's function G (;z;w) associated with  $H_T$  by evaluating the functional inverse of  $B_T$  in (7.20) at argument  $G_0^{-1}$ . A fler completing this step we may return to the original conventions and set w = z. Also, for the sole purpose of determining the eigenvalue distribution of  $= {}_1 + {}_2$  all we need is G (0 i0;z;z), and we may also set = 0 i0.

## 7.1 A Simple Application: A Non-abelian Non-Hermitean Central Limit Theorem

G auss proved that if we add K random numbers  $x_i$ , i = 1;2; ::K, with  $x_i$  taken from the probability distribution  $P_i(x_i)$ , then the normalized sum  $s = \frac{p_1^2}{K} e_i x_i$  follows the G aussian distribution in the limit K tending to in nity. This result plays an important role in physics and m athematics and accounts for the ubiquitous appearance of the G aussian distribution.

A generalization of G auss's central lim it theorem for the case in which the variables  $x_i$  are replaced by N N herm itean random matrices  $'_i$ , i = 1;2; ::: K, taken from from the probability distributions

$$P_{i}('_{i}) = \frac{1}{Z_{i}} e^{N \operatorname{tr} V_{i}('_{i})} :$$
 (7.21)

certainly exists and was recently discussed in [14].<sup>14</sup> In this version of the theorem the normalized sum  $r_{T} = \frac{p_{T}^{1}}{K} r_{i}$  indeed follows the Gaussian distribution in the large K limit.

In this sub-section we wish to discuss G auss's theorem for N N non-herm itean random matrices  $_{i}$ , i = 1;2;::K, that are taken from from the probability distributions

$$P_{i}(_{i}^{y}) = \frac{1}{Z_{i}} e^{N \operatorname{tr} V_{i}(_{i}^{y})} :$$
(7.22)

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>$ N ote that there exists another commonly considered class of random matrices, in which the element of the random matrices is each taken from a probability distribution (the same for each element). For this class, which is sometimes referred to as the W igner distribution [6], the theorem follows immediately from the usual abelian central limit theorem. Here we are speaking of the trace classes de ned by (7.21).

A swas already remarked in [14], it is not di cult to generalize one of the standard proofs of G auss's theorem to matrices, and we shall do so at the end of this subsection. However, we not it quite interesting to address the question of whether  $T = \frac{p_{T}^{1}}{K} = \frac{P_{T}^{1}}{r}$  i i follows the G aussian distribution by applying the non-herm itean addition form alism just presented. In doing so, we shall generalize the analysis made in the last section of [14] to non-herm itean random matrices and show that G inibre's disk distribution [10] for the eigenvalues of T emerges naturally from the addition form alism .

It is clear from the derivation of the addition form alism just given that when we add two random matrices, in general it is di cult to determ ine explicitly the resulting G for the sum of the two matrices. W hat we hope for here is that the large K lim it will bring considerable simplication. This is indeed the case.

From the law of addition (7.20) we learn that the function B (W) associated with the unknown G (X) is given by

$$B(W) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} B_{a}(W) \frac{K}{W}$$
(7.23)

Using (7.16) we may rewrite (7.23) as

$$B(W) = \frac{\overset{k}{X}}{\underset{k=1}{\overset{k}{x}}} \underbrace{\overset{k}{\chi}}{\underset{2k}{\overset{(i)}{x}}} \frac{1}{2} t x W + \frac{1}{W}; \qquad (7.24)$$

where  $\frac{(i)}{2k}$  is the 2k-th cum ulant associated with the distribution P<sub>i</sub> in (7.22).

By de nition (Eq. (7.14)), the G reen's function G (X) associated with  $P_{i i}$  is determined by solving B (G (X)) = X. We are however interested in the normalized sum  $T_{K} = \frac{p_{1}^{1}}{K} P_{i}$  i. De ne the corresponding propagator as

$$G_{T}(X) \qquad h^{\varrho} \frac{1}{X \qquad \frac{p_{\perp}}{F_{K}}} A^{\mu} i = \frac{p_{\perp}}{K} G(\overline{K}X); \qquad (7.25)$$

where

Rescaling and substituting G(KX) into (7.24), we not that  $G_T(X)$  is determined by

$$\frac{1}{G_{T}(X)} + \frac{\chi}{k=1}^{k} \frac{1}{K^{k}} \frac{\chi}{k=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2k} \frac{\chi}{k}^{(i)} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} G_{T}(X) = X : \qquad (7.26)$$

For nite K , (7.26) is hopelessly complicated. However, as K ! 1 we see that it simplies rather naturally to

$$G_{T}^{1}(X) + \frac{2}{2} tr G_{T}(X) = X$$
 (7.27)

with

$${}_{2} \quad \frac{1}{K} {}_{i}^{K} {}_{2}^{(i)} : \qquad (7.28)$$

The self-energy associated with (7.27) is evidently  $_{T} = \frac{2}{2} \operatorname{tr} G_{T}(X)$ . It is the sim – plest case of the general form ula (Eq.(7.8))  $(G) = {P \atop k=1}^{P} {1 \atop k=1} {2k} {1 \over 2} \operatorname{tr} G^{2k}$ , where only  $_{2} \in 0$ . In other words, it is equal to the self-energy associated with the Gaussian distribution

$$P_{G}(Y) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \frac{N}{2} \operatorname{tr} Y$$
 : (7.29)

Re-substituting

and using the general herm itization form alism in Section 2 (and in particular Eqs. (3.3) and (3.12)) we conclude [2, 4] that the eigenvalues of  $_{T} = (1 = \frac{P_{K}}{K})^{P_{i}}_{i}$  are distributed uniform ly in a disk of radius  $\frac{P_{i}}{2}$ .

Thus, not only do we obtain G inibre's distribution, we also learn that  $_2$  is given by (7.28).

W hile we have proved that the density of eigenvalues of  $_{T}$  is identical to the density associated with the Gaussian distribution (7.29), we cannot yet conclude that the probability distribution of  $_{T}$  is Gaussian. The reason is that in principle, a given eigenvalue distribution may correspond to more than a single probability

distribution<sup>15</sup>. To see that  $_{T}$  is indeed taken from a Gaussian distribution, we have to calculate that distribution explicitly.

As mentioned above, it is not di cult to extend one of the usual proofs of the central lim it theorem to the case of matrices. The distribution for the normalized sum matrix  $\frac{p_{\overline{k}}}{r}^{P}_{i}$  is given by (here we om it the subscript T)

$$P() = \begin{cases} X & Z & P_{i}(Y_{i}) & P_{$$

The integral over the  $_i$  can be done in the large K lim it:

$$Z = d_{i}P(_{i})e^{\frac{i}{K}P_{i}} = \ln \operatorname{trt}_{i}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{1}{4K} d_{i}P(_{i}^{y}) \operatorname{tr}(t_{i}) \operatorname{tr}(t_{i}^{y}) + 0 - \frac{1}{K^{2}}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{2}{4KN} \operatorname{tr}(t^{y}t) + 0 - \frac{1}{K^{2}}$$
(7.31)

where by de nition  ${}_{2}^{(i)} = {}^{R} d_{i} P \left( {}_{i i}^{Y} {}_{i} \right)_{N}^{1} tr {}_{i i}^{Y}$ . Reexponentiating and integrating over two obtain the desired result that P () is proportional to  $e^{\frac{N}{2} tr^{Y}}$ , with  ${}_{2}$  given by (7.28).

#### ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

A Z.would like to thank the Institute for A dvanced Study for a D yson D istinguished V isiting P rofessorship and also Freem an D yson for helpful conversations. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under G rant No. PHY 89-04035, and by the D yson V isiting P rofessor Funds. B oth authors thank R. Scalettar

 $<sup>^{15}</sup>$ T his is indeed the case for herm itean random matrices. For example, for matrices in the W igner class, even when the distribution is not Gaussian, the corresponding density of eigenvalues still satis es the sem i-circle law, as is well known.

for performing numerical checks of this work, which uncovered an error in Section 5.1.

Appendix : A Note Concerning the \Single R ing Theorem "

The herm itean matrix y can always be diagonalized  $y = U^{y-2}U$  by a unitary matrix U, with  $^{2} = \text{diag}(\frac{2}{1};\frac{2}{2};\frac{2}{N};)$ ; where the  $_{1}$  are all real. This implies that  $= V^{y}U$ , with V a unitary matrix as well. Thus, the complex eigenvalues of are given by the roots of det(z W) = 0, with W = UV^{y}. Evidently, as W ranges over U(N), the eigenvalues of W could be smeared (in the sense that they would not span narrow annuli around the circles  $jzj = j_{1}j$ ). In Section 6, we proved (in the large N limit) that for taken from the class of ensembles of the form P ( $^{y}$ ) = (1=Z)exp[NtrV( $^{y}$ )] (Eq. (1.1)), the eigenvalues can only span either a disk or an annulus W e have veri ed that this is the case, explicitly for N = 2, and num erically for large N (of the order of 100) and for some range of  $^{2}$ .

## References

F.Haake, F.Izrailev, N.Lehmann, D.Saher and H.J.Sommers, Zeit. Phys. B
 88 (1992) 359.

H.J.Sommers, A.Crisanti, H.Sompolinsky and Y.Stein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60 (1988) 1895.

M.A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 4472.

E.Gudowska-Nowak, G.Papp and J.Brickmann, preprint cond-mat/9701187. Y.V.Fyodorov, B.A.Khoruzhenko and H.J.Sommers, preprints, condmat/9606173, cond-mat/9703152; B.A.Khoruzhenko Jour.Phys. A. (Math.Gen.) 29 (1996) L165.

M.A.Halasz, A.D.Jackson and J.J.M.Verbaarschot, preprints, heplat/9703006, hep-lat/9704007.

N.Hatano and D.R.Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1997) 570.

K.B.Efetov, preprint cond-m at/9702091.

J.T.Chalker and Z.Jane W ang, an upcoming preprint \Diusion in a Random Velocity Field: Spectral Properties of a Non-Herm itean Fokker-Planck Operator."

- R.A.Janik, M.A.Nowak, G.Papp and I.Zahed, preprints cond-m at/9612240, hep-ph/9606329.
   R.A.Janik, M.A.Nowak, G.Papp J.W am bach and I.Zahed, preprint hepph/9609491.
- [3] E.Brezin, C.Itzykson, G.Parisiand J.-B.Zuber, Comm. Math. Phys. 59 (1978)
   35.
- [4] J.Feinberg and A.Zee, preprint NSF-ITP-97-020 (cond-m at/9703087)
- [5] See for example, E.Brezin and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. E 49 (1994) 2588.
- [6] E.Brezin and A.Zee, Comp. Rend. Acad. Sci., (Paris) 317 (1993) 735.

- [7] E. Brezin and J. Zinn-Justin, Phys. Lett B 288 (1992) 54.
  S. Higuchi, C. Itoh, S. Nishigaki and N. Sakai, Phys. Lett. B 318 (1993) 63;
  Nucl. Phys. B 434 (1995) 283, Err.-ibid. B 441 (1995) 405.
- [8] J.D'Anna and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. E 53 (1996) 1399.
- [9] J.Feinberg and A. Zee, Jour. Stat. Phys. to appear (cond-m at/9609190).
- [10] J.G inibre, Jour. M ath. Phys. 6 (1965) 440.
- [11] E P. W igner, Can.M ath.Congr.Proc.p.174, University of Toronto Press (1957), reprinted in C.E. Porter, Statistical Theories of Spectra: Fluctuation (A cadem ic, New york, 1965). See also M.L.Mehta, Random Matrices (A cadem ic, New York, 1991).
- [12] L.A. Pastur Theor. M ath. Phys. 10 (1972) 67.
- [13] See the lectures by U. Haagerup and others in \Free P robability Theory", Fields Institute Communications vol. 12, D. V. Voiculescu (Ed.), (American Mathematical Society, Providence R I, 1997.)
- [14] A.Zee, Nucl. Phys. B 474 (1996) 726.
- [15] E.Brezin, S.Hikamiand A.Zee, Phys. Rev.E 51 (1995) 5442.
- [16] M.A.Nowak, G.Papp and I.Zahed, Phys. Lett. B 389 (1996) 137, ibid. 341; Nucl. Phys. B 478 (1996) 605.
- [17] E.Brezin, S.Hikami and A.Zee, Nucl. Phys. B 464 (1996) 411.
- [18] There are m any papers on chiral m atrices. A partial list which concentrates on G aussian ensembles:
   J.J.M. Verbaarschot, Nucl. Phys. B 426 (1994) 559; J.J.M. Verbaarschot and I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 3852.

K.Slevin and T.Nagao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 635, Phys. Rev. B 50 (1994)
2380; T.Nagao and K.Slevin, J.Math.Phys. 34 (1993) 2075, 2317.
T. Nagao and P. J. Forrester, Nucl. Phys. B 435 (FS) (1995) 401.
A.V.Andreev, B.D.Sim ons and N.Taniguchi, Nucl. Phys. B 432 (1994) 485.
S.Hikam i and A.Zee, Nucl. Phys. B 446, (1995) 337; S.Hikam i, M.Shirai and F.W egner, Nucl.Phys. B 408 (1993) 415.
C.B.Hanna, D.P. Arovas, K. Mullen and S.M.Girvin, cond-m at 9412102.

- [19] J.Ambjm, \Quantization of Geometry," in Les Houches 1990, edited by J.Dalibard et al. See section 4.3 and references therein; J.Ambjm, J.Jurkiewicz, and Yu.M.Makeenko, Phys. Lett. B 251 (1990) 517; S. Nishigaki Phys. Lett. B 387 (1996) 139.
- [20] R.C.M yers and V. Periwal, Nucl. Phys.B 390, (1991) 716.
   A. Anderson, R.C.M yers and V. Periwal, Phys.Lett.B 254 (1991) 89, Nucl.
   Phys.B 360, (1991) 463.