Sm all-q electron-phonon scattering and linear DC resistivity in high-T_c oxides

 ${\tt G}$. ${\tt Varelogiann}$ is and ${\tt E}$ ${\tt N}$. ${\tt E}$ conom ou

Institute of Electronic Structure and Laser, FORTH, P.O. Box 1527, Heraklion Crete 71110, Greece

W e exam ine the e ect on the D C resistivity of sm all-q electron-phonon scattering, in a system with the electronic topology of the high-T_c oxides. D espite the fact that the scattering is dom inantly forward, its contribution to the transport can be signil cant due to <code>\ondulations"</code> of the bands in the at region and to the um pklapp process. W hen the extended van-H ove singularities are su ciently close to E_F the acoustic branch of the phonons contribute signil cantly to the transport. In that case one can obtain linear T dependent resistivity down to tem peratures as low as 10 K, even if electrons are scattered also by optical phonons of about 500K as reported by R am an m easurem ents.

O ne of the m ost puzzling experim ental facts in the optim ally doped oxides is the linear temperature dependence of their D C resistivity for very low temperatures. In B $i_2 (Sr_{0.97}Pr_{0:03})_2CuO_6$ the D C resistivity is linear from 10K up to several hundreds of K elvins [1]. This has been considered as a sign of absence of electron phonon scattering. In fact, eventhough a linear T dependence of the D C resistivity is also possible in the case of electron-phonon scattering, this happens for temperatures that are higher than about one fourth of the characteristic phonon energies. From this perspective, the data of R ef. [1] indicate a com plete absence of electron-phonon scattering for frequencies above 50K, while the optical phonons in the cuprates extend up to about 1000K.

To explain the linear T-dependence of the DC resistivity, several scenarios avoiding electron-phonon scattering have been proposed. A rst approach was the so called Marginal Ferm i Liquid [2], where the linearity was evidence of deviation from the classical Ferm i liquid behavior in the case of some special collective excitation scattering. A second type of approach was that of gauge theory models where the linearity is a signature of a com plete breakdown of Landau Ferm i Liquid theory replaced by a quasi-one dim ensional Luttinger liquid behavior [3]. A third type of approach was that of a nearly antiferom agnetic Ferm i liquid [4], in which case there is singular electron-param agnon scattering supposed to be also at the origin of d-wave superconductivity. Finally a linear T-dependent resistivity has also been associated with the presence of van Hove singularities in the vicinity of the Ferm i surface and simple Coulomb scattering [5]. The relevance of the last two approaches has been questioned recently by H lubina and R ice [6].

A lthough in all the previous scenarios electron-phonon scattering is neglected, there is strong evidence from R am an experim ents that there is signi cant coupling of the electrons with the optical oxygen vibrations [7], and the absence of signature of this coupling in the D C resistivity is an unresolved puzzle. It also appears rather unphysical that phonons could be irrelevant for the T-dependence of the D C resistivity at so high tem peratures.

W e will propose here an alternative scenario that could reconcile the Ram an and DC transport results. In this

scenario the electron-phonon scattering is the dom inant T-dependent resistive m echanism, the phonon spectrum and electronic topology are sim ilar to that of the oxides yet the resistivity could be linear down to tem peratures as low as 10K in optimally doped materials. A basic assumption of our approach is the dom inance of small m om entum transfer process in the electron-phonon scattering. This assumption, although it has not been based on solid physical argum ents or com putations, nevertheless has been successfull in interpreting many puzzling features of the high-T_c superconductors. Som e features are the peak in the m icrow ave conductivity [9], the m om entum dependence of the anom alous dip above the gap and the enhancement of the anisotropy close to T_c reported by ARPES on B iS r_2 C aC u_2 O₈ [10], as well as the presence of di erent gap sym m etries in di erent oxides and even variations of the gap sym m etry with doping [11]. An e ectively sim ilar hypothesis of sm all-q scattering in the oxides is actually investigated by m any authors in di erent contexts [12]. The dom inance of forward scattering in the electron-phonon interaction could result from strong Coulom b correlations of the carriers [13] that m ay brink the electronic system in the vicinity of a phase separation instability [14,15].

One can brie y sketch our approach as follows. W hen the electron-phonon scattering is limited to small momentum transfer processes, then the contribution of the acoustic branch of the phonons is energetically separated from that of the optical branches. The acoustic branch extends up to an energy of the order $A = q_{c}$ s where s is the sound velocity and qc a characteristic momentum cut-o of the scattering. A nalyzing the phenom enology of B iS r_2 C aC u_2 O $_8$ we obtained q_c $k_F = 10$ [10,11]. Taking $_{\rm s}$ 10 2 $_{\rm F}$ and an average Ferm ive- 10^7 cm s ¹ in agreement with infrared locity F 2 40K . The enermeasurements [16] we obtain Agetic cut-o for the acoustic branch, which is the e ective \transport" D ebye frequency, being not larger than 50K , the resistivity can be linear for tem peratures as sm all as 10K. The optical phonons, for which there is evidence from Ram an that contribute dom inantly to the electronphonon scattering in the region of frequencies between 300 and 600 Kelvins, in principle should also dom inate

the transport. However there is a signi cant di erence between dom inantly forward scattering of electrons with optical and acoustic phonons. In the rst case we exchange smallm om enta but large energies while in the second case we exchange smallm om enta and smallenergies and the phase space for these two types of processes is very di erent depending on how close the at band regions are to the Ferm isurface. In fact in order to have contribution from smallm om entum transfer processes to the transport, and avoid the well known 1 cos coefcient from the Boltzm ann equation, it is necessary to scatter between points with opposite Ferm ivelocities. In the case of smallm om entum transfer, this happens principally because of the \ondulations" of the bands in the

at region, and also because of the um pk lapp processes. In both cases the at regions are concerned, and depending on the distance of these regions from the Ferm i surface, the acoustic branches can dom inantly contribute to the transport. W hen the acoustic branch gives a dom inant contribution, the resistivity is linear down to very low tem peratures since the e ective D ebye frequency is the cut-o of the acoustic branch not larger than few tenths of K elvins if the scattering is dom inantly forw ard.

In order to illustrate how realistic are the previous argum ents for the high- T_c 's, we consider a tight binding t to the ARPES reported Ferm i surface and dispersion of B iS r_2 C aC u_2O_8 . The most characteristic feature is the presence of extended van Hove singularities that cover about 30% of the Brillouin zone, and in order to produce such extended van Hove singularities in tight binding, hoping term s up to the fth nearest neighbors were found to be necessary:

$$E_k = t_1 (\cos k_x + \cos k_y) + t_2 \cos k_x \cos k_y +$$

$$t_3 \frac{1}{2} (\cos 2k_x + \cos 2k_y) + t_4 \frac{1}{2} (\cos 2k_x \cos k_y + \cos k_x \cos 2k_y)$$

$$+ t_5 \cos 2k_x \cos 2k_y$$
 (1)

The dispersion we consider is not very di erent from that considered in Ref. [17] and corresponds to the set of parameters: $t_1 = 0.525$, $t_2 = 0.0337$, $t_3 = 0.0287$, $t_4 = 0.175$ and $t_5 = 0.0175$. This dispersion ts well the ARPES results [18] and especially the extended van Hove singularities taken exactly at $E_{\rm F}$. We show in gure (1a) a quarter of the Brillouin zone and the corresponding electron dispersion given by (1). The white area is a region of 50K around the Ferm i surface. One can see that when the extended van Hove singularities are su ciently close to the Ferm i surface, the ondulations of the band in the at region creates e ective branches of Ferm i surface around the points (;0) and (0;) in addition to the principle branch around (;). The scattering from one branch to the other is associated with a reversal of the Ferm i velocity. These ondulations together with the

um pk lapp processes in ply that even sm all m om entum transfer processes give a signi cant contribution to the transport. A lthough the at band uctuates over few tenths ofm eV the electronic density of states has a very sharp peak characteristic of extended saddle points. We show in Figure 2 the DOS for the dispersion shown in gure 1a where the van Hove peak lies exactly at E_F .

The phase space available for transport e cient electron phonon scattering can be measured considering the following de nition of the transport E liashberg function

$${}^{2}_{tr}F_{tr}() = \frac{X}{k_{k}^{0}} \frac{\tilde{K}_{kk^{0}}}{2} \frac{(k_{k}^{0})^{2}}{j_{k} j k^{0} j} (k_{k}^{0} - E_{k} + E_{k^{0}})$$
(2)

where an electron scatters from the occupied state E_k to the empty state E_{k^0} . The velocities are defined by $_k = r_k E_k$ and in the case of elastic scattering in an isotropic system we have $\frac{(k-k^0)^2}{2j \cdot j j \cdot j \cdot j} = 1$ cos where is the angle between the velocities $_k$ and $_{k^0}$. The coe cients A_{kk^0} are scattering amplitude matrix elements which are too complicated for explicit evaluation. Thus we shall use the following arbitrary but simple analytical form, which satis es our basic requirement that A_{kk^0} should become negligible for $jk = K^0 j > q_c$:

$$\widetilde{K}_{kk^{0}} = \widetilde{g}_{kj^{0}}^{2} + 2 \frac{2 \cos(k_{x} - k_{x}^{0}) \cos(k_{y} - k_{y}^{0})}{q_{c}^{2}}^{1}$$
(3)

where $g_A^2 = g_A^2 = \frac{q_A^2}{2} \cos(k_x - k_x^0) \cos(k_y - k_y^0)$ is proportional to the scattering cross section with the accustic and $g_0^2 = g_0^2$ to the scattering cross section with the optical phonons. The scattering is therefore dom inated by the processes in which the exchanged momentum is smaller than q_c that plays the role of a smooth momentum cut-o. The exact form of the momentum cut-o is irrelevant for our qualitative arguments.

In reality, the scattering am plitude coe cients are also frequency dependent especially for very anisotropic system s as the high- T_c oxides. In fact the phonon system in the oxides is three dim ensional but the electronic system is two dimensional, and obviously all phonons will not have the same probability to scatter with electrons. Phonon symmetry considerations also in uence the probability of scattering. General calculations of such probabilities is a rather com plex task [19], that we will avoid here focusing on our phase space arguments. We therefore adopt ad-hoc a frequency structure of the scattering that agrees with experiment. In fact the optical vibrations that are relevant to the scattering by the in plane oxygens are now well docum ented [8] and range between 25 and 50 m eV . O n the other hand there is evidence from Ram an spectroscopy [7], as well from a comparison to a study of the spectral dependence of the gap ratio [20], that electrons couple strongly to these optical phonons. This spectral structure also explains the disagreem ent

between the infrared and the other gap measurements [20,9]. We therefore consider a spectrum consisting by the acoustic branch that extends up to $_{\rm A}$ 50K and the optical branches that we take as a constant distribution between $_1$ 25m eV and $_2$ 50m eV.

To obtain the transport param eters we follow the conventional approach and we suppose that to a rst approxim ation, the transport scattering time has the same de – nition as the quasiparticle lifetime except that the norm al E liashberg function is replaced by the transport E liashberg function. This assumption is common to many theoretical approaches to the linear resistivity problem [2{5]. For the quasiparticle lifetime 1 = 2 Im () only the lowest order contribution of phonons in the electronic self energy is taken in agreement with M igdal's theorem [21] leading to

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{Z}{d} \frac{2}{tr} F_{tr} () 2 \operatorname{coth} \frac{2}{2T}$$

$$\tanh \frac{!+}{2T} + \tanh \frac{!}{2T} \qquad (4)$$

Certainly, for a more accurate approach we should solve the Kubo problem for the speci c anisotropic situation that we consider, but such treatment is beyond the scope of this manuscript. We focus here on the DC resistivity which corresponds to the ! ! 0 limit. The transport scattering time for our spectrum is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} = 8 G_{A} \int_{0}^{2} d \frac{2}{\exp(-)} \exp(-) + 4 G_{0} T \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{0.5 [\exp(-2) + \exp(-2)]}{0.5 [\exp(-2) + \exp(-2)] + 1}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{0.5 [\exp(-1) + \exp(-1)]}{0.5 [\exp(-1) + \exp(-1)] + 1}$$
(5)

The coe cients G $_{\rm A}$ and G $_{\rm O}$ are obtained from equation (2). It is important to notice that for the van Hove singularity su ciently close to E $_{\rm F}$, both coe cients are signi cant despite the fact that the scattering is dom inantly forward. We show in gure 2 the evolution of the ratio $G_A = G_O$ as a function of the position of the van Hove singularity with respect to the Ferm i level when go. ARPES measurements in BiSr₂CaCu₂O₈ req_A port the van Hove singularities at maximum few tenths ofmeV below E_F , and as we see in gure 2, in that case the acoustic branch gives a non negligible contribution to the transport. It is remarkable that when the singularity is pushed su ciently close to E $_{\rm F}\,$ we obtain G $_{\rm A}\,$ > G $_{\rm O}$. In this regime the resistivity that is proportional to 1= is expected to be linear down to very low tem peratures.

Indeed we plot in gure 3 the resistivity in arbitrary units as a function of tem perature when the van H ove peak in the electronic D O S lies about 30K below the Ferm i level. W e see the remarkable linearity from 10K up to 900K that reproduces qualitatively the results of R ef. [1].

Linear T dependence of the resistivity do not necessarily means $G_A > G_O$. In fact, the relative in uence of the acoustic branch to the slope of the T dependence of the resistivity is much larger than indicated from the ratio $G_A = G_0$. One can understand this taking for example the high tem perature expansion of equation (5) 1= 2 T G $_{\rm A}$ $^2_{\rm A}$ + G $_{\rm O}$ ln ($_2$ = $_1)$. Both acoustic and optical phonons give a linear T dependence in this regime. However the contribution of the optical branches to the slope depends logarithm ically on the width of the optical part of the spectrum, while the acoustic branch contributes as the square of the number of Kelvins to which A corresponds. For our rather concentrated optical spectrum the acoustic branch determ ines the T-slope of the resistivity even for G $_{\rm A}$ < G $_{\rm O}$. Therefore, if we have a linear T dependence in the region 0.2 $_{\rm A}$ < T < $_{\rm 1}$ because of the acoustic branch, when at higher tem peratures we enter the regime T > 2, contrary to what one m ight naively expect, there is not a signi cant variation of the slope corresponding to the entry in game of the optical phonons even if the electrons are strongly coupled to them . Notice also that concerning the pairing, the optical phonons could be dom inant within our approach. However, since they are ine cient for the transport, the total coupling strength for superconductivity can be an order of magnitude higher than the e ective total transport coupling strength, in agreem ent with the phenom enology of high-Tc's.

In conclusion, a small momentum cut-o of the electron-phonon scattering, rst limits the acoustic branch to energies of few tenths of K elvins and secondly for systems with the electronic topology of the oxides in plies a signi cant relative contribution of the acoustic phonons to the transport. In that case the electronic topology relevant D ebye energy for the transport is the cut-o of the acoustic branch and the resistivity can be linear for tem peratures as smallas 10K eventhough opticalR am an active phonons of 500K couple strongly to electrons and could even be responsible for superconductivity.

N um erous stim u lating discussions with G \mathcal{L} . P saltakis are gratefully acknow ledged.

[1] D M .King et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 73, 3298 (1994)

 ^[2] C M. Vama et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 1996 (1989);
 P B.Littlewood and C M. Vama, J.App.Phys.69, 4979 (1991)

- [3] P.Lee and N.Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B 46, 5621 (1992)
- [4] N E.Bickers, D J. Scalapino and S R.W hite, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 961 (1989); P.M onthoux, A.Balatsky and D. Pines, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3348 (1991)
- [5] D M . Newns et al, Comments Cond. M atter Phys. 15, 273 (1992)
- [6] R.H lubina and T.M. Rice, Phys. Rev. B 51, 9253 (1995)
- [7] See e.g.D. Zech et al., Nature (london) 371, 681 (1994) and references therein
- [8] R.Liu et al, Phys. Rev. B 37, 7971 (1988)
- [9] G. Varelogiannis and L. Pietronero, Phys. Rev. B 52, R15753 (1995)
- [10] G.Varelogiannis et al., Phys.Rev.B 54, R 6877 (1996)
- G. Varelogiannis, preprint (cond-mat/9511139); G. Varelogiannis and M. Peter, Czech. J. of Phys. 46 (S2), 1047 (1996)
- [12] S. Chakravarty et al., Science 261, 337 (1993); A A. Abrikosov, Physica C 244, 243 (1995); J. Ruvalds et al., Phys. Rev. B 51, 3797 (1995); M. Weger et al., J. Low Tem p. Phys. 95, 131 (1994); G. Santi et al., J. of Supercond. 8, 215 (1995)
- [13] M .Kulic and R.Zeyher, Phys.Rev.B 49, 4395 (1994)
- [14] M. Marder, N. Papanicolaou and G. C. Psaltakis, Phys. Rev. B 40, 6920 (1990)
- [15] A N. Andriotis et al., Phys. Rev. B 47, 9208 (1993)
- [16] Z.Schlesinger et al, Phys.Rev.B 41, 11237 (1990); D. van der M arel et al, Physica C 176, 1 (1991); A El. A zrak et al, Phys.Rev.B 49, 9846 (1994)
- [17] R J. Radtke and M. Nom an, Phys. Rev. B 50, 9554 (1990)
- [18] Z.-X. Shen and D.S. Dessau, Phys. Rep. 253, p. 1-162 (1995); J.Ma et al, Phys. Rev. B 51, 9271 (1995)
- [19] See e.g. H. Krakauer, W E. Pickett and R.E. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B 47, 1002 (1993) and references therein
- [20] G.Varelogiannis, Phys. Rev. B 50, 15974 (1994); Physica
 C 249, p. 87-110 (1995); R.Combescot and G.Varelogiannis, J.ofLow Tem p.Phys. 102, 193 (1996)
- [21] G D . M ahan, M any particle physics, P lenum P ress (N ew York and London) 1990

Figure Captions

F igu re 1: (a) The dispersion of E quation 1 on a quarter of the B rillouin zone in =100 units. The white region represents an energy window of 50K around the Ferm i level. The extended van H ove singularities correspond to the plateaus centered at (0;100) and (100;0) M points in B iS r_2 C aC u_2O_8) which cover about one third of the B rillouin zone. (b) The corresponding electronic density of states (DOS) with the sharp peak at the Ferm i level indicating the extended van H ove singularity.

F igure 2: The ratio $G_A = G_O$ as a function of the energetic position of the van H ove peak in the DOS with respect to the Ferm i level.

F igure 3: The resistivity in arbitrary units as a function of temperature when the van Hove peak lies about 30K below E_F for the spectrum described in the text where optical phonons in the range 25 50m eV couple strongly to electrons. The linear behavior from 10K up to 900K reproduces qualitatively the results of [1].



 \diamond



DENSITY OF STATES



