## P seudogap e ects induced by resonant pair scattering

Boldizsar Janko, Jiri Maly and K. Levin

The Jam es Franck Institute, The University of Chicago, 5640 S. Ellis Avenue, Chicago IL 60637

(M arch 23, 2024)

W e dem onstrate how resonant pair scattering of correlated electrons above T<sub>c</sub> can give rise to pseudogap behavior. This resonance in the scattering T-m atrix appears for superconducting interactions of interm ediate strength, within the fram ework of a simple ferm ionic model. It is associated with a splitting of the single peak in the spectral function into a pair of peaks separated by an energy gap. Our physical picture is contrasted with that derived from other T-m atrix schemes, with superconducting uctuation e ects, and with preform ed pair (boson-ferm ion) models. Im plications for photoem ission and tunneling experim ents in the cuprates are discussed.

PACS numbers: 7420 Mn, 7425.-q, 7425 Fy, 7425 Nf, 74.72.-h

cond-mat/9705144

It has become clear in recent years that the presence of a pseudogap above the superconducting transition tem perature, T<sub>c</sub>, is a robust feature of the underdoped cuprates. This phenom enon is manifested in therm odynam ic [1], m agnetic [2], and angle-resolved photoem ission spectroscopy (ARPES) data [3]. These ARPES experim ents, which have established the presence of a Luttinger volum e Ferm isurface, place in portant constraints on any pseudogap scenario: they indicate that the pseudogap appears directly in the spectral function and its magnitude and symmetry [3] seem to evolve sm oothly into that of the superconducting state. Furtherm ore, them in im um gap points in the pseudogap regime retrace the norm al state Ferm i surface [4].

A variety of theoretical scenarios have been proposed, for the origin of the pseudogap. Quantum Monte Carlo simulation studies have been carried out on both positive and negative U Hubbard models [5]. A ternative models relate the pseudogap to either m agnetic pairing of spins [6], RVB-like pairing of chargeless spinons [7], or precursor superconductivity e ects [8]. The present paper addresses this last scenario, in part because of constraints from ARPES data and in part, because the cuprates are short coherence length, quasi-two dim ensional superconductors, with anom alously low plasm a frequencies [8,9]. They are, therefore, expected to exhibit in portant deviations from an abrupt, BCS-like transition.

In our physical picture, we associate an important com ponent of the cuprate pseudogap with resonant scattering between electrons of opposite spin and small total momentum. This resonance arises in the presence of interm ediate coupling and a sizeable Ferm i surface. A depression in the density of states occurs because states nearthis Ferm isurface are unavailable for electrons in the Ferm i sea to scatter into; such states are otherwise occupied by relatively long lived (m etastable) electron pairs. The related suppression in the spectral weight diers from that derived from conventional low frequency and long wavelength uctuation e ects [10]. In the present case it is the strength of the attractive interaction, rather than the critical slow ing down (in proxim ity to T<sub>c</sub>), which

leads to the long-lived pair states. It should be noted that our resonant scattering approach is to be distinguished from previous precursor superconductivity models associated with either preform ed pairs [11] or dynam ic phase uctuations [8].

Our starting point is a scheme which connects the strong coupling, short coherence length description of superconductivity formulated by Leggett and Nozieres and Schmitt-Rink [12] with a well established T-matrix form alism designed to treat norm al state uctuation effects in conventional superconductors [10]. W e consider a generic model H am iltonian

$$H = \sum_{\substack{k \ c_{k}^{V} \ c_{k}}}^{X} C_{k}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{k \ k^{0}q}}^{V} V_{k;k^{0}} C_{k+q=2}^{V} C_{k+q=2}^{V} C_{k^{0}+q=2}^{V} C_{k^{0}+q=2}^{V};$$
 (1)

where  $c_r^y$ creates a particle in the momentum state k with spin , and  $k = k^2 = 2m$ (we take  $h = k_B = 1$ ). Here  $V_{k;k^0} = g'_k '_{k^0}$ , where [13]  $'_k = (1 + k^2 = k_0^2)^{1=2}$ , and q < 0 is the coupling strength. W hile we consider the s{wave symmetry case, d{wave symmetry can be readily introduced via ' k ! (coskx  $cosk_v$ ). In the scheme of Nozieres and Schmitt-Rink the transition temperature, T<sub>c</sub>, must be obtained in combination with the chem ical potential, , by use of the Thouless criterion,  $T_{q=0}^{1}$  (= 0) = 0 (see below), and the usual equation for particle num ber. These authors have dem onstrated that, when the param eter g is varied, the appropriate coupled equations lead to an interpolation scheme which contains the BCS lim it for small g=g  $_{\rm c}$  , where  $E_{F}$ , and that of Bose-Einstein condensation in strong coupling, where

becomes negative. Here  $g_c = 4 = m k_0$  is the critical value of the coupling at which a bound state of the isolated pair rst appears.

In this paper, we extend this form ulation so as to provide a basis for com puting the spectral function and density of states and to simultaneously incorporate appropriate conservation laws [14]. To this end, we calculate the single particle self-energy,  $_k$  (!), and the T-m atrix as shown diagramm atically in Fig. 1 [15]. Analytically, the



FIG.1. D iagrams for coupled , T, in full scheme (a) and low est order conserving scheme (b) used here. Fig. 1 (c) represents a rewriting of T in Fig.1 (a).

self-energy corresponds to

$$_{k}(i_{1}) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=q=2}^{X} T_{q}(i_{n}) G_{qk}^{(0)}(i_{n} i_{1}); (2)$$

and the T-matrix, which may be written in the Dyson form shown in Fig. 1 (c), is given by

$$T_{q}(i_{n}) = 4\frac{1}{g} + \frac{1}{g} X_{p;1} Y_{p}^{2} G_{p+q=2}(i_{1}) G_{p-q=2}^{(0)}(i_{n} i_{1})^{5}$$
(3)

Here  $G_k^{(1)}$  (i<sub>1</sub>) =  $G_k^{(0)(1)}$  (i<sub>1</sub>) <sub>k</sub> (i<sub>1</sub>) and  $G_k^{(0)}$  (i<sub>1</sub>) = (i<sub>1</sub> <sub>k</sub>)<sup>1</sup>. Finally, the spectral function is defined as  $A_k$  (!) = <sup>1</sup> Im [ $G_k$  (i<sub>1</sub> $_{P}$  ! + i0)]; this leads to the density of states, N (!) =  $_k A_k$  (!).

The choice of diagram sto include in a T-m atrix scheme has been extensively discussed in the literature [15{17]. Our asymmetric choice [Fig. 1(a)] { in which the Tmatrix contains one self-energy renormalized and one \bare" propagator { builds on the early work of K adano and Martin [16]. When this diagrammatic scheme was applied to conventional superconducting uctuation effects it was shown [15] that the uctuation gap above T<sub>c</sub> sm oothly evolved into the superconducting gap below T<sub>c</sub>. M oreover, this approach is known [15,16] to reproduce the conventionalBCS theory in the appropriate weak coupling lim it. Direct connection can be made to the related theories of M arcelja [18] and Y am ada and collaborators [19] if the full line in the \box" (the pair uctuation self-energy) of Fig. 1 (c) is replaced by a noninteracting line. Finally, it is straightforward to dem onstrate using the more general criteria introduced by K adano and Baym [20] that this theory preserves all conservation law s.

It has been shown that the results of the full  $\mbox{mode-coupling}$ " scheme of Fig. 1a are qualitatively captured by the lowest order conserving approximation of Fig. 1(b) [18]; this approximation, nevertheless, goes beyond the original work of Nozieres and Schmitt-Rink. Unlike other T-matrix approaches, where higher order self-consistency e ects tend to diminish leading order features [21], it is



FIG.2.  $A_k$  (!) vs ! for weak (g=g\_c = 0:6) in (a) and intermediate (g=g\_c = 1:0) coupling in (b).  $T=T_c$  varies from 1.0 to 1.1. Insets plot Re  $[T_{q=0}^{-1}()]$  (solid lines, for same  $T=T_c$ , as in main gure), and Im  $[T_{q=0}^{-1}()]$  (dashed lines, at  $T = T_c$ ).

found [18] that within the present fram ework the inclusion of \m ode-coupling" e ects am plify these rst order (pseudogap) features. For sim plicity, we, therefore, focus on the lowest order approximation. The discussion of feedback e ects is deferred to a future publication.

In our scenario the physical process which generates the pseudogap is resonant pair scattering (above  $T_c$ ), arising from the condition that the real part of the inverse T-matrix,  $\operatorname{Re}[T_q^{1}(=q)] = 0$ , when the imaginary part, Im  $[T_q^{-1}(q)]$ , is su ciently small. This resonant behavior is manifested as a sharp peak in Im  $[T_{\alpha}()]$ . This peak is in turn re ected in the electronic self-energy and the spectral function. The pair resonance condition is illustrated in the insets of Fig. 2, where the behavior of  $\text{Re}\left[\text{I}_{q=0}^{-1}\right]$  ( )], as a function of frequency is contrasted for weak  $(g=g_c < 1)$  (2a) and intermediate 1) (2b) couplings. Each series of curves corre- $(q=q_c)$ sponds to varying tem perature. The dashed lines indicate the form of Im  $[T_q^{-1}$  ( )] at T  $_c$  in each of the two cases. The critical value gc establishes the approxim ate dividing point between resonant and non-resonant scattering. As can be seen, there is a nite frequency zero crossing of R e  $[T_q^{1}]$  ()] for T > T<sub>c</sub>, corresponding to resonant scattering, only in the stronger coupling lim it. The resonance energy increases as a function of tem perature T and q until it disappears at a cross-over wave vector q or tem perature T .

The associated spectral functions  $A_k$  (!) for each of the two cases considered in the insets are num erically com puted from the self-energy using Eq. (2) and plotted for the case  $k = k_F$  in the main portion of Fig. 2 as a function of !, for varying T. (Throughout the unit of energy is  $E_F$ .) A lthough the num erical integrations involved are com putationally intensive, the integrated spectral weight is unity to several signi cant digits for each spectral curve presented. In the stronger coupling lim it and at su ciently low T (Fig. 2 (b)), the two peaked structure characteristic of a pseudogap appears and becom esm ore pronounced with larger  $g=g_c$ . In the more weakly coupled  $\lim it (g=g_c = 0.6)$ , the single peak behavior characteristic of a norm al Ferm i liquid is recovered, as shown in Fig. 2 (a). In general, the two peaked structure correlates with the presence of a resonance in the T {m atrix. For g slightly greater than  $q_{c}$ , the two maxim a are resolvable up to T of the order of several  $T_c$ .

A n intuitive understanding of the splitting of the spectral peak into a pair of asym m etrically broadened peaks m ay be gained by exam ining the imaginary part of the self-energy. On the real frequency axis (i<sub>1</sub> ! ! + i), Im [ $_{k}$  (!)] is given by

$$Im _{k}(!) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ q \\ f(q_{k}) + n(! + q_{k}) \\ f(q_{k}) + n(! + q_{k}) : \quad (4) \end{array}$$

where  $f(x);n(x) = (e^{x} 1)^{1}$  [2]. For interm ediate coupling strengths, a resonance condition leads to a peak in Im  $[\Gamma_q()]$  at small frequencies and momenta, which in turn yields a maximum in Im [k(!)] at ! + k 0 (see the inset of Fig. 3 (b)). The frequency weight under this peak is written as  $jj^{2'}r_{k}^{2}$ , where j jcan be viewed as the pseudogap energy. This peak in Im [k(!)] in – plies { via the K ram ers{K ronig relation { a corresponding resonance structure in Re[k(!)] at the same frequency ! k. In this way  $A_{k}$  (!) acquires two peaks separated by 2j  $j'_{k}$  with

$$jj^{2} \int_{q}^{X} \frac{Z_{+1}}{d} \frac{d}{n} n() \operatorname{Im} T_{q}() : \qquad (5)$$

The asymmetric broadening [22] of the two spectral peaks is a generic feature of our results and is due to the interaction of correlated pairs with the Ferm i sea. This asymmetry, which is contained in Eq. 4, rejects that in Im [ $\Gamma_q$  ()], as a function of . In Fig. 3 we plot the momentum dependence of the spectral function slightly above  $T_c$  for weak (3a) and intermediate (3b) coupling, along with typical self{energies shown in the insets. The former case shows the single peak structure which evolves with k in a fashion characteristic of a nite temperature Ferm i liquid [22]. In the stronger coupling limit (Fig. 3b) the spectral weight shifts from the negative to the positive frequency peak as the momentum vector k passes



 $F\ IG$  .3.  $A_k$  (!) vs ! for (a) weak (g = 0.8g\_c) and (b) intermediate (g = 1.2g\_c) coupling, from  $k < k_F$  (bottom curve) to  $k > k_F$  (top curve). The spectra in (b) show signatures of normal state <code>\particle-hole</code> m ixing". In the insets are plotted the corresponding Im [  $_k$  (!)] and Re[  $_k$  (!)] for  $k = k_F$ .

through the Ferm i surface. C bese to the  $\underline{F} \underbrace{em \ im \ om \ entropy}_{k}$  the peaks disperse roughly as  $E_{k} = \frac{1}{k} \underbrace{\frac{2}{k} + j j^{2} \cdot \frac{2}{k}}_{k}^{2}$ . This dispersion provides a predictive signature for future ARPES measurements, within the precursor superconductivity scenario. Indeed, this behavior is reminiscent of the particle-hole mixing found in photoem ission measurements on the superconducting state [23,24].

Finally, the density of states, N (!), is plotted in Fig.4 as a function of energy. This quantity may be directly related to tunneling as well as to them odynamic measurements in the pseudogap regime. The asymmetry in the curves rejects, in part, the asymmetry of the spectral functions seen in Fig.2 and 3. For clarity the results are represented by subtracting the \norm al" state curve, obtained, for de niteness, in the very weak coupling limit. Fig. 4 (a) indicates the coupling constant dependence of N (!) and Fig. 4 (b) the corresponding temperature dependence for xed g. A depression in N (!) { which increases with g { develops at smaller couplings, and persists to higher temperatures, than do pseudogap e ects in the spectral function (see Fig. 3).

In sum m ary, we have demonstrated how resonant pair scattering above  $T_c$  gives rise to a splitting of the spectral function,  $A_k$  (!), as well as a density of states depression. Experimental observation of the former is the more signicant manifestation of pseudogap behavior, providing



FIG.4.N (!) vs ! for  $g=g_c = 0.8$  to 1:1. and T = T<sub>c</sub> (a), and for  $T=T_c = 1.0$  to 1:2, at  $g = g_c$ , (b). The normal state density of states is subtracted o in both cases (see text).

strong constraints on theoretical models. Our precursor superconductivity scenario has predictive signatures: an asymmetry in the widths of the two spectral peaks and a k-dependent dispersion of the T > T<sub>c</sub> spectral function, qualitatively similar to that of the BCS state. A  $d_{x^2 y^2}$  sym m etry of the norm alstate gap w illarise naturally in the present scenario, for a d{wave superconducting instability. This would be accompanied by a spectral peak broadening proportional to  $(\cos k_x - \cos k_y)^2$ . The present picture should be di erentiated from preform ed pair models: the correlated pairs of our picture have signi cant spatial extent and fail to obey Bose statistics. Furthermore, in contrast to the stripe picture of Emery and Kivelson, the amplitude and phase of this paired state is never established beyond the dimensions and lifetime of a single pair. Quasi two dimensionality will enhance our pseudogap e ects, which should, then, become more pronounced as the insulator is approached. Magnetic correlations may, also, ultimately play a role in the extrem e underdoped regime. Nevertheless, short coherence lengths and quasi 2d features suggest that precursor superconductivity is present to som e degree and must necessarily be calibrated in order to obtain a full understanding of the cuprate pseudogap.

W e would like to thank J.C.Cam puzano, H.D ing, L. P.Kadano, A.K lein, I.Kosztin, P.C.Martin, M.Norman, B.R.Patton, and especially Y.M.Vilk for useful discussion. This research was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada fellow ship (J.M.) and the Science and Technology Center for Superconductivity funded by the National Science Foundation under award No.DMR 91{20000.

- [1] J.W .Loram and J.R.Cooper, J.Phys.I (Paris) 6,2237 (1996).
- [2] C.P.Slichter, in Strongly Correlated M aterials, ed.by K. Bedellet al (Addison W esley, 1994) p.427.
- [3] A.G. Loeser et al, Science 273 325 (1996); H.D ing et al., Nature 382, 51 (1996).
- [4] H.Ding et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2628 (1997).
- [5] M. Ulm ke et al., Phys. Rev. B 54 16523 (1996); N. Trivedi and M. Randeria, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 312 (1995).
- [6] A.V.Chubukov et al., J.Phys. (Cond. M att.) 8, 10017 (1996); J.R.Schrie er and A.P.K am pf, J.Phys.Chem. Sol. 56, 1673 (1995).
- [7] P.W. Anderson, The Theory of Superconductivity in the High-Tc C uprate Superconductors (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1997). See also Ref. [24], below.
- [8] V.J.Em ery and S.A.K ivelson, Nature 374 434 (1995);
   Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 3253 (1995); V.J.Em ery et al., (cond-m at/9610094).
- [9] J.M aly et al, Phys. Rev. B 54, 15657 (1996).
- [10] B.R.Patton, Phys.Rev.Lett. 27 1273 (1971).
- [11] J.Ranninger and J.M. Robin, Phys. Rev. B 53, R11961
   (1996); V.B Geshkenbein et al., Phys. Rev. B 55, 3173
   (1997); A.S.Blaer et al., Phys. Rev. B 55, 6035 (1997).
- [12] A.J.Leggett, J.Phys. (Paris) 41, C7 (1980); P.N ozieres and S.Schm itt{Rink, J.Low Tem p.Phys.59 195 (1985). See also M.Randeria, in Bose E instein Condensation, ed. by A.Grin et al., (Cam bridge University Press, 1995) and references therein.
- [13] For de niteness, we set  $k_0 = k_F$  throughout.
- [14] J.W .Serene, Phys.Rev.B 40, 10873 (1989).
- [15] B.R.Patton, PhD Thesis, Cornell University, 1971 (unpublished).
- [16] L. P. Kadano and P. C. Martin, Phys. Rev. 124,670 (1961). See also A. K lein, Nuovo C in ento 25, 788 (1962).
- [17] R. M icnas et al., Phys. Rev. B 52, 16223 (1995); R. Haussmann, Phys. Rev. B 49, 12975 (1994).
- [18] S.Marcelja, Phys. Rev. B 1, 2531 (1970).
- [19] A. Tokim itu et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 60, 380 (1991).
- [20] L.P.Kadano and G.Baym, Phys.Rev.124, 287 (1961).
- [21] J.M. Vilk and A.-M.S. Tremblay (cond-mat/9702188) recently concluded that renorm alizing both G reen's functions in the spin susceptibility also leads to inconsistencies in the magnetic case.
- [22] During numerical evaluations we neglect the f ( $_{q-k}$ ) in this equation, since it is insignicant in generating the pseudogap. However, this approximation overestimates the particle-hole asymmetry in spectral properties. Sm all variations in with T, were also neglected to avoid numerical complexity.
- [23] J.C.Cam puzano et al, Phys.Rev.B 53, R14737 (1996).
- [24] Sim ilar theoretical results have been reported by P.A. Lee et al., (cond-m at/9701168).