Exact M om entum Distribution of a FermiGas in One D im ension

Girish S.Setlur

D epartm ent of P hysics and M aterials Research Laboratory U niversity of Illinois at U rbana-C ham paign, U rbana, Illinois 61801 (A pril 15, 2024)

We introduce an exactly solvable model of a ferm i gas in one dimension and compute the momentum distribution exactly. This is based on a generalisation of the ideas of bosonization in one dimension. It is shown that in the RPA limit (the ultra-high density limit) the answers we get are the exact answers for a hom ogeneous ferm i gas interacting via a two-body repulsive coulom b interaction. Furthermore, the solution may be obtained exactly for arbitrary functional forms of the interaction, so long as it is purely repulsive. No linearization of the bare ferm ion dispersion is required. We not that for the interaction considered, the ferm i surface is intact for weak repulsion and is destroyed only for su ciently strong repulsion. C om parison with other models like the supersymmetric t-J model with inverse square interactions is made.

Recent years have seen remarkable developments in many-body theory in the form an assortment of techniques that may be loosely term ed bosonization. The beginnings of these types of techniques may be traced back to the work of Tomonaga [1] and later on by Luttinger [2] and by Lieb and M attis [3]. The work of Saw ada [4] and Arponen and Pajanne [5] in recasting the ferm igas problem in a bose language has to be mentioned. A monen and Pajanne recover corrections to the R andom Phase Approximation (RPA) of B ohm and Pines [6] in a systematic manner. In the 70's an attempt was made by Luther [8] at generalising these ideas to higher dimensions. Closely related to this is work by Sharp et. al. [15] in current algebra. M ore progress was made by H aldane [9] which culminated in the explicit computation of the single particle propagator by Castro-N eto and Fradkin [10] and by H oughton, M arston et al. [11] and also by K opietz et. al. [12]. Rigorous work by Frohlich and M archetti [13] is also along similar lines. A loo the work of Frau et. al. [14] on algebraic bosonization is relevant to the present Letter as the authors have considered e ects beyond the linear dispersion in that article.

In this Letter, we try to use the form alism introduced by us [18] in an earlier preprint to write down an interaction [19], [20] that closely m in ics the two-body repulsive interaction between the ferm ions and compute the momentum distribution exactly. It is also shown that in the ultra-high density limit, the interaction is exactly the two-body repulsive coulom b interaction insofar as it is able to recover the random phase approximation exactly. This momentum distribution di ers from the traditional Luttinger model in one dimension in this aspect, namely, the discontinuity at the ferm imomentum is present for weak repulsion and is destroyed only for stronger repulsions. But the present model does share similarities with otherm odels such as the supersymmetric t-J model [17] which does show a discontinuity at the ferm im oem entum in its momentum distribution.

In the sissa preprint [18] we wrote down a correspondence between products of ferm i elds and boxe elds that represent the displacements of the ferm i sea, in a manner analogous to the ferm i-surface displacements introduced by Haldane [9]. There, the boxe elds in question were written as a_k (q) and a_k^y (q) Linear combination of these operators would then correspond to displacement oprators of the ferm i sea at wavevector k by an amount q. This is a generalisation of the concept of ferm i-surface displacements where the magnitude of k would be restricted to equal k_f the ferm imomentum. This enables us to draw up a correspondence as described in the sissa preprint [18]. The boldface on the vectors q and k serve to illustrate that these ideas admit a straightforward generalisation to more than one dimension. Let q_k and q_k^y be the ferm i elds in question, then,

$$c_{k+q=2}^{y} c_{k-q=2} = n_{F} (k) \frac{N}{hN i} q_{r0} + (\frac{N}{hN i}) [k(q)a_{k}(q) + k(q)a_{k}^{y}(q)]$$

$$+ \frac{X}{q_{1}} k + q=2 q_{1}=2 (q_{1}) k q_{1}=2 (q_{1})a_{k+q=2}^{y} q_{1})a_{k+q=2} (q+q_{1})$$

$$X k q=2+q_{1}=2 (q_{1}) k + q_{1}=2 (q-q_{1})a_{k}^{y} q=2+q_{1}=2 (q+q_{1})$$

$$(1)$$

Here, a_k (q) and a_k^{γ} (q) are exact bose operators and o_k and c_k^{γ} are exact ferm ion operators. In symbols,

$$f_{Q_k}; c_k \circ g = 0; \ f_{Q_k}; c_k \circ g = k; k^0$$
(2)

and,

$$[a_{k} (q); a_{k^{\circ}} (q^{\circ})] = 0; \ [a_{k} (q); a_{k^{\circ}}^{V} (q^{\circ})] = {}_{k; k^{\circ} q; q^{\circ}}$$
(3)

and, $_{k}(q) = \frac{p}{n_{F}(k + q=2)(1 - n_{F}(k - q=2))}$ and, $n_{F}(k) = (k_{f} - k)$. Furthermore, the kinetic energy operator is given in the ferm i language as,

$$K = \bigvee_{k}^{X} C_{k}^{Y} C_{k}$$
(4)

where $k = k^2 = 2m$. The same operator has an extremely elegant form in the bose language,

$$K = E_{0} + \sum_{k \neq q}^{X} !_{k} (q) a_{k}^{Y} (q) a_{k} (q)$$
(5)

here, E $_{0} = {P \atop k = k} n_{F}$ (k), and the excitation energy is given by,

$$!_{k}(q) = (\frac{k q}{m})_{k}(q)$$
 (6)

A lso the number operator $N = {}^{P}_{k} c_{k}^{y} q_{k}$ is to be distinguished from its expectation value, $hN = {}^{P}_{k} n_{F} (k)$. The coe cient $_{k} (q)$ is non-zero only when k q = 0. The meaning of the above formula in Eq.(1) is as follows. A llthe dynamical moments of $c_{k+q=2}^{y} c_{k+q=2}$ evaluated in the ferm i language are going to be identical to those evaluated using the bose representation of this product, provided, we identify the noninteracting ferm i-sea with the bose vacuum. In other words,

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\mathbf{q}\right)\mathbf{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{Si}=\mathbf{0}\tag{7}$$

From this it is possible to recast any problem involving interacting ferm ions in a form involving only bose elds. In particular, if we selectively choose parts of the interaction term swritten out in the bose language, it may be possible to compute exactly, in portant quantities such as, momentum distribution, dynamical density correlation functions and so on. Let us now focus on the hom ogeneous ferm i gas. The interaction has the form,

$$U = \sum_{q \in 0}^{X} \frac{v_q}{2V} (q q N)$$
(8)

W ritten out in terms of the boxe elds, it has a part that is quadratic in the boxe elds, and we have cubic and quartic terms as well. Let us just focus on the part that is quadratic in the boxe elds. This amounts to postulating a phenom enological interaction that is not exactly of the the two-body type (in the original ferm i system) but something that m in ics it. How closely does it m in ic this two-body interaction, is the big question. This question m ay be answered by computing the dielectric function and demonstrating that it is exactly equal to the RPA dielectric function. This has been done in our in portant preprint that computes the single-particle green functions of the same system [20]. Thus our results are the exact results for a hom ogeneous ferm i gas with two-body repulsive interactions in the same lim it in which the RPA is exact. W ritten out in the boxe language this interaction has the form,

$$H_{I} = \frac{X}{q \in 0} \frac{V_{q}}{2V} X_{k;k^{0}} [k(q)a_{k}(q) + k(q)a_{k}^{y}(q)] [k^{0}(q)a_{k^{0}}(q) + k^{0}(q)a_{k^{0}}^{y}(q)]$$
(9)

The fullham iltonian is now $H = K + H_I$. This ham iltonian may be diagonalised exactly and the momentum distribution may be computed. A lternatively, the equation of motion method may be used to obtain the same answers. In fact, we have used both these methods [20] to obtain the exact answer for the momentum distribution in one dimension (the formulas written down till now are valid in any number of dimensions). The exact momentum distribution found by us has the form (h = c = 1),

$$hc_{k}^{y}c_{k}i = n_{F}(k) + (2 k_{f})^{Z+1} \frac{dq_{I}}{2} \frac{k_{q_{I}}}{2!_{R}(q_{I})(!_{R}(q_{I}) + !_{k}q_{I}=2}(q_{I}))^{2}(\frac{m^{3}}{q_{I}^{4}})(\cosh((q_{I})) = 1)$$

$$(q) = \left(\frac{2 \ q}{m}\right) \left(\frac{1}{v_q}\right)$$
(11)

$$I_{R}(q) = \left(\frac{jqj}{m}\right) \frac{(k_{f} + q=2)^{2} (k_{f} q=2)^{2} \exp((q))}{1 \exp((q))}$$
(12)

The above answer for the momentum distribution Eq.(10) is the exact momentum distribution of a hom ogeneous ferm i gas in one dimension interacting via a two-body repulsive interaction. As has been pointed out earlier, the RPA dielectric function as described by Bohm and Pines [6] may be recovered exactly by this model provided one identi as the density operator with the object obtained by retaing only terms linear in the sea-displacements.

$${}_{q} = \sum_{k}^{X} [{}_{k} (q) a_{k} (q) + {}_{k} (q) a_{k}^{Y} (q)]$$
(13)

The above form ignores the terms quadratic in the sea-displacements. The justication being that this de nition along the with the standard procedure for obtaining the dielectric function, namely, add a periodic weak potential coupled with the density operator above and compute the ratio of the external versus the e ective potential, gives the exact RPA dielctric function thereby suggesting that dropping term s quadratic in the displacements (in the de nition of the density) is not going to be important in the same limit in which the RPA itself is exact (for a pedagogial description of this procedure of obtaining the dielectric function please consult the text by K adano and Baym [7]). In order to see how good the present theory is, it is desirable to compare these results with the Calogero-Sutherland model or more speci cally with the spinspin correlation function of the Haldane-Shastry model. This is given by Lesage et. al. [16], Here they nd that the momentum distribution is smooth and does not possess any discontinuity or kinks. This is in contrast with the solution above (Eq.(10)), where we see the presence of a ferm i surface for su ciently weak repusision. However, the supersymm etric t-J m odel [17] with inverse square interactions does posses a jum p at the ferm i surface, and therefore the present m odel belongs to this latter class. A lso, the system does not exhibit W igner crystallization at low density. This is another draw back of the interaction that we have considered. But this is to be expected since the present model captures the two-body repulsive interaction only in the ultra-high density limit. On the plus side, the highly nonperturbative nature of the solution suggested by the presence of the term , $\cosh(\frac{2-q}{m}\frac{1}{v_{q}}) - 1$ cannot be m issed.

It is a pleasure to thank Prof. A.H.Castro-Neto and Prof. D.K.Campbell for providing in portant references and encouragement and Prof. A.J.Leggett for giving his valuable time and advice on matters related to the pursuit of this work. Thanks are also due to Prof. Ilias E.Perakis for providing the author with an important reference and to Prof. Y.C.Chang for general encouragement. This work was supported in part by ONR N00014-90-J-1267 and the Unversity of Illinois, Materials Research Laboratory under grant NSF/DM R-89-20539 and in part by the Dept. of Physics at University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. The authorm ay be contacted at the e-m ail address setlur@mrkpa.mrluiuc.edu.

- ¹ S.Tom onaga, Prog. Theor. Phys. 5, 544 (1950)
- ² J.M.Luttinger, J.M ath. Phys. 4, 1154 (1963)
- ³ D.C.M attis and E.H.Lieb, J.M ath. Phys. 6, 304 (1965)
- ⁴ K.Sawada, Phys. Rev. 106, 372 (1957)
- ⁵ J.A.ponen and E.Pajanne, Ann. Phys. 91, 450 (1975); J.Phys. C 8 L152 (1975); Ann. Phys. 121, 343 (1979)
- ⁶ D.Bohm and D.Pines, Phys. Rev. 92, 609 (1953)
- ⁷ L.Kadano and G.Baym "Quantum Statistical Mechanics" [Addison Wesley (Advanced Book Classics), Redwood City, 1991]
- ⁸ A.Luther, Phys. Rev. B 19, 320 (1979)
- ⁹ F.D.M.Haldane, J.Phys.C 14, 2585 (1981); Helv.Phys.Acta 65, 152 (1992); Perspectives in Many-Particle Physics, Proc. of the Intl.School of Physics "Enrico Ferm i" Course CXXI, Varenna, 1992, eds.R.Schrei er and R.A.Broglia (North-Holland, New York, 1994).
- ¹⁰ A.H.Castro-Neto and E.Fradkin, Phys.Rev.Lett. 72 1393 (1994); Phys.Rev.B 49, 10 877 (1994); Phys.Rev. B 51, 4084 (1995)
- ¹¹ A.Houghton and J.B.Marston, Phys.Rev.B 48, 7790 (1993); A.Houghton, H.-J.Kwon and J.B.Marston, Phys.Rev.B 50, 1351 (1994); A.Houghton, H.-J.Kwon, J.B.Marston and R.Shankar J.Phys.C 6, 4909 (1994)
- ¹² P.Kopietz, J.H erm isson and K.Schonham m er, Phys. Rev. B 52 10 877 (1995)
- ¹³ J. Frohlich, R. Gotschm ann and PA. Marchetti, J. Phys. A 28, 1169 (1995); J. Frohlich and R. Gotschm ann Phys. Rev. B 55 no. 11 (1997)
- ¹⁴ M. Frau, A. Lerda, S. Sciuto, G. R. Zemba, LANL preprint hep-th/9603112 (http://xxx.lanlgov/abs/hep-th/9603112)
- ¹⁵ G.A.Goldin, R.Meniko and D.H.Shamp, J.Math.Phys.21 (1980); R.Meniko and D.H.Shamp, J.Math. Phys.18, 471 (1977); R.F.Dashen and D.H.Shamp, Phys. Rev. 165, 1857 (1968)
- ¹⁶ F.Lesage, V.Pasquier and D.Serban, Nucl. Phys. B435 (1995)585, P.J.Forrester, Nucl. Phys. B 388 (1992) 671, Z N C.Ha, Nucl. Phys. B 435 (1995) 604
- ¹⁷ Y.Kuram oto and H.Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1338 (1991)

- ¹⁸ G.S.Sethur, "Expressing Products of Ferm i Fields in terms of Ferm i Sea displacements", U IU C preprint 1997, cond-m at/9701206
- ¹⁹ G.S. Setlur, "Exact D ynam ical Structure Factor of a Bose Liquid", U IUC preprint 1997. cond-m at/9704222
- ²⁰ G.S. Setlur, "Exact Single-Particle G meen Functions of Bose and Ferm i Liquids", U IUC preprint 1997. hepth/9706006