# Dynam ical properties of the hypercell spin glass m odel

P.M.GleiserF.A.Tamarit

Facultad de Matematica, Astronomay Fsica Universidad Nacional de Cordoba Ciudad Universitaria, 5000 Cordoba, Argentina

(M arch 23, 2024)

## Abstract

The spreading of dam age technique is used to study the sensibility to initial conditions in a heath bath M onte C arlo simulation of the spin glass hypercubic cell m odel. Since the hypercubic cell in dimension 2D and the hypercubic lattice in dimension D resemble each other closely at nite dimensions and both converge to mean eld when dimension goes to in nity, it allows us to study the elect of dimensionality on the dynamical behavior of spin glasses.

Typeset using REVT<sub>E</sub>X

E-mail: pgleiser@ suncoredu and tam arit@ suncoredu

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

The spin glass theory has been one of the most di cult problem s treated by statistical mechanics during the last two decades. Despite its value in the eld of solid state physics, its study has also contributed to develop new techniques which now apply to a wide range of elds, such as optimization problems, neural networks, and other complex systems [1].

The rst m icroscopic approach to spin glasses is due to Edwards and Anderson (EA) [2], whose model basically consists on a Ising system with random positive and negative exchange couplings. Until now only its mean eld version, known as the Sherrington K inkpatrick model (SK) [3] has been exactly solved, but unfortunatly its solution requires the sophisticated replica trick. Under such limitations, M onte C arlo num erical simulations have become one of the most applied techniques in the eld. At the same time, it is not clear at the moment whether one should expect that the spin glass phase of the mean eld SK model resembles the behavior of the spin glass phase of the low dimension EA model.

For many years there has been great controversy on whether the spin glass transition is either of therm odynam ical or dynam ical nature. However numerical simulations [4] and phenom enological scaling arguments at zero temperature [5] strongly suggest the existence of a true therm odynam ical phase transition. From a dynam ical point of view, a very careful numerical study of the time decay of the auto{correlation function q(t) has shown that the system displays three di erent dynam ical regimes: above the Curie point  $T_c$  of the nonrandom Ising model, the auto-correlation decays exponentially; between  $T_c$  and the spin glass temperature  $T_g$  the auto-correlation function has a stretched exponential behavior with temperature dependent exponents; nally, in the spin glass phase only power law decay is observed at all times scales.

Since the SK model can be understood as the in nite dimension version of the EA model, it is desirable to be able to study the e ects of dimensionality both in the static and dynamical properties of the system, even if such analysis should be limited to numerical considerations. In 1992 Parisi, Ritort and Rub [6] introduced the hypercubic cell model

which allows a very e cient treatment of high dimensional models, at least when compared with hypercubic lattice models. It consists of a unique cubic cell of dimension D with an Isin spin variable associated to each of its  $2^{D}$  comes. Despite its simplicity and unrealistic features, one expects that its behavior for dimension 2D, resembles, at least qualitatively, that observed in a D dimensional hypercubic lattice, since both share the same connectivity D. Even more, for D ! 1 the hypercell model recovers the mean eld SK model.

This approach has been used in the last few years to analyze both dynam ical and statical consequences of dimensionality in the spin glass phase of dimensionality in this work we apply the damage spreading method to the hypercell Ising spin glass model simulated with a heat bath M onte C arlo dynamics. This technique basically consists in measuring the time evolution of the H amming distance between two initially dimensions guarations submitted to the same thermal noise, i.e., updated with the same random number sequence. The dependence of the damage and other related quantities on temperature, time, initial conditions and other related parameters leads to a dynamical phase diagram of the model.

In general, this phase diagram strongly depends on the M onte C arb dynam ics used in the num erical simulation. In particular, for the bi and three-dimensional Ising ferrom agnet one nds that the dynam ical transition coincides with the static one when the system is submitted to heat bath dynam ics, while the opposite occurs when submitted to G lauber dynam ics. W hen m ore complex system s are analyzed with heat bath dynam ics, m ore than two dynam ical phases are usually found, where only a few of them are correlated with term odynam ical phases (see [11] and references therein). In particular, for spin glasses in three and four dimensions [10], three diment dynam ical regimes were obtained, as ocurred when the auto-correlation was analyzed. For low temperatures, the naldam age is non null and its value depends on the initial H amming distance. For intermediate temperatures, the dam age still spreads but its nalvalue is independent on the initial dam age. Finally, for high temperature the naldam age is always zero. W hile the lower dynam ical transition temperature seems to agree with the equilibrium one  $(T_g)$  separating the spin glass and the param agnetic phases, the upper transition temperature seems to be consistent with the

tem perature below which the stretched exponential relaxation emerges  $(T_c)$ . Surprisingly, a similar behavior was reported for the two dimensional spin glass model, which does not present a non zero temperature spin glass phase. Nevertheless, despites some numerical evidence, it is not clear whether these three regimes found with damage spreading are related to those observed through the temporal behavior of the auto{correlation function. On the other hand, when the SK model was studied only a two phases structure was found, in good agreement with the therm odynamical diagram.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce in more detail the hypercell model and describe the spreading of dam age technique. In section 3 we present the results for di erent dimensions. Finally, in section 4 we discuss the main conclusions of the paper.

#### II. THE MODEL AND THE METHOD

The model consists of a single hypercubic cell in dimension D with an Ising spin variable  $S_i = 1$  associated to each of its  $2^D$  corners. Each spin interacts with its D nearest neighbours through the H am iltonian

$$H = \bigcup_{\substack{i \neq j \\ hiji}} J_{ij} S_{ij} S_{j};$$
(1)

where hiji denotes nearest neighbours and the  $J_{ij}$  are chosen accordingly to the following probability distribution:

$$P_{J}(J_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2}(J_{ij} - J) + \frac{1}{2}(J_{ij} + J):$$
(2)

Here we have taken  $J = 1=D^{1=2}$  to norm alize extensive quantities.

The method consists on simulating the time evolution of the system through a heath bath M onte C arlo process. The spins are sequentially updated with the following rule:

$$S_{i}(t+1) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2}[1 + \tanh(h_{i}(t))] \\ \\ \\ \\ 1 & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2}[1 + \tanh(h_{i}(t))] \end{cases}$$
(3)

where  $h_i = \prod_{i \in j}^{P} J_{ij} S_i(t)$  is the local eld in site i at time t.

For a given disorder con guration  $fJ_{ij}g$  we choose two di erent initial states  $fS_i^Ag$  and  $fS_i^Bg$  and let both evolve with the same therm all noise, i.e., by using the same random sequence. We then measure the time evolution of the Hamming distance or dam age between them, de ned as

dh (t) = 
$$\frac{1}{4N} \int_{i}^{X^{N}} (S_{i}^{A}(t) - S_{i}^{B}(t))^{2}$$
: (4)

For each tem perature we calculate the time average of the dam age hdhi over 10000 M onte Carlo Steps (M CS), de ned by:

$$\overline{\text{hdhi}} = \frac{\frac{P}{t} \text{hdhi}(t) P(t)}{\frac{P}{t} P(t)} :$$
(5)

Here P (t) is the probability that the two replicas do not become identical at time t [10]. Note that, since we use the same random sequence for updating both replicas, if at any time t they become identical (i.e., they meet in the phase space), they will continue identical for all subsequent times.

This procedure was repeated M times (M depending on D and T) in order to obtain a con gurational average hdhi of the dam age over di erent coupling constants, initial conditions and random number sequences.

In the next section we will study the in uence of the dimensionality D and the initial dam age between the two replicas dh (0) in the long time behavior of the Hamming distance. This will allow us to characterize di erent dynamical behaviors as a function of the tem – perature of the system and analyze their possible relationships with the therm odynam ical phases.

## III. R E SU LT S

W e start this section by describing the behavior of the model for dimension D = 8. In Fig. 1 we show the H amming distance as a function of temperature for three dimension dimensional damages, namely, dh (0) = 0:1, 0:5 and 1.

Observe that the system displays three di erent dynam ical regimes:

- a) for low temperatures ( $T < T_1^8$ ) we observe that hdhi is non null and its value depends on the initial dam age (it increases as the initial dam age increases);
- b) for interm ediate tem peratures  $(T_1^8 < T < T_2^8)$  the system is characterized by a single value of hdhi independent of the initial dam age;

c) for high tem peratures (T >  $T_2^8$ ) the Hamming distance hdhi is always zero.

This behavior is similar to the one observed by Derrida and Weisbuch [10] in the threedimensional Edwards-Anderson model and diers from that observed on the Sherrington { Kirkpatrick model, as described in the introduction. As we will show soon, the same qualitative behavior was also observed for D = 6, 10 and 15.

Next we characterize each phase by the tem poral behavior of both P and hdhi. In Fig. 2 we show the behavior of P (t) and hdhi(t) for T = 0.53 (the low tem perature phase), with dh (0) = 1.

A fter su ering an exponential decay to a value close to 0.5 (a similar behavior was observed by A roangelis et al. [12] for the EA model) the Hamming distance hdhi(t) grows slow ly, while P (t) decays slow ly too. In Fig. 3 we show the same results in a double logarithm ic plot, from which follows that, after an initial transient of about 1000 M CS both quantities vary with a power law behavior P (t) t (with 0.258) and hdhi(t) t (with 0.047). We have also found that these exponents depend on the tem perature of the system, although a more careful analysis of such dependence should be done with better

This behavior can be understood in term s of the phase space structure of the system. If, as happens in the SK model, the phase space has valleys separated by a wide distribution of H amming distances, then the replicas that are closer to each other become identical faster than those that are further apart. As time goes on, hold takes into account only those replicas that are far apart and, as a consequence, it grows. This indicates that bigger energy

statistics and for di erent tem peratures in order to con m these results.

barriers separate valleys that are further apart. Since we are working with small systems, N = 256, this barriers can be crossed for long times as the ones we considered (t = 10000). Next we make a similar study in the intermediate phase. In Fig. 4 we plot the curve ln ( ln P (t)) vs. lnt for T = 1:41, which, for a wide range of values of t, can be very well tted by a linear function indicating a stretched exponential decay of P (t). The H am m ing distance presents a di erent behavior, since it remains constant as time ows and P (t) decays. For long times holhi displays big uctuations, which appear as a consecuence of the poor statistics (note that only a small number of replicas have survived for such long times). These results accept three di erent interpretations:

the system has a phase space structure with multiple valleys but all of them equidistant;

the system has only two valleys, like a ferrom agnet;

the phase space is almost at as a function of the free energy, so the two replicas wander through a phase space (represented by a hypercube of dimension  $2^{2^{D}}$ ) and do not not them selves due to its high dimensionality.

In the rst two hipotesis, the faster decay of P (t) indicates that the valleys are not mutually impenetrable. It is probable then that in this paramagnetic phase the system separates regions in phase space (valleys) that are accessible to each other.

Finally, in the high temperature phase  $(T > T_2^6)$  all the replicas become zero in a few MCS and both P (t) and hdhi decays exponentially.

This results are very in portant since:

P (t) has a tem poral behavior sim ilar to the one found in [4], indicating the possibility of a close relationship between the phases found with spreading of dam age and those studied through the auto{correlation function q(t);

they show that the hipercellm odel in dimension D = 8 is similar to the three and four dimensional EA model not only in its static properties (as studied by Parisi et al. [6]) but also in its dynam ical behavior.

The same detailed study was performed for D = 6 and the same qualitative behavior was observed for all the quantities.

In dimension D = 10 a new dynamical behavior emerges. In considering the hdhi vs. T plot presented in Fig. 5, we see that the system basically displays the same three regimes found in D = 8. Neverthless a more detailed analysis of the dependence of P and hdhi with time, showed in Fig. 6, reveals new features. Now both in the intermediate and the lower temperature phases P (t) equals 1 for all considered times (t < 10000) while hdhi keeps a constant value (after an initial fast exponential decay). The only difference resides in the dependence on the initial damage shown in Fig. 5.

The di erence between this phases can be better observed in Fig. 7 where we present the histogram s of H amming distances in t = 100 for T = 0.35 and T = 1.92 respectively, with initial damage dh (0) = 1. We verify that the low temperature phase still presents a wide distribution, indicating a complex structure as the one described by replica symmetry breaking. On the other hand, in the intermediate regime the distribution is narrow, indicating a behavior that corresponds to one of the three hipotesis made for the D = 8 case. It is worth mentioning that the histogram spresent the same qualitative behavior in all studied dimensions indicating a drastic change in the phase space structure at the critical temperature  $T_1$ .

The same analysis has been done for D = 15 and in Fig. 8 we present hdhi vs. T with the three usual phases. The tem poral analysis displays the same behavior in the di erent phases.

Finally, in table (IV) we present the values of the critical tem peratures  $T_1^D$  and  $T_2^D$  obtained for the dimensions studied in this paper.

Note that as D increases,  $T_1$  seems to approach, as expected, the value 1, which corresponds to the critical static temperature of the Sherrington K inkpatrick model. Unfortunatly, as much as we know, the static critical temperatures of the spin glass{param agnetic transition for nite D have never been studied, so, it is impossible to compare static and dynam ical transition temperatures. If, as happens with all Ising like spin models studied in the literature with heat bath dynam ics, these tem peratures coincide, we can then conclude that the convergence of this critical tem perature  $T_1$  is very slow. Concerning  $T_2$ , it also increases, but higher dimensions should be considered in order to extrapolate the D ! 1 behavior. It is important here to stress that, at least for D = 15, we have not found a dynam ical behavior that resembles the one obtained in the study of the Sherrington K irkpatric m odel, namely, a two phase structure with the critical dynam ical tem perature in good agreement with the static one. In other words, for all the tem peratures considered in this paper, we have shown that the system has a dynam ical phase diagram similar to the one of the Edwards{Anderson model, i.e., we did not not an upper critical dynam ical dimension above which the system displays a meanel dehavior.

## IV.CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have applied the dam age spreading technique to the hypercell Isin spin glass m odel in order to study its dynam ical behavior and the in uence of dimensionality. A s was stressed in the introduction, previous studies had found dierent dynam ical phase diagram s for the EA and the SK m odel. W hile the form er presented three dierent regimes (suggesting a correlation with the tem poral decay of the autocorrelation function), the last one presented a unique phase transition at a tem perature compatible with the spin glass param agnet static transition. Since the SK m odel is recovered as the D ! 1 version of the EA m odel, we studied the e ect of increasing the dimensionality in the dynam ical behavior of the system in the hope of nding some critical dimension above which the system displays the mean eld dynam ical phase diagram.

The phase diagram, for all dimensions studied, presents a three phase structure similar to that obtained for the EA m odelw ith D = 3 and D = 4, namely, a low temperature phase that displays dependence with the initial dam age, an intermediate phase where the dam age spreads but its nal value is independent of the initial dam age and a high temperature phase where the dam age decays exponentially to zero. While the lower critical dynam ical

tem perature seem s to converge to SK static tem perature, for the upper critical tem perature we were not able to extrapolate its behavior (we are probably far from an asym ptotic regim e). This means that, at least for D = 15, we are still far from the SK regime. Further simulations with higher dimensions would be required but the computation time needed exceeds our numerical capacity.

W hen one considers the tem poral behavior of the quantity P (t) for di erent dim ensions, som e interesting conclusion can be extracted:

There is a drastic change in the behavior of P (t) for D 8 and D 10. In the form er case, P (t) displays a decay similar to that observed for the auto-correlation function in the EA model [4] characterizing three di erent phases: power law decay for  $T < T_1^D$ , stretched exponential decay for  $T_1^D < T < T_2^D$  and exponential decay for  $T > T_2^D$ . In the last case (D 10), P (t) is constant and equals 1 in the low and interm ediate tem perature regimes and decays exponentially in the high tem perature phase (T >  $T_2^D$ ).

The detailed analysis of the histogram s of H am m ing distances reveals that the low temperature phase is characterized by a wide distribution, as expected in a multivalley phase diagram, for all the dimensions considered. This structure resembles, at least qualitatively, the one found in the SK model. On the other hand, in the intermediate phases we always found narrow distributions of the H am m ing distances. Note that in this regime the nal distance is always non zero independently of the initial dam age. This is also true for vanishing sm all initial dam ages, meaning that in this phase the heat bath M onte C arb dynam ics is truely chaotic.

### ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

W e are gratefully adknow ledged to D A . Stariolo for fruitful discussions.

#### FIGURES

FIG.1. hdhi vs Tem perature for D = 8 and for three di erent initial dam ages: dh (0) = 1 (triangles), dh (0) = 0.5 (squares) and dh (0) = 0.1 (circles).

FIG.2. Tem poral behavior of P (t) and hdhi(t) for D = 8 and dh (0) = 1. The average was calculated over 1000 di erent sam ples.

FIG.3. hdhi and P (t) as a function of t in a double logarithm ic scale for D = 8 and T = 0.52 (in the low temperature phase).

FIG.4. ln ( ln hdhi) and ln ( ln P (t)) for D = 8 and T = 1.41, (in the interm ediate phase).

FIG. 5. hdhi vs Tem perature for D = 10 and for three di erent initial dam ages: dh (0) = 1 (triangles), dh (0) = 0.5 (squares) and dh (0) = 0.1 (circles).

FIG.6. hdhi vs. t for D = 10, dh(0) = 1 and for T = 0.35 (low tem perature phase) and T = 1.92 (interm ediate phase).

FIG. 7. Histogram of Hamming distances at t = 100 with dh(0) = 1: for D = 10 and a) T = 0.35 (in the low temperature phase) and b) T = 1.92 (in the interm ediate phase).

FIG.8. hdhi vs Tem perature for D = 15 and for two di erent initial dam ages: dh(0) = 1 (circles), dh(0) = 0.5 (squares).

TAI	ΒI	ιE	S
-----	----	----	---

D	T <sub>1</sub> <sup>D</sup>	$T_2^D$	
6	0:65 0:04	1:8	02
8	0:66 0:04	1:7	0:1
10	0:74 0:08	2:0	0:1
15	0:79 0:01	3:25	0 <b>:</b> 05

# REFERENCES

- [1] K.H.Fischer and J.A.Hertz, Spin Glasses, Cambridge University Press, Great Britain, 1993
- [2] SF.Edwards and PW. Anderson, J. Phys. F 5, 965 (1975)
- [3] D. Sherrington and S. Kirkpatrick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 1792 (1975)
- [4] OgielskiA.T.Phys.Rev.Lett. 54, 928 (1985)
- [5] A.J.Bray and M.A.Moore J.Phys C Solid State Phys. 17 L463, L613 (1984)A.J.Bray Comment Cond. Mat. Phys. 14 21 (1988)
- [6] Parisi G., Ritort F., Rub JM. (1991) J. Phys. A M ath. Gen 24 5307
- [7] L. Cugliandolo and J. Kurchan, J. Phys. A 27, 5749 (1994)
- [8] E. Marinari, G. Parisi and F. R itort, J. Phys. A 28, 327 (1995)
- [9] D. Stariolo, preprint CONDMAT/9607132
- [10] Derrida B., Weisbuch G. (1987), Europhys. Lett. 4, 657
- [11] L. da Silva, F.A. Tam arit and A.C. N. de Magalhaes, J. Phys. A 30, 2329 (1997)
- [12] D e A rangelis L. (1990), in Correlations and Connectivity, edited by Stanley H.E. and O strow sky N. (K luver A cad. Publ.)



FIGURE 1



FIGURE 2



FIGURE 3



FIGURE 4



FIGURE 5



**FIGURE 6** 



FIGURE 7



FIGURE 8