Sm oluchow ski's equation for cluster exogenous grow th

Stephane Cueille and Clement Sire

Laboratoire de Physique Quantique (UM R C 5626 du CNRS), Universite Paul Sabatier

31062 Toulouse Cedex, France.

(January 11, 2022)

We introduce an extended Sm oluchowski equation describing coagulation processes for which clusters of mass s grow between collisions with $\underline{s} = A s$. A physical example, dropwise condensation is provided, and its collision kernel K is derived. In the general case, the gelation criterion is determined. Exact solutions are found and scaling solutions are investigated. Finally we show how these results apply to nucleation of discs on a plane.

A ggregation processes are of practical interest in m any elds of science and technology, including chem istry, m aterial sciences, heat transfer engineering, atm osphere sciences, biology, astronomy, am ong others [1,2]. In a generic aggregation process, clusters of \mbox{m} ass" s, with m ass distribution N (s;t), can encounter collisions with other clusters. W henever two clusters collide, they can stick to form a new cluster with m ass conservation. A lthough sim ple num ericalm odels [3{5] have proved to be a very successful tool in the study of these phenom ena, m uch of our theoretical understanding is still to a large extent based on Sm oluchow ski's equation [6],

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{t} N (s;t) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{N_{s}} N (s_{1};t) N (s q;t) K (s_{1};s q) ds_{1} \\ N (s;t) \int_{0}^{R_{+1}} N (s_{1};t) K (s;s_{1}) ds_{1}; \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

D

where the collision kernel K (s_1 ; s_2), is the collision rate between clusters of mass s_1 and s_2 , and contains the physics of the aggregation process. Sm oluchow ski's equation is obtained in mean-eld, neglecting density uctuations, and is valid above a model-dependent upper critical dimension d_c.

Sm oluchow ski's equation can be used to study the scaling properties of a given aggregation model. Dynamic scaling corresponds to the fact, observed both in experiments and in numerical models [7], that the size distribution is asymptotically scale-invariant at large time, N (s;t) S (t) f (s=S (t)), where the typical size S (t) usually diverges as t^z . Sm oluchow ski's equation can be used to determ ine z and , and the prole of the scaling function.

Van Dongen and Emst [8,9] have extensively studied the scaling solutions of Sm oluchowski's equation. They have shown that collision kernels could be characterized by two exponents and ,

$$K (bx; by) = b K (x; y);$$
 (2)

$$K(x;y) x y (y x);$$
 (3)

which determ ine the small x behavior of f(x). If < 0, f is bell-shaped, while for 0, f(x) = x. For > 0, = 1+, while for = 0, is nontrivial and < 1+. In the latter case, such a crucial quantity as the exponent describing the decay of the total number of clusters $(n(t) / t^{z^0})$ is given by $z^0 = z(2)$ if > 1, and thus depends on . The determ ination of was very dicult until a very elective variationalm ethod was recently introduced [10].

Despite this reasonably satisfactory state of the art, standard Sm oluchow ski's equation does not describe all aggregation processes, and some extensions have been provided to include for instance fragm entation of clusters [11], or source and sink term s [12{14]. In this Letter, we would like to consider another feature, the fact that for certain aggregation phenom ena, clusters do not grow solely due to collisions with other clusters, but also collect som e m ass from the \outside" between collisions events. A practical example is dropwise condensation, a daily life phenom enon (water condensation on a mirror) which has also in portant consequences in material sciences or heat transfer engineering, which motivated most of the early studies. Since the sem inal work of Beysens and K nobler [15], the resulting fascinating droplets patterns, or \breath gures", have attracted much interest, and simple computer models have been introduced to study the kinetics of droplet nucleation [16{19], the asymptotic surface (or line) coverage [20], or the time evolution of the \dry" fraction (the surface fraction which has never been touched by any droplet) [21] (also see the review by Meakin [19]).

In dropwise condensation on a d-dimensional substrate, individual droplets form D-dimensional hyperspherical caps, and grow by absorption from the vapor phase, which leads to a growth law for the droplets radius, r / r', (! = 2 for water on a plane [19]), or, in terms of the droplet mass $s = r^{D}$, $\underline{s} = As$, with 1)=D. When two droplets overlap, they = (D + ! coalesce due to surface tension, to form a new hyperspherical droplet, with mass conservation. Thus, dropw ise condensation can be understood as a sim ple aggregation phenom enon, where droplets grow between collisions (exogenous growth), as well as through collisions. Such a picture is the basis of most simplied computer models, such as the droplets grow th and coalescence m odel of Family and Meakin [17].

Now, what is the corresponding mean-eld kinetic equation? If there were no collisions, the equation would simply be a continuity equation expressing the conserva-

tion of the number of drop lets,

with $\underline{s} = s$ (in the following, we set A = 1). Then, the collision rate of two growing droplets of size s_1 and s_2 is, in mean-eld, just proportional to the time derivative of the cross section $(s_1;s_2) / (s_1^{1=D} + s_2^{1=D})^d$, thus K $(s_1;s_2) / (s_1^{s_2} + s_2^{s_2})^{-1} + s_2 s_2^{s_2} + s_2^{s_2})^{d-1}$, and we obtain the following equation,

$$\begin{array}{c} \theta_{t}N \ (s;t) + \ \theta_{s} \ (s \ N \) \ (s;t) = \\ \frac{1}{2} \ {}^{R_{s}}_{0}N \ (s_{1};t)N \ (s \ s_{1};t)K \ (s_{1};s \ s_{1};t)ds_{1} \\ N \ (s;t) \ {}^{R_{s}-1}_{0}N \ (s_{1};t)K \ (s;s_{1};t)ds_{1}; \end{array}$$
(5)

with,

K
$$(x;y) = (x^{\frac{1}{D}} + y^{\frac{1}{D}}) (x^{\frac{1}{D}} + y^{\frac{1}{D}})^{d-1}$$
: (6)

Multiplicative constants were set to 1 by a rescaling of t. The expression for K (x;y) is in agreement with an early work of V incent [22], who found a Sm oluchowski equation for ! = 2, d = 2 and D = 3. However, the left hand side of his equation is erroneous since it does not conserve the number of particles. The kernel has the hom ogeneity = (d + ! 1)=D, as predicted in [17] from scaling arguments. Eq. (5) for a generic collision kernel actually describes a general irreversible aggregation process with the exogenous grow th law $\underline{s} = s$, and we would like to study the properties of such an equation.

G elation criterion - A very important issue in aggregation problems is the possible occurrence of gelation. G elation corresponds to the form ation of an in nite cluster at a nite time (in the therm odynamic limit). Com m on applications are found in food industry for instance. A nother application in m aterial sciences is the form ation of fractal aerogels with intriguing physical properties. In term s of Sm oluchow ski's equation, gelation is the phase transition associated to the breaking of the m ass conservation through collisions. A dapting standard argum ents [9], let us consider the net m ass ux from clusters with s L towards clusters with s > L, J. (t). From Eq. (5), it is easily seen that,

$$J_{L} (t) = L^{1+} N (L;t)$$

$$Z_{L} Z_{+1}$$

$$+ dx xN (x;t) dy K (x;y)N (y;t); (7)$$

$$U_{L} x$$

Now, in the absence of an in nite cluster, the mass conservation through collisions requires that $J_L(t)$! 0 when L ! 1. If gelation occurs at t_g , the in nite cluster collects som emass through exogenous grow th and collisions, and $J_L(t > t_g)$ has a nite L ! 1 limit, which implies that N (s;t) has a slow ly vanishing large s tail. Inserting the ansatz N (s;t) A (t)s for t t_g , we nd = max(1 + ;(3 +)=2). One must have > 2, since

the total mass contained in nite clusters must be nite. This shows that gelation can occur only if > 1 or > 1.

Exact solutions -ForEq. (1), exact solution are known only for K = 1, K = x + y, K = xy, but the existence of even few exact time-dependent solutions is im - portant to check the scaling theories. Here we shall provide two exact solutions for Eq. (5), corresponding to K = 1, with = 0 and = 1. To do this, we consider the Fourier-Laplace transform of N (s;t), Z (z;t) = $\begin{bmatrix} R_{+1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} e^{2S}N$ (s;t) ds. Z (0;t) is the total density of clusters n (t).

For = 0, the Laplace transform of Eq. (5) with K = 1 reads,

W ith n(0) = 1 and Z (z;0) = Z₀(z), we dd Z (0;t) = n(t) = 2=(t+2) and,

$$Z (z;t) = \frac{e^{zt}}{(t+2)^2 \frac{1}{4Z_0(z)} \frac{1}{2} R_t \frac{e^{zt^0}}{(t^0+2)^2} dt^0} :$$
(9)

W hich leads in the scaling regime t ! 1 , and for a monodispersed initial condition N (s;t) = (s 1) to,

N (s;t)
$$\frac{2}{t^2 \ln t} e^{\frac{s}{t \ln t}}$$
: (10)

The total mass in the system is, $M_1(t) = 1 + 2 \ln (t + 1 = 2)$, from $M_1(t) = Q_2 Z(0;t)$.

For = 1, the equation for Z is,

$$Q_{t}Z = Z^{2} = Z^{2} = Z (0;t)Z$$
: (11)

Once again, n (t) = Z (0;t) = 2=(t + 2), and the solution is,

$$Z (z;t) = \frac{2}{t+2} \frac{2Z_{0} (ze^{t})}{(1 - Z_{0} (ze^{t})) (t+2) + 2Z_{0} (ze^{t})}: (12)$$

For a monodispersed initial distribution, $Z_0(z) = e^{z}$, Z(z;t) has a pole at $z_0(t) = e^{t} \ln(1 + 2 = t)$, and we can explicitly compute N (s;t),

N (s;t) =
$$\frac{4}{(t+2)^2 e^t} \exp se^{t} \ln (1 + \frac{2}{t})$$
; (13)

which leads in the large time limit to N (s;t) $\frac{4}{t^2 e^t} e^{\frac{2s}{te^t}}$.

These two exact solutions support the fact that Eq. (5) exhibits dynamic scaling, but they also show some unusual time dependence of S (t), and a more general scaling form,

N (s;t) Y (t)
1
f (s=S (t)); (14)

where Y (t) does not have the hyperscaling form Y (t) / S (t) .

Scaling theory –N ow we want to study the scaling solutions of Eq. (5), with the scaling form of Eq. (14), starting from a monodispersed initial distribution (s 1). A rst rem ark is that the distribution N (s;t) is at any time strictly zero below s_0 (t) = $(1 + (1)t)^{1=(1)}$. In physical terms, this is due to the fact that the smallest clusters are the one which have never collided, and thus have grown since t = 0 with <u>s</u> = s . Consequently, the scaling function is strictly zero below $x_0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} (s_0 (t) = s(t))$. x_0 can be zero if S (t) $t^{1=(1)}$, but cannot be in nite.

For kernels with < 1 and < 1, we can have a qualitative understanding of the scaling results to be expected. Let us examine Eq. (5). On the one hand, if we switch o the collision term (i.e. the right hand side of the equation), the equation describes a set of particles which grow in time with $\underline{s} = s$, and is associated with the size scale $S_g(t) / t^{\frac{1}{1}}$. On the other hand, if we forget the grow th term in the left hand side, we are back with a standard Sm oluchow ski equation describing clustering with mass conservation. The typical size in the scaling regime is $S_c(t) / t^{1=(1)}$, and = 2 [8,9].

Thus, if we switch on both growth and collision, we shall observe a \com petition" between the dynamic size scales corresponding to both processes. If < , S $_g$ (t) S_c(t), and in the scaling regime we expect S (t) / S_g(t) and z = 1=(1). If > , on the contrary, the typical size of particles increases essentially due to collisions, hence S (t) / S_c(t) and z = 1=(1). For the marginal case = , logarithm ic corrections may be observed, and are indeed present for the exact solution K = 1, = 0.

A detailed dem onstration of the scaling results is quite intricate, since it involves the treatment of many subcases, and will be published elsewhere [23]. However the main idea is quite simple. Let us de net the -th moment, M (t) = $_{0}^{1}$ s N (s;t)ds. W ith the scaling form of Eq. (14), the di erent term s of Eq. (5) read,

$$(e_t N (s;t)) = \frac{1}{Y} \frac{Y}{Y} f(x) + \frac{S}{S} x f^0(x) ;$$
(15)

!

$$\theta_{s}$$
 (s N) (s;t) $\frac{S^{-1}}{Y}$ (x f)⁰(x); (16)

Collision term
$$\frac{S^{1+}}{Y^2}$$
 (:::): (17)

W e bok for an asym ptotically tim e independent equation consistent with the evolution equation for the totalm ass, obtained by multiplying Eq. (5) by s and integrating over all s,

$$M_{-1} = M$$
 : (18)

W e also use the fact that S (t) cannot be much smaller than s_0 (t). Results for Y (t) and S (t), are in agreement with the qualitative picture above.

For > , it is found that S (t) / s_0 (t), thus z = 1=(1), and Y (t) / S (t), with $= 2 + \dots$. The three terms in the kinetic equation are of the same order at large time, and, from the remark above, the scaling function is zero below $x_0 = \lim (s_0 (t)=S(t)) > 0$. For the droplets nucleation kernel with d < D, we have > and these results yield z = D = (1 + 1) and = 1 + d=D, in agreement with the results for the model of Fam ily and M eakin [17]. This scaling theory also shows that f (x) cannot diverge at sm all x, as observed for droplets models without nucleation [19], and the fact that the scaling function is even zero below a nite $x_0 > 0$ is well supported by numerical simulations [23].

For , S (t) s_0 (t), and the exogenous grow th term (16) is negligible at large time. The scaling equation is found to be the same as for standard Sm oluchow ski's equation with the same kernel,

$$xf^{0}(x) + 2f(x) = f(x) \int_{0}^{R_{+1}} f(x_{1})K(x;x_{1})dx_{1}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{R_{x}} f(x_{1})f(x - x_{1})K(x_{1};x - x_{1})dx_{1}; \quad (19)$$

and the results of [8,9] can be applied. The nontrivial polydispersity exponents occurring for = 0 kernels can be computed using the variationalm ethod introduced recently by the present authors [10].

For > , we nd z = 1=(1)), and = 2, the totalm ass in the system being asymptotically conserved at large time.

For the marginal case = , we nd dierent results for 0 kernels and > 0 kernels. For 0 kernels, corresponding to a bell-shaped scaling functions (< 0), or a nontrivial < 1 + (= 0), the totalm ass in the system grows logarithm ically in the scaling regime, M₁(t) / lnt, we have S(t) / (tlnt)², with z = 1=(1), and Y(t) / S²(t)=M₁(t). For > 0 kernels, with = 1 + , there is an additional sublogarithm ic correction, leading to M₁(t) / (lnt) ln (lnt), S(t) / (tM₁(t))², with z unchanged, and Y / S²=M₁ as before.

These results are in full agreem ent with the exact solution for K = 1 and = 0, both for the asymptotics of S,Y, and M₁, and for the scaling function itself. Indeed, the scaling function is a pure exponential, just as for the exact solution of Eq. (1) with K = 1 [6].

Results for system s on the gelling boundary (= 1 or = 1) can also be found and will be reported elsewhere [23]. Here, we would like to show how this Sm oluchowski equation approach can be applied to the nucleation of discs on a plane. More generally, we consider the nucleation m odel described above, but with d = D. Fam ily and M eakin [17] have simulated growth and coalescence of droplets for d = D = 2 and ! = 0.5, and found that in contrast with the d < D case, the scaling function is polydispersed with a sm allx divergence f (x) / x [19]. C an we understand this feature ?

For this model, the collision kernel is given by Eq. (6), and we have = + (D)d)=D. Thus, d < D corresponds to < , while d = D corresponds to = , and consequently our scaling theory based on a Sm oluchow ski equation states that the scaling function vanishes below a nite x_0 , for d < D, while f is bell-shaped or polydispersed for d = D, depending on . For this kernel, we have = 0 for ! 0, and = !=D for ! < 0, which leads to the cross-over from a bell-shaped scaling function for ! < 0, to a small x power law for !0. To check this prediction, we perform ed simulations of the droplets growth and coalescence model for d = D = 2and various values of ! . These sim ulations are quite difcult since the num ber of drop lets decreases very quickly leading to poor statistics and time range limitation. Results for the small x behavior of the scaling function for di erent values of ! shown on Fig. 1 are in good agreement with the expected results. For ! = 3, f(x) has a maximum and vanishes faster than any power law as x ! 0. W hen ! ! 0, the position of the maximum of the scaling function tends to 0 very quickly, and the scaling function crosses over to a power-law at ! = 0.



FIG.1. Small x = s=S behavior of the size distributions obtained in numerical simulations for dierent values of the growth exponent !.

Therefore, the mean-eld scaling theory qualitatively describes the behavior of the scaling function for d = D, and makes for the di erence between d < D and d = D. Besides, for ! = 0, the mean-eld polydispersity exponent can be computed by the method described in [10]. We nd = 1:109 [23], which compares well with the exponent extracted from the numerics 12.

D espite this qualitative success of the Sm oluchowski equation approach, it should be noticed that the upper critical dimension of the model with d = D is probably in nite, since we have in mean-eld M₁(t) In t, whereas M₁(t) is bounded for the actual model, being proportional to the surface coverage.

In conclusion, we have generalized Sm oluchowski's equation to coagulating systems for which clusters grow between collisions with $\underline{s} = \underline{s}$. This equation appears to have interesting scaling properties, as found both from exact solutions and a general study. This approach recovers scaling results for droplets nucleation m odels with d < D, and qualitatively predicts a transition in the shape of the scaling function when varying , in agreement with numerical simulations, despite mean-eld lim - itations. It would be interesting to see if this kind of approach could also be used to describe dropwise condensation with renucleation in empty spaces [17,19], for which nontrivial polydispersity exponents appear.

W e are very grateful to M . A lbrecht for a critical reading of the m anuscript.

- S.K. Friedlander, Smoke, dust and haze, W iley Interscience, New York, 1977.
- [2] F. Fam ily and D. Landau, editors, K inetics of Aggregation and G elation, N orth H olland, A m sterdam, 1984.
- [3] P.Meakin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 1119 (1983).
- [4] M.Kolb, R.Botet, and R.Jullien, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 1123 (1983).
- [5] P.Meakin, Physica Scripta 46, 46 (1992).
- [6] M. von Smoluchowski, Z. Phys. Chem 92, 129 (1918).
- [7] T. Vicsek, P. Meakin, and F. Fam ily, Phys. Rev. A 32, 1122 (1985).
- [8] P.G.J. van Dongen and M.H.Emst, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 1396 (1985).
- [9] P.G.J. van Dongen and M.H.Emst, J.Stat. Phys. 50, 295 (1987).
- [10] S.Cueille and C.Sire, Phys.Rev.E 55, 5465 (1997).
- [11] F. Fam ily, P. M eakin, and J. Deutch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 727 (1986).
- [12] W .H.W hite, J.Colloid Interface Sci. 87, 204 (1982).
- [13] Z.Racz, Phys.Rev.A 32, 1129 (1985).
- [14] H.Hayakawa, J.Phys. A 20, L801 (1987).
- [15] D. Beysens and C. Knobler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 1433 (1986).
- [16] F. Fam ily and P. Meakin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 428 (1988).
- [17] F.Fam ily and P.M eakin, Phys.Rev.A 40, 3836 (1989).
- [18] D.Fritter, C.K nobler, and D.Beysens, Phys. Rev. A 43, 2858 (1991).
- [19] P.Meakin, Rep. Prog. Phys. 55, 157 (1992).
- [20] B.Derrida, C.Godreche, and I.Yekutieli, Phys. Rev. A 44, 6241 (1991).
- [21] M. Marcos-Martin, D. Beysens, J.-P. Bouchaud, C.Godreche, and I.Yekutieli, Physica A 214, 396 (1995).
- [22] R.Vincent, Proc.Roy.Soc.A 321, 53 (1971).
- [23] S.Cueille and C.Sire, in preparation, 1997.