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#### Abstract

W e consider an idealgas of in nitely rigid rods near a perfectly repulsive wall, and show that the interfacialtension of surface $w$ ith rods on one side is low er w hen the surface bends tow ards the rods. Sunprisingly we nd that rods on both sides of surfaces also lower the energy when the surface bends. We com pute the partition functions of rods con ned to spherical and cylindrical open shells, and conclude that spherical shells repel rods, w hereas cylindrical shells (for thidkness of the shell on the order of the rod-length) attract them. The role of exibility is investigated by considering chains com posed of two rigid segm ents.


8722 Bt, $11.30 .2 \mathrm{C}, 82.70 \mathrm{D} \mathrm{d}$

## I．IN TRODUCTION

$M$ acrom olecular surface interactions play an im portant role in a variety of phenom ena： stabilization／destabilization of colloidal solutions［1］，where the \surface＂is the surface of a colbidal particle；surface e ects in liquid crystal displays © 0 ，where the \surface＂is the walls of the container；and $m$ odi cation of bending rigidities of bilayers［3］，in which case the \surface＂is a m em brane．

Both rigid and exible $m$ acrom olecules can induce a modi cation of the properties of interfacs，either by adsorption or depletion；here we concentrate on the surface physics of non－adsonbing，rigid and rod－shaped $m$ acrom olecules．Interest in solutions of rigid rod－ like ob jects can be traced to the work of Stanley who rst extracted the tobacco mosaic virus（TMV）［4］and to the subsequent observation of the nem atic phase in TM V solu－ tions 目］，which was later explained by the sem inal theory of $O$ nsager［回］．$M$ any other rod－like $m$ olecules exist in the biological realm，ranging from DNA in its helix form［7］to brils of am yloid－protein，the $m$ olecular agent at the origin of the A lzheim er disease 㔽］． Solutions of rod－like $m$ olecules in a large variety of $m$ ineral and organic system $s$ have also been studied［9］．

In a pioneering study of surface interactions ofm acrom olecules A sakura and O osaw a 10］ showed that the steric depletion of rigid $m$ acrom olecules at a at surface increases the interfacial energy of the system，im plying that tw o surfaces in a solution of non－interacting rods will attract one another when they are at separations sm aller than the rod－length． This e ect，which is at the origin of colloidal destabilization，is also present in the steric depletion of spherical particles，or particles w ith other shapes \｛ but with a di erent range and $m$ agnitude．A sakura and $O$ osaw a theory has since been extended to include e ects of nem atic ordering in the bulk［11］or e ects of rod－rod excluded volum e interactions［12］； in the latter work the authors show that when the modi cation of the rod－rod excluded volum e interactions close to the surface is taken into account，a rod solution $m$ ay stabilize a colloidal suspension，rather than destabilizing it．H ow ever，alm ost all the available studies
on rod-surface interactions are devoted to at geom etries, except for A uvray's work 13] where the author $m$ akes a rst attem pt at considering curved geom etries; we use som e of his constructions in our work, which extends the original A sakura and O osaw a theory to include e ects of surface curvature in the contribution of the rod depletion layer to the surface tension. For exible surfaces, e.g. m em branes, in rod solutions, our results allow us to derive the renorm alization of the bending rigidities of the $m$ em brane. Som $e$ of our ndings are qualitatively di erent from the corresponding results for exible polym ers in solution. This prom pted us to consider two rigid rod-segm ents joined in the $m$ iddle as a rst step in studying the cross-over from rigid rods to exible polym ers \{ rigid rods being a polym er of which the persistence length is longer than its length, and the rod-segm ents joined in the $m$ iddle being one with a persistence length equal to half of its length. $N$ ot supprisingly we nd that when one allow s for a hinge in the rod, the results are closer to the exible polym er results.

Even though steric e ects are purely entropic and exist for any non-adsorbing $m$ acrom olecular solution, the strength of the e ects is larger for rods $w$ th large aspect ratios. T he follow ing sim ple com parison of the order ofm agnitudes of the contributions to the surface tension w ith those caused by spherical particles illustrates this. The typical scale of the energy density in a solution of colloidal spheres of radius $r_{0}$ and particle num ber density b is $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}$. Integration over the layer thickness results in corrections to the interfacialenergy of the order of $\quad$, $k_{B} T{ }_{b} r_{0}$. On the other hand, the scale for the interfacial tension in $m$ ost liquids is of the order of $0^{\prime} k_{B} T=a^{2}$ where $a$ is a $m$ icroscopic size. For instance for a $0: 1 \mathrm{~nm}$, 0 is of the order of tens of $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}$. T he depletion of spherical particles, even at order unity volum e fractions $={ }_{b}(4=3) r_{0}^{3}$, induces thus corrections to the interfacial tension which are a factor $\left(a=r_{0}\right)^{2}$ low er than typical values. C urvature corrections to the interfacial energy are of the form: $\quad, k_{B} T{ }_{b} r_{0}\left(1+C_{1} r_{0}=R+C_{2} r_{0}^{2}=R^{2}\right)$, where $R$ is the radius of curvature and $C_{1} ; C_{2}$ are tw o num erical constants. $T$ his sets the order ofm agnitude ofm odi cations of the bare elastic constants: $\quad, k_{B} T{ }_{b} r_{0}^{3}$. Even at the upper concentration $\lim$ it $\mathrm{b} \quad 1=r_{0}^{3}$, these corrections are at the low er end of the range [14], $1 \quad 20 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$,
of $m$ ost bare elastic constants. For an isotropic rod solution the upper concentration lim it is the O nsager concentration $\stackrel{?}{\mathrm{~b}}=4: 2=\left(\mathrm{L}^{2} \mathrm{D}\right)$ [6], where L is the length of the rod and $D$ its diam eter. N ow interfacial tension contributions are of the order $\quad$ ' $k_{B} T{ }_{b} L$, but even for rod diam eters of order of the $m$ icroscopic length $a$, and at the $O$ nsager concentration this contribution is still a factor ( $a=\mathrm{L}$ ) sm aller than typical interfacial tension values. H ow ever, $m$ odi cations of the elastic constants are here of order $\quad$, $k_{B} T{ }_{b} L^{3}$. At the O nsager concentration this is a factor ( $L=D$ ) larger than $k_{B} T$, and therefore, $m$ ay lead to a substantial $m$ odi cation of the elastic constants of even rather rigid phospholipid $m$ em branes $w$ th elastic constants as large as $20 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$, even at low rod concentrations where rod-rod interactions are negligible.

In the next section we introduce the basic them odynam ics necessary for our discussion; sections $\Psi$ and $\mathbb{I V}$ contain our results for rods close to surfaces, and rods in shells, respectively. W e m ake an initial attem pt at understanding the cross-over from exible polym ers to rigid rods in section $V$; the last section is devoted to a discussion and sum $m$ ary of our m ain results.

## II. THERMODYNAM ICS

We consider an ideal gas of rods of length $L$ in the presence of surfaces whidh repel the rods, in several di erent geom etries. The thickness of the rods is taken to be zero, i.e. $m$ uch sm aller than all other length scales in the problem. W e param etrize the possible rod con gurations by the coordinate $x$, and two angles specifying in which direction the rod points, $\underset{\downarrow}{\downarrow} \quad(\mathrm{i}) . \mathrm{K}$ inetics is ignored throughout the treatm ent.

The relevant potential describing the them odynam ics of the system can be written as, $\left(k_{B} T 1\right):$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.[(x ;!)]=^{Z}{ }^{Z} x^{Z} d!\quad(x ;!) \log (v \quad(x ; \downarrow)=e) \quad\left(\mathrm{b} \quad U_{\operatorname{ext}}(x ;!)\right)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]$w$ here v is som e nom alization volum e , b is the solution chem ical potential, and $\mathrm{U}_{\text {ext }}$ is the hard wall interaction potential that is either in nite or zero, depending on whether the con guration of the rod is allowed by the hard wall requirem ent or not. Functional $m$ in im ization of $w$ ith respect to $(x ; y)$ gives the equilibrium density pro le:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; t)=\frac{e}{v} e^{U_{\text {ext }}(x ; t)} \quad \frac{b}{4} e^{U_{\text {ext }}(x ; t)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

$w$ th $b$ the rod num ber density of the bulk solution. The local, position dependent, num ber density of particles $(x)$ is simply the sum over allallowed angular con gurations of ( $x ; \downarrow$ ). The excess surface energy is then calculated as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{[(x ; \downarrow)] \quad[b=(4)]}{S}=b_{b}^{Z} \frac{d x}{S}{ }^{Z} \frac{d \downarrow}{4}\left(1 \quad e^{\left.U_{\text {ext }(x ; t)}\right)}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is the surface area and the volume integral nuns over the space available to the solution.

## III. ONE SURFACE IN A ROD SOLUTION

H ere we consider surfaces $w$ ith rods on one side only. Results for the case $w$ ith rods on both sides can be easily found by adding the results for the inside and the outside. O ur caloulations ignore fringe e ects that are unim portant in the therm odynam ic lim it, e.g. the at wall is treated as translationally invariant.

We rst rew rite equation (3) in pressure units ( $k_{B} T_{b}=1$ ) and explicitly state its rodlength dependence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L)=\frac{d x}{}^{Z} \frac{d!}{4} h(x ;!; L) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we represent the space coordinates of the rod by the position of one extrem ity (see gure (1)), the function $h(x ; 4 ; L)$ is unity when a rod of length $L$, starting at $x$ and pointing in the direction $\downarrow$ intersects the surface; it is 0 otherw ise. This can be written as
 and $s$ is de ned as follow $s$ : The halffay orginating at $x$ and travelling in the direction of $\downarrow$
m ay or may not intersect the surface. If it does not then we do not have to de ne s, since all such con gurations give $H=0$, and thus do not a ect any of the below expressions. If the half-ray does intersect the surface, we de ne s as the intersection point that is closest to $x . N$ otige that $s$ is independent of $L$.

It is convenient to calculate the L-derivative of the excess surface energy:
w ith $s$ the angle between the rod and the norm al to the surface at point $s$ and $g(s ; 4 ; \mathrm{L})$ a function restraining the angular integration space for a rod w ith one extrem ity at s. The integral over ds ranges over the whole surface. The factor cos $s_{s}$ is the appropriate Jacobian.

## A. C onvex bodies

For any surface delim iting a convex body, the function $g(\mathbf{s} ; \boldsymbol{4}$; L) restricts the angular integral to half of the angular space, independently of the actual surface shape.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{QL}} \quad(\mathrm{~L})=\frac{1}{4}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}}=2 \mathrm{~d} \sin \quad \cos =\frac{1}{4} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating equation (G) with the boundary condition $(L=0)=0$ one gets the three dim ensional result for the surface tension of convex bodies im $m$ ersed in a rod solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L)=\frac{L}{4} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result can easily be extended to convex bodies in an arbitrary dim ension D :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L)=c(D) L \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

In particular, $\mathrm{c}(2)=1=$ and $\mathrm{c}(3)=1=4$.

For a general surface, the function $g(\mathbf{s} ; \underline{\mathrm{l}} ; \mathrm{L}) \mathrm{m}$ ight restrict the angular integration in equation (5) to less than half of the angular space. In this case we can still integrate over half of the angular space and further subtract the non-available angular sector. In three dim ensions:

$$
\frac{@}{@ L} \quad(L)=\frac{1}{4}^{Z} \frac{d s}{S}^{Z} \frac{d \downarrow}{4} \cos s_{s} H\left(\begin{array}{lll}
L & \left(\tilde{S}^{0}\right. & s):! \tag{10}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{S}^{0}$ is another surface vector. D i erentiating $w$ ith respect to $L$ and perform ing the angular integration leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2}}{\mathrm{QL}^{2}}(\mathrm{~L})=\frac{\mathrm{ds}}{}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{Z}}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{-}}{4 \mathrm{~L}^{2}} \cos \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{s}} \cot \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he vector ${ }^{\wedge}$ de nes allthe points on the surface at a distance $L$ from a given points. In this three dim ensional case this is usually a one dim ensional curve. The angle $s$ is measured $w$ th respect to the norm al of the surface at point $s$ and the angle , is $m$ easured $w$ ith respect to the surface norm al at point ${ }^{\imath}$. C ontrary to the convex case, the excess surface energy of a non-convex body im m ersed in a rod solution does depend on the actual shape of the body. M oreover, because the contribution of the second order derivative (11) is alw ays negative, any long-w avelength concavity w ill reduce the surface tension of a surface w ith rods on one side or both sides. Therefore entropic e ects in such system $\mathrm{s} w$ ill render at surfaces unstable tow ards long-w avelength curvature! It also im plies that for a xed geom etry the surface tension is a concave function of the rod-length, $L$, and $w i l l$ have the general form depicted in gure (2).

Equation (11) can easily be extended to spaces of di erent dim ensions. For instance, in tw o dim ensions, where the \surface" is actually a one dim ensional curve of perim eter $P$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2}}{\varrho^{2}} \quad(\mathrm{~L})=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{}_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~L}} \cos \times \cot _{\mathrm{q}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum nuns over all (usually two) points $q$ at a distance $L$ from a given point ${ }^{*}$ on the surface.

## C.Som e exam ples

W ith the above $m$ ethods, ( L ) can be calculated exactly for the inside and outside of a circle, a sphere, and a cylinder, each $w$ th radius $R$; and also for two at walls separated by , i.e. a gap. We would like to em phasize that all of these results can also be derived in an independent way which is also exact, nam ely by \counting beans", i.e. by just doing the integrals in Eq. (4) straightforw ardly (see section 耳IE below ). W e have explicitly checked that all of the results quoted here agree $w$ ith these straightforw ard calculations \{ especially in the cylinder's case both calculations are quite involved algebraically, and this check is absolutely non-trivial. O f course, the $m$ ethod of com puting the second derivative and then integrating up is the shortest route to these answers.

W e now de ne $L=R$; this is for convenience only and does not $m$ ean that we take to be sm all unless speci cally stated.

W e rst calculate results for two dim ensions. Inside of a circle we have, (see gure (3) ): $\cos .==2, \cot { }_{q}=\cot .=(=2)=\frac{q}{1 r^{2}=4}$, and the sum over $q$ am ounts to a factor oftwo. Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2}}{\varrho L^{2}} \operatorname{inn}_{\text {cir }}^{\text {in }}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}} \cos \cdot \cot _{\mathrm{q}}=\overline{\mathrm{R}}^{\frac{=4}{1{ }^{2}=4}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing $\quad(L=0)=0$, and $\frac{e}{\varrho L} \quad(L=0)=1$, we nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{cir}}^{\mathrm{in}}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\frac{1}{\sin ^{1}(=2)+\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{q}} \overline{1} \quad{ }^{2}=4} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For three dim ensions we consider rods inside spheres and cylinders. Inside of a sphere we have, $($ see gure (4) $): \cos s_{s}=2, \cot ,=\cot { }_{s}=(=2)={ }^{q} \overline{1}{ }^{2}=4$ and $\backslash=2 \mathrm{~L} \sin \mathrm{~s}$. Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2}}{@ L^{2}} \quad \underset{\mathrm{sph}}{\mathrm{in}}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~L}} \sin \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{s}} \cos \cot _{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{1}{8} \bar{R} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow s that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\frac{\mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{in}}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\frac{1}{4} \quad \frac{1}{48}^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The calculation for the inside of a cylinder is a bit tedious, but also doable. $W$ e nd:
where the functions E and $K$ are the com plete elliptic integral of the rst and second kind respectively. The cylinder result can in principle be integrated to give the special functions M eijerg . The straight-forw ard \bean-counting" mentioned above leads also to an integral form that can only be done explicitly as a perturbation series in . We checked that the coe cients in this series agree w ith the expression for the second derivative in Eq. (77). The perturbative result for the inside of the cylinder is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{cy} 1}^{\mathrm{in}}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\frac{1}{4} \quad \frac{1}{128}^{2}+ \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case of the at gap has a di erent topology, and therefore is worth doing as an exam ple, (see gure (5).) For L , clearly there are no con gurations of a rod that bridge tw o points on the surface, and therefore: gap $=\mathrm{L}=2$, (to assure consistency w ith sections to com e we took $S$ to be one side's area.) $W$ hereas for $L>$, we have: $1_{1}=2=$, $\cos ==\mathrm{L}$, and ${ }^{`}=2 \mathrm{~L} \sin$. Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2}}{@ L^{2}} \text { gap }=\frac{\cos ^{2}}{L}=\frac{2}{L^{3}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow $s$ that:

This is to be com pared to Eq. (25) below.
W e also developed a $m$ ethod to com pute the $s m$ all $L$ expansion of the surface tension for a general surface in two and three dim ensions. We leave this discussion to appendix 因, and just note here that the expansions of the expressions for the circle, sphere, and the cylinder agree w th this generalm ethod's predictions. In particular, that is an odd function of L for su ciently sm all L follow s from this expansion.

```
D.R andom Insertion Sam pling
```

W e also tested the surprising prediction of equation (7) in the case of an ellipsoid, the sim plest non-trivial case in 3 dim ensions, by perform ing random insertion sam pling. Since the prediction for the surface tension is in the lim it of a non-interaction gas of rods, we need only consider the behavior of one rod of length $L$ in our num erical experim ent.

The algorithm is as follow s. W e choose a volum e $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ around the ellipsoid of sem i-axis $A$; B ; C that is large enough to encom pass the ellipsoid and a shell of thickness $\mathrm{L}=2$ around the ellipsoid. W e then random ly select $M$ center ofm ass positions and orientations for the rod of length $L$. The center ofm ass $m$ ust be in $V_{0}$ excluding $V_{e}$, the volum e of the ellipsoid itself. By noting the fraction $f$ of insertions that lead to overlap between the rod and the ellipsoid we can express the surface-tension as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L)=f \frac{V_{0} V_{e}}{S_{e}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

in units of $k_{B} T \quad{ }_{b} . S_{e}$ denotes the surface area of the ellipsoid.
W hen we choose $M=10^{8}$, our num ericalexperim ent con m s the prediction $(\mathrm{L})=\mathrm{L}=$ $1=4$ w thin an error-bar of $0: 5 \quad 10^{4}$ for a w ide variety of choices for $A ; B ; C$; and $L$. The error-bar on the fraction $f$ is $\frac{q}{\frac{£(1 f)}{M}}$

## E. Com m ents

A though the $m$ ethod of $\backslash$ bean-counting" is less e cient than the one described above, it is nevertheless usefiul for gaining $m$ ore insight into the reasons for the counterintuitive results above. In this $m$ ethod one perform $s$ rst the angular integration over ( $(\underset{r}{\boldsymbol{t}})$ to obtain the rod density (x). In the particular cases of at, cylindrical and spherical surfaces, the excess surface energy is then obtained from

$$
={ }^{Z} d z(b \quad(z)) J(z ; R)
$$

$w$ ith $z$ the penpendicular distance from the surface, and $J(z ; R)$ the Jacobian, whidh depends on the geom etry. For at surfaces $J(z ; R)=1$; for the outside of a cylinder of radius $R$,
$J(z ; R)=1+z=R$, and for a sphere $J(z ; R)=(1+z=R)^{2}$. For these three geom etries there is only a one-dim ensional integral (over $z$ ) to be carried out, once $(z)$ is determ ined. D i erently from the previous sections, w e de ne hereafter the rod coordinates by the position of its center ofm ass.

For exam ple, for a plane w ith rods on one side (see gure (6)), we have:

N otioe that the rod concentration $={ }_{b} 2 \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{L}$ is di erent from the m onom er concentration. The evaluation of this second quantity is slightly more involved but can be calculated follow ing A uvray [13]. This gives: $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}(\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{L})(1 \quad \log (\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{L}))$, where $\mathrm{C}={ }_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{N}$ is the bu $\mathfrak{k}$ m onom er concentration for a solution of rods w th N m onom ers.

The calculation of the phase space available to a rod in the presence of a curved surface is som ew hat di erent from the one for a at surface, for now it is possible for the rod to contact the wall in two di erent ways (see gure (7) : when the rod is su ciently far from the surface the tip touches the w all upon rotation, whereas when the rod is su ciently close to the wall, it will be tangent to the surface at som e point along its length. The critical z value separating these two regions is $z_{i s p h}^{\text {out }}=\frac{q}{(L=2)^{2}+R^{2}} \quad R$. The phase spaces arising from these two con gurations have di erent functional form s. In the inside of the sphere, of course, the tangent construction is not possible, but now there is a minim um distance beyond which it is not possible to place the center of $m$ ass of the rod $\{$ this happens at $z^{i \text { in }}{ }_{\text {sph }}={ }^{q} \overline{R^{2} \quad(\mathrm{~L}=2)^{2}} \quad$ R. A closer look at the results from the previous sections reveals that the surface tension is non-analytic in the curvature; e.g. that even for arbitrarily
 invalidates the usual extrapolation of the free energy results from the cylindrical and the spherical shapes to arbitrary bending states. W e can see that the di erence between $z_{i}^{\text {out }}$;sph and $z^{i n}{ }_{\text {isph }}$ is the basic reason for this non-analyticity. It is also possible to com pute the $m$ onom er densities in the spherical con guration, we present these in appendix B.

From equation (23) it is clear that di erences between the excess energy of a at and a
curved surface depend on two factors. T he rst is the con gurational part m easured by the di erences in the rod density pro les, the second one is associated w ith the space available to the center ofm ass, and is $m$ easured by $J(z ; R)$. In the case of hard sphere solutions, where there is no coupling between con guration and curvature, only $J(z ; R)$ is responsible for energy di erences; the pro le of particle concentration, $(z)=b\left(z \quad r_{0}\right)$, is independent of geom etry. It is easy to show that in that case one has $=k_{B} T{ }_{b} r_{0}\left(1+r_{0}=(2 R)\right)$ for cylindrical surfaces and $=k_{B} T{ }_{b} r_{0}\left(1+r_{0}=R+r_{0}^{2}=\left(3 R^{2}\right)\right)$ for spherical ones. In the case of rod solutions, there is a coupling between the con guration of the rods and the surface curvature: the concentration pro les are a function of the surface curvature. Given this coupling, the exact correspondence am ong the free energies ofallconvex-shaped rod-system $s$, proven in section ㅍIA, is rather surprising. This im plies that curvature contributions to free energy (22) from both the pro le and the Jacobian integrate out to a total vanishing value. $T$ his cancellation is non-local, ie. at a given $z$ aw ay from the surface the total phase space for the center of $m$ ass does not change as to com pensate for the con gurational changes in the pro le. O ne can gain further insight into the issue by recalling equation (22), and considering gure (8). The surface tension is just the total \area" between the curves (z) and b w eighted by the appropriate Jacobian. T he surface tension for the plane is sim ply the sum of the shaded areas I and II, whereas the surface tension for the outside of the sphere is the weighted \area" of I only, which happens to be the sam e. T he relevant w eighted areas are shown in gure (9).

A lso, we pointed out that in general the surface tension decreases as the surface develops concave regions, e.g. $\operatorname{in}_{\text {sph }}<\quad$ f, i.e. the sum of the weighted \areas" of the regions $I, I I$, and III in gure (8) is sm aller than the area of I. Therefore a at surface w ith rods on one side w ould be able to low er its energy by bending tow ards the rods in a spherical shape; and if there are rods on both sides, since $s p h \quad$ f , they would destabilize the at surface tow ards bending.

## F. B ending R igidities

For a exible surface, e.g. a m em brane, one com putes the renorm alization of the bending rigidities by assum ing that $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}$, the excess free energy per unit area of the system is expandable in a power series in the curvature, i.e. the excess free energy is analytic in the curvature. T hen up to quadratic order in the principal curvatures $\frac{1}{R_{1}}$ and $\frac{1}{R_{2}}$, this expansion can be w ritten in term $s$ of the $m$ ean and $G$ aussian curvatures of the surface 目]. In term $s$ of the principal radii, the $m$ ean curvature is $H=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}+\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right)$, and the $G$ aussian curvature is $K=\left(\frac{1}{R_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{R_{2}}\right)$. A general form of $f_{c}$ to this order is given by: $f_{c}=2 \quad(H \quad C 0)^{2}+\quad K$, where and Tare the contributions from the excess free energy to and Fthe bare elastic constants of the $m$ em brane. $c_{0}$ is the induced spontaneous curvature of the system .

A s an exam ple we calculate rst the m odi cations of the elastic constants of a m em brane im mersed in a dilute solution of hard spheres. A s quoted above $=k_{B} T{ }_{b} r_{0}\left(1+r_{0}=(2 R)\right)$ for cylindrical surfaces $\left(1=R_{1}=1=R\right.$ and $\left.1=R_{2}=0\right)$ and $=k_{B} T{ }_{b} r_{0}\left(1+r_{0}=R+r_{0}^{2}=\left(3 R^{2}\right)\right.$ ) for spherical surfaces $\left(1=R_{1}=1=R_{2}=1=R\right)$. This leads to $=0$, $=(2=3) \mathrm{k}$ в T ${ }_{b} \mathrm{r}_{0}^{3}$ and $C_{0}=0$.

For a $m$ em brane exposed to a rod solution, the non-analyticity of the free energy $m$ ight im ply that the extracted bending rigidities are not $m$ eaningfill for all states of curvature of the $m$ em brane. In particular, bending states $w$ here the principal radii of curvature have opposite signs $m$ ight not be described by the usual $H$ elfrich expansion. W th this note of caution in $m$ ind, we com puted the renorm alization of the bending rigidities for a $m$ em brane exposed to a rod solution on both sides:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{C}_{0} & =0 \\
& =\frac{1}{64}\left({ }_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~L}^{3}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{b}}{\mathrm{~b}^{2}} \frac{1}{15: 2} \frac{\mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{D}}  \tag{24}\\
- & =+\frac{1}{96}\left({ }_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~L}^{3}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{b}}{\mathrm{~b}} \frac{1}{22: 9} \frac{\mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{D}}
\end{align*}
$$

It is interesting that there is no spontaneous curvature induced by the rods, since there is no sym $m$ etry reason why this should be the case. Though, our $\backslash$ sm all L analysis" in
appendix 目 show $s$ that this is an (alm ost) general property of rod-system s . The m odi cations of the elastic constants (a decrease of and an increase of ${ }^{-}$indicating a preference to form periodic $m$ inim al surfaces) are sim ilar to results for depletion of other $m$ acrom olecular species. H ow ever, the $m$ agnitude of this e ect can be here $m$ uch larger than $k_{B} T$, a feature not found in other depletion system $s$. It is of order of $\left(b L^{3}\right)^{\prime}\left(b={ }_{b}\right)(L=D)$, where D is the thidkness of the rod and ${ }_{b}$ is the O nsager concentration for rigid rods. Even at the O nsager concentration the enhancem ent factor $L=D$ can lead to very large contributions.

## IV.TW O SURFACES IN A ROD SOLUTION

H ere we consider rods in shells where both surfaces of the shells are repulsive. The shells are taken to be in equilibrium w ith a bulk reservoir of a rod solution at bulk concentration b. The thickness of the shells is denoted by , the perpendicular distance from the inner surface by $z$. The volum e, and the thickness of the box are kept xed as it gets bent. W hen $>\mathrm{L}$, the results in this section follow from the ones in the previous sections, since the e ects of the two surfaces do not interfere w th one another. W hen < L however, there is interference and one needs to calculate the allowed phase space by accounting for interactions w ith both surfaces.

W e consider rods con ned inside at or curved shells, but in equilibrium with a rod solution. By com paring the energies of at, spherical and cylindrical shells, we hope to investigate for rods a question that has recently been investigated for exible polym ers [15] and $m$ esoscopic quantum system $s$ 16]: do the curved regions in con ned system $s$ act as attractive potentials, where the concentration of particles will be greater, or as depletive potentials from where particles will be repelled ? Table $\mathbb{Z}$ sum $m$ arizes the system s studied so far, including the case of con ned rods treated below.
A. F lat Strip

A straightforw ard calculation fora at strip ofthidkness $=y \mathrm{~L}$ con ning a rods solution yields:

$$
\frac{\substack{\text { in }  \tag{25}\\
\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\begin{array}{ll}
\begin{array}{l}
8 \\
\gtrless
\end{array} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{y}^{2}
\end{array} \quad ; \mathrm{y}<1
$$

A susual, the interfacialenergy is expressed in units of $k_{B} T \quad$. N ote that for separations larger than the rod-length the interfacial energy is just the sum of two contributions from the inside surfaces. W hen the surfaces are taken to be also in contact with a solution outside (see gure (10)) we get:

$$
\frac{\underset{\mathrm{f}}{\text { both }}}{\mathrm{L}}=\begin{array}{ll}
\stackrel{8}{\gtrless} \frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{y} & \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{y}^{2} \tag{26}
\end{array} ; \mathrm{y}<1
$$

B. Spherical Shell

W e are able to calculate the available phase space, and thus the surface tension of a spherical shell exactly. The result has four regions, across the boundaries of which the functional form of changes. This happens because one needs to consider the relative positions of $z_{i s}^{\text {out }} z_{i,}^{\text {in }}$ which were de ned earlier, and $z_{0}$, the point separating the two regions where the rod only touches the upper surface and the one where it only touches the lower one. The extent of the rst two regions vanishes w ith curvature; the results below tend asym ptotically to the ones for the at strip in the lim it of sm all curvature. W e took $S$ as the surface area of the at box w th the sam e volum e and thickness. W e leave the fill results to the appendix and give here the expansions in $=\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{R}$.


O ne can check by com paring Eq. (25) w ith Eq. (27) that for any separation the surface tension of the spherical shell is larger than that of the at box. W e conclude therefore that rods prefer to stay in at regions of the con ning box rather than in spherically curved ones. $T$ his can be further checked by com puting the average rod conœentration inside the at shell and com paring it to the value inside the spherically bent shell; the latter w illbe sm aller than the form er. W e show below that cylindrical shells induce the opposite, i.e. attract rods, for L less than but close to . Results for a spherical shell im mersed in a a rod solution can be easily obtained by adding to Eq. 27) the outside and inside contributions of Eq. (16).

## C. C ylindrical Shell

The cylindrical shell calculation is substantially m ore involved than the ones for planar or spherical shells. W e resorted to a perturbation in ( $1=\mathrm{R}$ ) in this section, and stayed at separations close to the rod-length, ie. the results below for < L should be understood to be valid only in a nite region close to $L$. A gain, choosing $S$ as the at box's surface area, we nd:

The results for the cylindrical shells are, at least for separations close to the rod-length, opposite of those for the spherical shells: the surface energy in this case is sm aller than that for the at strip. R od-like m olecules will therefore be attracted by cylindrically bent shells.

$$
\text { D . B ending } R \text { igidities }
$$

A s explained above, for sm all curvatures, it is possible to describe the elastic properties of a surface, by three param eters: the spontaneous curvature $c_{0}$, and the bending rigidities , and . In this paragraph we com pute these param eters for a exible box, such as a $m$ em brane, exposed to rods. For all cases $c_{0}=0$, as it should be due to the sym $m$ etry of the geom etry.

For an open shell with rods on the inside only we nd:

For a strip im m ersed in a solution of rods, i.e. a system with rods inside and outside the shellwe nd:

## V.FROM RIGID RODS TO FLEXIBLE POLYMERS

A rigid rod can be viewed as the particular lim it of a freely-hinged polym er chain w ith onem onom er only, see gure 11). In the reverse lim it, when the polym erization num ber $N$ is very large, the equilibrium con gurations of such a chain are well described by G aussian statistics. W e now describe to som e extent the crossover betw een these two lim its.

For a $m$ em brane in contact $w$ ith a dilute solution of $G$ aussian polym ers, it is possible to calculate the excess surface energy [17]. For instance, a sphere in D dim ensions im mersed in a such a solution increases its surface energy by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{poly}(\mathbb{N})=P^{2}=N^{1=2} a+\frac{D \quad 1}{2} \frac{N a^{2}}{R}+\frac{(D \quad 1)(D \quad 3)}{6^{P}-} \frac{N^{3=2} a^{3}}{R^{2}}+ \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith a the $m$ onom er size (and total length $L=N$ a). For a sphere in three dim ensions this reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { sph poly }(N)=p^{2}=N^{1=2} a+\frac{N a^{2}}{R}+ \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that for the $G$ aussian polym er case, there is an analytical expansion of the free-energy in powers of the curvature, the case of a solution inside a sphere can sim ply be obtained from the result above by a sign perm utation $1=R!\quad 1=R$. The scaling of the rst term in equation (32) im plies that for a plane the excess surface energy decreases by a factor of ${ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}^{2}$ when one increases by a factor 2 the num ber of links in a chain of length $L$.

In the rod $\lim$ it $(\mathbb{N}=1)$, one has in the at case, $\quad f(\mathbb{N}=1)=(1=4) L$. The $(\mathbb{N}=2)-$ calculation for the at case is straightforw ard and gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\mathbb{N}=2)=\frac{5}{24} L \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $\mathrm{L}=2 \mathrm{~N}$ a is still the total length of the polym er. Interestingly, even for such a sm all m onom er num ber, doubling the num ber ofm onom ers reduces the surface tension by 1:67, a factor not too di erent from ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$ in the G aussian (large N) lim it. It is also possible to write dow n a general integral expression for the surface tension near a at wall for any N where all the integrals are in principle doable; we left this to the appendix, Eq. C1).

The surface tension for ( $\mathbb{N}=2$ ) in-and outside of a sphere can be calculated using the sam em ethods as for the rods $(\mathbb{N}=1)$. W e leave the exact outside result to the appendix, Eq. C2), and expand it here in the curvature:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{sph}}^{\text {out }}(\mathbb{N}=2)=\frac{5}{24}+\frac{1}{64} \quad \frac{1}{240}^{2}+ \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact inside result is sim ply:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{in}_{\operatorname{sph}}(\mathbb{N}=2)=\frac{5}{24} \quad \frac{1}{64} \quad \frac{13}{1920}^{2} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otice that there is now a spontaneous curvature. M oreover, the sign of it is positive for the outside, as it is for idealpolym ers [17]. A lso, the asym $m$ etry betw een the in-and the outside cases, which is the cause of the non-analyticity in the curvature free energy, is exactly onethird of what it is for $(\mathbb{N}=1)$. Furtherm ore, the overall m agnitude of the ${ }^{2}$ term is about half of what it is for the rigid rod. This term does not exist for the polym er. Therefore, even the introduction of one single link in the rigid rod, brings the results closer to those of the exible polym er case.

## V I. C O N C LU SIO N

W e studied the depletion interactions of curved surfaces exposed to dilute rod solutions. W e nd that in general, the excess surface energy caused by the depletion interactions depends on the exact shape of the surface. For instance, the surface tension of a plane exposed to a dilute solution of rods of length $L$ increases by $f_{\text {lat }}=k_{B} T{ }_{b} L=4$, with ${ }_{b}$ the rod num ber concentration of the solution, whereas the equivalent quantity for a spherical
 $\left.(1=12) L^{2}=R^{2}\right)$, with $R$ the radius of curvature of the sphere.

Surprisingly, we found also that a spherical surface in contact w ith a rod solution outside of the sphere has the same excess surface energy as the at surface $\quad \underset{\text { sph }}{\text { out }}=k_{B} T{ }_{b} L=4$. M oreover we proved that this equality holds for any convex surface exposed to the solution. This im plies that the excess energy of any convex body im $m$ ersed in a dilute rod solution only depends on the total surface of the body and not on its actual shape (as long as it is convex). A sim ilar equality also holds in any dim ension of space. For instance in two dim ensions, the line tension for a straight line is $f_{\text {flat }}=k_{B} T{ }_{b} L=w$ ith $b_{b}$ the $\backslash$ bulk" surface rod density, and has the sam e value for rods outside of a circle.

The rst practical implication of our results is that a exible membrane immersed in a solution (thus exposed to the depletion rod layer on both sides) w ill spontaneously break its curvature sym $m$ etry. A lso, a $m$ em brane exposed to a rod solution (on one side) will
spontaneously bend tow ards the solution. The experim ental observation of these e ects $w$ ill depend on the $m$ agnitude of the sym $m$ etry breaking eld. This can be $m$ easured by com paring the relative im portance of induced modi cations in the elastic constants of the m em brane. Typical values of the bare elastic constants are in the range $1 \quad 20 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$. The induced shift to these bare constants are for most system $s$ like hard spheres or exible polym ers, only a fraction of $k_{B}$ T. H ow ever for rod solutions, at the O nsager concentration, the induced $m$ odi cation is a factor $L=D$ larger than $k_{B} T$, and can potentially be very large.

W hen the rods are con ned in curved shells, we showed that regions with cylindrical curvature attract the rods, whereas regions w ith spherical curvature deplete the rods. This enlightens a recent discussion on con nem ent e ects in solutions of exible polym ers 45 and in $m$ esoscopic quantum system $s$ 16]. Table $\ddagger$ sum $m$ arizes results in a variety of system $s$.

The m ethods that we developed for this work can be applied to other geom etries and other situations. W ork is under progress to exactly evaluate rod-m ediated sphere-sphere interactions. A lso of interest are the e ects of attractive interactions on the bending constants ofm em branes exposed to rod solutions.

W e rem ark also that the steric surface interactions are identical for rigid rods and rigid disks near surfaces, if one takes the rod's length and the disk's diam eter to be the sam e; how ever the disk system does not en joy the above-m entioned $L=D$ enhancem ent. The shell calculations also generalize to the disks if the radius of the disks is su ciently sm all.
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W hen $L$ is $s m$ all (or the radii of curvature large) we can expand the excess free energy in powers of $L$. We do this rst for the $2-D$ case. $W$ e start $w$ ith Eq. (12). For $L$ sm all, P ${ }_{q}$ cos , cot ${ }_{q}$ is a function only of the point ' and the expansion of the shape around it. $W$ e assum e that $L$ is sm allenough that rods of length $L$ starting at ' can only reach out to points which are nearby along the surface. If the surface is convex in this region, there are no points q , and the function is $0 . \mathrm{W}$ e ignore in ection points, because they w ill generally be of $m$ easure 0 (except for plane regions, where the function is also 0 ). W e assum e that there are no comers. This leaves only regions where the surface is concave. A round the point ' we can draw the tangent to the surface as the $x$-axis, $w$ ith ' at $x=0$, and let the curve's shape be given by the function $f(x)$, (See gure (12).) The function $f$ will have a Taylor expansion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=c_{2} x^{2}+c_{3} x^{3}+c_{4} x^{4}+ \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $c_{i}$ are functions of '. Wewant to nd the two values of $x$ such that rods of length L start at the origin and hit the curve (See gure 12).) That is, we need solutions to $x^{2}+f(x)^{2}=L^{2}$. There are two solutions, which for L sm all are near L. W e can expand in powers of $L$, and to $4^{\text {th }}$ order nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=L \quad \frac{1}{2} C_{2} L^{3} \quad C_{2} C_{3} L^{4}+O\left(L^{5}\right) \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not hard to show that the two solutions x are given by the sam e pow er series, except w th the sign of the odd powers in $L$ reversed. A nother way to say this is that the two values of $x$ are given by one power series in L. G iven the shape $f(x)$ and values $x$, we can calculate the cosines and cotangents (see gure 12)):
$P$ lugging in the expansion of $x$ to order $L^{3}$ we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { cos } \cdot \cot { }_{q}=C_{2}^{2} L^{2} \quad 3 C_{3} L^{3}+O\left(L^{4}\right) \\
& P_{q} \cos \cdot \cot { }_{q}=2 C_{2}^{2} L^{2}+O\left(L^{4}\right) \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

In the sum over $x$, the tem $s$ of order $L^{3}$ canceled out. Because $x$ is a function of $L$, functions of $x$ are also functions of $L$. The expression for cos , oot $q$ above uses only functions of $x$ and $L^{2}=(L)^{2}$, so is again a function of $L$. It follow $s$ that when we sum over $q$, all term sodd in $L$ will cancel out in ${ }_{q}{ }_{q} \operatorname{COS}$, oot ${ }_{q}$, so $\frac{d^{2}}{d^{2}}$ and are odd functions of $L$ for $L$ sm all! If we put everything together, and integrate, we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathrm{L}) & =\text { an odd function of } \mathrm{L}  \tag{A5}\\
& =\mathrm{L} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{3}}{24} \overline{\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}^{2}}\right)}+O\left(\mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$H$ ere $R$ is the local radius of curvature, and $\overline{\left(\frac{1}{R^{2}}\right)}$ indicates that we are averaging $\frac{1}{R^{2}}$ over the perim eter of the object, weighting by arclength. W e set $\frac{1}{R^{2}}$ to 0 where the ob ject is convex. T he assum ption that there are no comers is necessary \{ for exam ple, for rods inside a rectangle there w illbe term $s$ of order $L^{2}$. A nd if $L$ is too large, we can no longer do this sm all L expansion and does not have to be an odd function of $L$ \{ for exam ple, ifwe are inside a closed body, and $L$ is larger than all lengths of the body, is sim ply a nonzero constant independent of L .

T he expansion in 3 dim ensions is a little $m$ ore com plicated, but essentially the sam e. We again assum e that there are no comers, and that in ection points outside of plane regions are a set of $m$ easure 0. W e have a tangent xy-plane and a function $f(x ; y)$. W e expand $f(x ; y)$ in powers of $x$ and $y$, starting at $x^{2}$ and $y^{2}$ and rotating out the $x y$ term. The solutions to $x^{2}+y^{2}+f(x ; y)^{2}=L^{2}$ are a near-circle. Letting be the angle in the xy plane, and putting $u^{2} \quad x^{2}+y^{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f(u ;)= & c_{2} ; u^{2}+c_{3} ; u^{3}+c_{4} ; u^{4}+ \\
c_{2} ; & c_{20} \cos ^{2}+c_{22} \sin ^{2}  \tag{A6}\\
c_{3} ; & c_{30} \cos ^{3}+c_{31} \cos ^{2} \sin +c_{32} \cos \sin ^{2}+c_{33} \sin ^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

N ote that $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} ;=()^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} ;+$. W e now need to look for solutions of $u^{2}+\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{u} ;)^{2}=\mathrm{L}^{2}$ for each particular . But this is just the $2-D$ problem, which has already been done. The
only additional com plication is the arclength factor, which can be rew ritten in spherical coordinates as:

$$
\begin{align*}
d^{\prime} & =q \frac{d}{(L \underset{r}{d})^{2}+(L \sin d)^{2}} \\
& =d L \frac{1}{\cos ^{2}+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2}} \frac{d(\cos )^{2}}{d}}{ }^{2}  \tag{A7}\\
& =d L \frac{\frac{f}{L}}{}{ }^{2}+\frac{1}{1\left(\frac{f}{L}\right)^{2}} \frac{d}{d} \frac{f}{L}
\end{align*}
$$

The values off at angles and + have the sam e power series in $L$, and $L$ only appears independently in $L^{2}=(L)^{2}$. So as in the $2-D$ case, is an odd function of $L$ for $L$ small. The low order expansion in L gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \cos { }_{1} \cot { }_{2} & =L^{R_{2}} d\left[C_{2}^{2} L^{2}+C_{3} L^{3}+O\left(L^{4}\right)\right]  \tag{A8}\\
& =L^{3}{ }_{4}\left(3 c_{20}^{2}+2 c_{20} C_{22}+3 c_{22}^{2}\right)+O\left(L^{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating tw ioe and rew riting in term s of the principal radii of curvature,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L)=\frac{L}{4} \quad \frac{L^{3}}{384} \overline{\frac{3}{R_{1}^{2}}+\frac{2}{R_{1} R_{2}}+\frac{3}{R_{2}^{2}}}+O\left(L^{5}\right) \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

O nce again, when taking this average it is understood that we take the quantily inside the parentheses to be zero if the surface is concave at the point at hand. $N$ ow in term $s$ of the $G$ aussian and the $m$ ean curvatures:

$$
\begin{align*}
&(\mathrm{L})=\text { an odd function of } \mathrm{L} \\
&=\frac{\mathrm{L}}{4} \quad \frac{\mathrm{H}^{2}}{32} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~K}}{96}  \tag{A10}\\
& \mathrm{~L}^{3}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

## APPENDIX B: SPHEREAND SPHERICALSHELL

The probability of nding a rod's center ofm ass $z$ aw ay from a sphere can be calculated by rst com puting the allowed angular phase in gure (7) and then integrating over the angles. For convenience we de ne $\mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{L}=1=$, and still use $\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{L}$. We nd for these probabilities:

The $m$ onom er concentration in-and out-side of a sphere can be com puted follow ing the construction in 13]. W e de ne $\quad(r ; x) \quad 1=2 \quad q \frac{(r r r}{\left(\begin{array}{ll} & x\end{array}\right)^{2}+(1=2)^{2}}$ :

For the inside of the sphere the concentration pro $l e$ is given by
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{\text {sph; }}^{\text {in }}(x) \quad \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x} \log (\mathrm{x}) \quad \frac{1}{2\left(\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{x}) & \frac{1}{2}(1
\end{array} \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{x}^{2} \log (\mathrm{x})+} \\
& x \underset{h}{x} \frac{1}{1} \quad \frac{1}{2(x \times)} \frac{1}{2}\left({ }^{2} \quad(1 \quad)^{2}\right) \quad x^{2} \log {\underset{i}{1}}^{i}  \tag{B3}\\
& C_{\text {sph;II }}^{\text {in }}(x) \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{x} \log (\mathrm{x}) \quad \frac{1}{2(\mathrm{r} x)} \frac{1}{2}\left(1 \quad \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{x}^{2} \log (\mathrm{x})
\end{align*}
$$

The m onom er concentration on the outside of a sphere iff:
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{\text {sph; }}^{\text {out }}(x) \quad \text { C } \frac{1}{4(r+x)} \quad 2 r x+4{ }^{q} \overline{x(2 r+x)} \quad\left(2 r x+x^{2}\right) \log 1+\frac{2 r}{x}  \tag{B5}\\
& C_{\text {sph } ; \text { II }}^{\text {out }}(x) \quad \text { C } \frac{1}{4(r+x)}\left(1+4 r x+3 x^{2} \quad 2\left(2 r x+x^{2}\right) \log (x)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

N otice that the rst regim es in both cases disappear as the radius becom es large, and one can readily check that the second regim e's pro le goes to the at case's pro le in this lim it. This concentration pro le calculation, for the outside is done in 13] as well, where the author also nds these three regim es. O ur result agrees w ith his in regin es II and (trivially) III. But we di er in regim e I. Since his result is not continuous across the boundary between $I$ and II we believe that his calculation for that case is inaccurate. O ur result is continuous, and

[^1]furthem ore the fullpro le integrates to the fullpartition function for this geom etry, which is a non-trivialconsistency check. The pro le for the inside is also continuous and integrates to the partition function for the inside of the sphere \{ again a non-trivial consistency check.

The full, exact expression for the surface tension in a spherical shell is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{in~}_{\mathrm{sph}}=\mathrm{y} \quad 1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{y} ;)^{!}}{\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{y} ;)} \tag{B6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v(y ;) y+\quad \dot{y}+\frac{1}{3}{ }^{2} y^{3}$, and

## APPENDIX C:SEGMENTED ROD RESULTS

$T$ he integral expression for the surface tension of an $N$-polym er around a at surface is:
where $z_{i} \quad z_{i}{ }_{j=1}^{x_{j}^{1}} u_{j}$, and is the step-function. This form ula gives the sam e answers as our intuitive way ofdoing these integrals for $(\mathbb{N}=1)$, and $(\mathbb{N}=2$.) W ith su cient patience or e cient program $m$ ing it should be straightforw ard to see how the result changes as N grow s larger.
$T$ he exact expression for the surface tension for $(\mathbb{N}=2$ ) outside of a sphere is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\operatorname{sph}}{\mathrm{out}}=\frac{2}{15} \underline{1}^{3 "} 1+\frac{1}{4} 2^{5=2} \quad 1+\frac{5}{8}^{2} \frac{25}{16}^{3}+\frac{1}{32}{ }^{5} \tag{C2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## FIGURES



FIG.1. C on gurational space of a rod near a surface. The rod is represented at the angle of contact.


FIG.2. G eneralshape of the surface tension as a function of the rod-length; $L$ is them axim um length of a line that connects any tw o points on the surface, w ithout intersecting the surface.


F IG . 3. Rods inside a circle.


FIG.4. R ods inside a sphere.


F IG . 5. R ods in a at gap \{ side view.


F IG . 6. C on gurational space of a rod near a at surface, $T$ he rod is represented at the angle of contact. The com plete allow ed angular space is obtained by rotation of the gure around the z-axis.


FIG.7. C on gurational space of rods in-and outside of a sphere. I) $z>z_{c}^{\text {out }}$; II) $z<z_{c}^{\text {out }}$; III) $z=z_{C}^{\text {in }}$


F IG . 8. The rod concentrations, $(x)=b$, com pared for rods in- and outside of a sphere and near a at wall. The distances are in units of L . so: O utside of a sphere; : Flat; si: Inside a sphere. $W$ e took $R=2 L$ for this picture.


FIG. 9. This plot show $s^{\circ u t}(x)={ }_{b}$ w eighted by the appropriate Jacobian, for the plane (solid line) and a sphere of radius $R=L$ (dashed line). T he result in the text corresponds to the equality of the area betw een the solid curves to the one betw een the dashed curves.


FIG.10. R ods in a container with an open shell.

$$
\mathrm{N}=4
$$



F IG .11. Segm ented rods near surfaces.


FIG.12. This construction is used in the com putation of the sm all $L$ expansion of the surface tension.

TABLES

| System | Spherical | Cylindrical |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Q uantum P article | N eutral | Attraction |
| G aussian C hain | Neutral | Attraction |
| H ard Spheres | Repulsion | Neutral |
| R ods | Repulsion | Attraction |

TABLE I. Table of potentials induced by curvature in several system s.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~K}$ inetic e ects w illbe sm aller by a factor of (therm alw avelength=L) 0 .

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Strictly speaking this calculation assum es $L<=R$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Strictly speaking this calculation assum es L < = (4=3)R

