

# The Dimer Model for $\beta$ -phase Organic Superconductors

Giovanni Vignani<sup>1</sup>, Anna Painelli<sup>1</sup>, Alberto Girlando<sup>1</sup> and Alessandro Fortunelli<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Chimica Generale ed Inorganica, Chimica Analitica e Chimica Fisica

Università di Parma, I-43100 Parma, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Istituto di Chimica Quantistica ed Energetica Molecolare

Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, I-56126 Pisa, Italy

(August 26, 2021)

We prove that the upper electronic bands of  $\beta$ -phase BEDT-TTF salts are adequately modeled by an half-filled tight-binding lattice with one site per cell. The band parameters are derived from recent *ab initio* calculations, getting a very simple but extremely accurate one-electron picture. This picture allows us to solve the BCS gap equation adopting a real-space pairing potential. Comparison of the calculated superconducting properties with the experimental data points to isotropic  $s_0$  pairing. Residual many-body or phonon-mediated interactions offer a plausible explanation of the large variety of physical properties observed in  $\beta$ -phase BEDT-TTF salts.

PACS numbers: 71.15.Fv, 74.20.Fg, 74.70.Kn, 74.72.-h

Superconductivity (SC) in organic charge transfer (CT) salts has been discovered more than 15 years ago. At present,  $\beta$ -phase BEDT-TTF (ET) salts are the most promising organic superconductors (OSC) [1]. OSC have similar features to cuprate superconductors: they exhibit highly bidimensional structures, narrow electronic bands, low carrier densities and possibly strong electronic correlations. Superconducting properties are also similar in organics and cuprates, exhibiting singlet pairing [2], very low coherence lengths, high magnetic penetration depths and critical fields [1]. Perhaps the most characteristic feature of cuprate superconductors is the competition between SC and antiferromagnetism (AFM). This competition shows up also in OSC, as demonstrated by the presence of antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations in the metallic state of several compounds [2], or even by reentrant SC in the presence of magnetic order. In this respect the different behavior of  $(\text{ET})_2\text{Cu}[\text{N}(\text{CN})_2]\text{X}$  (ET-X) salts where  $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$  is noteworthy: the two compounds are isostructural, but at ambient pressure the Br compound is a superconductor with  $T_c = 11.6 \text{ K}$ , whereas the Cl compound is a Mott insulator with (possibly commensurate) antiferromagnetic ordering [2]. On the other hand, even a very small external pressure (270 bar), turns ET-Cl to the superconducting ground state ( $T_c = 12.8 \text{ K}$ ) [1]. Experimental studies of normal and superconducting state properties in OSC are still scanty and often inconclusive, due to the extreme sensitivity of the material to pressure, radiation and sample preparation. At the same time, the amount of theoretical work on OSC is scarce if compared with that developed for cuprates, possibly due to the apparent complexity of the OSC structures. In the following we will show that, by a proper choice of the basis functions, the electronic structure of  $\beta$ -phase ET salts can be accurately described in terms of a rectangular lattice with nearest and next-nearest neighbor interactions. Similar one-band models are often adopted in the study

of cuprates, however these models lead to an oversimplified view of the complex cuprate band structures [3]. OSC then constitute an unique opportunity to test SC theories within a simple model, yet accurate enough to allow a quantitative comparison with experimental data.

The basic structural unit of  $\alpha$ -phases is a pair of ET molecules. These pairs (dimers) arrange themselves nearly perpendicularly in a check-board pattern to construct two dimensional cation layers intercalated by anion sheets. As usual for organic CT salts, the relevant physics of ET compounds is described in terms of electrons hopping among the frontier molecular orbitals. Due to their special structural motif, in  $\alpha$ -phases the intradimer interaction is larger than all interdimer interactions. Therefore, as already suggested by other authors [4,5], the bonding and antibonding dimer orbitals are a convenient basis for tight-binding calculations.

The hopping integrals have been recently estimated for ET-Br by *ab initio* calculations [7]. The intradimer hopping  $b_1 = 0.272$  eV is more than two times larger than the interdimer integrals,  $p = 0.13$  eV and  $q = 0.04$  eV along  $c + a$ , and  $b_2 = 0.085$  eV along  $c$  direction. The dimer bonding and antibonding orbitals are split by an amount

$2b_1$ , whereas interdimer hoppings give residual interactions ( $p - q = 4$  and  $b_2 = 2$ ). By neglecting the mixing between bonding and antibonding orbitals, the original four site problem reduces to two independent two site problems. Since each dimer bears 3 electrons, the upper (conduction) band is half-filled. Focusing on antibonding orbitals, each dimeric site has 2 nearest neighbors along  $c$  direction (interaction  $b_2 = 2$ ), and 4 next-nearest neighbors along  $c + a$  directions (interaction  $(p + q) = 2$ ). A fundamental advantage of the dimer model is that the dimer basis fully exploits the local dimer symmetry, so that the resulting tight-binding model is more symmetric than the underlying lattice. The unit cell can therefore be reduced to contain a single site. The analytical expression for the conduction band in the doubled Brillouin zone is:

$$\epsilon(\mathbf{k}) = b_2 \cos k_z c + 2(p + q) \cos \frac{k_z c}{2} \cos \frac{k_x a}{2}$$

In *fig.1* we report the energy dispersion curves for the conduction and valence bands, the density of states (DOS) for the conduction band, and the Fermi surface (FS), calculated in the dimer approximation, as compared with those obtained by solving the tight-binding problem with four interacting site orbitals. The main features of the complete four orbital model are well reproduced in the dimer approximation, namely, the bandwidth, chemical potential, FS topology and DOS, and also the location of the van Hove singularity (vHs). The bands in *fig.1* compare well with EHT bands [6], justifying *a posteriori* the neglect of inner orbitals. Recently published LDA bands for  $\alpha$ -ET<sub>2</sub>Cu(NCS)<sub>2</sub> and ET-Br [8] are also similar apart from an overall bandwidth narrowing. Moreover the topology of the FS agrees with

the experimental Shubnikov(de Haas (SdH) data on  $\{ET_2Cu(NCS)_2\}$  [4] and on  $\{ET_2I_3\}$  [9]. Therefore the dimer model offers a good description of the  $\{phase\}$  band structure. We adopt this model to investigate SC.

Since in OSC the coherence length is short (of the order of few lattice spacings) [1], we choose a real space pairing potential analogous to that widely used for cuprate superconductors [10]:

$$V = \sum_{i,j} g_{ij} a_{i\uparrow}^\dagger a_{j\uparrow}^\dagger a_{j\downarrow} a_{i\downarrow} : \quad (0.1)$$

The sum is extended to nearest and next-nearest neighbors (with coefficients  $g_{NN}$  and  $g_{NNN}$  respectively), and also includes  $i = j$  (on-site)  $g_0$  interaction, with either positive (pairing) or negative (repulsion) coefficients. With the three parameters in Eq. 0.1 we explore all the possible symmetries of the gap function  $\Delta(k)$  in the bidimensional point group  $p4g$  of the organic layers of the crystal. In particular, the BCS gap function for the singlet pairing can be written as a linear combination of functions with different symmetries [10]:

$\Delta(k) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Delta_i \phi_i(k)$ ; where  $\phi_1(k) = \sin \frac{k_x a}{2} \sin \frac{k_y c}{2}$  transforms as the  $B_g$  irreducible representation, and  $\phi_2(k) = \cos \frac{k_x a}{2} \cos \frac{k_y c}{2}$ ;  $\phi_3(k) = 1$ ; and  $\phi_4(k) = \cos k_z c$  as  $A_g$ . Adopting the standard notation for cuprates [3],  $\phi_1$  corresponds to  $d_{xy}$  pairing and  $\phi_3$  to  $s_0$  isotropic pairing, while  $\phi_2$  and  $\phi_4$  correspond to the extended or generalized  $s$  pairing. The  $d_{xy}$  symmetry in the  $\{phase\}$  OSC corresponds to  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  in the cuprates, due to the different orientation of the crystallographic axis with respect to the underlying lattice. We observe that, at variance with cuprates, d-wave cannot mix with s-wave components.

Inserting the experimental  $T_c = 11.6$  K, relevant to  $ET-Br$ , into the gap equation, only two free pairing parameters survive, that we choose as the ratios of  $g_0$  and  $g_{NN}$  over  $g_{NNN}$ . We solve the BCS gap equation on a  $1888 \times 1248$  lattice in the  $g_0\{g_{NN}\}$  parameter space to obtain the phase diagram in  $g_2$ . For several points in the phase diagram the calculated gap has been checked to correspond to the absolute minimum of the BCS free energy. At  $T = T_c$  the phase diagram is very simple: the gap equation is linear so that the mixing of pair functions with different symmetry is strictly forbidden. By lowering  $T$  below  $T_c$ , the non-linearity of the BCS equation allows the mixing of  $s$  and  $d$  pairing, and the mixing region widens with decreasing  $T$ . However, the actual amount of mixing is always very small ( $< 10^{-3}$ ). In fact,  $T_c$  is rather low, so that the gap equation stays quasi-linear, and the mixing of gap functions with different symmetry is small [11].

Having solved BCS gap equation, we calculate the macroscopic properties of the superconducting state using standard approaches [12]. Since in the dispersion curves of the normal state the vHs occurs at energies

much higher than the superconducting gap ( $\Delta$ ), the superconducting properties are largely dominated by the states on the FS. In particular, low energy macroscopic properties are strongly affected by the presence of nodes in the gap function at the FS. On the other hand the maximum gap at the FS corresponds to a divergence in the superconducting DOS, and, as such, dominates frequency-dependent susceptibilities. In Fig. 3 we report the minimum and maximum of  $\Delta(k)$  calculated at  $T = 0$ . In the d-wave region, the minimum gap at the FS vanishes, i.e. nodes are observed in the superconducting gap at the FS. In the s-region pseudonodes (not necessarily implying a change of sign of the gap at the FS), are observed. At small  $\mu_B$  the s-wave gap is mainly isotropic and a sharp crossover is observed between d-wave and s-wave regions. Due to the negligible mixing of d- and s-pair functions, in the d-wave region the maximum gap is fixed by the experimental  $T_c$  to  $2.09 T_c$  (see Fig. 3, bottom panel). In the s-region, due to the competition between isotropic and anisotropic s-wave components the maximum gap at the FS shows a more complex structure. We observe that for very small  $\mu_B$  the gap is dominated by the isotropic component with the BCS value  $1.76 T_c$ .

For the three representative points marked in the phase diagram in Fig. 2, where the gap is dominated by the isotropic, anisotropic s-wave or d-wave contribution, respectively, we calculate the superconducting electronic specific heat, magnetic penetration depth, and tunneling bulk conductance. The low energy behavior of these quantities is exponential-like in the isotropic case, whereas it is power-law in the anisotropic case, in agreement with standard results for conventional BCS [12] and d-wave [13] SC. Experimental estimate of the specific heat [14] and tunneling [15] are affected by large uncertainties, so that the comparison is not conclusive. On the other hand, experimental studies of the temperature dependence of the magnetic penetration depth led to conflicting conclusions, suggesting either isotropic pairing or anisotropic gapless SC [16]. The superconducting phonon self-energy, presents a singularity at a frequency corresponding to twice the maximum of the gap at the FS [17]. By lowering  $T$  below  $T_c$ , phonons lying below (above) this frequency soften (harden). The crossover frequency strongly depends on the topology of the FS, so that the choice of the model for the electronic structure is now crucial. The calculated crossover of the phonon self-energy does in fact coincide with  $2 \max \Delta(k)$ . As shown in Fig. 3,  $\max \Delta(k)$  reaches its minimum value for isotropic  $s_0$  pairing, corresponding to the BCS value  $2 \Delta_0 = 3.53 T_c = 28 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The salient feature of a recent low energy Raman scattering study [18] is that below  $T_c$  all relevant bands harden with the greatest relative shift exhibited by the lowest observed phonon at  $27.4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . This value corresponds to a lower limit for the maximum of the gap at the FS. On the basis of our model, this result can only be interpreted assuming isotropic  $s_0$  pair-

ing. Similar results hold for  $(\text{ET})_2\text{Cu}(\text{NCS})_2$ , as can be inferred from recent neutron scattering experiment [19].

The presented picture for SC in  $\beta$ -phases is based on a single-particle description of the electronic structure. We have extracted the single-particle parameters from HF/SCF calculations [7]: the comparison with EHT [6] and LDA [8] bands, as well as with experimental data on  $(\text{ET})_2\text{Cu}(\text{NCS})_2$  [4] and on  $(\text{ET})_2\text{I}_3$  [9] indicates that the resulting picture is extremely accurate in reproducing the topology of the FS. On the other hand, residual many-body effects are important in determining susceptibilities or dynamical properties of OSC [4]. For instance, the calculated electronic specific heat is quasi-linear with  $T$ , but the slope,  $7.5 \text{ mJ/mole K}^2$ , is three times smaller than the experimental value,  $22-3 \text{ mJ/mole K}^2$  for ET-Br or  $24-3 \text{ mJ/mole K}^2$  for  $(\text{ET})_2\text{Cu}(\text{NCS})_2$  [14]. Moreover, the effective masses inferred from SdH data via the Lifshits/Kosevich formula for  $(\text{ET})_2\text{Cu}(\text{NCS})_2$  [4] and  $(\text{ET})_2\text{I}_3$  [9] are about three times larger than the single-particle effective masses. It is important to stress that our picture for SC survives also in the presence of residual many-body interactions, in the hypothesis that these interactions do not modify the topology of the FS, but only lead to an overall renormalization of single-particle parameters. With the chosen pairing potential, this only implies an overall rescaling of pairing parameters: for instance, by rescaling our band parameters by a factor of 2, the same phase diagram as in Fig. 2 is obtained, with pairing interactions reduced by a factor close to 2.

On the other hand, the large variety of physical properties characterizing  $\beta$ -phases suggests that residual interactions can also act to modify the FS topology. In particular, ET-C1 is an antiferromagnetic insulator, whereas SdH oscillations are not observed in the (conducting) Br-analog at ambient pressure. High pressure SdH oscillations in ET-Br point to a distortion of the FS [20]. In this connection, the proposed dimer model is simple enough to offer clues about the fine tuning of the physical properties of  $\beta$ -phases as induced by variations of the FS topology. By looking at the band-structure in Fig. 1, one realizes that an extended vHs is present along the  $MZ$  direction, at energy  $b_2$  with respect to the Fermi level. Even a small reduction of  $b_2$  would imply a large increase of the effective mass (due to the large effect of the vHs), and a reduction of the  $d$  orbit, in agreement with SdH measurement in ET-Br [20]. In the extreme limit of vanishing  $b_2$  the vHs would lie at the FS, and the FS itself would be a rectangle with perfect nesting. This extreme picture seems especially attractive to understand AFM in ET-C1 [2] as well as the competition between SC and AFM in ET-C1 and in ET-Br.  $b_2$  is a small parameter and therefore it is subject to rather large relative variations in the different structures. In Et-C1 and ET-Br salts the Cl and Br atoms are located very near to the  $b_2$  dimer [6], possibly affecting the corresponding transfer

integral. Ab initio calculations are in progress to test the effect of the counterions and of residual electron-electron interactions on the  $b_2$  hopping.

A different source of renormalization of the hopping parameters involves electron-phonon interactions. As it is evident from Fig. 1, there are large regions in the  $k$  space where the effective electronic masses are very large, even larger than the phonon masses. In other words, since the ab initio  $b_2$  value corresponds to  $685 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , so that most of the intramolecular phonons [21,22] are in the antiadiabatic limit with respect to  $b_2$  hopping. Along this direction an antiadiabatic polaron narrowing [23] can become effective, reducing  $b_2$  hopping. From the available coupling constants and phonon frequencies [21,22], the polaron narrowing factor is estimated about 3.

In summary, we have shown that an extremely simple model of a tight-binding lattice with one site per cell offers an accurate description of the band structure of phase ET salts. Using this model we were able to get a solution of the full, non-linear BCS gap equation for OSC. At variance with cuprates, our simple one-band model can be derived from first-principle calculations, and therefore allows us to get a significant comparison with experiment. Our work supports isotropic  $s_0$  SC. Finally, the possible role of counterions as well as of phonon-mediated interactions is invoked to justify the large variability of physical properties of phase ET salts.

We thank R. Bozio and M. Acquarone for valuable discussions. Work supported by the Italian Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (C.N.R.) and by the Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica (M.U.R.S.T.).

- 
- [1] J.M. Williams et al., Organic Superconductors: Synthesis, Structure, Properties and Theory (Plenum Press, New York, 1991).
  - [2] S.M. De Soto et al., Phys. Rev. B 52, 10364 (1995); H. Moya et al., Phys. Rev. Lett 75, 4122 (1995).
  - [3] D.J. Scalapino, Phys. Rep. 250, 329 (1995).
  - [4] J. Cauley et al., J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 6, 2911 (1994).
  - [5] H. Kuro and H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64, 2726 (1995).
  - [6] U. Geiser et al., Physica C 174, 475 (1991).
  - [7] A. Fortunelli and A. Painelli, J. Chem. Phys. 106, 8051 (1997).
  - [8] Y.-N. Xu et al., Phys. Rev. B 52, 12946 (1995); 55, 2780 (1997).
  - [9] D. Schweitzer et al., Synth. Met. 70, 857 (1995).
  - [10] C. O'Donovan and J. P. Carbotte, Phys. C 252, 87 (1995); R. Micnas, J. Ranninger, and S. Robaszkiewicz,

Rev. Mod. Phys. 62, 113 (1990).

- [11] P. W. Anderson and P. Morel, Phys. Rev. 123, 1911 (1961).
- [12] A. J. Leggett, Rev. Mod. Phys. 47, 331 (1975).
- [13] H. W. On and K. Maki, Phys. Rev. B 49, 1397 (1994).
- [14] B. Andraka et al., Phys. Rev. B 40, 11345 (1989); Sol. Stat. Comm. 79, 57 (1991).
- [15] H. Bando et al., J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 8, 479 (1990).
- [16] L. P. Le et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1923 (1992); M. Lang, N. Toyota, and T. Sasaki, Phys. B 186{188, 1046 (1993).
- [17] E. J. Nicol, C. Jiang, and J. P. Carbotte, Phys. Rev. B 47, 8131 (1993).
- [18] D. Pedron et al., Phys. C 276, 1 (1997).
- [19] N. Toyota et al., Synth. Met. in press.
- [20] M. V. Kartsovnik et al., Phys. Rev B 52, 15715 (1995).
- [21] R. Bozio et al., in Lower Dimensional Systems and Molecular Electronics, ed. R. M. Metzger, P. Day, and G. Papavassiliou (Plenum Press, NY, 1991), p. 129.
- [22] J. C. R. Faulhaber, D. Y. K. Ko, and P. Briddon, J. Supercond. 8, 17 (1995).
- [23] for a review, see D. Feinberg, S. Ciuchi, and F. de Pasquale, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 4, 1317 (1990).

## Figure captions

Figure 1. The tight-binding highest occupied bands (left panel), DOS (right panel), and FS (inset) calculated for  $-(\text{ET})_2\text{Cu}[\text{N}(\text{CN})_2]\text{Br}$  in the dimer approximation (solid line), and for the full four site problem (dotted line).

Figure 2. The three-dimensional phase diagram for SC. Dashed lines are the isotherms for temperatures below  $T_c$ . Points lying between two isotherms correspond to mixed s- and d-wave states. The circle, diamond, and star indicate representative points for isotropic ( $g_{\text{NNN}} = 4.96 \times 10^2 \text{ eV}$ ), anisotropic s-wave ( $g_{\text{NNN}} = 4.76 \times 10^2 \text{ eV}$ ), and d<sub>xy</sub>-wave ( $g_{\text{NNN}} = 7.24 \times 10^2 \text{ eV}$ ), respectively.

Figure 3. The minimum (top panel) and maximum (bottom) of  $j(\mathbf{k})$  on the Fermi Surface at  $T = 0$ , as a function of the relative pairing parameters strengths (see text).













