

# Functional Integral approach to quasi-particles in Fermi liquid theory

TaiKaiNg

Department of Physics, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology,

Clear Water Bay Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong

(April 15, 2024)

## Abstract

In this paper we propose a new way of organizing many-body perturbation theory in the Path-integral formulation where a set of quasi-particle wavefunctionals  $\psi$ 's are introduced and are identified with quasi-particles in Landau Fermi liquid theory. We show how Fermi liquid theory can be obtained through  $\psi$ 's in this new framework of perturbation theory, where the only assumption is adiabaticity between non-interacting and interacting states and the quasi-particle renormalization factor  $z$  does not appear explicitly. Consequences of our new formulation are discussed.

PACS Numbers: 71.45, 71.10, 67.55

For four decades Fermi liquid theory has formed the basis for our understanding of interacting fermion systems like electron liquids in metals and normal state of liquid  $^3\text{He}$ . The theory was originally proposed phenomenologically by Landau [1,2] and was later put on a firm basis by a formal 'proof' using diagrammatic perturbation theory techniques [3-5]. Although formally exact, the existing perturbation theory formulation of Fermi liquid theory has a drawback. In the original Fermi liquid theory of Landau, the only assumption was adiabaticity or a one-to-one correspondence between non-interacting states (bare particles) and states when interaction is turned on (quasi-particles). However, in the existing diagrammatic technique, perturbation theory was carried out in terms of bare particles and the connection between bare particles and quasi-particles is established by assuming that there is a non-vanishing overlap  $z^{1/2} \neq 0$  between the two. It would be much more satisfying if the perturbation theory can be formulated directly in terms of quasi-particles where the introduction of renormalization factor  $z$  can be avoided, as is in the original Landau formulation.

In this paper we shall show that within the path-integral formulation it is possible to formulate perturbation theory in terms of a set of quasi-particle wave-functionals which can be identified with quasi-particles in Fermi liquid theory. With these wave-functionals Fermi liquid theory can be derived directly without referring to the quasi-particle renormalization factor  $z$ . The one-particle Green's function will be studied where we shall show that the quasi-particle renormalization factor  $z^{1/2}$  measures the overlap between bare-particle states and our quasi-particle states on the Fermi surface. For simplicity we shall consider a gas of spinless fermions interacting through a scalar potential  $v(r)$ . The formulation can be generalized to include spin easily. We shall restrict us to zero temperature where the perturbation theory is formulated in terms of real-time Path Integral and Green's functions. The Hamiltonian is, in momentum space,

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} f_{\mathbf{k}} + \lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} e^{-\beta J} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} v(\mathbf{q}) (\psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} - \psi_{\mathbf{q}}) (\psi_{\mathbf{q}} - \psi_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}); \quad (1)$$

where  $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = (\hbar \mathbf{k})^2 / 2m$  and  $f, f^{\dagger}$ 's are fermion annihilation (creation) operators.  $\psi =$

$\rho = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^+ f_{\mathbf{k}}$  is the density operator for fermions. Notice that we have inserted a  $e^{i\mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}}$  factor in front of the interaction term to emphasize that the states in the interacting system are obtained from states of the non-interacting system by turning on the interaction adiabatically, as is required in Landau Fermi liquid theory. In particular, the time evolution operator  $U(\mathbf{t}; t^0)$ , when operate on the ground state of the non-interacting system at  $t^0 = -1$ , turns the state into the ground state of the interacting system at time  $t$ .  $U$  can be expressed in terms of a path integral over (fermionic) coherent states  $|\psi\rangle$  [6]. In particular,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 1} \text{Tr} [U(T; -1)] = \int \mathcal{D}[\psi] \int \mathcal{D}[\bar{\psi}] e^{\frac{i}{2} \int_{-1}^T dt \int d^d q \frac{(\bar{\psi}(t, \mathbf{q}) - \bar{\psi}(t^0, \mathbf{q}))(\psi(t, \mathbf{q}) - \psi(t^0, \mathbf{q}))}{v(q)}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-1}^T dt L(\bar{\psi}; \psi; t)}; \quad (2a)$$

where

$$L(\bar{\psi}; \psi; t) = \int d^d x \left[ i\hbar \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x}; t) \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{x}; t)}{\partial t} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x}; t) \psi(\mathbf{x}; t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x}; t) \psi(\mathbf{x}; t) \right]; \quad (2b)$$

is the Lagrangian for a system of non-interacting fermions moving in the auxiliary field  $\psi(\mathbf{x}; t)$ , introduced through an Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation from the interaction term in the original Hamiltonian. In this form, the time evolution operator  $U$  can be interpreted as a weighted sum of time evolution operators  $U(\mathbf{j})$ 's, where  $U(\mathbf{j})$  is the time evolution operator for system of non-interacting fermions moving in given auxiliary field  $\psi(\mathbf{x}; t)$ , with each configuration of  $U(\mathbf{j})$  weighted by the factor  $e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-1}^T dt \int d^d q \frac{(\bar{\psi}(t, \mathbf{q}) - \bar{\psi}(t^0, \mathbf{q}))(\psi(t, \mathbf{q}) - \psi(t^0, \mathbf{q}))}{2v(q)}}$  in the sum [6]. The interacting ground state wavefunction can thus be interpreted as superposition of 'ground state' wavefunctions  $|\mathbf{j}\rangle$ 's, generated by operators  $U(\mathbf{j})$ 's acting on the free fermion ground state at  $t^0 = -1$ , and weighted by the same  $e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{-1}^T dt \int d^d q \frac{(\bar{\psi}(t, \mathbf{q}) - \bar{\psi}(t^0, \mathbf{q}))(\psi(t, \mathbf{q}) - \psi(t^0, \mathbf{q}))}{2v(q)}}$  factors. Notice also that the auxiliary field is turned on adiabatically at  $t = -1$ , as a result of adiabaticity of the original interacting fermion problem.

Next we introduce the Green's function operator  $G[\mathbf{j}]$ , which is a functional of field, with

$$G^{-1}[\mathbf{j}] = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \psi(\mathbf{x}; t); \quad (3a)$$

and with Fourier transform

$$G_{k;k^0}^{-1}[\omega] = (\hbar! - \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k}) + i\eta) G_{k;k^0}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}^0); \quad (3b)$$

where  $k = (\mathbf{k}; \omega)$  and  $\eta = \text{sgn}(\omega)$ , where  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k})$  and  $\mu = \text{chemical potential}$ . Notice that the adiabaticity requirement is handled by introducing the  $i\eta$  term in  $G$  as in usual perturbation theory. The ground state energy, one particle Green's function, etc, can be determined as appropriate functional averages of  $G$  or functions of  $G$  over field [6].  $G_{k;k^0}^{-1}[\omega]$  can be expanded directly in a power series of  $\omega$  as in usual perturbation theory. However, here we shall rearrange the perturbation expansion in a slightly different way, by introducing first the eigenfunctions and corresponding eigenvalues of  $G^{-1}[\omega]$ , where  $G^{-1}[\omega] \mathbf{k}[\omega] = \mathbf{k}[\omega] \mathbf{k}[\omega]$ . Notice that both  $\mathbf{k}$ 's and  $\mathbf{k}$ 's are functionals of  $\omega$ . The perturbative eigenstates  $\mathbf{k}$  satisfies the Lippman-Schwinger equation

$$\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x};[\omega]) = A_k[\omega] \mathbf{k}_k^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}) + \int d^{d+1}x G_0(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0) \mathbf{x}^0 \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}^0;[\omega]); \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}; t)$ ,  $A_k$  is a renormalization factor determined by  $\langle \mathbf{k} | \mathbf{k} \rangle = 1$  and  $G_0(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{x})$  is the Fourier transform of the unperturbed Green's function,  $G_0(\mathbf{k}; k^0) = (\hbar! - \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k}) + i\eta)^{-1}$ .  $\mathbf{k}_k^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{i(\omega t - \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x}))}$  is an unperturbed eigenstate of  $G_0^{-1}$ . The eigenvalue  $\mathbf{k}$  can be written as  $\mathbf{k} = \hbar! - \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k}) - \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k};[\omega]) + i\eta$ , where  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k};[\omega]) = \int_0^{R_1} dg \int d^{d+1}x (\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x};[g])^2$ , by the Hellmann-Feynman theorem.  $\int_0^{R_1} dg$  is a coupling constant integral. Using the fact that  $G^{-1}[\omega]$  is a first order differential equation in time, it can be shown easily that  $\mathbf{k}; \omega + (\mathbf{x};[\omega]) = e^{i\omega t} \mathbf{k}; \omega (\mathbf{x};[\omega])$  and the self energy  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k};[\omega]) = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k};[\omega])$  and renormalization factor  $A_k[\omega] = A_k[\omega]$  are independent of  $\omega$ . The Green's function  $G(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}^0;[\omega])$  is given by

$$G(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}^0;[\omega]) = \sum_k \frac{\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x};[\omega]) \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}^0;[\omega])}{\hbar! - \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k}) - \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k};[\omega]) + i\eta}; \quad (5)$$

and the usual perturbation expansion for  $G$  can be recovered by expanding  $\mathbf{k}[\omega]$  and  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{k};[\omega])$  in power series of  $\omega$ .

The advantage of introducing eigenstates  $\mathbf{k}$ 's can be seen when we expand the Grassmann fields  $\psi(\mathbf{x})$  in terms of  $\mathbf{k}$ 's using the completeness relation,  $\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_k \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x};[\omega]) c_k$ , with an

analogous relation between  $\psi^+(\mathbf{x})$  and  $c_k^+$  fields, where  $c_k$  and  $c_k^+$  are Grassmann number fields and  $\psi_k$ 's are complex-number wavefunctionals of  $\psi$ . The time evolution operator  $U$  can be expressed in terms of path integral over  $c_k$  and  $c_k^+$  fields through a Unitary transformation. In particular,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 1} \text{Tr} [U(T; -T)] = \int \prod_k D c_k^+ \int \prod_k D c_k e^{i \int_{-T}^T dt L(\psi; \psi^+; t)}; \quad (6a)$$

where

$$L(\psi; \psi^+; t) = \sum_k c_k^+(t) i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_k(\mathbf{r}) - \psi_k(\mathbf{r}; [c]) + i \sum_k c_k(t) \psi_k(\mathbf{r}; [c]); \quad (6b)$$

$c_k(t) = e^{-iEt} c_k$  and  $\int \prod_k D c_k^+ = \int \prod_k D c_k D c_k^+$ . Notice that although  $\psi_k(\mathbf{r}; [c])$ 's are in general time-dependent,  $\psi_k(\mathbf{r}; [c])$  is independent of  $t$  because of the independence of  $\psi_k(\mathbf{r}; [c])$  on  $t$ . In the  $T \rightarrow 1$  limit, we may integrate out first the field in Eq. (6b), obtaining

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 1} \text{Tr} U(T; -T) = \int \prod_k D c_k^+ e^{i \int_{-T}^T dt \sum_k c_k^+(t) (i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - (E_k + i\eta)) c_k(t) - E_{\text{int}}[n(\mathbf{k}; t)]}; \quad (7a)$$

where

$$E_{\text{int}}[n(\mathbf{k}; t)] = (i\hbar) \ln \int \prod_k D e^{i \int_{-1}^1 dt \sum_k \frac{(q_k(t) - q_k(0))}{2v(\mathbf{k})} - \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_k (E_k + i\eta) n(\mathbf{k}; t)}; \quad (7b)$$

where  $n(\mathbf{k}; t) = c_k^+(t) c_k(t)$ . Notice that we have extended the limit of time integration over fields from  $-T$  to  $1$  in Eq. (7b). This is valid if  $T$  is much larger than the characteristic time scale  $\hbar = E$  governing the fluctuations of field, where  $E$  is the corresponding characteristic energy. Notice also that although the precise form of  $E_{\text{int}}$  is hard to determine, it is clear that  $E_{\text{int}}$  is a functional only of the occupation numbers  $n(\mathbf{k})$ . The special form of action (7a) implies that in the long-time, low energy ( $\ll E$ ) limit, the Hamiltonian of the system, when expressed in terms of the occupation numbers  $n(\mathbf{k})$ 's, has the diagonal form

$$H = \sum_k E_k n(\mathbf{k}) + E_{\text{int}}[n(\mathbf{k})];$$

i.e., the low energy eigenstates of the system are completely characterised by occupation numbers  $n(\mathbf{k})$ , suggesting that the states represented by  $n(\mathbf{k})$  are in fact the quasi-particle states in Landau Fermi liquid theory [1,2].

To show that  $n(\mathbf{k})$  indeed characterizes quasi-particle states we examine the ground state quasi-particle occupation number  $\langle n(\mathbf{k}) \rangle = \langle n(\mathbf{k}; [\rho]) \rangle$ , where

$$n(\mathbf{k}; [\rho]) = \frac{\hbar^3}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\epsilon \frac{e^{-\beta(\epsilon - \mu)}}{\hbar^3 \epsilon!} = n(\mathbf{k});$$

is independent of  $\beta$ , and

$$\langle F[\rho] \rangle = \frac{\int D\rho F[\rho] e^{iS_{\text{eff}}(\rho)}}{\int D\rho e^{iS_{\text{eff}}(\rho)}}; \quad (8)$$

where  $S_{\text{eff}}(\rho) = \int d^3x \frac{(\nabla \rho)^2}{2v(\hbar^2)} - \int d^3x \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \ln(1 + G_0(0; \mathbf{k}) n(\mathbf{k}; [\rho]))$  is obtained from Eq. (6) by first integrating over the fermion fields. It is easy to see that  $\langle n(\mathbf{k}) \rangle = n(\mathbf{k})$ , i.e., the quasi-particle ground state occupation number is a  $\delta$ -function as the occupation number for non-interacting fermions, in agreement with Fermi liquid theory [1,2]. Notice this is a direct consequence of adiabaticity requirement, that for any configuration  $\rho$ , the ground state is obtained by switching on  $\rho$  adiabatically, and the occupation number  $n(\mathbf{k}; [\rho])$  is not affected by the switching process. Notice also that in an interacting system, the quasi-particle wavefunction is a many-body wave-function. This is reflected in our formalism where  $\rho_{\mathbf{k}}$ 's are functionals of auxiliary field which represents density fluctuations in the system. The many-body nature of  $\rho_{\mathbf{k}}$ 's appears as a weighted sum over all possible configurations of (or density fluctuations) carried by quasi-particles when physical quantities are computed.

For small derivations  $n(\mathbf{k}) = n(\mathbf{k}) = n(\mathbf{k})$ , the energy of the system is given by the Landau expression,

$$E[\rho] = E_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}} n(\mathbf{k}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}^0} f_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0} n(\mathbf{k}) n(\mathbf{k}^0); \quad (9)$$

where  $E_0$  is the ground state energy and the quasi-particle energy dispersion  $E_{\mathbf{k}} = \epsilon(\mathbf{k}) + \mu(\mathbf{k})$  and Landau parameters  $f_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0}$  can be computed in our scheme as functional derivatives of  $E_{\text{int}}$  with respect to  $n(\mathbf{k})$ 's. It is straightforward to show from Eqs. (7) and (8) that

$$n(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\delta E_{\text{int}}}{\delta n(\mathbf{k})} = \langle n(\mathbf{k}; [\rho]) \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0} = \frac{\delta^2 E_{\text{int}}}{\delta n(\mathbf{k}) \delta n(\mathbf{k}^0)} = \langle n(\mathbf{k}; [\rho]) n(\mathbf{k}^0; [\rho]) \rangle^c,$$

where  $\langle AB \rangle^c = \langle AB \rangle - \langle A \rangle \langle B \rangle$ .

The transport equation for quasi-particles can be constructed following the phenomenological approach of Landau [2] where local distribution of quasi-particles  $n(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{k}; t)$  is introduced and the transport equation is derived using Eq. (9) by assuming validity of usual classical kinetic theory. It has to be emphasised that the quasi-particle states which are exact eigenstates of the system are extended throughout whole space [7] and quasi-particles localized in space are only approximate eigenstates of the system and have finite lifetime

[2,7]. To derive the transport equation more rigorously we have to define first local quasi-particle states in our formulation. An effective low energy Hamiltonian for the local quasi-particles can then be derived to obtain the correct transport equation.

For non-interacting system a localized state can be formed by superposition of plane wave states. However, in our formulation since quasi-particle wavefunctions are functionals of  $\phi$  which characterize density fluctuations in the system, a local quasi-particle state can be constructed only if we restrict also density fluctuations (or  $\phi$ ) carried by the quasi-particle to be local also. For local quasi-particle state of size scale  $q^{-1}$ , we expect that the field associated with the quasi-particle should be restricted to momentum scale  $> q_c - q$ . To implement this scheme we divide the field into slow and fast varying parts  $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_s(\mathbf{x}) + \phi_f(\mathbf{x})$ , where  $\phi_{s(f)}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{|\mathbf{k}| < (>) q_c} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \phi(\mathbf{q})$ , and we shall assume that local quasi-particles of size  $q_c$  can be constructed from superposition of quasi-particle states of the corresponding interacting system, when  $\phi(\mathbf{x})$  is replaced by  $\phi_f(\mathbf{x})$ . Notice that for a system of size  $L \sim q_c^{-1}$ , our local quasi-particle states become exact eigenstates of the system, as is expected physically.

With this definition of local quasi-particles we can derive the effective low energy Hamiltonian and transport equation for local quasi-particles straightforwardly. The algebra of this derivation is lengthy and we shall report the details in a separate paper. We find that the usual Fermi liquid transport equation is recovered in the limit  $q_c \rightarrow 0$  [8].

Lastly we examine the quasi-particle renormalization factor  $z$ . To compute  $z$ , we examine the usual one-particle Green's function  $g(\mathbf{k}; i)$  in our theory. Using Eq.(5), it is straightforward to show that

$$g(\mathbf{k};!) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}^0} \frac{1}{h!} \frac{\langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}^0}^{(0)} | \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle}{(\mathbf{k}^0;!) + i_{\mathbf{k}^0}}; \quad (10)$$

where  $\mathbf{k}^0 = (\mathbf{k}^0;!)^0$  and  $\langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}^0}^{(0)} | \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle = \int d^{d+1}\mathbf{x} \psi_{\mathbf{k}^0}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x};!)$ . The bare-particle occupation number is

$$n^b(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{h}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}^0} d! g(\mathbf{k};!) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}^0} (\mathbf{k}) \langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}^0}^{(0)} | \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle;$$

where  $F_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^0}(t) = \int d^{d+1}\mathbf{x} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}^0}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x};!) \psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x};!)$ . We have again made use of the result  $\psi_{\mathbf{k};!}(\mathbf{x};!) = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \psi_{\mathbf{k};!}(\mathbf{x};!)$  in deriving the last expression. Assuming that the wavefunctions  $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x};!)$  are smooth functions of  $\mathbf{k}$  which do not show any discontinuity across Fermi surface, it is easy to show using Eq. (4) that,  $z = n^b(\mathbf{k}_F^+) - n^b(\mathbf{k}_F^-) = \langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}_F}^{(0)} | \psi_{\mathbf{k}_F} \rangle$ , i.e.,  $z$  is equal to the weighted average over all the wavefunction overlap between non-interacting states  $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x};!)$  on the Fermi surface, as is expected from our identification of  $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x};!)$ 's as quasi-particles wavefunctionals in our theory.

A few comments on our formulation of Fermi liquid theory is now in order. By organizing perturbation theory in a slightly different way, we have succeeded in formulating Fermi liquid theory directly in terms of quasi-particles in our theory. Notice that as far as an order-by-order expansion in computing quantities like one- and two-particle Green's functions are concerned, our formulation offers nothing new. However, the possibility of identifying quasi-particles in our theory enables us to compute quantities like Landau parameters and quasi-particle energies more directly. For example, it is straightforward to show that by keeping the self-energy  $\Sigma(\mathbf{k};!)$  to second order in  $U$ , we obtain the usual RPA (Random Phase Approximation) expression for ground state energy, with the occupation number  $n^{(0)}(\mathbf{k})$  in the Lindhard function  $\chi_0$  replaced by the corresponding quasi-particle occupation number in our theory. The quasi-particle self-energy  $\Sigma(\mathbf{k})$  is found to be equal to the RPA on-shell self-energy  $\Sigma^{\text{RPA}}(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{k})$ . Notice that in general there are more than one ways of introducing the Hubbard-Strotonovich transformation and the auxiliary fields, corresponding to different ways of organizing perturbation series [6]. Our results remain unchanged as long as single-particle wavefunctionals  $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x};!)$  can be introduced and can be obtained perturbatively



from the non-interacting states  $\psi_k^{(0)}(\mathbf{x})$ .

The main power of our formulation appears in studying Fermi liquids with  $z \neq 0$ , where usual perturbation theory fails. Notice that  $z \neq 0$  does not necessarily imply breakdown of adiabaticity. For example, for a system of volume  $L^d$ , and in the presence of auxiliary field  $\phi$ , an eigenstate  $\psi_k$  is formed by mixing plane wave state  $\psi_k^{(0)}$  with other plane wave states  $\psi_{k^0}^{(0)}$ . The probability of mixing is of order  $1/L^d$  for each state  $k^0 \neq k$ . As long as the renormalization factor  $A_k[\phi]$  is of order  $1/L^d$ , the state  $\psi_k$  may still 'remember' its parent plane wave state  $\psi_k^{(0)}$  and adiabaticity can be kept, although  $z$  may approach zero in the  $L \rightarrow \infty$  limit. Our formulation implies that a Fermi liquid description may still be applicable in describing the thermodynamics and low-energy, long-wavelength properties of these systems where the one-particle properties may be drastically different from usual  $z \neq 0$  Fermi liquids. Examples of these systems include one-dimensional Luttinger liquids [9] where similar idea has been proposed by Carmelo et al. [10] and systems with super-long-range interactions in dimensions  $> 1$  [11]. We find that our Fermi liquid formulation, after suitably refined, can be applied to describe these systems [8] where the one-particle properties are non-Fermi liquid like. The details of our calculations will be presented in a separate paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L.D. Landau, Sov. Phys. JETP, 3, 920 (1956).
- [2] L.D. Landau, Sov. Phys. JETP, 5, 101 (1957).
- [3] L.D. Landau, Sov. Phys. JETP, 8, 70 (1959).
- [4] see for example, A.A. Abrikosov, L.P. Gor'kov and I.E. Dzyaloshinski, Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics, Dover, NY (1975).
- [5] see for example, P. Nozières, Theory of Interacting Fermi Systems, Addison-Wesley, (1974).
- [6] see for example, J.W. Negele and H. Orland, Quantum Many-Particle Systems, Addison-Wesley, (1988).
- [7] see for example, D. Pines and P. Nozières, The Theory of Quantum Liquids, Vol.1: Normal Fermi Liquids, Addison-Wesley, (1989).
- [8] T.K. Ng, unpublished.
- [9] see for example, E.H. Lieb and D.C. Mattis, Mathematical Physics in One Dimension, Academic Press, NY (1966); see also F.D.M. Haldane, J. Phys. C 14, 2585 (1981).
- [10] J. Carmelo and A.A. Ovchinnikov, J. Phys. Cond. Mat. 3, 757 (1991); J. Carmelo and A.H. Castro Neto, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11230 (1996) and references therein.
- [11] P.A. Bares and X.G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B 48, 8636 (1993).