

Metal-superconductor transition at zero temperature: A case of unusual scaling

[Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3042 (1997)]

T.R.Kirkpatrick
*Institute for Physical Science and Technology, and Department of Physics
University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742*

D.Belitz
*Department of Physics and Materials Science Institute, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403
(October 6, 2018)*

An effective field theory is derived for the normal metal-to-superconductor quantum phase transition at $T = 0$. The critical behavior is determined exactly for all dimensions $d > 2$. Although the critical exponents β and ν do not exist, the usual scaling relations, properly reinterpreted, still hold. A complete scaling description of the transition is given, and the physics underlying the unusual critical behavior is discussed. Quenched disorder leads to anomalously strong T_c -fluctuations which are shown to explain the experimentally observed broadening of the transition in low- T_c thin films.

PACS numbers: 74.20.-z , 64.60.Ak

There has been much interest lately in quantum phase transitions, which occur at zero temperature ($T = 0$) as a function of some nonthermal control parameter. The subject of much of this attention have been magnetic transitions [1]. A characteristic feature of these transitions is that the upper critical dimension d_c^+ , above which the critical behavior is governed by a simple Gaussian fixed point (FP), is lower than that of the corresponding classical or finite temperature transition, if any. The reason is the coupling of statics and dynamics that is inherent to quantum statistical mechanics, which leads to an effective increase of the system's dimension from d to $d+z$, with z the dynamical critical exponent.

In this Letter we study the transition from a normal metal to a superconductor at $T = 0$. We concentrate on the realistic case of a superconductor with a nonzero density of elastic scatterers, so that the normal state conductivity is finite. The transition can then be triggered by varying either the attractive electron-electron interaction, or the disorder. For such systems we find $d_c^+ = 2$. For $d > 2$ the transition is governed by a Gaussian FP with unusual properties. The resulting critical behavior can be summarized as follows. The correlation length ξ and the order parameter (OP) Ψ scale like

$$\xi \sim e^{1/2|t|} \quad , \quad \Psi \sim \frac{\Theta(-t)}{|t|} e^{-1/|t|} \quad , \quad (1a)$$

with t the dimensionless distance from the critical point ($t < 0$ in the disordered phase), and Θ the step function. The physical energy gap Δ , as measured in a tunneling experiment, is distinct from the OP and scales like

$$\Delta \sim |t| \Psi \sim e^{-1/|t|} \quad . \quad (1b)$$

The relations (1a) imply that although the usual critical exponents ν and β , defined by $\xi \sim |t|^{-\nu}$ and $\Psi \sim |t|^\beta$, do not exist ($\nu = \infty$ and $\beta = \infty$), one can assign a value to their ratio,

$$\beta/\nu = 2 \quad , \quad (1c)$$

in the sense that $\Delta \xi^2 \sim \text{const}$. The two-point vertex as a function of the wavevector \mathbf{q} and the frequency Ω , measured in suitable units, asymptotically close to criticality has the structure

$$\Gamma^{(2)}(\mathbf{q}, \Omega) = t + \frac{1}{\ln(1/(\mathbf{q}^2 - i\Omega))} \quad . \quad (2a)$$

Besides the behavior of the correlation length given above, one reads off three more critical exponents from this result: The exponent η , γ , and z , defined as $\Gamma^{(2)}(\mathbf{q}, \Omega = 0) \sim |\mathbf{q}|^{2-\eta}$, $\Gamma^{(2)}(\mathbf{q}, \Omega = 0) \sim t^\gamma$, and $\tau_r \sim \xi^z$, with τ_r the relaxation time, respectively, are

$$\eta = 2 \quad , \quad \gamma = 1 \quad , \quad z = 2 \quad , \quad (2b)$$

where η is defined only up to logarithmic corrections [2] We see that the usual scaling relation $\eta = 2 - \gamma/\nu$ is obeyed (if one puts $\nu = \infty$ and ignores logarithmic corrections), while all hyperscaling relations are violated.

The magnetic penetration depth λ and the upper critical field H_{c2} scale like the correlation length and the inverse correlation length squared, respectively,

$$\lambda \sim \xi \quad , \quad H_{c2} \sim \xi^{-2} \quad . \quad (3)$$

The free energy density obeys the scaling law

$$f(t, T) = b^{-4} f(t \ln b, T b^2) \quad , \quad (4a)$$

with b an arbitrary length rescaling parameter. This yields in particular for the specific heat coefficient, $\gamma = \partial^2 f / \partial T^2$, the homogeneity law

$$\gamma(t, T) = \gamma(t \ln b, T b^2) \quad . \quad (4b)$$

This implies, among other things, that the specific heat coefficient approaches a constant as the temperature is

lowered to zero at the critical coupling strength. More detailed calculations show a step discontinuity in $\gamma(T = 0)$ at $t = 0$. An analogous scaling behavior is found for the electrical resistivity, which obeys

$$\rho(t, T) = \rho(t \ln b, T b^2) \quad . \quad (5)$$

Here the step discontinuity leads from a finite value to zero. Finally, we find that the fluctuations of the location of the critical point are long-range correlated, falling off like $1/r^{2(d-2)}$. This modifies [3] the usual Harris criterion [4] for the correlation length exponent to $\nu \geq 1/(d-2)$, which is obeyed at our Gaussian FP for all $d > 2$. As a result of this long-rangedness, one finds anomalously strong fluctuations in the position of the critical point as one approaches the quantum FP (although the transition remains sharp). For the root-mean square fluctuations of the critical temperature we obtain

$$\Delta T_c / T_c \sim T_c^{(d-2)/2} F(\ln(T_0/T_c)) \quad , \quad (6)$$

with T_0 the characteristic temperature of the excitations that mediate the superconductivity, and $F(x)$ a function that parametrically depends on the disorder. This explains the strong broadening of the transition that is observed in thin superconducting films at low values of T_c . Our result is in semi-quantitative agreement with recent measurements of the tunneling conductance G in PbBi films [5]. In the parameter regime of this experiment, $F(x) \sim x$ is a reasonable approximation. Defining ΔT_c as the width of the region over which G drops from 80% to 20% of its normal state value, and using $d = 2$ [6] and $T_0 = 300$ K, we find that the data of Ref. [5] agree with Eq. (6) to within 20%.

The unusual scaling properties listed above are in sharp contrast to the *thermal* critical behavior of superconductors, which are in the universality class of a d -dimensional XY-model. In the remainder of this Letter we derive and discuss these results. Our starting point is a very general fermionic action that we write in the form

$$S = S_0 - (K_c / \pi N_F^2) \int dq \bar{n}_c(q) n_c(q) \quad . \quad (7)$$

The explicitly written part of this action is the Cooper channel interaction term, with K_c the appropriate interaction constant normalized by means of the free electron density of states per spin at the Fermi level, N_F . For an attractive interaction, $K_c < 0$. $q = (\mathbf{q}, \Omega_n)$ comprises space and (bosonic) Matsubara frequency labels, and $\int dq = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} T \sum_{i\Omega_n}$. $n_c(q)$ is the Fourier transform of the anomalous or Cooper channel density $n_c(x) = \psi_{\downarrow}(x) \psi_{\uparrow}(x)$, where $x = (\mathbf{x}, \tau)$ comprises space and imaginary time labels, and the ψ_{σ} are fermionic fields with spin label σ . \bar{n}_c is the adjoint of n_c . The remaining part of the action, S_0 , which we will refer to as the reference ensemble, describes interacting electrons in the presence of quenched disorder, with no bare Cooper channel

interaction. Note that even though $K_c = 0$ in the bare reference ensemble, a nonvanishing (repulsive) Cooper channel interaction is generated in perturbation theory [7]. S_0 thus describes a general system of disordered interacting electrons, with the only restriction being that it must not undergo any phase transitions in the parameter region we are considering, lest the separation of modes that is inherent in writing the action in the form of Eq. (7) break down.

We now use standard procedures [1] to derive an OP or Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson (LGW) effective field theory. We decouple the Cooper channel interaction by means of a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation. This introduces a complex valued OP field, which we denote by $\Psi(x)$, that couples linearly to $n_c(x)$. The fermionic degrees of freedom are then integrated out. This way we obtain the partition function in the form

$$Z = e^{-F_0/T} \int D[\Psi] e^{-\Phi[\Psi]} \quad . \quad (8a)$$

Here F_0 is the noncritical part of the free energy, and Φ is the LGW functional. It can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi[\Psi] = & -K_c \int dq |\Psi(q)|^2 \\ & - \ln \left\langle e^{-K_c \int dq (\Psi^*(q) n_c(q) + \Psi(q) \bar{n}_c(q))} \right\rangle_0 \quad , \quad (8b) \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle_0 = \int D[\bar{\psi}, \psi] \dots e^{S_0}$ denotes an average taken with respect to the reference action S_0 .

We next perform a Landau expansion or expansion of Φ in powers of the OP. Since gauge invariance is not broken in the reference ensemble, only even powers of Ψ appear. The coefficients in this expansion, i.e. the vertex functions of the effective field theory, are connected correlation functions of n_c in the reference ensemble. In particular, the Gaussian vertex is determined by the pair propagator or anomalous density-density correlation function in the reference ensemble. Denoting the latter by $C(q)$, the Gaussian term in the LGW functional reads

$$\Phi^{(2)}[\Psi] = \int dq \Psi^*(q) [1/|K_c| - C(q)] \Psi(q) \quad , \quad (9a)$$

where we have scaled Ψ with K_c . So far we have worked within a particular realization of the disorder. To perform the disorder average of the free energy we introduce replicas and use a cumulant expansion. For a particular copy of the replicated system we then obtain, at the Gaussian level, Eq. (9a) with $C(q)$ the connected propagator $C(q) = \{\langle n_c(q) \bar{n}_c(q) \rangle_0\}$, where $\{\dots\}$ denotes the disorder average. $C(q)$ is a complicated correlation function. However, since the reference ensemble is by construction a Fermi liquid, the structure of this correlation function is known. Renormalization group arguments show that the structure of C at low frequencies and long wavelengths in the limit $T \rightarrow 0$ is [7]

$$C(q) = \frac{Z}{h} \frac{\ln(\Omega_0/(D\mathbf{q}^2 + |\Omega_n|))}{1 + (\delta k_c/h) \ln(\Omega_0/(D\mathbf{q}^2 + |\Omega_n|))} , \quad (9b)$$

Here $\Omega_0 = k_B T_0/h$ is a frequency cutoff on the order of the Debye frequency (for phonon-mediated superconductivity), and δk_c is the repulsive interaction in the Cooper channel that is generated within perturbation theory even though the bare K_c vanishes. D is the diffusion coefficient of the electrons, h is a frequency renormalization constant whose bare value is $H = \pi N_F/2$, and Z is the wavefunction renormalization. All of these parameters characterize the reference ensemble, and it is known that they provide a complete characterization of $C(q)$ [8]. Using Eq. (9b) in Eq. (9a) we obtain

$$\Phi^{(2)}[\Psi] = \int dq \Psi^*(q) \left[t + \frac{1}{\ln(\Omega_0/(D\mathbf{q}^2 + |\Omega_n|))} \right] \Psi(q) , \quad (9c)$$

where $t = (\delta k_c - Z|K_c|)/h$ and Ψ has been scaled appropriately.

Let us briefly consider this result for the Gaussian LGW theory. The Gaussian two-point vertex has the structure of Eq. (2a), which implies Eqs. (2b). By scaling $|\mathbf{q}|$ with the correlation length ξ , we also obtain the behavior of the latter, Eq. (1a). Corrections to the Gaussian vertex are known to be of order $1/\ln^2(\Omega_0/(D\mathbf{q}^2 + |\Omega_n|))$ [7]. We now need to study the higher order terms in the LGW functional to ascertain that they do not change these results, and to obtain information about the OP and the free energy.

We first consider the coefficient of the $|\Psi|^4$ -term in the Landau expansion. It is a nonlinear anomalous density susceptibility in the reference ensemble which we denote by $C^{(4)}$. Due to the cumulant expansion with respect to the disorder average there are two different contributions to this coefficient, $C^{(4)} = C_1^{(4)} + C_2^{(4)}$, where $C_1^{(4)}$ is the disorder average of the four-point correlation function for a given disorder realization, while $C_2^{(4)}$ is the disorder average of the two-point function squared. A calculation shows that both $C_1^{(4)}$ and $C_2^{(4)}$ are singular in the limit $q \rightarrow 0$. Cutting off the singularity by means of a wave number $|\mathbf{p}|$, one finds for the leading contributions $C_1^{(4)} \sim u_4/|\mathbf{p}|^4 \ln^4 |\mathbf{p}|$ and $C_2^{(4)} \sim v_4/|\mathbf{p}|^{4-d}$, respectively, with u_4 and v_4 finite coefficients [9]. The same method [10] shows that the most divergent contribution to the coefficient of the term of order $|\Psi|^{2n}$ diverges like

$$C^{(2n)} \sim \frac{u_{2n}}{|\mathbf{p}|^{4(n-1)} \ln^{2n} |\mathbf{p}|} , \quad (10)$$

with u_{2n} a finite coefficient. This implies that the Landau expansion of the cutoff regularized LGW theory is an expansion in powers of $\Psi/\mathbf{p}^2 \ln(1/|\mathbf{p}|)$. The OP field theory, rather than having a simple LGW form, is thus

strongly nonlocal. This is the technical reason for the unusual scaling behavior.

The functional Φ can be analyzed by using standard techniques [11]. We are looking for a FP where the functional dependence of the 2-point vertex on \mathbf{q} and Ω_n , Eq. (9c), is not renormalized. This fixes the exponents η and z . Defining the scale dimension of the correlation length to be $[\xi] = -1$, Power counting shows that the coefficients u_{2n} ($n \geq 2$) of the non-Gaussian terms have scale dimensions $[u_{2n}] = (n-1)(2-d)$, and hence are irrelevant operators with respect to the FP for all dimensions $d > 2$. $[v_4] = -2(d-2)$ for $2 < d < 4$, and the higher cumulants are even more irrelevant. The upper critical dimension is thus $d_c^+ = 2$, and for $d > 2$ the critical behavior obtained from the Gaussian theory is exact.

The scaling behavior of the OP, and of the free energy, is determined by the term of $O(\Psi^4)$ which is a dangerous irrelevant operator [12] with respect to these quantities. For scaling purposes, the cutoff wavenumber $|\mathbf{p}|$ can be replaced by the inverse correlation length, $|\mathbf{p}| \sim \xi^{-1}$. The scaling behavior $\Psi \sim \mathbf{p}^2 \ln(1/|\mathbf{p}|)$ observed above then immediately leads to the behavior of Ψ given in Eq. (1a). One must notice, however, that the OP function Ψ is distinct from the physical gap function Δ . The latter determines the gap in the single-particle excitation spectrum, and hence scales like the frequency or like ξ^2 . This is expressed in Eq. (1b). We have further corroborated this result by explicit calculations. [13]

We next consider the critical behavior of the penetration depth λ and the conductivity σ . Since we have shown that the mean-field/Gaussian theory yields the exact critical behavior at $T = 0$, all relations between observables that are derived within BCS theory are valid. In particular, we have $\lambda \sim 1/\sqrt{\Delta}$, and $H_{c2} \sim \Delta$ [14], which in conjunction with $\Delta \sim \xi^2$ yields Eq. (3). Similarly, we can determine the scale dimension of the conductivity or resistivity. The real part of the frequency dependent conductivity in the superconducting phase has a singular contribution, which within BCS theory is given by

$$\text{Re } \sigma_s(\Omega) = (\pi^2/2) \sigma_n \Delta \delta(\Omega) , \quad (11)$$

with σ_n the conductivity in the normal state. For scaling purposes, $\delta(\Omega) \sim 1/\Omega \sim 1/\Delta$. σ_n is determined entirely by properties of the reference ensemble, and hence it does not show any critical behavior and its scale dimension is zero. We conclude that the scale dimension of σ_s vanishes. If we assume that the conductivity has only one scaling part, then the same is true for the conductivity or resistivity in general, and we obtain Eq. (5).

We now turn to the free energy density f . Hyperscaling suggests that f scales like $f \sim T/V \sim \xi^{-(d+2)}$, which leads to a homogeneity law

$$f(t, T, u_4) = b^{-(d+2)} f(t \ln b, T b^2, u_4 b^{2-d}) , \quad (12)$$

where of the irrelevant operators we have written only u_4 explicitly. f is proportional to $u_4 \Delta^4 \sim 1/u_4$, and

hence the effective scale dimension of f is $[f] = 4$, which yields Eq. (4a). Hyperscaling is violated as usual above an upper critical dimension, viz. by means of the quartic coefficient being dangerously irrelevant with respect to the free energy. By differentiating twice with respect to T one obtains the specific heat coefficient, Eq. (4b).

The quartic term whose coefficient is $C_2^{(4)}$ yields corrections to scaling that represent fluctuations in the position of the critical point: By making K_c a random variable, and integrating out that randomness, one obtains a term of that structure. Repeating the arguments of Ref. [3], we find that the relative fluctuations of the position of the critical point decay anomalously slowly, $\Delta t/t \sim \xi^{-(d-2)}$. δ -correlated elastic scatterers thus induce long-ranged correlations of the t -fluctuations. Translating that into the corresponding fluctuations of T_c via $T_c = T_0 \exp(-1/|t|)$ we obtain Eq. (6).

Let us now discuss the physics behind the unusual critical behavior that we have found. The reason for the non-local LGW theory and the ill-behaved Landau expansion is that in deriving the OP field theory one integrates out the fermions. This procedure leads to a local OP theory only if the OP fluctuations or critical modes are the only soft modes in the system. This is not necessarily the case, there may be soft modes that are distinct from the OP fluctuations, but couple to the latter. These modes may be due to conservation laws, broken symmetries, or long-range forces. This caveat holds for all phase transitions, including thermal ones. However, at $T = 0$ the number of soft modes is larger than at finite T , and therefore a nonlocal OP theory is more likely. Here the additional soft modes are particle-hole excitations in the Cooper channel that are soft only at $T = 0$. They are integrated out in deriving the OP functional, and they lead to both the logarithmic structure of the Gaussian vertex, and to the divergence of the higher vertices. While this leads to a breakdown of the LGW theory, it also is the reason why the critical behavior can be determined exactly despite the ill-behaved nature of the OP field theory: The additional modes lead to an effective long-range interaction between the OP fluctuations (one that falls off only logarithmically at large distances and times). For thermal phase transitions it is well known that long-range interactions stabilize mean-field theory [15], and the same is true here. Notice that in the present case the long-range interaction is self-generated by the system.

The same basic physics as discussed above, namely soft modes other than the OP fluctuations, also makes the quantum critical behavior of itinerant ferromagnets non-trivial, and yet exactly soluble [16]. There are, however, important differences between the two phase transitions. In the magnetic case, the additional modes are weaker, which leads to a Gaussian FP with standard power-law critical behavior. Furthermore, several competing dynamical or temperature scales lead to complicated dimen-

sionality dependencies of critical exponents even though the FP is Gaussian. In the present case the additional modes are so strong that they completely dominate the physics. As a result, there is only one temperature scale, with a scale dimension $[T] = 2$, and the quantum critical behavior of disordered bulk superconductors is BCS-like. However, strong disorder fluctuations lead to a broad transition region as $d \rightarrow 2$.

We thank Jim Valles, Jay Chervenak, and Shih-Ying Hsu for sharing their unpublished data, and Steve Girvin for bringing Ref. [3] to our attention. This work was supported by the NSF under grant numbers DMR-95-10185 and DMR-96-32978.

-
- [1] J. A. Hertz, Phys. Rev. B **14**, 1165 (1976).
 - [2] Throughout this paper, we mention logarithmic corrections to power laws explicitly if they exist, else the power law is meant to hold without any corrections.
 - [3] A. Weinrib and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B **27**, 413 (1983)
 - [4] A. B. Harris, J. Phys. C **7**, 1671 (1974).
 - [5] Shih-Ying Hsu, J.A. Chervenak and J.M. Valles, Jr., unpublished. The width of $G(T)$ gives a better measure of ΔT_c than that of $\rho(T)$ since a tunneling junction provides a better spatial average than a transport experiment.
 - [6] In $d = 2$ our theory describes only the approach to the transition in a transient regime. The true transition is of a different nature.
 - [7] For a review, see, e.g., D. Belitz and T. R. Kirkpatrick, Rev. Mod. Phys. **66**, 261 (1994).
 - [8] T. R. Kirkpatrick and D. Belitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3232 (1992).
 - [9] This result for $C_2^{(4)}$ holds for $2 < d < 4$, and we have neglected possible logarithmic corrections to the power law. For $d > 4$, $C_2^{(4)}$ is finite.
 - [10] The completely connected contribution to the $C^{(2m)}$ is the most singular one. The calculation is most easily performed by means of a loop expansion within the field theory reviewed in Ref. [7]. One can then invoke the structure of the field theory to show that the terms given in the text are indeed the most singular ones.
 - [11] S. K. Ma, *Modern Theory of Critical Phenomena*, Benjamin (Reading, MA, 1976).
 - [12] M. E. Fisher, in *Advanced Course on Critical Phenomena*, F. W. Hahne (ed.), Springer (Berlin 1983), p.1.
 - [13] D. Belitz and T. R. Kirkpatrick, unpublished.
 - [14] See, e.g., M. Tinkham, *Introduction to Superconductivity*, McGraw-Hill (New York, 1975).
 - [15] M. E. Fisher, S.-K. Ma, and B. G. Nickel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **29**, 917 (1972).
 - [16] T. R. Kirkpatrick and D. Belitz, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 14364 (1996); T. Vojta, D. Belitz, R. Narayanan, and T. R. Kirkpatrick, cond-mat/9712224.