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#### Abstract

W ith in a sim ple elastic theory, we study the elongation versus force characteristics of a supercoiled D NA molecule at therm al equilibrium in the regim e of $s m$ all supercoiling. The partition function is $m$ apped to the path integral representation for a quantum charged particle in the eld of a m agnetic $m$ onopole w ith unquantized charge. W e show that the theory is singular in the continuum lim it and $m$ ust be regularised at an interm ediate length scale. W e nd good agreem ent with existing experim entaldata, and point out how to m easure the tw ist rigidity accurately. LPTENS 97/28.


The m easurem ents on single DNA m olecules, beside their possible biologicalinterest, provide a w onderfiul laboratory for the physicalstudies of a single polym er chain. For instance, recent experim ents have shown that the elongation versus force characteristics of a single DNA m olecule $[\overline{[ }]$ is very well thed $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ by the well known worm -like chain (W LC) [4] which describes a chain by an elastic continuous curve at them alequilibrium, w ith a single elastic constant, the persistence length A characterizing the bending energy. T he W LC can be solved analytically by $m$ apping it to a quantum $m$ echanicalproblem. Its partition function is nothing but a Euclidean path integralfor a quantum dum bbell, which can be com puted, in the relevant lim it of long chains, by nding the ground state of the corresponding H am iltonian.

O urw ork is m otivated by the $m$ ore recent experim ents which have $m$ easured the elongation versus force characteristics of a supercoiled D NA m olecule [1] [1]. W e use the simplest generalization of the $W$ LC $w$ ith tw ist rigidity, which is supposed to work at sm all supercoiling angles. The D NA m olecule is described by a thin elastic rod involving a new elastic constant, the tw ist rigidity C. The stress im posed by tw isting the endpoint of an open rod can be absorbed both in some tw ist (if the axis of the rod is a straight line), and in som e deform ation of the rod's axis. This decom position is well known in the case of closed rods, for which the experim ental constraint is a topological invariant [6]. It results in a subtle competition involving the creation of plectonem es which has received quite a lot of attention, both for the study of the ground state, and also taking care phenom enologically of them al uctuations around som e low energy con gurations [1] In contrast, we keep here to the sim plest regim e of $s m$ all supercoiling, but we provide a full analytic and num ericalstudy of the tw isted open rod at them alequilibrium at a nite tem perature, extending thus the standard W LC analysis to this case. W hile ithas been know $n$ for som e tim e that the elastic thin rod model agrees qualitatively $w$ ith experim ental results on D NA, this know ledge w as based only on som eM onte C arlo sim ulations $[121101]$. O ur analytic study of the w orm -like rod chain (W LRC) show s very good quantitative agreem ent w ith the experim ental data at sm all supercoiling and al-
low sfor a precise determ ination of the ratio $C=A$, which was poorly known so far.

W e shall show that beside the two elastic constants describing the bending and tw isting rigidity, one needs to introduce an interm ediate lengthscale b betw een the m icroscopic interbasepair distance and the persistence length, which plays the role of a short distance cuto . O bviously the rod description cannot hold below a cuto length scale b which is at least of the order of the double helix pitch $p^{\prime}$ 0:34 nm, since our description averages over these periodic oscillations. A swe shall see the W LRC continuous lim it is singular and show sproperties qualitatively very di erent from any discretized version of the chain. So the existence of a cuto , which is expected on physical ground, is cnucial, but the nal results tum out to be fairly independent of its precise value, w ithin a reasonable range around $: 5 \mathrm{~nm}$. Sim ilar singularities of the continuous lim it are w ell known in the $w$ inding properties of pure random walks [ld]. T heir appearance here is not fortuitous since the worm -like rod chain is related to random walks in rotation space. It is interesting that supercoiled D NA presents an experim entalsystem where these subtleties of the continuous lim it of random walks tum out to be relevant.

T hew LR C , already studied in [12 in the continuous lim it by the orthonorm al triedron ft (s);u(s);n(s)g where $s$ is the arc length along the $m$ olecule, $t$ is the unit vector tangent to the chain, and $n$ describes the orientation of the rod. For describing DNA, this triedron is obtained by applying a rotation $R$ (s) to a reference triedron which characterizes the natural helical structure of the $m$ olecule. T he rotation $R(s)$ is param etrized by the usual three Euler angles $(s)$; (s); (s), and the reference triedron is such that $(s)=0, \quad(s)+(s)=!_{0} s, w h e r e!_{0}$ is the rotation per unit length of the base axis in a relaxed rectilinear DNA molecule. W ith the above de nition, the set of $s$ dependent Euler angles (s); (s); (s) describes the general deform ations of the D N A m olecule $w$ ith respect to the relaxed rectilinear con guration. W e neglect selfavoidance, the energy of a chain of length $L$ is purely elastic: $E_{e l}=R_{L} d s\left(e_{b}+e_{t}\right), w$ ith the bend and tw ist energy densities given by:
$\theta_{0}=\frac{A}{2} j \frac{d t(s)}{d s} \rho=\frac{A}{2} \quad-\sin ^{2}+\underline{2}$
$e_{t}=\frac{C}{2} f(s) \quad n(s) \div \frac{d n(s)}{d s} \quad!_{0} j^{2}=\frac{C}{2}(-+-\cos )^{2}$
where the dot stands for the $s$ derivative. $W$ e work in units where the tem perature $k_{B} T=1$, so that $A$ and $C$ have dim ension of a length. The discretised version is de ned by quantifying $s$ as an integer multiple of an elem entary length scale b , and approxim ating integrals and derivatives by sum s and di erences, while keeping the periodicity. W e study the equilibrium properties of such a rod pulled $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ a foroe $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Fz}$. The totalenergy is thus $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{el}} \quad \mathrm{F} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ dscos (s).
$T$ he partition function of the elastic chain described by eq. $\cdot(\underline{1}(1)$ ) is nothing but the Euclidean path integral for a quantum sym $m$ etric top, $w$ th the im portant di erence that the eigenfunctions are not periodic in the angles and . Therefore the $m$ om enta con jugate to these angles w illnot be quantized. In our analyticalw ork, we suppose for sim plicity that the boundary values of the Euler angles are $(0)=(L)=0$, and we de ne $(0)=(0)=0$. $T$ hen the experim entally im posed supercoiling angle am ounts to xing: $(\mathrm{L})+(\mathrm{L})=$. In the open DNA chain the continuous angle replaces the topological linking num ber $L_{k}$. We shall lim it ourselves to con gurations where the Euler angles are regular enough, such that can be written as the integral ${ }_{0}$ ds -+- . It is convenient to introduce the rod tw ist $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}$, given by the integral $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}=\begin{gathered}\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}} \\ 0\end{gathered} \mathrm{ds}-+-\mathrm{cos} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}$ appears as a G aussian variable in the partition function path integral. W enow de ne a 'localw rithe' contribution to the supercoiling angle w as $\mathrm{w}=\quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{ds}-(1 \mathrm{cos})$. The above decom position [ig is rem in iscent of the one perform ed in the case of of closed chain where the topological linking num ber $L_{k}$ is decom posed into tw ist and writhe [1] $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$.

The partition function for a xed value of is given by the path integral in the space of Euler angles:

$$
Z=\begin{align*}
& Z \\
& Z \tag{2}
\end{align*}\left[\operatorname{Zos} ; \quad ; \quad{ }_{0} d s\left(-+\rightarrow e^{E}\right.\right.
$$

A fter introducing an integralrepresentation of the function which xes, one can perform the gaussian path integral on the angle. $Z$ is then expressed as a path integral on the two angles cos and , w th an e ective energy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\int_{0}^{Z} d s\left(e_{0} \quad F \cos \right)+\frac{C}{2 L}(\quad w)^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This form $[\overline{3})$ is usefulfornum ericalsim ulations $[\overline{1} \overline{2}]$ af ter a proper discretization, but not for analytic com putation, due to its non localcharacter. A 有ematively we can compute the Fourier transform $Z=d Z \exp (i k)$,
which is again given by a path integralon the tw o angles cos and , w th the e ective energy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(k)=\frac{k^{2} L}{2 C}+{ }_{0}^{Z} d s e_{0} \quad F \cos +i k-(1 \quad \cos ) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This last form has an appealing quantum $m$ echanical intenpretation: If one analytically continues the s-integral tow ards the im aginary axis, one recognizes the action integral of a particle $w$ ith unit charge $m$ oving on the unit sphere under the joint action of the electric eld F and the magnetic eld $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{k}(1 \mathrm{cos})$ of a magnetic $m$ onopole of charge $k$. O ne easily deduces the corresponding $H$ am iltonian $H$, by substituting $p=$ by p A in the W LC Ham iltonian (which corresponds to $A=0$ ). Because of the averaging over the nal
$=(\mathrm{L})$, only the eigenvalue $\mathrm{m}=0$ of p contributes and we can set $p=0$ in H. We work with the dim ensionless quantities $\hat{H}=H=A$ and $A F$, in term $s$ of which we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\frac{1}{2 \sin } \frac{@}{@} \sin \frac{@}{@} \quad \cos +\frac{k^{2}}{2} \frac{1 \quad \cos }{1+\cos } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the eigenstates and the eigenvalues of $\hat{H}$, $\hat{H}{ }_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{k} ;)={ }_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2} ;\right){ }_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{k} ;)$, the Fourier transform ed partition function $Z$ can be wrilten as the sum :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z={ }_{n}^{X} j_{n}(k ; 0) j^{2} \exp \quad \frac{L}{A} \quad n\left(k^{2} ;\right)+\frac{k^{2} A}{2 C} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the large L lim it, the sum over the eigenstates is dom inated by the one with lowest energy $0\left(; \mathrm{k}^{2}\right)$, if $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{A} \quad$ where is the energy gap between the ground state and the nearest excited state of $\hat{H}$. This gives the approxim ate expression for the partition function Z :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{exp} \quad \frac{L}{A} \quad 0\left(k^{2} ;\right)+\frac{k^{2} A}{2 C}+i k \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ herefore one can deduce, from the ground state energy $0\left(; \mathrm{k}^{2}\right)$ of the H am ittonian $\hat{H}$, the observable properties of a long $W$ LRC, ofw hich we now discuss tw o im portant ones. The relative extension of the chain in the direction of the force is given by hzi=L $=(A=L) \frac{@ \ln z}{@}$. If instead of constraining one $m$ easures its them al uctuations, their probability distribution is just P ( ) / Z. For instance the second $m$ om ent is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
<{ }^{2}>=\frac{L}{C}+\frac{2 L}{A} \lim _{k^{2}!0} \frac{@ o\left(k^{2} ;\right)}{@ k^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his expression show sthat the $W$ LRC is pathological because of "giant" uctuations of w. The contribution to $<{ }^{2}>$ from the twist uctuations, $\frac{L}{C}$, scales
linearly in $L$, as one expects in a one dim ension statisticalm echanics system $w$ ith a nite correlation length. In contrast the second piece of (i, $\bar{l}_{1}$ ) giving the contribution from $h{ }_{W}^{2} i$, is divergent: evaluating $o\left(k^{2} ;\right)$ at sm all $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ from standard perturbation theory, we nd $h_{W}{ }^{2} i=(L=A) h(1 \quad \cos )=(1+\cos ){ }_{0}$ iwhereh $i_{0}$ is the quantum average taken on the groundstate 0() of the W LC Ham iltonian (which is $\hat{H}$ at $k=0$ ). As 0() (for any nite foroe), we get a logarithm ically divergent result. O ne can show that $\left(; k^{2}\right) \quad(; 0)+k j_{0}()^{2}$. $T$ his linearbehaviour of the energy in $k$ jshow sthat $P()$ has a $C$ auchy tail, and thus a diverging second $m$ om ent. The C auchy distribution has been veri ed in the lim it of a vanishing force, $=0$, where the eigenfunctions can be found exactly in term s of Jacobipolynom ials. A related consequence is that the extensive part of the average extension is unchanged by the supercoiling angle at sm all forces: $\langle z\rangle=\mathrm{L}$, $2=3$ independently of $F$, in striking contradiction to experim ent.

In contrast to the W LC, the continuous lim it of the W LRC is singular. This singular behaviour could have been anticipated since $\hat{H}$ describes the motion of a charged particle in a magnetic monopole with an unquantized $m$ agnetic charge, a notoriously ill de ned problem if no cuto is provided near $=$. As we have argued previously, the W LRC m odel is not expected to give a good description of supercoiled DNA unless one introduces the cut-o length scale b. The non trivial fact is that this existence of a cuto a ects the $m$ acroscopic' properties taking place on the length scale of the whole molecule.

In order to validate the discretized W LRC, we have perform ed a M onte C arlo sim ulation, m ostly using the discretized version of ( $\overline{1}$ I'). Such sim ulations are known to account well for the observed behaviour of circular DNA [12], and have been used recently for the study of chains elongated $w$ th large supercoiling angles [ [13 ${ }^{-1}$ ]. W ith respect to these works, we have discarded the self avoidance, since our aim is to test the discretized W LRC w ithout self avoidance. W e have discretized the chain $w$ th elem entary rods of length $b=A=10$, and sim ulated $m$ ostly chains of length $L=30 \mathrm{~A}$. In order to facilitate the therm alisation, we have relaxed in the sim ulation the constraint $(L)=0$, which should not a ect the extensive quantities. The elem entary $m$ oves which we used $w$ as to scan sequentially each point $i=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{b}$ in the chain, and propose a global rotation of the tangent vectors $t_{j} ; j=i ;:: ; i N$ around a random axisw ith an angle taken with a at distribution in [ $0 ; 0$ ], where 0 is chosen such that the acceptance rate of the $m$ oves is of order :5. T hese rotations of a fraction of the chain were the best we found for insuring a relatively fast them alization $\left[\overline{1} \overline{4}_{1}^{-1}\right]$. T he resultspresented in $F$ ig.1, obtained w ith $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A}=1: 4$, show that the elongation versus characteristics reproduces well the experim ental values at sm all enough .


FIG.1. The elongation versus reduced supercoiling angle $=A=L$ for forces $F=: 116 ;: 197 ;: 328 \mathrm{pN}$, from bottom to top. is taken directly from experim ent and has been com puted $w$ ith $A=51: 35 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $L=15: 61 \mathrm{~m}$. The experin entalist's is related to by $=!_{0} A=2 \frac{\mathrm{~A}}{\mathrm{p}}=94: 8$. T he sm aller points are the experim ental results, the bigger points on the low est curve are from $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations, the full lines is the analytic study of the W LRC through the param etric representation ( $\underline{9}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) w ith the values $C=A$ given in the text.

The regularization in the discrete $m$ odel com es from the fact that the angle $\quad 0$ is de ned modulo 2 . The computation of $<{\underset{W}{2}}_{2}>$ can be done explicitely at $=0$, and one nds that the continuum expression $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{Ah}(1 \quad \cos \quad)=(1+\cos )$ im ust be substituted by $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{Ah}(1 \quad \cos )=(1+\cos ) \mathrm{R}$ (sin $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{b}$ ) i, where the regularization function is given in term $s$ of $B$ essel functions by: $R(x)=I_{1}(x)=I_{0}(x)$. It is reasonable to assum e that the discrete m odel is well approxim ated by the H am irtonian $\hat{H}^{r}$ which is obtained from $\hat{H}$ by this sam e substitution. W e have com puted the elongation properties of the W LRC from the ground state energy of the corresponding regularized rod ham iltonian $\hat{H}^{r}$. The variations of $\frac{\langle z\rangle}{L}$ with now scale as a function of $=L$, as in experim ents. We introduce the intensive linking variable $=\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{L}$ (related to the experim entalists'
by $==!_{0}$ A). The partition function in (T, إ, can be com puted by the saddle point $m$ ethod in the lim it L=A 1 w ith kept xed. The saddle point is im aginary, $k=i(1)$, and from its value one easily deduces the elongation of the chain using the general form ulas given above. W e obtain in this way the follow ing param etric representation of the bell shape curves giving $\frac{h z i}{\mathrm{~L}}$ versus , for a xed value of the force.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A}{C}+2 \frac{@_{0}}{@ k^{2}}\left(;{ }^{2}\right)=-; \frac{h z i}{L}=\frac{@_{0}}{@}\left(;{ }^{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $n$ altemative to solving the wave equation associated w ith the regularized ham iltonian $\hat{H}^{\mathrm{r}}$ is to w ork directly w ith the discretized version of the ective energy ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, using standard transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethods. W e have checked that these two procedures give results for $0\left({ }^{2}\right)$ which are in very good agreem ent. U sing interpolation techniques, one can elim inate in (of ) in order to obtain the ratio $C=A$ in term $s$ of , $h z i=L$ and $b=A$. For various values of the force and of $b=A$, we have com puted the em pirical value of $C=A$ obtained from each experim ental point , hzi=L. W ith $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{A}=: 12$ all these values cluster nicely, allow ing for a rather precise determ ination of $C=A$. For three values of the force, $\mathrm{F}=: 116 \mathrm{pN}$; :197 pN ; :328 pN , we nd respectively $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A}=1: 67 \quad: 12$; 1:66 :10; 1:71 :09 (in this analysis we have restricted to the range of mm all enough supercoiling: $j$ j $1: 5$ for $F=: 197 ;: 328 \mathrm{pN}$ and $j$ j $2: 2$ for $\mathrm{F}=: 116 \mathrm{pN}$ ). For each foroe, the value of $=A$ is the result of a statisticalw eighted average and the quoted error is just the root $m$ ean square deviation, read o directly from the data. These three results agree and indicate a value of $C=A$ ' $1: 68$. H ow ever it should be stressed that the experim ental points used here are prelim inary and the study of system atic errors in the experim ent is not yet com pleted so this value of $C=A$ is not yet de nitive. The bell shape curves, com puted for each force $w$ ith the corresponding value of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A}$, are com pared to the experi$m$ entalpoints in 91 . T he agreem ent looks very satisfactory, indicating an overal consistency of the procedure. W e have perform ed the sam e analysis w th $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{A}=: 05 ; 2$. $W$ ith $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{A}=2$ the agreem ent rem ains fairly good, but the value $C=A$ for the three values of the forces exhibit uctuations around 1.68 which can reach $20 \%$. The value $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{A}=: 05$ appears to be excluded.

A nother possible $m$ ethod to get the ratio $C=A$ could be to $m$ easure the curvature of the bell shape curve,
$=@^{2}(<z>=L)=@{ }^{2}$, at its $m$ aximum, which can be related to $\mathrm{a}_{1}()=2 \frac{\varrho}{@ \mathrm{k}^{2}} 0\left(\mathrm{k}^{2} ;\right)_{\mathrm{k}=0}$ through perturbation theory. T he $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{C}$ is given in term s of the known function $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ ( ) [14.] as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A}{C}=a_{1}(1)+\underline{S} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the $m$ om ent the $m$ easurem ents of is however not precise enough for a good determ ination $w$ ith this $m$ ethod.

W e have shown that the $W$ LRC m ust be regularised at $s m$ all length scale. T he corresponding $m$ odel can be solved analytically and it accounts well for experim ental results at sm all supercoiling, giving a good method to determ ine the elastic constants ratio C =A . O bviously our theory is lim ited to the $s m$ all force - sm all supercoiling regim $e$. For instance the experim ents show that for
$\mathrm{F}>: 45 \mathrm{pN}$ the extension is not sym $m$ etric for ! . This kind of e ect is totally beyond our simple elastic m odelwhich is intrinsically sym m etric. Extending it requires the introduction ofself avoidance to treat properly the plectonem e form ation. The self avoidance will also naturally provide a cuto length scale. H ow ever taking it into account properly is a m a jor challenge [1] [1].
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