

# Low-lying excitations around a single vortex in a d-wave superconductor

Y. Mori<sup>1</sup>

M. Kohmoto<sup>2y</sup>

K. Maki<sup>3</sup>

A full quantum-mechanical treatment of the Bogoliubov-de Gennes equation for a single vortex in a d-wave superconductor is presented. First, we find low-energy states extended in four diagonal directions, which have no counterpart in a vortex of s-wave superconductors. The four-fold symmetry is due to 'quantum effect', which is enhanced when  $p_F$  is small. Second, for  $p_F \gg 1$ , a peak with a large energy gap  $E_0$  is found in the density of states, which is due to the formation of the lowest bound states.

---

<sup>1</sup> Department of Applied Physics, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan <sup>2</sup> Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo 7-22-1 Roppongi Minato-ku, Tokyo 106, Japan <sup>3</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southern California Los Angeles, Cal. 90089-0484, USA <sup>y</sup> Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: kohmoto@issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp

After a few years of controversy, d-wave nature of the high- $T_c$  superconductors is now well established [1, 2], although superconductivity in the electron-doped  $\text{Nd}_{2-x}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4$  appears to be of s-wave [3]. Therefore it is important to understand the nature of vortex states in a d-wave superconductor [2, 4]. An earlier analysis of the vortex state based on the Gorkov equation shows that a square lattice of vortices tilted by  $\pi/4$  from the a-axis is the most stable except in the immediate vicinity of  $T = T_c$  or in a weak magnetic field [5]. Such a square lattice of vortices, though distorted, has been seen by a small angle neutron scattering [6] and a scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) [7] in  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-x}$  (YBCO) at low temperatures ( $T < 10\text{K}$ ). We believe that this distortion of the vortex lattice is due to the orthorhombicity of the YBCO, although there are alternative interpretations based on the (d+s) admixture [8, 9]. One of the most remarkable results in the STM experiment is that the vortex appears to have a circular symmetry as in an s-wave superconductor. It is in sharp contrast to earlier results obtained within the Eilenberger theory (a semi-classical theory of a superconductor) [10], where a clear four-fold symmetry was obtained in the local density of states [11, 12, 13]. Further, at the center of the vortex, a peak with a large energy gap  $E_0$  was found in the local density of states, where  $E_0$  is the superconducting order parameter. Then the most natural interpretation is that this corresponds to the lowest bound state for a vortex in a d-wave superconductor analogous to the one predicted by Caroli, de Gennes and Matricon [14].

In the previous study [15], in order to understand the results from the STM experiment, we have solved the Bogoliubov-de Gennes (B-dG) equation for a d-wave superconductor and obtained quasi-particle spectra around a single vortex. In the temperature region where the Ginzburg-Landau (GL) theory is valid, we found that the local density of states exhibits a circular symmetry and a peak with a large energy gap  $E_0$  is found in the local density of states at the center of the vortex, which is consistent with the STM experiment. In ref. [15], it is crucial to set  $p_F \ll 1$  for YBCO, where  $p_F$  and  $\xi$  are the Fermi momentum and the coherence length respectively. The value of  $p_F$  is obtained by an approximate formula for the lowest bound state  $E_0 = \xi \sqrt{1 - p_F^2}$  [12, 16]. This is also consistent with the chemical potential of YBCO deduced from the analysis of the spin gap seen in an inelastic neutron scattering experiment from monocrystals of YBCO [17, 18]. In the above analysis, however, we neglected the noncommutability between  $\hat{k}$  and  $x$  ('quantum effect') [19], where  $\hat{k}$  and  $x$  are the quasi-particle momentum and coordinate respectively, and the local density of states has a perfect circular symmetry except when the mixing of an s-wave component occurs [20]. The correction is  $O(1 - p_F^2)$  and irrelevant at least in the study of systems with a long coherence length e.g. the superconducting phases of the heavy-fermion systems ( $p_F \ll 10$ ) and the  $^3\text{He}$  superfluidity ( $p_F \ll 100$ ), but may have a serious influence in the study of the high- $T_c$  superconductors, where  $p_F \sim 1$  as is discussed above.

In this paper, a full quantum mechanical treatment of the B-dG equation for a d-wave superconductor is reported, where the 'quantum effect' is taken into account. As shown below, the four-fold symmetry appears in the local density of states. Similar four-fold

symmetry was obtained in the previous studies [11, 12, 13]. But it should be noted that the four-fold symmetry discussed here has totally different origin from that obtained in the earlier studies. The B-dG equation for a d-wave superconductor is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla^2 u_n(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{2m} (r - ieA(\mathbf{x}))^2 u_n(\mathbf{x}) + \mu u_n(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \\ & \nabla^2 v_n(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{2m} (r + ieA(\mathbf{x}))^2 v_n(\mathbf{x}) + \mu v_n(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \\ & \nabla_x (u_n(\mathbf{x}) \nabla_y v_n(\mathbf{x}) - v_n(\mathbf{x}) \nabla_y u_n(\mathbf{x})) = 0 \\ & \nabla_y (u_n(\mathbf{x}) \nabla_x v_n(\mathbf{x}) - v_n(\mathbf{x}) \nabla_x u_n(\mathbf{x})) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_n(\mathbf{x})$  and  $v_n(\mathbf{x})$  are the quasi-particle amplitudes,  $\mu$  is the pair potential,  $A(\mathbf{x})$  is the vector potential which is neglected assuming  $H \ll H_c$ , and  $\mu$  is the chemical potential which is identified with the Fermi energy. The parameters are set as  $2m^* = 2.82$  and  $R = 30$ , where  $\xi$  is the coherence length and  $R$  is radius of the system and the pair potential  $\mu(\mathbf{x})$  is given by

$$\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \tanh(r/\xi) e^{-i\theta}; \quad (0.1)$$

where  $r$  and  $\theta$  are defined by  $\mathbf{x} = (r \cos(\theta), r \sin(\theta))$ . This form of pair potential is obtained by the Ginzburg-Landau (GL) theory for a d-wave superconductor [12, 20] and applicable at not too low temperatures (an estimate of the temperature region where the GL theory is valid is  $[0.5T_c; T_c]$  for an s-wave superconductor, when one consider the quasi-particle spectra around a single vortex).

In order to solve the B-dG equation numerically, it is convenient to expand the quasi-particle amplitudes  $u_n(\mathbf{x})$  and  $v_n(\mathbf{x})$  as

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_{n,l,j}(r) \exp(i l \theta) \\ v_n(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_{n,l,j}(r) \exp(i(l-1)\theta) \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\mu_{l,j}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2R J_{l+1}(\mu_{l,j})} J_l(\mu_{l,j} x/R)$  ( $J_l(x)$  is the Bessel function),  $\mu_{l,j}$  is the  $j$ -th positive zero point of  $J_l(x)$  and  $R$  is the radius of the system. In the previous study [15], in which the 'quantum effect' is neglected, the B-dG equation decouples for  $u_{n,l,j}$ 's and  $v_{n,l,j}$ 's with different  $l$ . On the other hand, when the 'quantum effect' is taken into account,  $u_{n,l,j}$ 's and  $v_{n,l,j}$ 's with different  $l$  couple, which plays an important role when  $p_F$  is small. Because of the coupling, the number of basis we can use for numerical diagonalization is small compared to the previous study [15]. However, it is sufficient for the understanding of the qualitative aspects.

At first, consider the density of states  $\rho(E) = \sum_i \delta(E - E_i)$  as a function of  $E = \epsilon$ , where  $p_F = 1.33$ . As is seen in Fig. 1, there is a peak with a large energy gap  $E_0$ . This

corresponds to the lowest bound state. The peak has a width due to the internal degree of freedom in the  $\hat{k}$  space. These are consistent with the previous results [15] qualitatively, where the 'quantum effect' is neglected.

Next consider a local density of states in a superconductor, which is the quantity of interest for comparison to STM experiments and given by,

$$N(E; \mathbf{x}) = \sum_n [\psi_n(\mathbf{x})]^2 \delta(E - E_n) + [\bar{\psi}_n(\mathbf{x})]^2 \delta(E + E_n):$$

In Fig. 2 and 3,  $\int_0^{R_0/35} dE N(E; \mathbf{r}; )$  is plotted and they show a clear four-fold symmetry in the local density of states. When  $p_F$  is changed from 1.33 to 2.00, the four-fold symmetry is suppressed (see Fig. 3) and the local density of states becomes circular. This supports the idea that the four-fold symmetry is due to the 'quantum effect'. We stress that these low-energy states extended in four diagonal directions are particular to d-wave superconductivity. Therefore 1) these states should give rise to the zero-energy density of states proportional to  $1/B$  as discussed by Volovik and others [21, 4], 2) they are the most likely the origin of the large flux flow resistivity in YBCO observed recently by Doettinger et al. [22] and, 3) when a square lattice of vortices tilted by  $\theta=4^\circ$  from the a-axis is formed, the quasi-particle can move from one vortex to the other through these low-energy states extended in four diagonal directions, which should give rise to a cohesive energy guaranteeing the stability of the square lattice. The clarification of the quasi-particle spectrum in a vortex lattice and, in particular, the tilted square lattice is of immediate interest.

In conclusion, we have investigated the Bogoliubov-de Gennes equation for a d-wave superconductor, where the noncommutability between  $\hat{k}$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  ('quantum effect') is taken into account. We found a peak with a large energy gap  $E_0$  in the density of states, which is consistent with the previous results. We found low-energy states extended in four diagonal directions, which is due to the 'quantum effect'. The low-energy states have no counterpart in a vortex of s-wave superconductors. It is natural to consider that these low-energy states cause directional attractive forces between vortices. It is possible that, due to the directional attractive force, a square lattice of vortices becomes stable in some parameter region. Another scenario for a square lattice of vortices is proposed in ref. [5], where the higher-order correction in the Ginzburg-Landau theory [23] plays an essential role. In this paper, we do not consider the effect of the higher-order correction. The higher-order correction causes the four-fold symmetry in the pair potential. We consider that, in low temperatures, it is needed to take into account both the 'quantum effect' and the higher-order correction in the GL theory self-consistently, and more detailed study is left as a future problem.

This work is in part supported by National Science Foundation under grant number DMR 95-31720, ISI Foundation at Torino, Italy and timely short term research fellowship of Japan Society of Promotion of Science.

## References

- [1] D . J. Scalapino, Physics Report 250, 329 (1995).
- [2] K . M aki and H . W on, in Selected Topics in Mathematical Physics, edited by R . Sridhar et. al. (Allied, New Delhi, 1995), p. 180; Ann. Physik 5, 320 (1996).
- [3] B . Stadlober, G . K rug, R . Nem etschek, R . Hackl, J. L. Cobb and J. T . M akert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4911 (1995).
- [4] H . W on and K . M aki, J. Phys. I (France) 6, 2317 (1996); K . M aki, Y . Sun and H . W on, Czech. J. Phys. 46, Suppl. S6, 3151 (1996).
- [5] H . W on and K . M aki, Europhys. Lett. 30, 421 (1995); Phys. Rev. B 53, 5927 (1996).
- [6] B . Keimer, W . Y . Shih, R . W . Erwin, J. W . Lynn, F . Dogan and I. A . Aksay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3459 (1994).
- [7] I. Maggio-Aprile, Ch. Renner, A . Erb, E . Walker and ; . Fischer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2754 (1995).
- [8] A . J. Berlinsky, A . L. Fetter, M . Franz, C . K allin and P . I. Soininen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2200 (1995).
- [9] M . Franz, C . K allin, P . I. Soininen, A . J. Berlinsky and A . L. Fetter, Phys. Rev. B 53, 5795 (1996).
- [10] G . Eilenberger, Z. Phys. 214, 195 (1968); A . I. Larkin and Yu. N . Ovchinnikov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. z. 55, 2262 (1968) [Sov. Phys. JETP 288, 1200 (1969)].
- [11] K . M aki, N . Schopohl and H . W on, Physica B 204, 214 (1995).
- [12] N . Schopohl and K . M aki, Phys. Rev. B 52, 490 (1995).
- [13] M . Ichioka, N . Hayashi, N . Enomoto and K . Machida, Phys. Rev. B 53, 15316 (1996).
- [14] C . Caroli, P . G . de Gennes and J. M atricon, Phys. Lett. 9, 307 (1964); C . Caroli, and J. M atricon, Phys. Kondens. Mater. 3, 380 (1965).
- [15] Y . Morita, M . Kohmoto and K . M aki, to appear in Phys. Rev. Lett..
- [16] F . Gygi and M . Schluter, Phys. Rev. B 43, 7609 (1991) and references therein.
- [17] J. Rossat-Mignod, L. P. Regnault, C . Vettier, P . Bourges, P . Burlet, J. Bossy, J. Y . Henry and G . Lapertoot, Physica C 185-189, 86 (1991) ; Physica B 186-188, 1 (1993).

- [18] K .M aki and H .W on, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1758 (1994); H .W on and K .M aki, Phys. Rev. B 49, 15305 (1994); Physica B 206+ 207, 664 (1995).
- [19] C .B ruder, Phys. Rev. B 41, 4017 (1990).
- [20] Y .Ren, J.-H .Xu and C .S .T ing, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 3680 (1995); J.-H .Xu, Y .Ren and C .S .T ing, Phys. Rev. B 52, 7663 (1995).
- [21] G .E .Volovik, JETP Lett. 58, 469 (1993).
- [22] S .G .D oettinger, R .P .H uebener and S .K ittleberger, Czech. J. Phys. 46 suppl. S3, 1715 (1996); preprint.
- [23] N .Enom oto, M .Ichioka and K .M achida, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64, 3384 (1995).

## Figure Captions

Fig. 1:  $P_i(E, E_1)$  as a function of  $E$ , where  $k_F = 1.33$ .

Fig. 2:  $\int_0^{R_{0.35}} dE N(E; r)$ , where  $k_F = 1.33$  for a)  $r = 3.0$ , b)  $r = 9.0$ , c)  $r = 15.0$  and d)  $r = 27.0$ .

Fig. 3:  $\int_0^{R_{0.35}} dE N(E; r)$ , where a)  $k_F = 1.33$  and  $r = 9.0$  and b)  $k_F = 2.0$  and  $r = 9.0$ .




Fig. 1






Fig. 2




Fig. 3 a)




Fig. 3 b)