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#### Abstract

U sing a R ayleigh-Schrodinger perturbation expansion ofm ulti-band $H$ ubbard $m$ odels, we present analytic expressions for the super-exchange coupling constants betw een $m$ agnetic transition $m$ etal ions of arbitrary separation in $M$ ott $H$ ubbard insulators. T he only restrictions are i) all ligand ions are closed shell an ions and ii) all contributing interaction paths are of equal length. For short paths, our results essentially con $m$ the $G$ oodenough $K$ anam ori-A nderson rules, yet in general there does not exist any sim ple rule to predict the sign of the $m$ agnetic coupling constants. Them ost favorable situation for ferrom agnetic coupling is found for ions w ith less than half lled d shells, the (relative) tendency to ferrom agnetic coupling increases w ith increasing path length. As an application, the $m$ agnetic interactions of the Cr com pounds $\mathrm{R} \mathrm{b}_{2} \mathrm{CrC} I_{4}, \mathrm{CrC} I_{3}, \mathrm{CrB} r_{3}$ and CrI are investigated, all of which except $C r C l_{3}$ are ferrom agnets.


$71.10 \mathrm{Ed}, 7120 \mathrm{Be}, 75.10 \mathrm{Jm}, 75.30 \mathrm{Et}, 75.50 \mathrm{D} \mathrm{d}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

$T$ he understanding of the $m$ agnetic properties of the nonm etallic 3d-transition $m$ etal com pounds is not satisfying though they have been investigated intensively experim entally and theoretically. In particular there exists only a qualitative understanding of $m$ agnitude and sign of the $m$ agnetic coupling on the basis of the so-called G oodenough $K$ anam ori-A nderson (G K A) rules. In this paper we present a m ore system atic way of calculating the $m$ agnetic coupling constants of these $m$ aterials based on the concept of super-exchange. W e also test ourm ethods by applying them to a sub-class of the rare class of ferrom agnetic 3d insulators, i.e. to C r com pounds, where the GKA rules apparently do not apply.

In nonm etallic, $m$ agnetic 3d-transition $m$ etal oxides and halides the spins are localized in the incom pletely
lled 3d shells of the transition $m$ etalsites, hence-forw ard called $m$ agnetic sites. T his is a consequence of large, correlation e ects. They are $M$ ott $H$ ubbard insulators ${ }^{\text {¹ }}$ and are thus described by a H ubbard-type $m$ odel of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=\begin{array}{lll}
X & { }_{i} \hat{\mathrm{n}} & \text { (i) }+{ }_{1} \hat{\mathrm{~A}} \quad \text { (l) }
\end{array} \\
& \text { X } \stackrel{i}{\dot{\prime}} \\
& \left.+\quad t_{i 1} C^{y} \text { (i) } C \quad \text { (l) }+h: c:\right] \\
& \stackrel{i}{i, 1}_{X_{i}^{\prime}}^{i} \\
& \left.+\quad \mathrm{t}_{11^{0}}{ }^{0} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{X}} \text { (1)co(1)} \mathrm{C} \text { ( } \mathrm{h}: \mathrm{c}:\right] \\
& \begin{array}{l}
1 ; 1^{0} \\
; 0^{0}
\end{array} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{U} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{y}} \text { (i) } c^{y} \quad \text { (i) } \mathrm{C} \quad \text { o(i) } c  \tag{i}\\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { i } \\
;
\end{array} \\
& \frac{1}{2} \quad \text { I } \quad c^{y} \quad \text { (i) } c^{y} \quad \text { (i) } c \quad 0 \text { (i) } C \quad \text { (i) }  \tag{i}\\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { i } \\
\text { \% } \\
\text {; }
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

(1)
$H^{2}$ ere ${ }_{i}$ is the energy of a d orbital at the $m$ agnetic site $i$ and $l_{1}$ that of an orbital at the ligand site 1. $t_{i 1}$ represents the hopping from the orbital $i$ to ${ }_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{11^{0}}$ o that from $1_{1}$ to ${ }_{1^{0}}^{0} . \mathrm{U}$ and I are Coulom b and exchange interactions at the $m$ agnetic sites, respectively. In the atom ic lim it, I $<0$ leads to the rst Hund's rule ( $m$ axim um spin) and $U>U$ to the second Hund's rule ( $m$ axim um orbital m om entum ). $\hat{I}=I \quad c^{y}$ (i) $c^{y}$ 。(i) $C \quad 0$ (i)c (i) will be called $H$ und interaction in the follow ing. Its e ect is an exchange of spins betw een orbitals and. The application of $\hat{I}$ to sym $m$ etric (anti-sym $m$ etric) spin-states therefore has the e ect of a multiplication with + ( )I . In Eq. (İI) we neglect the C oulom b interaction at the ligand ions and betw een di erent sites. W e will also use the approxim ation $U=U=U$ and $I=J_{H}$. These restrictions could be loosened but we do not expect qualitatively different results. W e note that the atom ic part of the H am iltonian ( $\overline{1} 1)$ has been used very satisfactorily in ligand eld theory for, $e_{i}$ g. the interpretation of optical data of such com pounds ${ }^{2}$

A nderson has introduced the concept of superexchange where the hopping of spins betw een the different sites leads to the coupling of the total spins $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ of the $m$ agnetic sites 1 and $23^{13} A s$ is known from experim ental resultsint the interaction is described very wellby the $H$ eisenberg $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{J}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{1} \quad \mathrm{Q}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The intenpretation of the interaction due to kinetic exchange (di erent from Coulombexchange) is re ected in the form ulation of the GKA rules ${ }^{6}$ (n In a sim pli ed version they read
A) If the hopping of a spin from a singly occupied ${ }_{1}$ of the ion $M_{1}$ to the singly occupied
orbital 2 of the ion $M_{2}$ is possible，the inter－ action betw een the spins in 1 and 2 is anti－ ferrom agnetic．
B）W hen a spin can hop from the singly occu－ pied orbital 1 to an unoccupied orbital of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ ，a ferrom agnetic contribution to the inter－ action results，which is weaker than the anti－ ferrom agnetic one from rule A．

W ith the use of these rules the sign of the coupling con－ stant can be predicted in $m$ any cases．Especially，the GKA rules explain why most of the non－m etallic 3d－ transition－m etal com pounds do not show a m acroscopic $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent．$T$ his is related to the fact that orbital ordering is usually needed for a ferrom agnetic interaction to occur．O ne prom inent exam ple are the $C u F_{2}-$ planes of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuF}{ }_{4}$ ，where the Jahn－Teller e ect causes orbital order，of altemating $z^{2} \quad y^{2}$ and $z^{2} \quad x^{2}$ hole onbitals， which com pletely suppresses the usually dom inant anti－ ferrom agnetic interaction．

H ow ever，in $m$ any cases the G KA rules are not helpful to decide which one of two com peting interactions dom－ inates．Such a case is $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{CrCl}_{4}$ ，where，again due to the Jahn－Teller e ect，an analogous orbital ordering as in $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuF}{ }_{4}$ occurs．N evertheless，the nearest neighbor， singly occupied $3 x^{2} \quad r^{2}$ and $3 y^{2} \quad r^{2}$ orbitals exhibit a strong anti－ferrom agnetic coupling via $p$ ligand or－ bitals，com pletely absent in the case of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuF}_{4}$ ．T he fact，therefore，that $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{CrCl}_{4}$ is also a ferrom agnet，is by no $m$ eans a sim ple consequence of the GKA rules． Sim ilarly，there is no obvious G K A argum ent for the fact that the isostructural tri－halides $\mathrm{CrB} r_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CrI}_{3}$ are fer－ rom agnets．

In our paper we present a m ore detailed theory of super－exchange based on the H am iltonian［1］）which al－ lows the calculation of both anti－ferrom agnetic and fer－ rom agnetic coupling constants for hopping paths via ar－ bitrarily $m$ any ligands．

W e test our theory by applying it to the ferrom agnetic insulators $m$ entioned above and show that a reasonable choice of param eters in $\left[12_{1}^{1}\right.$ ）will lead to $m$ agnetic coupling constants which agree $w$ ith experim ent both in $m$ agni－ tude and sign．

In section II we use perturbation theory to determ ine the coupling constants for pairs ofd－ions w hich are linked via an arbitrary num ber of ligand ions．F irst we present the interaction of spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ pairs，where the spins are ex－ changed along a chain of ligands each possessing one un－ occupied orbital．W e investigate both the direct super－ exchange between two singly occupied d orbitals and the tw o indirect processes w here spin－free（em pty or dou－ bly occupied）d orbitals at the $m$ agnetic sites are in－ volved．W e then generalize these results to the case of spin exchange via several paths of the sam e order－ here we distinguish betw een equivalent and inequivalent paths．Finally we give an expression for the coupling of two d－ionsw ith arbitrary（integral）occupation which are coupled via P di erent paths w ith $N$ unoccupied ligands
each．The application of these results to $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{CrC} \mathrm{l}_{4}$ and the C r tri－halides is discussed in section III．

Throughout the paper we will adopt the hole picture since the ligands usually are anions w ith a lled p shell．

## II．SUPEREXCHANGE

In perturbation theory the coupling betw een the total spins $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ oftw $O m$ agnetic ions results from the ex－ change of the spins in the singly occupied orbitals．Since we do not consider spin－dependent interactions like spin－ orbit coupling in（ ${ }^{(1)}$ ），the spin H am iltonian is isotropic and in the low est order we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{He}=\mathrm{X}_{12}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~J}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{2}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere， 1 and 2 denote the half－led orbitals at the sites 1 and 2．A ssum ing a strong H und interaction，the ground－ state has $m$ axim um spin and the replacem ent

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}=\frac{S_{i}}{2<S_{i}>} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

appears to be justi ed．Eq．（ $\left.\overline{3} \mathbf{B}^{\prime}\right)$ can then be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{e}=@_{12}^{0} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{J}_{12}}^{\mathrm{A}} \frac{\mathrm{~S}_{1}{ }_{\mathbb{Z}}}{\left.4<S_{1}><S_{2}\right\rangle}  \tag{5}\\
& =J \frac{S_{1} \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{ }}{\left.4\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle<S_{2}\right\rangle}:
\end{align*}
$$

W e begin w ith the coupling of spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ pairs．$T$ he gener－ alization to pairs w ith larger total spins is possible w ith the help of Eq．（（⿳亠二口斤刂）．

W e distinguish three cases：
A：D irect interaction of two singly occupied orbitals 1 and 2 w thout the involvem ent of another d orbital．In general，the resulting coupling w illbe anti－ferrom agnetic， the coupling constant w ill therefore be called $J_{1_{2}}^{A}$ ．
FE：Indirect interaction of 1 and 2 via one ore more em pty d orbitals involving the H und interaction．The coupling constant is alw ays negative and will be called $\mathrm{J}_{1-2}^{\mathrm{FE}}$ 。
FD ：Indirect coupling of 1 and 2 via one orem ore dou－ bly occupied d orbitals involving the H und interaction． T he coupling constant is negative，in general，and w illbe called $J_{1-2}^{F D}$ 。

> A. super-exchange via one ligand

W e rst consider the direct coupling of tw o equivalent $m$ agnetic ions 1，2 with singly occupied orbitals 1 ， 2 via one ligand with an em pty hole orbital，see Fig． Fourth order perturbation theory is the low est contribut－ ing order．T here exist six possibilities of exchanging the
spins which di er in the sequence of spin exchange. In the follow ing these sequences w illbe called channels. For each channel three interm ediate states $m$ exist. Two of them contain only singly occupied sites (one spin at the ligand and the other at one of the $m$ agnetic ions). In the third state the tw o spins occupy the sam e ion. T he excitation energy of the singly occupied states is the charge transfer energy $\quad{ }_{1} \quad\left({ }_{2}\right)$ when the $m$ agnetic ion 1 (2) is singly occupied. W e have $i=\quad i$, when the ligand is em pty, which is the usual case in the hole picture. $N$ ote, that when transform ing to the electron picture, the num erical value of irem ains unchanged, but the orbital energies have to be renorm alized. If one of the $m$ agnetic ions is doubly occupied, the excitation energy is U $\quad\left({ }_{1} \quad{ }_{2}\right)$, if it is the ligand we have ${ }_{1}+{ }_{2} \cdot$ $T$ he $m$ atrix elem ents betw een the states are the hopping integrals given by $[\underline{1} 1)$ and each channel yields the sam e factor $\left(t_{1}\right)^{2}\left(t_{2}\right)^{2}$. N ote that the energy denom inators $\left(E_{0} \quad E_{m}\right)^{1}$ are negative, but an additionalm inus sign occurs because of the exchange of the spins.

The total contribution of the six channels is

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{12}^{A ; 1} & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{U!_{12}}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{U+!_{12}}  \tag{6}\\
& +\frac{1}{U_{1}+} \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith $!_{12}={ }_{1} \cdot t_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)$ is the hopping integral betw een orbitals 1 (2) and the ligand.

A ssum ing ${ }_{1}={ }_{a}=$ in Eq. (G), we obtain the well known expression?

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{12}^{A ; 1}=2 \frac{t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{2}}{L^{2}} \frac{1}{U}+\frac{1}{L}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ith the replacem ents

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \frac{1}{U}+\frac{1}{L}=A_{1}  \tag{8a}\\
\frac{t_{1} t_{2}}{L}=t_{12}^{1} \tag{8b}
\end{gather*}
$$

this leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{12}^{A ; 1}=A_{1} \quad U_{12}^{2}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$t^{1}$ represents the e ective hopping from one to the other $m$ agnetic ion via the ligand. $J^{A}$ is alw ays non-negative and leads, because of the Pauli principle, to an antiparallel ordering of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$.

For the indirect coupling of the spins at the $m$ agnetic sites, an interm ediate state $w$ ith a total spin larger than that of the ground state has to be generated at one of the m agnetic ions. Thus, there has to exist at least one spinfree orbital (em pty or doubly occupied) at th is ion, which is the case for $m$ ost $3 d$ ions except those in high spin $d^{5}$
con guration. T hen an inter-orbitalon-site exchange can take place, leading to a negative coupling constant.

The interm ediate high spin state can occur in two ways. FE : If the spin-free orbital at the $m$ agnetic ion, where the direct exchange takes place, is em pty, the spin from the half- lled onbital of the other $m$ agnetic ion can hop into it. FD: If the spin-free orbital is doubly occupied, one of the spins can hop to the em pty ligand, energetically preferred is the one anti-parallel to the ground state spin. B oth cases lead to a polarization of the ligand which is parallel to the spin of the $m$ agnetic ion in case FE and anti-parallel to it in the case FD. W e willbegin w ith the investigation of FE.

W e assum e a system of tw $\circ \mathrm{m}$ agnetic ions $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ w ith only one singly occupied orbital and one em pty orbital each. Also, the interm ediate ligand is em pty, see Fig. 'İ1, b).

There exist only two possibilities for exchanging the spins. For each, the spin from the half led orbital
of one $m$ agnetic ion has to $m$ igrate to the empty orbital of the other ion, where the on-site exchange of the spins takes place, leading to the preference of the ferrom agnetic state. Simplifying ${ }_{1}={ }_{2}=$ and

$$
{ }_{1}=\quad \text { the coupling constant is given by }
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{12}^{\mathrm{FE} ; 1}= \frac{J_{H}}{\left(\mathrm{U}()^{2}()^{2}\right.}  \tag{10}\\
&\left(t_{1} t_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(t_{2} t_{1}\right)^{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

$t_{i}\left(t_{i}\right)$ represents the hopping-integral from the orbital $i(i)$ to the ligand. $W$ e note that $J^{F E}$ is proportional to $J_{H}$.

U sing the sim pli cation $=\quad=\quad$ L we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{12}^{\mathrm{FE} ; 1}=\frac{1}{U L^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}}}{\mathrm{U}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{t}_{1}\right)^{2}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ith the substitutions

$$
\begin{gather*}
F E_{1}=\frac{J_{H}}{U^{2}}  \tag{12a}\\
\left(t_{i j}\right)^{2}=\frac{t_{i}^{2} t_{j}^{2}}{L^{2}} \tag{12b}
\end{gather*}
$$

this expression can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{J}_{12}^{\mathrm{FE} ; 1}=\mathrm{J}_{12 ; 2}^{\mathrm{FE} ; 1}+\mathrm{J}_{12 ; 1}^{\mathrm{FE} ; 1}=\mathrm{FE}_{1}\left[\left(\mathrm{E}_{1} 2\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)^{2}\right]: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{FE}}$ containstw o di erent hopping contributions, each of which is independent of the orbital of that site where the $H$ und interaction takes place. $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{FE}}$ is non-positive and leads to a parallelorientation of the spins $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. This mechanism has been proposed by GKA to explain the occurrence of ferrom agnetic coupling in non-m etallic
com pounds ${ }^{F_{1}^{\prime}} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}$ Yet, since $F E_{1} j \quad A_{1}$, it is necessary that $\mathrm{e}_{12}^{1}$ of Eq .

For case FD we consider a system of two equivalent $m$ agnetic ions $M_{1}$ and $M_{2} w$ ith one singly occupied orbital and one doubly occupied orbital each, see Fig. c). A s above, the ligand is em pty.

In contrast to case FE there are now $22(=2$ 11) channels, instead of tw $O$, all leading to a ferrom agnetic coupling. The $m$ ain di erence between the channels is whether the spin of or one of the spins of rst hops to the ligand. Putting ${ }_{i}=$ and ${ }_{i}=$

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{12}^{\mathrm{FD} ; 1}=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{H}} \frac{2}{(+\quad)} \quad \frac{1}{+}+\frac{1}{+} \\
& +\frac{1}{()^{2}(+)} \quad 2+\frac{1}{+}  \tag{14}\\
& +\frac{1}{()^{2}(U+} \quad \frac{2}{U+} \frac{1}{U+} \\
& +\frac{1}{()^{2}(+)^{2}} \quad\left(t_{1} t_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(t_{2} t_{1}\right)^{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

A further sim pli cation is obtained when we put $=\mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{Then}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{J}_{12}^{\mathrm{FD} ; 1}=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{H}} \frac{1}{\underset{\mathrm{~L}}{3}} \frac{3}{\mathrm{~L}}+\frac{2}{\mathrm{U}}+\frac{1}{\underset{\mathrm{~L}}{2} \mathrm{U}^{2}}  \tag{15}\\
& \left(t_{1} t_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(t_{2} t_{1}\right)^{2} \\
& =\mathrm{J}_{12 ; 2}^{\mathrm{FD} ; 1}+\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{FD} ; 1 \\
12 ; 1
\end{array} \\
& =F D_{1}\left(\check{C}_{1} 2^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\tilde{C}_{2} 1^{\prime}\right)^{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we have put

$$
\begin{gather*}
F D_{1}=  \tag{16a}\\
\frac{J_{H}}{U} \frac{1}{U}+\frac{2}{L}+\frac{3 U}{2}  \tag{16b}\\
L \\
\\
\left(U_{i j}\right)^{2}=\frac{t_{i}^{2} t_{j}^{2}}{L^{2}}:
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathrm{J}^{\text {FD } ; 1}$ is non-positive and therefore leads to a parallelorientation of the spins. T he expression is quadratic in the e ective hopping-integrals $E$ and the factor $F D_{1}$ is postitive ( $\mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{L}>0$ ). The coupling constants $\mathrm{J}_{12 ; i}^{\mathrm{FD} ; 1}$ are independent of the orbital of the $H$ und interaction site. W e note that $\mathrm{FD}_{1}>\mathrm{FE}_{1}$. As a consequence, the ferrom agnetic interaction should be $m$ ore favorable for cases of a doubly occupied d orbital than for those of em pty ones. This has already been pointed out by A nderson 181

## B. super-exchange via $N$ ligands

In the follow ing we consider the interaction via $N$ unoccupied ligands w ith one orbital each. W e will assum e hopping only betw een nearest neighbors along the chain
ofn +2 atom sand we investigate again the cases $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{FE}$ and FD.

C ase A requires a perturbation expansion up to order $2(\mathbb{N}+1)$. There are ${ }_{\mathrm{N}+1}^{2(\mathrm{~N}+1)}$ possibilities (channels) to exchange the spins. To take account of all channels we use a sim ple $m$ ethod to generate them. For this purpose each channelw illbe w ritten as a sequence of num bers

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{1} p_{2}::: p_{N}+1 \dot{p}_{N}+2::: p_{2 N}+1 p_{2 N}+2\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which represents the order of the hopping. $H$ ere $p_{1}$ stands for the hopping from $M_{1}$ to the rst ligand, $p_{2}$ for the hopping from the rst to the second ligand, $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{N}+1}$ for the hopping from the last ligand to $M_{2}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{N}}+2$ for the hopping back from $M_{2}$ to the $N$ th ligand, see $F$ ig. $\overline{2}$. The arrangem ent of the num bers in this sequence has to full 11 the restriction that the left as well as the right halfm ust contain num bers of increasing $m$ agnitude. In the case of an expression of sixth order the num bers 1 to 6 have to be distributed. Therefore a possible sequence is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (12 } 4 \text { ز } 3 \text { 3 6) : } \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ irst a spin from $M_{1}$ hops to the rst ligand (1) and from there to $l_{2}(2)$. Then the spin from $M_{2}$ hops to $l_{2}$ (3) such that the two spinsm eet at $l_{2} . N$ ext $M_{2}$ becom es singly occupied again (4). The last tw o processes are the hopping from $l_{2}$ to $l_{1}$ (5) and then back to $M_{1}$ (6). N ot possible is the sequence
(35 6’214);
since the ligands are em pty and thus the hopping from $l_{2}$ to $l_{1}$ cannot occur rst.

W ith the simpli cation ${ }_{1}={ }_{2}$ we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{J}_{12}^{\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}^{\mathrm{N}}\right)^{2}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{N}=2 \frac{1}{U}+X_{k=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{1}{I_{k}} \tag{21a}
\end{align*}
$$

T he structure of Eq. $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1}$ is the sam e as that of Eq. $\overline{19}$, for ligand. A gain, $J^{A} ;{ }^{N}$ is the product of a non-negative hopping-term and a positive energy factor $A_{N}$. Thuswe have anti-ferrom agnetic coupling. Com paring $A_{N} w$ ith $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ indicates that $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{A}} ; \mathrm{N}$ is reduced as com pared to $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{A} ; 1}$, because $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{N}} \ll \mathrm{e}^{1}$. For large N this is partly com pensated by $A_{N}>A_{1}$.

Case FE involving an em pty $M$ onbital, can be handled in the sam e way. N evertheless the structure of the sequences is som ew hat di erent since the $H$ und interaction has to be taken into account. The sequences contain $2 \mathrm{~N}+3$ num bers which are ordered as follow $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{2}::: \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{N}+1} \dot{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{N}}+2 \dot{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{N}}+3::: \mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{~N}}+3\right): \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The central num ber indicates when the $H$ und interaction at a speci cmagnetic ion (either $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ ), takes place, see $F$ ig. the ordering of the $p$. B oth the series $p_{1}::: p_{N+1}$ and $p_{N+3}::: p_{2 N}+3$ aswellas the triple $p_{N+1} \dot{p}_{N}+2 \dot{p}_{N}+3$ have to contain num bers of increasing size. For this reason there is only one channel left. W hen two ligands are present this is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(123 \text { 3 } 4 \text { 万 } 67 \text { ) : } \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the interaction constant is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{12}{\mathrm{FE} ; N}=\underset{12 ; 2}{\mathrm{FE} ; N}+\underset{12 ; 1}{\mathrm{FE} ; N}=F E_{N} \quad\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}^{\mathrm{N}}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{E}_{21}^{\mathrm{N}}\right)^{2}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ith
we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{FE}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{FE}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{H}}}{\mathrm{U}^{2}} \tag{25a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{J}_{12 ;}^{\mathrm{FE} ; \mathrm{N}} \mathrm{m}_{1}$ is non-positive and, because of $\mathrm{FE}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{FE}_{1}$, it is $m$ uch sm aller than $J_{12 ; 1}^{\mathrm{Fe} ; 1}$ for large $N$, since $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{N}} \ll \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{I}}$. $T$ here is no com pensation from an increasing num ber of channels.

C ase FD : Here we nd a rapidly grow ing num ber of channels. In sixth order these are 142. For this reason we restrict our investigation to the case of equivalent ( $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}}=8 \mathrm{k}$ ) ligands in the chain.

The sequences introduced in Eq. 2 here. Yet the restrictions are m odi ed. The series $\mathrm{p}_{1}::: \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{N}+1}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{N}+3}::: \mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{~N}}+3$ have to contain num bers of increasing $m$ agnitude, while the center triple $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{N}+1} \dot{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{N}}+2 \dot{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{N}}+3$ now contains numbers of descending size.

In this situation the coupling constant is (using
)

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{J}_{12}^{\mathrm{FD} ; \mathrm{N}} & =\mathrm{J}_{12 ; 2}^{\mathrm{FD} ; \mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{J}_{12 ; 1}^{\mathrm{FD} ; \mathrm{N}}  \tag{26}\\
& =\mathrm{FD}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad\left(\mathrm{E}_{12}^{\mathrm{N}}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{e}_{21}^{\mathrm{N}}\right)^{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{gather*}
F D_{N}=\frac{J_{H}}{U} \frac{1}{U}+2 N \frac{1}{U}+N(2 N+1) \frac{U}{2}  \tag{27a}\\
t_{i j}^{N}=\frac{t_{i l_{1}} Q_{k=1}^{(N 1)} t_{l_{k} l_{k+1}} t_{l_{N}} \quad j}{N}: \tag{27b}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{FD}}{ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ is non-positive as is $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{FD} ; 1}$. A s it contains term S of order $N^{2}$ it $w i l l$ com pensate the decreasing $m$ agnitude of the hopping term $s E^{N}$, even $m$ ore than for the case $A$.

The structure of $\mathrm{FD}_{\mathrm{N}}$ can be $m$ ade plausible by com parison $w$ th $A_{N}$. The perturbation series that was needed to calculate $J^{\mathrm{A}}$ has the order $2 \mathrm{~N}+2$, when N ligands are present, i.e. it contains products of $2 \mathrm{~N}+2$ factors. The corresponding ferrom agnetic interaction additionally includes the H und interaction in rst order. $T$ his factor can be introduced at $2 \mathrm{~N}+1$ positions into the product of $(2 \mathrm{~N}+2)$ factors. In case of U -channel in $A_{N}$, i.e. when the spins $m$ eet at a $m$ agnetic ion, there is only one term oforder $U^{2}$, i.e. for $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{N}}+2=2 \mathrm{~N}+1$.. T he other 2 N cases lead to term s of order ( U ) ${ }^{1}$. Then there are N ligand channels, here each of the $2 \mathrm{~N}+1$ term s give the sam e contribution of order ${ }^{2}$.

W e have restricted the discussion of the coupling via $m$ ore than one ligand to the case of ligands of the sam e type, i.e. they are either all anions or all cations. T he generalization to the case of $m$ ixed-type paths rem ains work to be done.

> C. super-exchange via various paths

So far we have discussed the super-exchange via one path. But clearly severalpaths of the sam e order can exist along which the spins can be exchanged. A schem atic view of this situation is show $n$ in $F$ ig. $\underline{N}_{1}^{\prime} \cdot$.

W e distinguish betw een equivalent and non-equivalent paths. Paths $p$ and $p^{0}$ are called equivalent when $Q^{p}=$ $Q^{p^{0}}$ holds, $w$ th $Q^{p}=A_{N}^{p}, \mathrm{FE}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{p}}$ or $\mathrm{FD}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{P}}$. The additional paths can arise by introducing further ligand onbitals or by adding further ligand atom s.
$T$ he interaction constant for a m ulti-path system is calculated by com bining the contributions of the individual paths. The exchange takes place in two di erent ways. T he rst one is the use of only one path, forth and back, as before. The second one is a, ring exchange using two di erent paths forth and back id

A gain we consider the direct coupling oftw o half lled orbitals for the cases A, FE and FD. A ltogether we nd the follow ing expressions

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{12}^{A ; N}(P)=\frac{1}{2}_{p ; \mathbb{p}^{0}=1}^{X^{P}}\left(A_{N}^{p}+A_{N}^{p^{0}}\right) e_{12}^{N ; p} e_{12}^{N ; p^{0}} \tag{28a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{J}_{12}^{\mathrm{FE} ; \mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{P})= & \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{FE}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{FE}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{p}^{0}}\right)  \tag{28b}\\
& \mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{p}^{0}=1} \\
& \mathrm{e}_{12}^{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{p}} \mathrm{e}_{122}^{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}^{0}}+\mathrm{e}_{21}^{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{p}_{1}} \mathrm{e}_{21}^{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{p}^{0^{i}}}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{J}_{12}^{\mathrm{FD} ; \mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{P})= & \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{FD}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{FD}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{p}^{0}}\right)  \tag{28c}\\
& \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{p} \mathrm{p}^{0}=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \\
& \mathrm{e}_{12}^{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{e}_{1}^{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}^{0}}+\mathrm{e}_{2}^{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{p}} \mathrm{e}_{2}^{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{p}_{1}^{0}}:
\end{align*}
$$

$P$ denotes the num ber of paths.
If $Q^{p}=Q 8 p$ the corresponding expressions for $J_{Q}$ are quadratic in the e ective hopping-integrals.

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{12}^{\mathbb{A} ; N}(P)=A_{N} \quad X_{p=1}^{X} e_{12}^{N ; p} \tag{29a}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (29c) }
\end{aligned}
$$

In allabove cases the existence ofseveralequivalent paths only leads to a new e ective hopping $T^{P ; N}=P_{p=1}^{P} t^{N}$ ip. In particular the sign of the coupling constant rem ains unchanged, though the e ective hopping $T$ and thus the coupling $m$ ay vanish when an appropriate set of param eters is chosen.

In case where the di erent paths are inequivalent this can lead to a ferrom agnetic coupling resulting from direct super-exchange as has been discussed in a previous paper: ${ }^{10}$

> D . total interaction

The totalinteraction $J$ oftw $\circ \mathrm{m}$ agnetic ions w ith total spins $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ is obtained from Eq. ( $\boldsymbol{N}_{1}^{1}$ ) as the sum of the interaction of the half- led orbitals

$$
J=\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X} \\
&  \tag{30}\\
& J_{12} \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
&
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{12}=J_{12}^{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{~J}_{12 ; 1}^{\mathrm{FE}}+\mathrm{X} \quad \underset{\mathrm{~J}_{12} \boldsymbol{J}_{2}}{\mathrm{FE}}  \tag{31}\\
& +\mathrm{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{X}} \underset{12 i_{1}}{\mathrm{FD}}+\underset{2}{\mathrm{X}} \underset{12 i_{2}}{\mathrm{JD}} \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

Here ${ }^{P}$ i runs over all relevant unoccupied orbitals of $M_{i}$, over the doubly occupied respectively. T hen it follow s

The factors $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$, denoting the num ber of singly occupied orbitals at $M_{i}$, result from the assum ption of an onbitalindependent on-site exchange.

W e will use equation (32) to calculate the coupling constants of tw o m agnetic ions w ith arbitrary (integral) occupation which are coupled via unoccupied ligands in the fram e of a $H$ ubbard $m$ odel. We note that we are restricted to the case that all contributing paths are of the sam e order.

## III. FERROMAGNETIC COMPOUNDS

In this section we apply our theory to selected insulating ferrom agnets, i.e. to $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{CrC} l_{4}$ and the Cr tri-halides $\mathrm{CrC} I_{3}, \mathrm{CrBr} r_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CrI}_{3}$. In none of these cases an obvious argum ent along the GKA rules can be found for the occurrence of ferrom agnetism .

## A. $\mathrm{R} \mathrm{b}_{2} \mathrm{CrCl} \mathrm{l}_{4}$

This com pound is a quasi-tw o-dim ensional ferrom agnet $w$ ith a Curie tem perature $T_{C}=52: 4 \mathrm{~K} 11$ Values of $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{k}}=1: 0410^{2} \mathrm{eV}$ and $\frac{\mathrm{J}_{3}}{\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{k}}}=310^{4}$ are reported ${ }^{111}$ $T$ he $m$ om, ents lie in the $C r l_{2}$ planes and are alm ost parallell ${ }^{1112}$ in
$T$ he crystal structure is analogous to that of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuF}{ }_{4}$. $T$ he parent structure is of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{NiF}_{4}$-type, a body centered tetragonal lattioe $w$ ith $a=b=5: 086 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{c}=15: 72$ A 12.: TheCl ligands form an alm ost perfect octahedron around the $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$ ions; yet, because of the $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ con guration, a strong Jahn-Teller distortion takes place in order to rem ove the degeneracy of the $e_{g}$-orbitals, singly occupied in $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$. The distortion leads to elongation of the ligand cage along the $y$ and $x$ axes, respectively, and correspondingly, to contractions in the zx and zy planes, respectively $1^{12}$ The sam e distortions are found in $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuF}_{4}{ }_{4}^{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{3!}$ Y Yet there is one im portant di erence. W hile for $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuF}_{4}$ ( $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ con guration of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ) w ith its occupied d holes of altematingly $z^{2} \quad x^{2}$ and $z^{2} \quad y^{2}$ type, the GKA rules can be applied in an obviousm anner (the hopping betw een those orbitals is identically zero by sym $m$ etry, and ferrom agnetism results), no such rules apply for the occupied $e_{g}$-orbitals of the $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$ ions which are of $3 y^{2} \quad r^{2}$ and $3 x^{2} \quad r^{2}$ type, respectively. This orbital order has been established by neutron $m$ easurem ents $1^{141}$ C onsequently, -type hopping is possible betw een neighboring orbitals. In addition, there exists type hopping betw een the singly occupied $t_{2 g}$-orbitals. A ll these hopping processes favor an anti-ferrom agnetic coupling. N evertheless, the planar coupling is ferrom agnetic. W e
note that $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuF}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{CrCl}_{4}$ also show ferrom agnetic coupling along the c-direction.

In the hole picture for $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$, one of the $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}$-orbitals is doubly occupied. The other one is singly occupied (as are the $t_{2 g}$-Orbitals) and altemates due to the orbitalordering. $W$ hen we de ne the hole state by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g()=\cos \dot{x}^{2} \quad y^{2}>+\sin j 3 z^{2} \quad r^{2}>; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have values of $1 ; 2=\overline{6}$. Then we nd for the antiferrom agnetic and the ferrom agnetic contributions to the intra-planar coupling

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{\underset{C 1}{2}} A_{1}(C 1) \quad 2(p d)^{4}+\frac{1}{16}(p d)^{4} \tag{34a}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{FD}_{1} \text { (C l) } \frac{(\mathrm{pd})^{4}}{(\mathrm{c} 1)^{2}} \text { : } \tag{34b}
\end{align*}
$$

$H$ ere, denotes the singly occupied d-orbitals $x y, y z, z x$ and $g(1 ; 2)$. For sim plicity we have put $=$, i.e. we have ignored the levelsplitting of the $t_{2 g}$ and $e_{g}$ onbitals. T he total coupling is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{k}} & =\frac{1}{{ }_{\mathrm{C} 1}} 4 \frac{1}{\mathrm{U}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{c} 1}(\mathrm{pd})^{4}  \tag{35}\\
& +\frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{\mathrm{U}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Cl} 1}(\mathrm{pd})^{4} \\
& +\frac{3}{2} \frac{J_{H}}{\mathrm{U}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{U}}+\frac{2}{\mathrm{C} 1}+3 \frac{\mathrm{U}}{\mathrm{Cl}^{2}}(\mathrm{pd})^{4}:
\end{align*}
$$

The rst two term s represent $J^{A}$, where the rst one, containing the factor (pd $)^{4}$, represents the coupling due to the $t_{2 g}$ orbitals. W hen we assum e the canonical ratio $\mathrm{pd}=\mathrm{pd} \quad 0: 4$, the $t_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals contribute less than $1=3$ to $J^{A}$. $N$ ote that any $m$ ixed term $s(p d)^{2}(p d)^{2}$ etc. do not exist, since the $e_{g}$ and $t_{2 g}$ orbitals are decoupled by sym $m$ etry. The third term represents $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{F}}$, which contains a factor $J_{H}=U \quad 0: 1$. Yet, on the other hand, the geom etry of the hopping provides a factor $3=2$ (com pared to $1=8$ in term 2). In addition, the large num ber of channels in FD 2 leads to a reduction of the e ective energy denom inator by approxim ately a factor of 2 .

A s a consequence, a net ferrom agnetic coupling is obtained. This result is rather stable, when energy and hopping param eters are varied w ithin reasonable lim its. W e note that this situation m ay change, when further octahedral distortions occur which $m$ ix the $t_{2 g}$ and $e_{g}$ orbitals. Probably, there exists an easy axis single ion anisotropy, favoring spin alignm ents either along the $y$ or the x axis. The ferrom agnetic nearest neighbor coupling w ill then produce the alm ost com plete alignm ent of spins.

For the related case of $\mathrm{KCrF}_{3}$, w ith the same structure of Cr planes as in $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{CrC} \mathrm{l}_{4}$ suggesting the same orbital structure of the Crions, the puzzle of ferrom agnetic intra-planar coupling has been studied previously by other researchers ${ }^{n}$ ping betw een the $m$ agnetic sites and have suggested that values of $\overline{4}$ should occur, in -ppntrast to the ndings in $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{CrCl}_{4}$, which yield $={ }_{6}{ }^{\frac{4}{L}!} \mathrm{N}$ ote that the neglect of ligand orbitals strongly reduces the num ber of ferrom agnetic channels - there is only one left as FD $0=\mathrm{FE}_{0}$ holds. The coupling $J^{A}$, which vanishes only for $=\frac{3}{3}$, is relatively large for $=\frac{\bar{\sigma}}{}$ and w ins over $\mathrm{FD}_{0}$. A further, even $m$ ore questionable consequence is the fact that, neglecting the ligands, the energy change due to the octahedral distortion is of the sam e order as the $m$ agnetic interaction. W hen the ligands are included, the energy gain due to the Jahn-Teller distortion dom inates over any $m$ agnetic energies. A $n$ analogous $d^{4}$ system $w$ th planar ferrom agnetic coupling is $\mathrm{LaM} \mathrm{nO}_{3}$. A gain we think that sim pli ed coupling estim ates neglecting the ligands are not appropriate ${ }^{17}$

An analysis of the inter-planar coupling has not been perform ed. On the one hand our form alism is not applicable to the situation $w$ th occupied and unoccupied ligands. On the other hand the num ber of spins is large (16 in the hole picture) such that a num erical calculation is very extensive. But we expect a sim ilar behavior as in $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuF}{ }_{4}$, where a reduction of $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{A}}$ due to destructive interference $w$ th a possible sign,-change and a m ore or less unm odi ed $J^{F}$ was obtained ${ }^{10}$ Furthem ore, for $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{CrCl}_{4}$ the spin-free onbital is doubly occupied and therefore the case FD holds, which alw ays leads to larger ferrom agnetic coupling than the case FE.

$$
\text { B. C rH a } \left.a_{3} \text { (H a C } 1, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I}\right)
$$

The C r tri-halides w ith rhom bohedral sym m etry (R 3) contain two formula units per trigonal unit cell. The two Cr atom s occupy equivalent positions, so do all six halide atom s. They form triple layers Ha Cr CH a which are stacked along the c-axis. In the centralC $r$ layer, the Cr atom $s$ form a honeycomb lattice like a single layer of graphite. It is helpfiul to view this plane as a closed packed triangular arrangem ent of two Cr atoms (I and II) and of a vacancy $V$ in the center of the honeycom $b$. B oth the Cr atom $s$ and the vacancy are surrounded by edge sharing octahedra of halide atom s . A s a result, the tw o halide planes above and below are closed packed triangular layers w ith a stacking sequence A B (see Fig. (14) . $T$ he triple layers are now repeated along $c$ in such a way that the vacancies $V$ successively shift by $\frac{1}{3}(a \quad b+c)$, $w$ here $a$ and $b$ are the basalplane lattice vectors ( $a=b$, a $b=\frac{1}{2}$ ) and c is the c axis vector of the corresponding hexagonal unit cell. The $\underline{m}$ otion of $V$ from positions A to B to C to A (see Figs. ', 'I' and
the halide planes along $c$ of the form $A-(C r) B$-(em pty)A -(C r) - -(em pty) -A -(C r) $B$ etc. As a consequence, the interlayer space B -(em pty)-A also consists of halide octahedra. O ne can de ne a perfect octahedralclosed packed halide lattioe by requiring that all octahedra are regular (rhom bohedral angle $=60$ ). This would lead to the sam e separation of A and B planes whether or not a Cr layer is intercalated. It is clear how ever that the interplanar distances are quite di erent, see T ab . $\overline{\text { In }}$ tice param eters of the C r tri-halides are given in Tab . III, som e relevant atom ic separations are listed in Tab. ITI. $N$ ote that for our calculations of $m$ agnetic coupling constants it was advantageous to start from an ideal rhom bohedral lattioe by assum ing regular octahedra around the C r atom s . In the real lattice, there exists a com pression of the octahedra enclosing the C rplane. A s a result, the octahedra surrounding the em pty layer are considerably elongated along the c direction. T here are two further types of distortion allow ed by the R 3 sym m etry, which, how ever, appear to be ofm inore ect.

1) The C rplanes buckle, i.e., the Cr(I) and Cr(II) atom s lie respectively above and below the plane, as de ned by the vacancy positions (deviation from $1=3$ of the lattioe param eter $u$, see $T a b$.
2) $T$ here are som e minor shifts in the halide positions (lattice param eters $x$ deviating from $1 / 3$ or $2 / 3$ values and $y$ deviating from zero). These shifts result partly from a relaxation of the octahedra around the vacancy V and partly from the C rbuckling. N ote that a slight rotation of the $V$ octahedra follow S , w hich should lead to optical activity along the caxis.

O fthe three com pounds, the lattice stancture of $\mathrm{CrB} r_{3}$ has been investigated $m$ ost extensively 1919.1 Here, the intemal lattice param eters $x, y, z, u$ are know $n$, while for $C r I_{3}$ these quantities have not been determ ined. For $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}$, $M$ orosin and $N$ arath report a low tem perature transition from an orthorhom bic to the R 3 near $T=225 \mathrm{~K}$ and give values for $x, y, z, u{ }^{201}$ The di erence of the two structures are di erent stacking arrangem ents of the C r tri-halide planes. To our know ledge, the $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}$ structural phase transition has notbeen con m ed by other groups. There is evidence that $C r C l_{3}$ rem ains orthornom bic,to low tem perature into the anti-ferrom agnetic phase $t^{11}$

A ll three com pounds exhibit strong intra-planar ferrom agnetic coupling. This feature is to be expected from the GKA rules, as the CrHa-Cr angle for the nearest neighbor (fourth order) super-exchange paths (leading to the coupling constant $J_{1}$ ) is rather close to 90 -it is 94 for $\mathrm{CrCl} l_{3}$ and 93 for $\mathrm{CrBr} r_{3}$.

The inter-planar coupling is anti-ferrom agnetic for $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}$, while it is ferrom agnetic for the other two ma terials. The critical tem peratures, are $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{Cl}}=16: 8 \mathrm{~K}$, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{Br}}=32: 5 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{I}}=68 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~L}^{22} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that an easy plane anisotropy is reported for CrC 3 , while easy axis anisotropies occur in $\mathrm{CiBr} r_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CrI}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{22!}$.

From analyses of $m$ agnetic susceptibility data intraplanar coupling constants $J_{k}$ have been obtained. T he
 $6: 4 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{eV}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{I}}=10: 5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{eV} .0 \mathrm{n}$ the other hand, Sam uelsen et al. have carried out neutron $m$ easurem ents of spin wave dispersion curves for $\mathrm{CrB} \mathrm{r}_{3}{ }^{18181} \mathrm{~T}$ hey have been able to extract several near neighbor coupling constants, in particular for the inter-planar coupling. For the intra-planar coupling, they report $J_{1}=7: 6 \mathrm{meV}$ and $J_{3}=0: 13 \mathrm{meV}$ (next nearest neighbor, sixth order super-exchange). N ote that $\bar{j} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{Br}} \mathrm{j}<\mathrm{j}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~J}_{3} j$. Since the $J_{1}$ value of $R$ ef. 1 ' 1811 probably is the $m$ ost reliable experim ental quantity available, we have used the relation $J_{1}=1: 2 J_{k}^{B r}$ to scale $J_{k}^{C l}$ and $J_{k}^{\mathrm{I}}$ accordingly and have assum ed $J_{1}^{\mathrm{Cl}}=4: 8 \mathrm{meV}$ and $\mathrm{J}_{1}^{\mathrm{I}}=12: 4 \mathrm{meV} . \operatorname{Note}$ that we use the de nition of J ofE q. $\bar{V}_{1}^{1}$, leading to a m ultiplication by a factor of nine of the values originally given


There exist three di erent inter-planar coupling constants of sixth order super-exchange, labeled $J_{2}, J_{4}$ and $J_{5}$ by Sam uelsen et al. $J_{2}$ is the verticalC r(I)-Cr(II) cou$p l i n g$ constant, $J_{4}$ represents another I-II coupling, while $J_{5}$ stands for I-I or II-II couplings (see Fig.'G). T he values given by Ref.1 1 and $J_{5}=0: 12 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{eV}$.

In the follow ing we present results of calculations for the coupling constants $J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{4}$ and $J_{5}$ using the theory of Section II. W e have not included $J_{3}$, as we m ainly focussed on the question of inter-planar coupling.
$T$ he electronic structure of the $\mathrm{C} r$ ions depends on the ligand position. B ecause of alm ost perfect octahedra the three singly occupied states are alm ost $t_{2 g}$-like and the tw o doubly occupied ones have $m$ ostly $e_{g}$ character, as can be found from diagonalizing the d-states in the ligand eld. $N$ ote that $C r^{3+}$ is not a Jahn-Teller ion. T he three em pty p-states of the halides are treated as degenerate.

The intra-planar coupling of tw o nearest $\mathrm{C} r$ ions takes place via two ligand ions, see Fig. exchange interaction is given by

$$
J_{1}=A_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{H} & \left.\mathrm{a})^{X} \quad\left(\mathrm{~T}_{1}^{1}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}+6 F D_{1}(\mathrm{H} a)^{X} \quad\left(\mathrm{~T}^{1}\right)^{2} \tag{36}
\end{array}\right.
$$

w th the e ective hoppings $T^{1}$ and $T^{1}$ and the energy factors $A_{1}$ and FD ${ }_{1}$, Tabs. pings are calculated corresponding to their de nition in Eq. (29). For the energy denom inators, we have neglected the energy di erence betw een $e_{g}$ and $t_{2 q}$ like states.
The hopping param eters from Tab . 'IV' are found by a tting process, where the charge-transfer energy was determ ined by adjusting $J_{1}^{\mathrm{H}}$ a to the experim ental values as given above, while $U$ and $J_{H}$ were kept xed. $W$ e note that the order ofm agnitude and tendency of the $-{ }_{-}$a and the value for $U$ agree $w$ th those given by Zaanen ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}} T$ hese values are also consistent $w$ ith those found for $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{CrC} l_{4}$.

The calculation of the inter-planar coupling is very com plicated. On the one hand up to six ligands with three orbitals each are involved in low est order exchange. O $n$ the other hand there are three occupied ground state orbitals.

For the calculation of $J_{2}, J_{4}$ and $J_{5}$ we need the direct (here anti-ferrom agnetic) and the indirect (ferrom agnetic) coupling constant in sixth order. Each of these couplings ism ediated via severalpaths. $N$ evertheless, because we have assum ed that all ligand orbitals are equivalent, this only leads to the introduction of an e ective coupling as w as discussed above.

The vertical inter-planar coupling $J_{2}$ is mediated via six halide ions w ith three orbitals each. The halide ions form an (em pty) octahedron elongated along the c axes, see Fig. Crion and the other three those of the upper one. The couplings $J_{4}$ (I-II) and $J_{5}$ (I-I,II-II) are $m$ ediated via four halide ions where to each Cr belong two ligands, see Fig.

For the coupling constants we get from equations (2-1) and (32)

$$
J=A_{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{H} & \mathrm{a})^{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{12}^{2}\right)^{2}+6 F D_{2}(\mathrm{H} a)^{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{~T}^{2}\right)^{2}: . ~ \tag{37}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$T$ huswe have to determ ine thee ective hopping integrals $\mathrm{T}_{12}^{2}, \mathrm{~T}_{12}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{1_{2}}^{2}$ and the energy factors $\mathrm{A}_{2}(\mathrm{Ha}$ ) and $\mathrm{FD}_{2}$ ( Ha a) (independent of the path).

T he term $\mathrm{sT}^{2}$ depend on the individualC $r$ halide and halide-halide hopping integrals. In particular, the ratio (pp ) $=(\mathrm{pp})$ ) of the halide-halide hopping integrals m ay $m$ odify the relative $m$ agnitudes of the $J_{2}, J_{4}$ and $J_{5}$ couplings. The values for $T^{2}$ for the inter-planar couplings are show $n$ in Tab . N 'in and the resulting energy factors as well as the values of the $J_{2}, J_{4}, J_{5}$ coupling constants are given in Tab. $\mathrm{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I}$. For $\mathrm{CrB} r_{3}$ these num bers com pare well w ith the neutron data of Ref. 118 , though the ration $J_{4}=J_{5}$ is not very good. N ote that the vertical $J_{2}$ alw ays is relatively large and anti-ferrom agnetic. In the case of $\mathrm{CrBr} r_{3}$ andn $\mathrm{CrI}_{3}$, this coupling is over-com pensated by the ferrom agnetic $J_{4}$ and $J_{5}$ couplings. This is also found for $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}$ unless the ratio $j(\mathrm{pp})=(\mathrm{pp})$ jwas shifted from the usual range of $3 \overline{\mathrm{P}} 5$ to an extrem ely large value of

36 , such that $\mathbb{X}=\quad \mathrm{i}=2 ; 4 ; 5 \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{i}}>0$. If so, the interplanar coupling is probably anti-ferrom agnetic for $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}$ $w$ ith this set of param eters. (B ut note, that $J_{c}$ can notbe identi ed w ith the inter-planar, coupling $J_{\text {? }}$ in a model $w$ ith tw o couplings $J_{k}$ and $J_{\text {? }}{ }^{231}$ ) $N$ evertheless, we think that $j(p p)=(p p) j=36$ is outside the range of physically $m$ eaningfulparam eters. Thus we suspect that there $m$ ay indeed exist a di erence in the low tem perature structures of $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}$ and the other two m aterials. We nally note that the dom inant $m$ agnetic coupling constant of these $m$ aterials is the intra-planar $J_{1}$ (4th order superexchange). A s we pointed out in Sec. IIA, the FD type coupling should cause a minority spin polarization on the halide ligands, as observed in the neutron study of spin depsity distributions in $\mathrm{CrBr} r_{3}$ by R adhakrishna and B rownig.

## IV . C O N C LU SIO N

$N$ onm etallic transition-m etal oxides and halides appear to be the $m$ ost prom inent $m$ em bers of the fam ily of M ott H ubbard insulators. In this paper we have generalized the expansion of extended H ubbard m odels by a $R$ ay leigh-Schrodinger perturbation series into $H$ eisenberg m odels, w ith the goal to determ ine general expressions for the super-exchange coupling constants. Each part of this series that corresponds to an exchange of the spins in the half- lled orbitals 1 and 2 oftwomagnetic ions M ${ }_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ givespa contribution to the (isotropic) coupling constant $J=1_{12} J_{12}$ of the $H$ eisenberg $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=J \frac{S_{1}}{4 \mathrm{hS}_{1} \mathrm{ihS}_{2} \mathrm{i}}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $S_{i}$ denotes the total spin at the $m$ agnetic site $M_{i}$. $T$ his form of the e ective spin $H$ am iltonian follow from the assum ption of a relatively stropg $H$ und interaction at the $m$ agnetic sites such that $S_{i}=S_{i}$.
$T$ he couplings $J_{1_{2}}$ are built from tw $o$ di erent parts. E ther the exchange takes place involving only the d orbitals 1 and 2 . This direct super-exchange' interaction is anti-ferrom agnetic (A) in general. Or indirect superexchange' occurs, which involves an additional, em pty (E) or doubly occupied (D), d onbital. In this case, because of the $H$ und interaction, the coupling is negative in general. These general results correspond to the G oodenough $-K$ anam ori-A nderson ( $G$ K A) rules as stated in the introduction, yet quantitative results - and this $m$ ay include the sign of the coupling constant - depend strongly on details of the involved exchange process. T he simplest cases are those when only one speci c path via ligands occurs. Then, each hopping integral enters quadratically the expressions for the coupling constants $J$. Therefore, the sign of $J$ is given by kind of superexchange, direct ( + ) or indirect ( ). The magnitude of $J$ depends on i) an e ective energy denom inator $\mathrm{E}_{Q}$
 Here $Q=A ; F E ; F D$ represents the di erent possibilities of super-exchange and $E=\left(\begin{array}{c}N+1 \\ k=1\end{array} t_{k}\right)=N$, where $N$ denotes the num ber of ligands involved in the exchange and the $t_{k}$ the corresponding hopping integrals. is a characteristic energy of an excited state $w$ ith $t_{k}=1$. $T$ hus $t$ decreases rapidly $w$ ith increasing path length $N$. $1=E_{Q}$, which we also call $Q_{N}$, is strongly in uenced by the num ber of exchange channels. T his num ber depends on the speci c super-exchange $A, F E$, or $F D$, each of which exhibits a di erent dependence on $N . \mathrm{FE}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is independent of N , there are alw ays only tw o channels. $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is of the form $A_{N}=2(1=U+N=)$ and $F D{ }_{N}$ includes in addition a term quadratic in N . For $\mathrm{N}=1$ one nds $\mathrm{FE}_{1}<\mathrm{FD}_{1}<\mathrm{A}_{1}$, m ainly because $\mathrm{FE}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\mathrm{FD}_{\mathrm{N}}$ contain an additional factor $J_{H}=U . W$ ith grow ing num ber of ligands we may nd cases $A_{N}<\mathrm{FD}_{\mathrm{N}}$. As a consequence, when $N>1$, the interaction of ions $w$ ith $m$ ore than half-lled d-shells should exhibit a bigger tendency
tow ards ferrom agnetic coupling than those with less than half- lled d-shells (hole picture, em pty ligands).

The exchange can also take place via several (P) interfering paths. The structure of a coupling constant resulting from $P$ paths with $N$ ligands each is $J^{l}(P)=$ $\frac{1}{2}{\underset{p}{P} p^{0}=1}_{p}^{p}\left(Q_{N}^{p}+Q_{N}^{p_{N}^{0}}\right) e^{p} e^{P^{0}}$. Herep and $p^{0}$ indicate the various paths. The energy denom inators $Q_{N}^{P}$ are the sam $e$ as in the case ofP $=1$, yet the hopping factors no longer enter quadratically into the expressions for the e ective hopping integrals. In the presence of inequivalent paths $\left(Q_{N}^{p} \in Q_{N}^{p^{0}}\right)$ this leads to the possibility of a ferrom agnetic coupling of two spins by direct super-exchange as was discussed in Ref.ild. W hen all $P$ paths are equivalent, the $J^{l}$ are again quadratic in the e ective hopping, i.e. the sign is xed, but the $m$ agnitude $m$ ay be strongly in uenced by interference. T his behavior alw ays occurs for case $F E$, since $F E_{N}$ is independent of $N$.

From the above considerations we see that even the sign of the coupling cannot be guessed exœept in the sim plest cases, even if the orbitalorder at the $m$ agnetic sites is know $n$. Forbetter quantitative estim ates, a reasonable know ledge of hopping integrals, of charge transfer gaps and of $U$ param eters is required. These num bers are accessible, in principle, via total energy calculations using constrained density functional theory, see e.g. Ref. '24.4. O ur present studies are based on such evaluations for $\mathrm{La}_{2} \mathrm{CuO}_{4}$.

In order to test the quality of our treatm ent, we have investigated som e ferrom agnetic Cr com pound insulators, where the GKA rules cannot be applied in any simple fashion. In $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{CrCl}_{4}$, w ith the sam e structure as $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuF}{ }_{4}$, orbital order of the $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$ ions occurs because of the Jahn-Teller e ect. H ow ever, for the rst nearest neighbor ( $1 \mathrm{~N} N$ ) intra-planar coupling, the direct super-exchange $J_{A}$ does not vanish, in contrast to the case of $K_{2} C_{u F}^{4}$. N evertheless, we nd $j_{F D}^{1} j>J_{A}^{1}$, for various reasons as discussed above and in detail in chapter 3. A lso the quantitative result agrees well with experim ent. C onceming the inter-planar coupling, we believe that $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{CrCl}_{4}$ is rather sim ilar to $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CuF}_{4}$ which has been studied previously ${ }_{2} 1 \mathrm{~d} W$ e note that som e of the $\mathrm{N}=2$ super-exchange paths involve both em pty (Cl) and doubly occupied ( $\mathrm{Rb}{ }^{+}$) ligands. Such cases, which $m$ ay occur in temary com pounds, are not covered by our present theoretical treatm ent.

The $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ ions of the Cr tri-halides $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CrBr} r_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CrI}_{3}$ are not Jahn-Teller ions and, thus, do not exhibit orbitalordering. T he 1N N intra-planar coupling involves two equivalent $\mathrm{N}=1$ paths w ith Crya-C rangles close to 90 . The FD coupling dom inates the A coupling, both due to the 90 angles and, also because of the very $m$ any FD channels. The inter-planar coupling takes place via three di erent $N=2$ contributions, $J_{2}, J_{4}$ and $J_{5}$. E ach of the couplings is $m$ ediated via a variety of paths. U sing reasonable hopping param eters we get good agreem ent $w$ th the values $J_{2}, J_{4}, J_{5}$ as $m$ easured by neutron scattering in the case of $\mathrm{CrB} \mathrm{r}_{3}$. Further we obtain ferrom ag-
netic coupling for both $\mathrm{CrBr} r_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CrI}_{3}$. In the case of $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}$ we suspect that the low tem perature structure is not R3.

W e com e to the conclusion that the perturbationalcalculation of the coupling constants in the non-m etallic 3d-transition-m etal com pounds is an appropriate instrum ent, qualitatively as well as quantitatively. The quantitative agreem ent $m$ ay appear som ew hat surprising. It has been pointed out earlier that the higher order contributions of the perturbation series can have a sim ilar $m$ agnitude as the low est onet ${ }^{251}$ U nder such circum stances we would expect that the coupling betw een next nearest neighbors becom es im portant. E xperim entally, how ever, ratios $\mathrm{J}_{2}=\mathrm{J}_{1} \quad 10^{2}$ are reported ${ }^{5} \mathrm{An}$ additional consequence should be that term $s$ of the form $\left(\begin{array}{ll}S_{i} & \text { S) })\left(S_{k}\right. \\ \mathbb{I}\end{array}\right)$ can not be neglected. We are not aw are of any experi$m$ entalevidence for the im portance of such 4 -spin term $s$. $T$ he question rem ains how the good agreem ent betw een experim ental value and the lowest order results can be understood.


FIG.1. Spin interaction by super-exchange via one em pty ligand w ith one orbital. a) anti-ferrom agnetic case, b) and c) the ferrom agnetic cases. The double arrow represents the direct exchange $J_{H}$ that leads to the second H und's rule. b) and c) are di er by the occupation of the spin-free orbital at the $m$ agnetic ion. It is not possible to transform one into the other by a particle-hole transform ation.
a)

b)


FIG.2. a) D irect interaction on a linear chain betw een tw o half- lled orbitals 1 and 2 at the sites M 1 and $M_{2}$ via N unoccupied ligands w ith one orbitaleach. O nly nearest neighb or hopping is considered. b) Indirect interaction between two half- lled orbitals 1 and 2 at the sites $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ involving one unoccupied orbital via $N$ unoccupied ligands w ith one orbital each which build a linear chain. Only the hopping betw een nearest neighbors is allow ed.


F IG .3. Super-exchange via three di erent pathsw ith three ligands each.


FIG. 4. Projection of a Cr-plane with the corresponding ligands on the ab-plane. $T$ he sm all circles denote the $C r$-ions, the large ones the halides. T he darker ligand ions lie below the Cr-plane the lighter ones above. The Crplanes are stacked such that the C r hexagon center succesively lies at points A, $B$ and $C$.


F IG . 5. C rystal structure of the tri-halides $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CrBr} r_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CrI}_{3}$. The hexagonal unit cell contains four C r-planes. Large circles denote the halide ions the sm aller circles the C r atom $s$. C lusters corresponding to the couplings $J_{1}, J_{2}$ and $J_{4}$, see $F$ ig. 'G, are indicated. A lso shown are the position of a ligand octahedron and the corresponding local coordinate system _ of a Crion. The distances $d, l$ and $s$ are given in Tab.


FIG. 6. N ear neighbor coupling constants $J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{4}$ and $J_{5}$ in C rH $\mathrm{a}_{3}$. There exist three couplings $\mathrm{J}_{1}$, one $\mathrm{J}_{2}$, three $\mathrm{J}_{4}$ and six $J_{5}$ perCr.

TABLE I. Electronic param eters for $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{CrCl}_{4}$ (in eV ).

| (pd ) | (pd ) | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{H}}$ | Cl |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $1: 0$ | $0: 4$ | 4 | $0: 52$ | $4: 15$ |


 $z$ and $u$ vahues are approxim ated by the ideal values, $2=3,0$, $z_{i}=a_{0}=2 \overline{3}_{0}$, and $1=3$, respectively.

|  | $\mathrm{CrC} I_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CrB} r_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CrI}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ | $5: 94 \mathrm{~A}$ | $6: 27 \mathrm{~A}$ | $6: 86 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| $\mathrm{c}_{0}$ | $17: 33 \mathrm{~A}$ | $18: 21 \mathrm{~A}$ | $19: 88 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| x | $0: 6507$ | $0: 6523$ | $0: 6666$ |
| y | $0: 0075$ | $0: 0012$ | $0: 0$ |
| z | $0: 0757$ | $0: 0786$ | $0: 0813$ |
| u | $0: 3323$ | $0: 3339$ | $0: 3333$ |

TABLE III. Relevant atom ic separations for the $\mathrm{CrH} \mathrm{a}_{3}$ com pounds (in $A$, for de nition, see $F$ ig. $\boldsymbol{F}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). Because of the distortions u € $1=3, y \notin 0$ etc. there exist two di erent distances d ( $\mathrm{C} r \mathrm{Ha}$ ) and 1 ( $\mathrm{H} a \mathrm{H}$ a). A lso given are the separations of the H a planes $r_{1}$ (Cr enclosed) and $r_{2}$ (em pty site enclosed)

|  | $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CrBr}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CrI}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s | $3: 43$ | $3: 62$ | $3: 96$ |
| $\mathrm{~d}_{1}$ | $2: 37$ | $2: 50$ | $2: 80$ |
| $\mathrm{~d}_{2}$ | $2: 33$ | $2: 50$ | $2: 80$ |
| $\mathrm{l}_{1}$ | $3: 69$ | $3: 80$ | $4: 09$ |
| $\mathrm{l}_{2}$ | $3: 80$ | $3: 87$ | $4: 09$ |
| $\mathrm{r}_{1}$ | $2: 62$ | $2: 86$ |  |
| $\mathrm{r}_{2}$ | $3: 15$ | $3: 21$ |  |

TABLE IV. Electronic param eters (in eV) for $\mathrm{CrH} \mathrm{a}_{3}$. The hopping integrals are given for the ideal structure. D istance variations are included via exponentials $\left.e^{j\left(r_{0}\right.} \quad r\right) w$ ith ${ }^{\mathrm{pd}}=1: 5 \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{A},{ }^{\mathrm{pd}}=2 \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{A}, \quad \mathrm{pp}=2 \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{A}$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{pp}}=2: 5$ $\mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{where} \mathrm{r}_{0}$ denotes the distance in the ideal structure.

|  | $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CrBr}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CrI}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $3: 8$ | $3: 3$ | $3: 0$ |
| U | $3: 5$ | $3: 5$ | $3: 5$ |
| $\frac{J_{H}}{U}$ | $0: 1$ | $0: 1$ | $0: 1$ |
| (pd ) | $1: 15$ | $1: 12$ | $1: 06$ |
| (pd ) | $0: 66$ | $0: 69$ | $0: 70$ |
| (pp ) | $0: 05$ | $0: 10$ | $0: 12$ |
| (pp ) | $0: 0014$ | $0: 019$ | $0: 04$ |

TABLE V. CrH $a_{3}$ : Values of various e ective hopping squares for the couplings $J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{4}$ and $J_{5}$ (in $10^{4} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ ).

| $\begin{aligned} & P^{1=}\left(\begin{array}{ll} \left.\left(T_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\right)^{2} \\ & \left(T^{2}\right)^{2} \end{array} .\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CrBr} \mathrm{r}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CrI}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{J}_{1}$ |  |  |
|  | 9733 | 7670 | 4802 |
|  | 12211 | 8862 | 5506 |
|  | $\mathrm{J}_{2}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{12}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{1}^{2}{ }_{2}\right)^{2}$ | 84 | 359 | 444 |
| P $\quad\left(T^{2}\right)^{2}$ | 12 | 98 | 182 |
|  | $\mathrm{J}_{4}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{12}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{12}^{2}\right)^{2}$ | 18 | 79 | 107 |
| P $\quad\left(T^{2}\right)^{2}$ | 18 | 88 | 140 |
|  | $\mathrm{J}_{5}$ |  |  |
|  | 11 | 69 | 107 |
|  | 13 | 85 | 140 |

TABLE VI. CrH a ${ }_{3}$ : E ective energy denom inators $A$ and FD (in $\mathrm{eV}^{1}$ ) and coupling constants (in meV ).

|  | $\mathrm{CrC}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CrBr}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CrI}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | $1: 10$ | $1: 18$ | $1: 24$ |
| $6 \mathrm{FD}_{1}$ | $0: 94$ | $1: 11$ | $1: 28$ |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | $1: 64$ | $1: 77$ | $1: 91$ |
| $6 \mathrm{FD}_{2}$ | $2: 28$ | $2: 76$ | $3: 36$ |
| $\mathrm{~J}_{1}$ | $4: 8$ | $7: 6$ | $12: 4$ |
| $\mathrm{~J}_{2}$ | $0: 059$ | $0: 26$ | $0: 31$ |
| $\mathrm{~J}_{4}$ | $0: 007$ | $0: 08$ | $0: 33$ |
| $\mathrm{~J}_{5}$ | $0: 006$ | $0: 09$ | $0: 33$ |
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