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#### Abstract

W e propose a num ber of $M$ onte $C$ arlo algorithm $s$ for the sim ulation of ioe $m$ odels and com pare their e ciency. O ne of them, a cluster algorithm for the equivalent three colour $m$ odel, appears to have a dynam ic exponent close to zero, $m$ aking it particularly usefiul for sim ulations of critical ice m odels. W e have perform ed extensive sim ulations using our algorithm $s$ to determ ine a num ber of critical exponents for the square ice and F m odels.


## 1 Introduction

Ine m odels are a class of sim ple classical m odels of the statistical properties of the hydrogen atom $s$ in water ine. In ice, the oxygen atom s are located on a lattioe, and each oxygen atom has four hydrogen bonds to neighbouring oxygen atom $s$, giving a four-fold coordinated lattioe. H ow ever, as has long been known, the proton (hydrogen atom) whidh forms a hydrogen bond is located not at the centre point of the line betw een two oxygens, but at a point closer to one of the two. Bemal and Fow ler 5] and Pauling [6] proposed that the protons are arranged according to two rules, know $n$ as the ine rules:
(1) There is precisely one hydrogen atom on each hydrogen bond.
(2) T here are precisely tw o hydrogen atom s near each oxygen atom .

Ioe $m$ odels are a class of $m$ odels $m$ im icking the behaviour of system $s w h i d h$ obey these rules. T he m ost widely-studied ioe model is the m odel on a square lattioe in two dim ensions. A version of this m odel has been solved exactly by


Figure 1 The six possible vertex con gurations of an ice $m$ odel on a square lattice.

Lieb [1\{3]. The exact solution gives us, for instance, the critical tem perature and the free energy of the m odel. H ow ever, there are a num ber of quantities of interest which cannot be obtained from the exact solution, and for these quantities we tum to $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulation.

In this paper we introduce a num ber of new M onte C arlo algorithm s for the sim ulation of ice models, and com pare their e ciency. W e will show that one of them, the three-colour cluster algorithm developed in Section 5, possesses a very sm alldynam ic exponent (possibly zero), and so su ers very little from critical slow ing down. U sing this, and other algorithm s presented here, we determ ine num erically several critical exponents which have not been accurately $m$ easured previously: the dim ensionally of the percolating cluster of sym $m$ etric vertioes in the F m odel at critical tem perature, the scaling of the largest loop in the loop-representation ofboth square ice and the F m odel at critical tem perature, and the scaling of the tra jectory of a w andering defect in square iœe.

2 Ice m odels

O ur ice model is as follow s. O xygen atom s are arranged on the vertices of a square grid, and between each oxygen and its four neighbours there are hydrogen bonds, represented by the lines of the grid. Com m only, arrow s are draw $n$ on the bonds to indicate the positions of the protons: the arrow points tow ards the vertex which the proton is nearest to. T he rst ice rule above then corresponds to the condition that there should be exactly one arrow on each bond. The second ice rule says that each vertex should have exactly two arrows pointing tow ards it, and two pointing away. This gives us six types of vertioes, and for this reason ice $m$ odels are som etim es also referred to as six-vertex $m$ odels. The six vertioes are illustrated in $F$ igure 1.

In the rst part of this paper we study the sim plest six-vertex model, in
which all types of vertioes are assigned the sam e energy. This m odel is usually called \square iœe". T he nam e is som ew hat confusing, since other ioem odels on square lattioes, such as the K D P and F m odels of Section 7, are not also called square ioe. H ow ever, since the nam e is widely used we will follow convention and use it here too. Because all con gurations of square ice possess the sam e energy, the m odel's properties are entirely entropically driven and variations in tem perature have no e ect on its behaviour.

It tums out that the square ice $m$ odel is equivalent to tw o other well-studied m odels in statisticalphysics: the three-colouring m odel, and a random-surface $m$ odel on a square lattice. In this section we describe these tw o $m$ odels as well as introducing the \fully loop-covered lattioe" $m$ odel, which is also equivalent to the square ice $m$ odel.

### 2.1 C oburing m odels

Lenard [7,1] has shown an im portant result about square ice which will help us in the design of an e cient $M$ onte $C$ arlo algorithm for the sim ulation of the $m$ odel. Lenard dem onstrated that the con gurations of an ice $m$ odel on a square lattice can be $m$ apped onto the con gurations of a lattioe of squares coloured using three di erent colours, w ith the restriction that no tw o nearestneighbour squares have the sam e colour. It is actually not very di cult to dem onstrate this equivalence. The procedure forw orking out the con guration of the arrow s of the ioe $m$ odel, given a suitable colouring of the plaquets on the lattice is show $n$ in $F$ igure 2.1, in whidh the three colours are represented by the num bers 1,2 and 3 . The rule is that we im agine ourselves standing on one of the squares of the lattice and looking tow ards one of the ad jacent ones. If the num ber in the adjacent square is one higher (m odulo three) than the num ber in the square we are standing on, we draw an arrow on the intervening bond pointing to the right. O therw ise we draw an arrow to the left. T he procedure is then repeated for every other bond on the lattioe.

C learly the resulting con guration of arrows obeys the rst ice rule; since neighbouring plaquets $m$ ust have di erent colours the prescription above will place one and only one arrow on every bond. The second ice nule requires that each vertex has two ingoing and two outgoing arrows. If we walk from square to square in four steps around a vertex, then each tim e we cross a bond separating tw o squares, the colour either increases or decreases by one, m odulo three. T he only way to get back to the colourwe started w ith when we have gone all the way around is if we increase tw ioe and decrease tw ige. This $m$ eans that the vertex we walk around $m$ ust have tw o ingoing and two outgoing


Figure 2 A three-colouring of a square lattice, its corresponding con guration of arrow s , and its corresponding loop-covering.
arrow $s$, exactly as we desire. Thus each con guration of the three-colouring m odel corresponds to a unique correct con guration of square ige.

W e can also reverse the process, transform ing an ice modelcon guration into a three-colouring. W e are free to choose the colour of one square on the lattige as we wish, but once that one is xed, the arrows on the bonds separating that square from each of its neighbours uniquely determ ine the colour of the neighbouring squares, and, by repeated application of the rule given above, the colour of all the rest of the squares in the lattice. T hus, the num ber of w ays in which the squares of the lattioe can be coloured is exactly the num ber of con gurations of the ioe $m$ odel on the sam e lattioe, regardless of the size of the lattioe, except for a factor of three.

### 2.2 Random surfaces

Square ine is also equivalent to a random surface $m$ odel in which heights are assigned to the plaquets of a square lattioe. Ifwe assign these heights in such a w ay that adjacent plaquets have heights which di er by exactly 1 , then again there is a one-to-one $m$ apping betw een the con gurations of the ioe m odeland the random surface: the $m$ apping is identical to the three colour mapping of the last section except for the absence of the m odulo operation.

### 2.3 Fully loop-covered lattices

The six-vertex $m$ odel is also equivalent to a \fully loop-covered lattice m odel" in which (non-directed) loops are form ed by joining the vertioes of the square lattice with \links" in such a way that each site on the lattioe belongs to exactly one self-avoiding loop. To dem onstrate this equivalence, consider the follow ing rule. F irst, divide the lattice into even and odd sites in a checkerboard pattem. N ow place links along all.bonds whose arrow s are pointing aw ay from an even vertex. Since each such arrow must also be pointing tow ards an odd vertex, and since each vertex has two ingoing and two outgoing arrow S , this creates two links to every site on the lattioe. H ence the lattioe is fully covered by closed self-avoiding loops.

Proving the reverse result, that each con guration of loops corresponds to exactly one con guration of arrow s is equally sim ple:we place outgoing arrow s on each bond adjoining an even site which is part of one of our loops. The direction of all the rem aining arrow $s$ is then $x e d$ by using the second ioe rule.

3 M onte C arlo algorithm s for square ice

In this paper we develop a num ber of di erent $M$ onte $C$ arlo algorithm $s$ for calculating the average properties of ige $m$ odels on square lattioes. In the case of square ioe, in which all con gurations of the lattice have the sam e energy, the necessary steps for creating such an algorithm are (i) to choose a set of elem entary $m$ oves which take us from one state of the m odel to another, (ii) to dem onstrate that these $m$ oves can take us from any state of a nite lattice to any other in a nite number of steps (the condition of ergodicity) and (iii) to construct an algorithm from these $m$ oves such that in equilibrium the rate at which a particular m ove occurs which takes us from a state to a state is the sam e as the rate for the reverse $m$ ove from to (the condition ofdetailed balance). It is then straightforw ard to show that over a su ciently long period of tim ewe will sam ple all states on a nite lattice w ith equal probability. The choice of an elem entary $m$ ove how ever is not obvious, since there is no local change we can $m$ ake to the directions of the arrow s on the lattice which will preserve the second ioe rule. There is no equivalent of the reversal of a single spin in an Ising $m$ odel, for exam ple. In the next few sections we will consider four di erent candidate non-local update $m$ oves for square ioe, which lead us to four di erent M onte C arlo algorithm s of varying e ciency. First, we look at the standard algorithm, which involves reversing the arrow s around a loop


Figure 3 F lipping arrow s one by one along a line across the lattioe allow s us to change the con guration and still satisfy the ioe rules. T he only problem s are at the ends of the line, but if the two ends eventually $m$ eet one another form ing a closed loop of ipped arrow s , this problem goes aw ay too.
on the lattice.

### 3.1 T he standard ice algorithm

It is clear that one possible m ove which takes us from an allow ed con guration of arrow $s$ in an ige $m$ odel to another is the reversal of all the arrow $s$ in a loop chosen such that all arrow s point in the sam e direction around the loop. Such a loop has one arrow pointing in and one pointing out of each participating vertex, so that the reversal of all of them preserves the num ber ofarrow s going in and out of each vertex. N otioe that these loops are not the sam e loops as those in the fully loop-covered m odel described above. In that case the arrow s along the loop point in altemating directions, and their reversal w ould violate the second iae rule.

H ow do we nd a loop in which all arrow s point in the sam e direction around the loop? T he m ost straightforw ard $m$ ethod is illustrated in $F$ igure 3. Starting
$w$ ith a correct con guration of the $m$ odel (a), we choose a single vertex at random from the lattice. W e then choose at random one of the two outgoing arrows at this vertex and reverse it (b). (w e could just as well choose an ingoing arrow | either is ne.) This creates a violation of the second ice rule at the initial vertex and also at a new vertex at the other end of the reversed arrow. In ice term inology these are referred to as ion ic defects: the vertioes $w$ ith one and three outgoing arrow s correspond to OH and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$respectively.W e can rem ove the defect at the new vertex by choosing at random one of the two outgoing arrows at this vertex and reversing it (c). (T here are actually three outgoing arrow s at this vertex, but one of them is the arrow we reversed in the rst $m$ ove and we exclude this one from our set of possible choiges to avoid having the loop retrace its steps.) This creates another defect at the other end of that arrow, and so forth. In this $m$ anner one of the two defects created by the reversal of the rst arrow di uses around the lattioe (d) until by chance it nds itself badk at the starting site once m ore, at which point it annihilates with the defect there resulting in a new con guration of the lattioe which satis es the ioe rules (e). T he net result is the reversal of a loop of arrow s on the lattioe.

In the gure we have illustrated the case of the sm allest possible loop, w hich on the square lattice involves the reversal of just four arrow s. H ow ever, provided the size of the lattioe allows for it, the loops can be arbitrarily long, and for this reason wew ill refer to this algorithm as the \long loop algorithm ". A t each step around the loop we have a choice to $m$ ake between two possible arrow s that we could reverse, and if we make these choioes at random with equal probability we generate a species of random walk across the lattice. T his walk could quite possibly take a long tim e to retum to its starting point. H ow ever, on the nite lattioes we use in our M onte C arlo sim ulations we are guaranteed that the walk will eventually retum. A nd long loops are not necessarily a bad thing, since although they take longer to generate they also ip a larger num ber of arrow s , which allow s the system to decorrelate quidker.

A $n$ altemative, but entirely equivalent schem e, $m$ akes use of so-called $B$ jerrum defects [8], rather than the ionic defects we have em ployed. A B jerrum defect is a violation of the rst ice rule: a bond containing two protons, one at either end of the bond (a B jerrum D defect), or a bond containing no protons (a $B$ jerrum L defect). O ne can construct a M onte Carlo move using B jerrum defects just as we did w ith ionic defects by rem oving an arrow from a bond, and placing it on another bond. This creates one D defect and one $L$ defect. $T$ hese defects can also w ander around and eventually recom bine, resulting in a new state of the lattice. A lgorithm sbased on wandering B jerrum defects have been used by $R$ ahm an and Stillinger [9] for the sim ulation of three-dim ensional
ioe and by Y anagaw a and N agle [10] for the sim ulation of tw o-dim ensional ioe.
The process in which two defects (either ionic or B jerrum) are created and di use around the lattice until they nd one another again is actually very sim ilar to what goes on in real ioe. In real ioe, changes in the proton con guration are $m$ ediated principally by the di usion of $B$ jerrum defects around the lattice. The density of defects is very sm all already at 10 C only about one in vem illion bonds is occupied by a B jerrum defect| and the num ber of ionic defects is sm aller even than this [12].

### 3.2 E rgodicity

W e have now speci ed a m ove that will take us from one correct con guration of the arrow s to another, and our proposed M onte C arlo algorithm for square ice is sim ply to carry out a large num ber of such $m$ oves, one after another. H ow ever, as we rem arked above, we still need to dem onstrate that the algorithm satis es the criteria of ergodicity and detailed balance.

F irst, consider ergodicity, whose proof is illustrated in Figure 4. The gure show show the di erence between two con gurations of the model on a nite lattioe can be decom posed into the ips of arrows around a nite number of loops. W e can dem onstrate the truth of this statem ent for any two con gurations by the follow ing argum ent. Each of the vertioes in $F$ igure 1 di ers from each of the others by the reversal of an even num ber of arrow s . This fact follow s directly from the ioe rules. T hus, if we take two di erent con gurations of the $m$ odel on a particular lattioe and im agine draw ing lines along the bonds on which the arrow s di er, we are guaranteed that there $w i l l$ be an even num ber of such lines $m$ eeting at each vertex. T hus these lines $m$ ust form a set of (possibly intersecting) loops covering a subset of the vertices on the lattice. It is not di cult to show that these loops can be chosen so that the arrow s around each one all point in the sam e direction. Since the reversal of the arrow s around these loops are precisely our M onte C arlo moves, and since there are a nite num ber of such loops, it follows that we can get from any con guration to any other in a nite num ber of steps, and thus the system is ergodic. $N$ ote that it is im portant to allow the loops to pass through the periodic boundary conditions for this to work ?!

1 It is not too hard to show that the loopsw hidh w rap around the periodic boundary conditions change the polarization, Equation (9), of the system, whereas the ones which don't conserve polarization. Thus, ifw e do not allow the loops to w rap around in this way the polarization would never change.


Figure 4 The di erence between any two con gurations of the six-vertex $m$ odel can be decom posed in a num ber of loops (which may run around the periodic boundaries). If all the arrow s along these loops are reversed, we go from one con guration to the other.

### 3.3 D etailed balance

O ur $M$ onte $C$ arlo $m$ ove consists of choosing a starting site $S_{0}$ and reversing a loop of arrow s starting at that site and ending, $m$ steps later, at the sam e site $S_{m}=S_{0} . T$ he probability of selecting a particular site $S_{0}$ as the starting site is $1=\mathrm{N}$, where N is the number of sites on the lattioe. $T$ he probability ofm aking a particular choige from the two possible outgoing arrow s at each step around the loop is $\frac{1}{2}$ for each step, so that the probability that we chose a certain sequence of steps is equal to 2 m , and the probability of generating the entire loop is $\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} 2^{\mathrm{m}}$. For the reverse $m$ ove, in which the sam e loop of arrow $s$ is ipped back again to take us from state back to state, the exact sam e argum ents apply, again giving us a probability of $\frac{1}{N} 2^{m}$ form aking the $m$ ove, and hence detailed balance is observed. This, in combination with the dem onstration of ergodicity above, ensures that our algorithm will sam ple all states of the m odelw ith equal probability.

4 A n alternative algorithm, involving sm aller loops

A practical problem which arises in the algorithm presented above, is that if we sim ulate a large lattice, the probability that we retum to the starting site $S_{0}$ is quite $s m$ allonce we have wandered su ciently far aw ay from it, and thus it $m$ ay take a long tim e to generate even onem ove. In response to this problem, we have devised a second algorithm which also reverses the arrow s around a closed loop ofloonds, but this algorithm generates shorter loops. For obvious reasonsw e callth is the \short loop algorithm ". T he short loop algorithm w orks in a sim ilar way to the long loop algorithm : we choose a starting site $S_{0}$ at
random from the lattioe and reverse one of the outgoing arrow s at that vertex, thereby creating two defects. $W$ e then reverse further arrows so that one of the defects w anders around the lattioe random ly. H ow ever, rather than waiting until the two defects nd one another again, we now continue only until the w andering defect encounters a site, call it $S_{m}$, which it has encountered before in its path across the lattioe: $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{l}<\mathrm{m}$. From this point, we retrace our steps backw ards dow $n$ the old path of the defect, until we reach $S_{0}$ again, reversing all the arrow s along the way. The net result is that we reverse all the arrow $s$ along the path from site $S_{0}$ to $S_{1}$ tw ige (which $m$ eans that they are the sam e before and after the $m$ ove), and all the arrow s in the loop from $S_{1}$ to $S_{m}$ once. Thus we have again reversed all the arrow s around a loop. By contrast $w$ ith the long loop algorithm how ever, the $w$ andering defect does not have to nd its way back to its original starting point; it only needs to nd any site on its previous path. This guarantees that the length of its walk will never exceed $N$ steps, and in practice the typicalm ove is much shorter than this. (In fact, the num ber of steps tends to a nite lim it as the lattice becom es large see Section 62.)

As w th the previous algorithm, we need to dem onstrate ergodicity and detailed balance. The proofofergodicity is identical to that for the previous case: the di erence betw een any two states on a nite lattice can be reduced to the reversal of the spins around a nite num ber of loops. Since the algorithm has a nite chance of reversing each such loop, it can connect any two states in a nite num ber ofm oves.

The proofofdetailed balance is also sim ilar to that for the long loop algorithm . C onsider again a move which takes us from state to state. The move consists of choosing a starting site $S_{0}$ at random, then a path $P=f S_{0}::: S_{1} g$ in which the arrows are left untouched, followed by a loop $L=f S_{1}::: S_{m} g$ in which we reverse the arrow s . (Rem em ber that the last site in the loop $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is necessarily the same as the rst $S_{1}$.) The probability that we chose $S_{0}$ as the starting point is $1=\mathrm{N}$, where N is the num ber of sites on the lattioe. A fter that we have a choige of two directions at each step along the starting path and around the loop, so that the probability that we end up taking the path $P$ is equal to $2^{1}$ and the probability that we follow the loop $L$ is $2^{(m)}$. A fter the loop reaches site $S_{m}=S_{1}$, we do not have any $m$ ore free choioes. The probabillty that we m ove from a con guration to con guration by follow ing a particular path $P$ and loop $L$ is thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(!\quad)=\frac{1}{N} 2^{1} 2^{(m)}=2^{m}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the reverse $m$ ove, the probability of starting at $S_{0}$ is again $1=N$, and the probability of follow ing the same path $P$ as before to site $S_{1}$ is $2^{1}$ again. H ow ever, we cannot now follow the sam e loop $L$ from $S_{1}$ to $S_{m}$ as we did before, since the arrows along the loop are reversed from what they were in state. On the other hand, we can follow the loop in the reverse direction, and this again has probability 2 (m). Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(!\quad)=\frac{1}{N} 2^{1} 2^{(m)}=2^{m} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

exactly as before. This dem onstrates detailed balance for the algorithm and, in com bination w ith the dem onstration of ergodicity, ensures that all possible states $w$ ill be sam pled w ith equal probability.

5 M onte C arlo algorithm sfor the three colour m odel

W e now have two M onte C arlo algorithm swhich correctly sam ple the states of the square ioe m odel and since, as we showed in Section 2.1, the states of this $m$ odel can bem apped onto the states of the three colour lattice $m$ odel, we can of course use the sam e algorithm to study the three colour m odel. In this section how ever, we will explore the other side of the sam e question: is there a natural $M$ onte $C$ arlo dynam ics for the three-colouring $m$ odel which could then be used to sam ple the states of the ioe $m$ odel? It tums out that there is, and the resulting algorithm provides not only an e cient way of sim ulating ioe m odels, but will also prove usefulw hen we get onto the energetic ioe models of Section 7 in which di erent types of vertioes are assigned di erent energies.

In the three-colouring representation the degrees of freedom | the colours| are located on the plaquets of the lattice, rather than at the vertices, and, as we show ed earlier, the ioe rules translate into the dem and that nearest-neighbour squares have di erent colours. Just as in the case of the square icem odel, there is no obvious update $m$ ove which $w$ ill take us from state to state. A lthough there are som e states in which the colour of one square can be changed from one value to another w ithout violating the ioe rules, there are also states in which no such $m$ oves are possible, and therefore single-plaquet $m$ oves of this kind cannot reach these states, and so do not lead to an ergodic dynam ics. A gain then, we m ust resort to non-local moves, and the m ost obvious such m ove is to look for chusters of nearest-neighbour plaquets of only tw o colours, call them A and B, entirely surrounded by plaquets of the third colour C. A $m$ ove which exchanges the two colours A and B in such a cluster but leaves
the rest of the lattioe untouched satis es the ioe rules, and this suggests the follow ing cluster-type algorithm for square ice:
(1) W e choose a plaquet at random from the lattioe as the seed square for the cluster. Suppose this plaquet has colour A.
(2) W e choose another colour $B \in A$ at random from the two other possibilities.
(3) Starting from our seed square, we form a cluster by adding all nearestneighbour squares which have either colour A or colour B. W e keep doing this until no $m$ ore such nearest neighbours exist.
(4) T he colours A and B of all sites in the chister are exchanged.

There are a couple of points to notioe about this algorithm . F irst, the cluster possesses no nearest neighbours of either colour A or colour B and therefore all its nearest neighbours $m$ ust be of the third colour, C. In the sim plest case, the seed square has no neighbours of colour B at all, in which case the cluster consists of only the one plaquet. It is crucial to the working of the algorithm that such m oves should be possible. If we had chosen instead to seed our cluster by picking tw o neighbouring plaquets and form ing a cluster $w$ ith their colours, single-plaquet m oves would not be possible and we would nd that the algorithm satis ed neither ergodicity nor detailed balance. N otice also that w thin the boundary of colour C, the chuster of A s and B smust form a checkerboard pattem, since no tw o A s or B s can be neighbours.

W e are now in a position to prove that our algorithm satis es the conditions of ergodicity and detailed balance. In this case it tums out that detailed balance is the easier to prove. C onsider, as before, a M onte C arlo m ove which takes us from a state to a state, and suppose that this m ove involves a cluster ofm squares. The probability of choosing our seed square in this cluster is $m=\mathrm{N}$, where N is the total num ber of plaquets on the lattice. T he probability that we then choose B as the other colour for the cluster is $\frac{1}{2}$, and after that there are no $m$ ore choiges: the algorithm speci es exactly how the cluster should be grow $n$ from here on. Thus the total probability for the $m$ ove from to is $\mathrm{m}=(2 \mathrm{~N})$. E xactly the sam e argum ent applies for the reverse m ove from to $w$ th the sam e values ofm and $N$, and hence the rates for forw ard and reverse m oves are the sam e. T hus detailed balance is obeyed.

The proof ofergodicity is a little trickier. It involves tw o steps. F irst, we show that from any con guration we can evolve via a nite sequence of reversible $m$ oves to a checkerboard colouring (a con guration in which one of the three colours is absent). Then we show that all checkerboard colourings are connected through reversible m oves.

A ny con guration of the lattioe can be regarded as a num ber of checkenboard regions consisting of only two colours, divided by boundaries. This result is obvious, since each site of colour A m ust have at least tw o neighbours w ith the sam ecolour, and therefore each square on the lattioe belongs to a checkerboard dom ain ofat least three squares. H ow ever, under the dynam ics ofour proposed $M$ onte $C$ arlo algorithm, the boundaries betw een these dom ains can $m$ ove. Ifwe have a dom ain of colours A and B and another of B and C then by choosing one of the plaquets on the boundary as the seed square for our M onte C arlo $m$ ove, and $B$ as one of the colours for the cluster, we can $m$ ake the boundary $m$ ove one square in one direction or the other, $w$ ith the direction depending on whether the other colour for the cluster was A or C. In this way we can take a single sim ply-connected cluster of one checkerboard pattem and, over a num ber of steps, grow its border until the cluster covers the entire lattioe, leaving the lattioe in a checkerboard state.

There are six of these checkerboard colourings, and from any one of them the others can easily be reached, since on a checkerboard the colour of any square can be changed on tits own w thout changing any other squares. Thus for exam ple we can get from a checkerboard of colours A and B to one of A and $C$ by changing all the B s to C s one by one. A $l l$ other com binations can be reached by a sim ilar process.

Since we can get from any state to a checkenboard colouring and from any checkerboard to any other, all via reversible m oves, it follows that our algorithm is ergodic.

The algorithm presented above, a single-cluster algorithm, resem bles in spirit the W ol single-cluster algorithm for the Ising m odel [13]. It is also possible to construct a m ulti-cluster algorithm for the three-colouring m odel, sim ilar to the Swendsen $W$ ang algorithm for the Ising model [14]. In this algorithm we start by choosing at random a pair of colours A and B.Then we construct all chusters of nearest-neighbour spins $m$ ade out of these tw o colours, and for each cluster we choose at random w ith 50\% probability whether to exchange the tw o colours or not. This algorithm satis es ergodicity for the sam e reason the single-cluster algorithm did|we can repeatedly choose tw o colours for the $m$ ove untila single cluster grow s to 11 the entire lattice, giving a checkerboard pattem. But we can get from any checkerboard to any other so that any state can be reached in a nite num ber of steps on a nite lattioe. T he algorithm also satis es detailed balance: the probability of selecting a particular tw o out of the three colours for a $m$ ove is $\frac{1}{3}$, and the probability of exchanging the colours in a particular set of clusters is $2^{n}$, where $n$ is the num ber of clusters. T he probability for the reverse $m$ ove is exactly the sam $e$, and hence detailed


Figure 5 The $m$ ean length lm i of loops in the long loop algorithm as a function of system size L.We nd that hmi $\mathrm{L}^{1: 665 ~ 0: 002}$.
balance is upheld.

6 C om parison of algorithm $s$ for square ice

In the previous sections, we have proposed four algorithm $s$ for the sim ulation of square ioe: the long loop algorithm, the short loop algorithm, the single-cluster three-colouring algorithm, and the full-lattice three-colouring algorithm. In this section we consider these algorithm s one by one and com pare their com putationale ciency.


Figure 6 The correlation tim e in M onte $C$ arlo steps of the long loop algorithm as a function of system size $L$. The best $t$ straight line gives steps $L^{0: 68 ~ 0: 03}$.

### 6.1 T he long loop algorithm

The long loop algorithm involves the creation of a pair of ionic defects, one of which di uses around the lattioe until it recom bines w ith the rst, in the process reversing all the arrows along the path of its di usion. To assess the e ciency ofthis algorithm, we rstm easure the average num ber ofsteps w hich the w andering defect takes before it recom bines as a function of the system size L. For an ordinary random walker on a square lattioe, this num ber scales as $\mathrm{L}^{2}$. In the case of the wandering defect how ever, we nd that it scales instead as $L^{1: 67} \mid$ see $F$ igure 5. The am ount of CPU tim e required per step in our algorithm increases linearly w ith the size of the loop, and hence we expect the CPU tim e perM onte C arlo step also to increase w ith system size as $\mathrm{L}^{1: 67}$. This is not necessarily a problem; since longer loops reverse m ore arrow $s$ as well as taking m ore CPU tim e it is unclear whether longer is better in this case,
or worse. To answer this question we need to consider the correlation tim e of the algorithm . W e have $m$ easured the correlation tim e for an observable sym which we de ne to be the density of the sym $m$ etric vertices 5 and 6 in $F$ igure 1. A s F igure 6 show $s$, when we $m$ easure tim $e$ in $M$ onte $C$ arlo steps we nd a correlation time steps $L^{0: 68} 0: 03$. It is how ever $m$ ore comm on (and $m$ ore convenient for the com parison of our algorithm s) to $m$ easure tim $e$ in \sw eeps" of the lattioe, which in this case m eans arrow ips per bond on the lattice. On average, each M onte C arlo step corresponds to $\mathrm{lm} \mathrm{i}=\left(\mathrm{dL}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}\right.$ ) sweeps on a d-dim ensional lattioe, which $m$ eans that the correlation tim e on our 2D lattioe goes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}^{0: 68} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{1: 67}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}}=\mathrm{L}^{0: 350: 03}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his quantity $m$ easures the am ount of com puter e ort we have to invest, per unit area of the lattice, in order to generate an independent con guration of the arrow s.

The square ice $m$ odel is a critical $m$ odel, possessing an in nite correlation length [3]. Thus it com es as no surprise that the correlation tim e scales as a non-integralpow er law w th system size. T he exponent $z=0: 35$ is the dynam ic exponent for the critical system | the anom alous scaling of the correlation tim e over and above the $L^{d}$ scaling expected of a system far from criticality. A s dynam ic exponents go, this is a reasonably sm all one. The M etropolis algorithm for the norm al Ising $m$ odel in two dim ensions for exam ple has a dynam ic exponent of about $z=2: 17$ [11], $m$ aking sim ulations of the $m$ odel very tim e consum ing for large lattices close to criticality. H ow ever, as we w ill see, som e of our other algorithm $s$ for square iee do better still, possessing dynam ic exponents not $m$ easurably di erent from zero.

### 6.2 The short loop algorithm

The short loop algorithm of Section 4 also involves creating a pair of defects and having one of them di use around. Recall how ever, that in this case the wandering defect only has to nd any of the sites which it has previously visited in order to close the loop and nish the $M$ onte C arlo step. If the di usion were a norm al random walk then this process would generate loops of a nite average length. A though the di usion of defects in square ioe is not a true random walk it tums out once $m$ ore that the sam e result applies. Num erically we nd that the average num ber of steps per m ove is $\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{i}=$ 13:1, independent of the lattice size, for a su ciently large lattice. This gure


Figure 7 The correlation time steps of the short loop algorithm m easured in $M$ onte $C$ arlo steps as a function of system size. T he best $t$ straight line gives steps $L^{2: 000: 01}$.
includes the steps taken at the end of the $m$ ove which sim ply ip a num ber of arrow sback to their starting con guration and therefore have no net e ect on the state of the system. (See Section 4.) W e nd that typically about 58\% of the arrow s reversed during a $m$ ove have to be restored in their original state. $T$ his is certainly a source of ine ciency in the algorithm .

The correlation tim e m easured in $M$ onte $C$ arlo steps steps, for the sam e observable sym as above, increases as $L^{2}$ (Figure 7). Since the $m$ ean num ber of steps in a loop is independent of $L$, the correlation tim e per unit volum e goes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{0}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}}=\text { constant: } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the short loop algorithm scales optim ally w ith system size. To the accuracy of our sim ulations the dynam ic exponent is $z=0: 00 \quad 0: 01$

### 6.3 Single-cluster three colouring algorithm

O ur third algorithm is the single-cluster three-oolouring algorithm outlined in Section 2.1. For this algorithm the average CPU tim e per M onte C arlo step scales as the average cluster size hci. Like the loop length in the long loop algorithm, this quantity scales up with increasing lattice size and num erically we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hci } \mathrm{L}^{1: 5} \text { : } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correlation tim e per $M$ onte $C$ arlo step goes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { steps } L^{1: 8} \text {; } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence the correlation tim e in steps per site goes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}^{1: 8} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{1: 5}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}}=\mathrm{L}^{1: 3} ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

indicating that the single-cluster algorithm is a very poor algorithm indeed for studying square ioe on large lattioes.

### 6.4 Full-lattice thre colouring algorithm

O ur last algorithm, the full-lattioe three colouring algorithm, also described in Section 2.1, generates clusters in a way sim ilar to the single cluster algorithm, but rather than generating only one cluster per M onte C arlo step, it covers the whole lattioe w ith them. For this algorithm we nd num erically that the correlation time steps $m$ easured in $M$ onte $C$ arlo steps is approxim ately constant as a function of lattice size ( F igure 8). Since each M onte C arlo m ove updates sites over the entire lattice, the CPU tim e perm ove scales as $L^{2}$ and hence the correlation tim e in $m$ oves per site is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{0} \frac{L^{2}}{L^{2}}=L^{0} \text { : } \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, like the short loop algorithm, th is one possesses optim alscaling as lattioe size increases, $w$ th a $m$ easured dynam ic exponent of $z=0: 12 \quad 0: 07$.


## lattice size $L$

Figure 8 The correlation time steps of the fill-lattioe three-colouring algorithm $m$ easured in $M$ onte $C$ arlo steps as a function of system size. T he best t straight line gives steps $\mathrm{L}^{0: 120: 07}$.

C om paring the four algorithm $s$, clearly them oste cient ones for large system $s$ are the short loop algorithm and the full-lattioe three-oolouring algorithm. In both other algorithm $s$, the com puter tim e required to generate an independent con guration of the lattice increases w ith system size. T he larger im pact of the larger $m$ oves in these algorithm s does not com pensate for the extra e ort invested generating them. B etw een the short loop algorithm and the full-lattioe three-colouring algorithm, it is harder to decide the winner, since both have the sam e scaling of CPU requirem ents w ith system size. O ur results show in fact that the tw o algorithm s are com parable in speed, both giving on the order of a m illion site updates per second on the workstations used for this study. The loop algorithm is perhaps slightly faster ( $m$ aybe 10 or 20 per cent) and has the advantage of working on lattioes of other topologies as well as the square lattioes used here. The three-oolouring algorithm on the other hand is considerably m ore straightforw ard to program .


Figure 9 The probability $P_{1}$ that a site belongs to the longest loop in the fully-loop-covered representation of square ige, as a function of system size $L$. $W$ e nd that $P_{1} L^{0: 251 ~ 0: 002}$.

As an exam ple of the use of our algorithm $s$, we have $m$ easured one of the sim plest non-trivial critical exponents for the square ice m odel. A s we show ed in Section 2.3, each state of the square icem odelcorresponds to a con guration of a square lattice which is entirely covered by closed, non-self-intersecting loops. U sing our full-lattice three-colouring algorithm, we have $m$ easured the probability $P_{1}$ that a partioular site is visited by the largest loop in such a m odel as a function of lattice size L . T he results are show n in F igure 9. The data closely follow a power-law : $\mathrm{P}_{1} \quad$ L ${ }^{0.25}$.

7 Energetic ice models

There are a num ber of other system $s$ besides $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ w th four-fold coordinated hydrogen bonds, the most studied being potassium dihydrogen phosphate
$\left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$, also known as KDP. Slater [15] argued that KDP at low temperatures could be m odeled using a six-vertex $m$ odel in which vertioes 1 and 2 in $F$ igure 1 are favoured by giving them an energy, while all the others are given energy zero. $N$ otioe that it is possible to form a dom ain on a square lattioe consisting only of type 1 vertioes, or only of type 2 . T hus there are two degenerate ground states of the KDP model in which the lattice is entirely covered w ith vertices of one of these tw o types, and the $m$ odeldisplays a sym $m$ etry-breaking phase transition from a high-tem perature phase in which the two appear w ith equalprobability to a low -tem perature one in which one or the other dom inates. A suitable order param eter to describe this transition is the polarization, or average direction of the arrow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{1}{2 N}_{i}^{x} \hat{n}_{i} ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the vector $\hat{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is a unit vector in the direction of the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ arrow. In the them odynam ic lim it the polarization $w$ ill be zero above the critical tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$, and non-zero below it w ith a direction either upwards and to the right, or dow nw ards and to the left, and a m agnitude which approaches unity as T ! 0 .

A nother widely-studied energetic ice m odel is the so-called F m odel [4], in which vertioes 5 and 6 in $F$ igure 1 are given a lower energy and all the others are given energy zero. Thism odelhas a ground state in which vertioes 5 and 6 altemate in a checkerboard pattem across the lattioe. T here are again tw o possible such ground states, depending on which type ofvertex falls on the even sites of the checkerboard and which on the odd, and there is a sym m etry breaking phase transition from the high-tem perature phase in which the two vertioes fallon even and odd sites w th equalprobability. Since neither vertex 5 nor vertex 6 possesses any net polarization, the value of $P$ is zero in the therm odynam ic lim it for the F m odel, regardless of tem perature. H ow ever, one can de ne an anti-ferroelectric order param eter which does becom e nonzero in the low -tem perature phase [1,2].

A third energetic ioe $m$ odelwhich has attracted som e attention recently is the staggered, body-centred solid-on-solid (BC SO S) m odel [16,17]. In this m odel the square lattice is divided into even and odd sites and the vertex types are divided into three groups. On even lattice sites vertioes of types 1 and 2 have energy and type 3 and 4 have energy ${ }^{0}$, on odd lattioe sites and 0 are reversed, and vertioes of types 5 and 6 have energy zero everyw here. The values of and ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ ay be either positive or negative. In the height representation described in Section 22 this model is believed to described roughening

Figure 10 Sym m etric vertices becom e non-sym $m$ etric if a loop passes through them (a). N on-sym m etric vertioes stay non-sym $m$ etric if the loop through them goes straight through (b), but becom e sym $m$ etric if the loop $m$ akes a tum (c).
transitions in certain ionic crystals w ith the C sC l structure.
7.1 M onte C arlo algorithm s for energetic ice m odels

In Section 6 we developed a variety of elem entary ergodic m oves for sam pling the states of ice models on square lattices, and showed how these could be used to create $M$ onte C arlo algorithm sfor the square ice model, in which all states have the sam e energy. W e can use the sam e sets of elem entary $m$ oves to create $M$ onte C arlo algorithm s for the energetic ioe models as well. T he sim plest $m$ ethod is to em ploy a $M$ etropolistype schem e in which instead of alw ays carrying out every m ove generated by the algorithm, we carry them out w ith an acceptance probability $P$ which depends on the energy di erence $E=E \quad E \quad$ between the states and of the system before and after the m ove:

$$
P=\begin{array}{ll}
\left(e^{E}\right. & \text { if } E>0  \tag{10}\\
1 & \text { otherw ise. }
\end{array}
$$

H ere we give exam ples of algorithm $s$ for the $F \mathrm{~m}$ odel, but the sam e ideas can easily be adapted for use w ith other energetic ioe m odels.

T he H am iltonian of the F m odel is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=X_{i}\left(v_{i} ; 5+v_{i} ; 6\right) ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{i}$ is a num ber corresponding to the type of vertex at site $i$, using the num bering schem e illustrated in $F$ igure 1.

Let us rst consider algorithm $s$ in which the proposed $m$ oves involve reversing the directions of the arrow s around a loop on the lattice, as in the long and short loop algorithm s of Sections 3 and 4. For these m oves the only vertioes which change type (and hence energy) are those which the loop passes through. A $s$ is show $n$ in $F$ igure 7.1, a sym m etric vertex (type 5 or 6) alw ays becom es non-sym $m$ etric if the loop passes through it, thereby increasing the total energy. If the loop passes straight through a non-sym $m$ etric vertex, the vertex rem ains non-sym $m$ etric and its energy is unchanged. On the other hand, if the loop $m$ akes a tum as it passes through a non-sym $m$ etric vertex, the vertex becom es sym m etric and the energy decreases. T hus, given a particular loop, we can calculate the value of $E$ by counting the number $m$ of sym $m$ etric vertices which the loop passes through and the number $n$ of non-sym m etric vertioes in which it m akes a 90 tum, and applying the form ula

$$
E=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
m & n \tag{12}
\end{array}\right):
$$

The density of sym $m$ etric vertioes in the $F \mathrm{~m}$ odel increases $w$ ith decreasing tem perature, so that the average num ber of sym $m$ etric vertioes through which a loop passes grows as we go to lower tem peratures. Since each sym m etric vertex which we pass adds an am ount to E , 迆 is clear that loop m oves w ill carry an energy cost which increases w ith their length and that long loops w ill be very energetically costly, especially at low tem peratures. T his suggests that the short loop algorithm of Section 4 w illbe m ore e cient for the simulation of the F m odel at nite tem perature. In Figure 11 we show the correlation time steps $m$ easured in $M$ onte C arlo steps for this algorithm, and the best $t$ to these data gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { steps } \quad L^{2: 0}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $s$ w ith square ice, the num ber of sites updated by a single $M$ onte $C$ arlo step tends to a constant for large lattioes, so that the correlation tim e in steps per site is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}^{2: 0} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{0}}{\mathrm{~L}^{2}}=\mathrm{L}^{0}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To the accuracy of our sim ulations then, this algorithm has a zero dynam ic exponent. H ow ever, it tums out that this algorithm is still quite ine cient for tem peratures in the region of the critical tem perature and below. For exam ple at $T_{c}$ the acceptance ratio is $36 \%$ so that nearly two thirds of the com putationale ort is wasted. For th is reason we have investigated a num ber of other algorithm s for sim ulating the F m odel.


Figure 11 The correlation tim $e$ steps of the short loop algorithm for the $F$ m odelm easured in M onte C arlo steps, as a function of system size. T he best t straight line gives steps $\mathrm{L}^{2: 00} 0: 09$.

H ow can we increase the acceptance ratio of our M onte C arlo algorithm ? W e w ould like to propose $m$ oves that are less likely to cost energy. For exam ple, if we can encourage the loop to $m$ ake tums in non-sym $m$ etric vertices, we will on average end up w th a lower nalenergy, since a reversal of the arrow s around the loop w ill create $m$ ore sym $m$ etric vertices. U nfortunately, it tums out to be quite com plicated to form ulate a correct algorithm along these lines, and the expression for the acceptance ratio becom es quite tedious. There is how ever an elegant altemative, which is to em ploy a three-colouring algorithm of the type discussed in Section 5.

The equivalent of a sym $m$ etric vertex in the three-oolouring $m$ odel is a group of four squares in which both of the diagonally opposing pairs share the sam e colour. In non-sym $m$ etric vertioes only one of these two diagonal pairs share the sam e colour. M aking use of this observation we can w rite the $H$ am iltonian
of the $F \cdot m$ odel (Equation (11)) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.H={\underset{[i ; j]}{x}}_{\left(c_{i} ; c_{j}\right.} \frac{1}{2}\right)=N \quad \underbrace{c_{i} c_{j} ;}_{[i ; j]} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum $m$ ation runs over all pairs of next-nearest-neighbour squares $[i ; j]$, and $C_{i}$ is the colour of square i.W ese that it is energetically favourable to have pairs of next-nearest-neighbour squares with an identical colour. W e can $m$ ake use of this observation to create an e cient algorithm for the threecolouring $m$ odel. In this algorithm, as in the algorithm $s$ for square ice discussed in Section 5, we build clusters ofnearest-neighbourplaquets oftw o colours, but now in addition, we also add to the cluster next-nearest-neighbour plaquets as well. In detail our algorithm is as follow s:
(1) W e choose a plaquet at random from the lattioe as the seed square for the cluster. Suppose that this plaquet has colour A.
(2) W e choose another colour $\mathrm{B} \in \mathrm{A}$ at random from the two other possibilities.
(3) Starting from our seed square, we form a cluster by adding all nearestneighbour squares w hich have either colour A orcolour B, and in addition we now also add to the cluster the squares which are next-nearest neighbours of som e square iw hich is already in the chuster, provided they have the sam e colour as square i. H ow ever, we $m$ ake this latter addition $w$ ith a tem perature-dependent probability < 1, whose value we calculate below in order to satisfy the condition ofdetailed balanœ.W e go on adding squares to the cluster in this way until no m ore additions are possible.
(4) The colours A and B of all sites in the cluster are exchanged.

W e can also $m$ ake a full-lattioe version of this algorithm in exactly the sam e w ay as for the square ice case. W e choose tw o colours A and B at random and create clusters all over the lattice from these two, using the $m$ ethod above.

It is straightforw ard to prove ergodicity for these algorithm s. Since our threecolouring algorithm s for square ice were ergodic (see Section 5), and since each $m$ ove in the square ige algorithm $s$ is also a possible $m$ ove in our $F m$ odel algorithm (as long as < 1), the result follow s im m ediately.

D etailed balance is a little m ore tridky. W e outline the argum ent here for the single-cluster version of the algorithm. A s before, consider two states and
which di er by the exchange of colours in a single cluster of $m$ squares. The probability of choosing the seed square in this cluster is $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{N}$ and the probability that we choose the correct second colour to create this particular
cluster is $\frac{1}{2}$, just as in the square ige case. H ow ever, we now also have a factor of for every square which we add to the cluster which is only a next-nearest neighbour of another and not a nearest neighbour. A nd we have a factor of 1 for every such site which we could have added but didn't. T hus the overall probability of $m$ aking the $m$ ove from to is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(!)=\frac{m}{2 N}_{[i ; j]_{\text {con }}}^{Y} \sum_{[i ; j]_{d i s}}^{Y} \quad(1 \quad)^{\left.\left(c_{1}^{( }\right) ; c_{j}^{( }\right)} \text {; } \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the two products run over pairs of next-nearest neighbours which are connected to or disconnected from the cluster respectively. We will nd it easier to work w ith the logarithm of this probability:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log P(!)=\quad \log (m=2 N)+\log \quad X \quad 1 \\
& +\log (1)_{[i ; j]_{d} \text { is }}^{[i ; j]_{\text {con }}}\left(c_{i}^{(1)} ; c_{j}^{(1)}\right): \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression for $\log P(!)$ is identical except for the exchange of the labels and .

W e want to know the ratio of the probabilities for the forw ard and reverse m oves:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{P(!\quad)}{P(!\quad)}=\log (1 \quad)_{[i ; j]_{d i s}}^{X}\left(c_{i}^{(1)} ; c_{j}^{(1)}\right) \quad\left(c_{i}^{(1)} ; c_{j}^{(1)}\right): \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy di erence $E$ between states and is equal to tim es the change in the num ber of identically coloured next-nearest-neighbour squares (see Equation (15)). The only contribution to this sum com es from next-nearest-neighbour pairs [ $i ; j$ ] such that ibelongs to the cluster and $j$ does not, since all other pairs contribute the sam e am ount to the H am iltonian in state as in state. T hus

In order to satisfy the condition of detailed balance we want the ratio of the rates $P(!)$ and $P(!)$ to be equal to the ratio $\exp (E)$ of the Boltzm ann weights of the two states. Com paring Equations (18) and (19), we


Figure 12 Sample con gurations of the $F \mathrm{~m}$ odel for increasing. G rey squares denote vertioes of types $1,2,3$, and $4 . \mathrm{W}$ hite vertioes denote either vertices of type 5 on even lattice sites, or vertioes of type 6 on odd lattice sites. O ther vertioes are black. Top row : $=_{c}=0.5,0.8$, and 0.9. B ottom row : $={ }_{c}=1.0,1.1$, and 12 .
see that this can be arranged by setting $\log (1 \quad)=$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1 \quad e \quad: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he proofofdetailed balance for the fill-lattioe version of the algorithm follow s from the single-cluster version just as in the case of the square ine $m$ odel.

In $F$ igure 12 we show som e results of sim ulations of the $F \mathrm{~m}$ odel using the full-lattioe version of the algorithm described above. In this gure we have coloured areas of the two low energy dom ains (checkerboards of sym $m$ etric vertices) in black and white| type 5 vertioes on even lattige sites and type 6 vertioes on odd lattioe sites are black, while type 6 vertioes on even lattioe sites and type 5 vertices on odd lattioe sites are white. All other vertices are in grey.

The phase transition is clearly visible in the gure as a change from a state in which black and white appear w ith equal frequency to one in which one or the other dom inates. A nalytically it is known that this transition takes place at $T_{C}=\ln 2$. This number is rather di cult to $m$ easure num erically how -


Figure 13 The correlation tim e steps of the full-lattice three-oolouring algorithm for the F m odelm easured in M onte C arlo steps as a function of system size. The best $t$ straight line gives steps $L^{0: 005 ~ 0: 022}$.
ever, since the phase transition is of in nite order; no $m$ atter how often you di erentiate the energy or the density of sym $m$ etric vertioes $w$ ith respect to tem perature, you w ill not see a singularity. N onetheless there is a phase transition. For instance, the absolute value of the di erence in density of bladk and white squares on an in nite lattioe is strictly zero above the critical tem perature, while non-zero below, ruling out any analytic behaviour.

The full-lattice three-oolouring algorithm does quite well at sim ulating the F m odel, even at the critical tem perature. T here is no $m$ easurable increase in the correlation time in num ber of lattice sweeps w ith system size at $T_{c}$; our best estim ate of the dynam ic exponent is $z=0: 005 \quad 0: 022$.

Because of the in nite order of the phase transition in the $F$ m odel we cannot de ne critical exponents in the norm al fashion which describe power-law behaviour of the order param eters as we approach criticality. H ow ever, there


Figure 14 The probability $P_{1}$ that a site is visited by the longest loop, as a function of system size $L$, for the $F \mathrm{~m}$ odel at critical tem perature. At critical tem perature we nd that $P_{1}$ L 0:270 0:002 , which is very close the exponent $m$ easured in the case of square ice (Section 6.4).
are a num ber of non-trivial exponents goveming the behaviour of the $m$ odel at the critical tem perature. A s noted previously, the con gurations of an ice m odel on a square lattice can be represented as sets of closed loops covering the entire lattioe, and the F m odel corresponds to such a loop-covered system in which the loops have \sti ness": sym m etric vertioes correspond to straight segm ents of the loop and are energetically favoured in the F m odel. U sing our full-lattice three-colouring algorithm we have m easured the probability $P_{1}$ that a site is visited by the largest loop in this representation of the $m$ odel, just as we did for square ioe in Section 6.4. The results are presented in $F$ igure 14. At the critical tem perature, the data are well tted by a power law with an exponent of $0: 27$, very close to the value in the square ice case, indicating that introduction of sti ness to the loops does not signi cantly in uence the value of this exponent.


Figure 15 The probabilly $C_{1}$ that a site is part of the largest cluster, as a function of system size $L$, for the $F \mathrm{~m}$ odel at critical tem perature.

W e have also used our M onte Carlo algorithm to $m$ easure as a function of system size $L$ the probability $C_{1}$ that at $T_{C}$ a given site is part of the largest (percolating) chuster of nearest-neighbour sym m etric vertices. The results are shown in Figure 15. Interestingly, there is no clear power-law behaviour in these data, despite the fact that the $m$ easurem ents were $m$ ade at $T_{c}$. $P$ ossibly this is result of strong nite-size e ects in this system. Below the critical tem perature by contrast, the largest cluster is com pact and scales as $\mathrm{L}^{2}$.

8 C onclusions

W e have described a num ber of $M$ onte $C$ arlo algorithm $s$ for sim ulating ioe m odels. O ne of them, the full-lattice three-colouring algorithm, is apparently able to sim ulate the F m odelw ithout critical slow ing down.

U sing these algorithm S , we have determ ined several exponents goveming non-
local quantities in square ice and the F m odel. We nd that in square ioe, the average num ber of steps taken by a defect before it retums to its starting point scales as $L^{1: 67}$. The probability that a site belongs to the largest loop in the loop representation of the $m$ odel scales as $L^{0: 25}$. In the $F m$ odel, the probability of belonging to largest loop scales w ith a very sim ilar exponent $L^{0.27}$, although the prefactor is di erent.
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