# W ave localization in generalized Thue-M orse superlattices with disorder

Lev I.Deych, D.Zaslavsky, and A.A.Lisyansky Department of Physics, Queens College of City University of New York, Flushing, NY 11367 (March 23, 2024)

# Abstract

In order to study an in uence of correlations on the localization properties of classical waves in random superlattices we introduce a generalized random Thue-M orse m odel as a four-state M arkov process with two parameters that determ ine probabilities of di erent con gurations. It is shown that correlations can bring about a considerable change in the transm ission properties of the structures and in the localization characteristics of states at di erent frequencies.

Typeset using REVT<sub>E</sub>X

### I. IN TRODUCTION

The e ects of correlation on localization properties of electrons and classical waves in one dimensional disordered systems has recently attracted a great deal of attention. For the canonicalAnderson model [1] with uncorrelated diagonal disorder, it is a well established fact that alm ost all states in 1-D system s are localized, ensuring the absence of transport through such system s. Correlation between, for example, random values of energy at di erent sites was proven to change this situation dram atically. This was shown for the st time in Ref. [2], where the so called random dimermodel was introduced. In this model, the same value of energy was random ly assigned to pairs of consecutive sites, which introduced \rigid" correlations between energies at consecutive sites. It was shown that in such a model N, where N is a number of sites, states remain delocalized. These delocalized states appear in the vicinity of certain resonant values of energy. The random dimer model is in some aspects analogous to classical wave propagation through a random superlattice constructed from dierent layers with xed thickness stacked at random. It was shown in Ref.  $\beta$ {5] that in the superlattice with two random ly positioned layers there exist two resonance frequencies at which the transm issivity of the system becomes equal to one. In both random dimer and random superlattice models, the dimers or layers them selves were assumed to be distributed random by without correlation. It is interesting, however, to study how some additional correlations between di erent blocks of these models a ects the localization properties. For the dimermodel this question was addressed in Ref. [6{8]. The rst of these papers dealt with the e ects of them ally induced correlations on the localization length of a random dimer harm onic chain. In Ref. [7], a dependence of the localization length upon the correlation radius of a Markov sequence of the product of random matrices was studied, and uctuations of the Lyapunov exponent in the system of nite size were investigated in Ref. [8].

The transmission coe cient and localization length of acoustic waves in random correlated superlattices were considered in Ref. [9]. Correlations in the latter paper were introduced by constructing the superlattice according to three di erent M arkov processes. The H endricks-Teller m odel (HT), the random ized M arkov versions of F ibonacci, and T hue-M orse (TM) sequences were considered. The H endricks-Teller m odel is a version of a dichotom ous process, which is known to result in stochastic structure with an exponential correlation function. The m ain feature of F ibonacci and TM superlattices com pared to the HT m odel is the presence of short-range order. It was found in Ref. [9] that the frequency dependence of the transmission coe cient is quantitatively di erent for the rst two m odels and the last one. O ne can assume that this di erence is due to the di erence in short-range structure of the system s.

In the present paper, we proceed with a detailed study of the e ects of the short-range correlations on the localization properties of 1-D random systems. For the sake of concreteness, we deal with scalar wave propagation through a random superlattice. Our results, however, can be applied to dimer models as well. We consider a random superlattice constructed from two layers A and B with di erent characteristics (dielectric constants, for instance, in the case of electrom agnetic wave propagation) stacked at random according to the rules described in the rst section of the paper. These rules introduce a generalized M arkov Thue-M orse model. This model can be reduced to the canonical random TM m odel considered in Ref. [9] by selecting proper probabilities. Our m odel can also be reduced to

the HT model with exponential correlations, so we will be able to investigate an interplay between \soft" exponential correlations and a more \rigid" short-range order introduced by Thue-Morse-like rules.

### II. THE MODEL AND ITS STATISTICAL PROPERTIES.

We consider the propagation of classical waves through one-dimensional random media. This model corresponds to propagation of elastic or electrom agnetic waves through a layered medium which is random in the direction of propagation of waves and hom ogeneous in the transversal direction. For the case of norm al incidence, the vector nature of waves can be neglected since no conversion between di erent polarizations occurs and one can consider the scalar wave equation:

$$\frac{d^2 E}{dx^2} + k_0^2 (x) = 0; (1)$$

where  $k_0 = !=c$  is the wave vector of the wave with the frequency ! propagating with speed c in a hom ogeneous medium surrounding a disordered material. The parameter (x) describes a superlattice composed of two di erent layers with the same thickness d, so that

(x) takes two di erent values 1 and 2 for each of the layers. These layers are stacked together at random according to the following rules. If a layer is the stone in a sequence of sim ilar layers then the probability for the second like layer to appear is p. If two like layers already appear in a sequence the probability for the third consecutive like layer to occur is equal to q. These rules introduce a four-state M arkov process with the following conditional probabilities: P(AB jB) = P(BA jA) = p; P(AA jA) = P(BB jB) = q. The conventional TM Markov superlattice considered in [9] corresponds to p = 1=2; q = 0. This choice of parameters p and q \forbids" the occurrence of blocks of the same layers with a length of more than two. Another interesting realization of this model which in a sense is opposite to the TM model, arises if one takes p = 1 and q = 1=2. In this case blocks with the length less than 2 are forbidden. One should not confuse, however, this case with a simple dimerization of layers. In the latter case only blocks with even numbers of like layers can appear, which is obviously equivalent to doubling of layers' thicknesses. In the model proposed here blocks with odd number of like layers and blocks with even num bers of layers can occur. For p = q the model reproduces the properties of the so called dichotom ous process (two-state M arkov process) with p being the transition probability from one state to another. This is proven to result in a exponential correlation function with the correlation length  $l_{exp} = (\ln \frac{1}{2}p - 1)^1$ . Hence, we can conclude that the proposed model exhibits two kinds of correlations. First, we have short-range correlations determ ined by the speci c short-range order presum ed in the model with xed correlation length  $\frac{1}{2} = 2d_{\mu}$ and with the degree of correlation being proportional to the di erence jp q j. For  $q \neq 1=2$ we have exponentially decreasing correlations of the HT kind. Figs. 1 (a-c) present the results of num erical calculations of the correlation function  $K(r_1 = p) = h(r_1)(r_2)i = h(r_1)i$ where angle brackets denote averaging over di erent realizations of the random function (r). For num erical averaging we use 10,000 realizations of a superlattice constructed in accord with the rules described above. Calculations were carried out for superlattices of

di erent lengths and with di erent choices of the starting point. We found that correlation

functions do not depend upon the size of the system or upon the starting point. The inserts in these gures present the Fourier transform s S (q) of the corresponding correlation functions. These results demonstrate that the system indeed has both short-range and long-range correlations.<sup>1</sup> Short-range correlations at the scale of several thicknesses of a layer cause oscillations of the correlation functions and corresponding maxim a on their Fourier transform s. In the system with short-range correlations only the function S (q) takes zero value at q = 0 [Fig. 1 (a)]. These correlations disappear when jp q j! 0. Long-range correlations exist for  $q \in 1=2$  only and are responsible for the exponential tail of the correlation functions. They reduce them axim um of the function S (q) and cause its sm oother decrease for larger q [Fig. 1 (b)]. At q < 1=2 these correlations are actually \anticorrelations" since they favor the appearance of of di erent blocks at adjacent positions. Two extrem e cases with p = 1, q = 0 and p = 0, q = 1 correspond to fully ordered periodic structures with periods 2d and d, respectively. The case q = 1 results in hom ogeneous structures consisting of one type of block only. It can be either block A or B; whichever block occurs rst.

Localization properties of a wave propagating through a random superlattice are determ ined by scattering from interfaces between blocks of the same layers. Therefore, an important statistical characteristic of the system relevant to wave propagation is the distribution of block lengths P (n); where n is the number of layers of the same kind constituting a block, and P is the probability of nding a block of length n. For ourm odel, this function can be shown to be

$$P(1) = 1 p$$
  
 $P(n) = p(1 q)\dot{q}^{2}$  for 2 (2)

In the extrem e case p = 1, q = 1=2, Eq. (2) takes the form P (1) = 0, P (n) =  $(1=2)^{n-1}$ , n > 1, which is quite similar to the result for an uncorrelated superlattice P (n) =  $(1=2)^{n}$ . We show, however, that a seem ingly small discrepancy between these two distributions results in a considerable qualitative di erence between localization properties of waves propagating in corresponding media. The average lengths of A and B blocks hD<sub>A,B</sub> i are equal to each other,

$$hD_{A}i = hD_{B}i = d\frac{1+p}{1-q};$$
(3)

where the total length of the system is assumed to be in nite. This expression diverges at q! 1 which merely recets the fact that at q=1 the entire superlattice is composed of the same blocks, so the average length of this block is equal to the total length of the system assumed to be in nite.

## III.AVERAGE TRANSM ISSION RATE AND LYAPUNOV EXPONENT

In order to simulate wave propagation through the system, we make use of the transfermatrix method. A transfermatrix connects an amplitude  $E_n$  and its rst derivative  $E_n^0 =$ 

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>W$  e use the term \long-range correlations" to refer to exponential correlations, which can have a correlation radius larger than the layer's thikness.

dE = dx of the eld in nth slab with the corresponding values in the (n + 1)th slab:

$$u_{n+1} = T_n u_n; (4)$$

where  $u_n$  is a vector with components  $E_n$  and  $E_n^0$ , and  $T_n$  is the transferm atrix determined as follows:

$$T_{n} = \frac{\cos(k_{n}d)}{k_{n}\sin(k_{n}d)} \frac{\frac{1}{k_{n}}\sin(k_{n}d)}{\cos(k_{n}d)}^{!};$$
(5)

where  $k_n = k_0^{p} \frac{1}{n}$  is a wave number in nth layer. The transmission coe cient T is determined by the equation:

$$u_{N} = \hat{T}_{N} u_{0};$$

where

$$u_N = \frac{t}{itk_0}$$

describes a wave transmitted through the superlattice, and

$$u_0 = \frac{1+r}{irk_0}$$

corresponds to incident and relected waves. The transmission rate is delined according to  $T = jt \hat{j}$ . The matrix  $\hat{T}_N$  is the product of all T-matrices corresponding to each layer:

$$\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathrm{N}} = \overset{\mathbf{Y}}{\underset{1}{\overset{}}} \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{i}}:$$

The Lyapunov exponent is determined according to

$$=\lim_{N \downarrow 1} \ln \hat{T}_{N}$$
(6)

and is known to be a self-averaging quantity in the limit of an in nite system. For a system of a nite size this is a random variable. To characterize the statistical properties of the Lyapunov exponent one can use its statistical momenta, such as a mean value, mean-rootsquare uctuations, ets. [5]. Another approach exploited in Ref. [8] uses the generalized Lyapunov exponent.

O ur analytical calculations utilize the approach developed in Ref. [5]. The authors of this work established a useful relationship between the backscattering rate of waves, (L;!), and the structure factor of a superlattice,  $I_s$  (!):

$$(L;!) = \frac{2R^2}{hD_A i + hD_B i} I_s (L;!):$$
(7)

The structure factor in an in nite system is given by the following expression

where  $"_{A} = hexp(2idk_{A}n_{A})i$  and  $"_{B} = hexp(2idk_{B}n_{B})i$  and averaging is carried out over the distribution P (n) of thicknesses of corresponding blocks given by Eq. (2). It is straightforward to show that for this distribution

where j = A; B. Frequencies, for which  $2dk_j = 2$  n; n = 0; 1; 2:::, correspond to the resonant transmission with T = 1 in a system of any size. These frequencies are present in a system with any type of statistical distribution of layers, therefore we will call them fundam ental resonances. The short-range correlations, which occur when  $p \notin q$ , bring about new characteristic frequencies associated with the term  $exp(4idk_j)$ . We will see later that these frequencies actually manifest them selves as some additional maxima on frequency dependences of the localization length and the transmissivity. The elect of this term is the most prominent for p = 1. The expression for  $I_s$  (!) in the general case is rather cum bersom elected so that we only show it for the special case p = 1, q = 1=2:

$$I_{s} = \frac{4(1 \cos 2k_{A} d)(1 \cos 2k_{B} d)(5 + 2\cos 2k_{A} d + 2\cos 2k_{B} d)}{j4 2\exp(2k_{A} d) 2\exp(2k_{B} d) + \exp[2k_{A} d + k_{B} d] \exp[4k_{A} + k_{B} d]^{2}};$$
(10)

The backscattering length (!) was shown in Ref. [5] to determ ine the Lyapunov coe – cient, (!):

$$=\frac{1}{2!(!)}$$
: (11)

W ith the localization length found one can calculate the average transmission rate, uctuations of the transmission and other relevant characteristics [4,5]. In Figs. 2 and 3 we present results of num erical and analytical calculations for the average transmission coef-

cient and the Lyapunov exponent for di erent kinds of superlattice. For simulations we used superlattices with 300 layers and the ratio between layer parameters  $_{A} = _{B} = 12$ . We averaged over 500 di erent random ly chosen realizations of the system . Figs. 2 (a) and 2 (b) show the average transmission for uncorrelated and HT superlattices, respectively. They reproduce results of R ef. [4,5,9]. Fig. 2 (c) presents the frequency dependence of the average transmission for our model with \rigid" short-range correlation p = 1, and with no exponential correlation q = 1=2. O ne can see that the average transmission reacts sharply on the short-range order: new maxim a appear between fundamental resonance frequencies. The TM M arkov superlattice also results in some structure in the frequency dependence of the average transmission rate [9]. However, the magnitude of the transmission at these new maxim a for TM case is negligible for the lattice com pounded of 300 layers. The authors the R ef. [9] used a system with only 64 layers in their calculations. Therefore in order to com pare e ects of di erent kinds of short-range order we show in Fig. 2 (d) results of calculations of average transmission coe cient for the TM superlattice and ourm odel with p = 1, q = 1=2.

for the system with 64 layers. This drastic decrease in transmission rate for TM model is obviously due to the sharp increase of scattering interfaces in it compared to our situation.

Fig. 2 (e) presents the average transm ission for the case when both short-range and exponential correlations are present (p = 1, q = 0.8). Such correlations favor like blocks stacked together, therefore we observe an overall increase of the average transm ission in accord with results for the HT m odel [Fig. 2 (b)]. At the same time these correlations a sect the shape of the dependence di erently for di erent values of frequency. For frequencies below the

rst fundamental resonances, the general shape of the maximum is not changed, while the split maxima between the rst and the second resonances is replaced by a smooth single maximum. This dierence rejects the fact that a correlation radius of exponential correlations becomes an additional length scale in the system. Because of this, the behavior of the transmission as well as other characteristics should be dierent for wavelengths greater and smaller than the correlation radius. For larger wavelengths, the inhom ogeneities associated with the exponential correlations tend to be averaged out and do not a ect the system considerably. For shorter wavelengths, these inhom ogeneities become more important and wash out some features caused by short-range correlations.

Figs. 3 (a-d) present the frequency dependence of the Lyapunov coe cient for di erent situations shown in Figs. 2. One can see that a strong increase of the average transm ission rejects the corrisponding increase of the localization length, <sup>1</sup>. It was shown in Ref. [5] that there exist a universal critical value of the average transm ission, hT i<sub>cr</sub> = 0.26, which separates localized states from expanded states in system s with a nite length. For states with hT i < hT i<sub>cr</sub> the localization length is less than the length of the system, and the corresponding states are localized. In the reverse situation states are extended. One can see from the results presented that short-range correlations of the TM type do not support delocalization while the structure with p = 1, q = 1=2 allows delocalized states at frequencies inside forbidden bands of the structure with no correlations. These new localized states are di erent, of course, from states at fundam ental resonance frequencies because they do not survive in in nite system s. At the same time these states contribute considerably to transport properties of nite, yet m acroscopic system s.

# IV.FLUCTUATION PROPERTIES OF THE TRANSM ISSION RATE AND LYAPUNOV EXPONENT

In this section we consider the e ect of correlations on uctuation properties of the transm ission rate and the Lyapunov exponent. Scaling properties of the distribution of the transm ission rate were studied in Ref. [5]. These properties are known to be universal in a sense that their dependence upon scaling parameter t = L, where L is a length of the system, remains the same for any kind of structure of a superlattice. The distribution function of the transm ission rate, W (z;t), where z = 1=T, is determined as follows [10]:

W (z;t) = 
$$\frac{2}{p-\frac{1}{t^3}} \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \frac{x}{\cosh^2 x} \exp \left[ (t-4 + x^2-t)\right] dx$$
:

For well localized states with t 1 this distribution reduces to the normal distribution for  $\ln T^{-1}$  with a mean value equal to the Lyapunov exponent and a standard deviation equal to

 $2^{p}$  t [10]. Transition between extended and localized states was investigated in Ref. [5]. The authors of R ef. [5] suggested that t = 2 is the boundary between the extended and localized regimes since at this point the average localization length becomes equal to the size of the superlattice. It can be shown, however, that the mean square uctuation of the localization length at this point is also equal to the size of the system. Therefore, the uctuations of bcalization length wash out a distinctive boundary between these two regimes at t = 2. At the same time, one can notice that relative uctuations of the transmission coe cient show a sharp increase when average transm ission becomes approxim ately two times smaller than its value at t = 2 [Fig. 4(b) in Ref. [4,5]]. Based upon this observation, we not that it is interesting to consider the scaling behavior of this parameter. Its dependence upon the scaling parameter t obtained by simulations along with the results of the corresponding theoretical calculations is shown in Fig. 4 (a). We would like to point out at a sharp increase in relative uctuations of the transmission at t 5 6. It can be seen as an increase in the slope of the averaged curve but also as a drastic increase of scattering of points in the num erical experim ent. A ctually, in order to obtain a more or less smooth line in the region t > 5 we had to increase the number of realizations for averaging from 200 for the region t < 5 to 2000 for t > 5. Fig. 4(b) presents the same dependence with smaller number of averaging equal to 200. At t > 2 the uctuations of localization length become sm aller than the system's size, and localized states begin to contribute m ore distinctively to such characteristics as the relative uctuations of the transmission rate. One can conclude, therefore, that a sharp change in the behavior of relative uctuations of transmission at 5 can be attributed to the transition between extended and localized regimes in a nite t sample.

The universal relations described above do not in ply, how ever, that localization properties of individual states at diment frequencies are also universal. Below we present results of our study of uctuation properties of localization lengths at some characteristics frequencies of the system. We are primarily interested in a dependence of these properties upon the correlation characteristics of the system. In order to study this problem, we mst is the probability q = 1=2 and consider the dependence of the Lyapunov parameter upon the probability p. This choice of parameters allows one to study the inmune of the short-range structure in which exponential correlations are absent. The value p = 0 leads to periodical ordering of the layers with the period equal to 2d, p = 1=2 describes the system without correlations, and p = 1 leads to the structure opposite to the TM model as was explained in the previous section. As reference frequencies we consider  $k = 1.45k_0$  and  $k = 3.9k_0$ , where  $k_0$  corresponds to the vacuum. In the system without correlations, these frequencies are positioned in the middle of forbidden bands, becoming resonance frequencies at p = 1(see Fig. 2).

Fig. 5 present results of computer simulations of the Lyapunov exponent versus the probability parameter p along with theoretical curves based upon Eq. (9). It is seen that the Lyapunov exponent at these frequencies demonstrates qualitatively dimension. The Lyapunov exponent at  $k = 1.45k_0$  shows a monotonic decrease with an increase of the parameter p, while at  $k = 3.9k_0$  it exhibits a nonmonotonic behavior with the minimum value at approximately p = 1=2. The dimension behavior between these frequencies can be understood if one recalls that p = 0 corresponds to the periodic structure with a period of 2d. The frequency  $k = 3.9k_0$  falls into a transmission band of this periodic structure, therefore

it dem onstrates a small Lyapunov exponent when p approaches 0. At the same time the frequency  $k = 1.45k_0$  falls in a forbidden band for the periodic structure arising at p = 0, and, hence, their Lyapunov coe cients sharply increases at p ! 0. When p approaches 1 both frequencies belong to resonance regions associated with the resonance transm ission from blocks with doubled thickness of individual layers. Though the structure with p = 1 does not lead to exact doubling of all layers, it does favor such situation causing a decrease of scattering boundaries and consequently m axim a of transm ission at these frequencies. Therefore, the Lyapunov exponent at all frequencies considered decreases when p approaches 1.

M ore detailed information about states corresponding to the selected frequencies can be obtained from Figs. 6 (a,b), which presents relative uctuations of the Lyapunov exponent, =, and relative uctuations of the transmission rate, T=T, versus the probability = and big T=T for  $k = 1.45k_0$  at small values of p re ect strong parameter p. Sm all localization of the corresponding states. This is exactly what one would expect for the states arising in a forbidden gap of a nearly periodic structure. It is interesting to note, however, that an increase of the degree of a disorder associated with the increase of p does not enhance localization of the states. One can see from Figs. 6(a,b) that the state at  $k = 1.45k_0$  becomes \less" localized with increasing p. The reason for this behavior is that an increase of p destroys the periodicity of the structure washing out its forbidden gaps and weakening opportunities for localization. States at other frequency show almost delocalized behavior for small p since they belong to a pass band of the periodic structure and become more localized when traces of periodicity of the structure gradually disappear aas p approaches 1=2. For p > 1=2, both frequencies behave in approximately the same way, since a memory about their di erent origin is lost in this situation.

It is interesting to note that results qualitatively similar to those presented in Fig. 5 were found in Ref. [7], though the latter paper dealt with a quite di erent model. The authors of Ref. [7] studied the e ect of \long-range" exponential correlations on localization properties of the nearest-neighbor tight-binding model with the two-state M arkov type distribution of site energies (the HT model). It was found that at the states far enough from the band edge and band center of the pure system the Lyapunov exponent exhibits behavior similar to the curve presented by squares in Fig. 5, and states at the center of the band behave similar to the second line in this gure. This similarity can be understood if one considers these two models in their extrem e realizations. We have already discussed that the state at  $k = 1.45_0$  in our model falls into the forbidden band of the periodic structure arising at p = 0. The same is valid for the states in the center of the band in Ref. [7] in the case of extrem e \anticorrelation" between adjacent values of the site energies. This similar origin causes sim ilar behavior when the structures change. The second type of the behavior is associated with states which belong to pass bands of the respective m odels, therefore they also dem onstrate sim ilar properties. The third type of behavior of the Lyapunov exponent found in Ref. [7], in which the Lyapunov exponent monotonically increases along with the Markov transition probability, does not exist in our model with the parameter q set to be equal to 1=2. The reason for this is that the second extrem e structure of Ref. [7] corresponds to an alm ost hom ogeneous structure, a situation, which can not be realized in our model with q = 1 = 2.

### V.CONCLUSION

In this paper, we carried out a detailed analysis of the e ects of correlations on localization properties of classical waves in random superlattices. The correlations between di erent layers of the superlattice were introduced within the fram ework of the generalized random Thue-M orse m odel. The statistical properties of the m odel are controlled by two parameters p and q. By changing the values of these parameters we were able to consider di erent kinds of random structures including the classical random Thue-M orse m odel and the H endricks-Teller m odel introduced in R ef. [9], structures with weak random deviations from periodicity, and others. We found that correlations between the constituent layers strongly a ect localization properties of superlattices and can lead to a great variety of transm ission patterns. This property can allow one to create superlattices with controlled rates of transm ission in di erent frequency regions.

We pointed out that relative uctuations of the transm ission rate increase sharply for a value of scaling parameter oft 5. This point can be considered as a more exact threshold between localized and extended states in nite systems instead of t = 2 suggested in Ref. [5].

W e also considered the dependence of localization properties of our m odel upon the type of short-range structure associated in the model with the probability parameter p. Since knowing the value of the Lyapunov exponent itself is not enough to determ ine wether the state considered is localized or extended, we also considered relative uctuations of this parameter along with relative uctuations of the transmission rate. These quantities are size independent and, therefore, are convenient for discussing localization properties. We found that there exist two kinds of states exhibiting di erent behavior when p changes from 0 to 1. The behavior of the states is mainly determ ined by their position in the spectrum of the determ inistic periodic structure arising at p = 0. The states from pass bands of this structure show a decrease of their localization length with an increase of p, while states from stop bands depend upon p in nonmonotonic way. For sm all values of p, the localization length increases when p increases, reaches its maximum value for p = 1=2 and for p > 1=2their dependence upon p is similar to that of other states of the system. Comparing these results and to those obtained in Ref. [7], where the tight-binding m odel with correlations of the Hendricks -Teller type was considered, shows surprising similarity between them. The general conclusion that one can draw from this comparison is that the localization properties of states in 1-D system's depends strongly upon properties of determ inistic system's, which are opposite extrem es of the random system's considered, and upon the position of the states in the spectra of these determ inistic system s, and the localization properties are less sensitive to details of the structure of a random system itself.

### ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

W ewish to thank A Z.G enack for useful comments on them anuscript. W ealso bene tted from discussions with A A.M aradudin and A R.M cG um. This work was supported by the NSF under grant No.DMR-9311605, by a CUNY collaborative grant, and by NATO Science Programm and Cooperation Partner Linkage G rant HTECH LG 960919.

# REFERENCES

[1] PW .Anderson, Phys. Rev. 109, 1492 (1958).

[2] D.H. Dunlap, H.L.Wu, and P. Phillips, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 88 (1990).

[3] A. Crisanti, J.P. hys. A: Math.Gen. 23, 5235 (1990).

[4] S. Tam ura and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. B 41, 7941 (1990).

[5] N. Nishiguchi, S. Tamura, and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. B 48, 2515 (1993).

[6] J.C. Cressoni and M.L. Lyra, Phys. Rev. B 53, 5067 (1996).

[7] A. Crisanti, G. Paladin, and A. Vulpiani, Phys. Rev. A 39, 6491 (1989).

[8] M J. de O liveira and A. Petri, Phys. Rev. E 53, 2960 (1996).

[9] N. Nishiguchi, S. Tamura, and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. B 48, 14426 (1993).

[10] A A. Abrikosov, Solid State Commun. 37, 997 (1981).

#### FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. The correlation function, K (r) = < (0) (r) >  $< ^2 >$ , for di erent types of random superlattices. The inserts represent the Fourier transforms of the functions K (r). (a) -p = 1,q = 1=2; (b) -p = 1,q = 0.6; (c) -p = q = 0.8

Fig. 2. A verage transm ission rate for di erent types of random superlattices. C incles in this gure and in all gures below present the results of computer simulations, and the solid line shows the theoretical results. (a) -p = q = 0.5 (m odel w ithout correlations); (b) -p = q = 0.8 (HT m odel); (c) -p = 1;q = 0.5 (short-range correlations only); (d) -M arkov TM m odel, p = 0.5;q = 0, (cincles) and generalized TM m odel, p = 1;q = 0.5 (squares). The number of layers is equal to 64; (e) -p = 1;q = 0.8 (short-range and exponential correlations are present).

Fig. 3. Lyapunov exponents for models presented in Figs2 (a-c,d), respectively.

Fig. 4. Relative uctuations of the transmission rate versus the scaling parameter t. Circles present the results of computing, the solid line shows the theoretical results. The num ericaldata were obtain from averaging over (a) 2000 realizations and (b) 200 realizations.

Fig. 5. The dependence of the Lyapunov coe cient versus the probability parameter p for q = 0.5. C inclus and squares show results for  $k = 1.45k_0$  and for  $k = 3.9k_0$ , respectively; solid lines present corresponding theoretical data.

Fig. 6. Relative uctuations of the transmission rate (a) and of the Lyapunov coe cient (b) versus the probability parametr p. All notations are the same as in Fig. 5.







r





















t





р





р