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A bstract
W e study localization and delocalization in a class ofnontherm itean H am irtonians inspired by the problem of vortex pinning in superconductors. In various sim pli ed models we are able to obtain analytic descriptions, in particular of the non-perturbative em ergence of a forked structure (the appearance of \wings") in the density of states. W e calculate how the localization length diverges at the localization-delocalization transition. W e m ap som e versions of this problem onto a random walker problem in two dim ensions. For a certain model, we nd an intricate structure in its density of states.
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## 1 Introduction

$N$ on-herm itean random $m$ atrix theory $\left.{ }^{[1]}\right]$ has been applied recently to a num ber of interesting physical situations. An interesting issue am ong these physical situations is the study of localization-delocalization transitions in non-herm itean random H am irtonians. The earliest discussion of localization in the context of a non-herm itean
 $m$ apped the problem of the vortex line pinning in superconductors to a problem involving a non-herm itean random H am ittonian. W hen a current is passed through a superconductor, vortex lines tend to drift in a direction perpendicular to the current, but this tendency is counteracted by im purities on which the vortex lines are pinned. It is expected that at som e critical current the vortex lines becom e unpinned or delocalized. In the sim plest $m$ odel of this problem, the physics is $m$ odelled by a quantum particle hopping on a ring, whose rightw ard (or counterclodkw ise) hopping am plitude $t^{\mathrm{h}}=2$ is di erent from its leftw ard hopping am plitude te ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}=2$. N ote that in m ay be thought of as an im aginary gauge eld. On each site of the ring is a random potential $w_{i}$ which tries to trap the particle. T he H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{W} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is thus the sum of the determ inistic non-herm itean hopping term

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0 i j}=\frac{t}{2} e^{h}{ }_{i+1 ; j}+e^{h}{ }_{i ; j+1} \quad ; \quad i ; j=1 ; \quad N \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(w ith the obvious periodic identi cation i+ N i of site indices) and the hem itean random potentialterm

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i j}=W_{i} \quad i ; j: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The num ber of sites N is understood to be tending to in nity. This problem has been studied by a num ber of authors $\left[\begin{array}{l}4 \\ \hline\end{array}, 1\right.$ the H am iltonian not only breaks parity as expected but is non-herm itean, and thus has com plex eigenvalues. It is represented by a real non-sym $m$ etric $m$ atrix, $w$ ith the reality im plying that if E is an eigenvalue, then E is also an eigenvalue.


Figure 1: T he spectnum of the H am iltonian in Eq. (1) for $h=0: 5, t=2$ and $N=400$ sites. Show $n$ here is the spectrum for one particular realization of site energies taken from a at distribution with $2 \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}} 2$. A nite fraction of eigenvalues has clearly snapped onto the real axis. (T he coordinate axes have been suppressed to $m$ ake the appearance of the snapped eigenvalues clearer.)
$W$ ith no impurities $\left(w_{i}=0\right)$ the $H$ am ittonian is im m ediately solvable by B loch's theorem w ith the eigenvahes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\operatorname{tcos}\left(\frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~N}} \quad \text { ih }\right) ; \quad(\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; \quad ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

tracing out an ellipse. The corresponding wave functions ${ }_{j}^{(n)} \quad \exp 2$ in $j=N$ are obviously extended. N ote that in the lim it of zero non-herm iticity ( $h=0$ ) the ellipse collapses to a segm ent on the real axis as expected. W ith im purities present the spectrum can be obtained by num ericalwork as was done extensively by H atano and N elson and as is shown in Fig .1.

Two \w ings" have em erged out of the tw o ends of the ellipse. Som e eigenvalues have becom e real. Evidently, the \forks" where the two wings em erge out of the
ellipse represent a non-perturbative e ect, and cannot be obtained by treating the im purities perturbatively. It is thus som ething of a challenge to obtain the tw o wings analytically. In this paper, we address this and other problem s.

A s discussed in Section 6 of [1] this behavior could be understood qualitatively by a simple example. In ordinary herm itean quantum mechanics, it is a fam iliar textbook dictum that nearby eigenvalues repel. In contrast, tw $o$ nearby eigenvalues in the com plex plane, separated along the im aginary direction, attract each other under a hem itean perturbation. To see this consider the $2 \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix

$w$ th eigenvalues are $i^{p} \overline{{ }^{2}} \mathrm{w}^{2}$. Thus, forw $<$, the originaleigenvalues $i$ attract each other, but rem ain on the im aginary axis. H owever, as soon as w, they snap onto the real axis and start repelling each other. Let us start with the ellipse in the absence of im purities. N ear each tip of the ellipse, there is a pair of eigenvalues separated slightly along the im aginary direction and lying on opposite sides of the real axis. They attract each other and thus approach the real axis, but as soon as the two \friends" arrive on the real axis, they im $m$ ediately repel each other (as well as the eigenvalue already on the realaxis.) O bviously, this process repeats itself $w$ ith the next pair of eigenvalues, and thus leads to the form ation of the w ings.
$H$ atano and $N$ elson em phasized that $H_{0}$ has a special property, nam ely that by a (non-unitary) gauge transform ation, all the non-herm iticity can be concentrated on one arbitrarily chosen bond, without changing the spectrum. This leads to an extraordinarily sim ple argum ent that the states corresponding to com plex eigenvalues are extended, that is, delocalized. Let H = E. A ssum e that is localized around some site j. W e can always gauge the non-hem ticity to a link which is located arbitrarily far away from the site $j$, where $j$ is exponentially small. Thus, if we cut the ring open at that link, the e ect on the Schrodinger equation would be exponentially small, and would vanish completely in the lim it N! 1. It follow s from this simple argum ent that if we replace the periodic boundary condition in
solving $H=E$ by an open chain boundary condition, the localized part of the spectrum of (ī1) would not be a ected. But for $H$ w ith an open chain boundary condition, the gauge transform ation just $m$ entioned $m$ ay be used to gauge aw ay the non-herm iticity com pletely, $m$ eaning that the $H$ am iltonian is in e ect herm itean $w$ ith real eigenvalues. $W$ e thus conclude that all localized eigenstates of ( $\underset{-1}{1})$ correspond to real eigenvalues (in the large $N$ lim it.) In other words, the states corresponding to com plex eigenvalues are extended, that is, delocalized.

Rem arkably, non-herm itean localization theory is sim pler in this respect than the standard herm itean localization theory of A nderson and others to্ত్ర.]. To understand the localization transition, one has to study only the density ofeigenvalues, or equivalently, the one-point $G$ reen's function, rather than the two-point $G$ reen's function.

## 2 The single im purity case

In this section our philosophy is to nd the simplest version of the hopping $m$ odel described in the Introduction which we can solve exactly, but yet $m$ anages to capture the essential physics involved, including the non-perturbative em ergence of the two \w ings" along the realaxis. The desired sim pli cation is to replace the $N$ random im purities in (-3্-) by a single im purity. W ith this sim pli cation we do not need to specify the precise form of the non-herm itean $H_{0}$ beyond assum ing that it is translationally invariant. $W$ e thus replace the $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{j}}$ in $(\underset{-1}{\overline{1}})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i ; j}=W_{i ; 1} \quad j ; 1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w$ is drawn from som e probability distribution $P$ (w ).
O ur task is to calculate the averaged $G$ reen's function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z ; z)=h \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr} \frac{1}{z H_{0} \quad W} i \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the random $H$ am iltonian $H=H_{0}+W$, from which wem ay calculate the eigenvalue density of H .

A way from the location of the spectrum of $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ in the com plex z plane we expand $(-\overline{\bar{G}})$ in powers of $1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}z & H_{0}\end{array}\right)$, and thus obtain
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}(z)=h \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr} \frac{1}{z H_{0}} i \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the $G$ reen's function of $H_{0}$. D ue to the translational invariance of $H_{0}$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{\mathrm{z} \mathrm{H}_{0}} \underset{1 ; 1}{ }=\mathrm{G}_{0}(\mathrm{z})
$$

and so

$$
\begin{align*}
G(z) & =G_{0}(z) \quad \frac{1}{N} \frac{@ G_{0}(z)}{@ z}{ }^{x^{1}} h^{k=1}{ }^{k} i\left[G_{0}(z)\right]^{k 1} \\
& =G_{0}(z) \frac{1}{N} \frac{@ G_{0}(z)}{@ z} h_{1} \frac{w}{w G_{0}(z)} i: \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

O bserve that the ect of the single im purity on the G reen's function is of order $1=\mathrm{N}$, as should be expected.

W e stress again that up to this point we did not adhere to any speci c translationally invariant $H_{0}$ nor did we specify any particular probability distribution $P$ (w) in our derivation of $(\underline{\overline{9}})$. We also note that our derivation is exact for any value of N .

For nite $N$ the singularities of $G_{0}(z)$ are isolated sim ple poles located at the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. The ect of the single im purity on any of these poles would be to $m$ ove it around in an am ount which depends on the typical scale r of the distribution $P(w)$. Thus, if $z=z_{0}$ is one of the poles of $G_{0}(z)$, we expect that for sm all values of the scale $r$, the fiull $G$ reen's fiunction $G(z) w$ ill also have a pole near $z=z_{0}$. Thus, in the vicinity of $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z}_{0}$ (ignoring all the other poles) we m ay approxim ate

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}(z) \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{z \quad z_{0}}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of ( $(\underline{1} \overline{\mathrm{O}})$ into $(\underline{\underline{9}})$ yields the sim ple result
G (z) $\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{~h} \frac{\mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{z}_{0} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~W}}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{i}$ :
Eq. (II-1י) is nothing but the result of rst order perturbation theory (after all, we ignored the e ect of all states other the eigenstate associated with $\mathrm{z}_{0}$.)

We can now understand the non-perturbative em ergence of $w$ ings under very general circum stances. Evidently, besides the \trivial" poles just mentioned, G (z) also has a pole whenever $w G_{0}(z)=1$ with $w$ in the support of $P(w)$. $W$ e have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}(z ; z)=^{z} d^{2} x^{0} \frac{0\left(x^{0} ; y^{0}\right)}{z\left(x^{0}+i y^{0}\right)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0(x ; y) \quad(1=N)^{P}$ i $\quad\left(x \quad R e E_{i}\right) \quad\left(y \quad I m ~ E_{i}\right)$ is the density of eigenvalues $E_{i}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. All we require for the follow ing discussion is that $0(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})=0(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})$. This is true for all the H am iltonians $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ considered in this paper. T hen on the (positive) real axis $G_{0}(x)$ is real, and decreasing outside the spectrum of $H_{0}$. (Indeed, it is well known that we can interpret the real and im aginary parts of $G_{0}(z ; z)$ as the electrostatic eld $E=\left(E_{x} ; E_{y}\right)=\left(\operatorname{Re} G_{0} ; I m G_{0}\right)$ generated by the charge density
0.) Thus, if the real quantity $1=w$ lies between $G_{0}\left(X_{\text {edge }}\right)$ and zero (where $\mathrm{x}_{\text {edge }}$ denotes the intersection of the edge of 0 w th the real axis), we w ill have a pole on the real axis at som ex (w).A veraging over $w$ we thus obtain $a \mathrm{w}$ ing on the positive realaxis. A sim ilar discussion can obviously be given for the negative realaxis. It is also clear that there is no solution ofw $G_{0}(z)=1$ for $z$ outside 0 and aw ay from the realaxis.

The probability distribution $P$ (w ) w illin generaldepend on som e set of param eters $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$ and for some given $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$ it is of course possible that $1=\mathrm{w}$ does not lie between $G_{0}\left(X_{\text {edge }}\right)$ and zero. Thus, for som e critical values $f r_{i}^{c} g$ there $w i l l$ be a transition at which the w ings, and hence the localized states associated w ith them, disappear.

In the N ! 1 lim it the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ becom e dense and w ill either trace out a curve in the com plex energy plane (analogous to the ellipse associated with $(\underline{4})$ ), or llout a two dim ensional region (as for exam ple, in two dim ensional hopping problem s.) We now focus our attention at the hopping $H$ am iltonian $H_{0}$ in (느) . To $m$ ake things as sim ple as possible we let the param eters in (2니) tend to the ( $m$ axim ally non-herm itean) lim it $h!1$ and $t$ ! 0 such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{te}^{\mathrm{h}}!2 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(and obviously te ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ! 0). In this lim it ( $\overline{-1}$ ) changes into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\exp \frac{2 \text { in }}{\mathrm{N}} ; \quad(\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; \quad ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1) ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the ellipse associated w ith ( $(\bar{i})$ ) expands into the unit circle. Furtherm ore, the full Schrodinger equation ( $\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{W}$ ) = E becom es sim ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{1}+2=\mathrm{E} 1 ; \quad \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{i}_{1}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s a consequence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \frac{i}{i 1} i=h E i \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we extract the localization length of the state simply as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(E) \quad \frac{1}{\operatorname{log~hE} i} \text { : } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the points where the wings join onto the circle the localization length diverges as expected.

The G reen's function associated w ith ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{4})$

$$
G_{0}(z)=\frac{1}{N}_{n=0}^{K_{X}^{1}} \frac{1}{z \quad \exp \frac{2 \text { in }}{N}}
$$

$m$ ay be approxim ated in the large $N$ lim it by

$$
G_{0}(z)=\frac{I}{2 \text { iw }} \frac{1}{(z \quad w)}=\begin{array}{cc}
\quad 0 ; & \dot{j} j<1 \\
1=z ; & \dot{z} j>1:
\end{array}
$$

Substituting this expression into ( $(\underset{-1}{-1})$ we obtain
$N$ ote that independently of $P$ ( $w$ ) the disk inside the unit circle rem ains devoid of eigenvalues. To get a hold of the correction $G(z) \quad(1=z)$ in the outer region it is instructive to carry out som e explicit calculations with particular probability distributions P (w).

A s our rst concrete exam ple, we take

$$
\left.P(w)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(w & r \tag{19}
\end{array}\right)+(w+r)\right]
$$

with som e scale r. W e then nd from (IIB) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\frac{1}{z}+\frac{r^{2}}{2 \mathrm{Nz}^{2}} \frac{1}{z r}+\frac{1}{z+r} ; \quad \dot{z} j>1: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $r>1$, then $G(z)$ has two new poles on the realaxis at $z=r$, each $w$ ith a residue $1=2 \mathrm{~N}$. The criticalvalue for $r$ to induce these tw $o$ new poles is $r_{C}=1$. The existence of this criticalvalue is a non-perturbative phenom enon (though its particular num erical value is retrospectively not surprising at all, being set by ( $(\overline{1} \overline{-})$ ).) From ( $\left(\overline{1} \overline{-1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ we nd that the localization length of the states associated with these poles is $L$ ( $r$ ) $\quad 1=\log r$, and they thus becom e extended as $r$ ! $r_{\mathrm{C}}=1$.

C onsider next the box distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(w)=\frac{1}{2 V} \quad\left(V^{2} \quad w^{2}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

forwhich

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\frac{1}{z} \quad \frac{1}{2 N V} \log \frac{z}{z+V} ; \quad \dot{k} j>1: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he eigenvalue density ( $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}$ ) (w ith $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{iy}$ ) is related to the G reen's function by the general relation [5,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y)=\frac{1}{@} \frac{@}{@} G(z ; z) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which giveșíl

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y)=\frac{1}{2 N V} \quad(y) \quad(V \quad \dot{x} \dot{y}) ; \quad \dot{z} j=\dot{x} \dot{j}>1: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the previous exam ple, non-perturbative e ects generate a critical value for $V$, nam ely $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}=1$. For $\mathrm{V}>\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}$, the density of eigenvalues develops two sym m etric $w$ ings of length $V \quad V_{C}$ such that the fraction of eigenvalues residing in these $w$ ings is $\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}}\left(1 \frac{1}{\mathrm{~V}}\right)$ : The localization length $\mathrm{L}(\mathbb{E})$ of states that reside in the $w$ ings is nite for $\ddagger j>V_{c}$ and diverges logarithm ically as $\ddagger j!V_{c}$.

A s our nal exam ple for this section we consider the Lorentzian distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(w)=-\frac{1}{w^{2}+2^{2}} ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with its long tails extending to in nity. The fraction of realizations in which an im purity potential is stronger than the unit scale set by $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{-1})$ is $(1=)$ arctan.$W e$ nd that outside the unit circle

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\frac{1}{z} \frac{i}{N z} \frac{1}{z+i} \quad(\operatorname{Im} z) \frac{1}{z \quad i} \quad(\operatorname{Im} z) ; \quad \dot{k} j>1 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus using ( $(2 \overline{3} \overline{-1})$ ) we nd that as in the previous exam ple, the density ofeigenvalues develops wings along the realenergy axis given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { wings } \left.(x ; y)=\frac{1}{N} \frac{x^{2}}{x^{2}+x^{2}} \quad 1\right) \quad(y): \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]D ue to the long tails of ( 2n $_{-1}^{-1}$ ), the $w$ ings extend to in nity, and the fraction of states that reside in them is ( $1=\mathrm{N}$ ) arctan , nam ely, the fraction of \strong" im purity realizations divided by N . N ote also that there is no critical value for , nam ely, the w ings appear for all values of .

N ote that to obtain the analog of $(\overline{9})$ in the case oftw o im purities already involves a non-trivialcom binatorial calculation involving $G_{i i} ; G_{j j}$, and $G_{i j}$, where $i$ and $j$ denote the locations of the tw o im purities. (It is clear though, that the results of this section as they stand, are still applicable to the problem with $m$ any im purities, as long as the separation between im purities is larger than the localization length.) Rem arkably, how ever, we show in the next two sections that taking the $m$ axim ally non-herm itean lim it (1] $\overline{-1}$ ) which restrict the particle to lone way" hopping, we can readily treat the generic problem ofm any im purities.

F inally, we stress that our derivation of ( $(\underset{-}{9})$ was not lim ited to one dim ensional hopping. $G$ ìven $G_{0}(z)$ for a translationally invariant $H_{0}$ in any num ber ofdim ensions, $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{z})$ as given by $(\underset{-1}{(9)})$ is still valid outside the support of the spectrum of $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. In general, the spectrum of $H_{0}$ in higher dim ensions will lla tw o dim ensional region in the com plex energy plane. In order to calculate $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z})$ in that region, and in fact, to see how that region is a ected by the im purity, we might have to resort to the $m$ ethod of H erm itization discussed in [⿶凵1.].

## 3 M axim al non-herm iticity and $m$ any im purities: \O ne W ay" m odels

In the preceding section, our exact result ( $(\overline{9})$ w as obtained for any value of the param eter $h . W$ em ay perhaps hope that we can study the $m$ any im purities problem in the
 in which the ellipse of eigenvalues becom es the circle ( $\overline{1}-\overline{-} \overline{-})$. T hus, we w ill study the case ofm axim al non-herm iticity in which H is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i j}={ }_{i+1 ; j}+w_{i} \quad \underset{i ; j}{ } ; \quad i ; j=1 ; \quad N \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

(w ith the obvious periodic identi cation i+ N i of site indices.) W e refer to this class ofm odels in which the particle only hops one way, as \one way m odels."

W e have found a partioularly sim ple exam ple in which

$$
\left.P\left(w_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(w_{i}\right. & r \tag{29}
\end{array}\right)+\left(w_{i}+r\right)\right]:
$$

W e now proceed to calculate the density of eigenvalues $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in this \one way sign m odel", using the m aster form ula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x ; y) \quad{\underset{N}{N}}_{i}^{1} \quad\left(x \quad R \in E_{i}\right) \quad\left(y \quad \operatorname{Im} E_{i}\right) i
\end{aligned}
$$

where we de ned $z=x+$ iy (a careful derivation of ( Section 2 of [1].].) It is at this point that the simpli cation associated with taking the large non-herm iticity lim it $m$ ay be appreciated: the determ inant of $z \quad H$ for $H$ in (2 $\left.2 \overline{1})^{-1}\right)$ is sim ply

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Z} & \mathrm{H}
\end{array}\right)={\underset{\mathrm{k}=1}{\mathrm{Y}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Z} & \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{31}
\end{array}\right) \quad 1}^{l}
$$

(For arbitrary $t$ and $h$ the corresponding form ula is considerably $m$ ore com plicated.) $N$ ote that ( $\left.{ }_{3} \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}\right)$ is com pletely sym $m$ etric in the $\mathrm{fw}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$, and thus, for a given set of
site energies it is independent of the w ay the im purities are arranged along the ring. A veraging over the im purities we obtain

In the $\lim$ 进 N ! 1 , the binom ial coe cient appearing in $\left(\begin{array}{l}3 \\ 2\end{array} \overline{2}\right)$ is shanply peaked as a function of $n$ around $n \quad N=2$, and thus to rst approxim ation $n_{n-1}^{121}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \log \operatorname{det}(z \quad H) i \quad \log \quad(z \quad r)^{\frac{N}{2}}(z+r)^{\frac{N}{2}} \quad 1^{i}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to calculate the density of eigenvalues we m ay now insert ( $\overline{3} \overline{3}$ ) $)$ (and its
 so and sim ply identify the branch singularities of the right hand side of ( $3 \overline{3} \overline{-1})$. T hese singularities clearly occur at

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}=\quad \frac{q}{r^{2}+e^{\frac{4 \mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{~N}}}} ; \quad \mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; \quad ; \mathrm{N}=2 ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

whidh de ne the support of the density of eigenvalues.
To sum $m$ arize, we see that the original unit circle spectrum of the determ inistic part of $(\underline{2} \overline{-1})$ is distorted by the random ness $(\underline{2} \overline{9})$ into the curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2}=r^{2}+e^{i} ; 0<2 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the com plex z plane. C learly, $r_{C}=1$ is a critical value of $r$. For $r<1$, the curve (3̄5్1) is connected, whereas for r $>1$ it breaks into tw o disjoint sym $m$ etric lobes that are located to the right and to the left of the im aginary axis. T he lobe on the right intersects the real axis at $E_{m \text { in }}=P \overline{r^{2} \quad 1}$ and at $E_{m a x}=P \overline{r^{2}+1}$. As $r$ is decreased to $r_{C}=1$ the two lobes touch at the origin and m erge into a single curve when $r$ becom es $s m$ aller than $r_{c}=1$.
 the di erent regim es $r<1 ; r=r_{C}=1$ and $r>1$, on top of the corresponding results of num erical sim ulations.

[^1]

Figure 2: The spectrum of the $H$ am iltonian in Eq. (20). The site energies are taken from ( $\overline{2} 9.1)$ for various values of $r$. $F$ igures (a), (b) and (c) correspond to $r=0: 9 ; 1$ and 1:1, respectively. T he solid curve represents Eq. (ī-

T he w idth of the scatter of the num erical results around the analytical curve can be roughly estim ated for any given $z_{0}$ on ( 3 긴) by keepping (in addition to the leading
 by steepest descent. Then the branch singularities on the right hand side of ( $\overline{3} \bar{z} \overline{\mathrm{z}})$ would have been bounded between the curves

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z^{2} & r^{2} \tag{36}
\end{array}\right) \frac{z+r}{z r}=e^{i}:
$$

(N ote added: In a forthoom ing publication [1] $\overline{1}]$, it is shown that the width of the scatter vanishes as N! 1 and that the spectrum of the $H$ am iltonian in ( $(\overline{2} \overline{8})$ is actually one dim ensional.)

## 4 M any im purities and critical transitions

In this section we push the analysis of the previous section further and replace ( $(\overline{2} \overline{9})$ by a generic probability distribution $P\left(w_{i}\right)$.
$U \operatorname{sing}$ the determ inant (3̄11) $\quad(z) \quad Q_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{N}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{z} & \left.\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\end{array} \quad 1\right.$ we write the $G$ reen's function for the \one way" H am iltonian ( $2 \overline{2} \overline{8})$ as

$$
G(z ; z)=h \frac{1}{N} \frac{@_{z}(z)}{(z)} i=h \frac{1}{N}_{k=0}^{X_{i=1}^{A}} \frac{((z))^{k}}{z W_{i}} i:
$$

Since each term in the previous equation factorizes, we nally arrive at the general form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z ; z)=h \frac{1}{z \quad \mathrm{w}} \mathrm{i} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \frac{@}{@ z}{ }_{k=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{A}}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~h} \frac{1}{\mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{w}}{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\#_{\mathrm{N}}} ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in each term we average overw against the arbitrary distribution $P$ (w). A sim -
 $m$ ay be obtained of course by deriving the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=h \frac{1}{z \quad w} i: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worthw hile to $m$ ention here that a derivation of ( $\left(\overline{2} \overline{Z_{1}}\right)$ ) by expanding in powers
 starting from any site $i$ on the chain, the term $s$ that contribute to the trace (even w ithout taking the average) are precisely those in which the particle hopped an integer num ber of com plete revolutions around the chain (which is why the averages in ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{I}} \mathbf{1}_{1}\right)$ are all raised to the power N .) This observation $m$ ay provide a physical explanation of why the determ inant ( 3n $\left._{1}^{1} 1\right)$ is com pletely sym $m$ etric in the site energies $w_{i}$ : the particle visits all sites equally as it hops, wherever the $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's are.

A s a concrete application of ( $\left.\underline{3}_{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ we now concentrate on the Lorentzian distribution $(\overline{2} \overline{-1}) P(w)=(=)\left(w^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)^{1} . W$ e have $g(z ; z)=(z+i \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{lm} z))^{1}$ and obviously

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \frac{1}{z ~ w}^{k} i=\frac{1}{z+i \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Im} z)}^{!_{k}}=(g(z ; z))^{k}: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, using ( $\overline{3} \overline{\bar{T}_{1}}$ ) we obtain the exact result

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z ; z)=\frac{g(z ; z)}{1(g(z ; z))^{N}}=\frac{(z+i \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Im} z))^{N} 1^{1}}{(z+i \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Im} z))^{N} \quad 1}: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ewould like now to calculate the density of eigenvalues. We rst investigate ( $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}$ ) ○ the realaxis. $U \operatorname{sing}(\underline{(2-3})((x ; y)=(1=)(@=@ z) G(z ; z))$ we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x ; y)=\frac{1}{(z \quad i)^{N} 1 \frac{@}{@ z} \frac{1}{(z i)^{N} \quad 1}} \\
& =\frac{1}{N}^{\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{X}^{1}}{ }^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{i} \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{z}) \quad!_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \text {; } \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

where $!_{k}=e^{2}$ ik=N , and $z \quad i$ in the rst line of $(\overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ correspond to $z$ being in the upper or low er half plane, respectively. The com plex eigenvalues are thus equally spaced along the union oftwo arcs of a circle of radius one. T he upper arc is that part of a sem icircular arc of a unit circle (centered at the origin) that rem ains in the upper half plane after being pushed a distance dow nw ard along the im aginary axis. (T he lower arc is of course the $m$ irror im age of the upper arc.) Each of these arcs is thus of length arccos $\left(2^{2} 1\right)<\quad$ and carries $n_{\text {arc }}=(\mathbb{N}=2)$ arc cos $\left(2^{2} 1\right)<(\mathbb{N}=2)$ eigenvalues. This m eans that the arcs exist only as long as $<{ }_{c}=1$, which should be contrasted w ith the situation in (2-17). There, the single im purity does not perturb the unit circle, which persists for all values of .

The rest of the eigenvalues, which do not have space to live on the arcs, m ust have snapped onto the real axis and form ed \wings". The eigenvalue density along the real axis is generated when $@=@ z$ hits the step-fiunctions ( $\operatorname{Im} z$ ) in $(\underline{1}(\underline{1} \overline{9})$ and we nd that it is given by
where we have de ned $x \quad i \quad e^{i}$.
In the large N lim it ( $\overline{4} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ tends to a particularly sim ple form. It is clear that this form depends on whether < $c=1$ or $>c_{c}$. For $>1$

$$
w_{\text {ing }}(x ; y)=\frac{1}{\sin } \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad(y)=-\frac{1}{x^{2}+2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x^{2}+2^{2} & 1 \tag{43}
\end{array}\right) \quad(y)
$$

(which again should be contrasted w ith the one im purity case ( $\overline{\underline{2}} \bar{T}_{-}$), , while for $<1$ w ing $=0$.

W e thus see that for $<c_{c}=1$, there are tw $o$ wings that bifurcate from the arcs at $x=x_{C}=P \frac{2}{1}$. Integrating over ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{3} \overline{3}\right)$ we nd that the fraction ofeigenvalues that reside in thew ings is $\left(n_{w \text { ings }}=N\right)=1 \quad(2=)$ arc cos $=1 \quad(1=)$ arccos $\left(2^{2} 1\right)$, which together $w$ th the fraction of eigenvalues $2\left(n_{\operatorname{arc}}=N\right)=(1=) \arccos \left(2^{2} 11\right)$ that reside in the arcs, sum up exactly to 1 . As tends to ${ }_{c}, x_{c}$ becom es sm aller, and vanishes at $={ }_{c}$. At this point the two wings touch at the origin and the two arcs disappear com pletely.

A s already $m$ entioned, there is no critical $c$ in the single im purity case, as perhaps $m$ ight be expected. The $m$ any im purities case is dram atically di erent: the long tail of the Lorentz distribution can overw helm the non-herm iticity for $>c_{r}$, and the spectrum collapses to the real axis. W e have carried out som e num erical studies. For away from $c=1$, our analytic results $t$ the num erical data closely, but as approaches $c$, the statistical uctuation betw $\circledast n$ di erent realizations of $f w{ }_{i} g$ becom es larger and larger (at $=0: 9$ for exam ple, for $N$ as large as 400.) It would be interesting to study the character of the transition in $m$ ore detail.

It is perhaps w orthw hile to rem ark that although in $m$ any discussions ofdisordered physics the gaussian distribution is the sim plest to dealw ith, here it leads to a $g(z)$ not given by an elem entary function.

 perturb it by adding a term $H={ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mathrm{i}}$ 屰+ 1ihij (with small) which allows the particle to hop counter-clockw ise. To rst order in perturbation theory, the correction to the G reen's function $\binom{(3) \bar{T}}{-1}$ is now

$$
\operatorname{tr} \frac{1}{z \quad w} \quad H_{0} \frac{1}{z \quad w} \quad \text { H } \frac{1}{z+1}
$$

which re ects the fact that the particle has com pleted one revolution around the chain by perform ing $N+1$ steps counter-clockw ise and one step clockw ise (which can occur anyw here along the chain.) It is thus possible to study the non-herm itean

Ham iltonian ( non herm itean lim it.

In [5] $[$ ] we showed that by a herm itization $m$ ethod we can associate $w$ ith every non-herm itean H am iltonian H a herm itean $\mathrm{H} a \mathrm{~m}$ iltonian H . In particular, for the hopping Ham iltonians H discussed in this paper, the Ham iltonian $H$ involved the hopping of a particle w ith a binary intemalstate (callit an "up" or a "down" particle) such that as it hops it ịs its intemal state. Solving the Schrodinger equation associated w ith H am ounts to a sim ultaneous solution of the Schrodinger equations associated w th the two herm itean positive $H$ am iltonians $H_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}z & H\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}z & H\end{array}\right)^{y}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{z} & \mathrm{H}\end{array}\right)^{\mathrm{y}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{z} & \mathrm{H}\end{array}\right)$. W e se that for our \oneway" m odels $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ involve only nearest-neighbor hopping, while for the non-herm itean hopping $m$ odels such as (ī1) or its generalizations described in Section (5), $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ involve next-nearest neighbor hopping ${ }_{2}^{31}$

[^2]
## 5 C ontinuum \o ne W ay" m odels

The discrete \O ne W ay" m odels of the two previous sections were solved exactly in the lagre $N$ lim it. W e now show that their continuum counterparts are also exactly solvable.

Starting w ith the continuum non-herm itean Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=(1=\mathrm{m})\left[\mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{h}\right]^{2}+\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{x}) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

(w ith constant $h$ ), we reach the $\backslash O$ ne $W$ ay" lim it by letting both $h$ and $m$ tend to in nity $w$ ith a nite ratio $h=m$ (which we set to $1=2$.) In this lim it, $H$ in ( into the rst order non-herm itean operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{@}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{x}) ; \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the desired continuum \o new ay" model. C learly, the spectrum of ( be solved explicitly for any $W$ (x), once the boundary conditions are speci ed. For exam ple, if ( have

$$
\begin{align*}
& n(x)=\frac{p^{1}}{\bar{L}} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{z^{x}} d y W(y) E_{n} x\right) \\
& { }_{n}^{y}(x)=\frac{p^{x}}{\bar{L}} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{z^{x}} d y W(y)+E_{n} x\right) \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n$ and $n$ are the eigenvectors on the right and on the left, respectively. Im posing the boundary conditions we nd $E_{n}=\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{\mathbb{N}} d y W \quad(y)+\frac{2 i_{n}}{L}$ ( $n$ being an integer.) Since we know the spectrum $\mathrm{fE}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{g}$ explicitly, we can now consider random izing W ( x ) in ( the random $W(x)$. For exam $p l e$, if $W(x)$ is draw $n$ from the $G$ aussian distribution $P[W]=(1=Z) \exp \left[\left(1=2 g^{2}\right)_{0}^{R_{L}} d x W^{2}(x)\right]$ we readily $n d$ that the averaged density of eigenvalues is

$$
(\operatorname{ReE} ; \operatorname{Im} E) \quad \underset{n=1}{h^{x^{M}}} \quad \operatorname{Im} E \quad \frac{2 n}{L} \quad \operatorname{ReE} \quad \frac{1}{L}_{Z_{L}}^{Z_{L}} d x W(x) i
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=P \overline{2}(L=g) e^{(L R e E)^{2}=2 g^{2}} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{A}=1} \quad \operatorname{Im} E \quad \frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~L}} \quad \text {; } \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

nam ely, each of the purely im aginary eigenvalues of $H_{0}=\varrho_{x}$, is sm eared along the real axis by the uctuations of the real potential $W$ ( $x$ ). The spectrum is thus one dim ensional, as in the discrete case.

## 6 R andom hopping m odels and theirm apping onto random walkers

In this section we study a di erent class of m odels, in whidh there is no site energy, but the hopping is random and non-hem trean. W e consider the Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{j}=s_{j} j+1+t_{j 1} j_{1} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th the hopping am plitudes $S_{j}$ and $t_{j}$ generated random ly according to som e prescription.

Ifthe $H$ am iltonian is herm itean, then $S_{j}=t_{j}$. This herm itean problem was studied som e twenty years ago by Eggarter and $R$ iedinger $[\underset{-1}{[ }]$. They $m$ apped the $m$ odel onto a random walk problem and were able to show that all the states, except for the one at $\mathrm{E}=0$, are localized, and fiurtherm ore, that the localization length diverges like $j 0 g E j$ as E ! 0. The existence of localized states is in accordance w th the argum ents of A nderson et al. [-] $\overline{-1}]$. In contrast, the appearance of an extended state at precisely $\mathrm{E}=0$ is not generic and is due to the invariance of the spectrum under E ! E (as one can see by ipping the sign of ifor iodd.) Thus, the extended state at $\mathrm{E}=0$ is unstable under any perturbation that destroys this sym $m$ etry, such as adding random site energy.
 discussion below serves also as a review of $[\underline{\underline{9}}]$, since obviously at any stage we can set


$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{j+1}=\frac{R_{j}}{E} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{j} \quad s_{j} t_{j}$. This equation is of course equivalent to Schrodinger's equation ( and allows us to solve for $j$ iteratively and hence for the wave function . For a closed chain the obvious boundary condition is ${ }_{\mathrm{N}+1}=\quad 1$.

Perform ing a gauge transform ation $j!j$ j we nd that we can e ectively transform $s_{j}!\left({ }_{j+1}={ }_{j}\right) s_{j}$ and $t_{j}!\quad\left(j={ }_{j+1}\right) t_{j}$. A s perhaps expected, $R_{j}$ is invariant under this transform ation. W e see by these considerations that the open chain
and the closed chain are quite di erent. For an open chain, we can alw ays use this gauge freedom to set all the $t_{j}$ equal to 1, say, with no loss of generality (which in the herm itean case would $m$ ean setting all the $s_{j}$ equal to 1 as well, hence getting rid of random ness altogether.) O $n$ the other hand, for a closed chain $w$ ith $N$ sites, we have the boundary condition ${ }_{\mathrm{N}+1}=1$ and hence the constraint ${ }_{\mathrm{N}+1}={ }_{1}$. The gauge transform ation is not in general useful.

W e can of course invent a variety of $m$ odels. H atano and $N$ elson [ī] considered $s_{j}={ }_{j} e$ and $t_{j}={ }_{j} e$ where $j$ is taken from the at distribution $P()=$ $\frac{1}{2} \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}2 & { }^{2}\end{array}\right)$. W e have studied one particularly sim ple model which we call the $\backslash c l o c k$ m odel" , de ned by setting $s_{j}$ and $t_{j}$ equalto random phases. In som e sense, this m odel is particularly attractive, in that it includes no free param eters. N um erically, we found that the eigenvalues in the clock $m$ odel are distributed (in what appears to be a uniform distribution) in a disk in the com plex plane, centered at the origin and $w$ ith radius approxim ately equal to $=2$. The rotational invariance of the spectrum results from of our freedom to multiply the random Ham iltonian by an arbitrary overall phase.

Recall that the spectrum of any non-herm itean (or herm itean) hopping problem as de ned in ( clock $m$ odel, the existence of an extended state at $E=0$ (provided $E=0$ is in the spectrum, which is alw ays the case when the num ber of sites $N$ is odd) survives the non-herm iticity. This is so because the Schrodinger equation ( that $j{ }_{j+1} j=\frac{t_{j 1}}{s_{j}} j_{1}=j_{j 1} j$ and so this state is obviously extended. $W$ e expect the other states to be localized with an energy dependent localization length that diverges as E ! 0. These expectations are supported by the num erical studies we have done.

W e would like now to discuss the divergence of the localization length as E ! 0.


In the herm itean case, we have the im portant observation that $R_{j}=f_{j}{ }^{\jmath}$ is real and positive. A lso, E is real, and thus by ( $4-1 \overline{9}$ ) we can take $j$ to be real (for an open

$F$ igure 3: $T$ w o representative w ave functions in one particular realization of the \clock m odel". The wave function in Fig. (a) corresponds to the eigenvalue closest to the origin $E=0: 04 \quad 0: 14 i$. It is extended and its participation ratio is 0.75 . T he wave function in (b) corresponds to the eigenvalue farthest from origin $\mathrm{E}=0: 78+1: 57 \mathrm{i}$. It is localized and its participation ratio is 0.01 .
chain, of course.) W e see from ( $(\overline{4} \overline{-1})$ ) that for E sm all com pared to the typical scale of , the quantities $j$ changes sign from site to site, and hence, as pointed out by E ggarter and R iedinger, it is convenient to iterate ( $\overline{4} \overline{-} \overline{-})$ tw ice and w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{j+2}={\frac{R_{j+1}}{R_{j}}}_{l}^{l^{2}} \frac{1 \frac{E}{j}_{3}^{1+\frac{E(j E)}{R_{j}}}}{}{ }^{3}{ }_{j}: \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e can now de ne $z_{j} \quad \log j$ and interpret $z_{j}$ as the position of a random walker in the com plex plane and j as tim e. Taking the logarithm of ( $\overline{5} \overline{-} \overline{-})$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{j+2}=z_{j}+\log \frac{R_{j+1}}{R_{j}}+\log { }^{2}{\frac{1}{1+\frac{E}{j}}{\frac{E(j)}{R_{j}}}^{3}}^{3} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

de ning the $m$ otion of the walker.
A s noted above, for the herm itean case, $R_{j}$ is real and positive and thus the random walker stays on the real line. In particular, Eggarter and $R$ iedlinger took $h \log \left(R_{j+1}=R_{j}\right) i=0$ and de ned ${ }^{2} \quad h\left(\log \left(R_{j+1}=R_{j}\right)\right)^{2} i$. They $m$ ade the insightful observation that for $\mathrm{E} \quad 0$ the last term in ( $\overline{5} \overline{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{I}^{1}$ ) (which would otherw ise be too di cult to treat) could be taken into account e ectively ${ }_{i}$ as boundary conditions set on the walker. C onsider rst the $\log 1+\frac{\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{i}}{\mathrm{R}_{j}}$ part of that term. W hen j $\quad\left(R_{j}=E\right.$ ) a large positive num ber (we can always think of $j$ as positive), the walker's position on the real line (nam ely, $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}=\log { }_{j}$ ) decreases rapidly. W e can thus e ectively replace the term under consideration by a re ecting wall located at $\log (R=E)$ (which $m$ oves $o$ to in nity as $\underset{i}{E}!0$ ), where $R$ is a typical value of $R_{j}$. C onsider now the rem aining log $1 \frac{e_{j}^{i}}{i}$ part of that term. This part becom es im portant when $j_{j}=O(E)$, at which point the sequence of ${ }_{j}$ sw itches sign. We can thus think of a trap (or a \w alker-eating monster") located at $x$ loge (which wanders 0 to 1 as E ! 0.) Eggarter and Reidliger showed that the localization length can be related to the lifetim e of the w alker. In a num erical sim ulation we start w ith a walker being bom at the wall ( $\mathrm{x}+1$ ); typically he drifts rapidly tow ards $x \quad 0$, where he executes a random walk in his middle age, and as soon as he drifts into a substantially negative $x$ region, he rapidly approaches his death at x 1 .

It is perhaps satisfying that in going from the hem itean problem to the nonherm itean problem the random walker has escaped from the one dim ensional world and wandered o into the com plex plane. (Strictly speaking, due to the properties of the logarithm, the walker now lives on a cylinder with circum ference 2 .) The equation ( $5_{-1}^{1}$ ) describing the two dim ensional walker is considerably $m$ ore di cult to treat. O ne particularly sim ple case is given by the clock model in which the $f \mathrm{fR}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{g}$ are pure random phases. Thus, separating $z_{j}=x_{j}+i y_{j}$, we see that as long as the term in square brackets in ( $5 \bar{i} 1 \mathbf{1})$ is close to unity, the walker wanders in the $y$ direction, $w$ ith its x coordinate hardly changing.

W e can estim ate the localization length $L(\mathbb{E})$ rather crudely by noting that in the (supposedly) exponential tail of the localized wave function $j$ j $j \exp (1=L(E))$ and so $x_{j} \quad 1=L(E)$. Thus we estim ate $1=L(E)$ to be given by some average $x$ coordinate of the walker. In num erical studies, we observe that indeed, w ith the walker starting at $\mathrm{x}=0$ he drifts into a random walk around som e average $<\mathrm{x}>$, (but then eventually wanders 0 .)

O ne $m$ essage of this section is that random (non-herm itean) hopping $m$ odels appear to be considerably $m$ ore com plicated than random (non-herm itean) site energy m odels. Indeed, let usm ention that another interesting $m$ odelw e have studied, which we call a hopping \sign model", is a restriction of the clock $m$ odel, in which $\mathrm{s}_{j}$ and $t_{j}$ are random ly (and independently) equal to 1 . This restriction destroys the rotational sym $m$ etry of the spectrum of the clock $m$ odel, reducing it to a four fold sym $m$ etry, since eigenvalues $m$ ust com $e$ in quadruplets $E ; E \quad$ (the $H$ am ittonian in this case is real and the sym $m$ etry of the spectrum under $\mathrm{E}!\mathrm{E}$ rem ains intact.) W e obtained the density of eigenvalues num erically, and it exhibits a com plicated interesting structure as shown in F ig.(4). It is an interesting challenge to calculate this structure analytically.

The hopping \sign model" is the strictest restriction of the \clock model". It is thus interesting to investigate how the eigenvalue distribution of the \sign model" changes when we allow the hopping am plitudes to take on $m$ ore values. For exam ple,


Figure 4: The spectrum of the hopping \sign m odel" for a chain with 400 sites. The am plitudes $s$ and $t$ take on values 1 w ith equal probability.
we studied num erically a $Z_{4} \mathrm{~m}$ odel in which $\mathrm{s}_{j}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{j}}$ took on (independently) values from $f 1$; ig with equal probability. $W$ e found that the intricate structure in $F$ ig. (4) was largely w ashed out tow ards the uniform disk distribution of the \clock m odel", w ith some residual ne structure.

At this point we mention a class of hopping $m$ odels in which the $s ' s$ and $t$ 's in ( $\overline{4} \overline{-1})$ ) are taken from the sam e probability distribution $P(x)$ such that $x$ is alw ays real and positive. A though the H am iltonian H is non-herm itean, the eigenvalues, that is, the solutions of det ( $\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{H})=0$, are all real for $N$ large. W hile surprising at rst sight, this fact can be easily understood. The crucial observation is that $R_{j}=s_{j} t_{j}$ are all real and positive. For N large, it does not $m$ ake any di erence whether the chain is closed or open as far as the eigenvalues are concemed, and so we can apply the transform ation $S_{j}!s_{j}^{0}=\left({ }_{j+1}={ }_{j}\right) s_{j}$ and $t_{j}$ ! $t_{j}^{0}=\left({ }_{j}={ }_{j+1}\right) t_{j} m$ entioned earlier to $m$ ake $s_{j}^{0}=t_{j}^{0}={ }^{q} \overline{R_{j}}$ (which is achieved by choosing ${ }_{j+1}={ }_{j}={ }^{q} \overline{t_{j}=s_{j}}$.) Thus the model is e ectively herm itean, that is, the eigenvalues (which are gauge invariant, of course) are real. $N$ ote that the \gauge" transform ation just $m$ entioned does not preserve the localization property of the wave function $j$. For instance, in num erical work, it is convenient to study the participation ratio, de ned by ${ }_{1}^{I_{1}, ~} P(\mathbb{E})=$ $1=\left(\mathbb{N}^{P}{ }_{j} j_{j}{ }^{4}\right)$, such that P! 0 for a localized state and P! 1 for an extended state. C learly, P is not preserved by the \gauge" transform ation in general, and therefore, say, a localized state $m$ ay be gauged into an extended state (or vice-versa), unless the $j$ are appropriately bounded as a function of the site index $j . W$ e thus have to do a case by case study of how the $j$ 's behave as a function of $j$ to infer the localization properties of the original wave functions from the gauge transform ed wave functions of the e ectively herm itean problem, which are of course all localized, as predicted by A nderson and others.
$N$ ote that the \sign $m$ odel" $m$ entioned in the previous paragraph and the hopping

[^3]H am iltonian $H_{0}$ in (2ㄴ) both evade the de ning conditions of this class of crypto-real $m$ odels. A $n$ interesting question in $m$ athem atical physics is to calculate the fraction of eigenvalues escaping into the com plex plane when the support of $P(x)$ includes negative values of $x$.

F inally, in connection w ith the question raised in the last paragraph, we m ention here a class ofhopping $m$ odels in which the $s$ 's and $t$ 's in ( $4 \overline{4}$ and $t_{j}=t \quad T_{j}$, where the independent random am plitudes $\mathrm{fT}_{j} g$ take values in the range $T \quad T_{j} \quad T$ according to som $e$, say, even probability distribution (this m odel is a one dim ensional discrete analog of the two dim ensional \m odel I" in thél.) For $t^{2}>T^{2}$ all $R_{j}$ are clearly positive w ith probability one, and thus the $m$ odel is \cryptoreal". In general, the eigenvectors of the herm itean gauge transform ed H am iltonian w ould be all localized. E igenvalues w ould start m igrating into the com pex plane only when $t^{2}<T^{2}$.

An am using exception to the assertion that all states of the herm itean gauge transform ed H am iltonian are localized for $t^{2}>\mathrm{T}^{2}$ is provided by the case in which the $f T_{j} g$ take on values $T$ w ith equal probabilities. In this case $R_{j} \quad R=t^{2} \quad T^{2}$ is actually determ inistic and site independent and describes free hopping. O bviously, all states of the hem itean $H$ am iltonian are extended, regardless of the m agnitude of disorder T. C learly, ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{R}}$, and thus alleigenvahes are real when $\mathrm{t}^{2}>\mathrm{T}^{2}$, and becom e pure im aginary for $t^{2}<T^{2}$. Thus, for this particular sign distribution, the answ er to the question raised above is that for $\mathrm{t}^{2}<\mathrm{T}^{2}$ all eigenvalues escape into the com plex plane (and onto the im aginary axis.)

## 7 C onclusion

W e have studied localization and delocalization in a wide class of non-herm itean H am iltonians. In various sim plifying lim its we were able to obtain analytic expressions. Our rst model (9,i), while it involved only a single im purity, was able to capture the non-perturbative essence of the localization-delocalization transition. It was w idely applicable to a generic $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, in any spatial dim ensions. For m ore explicit expressions, we had to invoke the maxim ally non-herm itean, or \oneway" lim it, in which the spectnum of $H_{0}$ w as a circle. (It is di cult to im agine that com parably sim ple expressions can be obtained when the spectrum of $H_{0}$ is an ellipse.) W e were then able to analyze the problem of in nitely $m$ any im purities, one at each site. Results w ere given for several representative probability distributions $P$ (w) for the im purity potential energy w. W e analyzed the phase transitions as param eters in P (w) were varied.

W e studied non-herm titean random hopping $H$ am iltonians. It has been know $n$ that the herm itean one dim ensional random hopping problem can be mapped into a random walk problem on the realline. W e found that in going from the herm itean to the non herm itean problem, the random walker steps o the realaxis and goes wandering o into the com plex plane. W e show ed by num erical w ork that for the random phase or \clock m odel" the density of states appeared to be uniform ly distributed over a disk, while in contrast for the \random sign" m odel the density of states showed a fascinatingly intricate structure.

T he study of non herm tiean H am ittonians opens up a rich area for exploration. W e can im m ediately think ofm any questions to be answ ered. For instance, consider
 (non-interacting) ferm ion ground state will be described by a Slater determ inant
 The question arises, even before we consider im purities, on what is $m$ eant by the low est energy state of $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. In other words, as we 11 the system w ith non-interacting ferm ions, how do we order the energy levels if they are arranged in a circle? These
questions $m$ ay perhaps be answered by coupling the system to som e agent (such as a radiation eld or a heat bath) which can exchange energy $w$ th the $m$ any body system.

As another set of questions, we can ask how a non-hem trean $m$ any body system can be second quantized? W hat are som e of the properties of a non-herm itean quantum eld theory, such as a gauge theory in which the gauge potentialA is nonherm itean? In a relativistic theory, if the H am iltonian becom es non-hem itean, does P oincare invariance im ply that its other nine generators should becom e non-hem itean as well?
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## A ppendix : N on-herm itean perturbation theory

It is of course a trivial exercise to treat the $m$ odel in (ī1) perturbatively in the strength of the random impurity. Denote the eigenvalues of $H_{0}$ and $H$ by $f E g$ and fE (w)g respectively. W rite $\mathrm{H}_{0}=\mathrm{S}^{1} \mathrm{E} S \mathrm{w}$ ith E the diagonal m atrix w ith elem ents fE $g$ (and thus the colum ns of $S^{1}$ and the row s of $S$ are the right and left eigenvectors of $H_{0}$, respectively.) De ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \quad(w)=E+{ }_{i}^{X} w_{i} E_{i}+{ }_{i ; j}^{x} w_{i} w_{j} E_{i j}+ \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by sim ple arithm etic we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{i} & =S_{i}^{1} S_{i} \\
E_{i j} & =x \frac{S_{i}^{1} S_{j} S_{j}{ }^{1} S_{i}}{E} E^{2} \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

and so on. It follow s that the averaged density of eigenvalues of $H$ is given to $O\left(\mathrm{w}^{2}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x ; y)=0(x ; y)+\frac{w^{2} x^{\prime}}{N}{ }^{(")}{ }^{0}(x \quad \operatorname{ReE})^{0}(y \quad \operatorname{Im} E)^{x} \operatorname{ReE}_{i} \operatorname{Im} E i_{i}^{\#} \\
& \frac{1}{2}^{( } 2^{0}(x \operatorname{ReE}) \quad(y \quad \operatorname{Im} E)^{x} \operatorname{ReE}_{i} i^{x}  \tag{A.3}\\
& { }^{\infty}(x \operatorname{ReE}) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{y} & \operatorname{Im} E))_{i}^{x}\left(\operatorname{ReE} \mathrm{i}^{2}+[\mathrm{x} \$ \mathrm{y} ; \operatorname{ReS~Im}]\right.
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used only hw ${ }_{i} W_{j} i=w^{2}{ }_{i j}$. The result ( $\left.\bar{A}-\overline{-} \overline{-1}\right)$ holds for all $H_{0}$ (and for nite $N$.) For the speci c $H_{0}$ in (2̄) and in the large $N$ lim it there are drastic simpli cations. $W$ e have $E_{i}=1=N$ and so Im $E_{i}=0$ and ${ }_{i}\left(\operatorname{ReE}_{i}\right)^{2}=1=N$. Thus the only non-trivial quantity that com es in is

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{i}^{E_{i}}{ }_{i i}=\frac{1}{N t}^{X} \frac{1}{\cosh (h+i k()) \cosh (h+i k())}  \tag{A.4}\\
& \text { where } k()=2=N ; \quad=0 ; 1 ; \quad N \quad 1 . \text { The expressionn (A. 3) simpli es to } \\
& (x ; y)=\frac{1}{N}^{x} \quad\left(x \quad \operatorname{ReE} \quad w^{2}{ }_{i}^{x} \operatorname{ReE} \quad \text { ii }\right) \quad\left(y \quad \operatorname{Im} E \quad w^{2} \quad \operatorname{Im} E \quad i i\right):(A .5)
\end{align*}
$$

The sum in (AA the \one way" m odel described in the text the integral is particularly sim ple and we obtain

$$
(x ; y)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{d}{2} \quad x \quad\left(1+\frac{w^{2}}{2}\right) \cos \quad y \quad\left(1 \quad \frac{w^{2}}{2}\right) \sin \quad!\quad \text { (A.6) }
$$

To this order in w, the circle has been tumed into an ellipse. O f course, we do not see any trace of the $w$ ings in perturbation theory.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ H ere we also use the fact that in the cut plane (w th the cut running along the negative real axis) $(@=@ z) \operatorname{logz} \quad(1=2)\left(@_{x}+i @_{\mathrm{y}}\right) \operatorname{logz}=\quad(\mathrm{x})(\mathrm{y})$

[^1]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~W}$ e also get the correct nom alization since in this approxim ation: $\left.2^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}!(\mathbb{N}=2)!\right)^{2} \quad 1$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}$ his observation persists in the continuum of course. In the continuum the \oneway" H am iltonian becom es a rst order di erential operator and thus $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ are second order di erential operators. The generic hopping H am iltonian becom es a second order di erential operator and thus $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are fourth order di erential operators.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ In general, in addition to the eigenvector on the right corresponding to an eigenvalue $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{H}=$ $E$, there is also the eigenvector on the left, $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{E}$. W ith the nom alization condition $j j_{j}=1$, we can naturally consider the variant de nition of the participation ratio given by P(E) $=1=\left(\mathbb{N} \quad{ }_{j} j_{j} j^{f}\right)$.

