D ynam ical signatures of the vulcanization transition

Kurt Broderix, PaulM. Goldbart, and Annette Zippelius

 $^{-1}$ Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Universitat Gottingen, D-37073 Gottingen, Germany

²D epartm ent of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Cham paign, 1110 W est Green Street, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA

(August 13, 1997)

D ynam ical properties of vulcanized polym er networks are addressed via a Rouse-type model that incorporates the e ect of perm anent random crosslinks. The incoherent intermediate scattering function is computed in the sol and gelphases, and at the vulcanization transition between them. At any nonzero crosslink density within the sol phase K ohlrausch relaxation is found. The critical point is signalled by divergence of the longest time-scale, and at this point the scattering function decays algebraically, whereas within the gelphase it acquires a time-persistent part identi ed with the gel fraction.

PACS numbers: 64.60 Ht, 82.70 Gg, 61.43 Fs

Vulcanized m atter has been suggested as a m odel substance for the transition from a viscous uid to an am orphous solid state, i.e. the glass transition. Experim entally one has access to the static and dynam ic critical behavior, and theoretically a reliable sem i-m icroscopic model is available for actually computing properties of the system in the am orphous state as well as close to the glass transition. So far, the approach based on statistical mechanics has mainly focused on static properties. Here we extend the analysis to dynam ic quantities and discuss the motion of a tagged monom er. W e thereby show that vulcanized system s display m any of the dynam ic characteristics of glassy system s, in particular a K ohlrausch law (generalized to nonzero wavenum bers) in the uid phase and a divergence of the longest time-scale as the glass transition is approached.

The gelation transition has been discussed very successfully in term s of a percolation transition [1]. The percolation threshold is identi ed with the critical crosslink concentration, and the average cluster size with the weight average molecular weight in the sol phase. The percolation picture has been con med by a statisticalmechanical approach [2{4]. In addition, the latter approach allows one to discuss structural and elastic properties of the gelphase, which can not be obtained from a percolation model unless additional assumptions are made. The shortcomings of percolation theory become even m ore apparent if one is interested in dynam ic quantities. There is obviously no dynam ic percolation m odel that could account for the observed anom alous relaxation while approaching the gelation transition from the sol phase.

Here we start from a (sem i-)m icroscopic equation of m otion, the Rouse m odel [5], and compute the incoherent interm ediate scattering function as the vulcanization transition is approached from the liquid side. We only consider perm anently form ed crosslinks and m odel them by a harm onic potential. We furtherm ore assume that each polymer is equally likely to be crosslinked to each other polymer. We do not distinguish between gelation and vulcanization and use solphase and uid phase as synonym s, because, as we shall see, the long tim e dynam ics is not a ected by the molecular size and structure of the building blocks of the random network. Neglecting excluded volum e interactions, we analyse the dynam ics by making use of the theory of random graphs, created by Erdøs and Renyi [6]. (For an inform alaccount of random graph theory see Ref. [8].) Our main results are the following:

W e observe three distinct phases with qualitatively di erent long-tim e dynam ics.

If the number of crosslinks M is submacroscopic (i.e. o(N), where N is the number of macromolecular chains in the uncrosslinked system) then the long-time behavior of the autocorrelation is diusive: $S_t(q) / \exp f \ D_0 q^2 tg$.

If the crosslink concentration $c \coloneqq M = N$ is non-zero but smaller than the critical value c_{crit} for vulcanization then the time-decay of the autocorrelation is characterized by a spectrum of relaxation times, rejecting the diffusive motion of a distribution of cluster sizes. The autocorrelation decays for large times as a stretched exponential: $S_t(q) / \exp f$ ($t=q^{1=2}g$ with, how ever, a scaling of time with wavenum ber that is specific to a diffusion process: $q^{-1} / D_0 q^2$. This relaxation is the true asymptotic behavior, and should not be confused with a form ally similar time decay in the Rouse model for uncrosslinked systems, which occurs for time-scales smaller than the Rouse time [5].

A s the vulcanization transition is approached, i.e. for $c = c_{crit}$, the longest time-scale diverges like the inverse squared distance from the critical point, whereas the generalized di usion constant goes to zero linearly and, m ore generally, is proportional to the weight average molecular weight.

P recisely at c_{rit} the distribution of relaxation times falls o algebraically for large relaxation times, implying a (D₀q²t) ¹⁼² decay of the autocorrelation.

In the gelphase, i.e. for c > Grit, the autocorrelation acquires a tim e-persistent part, which is identical to the gelfraction as obtained from static calculations.

The mean square displacement grows linearly with

time for all crosslink concentrations, with only a weak singularity at the vulcanization transition. Its long-time behavior is dom inated by the \mbox{m} oble" monomers on - nite clusters, even in the gelphase.

We consider a system of N linear, identical, monodisperse chains of arc-length L with force-free ends. Monomers on chain i is characterized by its timedependent position vector $R_t(i;s)$ (i = 1;:::;N and 0 s L) in d-dimensional space. The simplest dynamics is purely relaxational:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{t}R_{t}(\mathbf{i};\mathbf{s}) = \frac{1}{R_{t}(\mathbf{i};\mathbf{s})} + \frac{H}{R_{t}(\mathbf{i};\mathbf{s})} + (\mathbf{i};\mathbf{s}):$$
(1)

The monomers' relaxation to the stationary state H = R = 0 with rate is perturbed by them al uctuations, which are modeled as Gaussian white noise with zero mean and variance h_t (i;s) $_{t^0}$ (i⁰;s⁰)i = 2^{-1} ; (t t⁰) $_{i;i^0}$ (s s⁰), where ; = 1;:::;d. We measure energies in units such that $k_B T$ is unity. If the H am iltonian were to consist only of the W iener term

$$H_{W} \coloneqq \frac{d}{2l} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{V} - L_{L}} ds \quad \frac{\varrho_{R_{t}}(i;s)}{\varrho_{s}} \stackrel{2}{\longrightarrow} (2)$$

then the dynam ical equation would reduce to the Rouse model. Here I denotes the persistence length of the individual polymers. In the following we wish to treat a crosslinked m elt or solution. W e only consider the case of perm anently form ed crosslinks that constrain random ly chosen pairs of m onom ers, and we study the relaxation of m onom ers in the presence of a xed crosslink con guration. A particular realization of M crosslinks is characterized by a set of indices $fi_e; s_e; i_e^0; s_e^0 g_{e=1}^M$ specifying which monomer is connected to which other monomer. W e adopt here the simplest distribution of crosslinks, i.e. the crosslinks are independent of each other and for each crosslink the pair $(i_e; i_e^0)$ of polymer indices is realized with equal probability. We leave unspecied the distribution of m onom er labels $(s_e; s_e^0)$ because its form will not a ect our results. Thus, for instance, the case of end-linking with unconstrained functionality is included. The crosslinks are modeled by a harm onic potential

$$U \coloneqq \frac{d}{2a^{2}} \sum_{e=1}^{X^{e}} (R_{t}(i_{e};s_{e}) - R_{t}(i_{e}^{0};s_{e}^{0}))^{2}; \quad (3)$$

where a > 0 controls the distance between m onomers within a crosslink. The H am iltonian that we use is thus $H := H_W + U$. H and -constraints for the crosslinks can be recovered from the harmonic potential in the limit a ! 0 [9].

The quantity of interest is the intermediate incoherent scattering function

$$S_{t}(q) \coloneqq \lim_{t_{\circ} \leq 1} \frac{1}{N} \frac{X^{N}}{\sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{ds}{L}}$$

$$expfiq \quad (R_{t+t_{\circ}}(i;s) \quad R_{t_{\circ}}(i;s))g : \quad (4)$$

The above average refers to the noise and is taken with xed given crosslink con guration.

As the Ham iltonian is quadratic the Langevin equation (1) is linear and R is a Gaussian Markov process, whose distribution is in the lim it t_0 ! 1 characterized by

$$\frac{1}{2d}h(R_{t+t_{o}}(i;s) = R_{t_{o}}(i;s)) (R_{t+t_{o}}(i^{0}_{r}s^{0}) = R_{t_{o}}(i^{0}_{r}s^{0}))i$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{Z} t_{d} e (i;s;i^{0}_{r}s^{0}) = :G_{t}(i;s;i^{0};s^{0}): (5)$$

Here e $(i;s;i^0,s^0)$ is the matrix representation of the exponential of the linear operator which stems from the determ inistic part of Eq. (1) and is de ned by its action, viz.,

$$(f)(i;s) := \frac{d}{l} \frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta s^{2}} f(i;s) + \frac{d}{a^{2}} \sum_{e=1}^{M^{0}} i;i_{e} (s s_{e}) \sum_{i;i_{e}}^{0} (s s_{e}^{0}) (s) (s) (f(i_{e};s_{e}) f(i_{e}^{0};s_{e}^{0}));$$

on functions f over the con guration space obeying Neum ann boundary-conditions with respect to s.

We are only concerned with the long-time behavior of the scattering function. Thus we need the asym ptotics of G_t as t! 1, which (because is non-negative de nite by inspection) is governed by the eigenspace of corresponding to zero eigenvalues. Moreover, f=0 implies (f; f) = 0, and this in turn implies (by virtue of Eq. (6) and the boundary conditions) that both (ef (i; s)=(es = 0 for all i = 1;:::;N) and f(i_e; s_e) = f(i_e^0; s_e^0) for all e=1;:::;M. Let us group the polymers into K clusters fN $_k g_{k=1}^K$, which are de need as maximal path-wise connected components. Then, according to the above reasoning, the eigenspace in question consists of functions that are constant when restricted to any one cluster. We therefore have, as t! 1,

$$G_{t}(\mathbf{i};s;\mathbf{i}^{0};s^{0}) = D_{0}t_{k=1}^{X^{K}} \frac{1}{N_{k}} (\mathbf{i})_{N_{k}} (\mathbf{i}^{0}) + O(1); \quad (7)$$

with the bare di usion constant D $_0 = 1 = (L)$. Here N $_k$ denotes the number of polymers in the k-th cluster N $_k$, whence $\sum_{k=1}^{K} N_k = N$, and N_k (i) = 1 if i 2 N $_k$ and N_k (i) = 0 otherwise.

D ue to the G aussian nature of the process R we can express the scattering function in terms of G, and nd for the long-time behavior of the scattering function

$$S_{t}(q) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X^{K}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} N_{k}} \exp (q^{2} - \frac{D_{0}t}{N_{k}} + O_{k}(1)) :$$
 (8)

W e have equipped the long-time corrections O_k (1) with the cluster index k to indicate that we are not allowed to handle them as an overall pre-factor.

The above result (8) is not at all surprising. It merely con m s the notion that the slow est modes correspond to the center-ofm ass di usion of individual polymer clusters. Here $D_0=N_k$ may be interpreted as the di usion constant of the k-th cluster, and $N_k=N$ as the probability for a given site to belong to the k-th cluster. We remark that in accordance with this notion the strength of the crosslink potential does not enter in Eq. (8). Thus the result covers the limiting case, a ! 0, of hard crosslinks, too.

The statistics of the polymer clusters' sizes is determined by the crosslink distribution. Two di erent polymers are considered to be linked if there is at least one crosslink joining any two monomers of the respective polymers. In our model we specify the total number of crosslinks M so that the total number of polymerpolymer links varies in di erent crosslink realizations. These uctuations are suppressed [10] in the macroscopic limit as there are O (N²) possibilities for M = O (N) crosslinks to form M di erent polymer-polymer links and only O (N) other possibilities. For any given number of polymer-polymer links, the statistics of the clusters' sizes can be taken over from R ef. [6] by identifying polymer clusters with components of random graphs.

As shown in Ref. [6], the polymer clusters exhibit the analogue of a percolation transition. Below the crosslink concentration $c_{\rm crit}$ = 1=2 there will be no clusters of m acroscopic size and alm ost all polymers are members of tree clusters [11], which are de ned by the absence of loops. For $c>\frac{1}{2}$ there will be 0 (N) polymers in exactly one m acroscopic cluster, which is not a tree, and alm ost all other polymers still belong to tree clusters of submacroscopic size [12]. Let $T_{\rm n}$ denote the average number of tree-clusters consisting of n polymers. Then, whatever the crosslink concentration c>0 m ay be, one has [13]

$$\lim_{N ! 1} \frac{1}{N} T_n = _n = \frac{1}{2c} \frac{n^{n-2}}{n!} 2ce^{2c^n} : \qquad (9)$$

In the m acroscopic lim it, the average fraction S_1 of polymers in the giant cluster is given by [14]

$$S_1 = 1$$
 n_n (10)

which, for $c = \frac{1}{2}$, amounts to $S_1 = 0$ [15].

To work out the long-tim e behavior of the autocorrelation, given the statistics of polym er clusters' sizes, we shall rst make the sim plifying assumption that we can ignore the possible dependence of the long-tim e correction O_k (1) on the index k of the polym er cluster. This assumption can indeed be justi ed [10] for nite cluster sizes. From Eqs. (8) and (9) we get for the scattering function, averaged over the distribution of crosslinks

$$S_t(q) = S_1 + n_n \exp D_0 q^2 t = n :$$
 (11)

This result holds for all c > 0 with $S_1 = 0$ for $0 c \frac{1}{2}$. In fact, one would expect $S_t(q)$ to be self-averaging [10]. We now discuss four distinct regimes:

For a subm acroscopic number of crosslinks there is only a subm acroscopic number of clusters, which do not contribute in the therm odynam ic lim it. Hence the scattering function decays, to leading order, according to the Rouse model: $S_t(q) = expf \quad D_0 q^2 tg$.

In the sol phase with a non-zero concentration of crosslinks, i.e. for $0 < c < \frac{1}{2}$, the distribution of cluster sizes falls o exponentially for large n:

$$n_n = \frac{1}{2c 2} n^{3=2} \exp f nh(c)g;$$
 (12)

with $h(c) := 2c \ 1 \ \ln(2c) > 0$. This exponential distribution of cluster sizes | and hence di usive time-scales | gives rise to a stretched exponential decay of the scattering function in the solphase:

$$S_{t}(q) = \frac{1}{(8c^{2}D_{0}q^{2}t)^{1=2}} \exp f 2(h(c)D_{0}q^{2}t)^{1=2}g \quad (13)$$

for D $_0q^2t$! 1 . The time-scale of the stretched exponential diverges like c $\frac{1}{2}^{-2}$ as the vulcanization transition is approached, whereas the generalized di usion constant,

$$D_{e}^{1} \coloneqq \lim_{q! 0} q^{2}_{0} dt S_{t}(q) = \frac{D_{0}^{1}}{1 2c};$$
 (14)

goes to zero linearly as c " $\frac{1}{2}$.

At the critical point for vulcanization, i.e. at $c=\frac{1}{2}$, the distribution of cluster sizes falls o algebraically, n $_n$ / n $^{3=2}$. Consequently the autocorrelation shows algebraic decay in time: $S_t(q) / (D_0 q^2 t)^{-1=2}$.

In the gelphase, i.e. for $c > \frac{1}{2}$, the scattering function acquires a time-persistent part S_1 , which is given [15] by the largest root of $1 S_1 = exp 2cS_1$. As expected, the time-persistent part of the autocorrelation is just the fraction of polymers in the macroscopic cluster, i.e. the gel fraction. The distribution (9) of nite cluster sizes is valid on both sides of the transition [16]. Hence we expect to see the stretched exponential behaviour (13) for the decaying part of the scattering function in the gel phase as well.

Lastly, we mention that the time-delayed square monomer displacement,

$$C_{t} \coloneqq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} ds G_{t}(i;s;i;s) \quad 2D t; \quad (15)$$

increases asymptotically linearly with time for all crosslink concentrations c. In terms of polymer clusters the proportionality constant D is given by $D = D_0 K = N = D_0 \int_{n=1}^{1} n$. This changes smoothly with crosslink concentration: in the sol phase it is given by

 $D = D_0 (1 c)$; at c = 1=2 it is twice continuously di erentiable; deep in the gelphase we nd $D D_0 \exp(2c)$. Hence the squared monom er displacement is unsuitable for detecting the sol-gel transition because its long-time behavior is always dominated by the nite polymer clusters.

W e conclude with a few rem arks concerning the experim ental situation. Relaxation following a stretched exponential expf (t=) g seems to be a universal feature of glassy systems. It has been observed in uids undergoing either therm alor chem ical vitri cation, as well as in magnetic systems undergoing a spin-glass transition. It can always be interpreted in terms of a distribution of tim e-scales, although the nature of the underlying elem entary process m ay be quite di erent. In the present m odel the elementary dynam ical process is di usion, and the stretched exponential results from a superposition of m any di usive m odes, re ecting the distribution of cluster sizes [17]. This gives rise to a highly characteristic qdependence of the tim e-scale of the stretched exponential, namely $_{q}^{1}$ / D $_{0}q^{2}$. Such a time-wavenum ber scaling has indeed been seen in neutron spin-echo experiments on inorganic and organic glasses [18].

As the gelation transition is approached one observes experim entally a divergence of the longest tim e-scale, and at the critical point density uctuations are found to decay algebraically. We com pare our results to the data of Martin et al. [19]. These authors use quasi-elastic light scattering to study silica sol-gels in the pre- and post-gel regim es. They observe a stretched exponential in the sol phase with an exponent 0:66 and, furtherm ore, relate the time-scale of the stretched exponential to a diusive time scale. The latter is found to diverge as the gel point is approached with an exponent close to 22. In the critical gel they nd algebraic decay of density uctuations with an exponent value of 0:35. All these ndings agree qualitatively with the predictions of our model. In fact, our expression (8) for S_t (q) has been suggested on phenom enological grounds as a starting point for a discussion of the critical dynam ics at the sol-gel transition [20]. A though a general scaling of the di usion constant of a individual cluster with its size was postulated, it has turned out that the so-called Rouse lim it, which leads back to our representation (8), agrees well with experim ent [19]. In com paring our results with experim ent one should keep in m ind that we have used a distribution of crosslinks lacking any correlations, and have not taken into account excluded volum e interactions. P resum ably this amounts to a mean-eld approximation of a shortrange model. Vulcanization of a dense melt of linear m acrom olecules has been argued [21] to be m ean-eld{ like, except within a narrow critical region of crosslink densities whose width decreases like the one third power of the ratio of the persistence length to the chain length. Since the argum ent includes and is in fact based on the distribution of cluster sizes, it should also hold for the

dynam ics.

A cknow ledgm ents { W e thank R.K ree for useful discussions. This work was supported by U S.N ational Science Foundation G rant DMR 94-24511 (PG), by NATO CRG 94090 (AZ,PG), and by the DFG through SFB 345 (AZ).

- P.G. de Gennes, Scaling Concepts in Polymer Science, (Cornell University Press, Ithaca, 1979); D. Stau er, A. Coniglio and M. Adam, Adv. in Polym. Sci. 44 (1982) 103.
- [2] R.T.Deam and S.F.Edwards, Phil.Trans.R.Soc. London A 280 (1976) 317.
- [3] R.C.Balland S.F.Edwards, M acrom ol. 13 (1980) 748;
- [4] P.M.Goldbart, H.Castillo and A.Zippelius, Adv. in Phys. 45 (1996) 393.
- [5] M. Doi and S.F. Edwards, The Theory of Polymer Dynamics, (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985).
- [6] P. Erdøs and A. Renyi, Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kut. Int. Køzl. 5 (1960) 17; reprinted in Ref. [7], Chap. 14, article [324].
- [7] P. Erdøs: The Art of Counting, edited by J. Spencer (M IT Press, 1973).
- [8] P. Erdøs and A. Renyi, Bull. Inst. Internat. Statist. 38 (1961) 343; reprinted in Ref. [7], Chap. 14, article [v].
- [9] M.P.Solf and T.A.Vilgis, J.Phys.A 28 (1995) 6655.
- [10] K. Broderix, P. Goldbart, A. Zippelius, in preparation.
- [11] This is a consequence of Theorem 5d together with Theorem 5e in Ref. [6].
- [12] This follows from the combination of Theorem 9b and Theorem 6 in Ref. [6].
- [13] This distribution follows from Theorem 2b in Ref. [6] and is identical to the one obtained from a mean-eld theory of percolation, see M.J. Stephen in Critical phenomena, F.J.W. Hahne (Ed.) (Springer, Berlin, 1983).
- [14] See Theorem 9b in Ref. [6].
- [15] See Eqs. (4.4) and (4.5) in Ref. [6].
- [16] This is a peculiarity of the random graph and mean-eld description of percolation and is not valid in general, see Section 2.8 in D. Stau er, Introduction to percolation theory (Taylor & Francis, London, 1985).
- [17] M ore generally, only the total length of all chains linked to a cluster determ ines the asymptotic dynam ics. H ence our conclusions are not restricted to vulcanized chains but, e.g., hold equally well for polydisperse melts with a length distribution falling exponentially.
- [18] F.Mezei, W.Knaak and B.Farago, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 571; D.Richter, B.Frick and B.Farago, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 (1988) 2465.
- [19] J. E. Martin, J. W ilcoxon and J. Odinek, Phys. Rev. A 43 (1991) 858. For a review see J. E. Martin and D. Adolf, Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem. 42 (1991) 311.
- [20] P.G. de Gennes, J.Physique (Paris) 40 (1979) L-197; J.
 E.Martin and J.W ilcoxon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 (1988) 373.
- [21] D. Stau er, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. II, 72 (1976) 1354; P.G. de Gennes, J. Phys. Lett. (Paris) 38 (1977) L-355.