# EXACT SOLUTION OF THE BIQUADRATIC SPIN -1 t-J MODEL IN ONE DIMENSION

### F.C. A. Icaraz and R. Z. Bariev<sup>1</sup>

Departamento de F sica, Universidade Federal de Sao Carlos, 13565-905, Sao Carlos, SP Brasil

PACS numbers: 75.10 Lp, 74.20-z, 71.28+d

March 23, 2024

#### A bstract

A new generalization of the t-J m odel with a nearest-neighbor hopping is formulated and solved exactly by the Bethe-ansatz method. The model describes the dynamics of spin-S fermions with isotropic or anisotropic interactions. In the case S=1 the magnetic interaction is biquadratic in the spin operators. In contrast to the SU(N) generalization of the t-J model, studied previously in the literature, the present model possesses beyond a massless excitation also a massive one. The physical properties indicate the existence of Cooper-type pairs with nite binding energy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Perm anent address: The Kazan Physico-Technical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Kazan 420029, Russia

The t-J m odel has emerged as a paradigm for studying the low-energy electronic properties of the copper-oxide-based high-tem perature superconductors [1,2]. A lthough high-T<sub>c</sub> cuprates are at least two-dimensional systems the one-dimensional version of the model and its generalizations are also intensively studied since in this case exact results can be derived [3-12]. The t-J model describes the dynamics of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  ferm ionic particles with Hamiltonian given by

$$H = t^{X} P c_{j}^{+} c_{j+1}^{+} + c_{j+1}^{+} c_{j}^{-}, P + J^{X} S_{j} S_{j+1} n_{j} n_{j+1} = 4 ; (1)$$

where  $c_j$ ; is the standard ferm ion creation operator,  $S_j = \frac{1}{2} \sim_j$  is the particlespin operator and  $n_j$  is the particle-number operator at site j. The projection operator P excludes the double occupation at each site. Unfortunately the exact integrability of (1) is obtained only at the supersymmetric point J=2t [3-6]. At this point them odelhas no gap and the critical exponents governing the long-distance behavior of correlation functions were calculated [7]. These results show that for any density of holes the spin-spin correlation functions dominate the superconducting ones, and as a consequence them odel has no superconducting properties. These results were extended to the SU (N) generalization of the total properties of arbitrary spin S [8-10]. The integrability of an anisotropic generalization of the SU (N) supersymmetric total nodelhas been shown [13, 14] and the critical exponents of the correlation functions have been calculated [15, 16].

In this letter we present a new set of models of strong-correlated electrons which are exactly solvable. The rst example of these models is the spin-1 biquadratic t-J model with H am iltonian given by

$$H = \begin{matrix} X \\ Y \\ j; \end{matrix} C_{j+1}^{+}, C_{j+1}^{+}, C_{j}^{+}, C_{j}^{+$$

where now  $S_j = (S_j^x; S_j^y; S_j^z)$  are spin-1 Pauli operators located at site j. We show that this model is exactly integrable at the special point t = J. A ctually the above H am iltonian is the isotropic version of a new family of anisotropic models describing the dynamics of spin-S ferm ions with H am iltonian

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & P & c^{\dagger}_{j;s}c_{j+1;s} + c^{\dagger}_{j+1;s}c_{j;s} & P \\ & & & 3 \\ x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & 3 \\ & & & & 3 \\ & & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \\ & & & & & 3 \\ & & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \\ & & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \\ & & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x^{L} & x^{S} \\ & & & & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

where L is the lattice size, ";" $_1 = 1$  and the parameters  $u_s$ , which play the role of anisotropies should satisfy  $u_s = 1 = u_s$  (s = S; S + 1;:::;S) and  $2 \cosh = u_s^2 + u_{S+1}^2 + \dots + u_s^2$ . The particular case  $S = \frac{1}{2}$  and " = " $_1 = 1$  is the anisotropic version of the supersymmetric t-J model. The biquadratic t-J model, at t=J, given in (2) is obtained by choosing in (3) S = 1, " = " $_1 = 1$  and  $u_1 = u_0 = u_1 = 1$ . For general spin S the magnetic interactions can be written as a polynomial of degree S in the spin operators.

The exact integrability of these models, from a mathematical point of view, comes from the fact that the Hamiltonian density in (3) is related to the generators of Hecke algebras [17], with deformation parameter q given by the relation  $q+1=q=2\cosh$ .

The eigenstates and eigenvalues of Ham iltonian (3) can be obtained exactly within the framework of the Bethe-ansatz method [18-21]. The structure of the Bethe-ansatz equations follows from the solution of the two-particle problem. The two-electron wave function can be written as a product of two factors: a coordinate wave function (referring to the positions and momenta of the particles) and a spin part, the global wave function being antisymmetric under the exchange of two particles. The scattering matrix can be written in the following form

$$S_{0,0}(1_{1}) = [1 + (1 + \mathbf{1}_{1}) \cosh (1_{2})], 0, 0$$

$$\mathbf{1}_{1} u u \circ (1_{2}), 0, 0, 0, 0$$
(4)

w here

$$( ) = \frac{\sin}{\sin( i )}$$
 (5)

and j (j = 1; 2; ...; n) are suitable particle rapidities related to the m om enta

fkig of the electrons by

$$k_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} ( & ( & j; \frac{1}{2} & ); & """_{1} = & 1, \\ ( & & j; \frac{1}{2} & ); & """_{1} = & +1, \end{pmatrix}$$
 (6)

with the function de ned by

$$(;) = 2 \arctan (\cot \tan );$$
 <  $(;)$  : (7)

A necessary and su cient condition for the applicability of the Bethe-ansatz m ethod is the Yang-Baxter equation [18,21]. In our case the S-m atrix satis es these equations in the non-deform ed and q-deform ed cases [17]. The isotropic case corresponds for  $S > \frac{1}{2}$  to the q-deform ed case where  $u_s = 1$  (s = S; ::: ; S) and q + 1 = q = 2S + 1. The underlying Hecke algebra of the model im plies that di erently from the supersymmetric t-J model we should have gapped spin excitations for S 1. Up to our know ledge this model is the rst example of integrable model with the S-matrix of the form (4) which is connected with the Hecke algebra. The Hamiltonian (3) is diagonalized by standard procedure by imposing periodic boundary conditions on the Bethe function. These boundary conditions can be expressed in terms of the transfer matrix of the non-uniform model which can be constructed on the basis of the S-m atrix (4) by using the quantum method of the inverse problem [22, 23]. The rapidities f ig that de ne a n-particle wave function are obtained by solving the equations

$$\frac{\sinh(j + i = 2)}{\sinh(j + i = 2)} = (1)^{n-1} (j);$$
(8)

where () is the eigenvalue of the transfer matrix

$$T_{f_{1}g_{1}}^{f_{1}g_{1}}() = X Y^{n} S_{1}^{1} + 1 (1); (n+1 = 1); (9)$$

It is simple to verify that besides the number of particles n, the magnetization  $_{j}^{p}S_{j}^{z}$  and the number of paired electrons mare conserved quantities in the Hamiltonian (3). Two electrons are paired if they are consecutive electrons with opposite spins and have no unpaired electron between them. The complete diagonalization of the transfer matrix (9) is not a simple problem

even in the sim plest case S=1; n=L (see, for example, [24]). It is not dicturble to convince ourselves that in the interesting physical situation where we have low density of holes the ground-state will belong to the sector where we have zero magnetization and only pairs of electrons. In this sector m=n=2 and the diagonalization of the transfer matrix of the inhomogeneous model (9) gives for m=1, the following equations

$$\frac{\sin(j+i=2)}{\sin(j+i=2)}^{\#_{L}} = (1)^{m-1} \frac{\sin(j+i=2)}{\sin(j+i=2)};$$

$$\frac{y^{n}}{\sin(j+i=2)} \frac{\sin(j+i=2)}{\sin(j+i=2)} = \frac{y^{n}}{\sin(j+i=2)} \frac{\sin(j+i=2)}{\sin(j+i=2)};$$
(10)

In the case  $\mathbf{I}_1 = +1$  the 1st set of equations in (10) should be replaced by

$$\frac{\sin(j+i=2)}{\sin(j-i=2)}^{\#_{L}} = (1)^{m-1} \frac{\sin(j-1+i)}{\sin(j-1-i)} \frac{\sin(j-1+i)}{\sin(j-1-i)}$$

The total energy and momentum of the model are given in terms of the particle rapidities  $_{i}$  in the following form

$$E = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} \cos k_{j} = 2 \prod_{j=1}^{X^{n}} \cosh \frac{\sinh^{2}}{\cosh \cos 2_{j}}!$$

$$P = \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} k(j): \qquad (11)$$

The equations (10) and (11) have the same structure as those appearing in the anisotropic t-J m odel [15,16] provided a suitable de nition of the parameter is given. It means that in spite of the physical processes in the models with  $S = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $S > \frac{1}{2}$  being quite dierent there is a "weak equivalence" in Baxter's sense [25] between models with dierent values of spin S in the sector where m = n=2. Of course in the general case this equivalence does not exist.

Although the models are exactly integrable for both signs of " and " $_1$  in (3) let us now restrict to the more physically interesting case " = 1 and " $_1$  = 1, where we have attraction among pairs. In this case the ground state contains m = n=2 bound pairs characterized by a pair of complex electron rapidities

$$=\frac{1}{2}$$
 (v i); v = 2 : (12)

The second set of equation in (10) is full led within exponential accuracy whereas the rst set can be treated in the sim ilarway as in [15,16]. Inserting (12) in the rst set of equations in (10) and introducing the density function (v) for the distribution of v in the therm odynam ic  $\lim_{t\to\infty} it$ , we obtain the linear integral equation

2 
$$(v) = (v; )$$
  $(v v^0; ) (v^0)dv^0$  (13)

w here

$$(v; ) = \frac{\sinh 2}{\cosh 2 \quad \cosh v}; \tag{14}$$

In order to m in im ize the ground-state energy

$$\frac{E_0}{L} = 2 \cdot \frac{Z}{I} \left[ 2 \cosh \sinh \left( v; \right) \right] (v) dv \tag{15}$$

the integration interval I in (13) and (15) has to be chosen symmetrically around ( $I = [v_0; 2 \quad v_0]$ . The parameter  $v_0$  is determined by the subsidiary condition for the total density = 2m = L of electrons

$$z$$
 (v)dv =  $\frac{1}{2}$ : (16)

To study the superconducting properties of the model under consideration we calculate the long-distance behaviour of the correlation functions by nite size studies and application of conformal eld theory (see [26-28] and references therein). The results of this calculation are the following. The long-distance behavior of the density-density and the superconducting correlation functions are given by

h (r) 
$$(0)i'^2 + A_1r^2 + A_2r \cos(2k_F r);$$
  $2k_F = ;$  (17)

$$(r) = {\overset{X}{c_r^+} c_r};$$
 $G(r) = {\overset{D}{c_r^+} c_{r+1}^+}; c_0; c_1; {\overset{E}{c_1^+} Br}; (18)$ 

The exponents and describing the algebraic decay are calculated from the dressed charge function (v) which is given by the solution of the integral equation

$$(v) = 1 \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad v \quad v^{0}; \quad (v^{0}) dv^{0};$$
 (19)

and is given by

In our one-dimensional system we have no superconductivity in the literal sense, since the model does not have nite o -diagonal long-range order. But we may say that in our model there is tendency to the superconductivity since the superconducting correlations have a longer range than the densitydensity correlations. This happens when < . A nalytically we nd for (=0) and  $=\frac{1}{2}$  for  $(=_{max}=1)$ . This implies that for all nonzero values of the parameters there is a density regin e[0; c] where the system has dom inating superconducting correlations. An analogous behaviour of correlation functions can also be observed in the SU (N) generalization of the anisotropic t-J model where superconducting properties are caused by the introduction of anisotropy in the interactions. However unlike these m odels the superconducting properties in the Hamiltonians (3) are caused by both e ects, the anisotropy and the value of the spin S (see de nition (3)). Moreover in the present model for any value of N (N = 2S + 1) we have bound pairs but not complexes of N bound particles as in [16].

W e conclude the letter with some remarks about the lattice vertex model counterpart of the quantum chain considered here. The quantum R-matrix has  $1+3N+2N^2$  non-zero Boltzmann weights, which are given by

where ; = 1;2;:::;N . The associated spin H am iltonian can be found by taking the logarithm ic derivative of the row-to-row transfer matrix at = 0. It gives the H am iltonian (3) after a Jordan-W igner transform ation. Since we veri ed that (21) satisfy the Y ang-B axter equations the exact integrability of (3) is an imm ediate consequence. The above vertex model can be treated by the diagonal-to-diagonalB ethe ansatz method [29,30], but this is not the aim of this letter.

## A cknow ledgm ents

This work was supported in part by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvim ento Cient co-CNPq-Brazil, by Fundacao de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de Sao Paulo-FAPESP-Brazil, and by Russian Foundation of Fundam ental Investigations under Grant NoRFFI97-02-16146. We would like to thank DrH Babujian for discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. F.C. Zhang and T.M. Rice, Phys. Rev. B 37, 3759 (1988).
- 2. P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 2306 (1990).
- 3. B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. B 12 3795 (1975).
- 4. M. Jimbo, Lett. Math. Phys. 11 247 (1986).
- 5. P. Schlottm ann, Phys. Rev. B 36, 5177 (1987).
- 6. P.A. Bares and G. Blatter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2567 (1990).
- 7. N. Kawakami, S.-K. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 2309 (1990).
- 8. K. Lee, P. Schlottm ann, J. Phys. Colloq. 49 C 8 709 (1988).
- 9. P. Schlottm ann, J. Phys. C 4, 7565 (1992).
- 10. N.Kawakami, Phys.Rev. B 47, 2928 (1993).
- 11. F.H.L.Essler, V.E.Korepin and K.Schoutens, PhysRevLett. 68, 2960 (1992); 70, 73 (1993).
- 12. F.H.L.Essler and V.E. Korepin, Exactly Solvable Models of Strongly Correlated Electrons (World Scientic, Singapore, 1994).
- 13. R.Z. Bariev, J. Phys. A 27, 3381 (1994).
- 14. A. Forster and M. Karowski, Nucl. Phys. B 408 [FS], 512 (1993).
- 15. R.Z. Bariev, Phys. Rev. B 49, 1447 (1994).
- 16. R. Z. Bariev, A. Klum per, A. Schadschneider and J. Zittartz, Z. Phys. B 96, 395 (1995).
- 17. F.C. A karaz, R. Koberke and A. Lim a-Santos, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 7,7615 (1992).
- 18. C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1312 (1967).
- 19. E.H. Lieb and F.Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 20, 1445 (1968).

- 20. B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 103 (1967).
- 21. R.J.Baxter, Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics (A cademic Press, New York, 1982).
- 22. L. Takhtadzhyan and L. D. Faddeev, Russ. Math. Survey 34, 11 (1979).
- 23. V.E.Korepin, A.G. Jzergin and N.M.Bogoliubov, Quantum Inverse Scattering Method, Correlation Functions and Algebraic Bethe Ansatz (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993)
- 24. A K lum per, EurophysLett.9, 815 (1989);
  R.Koberle and A.Lim a-Santos, J.Phys. A 27, 5409 (1994).
- 25. J.H.H.Perk and F.Y.Wu, Physica A 138 (1986) 100; J.Stat.Phys. 42,727 (1986).
- 26. J.L. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. B 270 [FS16], 186 (1986).
- 27. R. Z. Bariev, A. Klumper, A. Schadschneider and J. Zittartz, J. Phys. A 26, 1249; 4863 (1993); Physica B 194-196, 1417 (1994).
- 28. N. M. Bogoliubov and V. E. Korepin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 3, 427 (1989).
- 29. R.Z. Bariev, Theor. Math. Phys. 49 (1982) 1021;
- 30. T.T. Truong, K.D. Schotte, Nucl. Phys. B 220 [FS8] 77 (1983).